

FTO genetic variants, dietary intake and body mass index: insights from 177 330 individuals

Qibin Qi^{1,2}, Tuomas O. Kilpeläinen^{4,5}, Mary K. Downer², Toshiko Tanaka⁷, Caren E. Smith⁸, Ivonne Sluijs⁹, Emily Sonestedt¹⁰, Audrey Y. Chu¹¹, Frida Renström¹⁰, Xiaochen Lin³, Lars H. Ångquist¹⁵, Jinyan Huang¹⁶, Zhonghua Liu³, Yanping Li², Muhammad Asif Ali², Min Xu², Tarunveer Singh Ahluwalia^{5,6,17}, Jolanda M.A. Boer¹⁸, Peng Chen¹⁹, Makoto Daimon^{21,22}, Johan Eriksson^{23,26}, Markus Perola^{24,26,27}, Yechiel Friedlander²⁸, Yu-Tang Gao²⁹, Denise H.M. Hepppe^{30,31,32}, John W. Holloway³⁴, Denise K. Houston³⁵, Stavroula Kanoni³⁸, Yu-Mi Kim³⁹, Maarit A. Laaksonen²⁶, Tiina Jääskeläinen⁴⁰, Nanette R. Lee⁴¹, Terho Lehtimäki⁴², Rozenn N. Lemaitre⁴³, Wei Lu⁴⁵, Robert N. Luben⁴⁶, Ani Manichaikul^{47,48}, Satu Männistö²⁶, Pedro Marques-Vidal^{49,50}, Keri L. Monda^{51,54}, Julius S. Ngwa⁵⁵, Louis Perusse⁵⁶, Frank J.A. van Rooij^{31,57}, Yong-Bing Xiang²⁹, Wanqing Wen⁵⁸, Mary K Wojczynski⁵⁹, Jingwen Zhu⁶⁰, Ingrid B. Borecki⁵⁹, Claude Bouchard⁶¹, Qiuyin Cai⁵⁸, Cyrus Cooper^{62,63,64}, George V. Dedoussis⁶⁵, Panos Deloukas^{38,66,67}, Luigi Ferrucci⁷, Nita G. Forouhi⁴, Torben Hansen⁵, Lene Christiansen⁶⁹, Albert Hofman^{31,57}, Ingegerd Johansson⁷⁰, Torben Jørgensen⁷², Shigeru Karasawa²², Kay-Tee Khaw⁴⁶, Mi-Kyung Kim⁷³, Kati Kristiansson²⁶, Huaixing Li⁶⁰, Xu Lin⁶⁰, Yongmei Liu³⁶, Kurt K. Lohman³⁷, Jirong Long⁵⁸, Vera Mikkilä^{25,74}, Dariush Mozaffarian^{2,3,12,13,75}, Kari North^{51,52}, Oluf Pedersen⁵, Olli Raitakari^{74,76}, Harri Rissanen²⁶, Jaakko Tuomilehto^{26,68,77,78}, Yvonne T. van der Schouw⁹, André G. Uitterlinden^{31,57,33}, M. Carola Zillikens^{57,33}, Oscar H. Franco^{31,57}, E. Shyong Tai^{19,20,79}, Xiao Ou Shu⁵⁸, David S. Siscovick⁴⁴, Ulla Toft⁷², W.M. Monique Verschuren¹⁸, Peter Vollenweider⁵⁰, Nicholas J. Wareham⁴, Jacqueline C.M. Witteman^{31,57}, Wei Zheng⁵⁸, Paul M. Ridker^{11,13}, Jae H. Kang¹², Liming Liang³, Majken K. Jensen^{2,3}, Gary C. Curhan^{3,12}, Louis R. Pasquale^{12,80}, David J. Hunter^{2,3,12}, Karen L. Mohlke⁵³, Matti Uusitupa^{40,81}, L. Adrienne Cupples^{55,82}, Tuomo Rankinen⁶¹, Marju Orho-Melander¹⁰, Tao Wang¹, Daniel I. Chasman^{11,14}, Paul W. Franks^{2,3,10,71}, Thorkild I.A. Sørensen^{5,15}, Frank B. Hu^{2,3,12}, Ruth J. F. Loos^{4,83}, Jennifer A. Nettleton⁸⁴ and Lu Qi^{2,12,*}

¹Department of Epidemiology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA, ²Department of Nutrition and ³Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA, ⁴MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Institute of Metabolic Science, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge, UK, ⁵The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Section of Metabolic Genetics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences and ⁶Copenhagen Prospective Studies on Asthma in Childhood, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ⁷Translational Gerontology Branch, National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, MD, USA, ⁸Nutrition and Genomics Laboratory, Jean Mayer USDA HNRCA at Tufts University, Boston, MA, USA, ⁹Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands, ¹⁰Genetic and Molecular Epidemiology Unit, Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden, ¹¹Division of Preventive Medicine, ¹²Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, ¹³Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, ¹⁴Division of Genetics, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical

*To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Department of Nutrition, Harvard School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA. Tel: +1 6174324116; Fax: +1 6174322435; Email: nhlqi@channing.harvard.edu

School, Boston, MA, USA, ¹⁵Institute of Preventive Medicine, Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg Hospital, The Capital Region, Copenhagen, Denmark, ¹⁶State Key Laboratory of Medical Genomics, Shanghai Institute of Hematology, Rui Jin Hospital Affiliated with Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China, ¹⁷Danish Pediatric Asthma Center, Gentofte Hospital, The Capital Region, Copenhagen, Denmark, ¹⁸Centre for Nutrition, Prevention and Health Services, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands, ¹⁹Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health and ²⁰Department of Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, National University Health System, Singapore, Singapore, ²¹Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Graduate School of Medicine, Hirosaki University, Hirosaki, Aomori, Japan, ²²Department of Neurology, Hematology, Metabolism, Endocrinology and Diabetology, Yamagata University Faculty of Medicine, Yamagata, Japan, ²³Department of General Practice and Primary Health Care, ²⁴Institute for Molecular Medicine, ²⁵Department of Food and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, ²⁶National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland, ²⁷Estonian Genome Center, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia, ²⁸School of Public Health, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel, ²⁹Shanghai Cancer Institute, Renji Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China, ³⁰The Generation R Study Group, ³¹Department of Epidemiology, ³²Department of Pediatrics, ³³Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, ³⁴Human Development and Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK, ³⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Section on Gerontology and Geriatric Medicine, ³⁶Department of Epidemiology, Division of Public Health Sciences, ³⁷Department of Biostatistical Sciences, Division of Public Health Sciences, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston Salem, NC, USA, ³⁸William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, EC1M 6BQ London, UK, ³⁹Department of Preventive Medicine, Dong-A University College of Medicine, Busan, Korea, ⁴⁰Institute of Public Health and Clinical Nutrition, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland, ⁴¹USC Office of Population Studies Foundation, Inc., University of San Carlos, Cebu, Philippines, ⁴²Department of Clinical Chemistry, Fimlab Laboratories and School of Medicine, University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland, ⁴³Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Department of Medicine and ⁴⁴Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA, ⁴⁵Shanghai Institute of Preventive Medicine, Shanghai, China, ⁴⁶Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Institute of Public Health, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK, ⁴⁷Center for Public Health Genomics, ⁴⁸Department of Public Health Sciences, Division of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA, ⁴⁹Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, Bâtiment Biopôle 2, Route de la Corniche 10, CH-1010 Lausanne, Switzerland, ⁵⁰Department of Medicine, CHUV, Rue du Bugnon 21, CH-1011 Lausanne, Switzerland, ⁵¹Department of Epidemiology, ⁵²Carolina Center for Genome Sciences, ⁵³Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA, ⁵⁴Center for Observational Research, Amgen, Inc., Thousand Oaks, CA, USA, ⁵⁵Department of Biostatistics, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA, ⁵⁶Department of Kinesiology, Laval University, Ste-Foy, QC, Canada, ⁵⁷The Netherlands Genomics Initiative sponsored Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Aging (NGI-NCHA), Leiden, The Netherlands, ⁵⁸Division of Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, USA, ⁵⁹Department of Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA, ⁶⁰Key Laboratory of Nutrition and Metabolism, Institute for Nutritional Sciences, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China, ⁶¹Human Genomics Laboratory, Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, LA, USA, ⁶²MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK, ⁶³National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton and University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK, ⁶⁴National Institute for Health Research Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LE, UK, ⁶⁵Department of Dietetics-Nutrition, Harokopio University, 70 El. Venizelou Str, Athens, Greece, ⁶⁶Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1SA, UK, ⁶⁷Princess Al-Jawhara Al-Brahim Centre of Excellence in Research of Hereditary Disorders (PACER-HD) and ⁶⁸Diabetes Research Group, King Abdulaziz University, 21589 Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, ⁶⁹The Danish Twin Registry, Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark, ⁷⁰Department of Odontology and ⁷¹Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Genetic Epidemiology and Clinical Research Group, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden, ⁷²Research Centre for Prevention and Health, Glostrup University Hospital, Glostrup, Denmark, ⁷³Department of Preventive Medicine, HanYang University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea,

⁷⁴Research Centre of Applied and Preventive Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Turku, Turku, Finland, ⁷⁵Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Policy, Tufts University, Boston, MA, USA, ⁷⁶Department of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, University of Turku and Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland, ⁷⁷Centre for Vascular Prevention, Danube-University Krems, 3500 Krems, Austria, ⁷⁸Instituto de Investigacion Sanitaria del Hospital Universitario La Paz (IdiPAZ), Madrid, Spain, ⁷⁹Duke-National University of Singapore Graduate Medical School, Singapore, Singapore, ⁸⁰Department of Ophthalmology, Mass Eye and Ear Infirmary, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA, ⁸¹Research Unit, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland, ⁸²The Framingham Heart Study, Framingham, MA, USA, ⁸³The Genetics of Obesity and Related Metabolic Traits Program, The Charles Bronfman Institute for Personalized Medicine, The Mindich Child Health and Development Institute, Department of Preventive Medicine, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York City, NY, USA and ⁸⁴Division of Epidemiology, Human Genetics, and Environmental Sciences, The University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, TX, USA

Received April 24, 2014; Revised and Accepted August 4, 2014

***FTO* is the strongest known genetic susceptibility locus for obesity. Experimental studies in animals suggest the potential roles of *FTO* in regulating food intake. The interactive relation among *FTO* variants, dietary intake and body mass index (BMI) is complex and results from previous often small-scale studies in humans are highly inconsistent. We performed large-scale analyses based on data from 177 330 adults (154 439 Whites, 5776 African Americans and 17 115 Asians) from 40 studies to examine: (i) the association between the *FTO*-rs9939609 variant (or a proxy single-nucleotide polymorphism) and total energy and macronutrient intake and (ii) the interaction between the *FTO* variant and dietary intake on BMI. The minor allele (A-allele) of the *FTO*-rs9939609 variant was associated with higher BMI in Whites (effect per allele = 0.34 [0.31, 0.37] kg/m², $P = 1.9 \times 10^{-105}$), and all participants (0.30 [0.30, 0.35] kg/m², $P = 3.6 \times 10^{-107}$). The BMI-increasing allele of the *FTO* variant showed a significant association with higher dietary protein intake (effect per allele = 0.08 [0.06, 0.10] %, $P = 2.4 \times 10^{-16}$), and relative weak associations with lower total energy intake (-6.4 [-10.1, -2.6] kcal/day, $P = 0.001$) and lower dietary carbohydrate intake (-0.07 [-0.11, -0.02] %, $P = 0.004$). The associations with protein ($P = 7.5 \times 10^{-9}$) and total energy ($P = 0.002$) were attenuated but remained significant after adjustment for BMI. We did not find significant interactions between the *FTO* variant and dietary intake of total energy, protein, carbohydrate or fat on BMI. Our findings suggest a positive association between the BMI-increasing allele of *FTO* variant and higher dietary protein intake and offer insight into potential link between *FTO*, dietary protein intake and adiposity.**

INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a major health problem throughout the world. A recent large-scale analysis including 9.1 million participants from 199 countries reported that the mean body mass index (BMI) has increased substantially since 1980 worldwide (1). Obesity is the results of a complex interplay between environmental and genetic factors that have additive and interactive effects. Among the obesity-susceptibility genes recently identified through genome-wide association studies, single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that cluster in the first intron of the *FTO* gene show the strongest association with BMI (effect size = ~0.35 kg/m² per allele) and obesity risk (2–4). *FTO* is highly expressed in the hypothalamus, a region involved in regulation of food intake and energy expenditure (5,6). Previous studies have reported that the BMI-increasing allele of the *FTO* variant is associated with higher energy intake or higher specific macronutrient intake, (7–13) whereas other studies could not confirm such associations (14–23).

There is growing evidence supporting a gene–environment (diet/lifestyle) interaction in relation to BMI and obesity risk (24–26). Our previous meta-analysis of >218 000 individuals demonstrated that physical activity may attenuate the

association between the *FTO* locus on BMI and obesity risk (24). A recent study identified that *FTO* variants are associated with phenotypic variability of BMI, suggesting interactions between *FTO* and environment in relation to BMI (27). Fewer studies have investigated the interaction between *FTO* variants and dietary factors on BMI and have reported conflicting results (12,15,19,23,28,29). While some studies found that high energy intake, high dietary fat intake or low carbohydrate intake might strengthen the association between *FTO* genetic variants and BMI/obesity risk, (12,15,28) others failed to confirm such interactions (19,23,29).

These inconsistent observations might be due to insufficient statistical power of individual studies to identify interactions, which typically require much large sample sizes. Moreover, inevitable measurement errors in dietary factors further reduce statistical power and necessitate studies with large sample sizes (30). Therefore, we analyzed cross-sectional data from 40 individual studies with a total of 177 330 adults to examine (i) the association between the *FTO*-rs9939609 variant (or a proxy SNP) and dietary intakes of total energy and macronutrients (protein, carbohydrate and fat) and (ii) the interaction between the *FTO* variant and these dietary factors on BMI.

RESULTS

Study characteristics

Study-specific characteristics for each study are shown in Supplementary Material, Table S1. The ranges of mean age, mean BMI and median of total energy, protein, carbohydrate and fat intakes (% of total energy intake) across studies were 31–75 years, 22.1–31.6 kg/m², 1184–2703 kcal/day, 12.5–19.3, 41.8–69.4 and 14.9–39.7%, respectively.

FTO variant and BMI

The minor allele (A-allele) of *FTO*-rs9939609 variant (or of its proxy) was associated with increased BMI in Whites (effect per allele [95% CI] = 0.34 [0.31, 0.37] kg/m², $P = 1.9 \times 10^{-105}$), Asians (effect per allele = 0.25 [0.14, 0.35] kg/m², $P = 6.2 \times 10^{-6}$) and all participants combined (effect per allele = 0.33 [0.30, 0.35] kg/m², $P = 3.6 \times 10^{-107}$), but no association was observed in African Americans (effect per allele = 0.00 [−0.20, 0.20], $P = 0.98$) (Table 1).

FTO variant and dietary intake

The minor allele (BMI-increasing allele) of the *FTO* variant was associated with lower total energy intake (effect per allele = −6.4 [95% CI −10.1, −2.6] kcal/day, $P = 0.001$) (Table 1; Supplementary Material, Fig. S1), which was only modestly attenuated after further adjustment for BMI ($P = 0.002$). The

heterogeneity among studies was low ($I^2 = 18\%$), and there was no significant factor accounting for the heterogeneity in the meta-regression (all $P > 0.05$) (Supplementary Material, Table S2). The results in stratified meta-analyses for association between *FTO* variant and total energy intake are shown in Supplementary Material, Figure S2.

The minor allele of the *FTO* variant was significantly associated with higher dietary protein intake (% of energy) (effect per allele = 0.08 [0.06, 0.10] %, $P = 2.4 \times 10^{-16}$), and results were directionally consistent across ethnicities, with moderate heterogeneity (Table 1 and Fig. 1). The association was attenuated but remained significant after further adjustment for BMI (effect per allele = 0.05 [0.04, 0.07] %, $P = 7.5 \times 10^{-9}$). The meta-regression identified geographic region (North America versus Europe, $P = 0.006$; North America versus Asia, $P = 0.03$) and ethnicity groups (White versus Asian, $P = 0.03$) to be contributing to the observed moderate heterogeneity (Supplementary Material, Table S2). In stratified meta-analyses (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3), the directions of the association between the *FTO* variant and protein intake were consistent across the subgroups, though P -values for heterogeneities were nominally significant for geographic region ($P = 0.02$) and median of protein intake ($P = 0.03$).

The minor allele of the *FTO* variant was associated with lower intake of dietary carbohydrate (effect per allele = −0.07 [−0.11, −0.02] %, $P = 0.004$) (Table 1; Supplementary Material, Fig. S4) and was attenuated after further adjustment for BMI ($P = 0.08$). The heterogeneity among studies was moderate

Table 1. Associations of *FTO* SNP rs9939609 or a proxy with BMI and intakes of total energy, protein, carbohydrate and fat in a fixed effects meta-analysis of up to 177 330 adults^a

	Model 1 ^b β (95% CI)	P	I ² (%)	Model 2 ^c β (95% CI)	P	I ² (%)
BMI (kg/m²)						
Whites	0.34 (0.31, 0.37)	1.9×10^{-105}	46	–	–	–
African Americans	0.00 (−0.20, 0.20)	0.98	0	–	–	–
Asians	0.25 (0.14, 0.35)	6.2×10^{-6}	48	–	–	–
All	0.33 (0.30, 0.35)	3.6×10^{-107}	47	–	–	–
Total energy (kcal/day)						
Whites	−7.8 (−11.8, −3.9)	1.5×10^{-4}	5	−7.2 (−11.1, −3.3)	3.3×10^{-4}	0
African Americans	4.0 (−19.9, 27.9)	0.74	40	4.6 (−19.3, 28.5)	0.70	39
Asians	13.2 (−2.8, 29.2)	0.11	30	10.5 (−5.5, 26.5)	0.20	26
All	−6.4 (−10.1, −2.6)	0.001	18	−5.9 (−9.7, −2.1)	0.002	13
Protein (% of energy)						
Whites	0.08 (0.06, 0.10)	3.8×10^{-15}	26	0.05 (0.03, 0.07)	8.8×10^{-8}	20
African Americans	0.15 (0.01, 0.29)	0.03	0	0.14 (0.00, 0.28)	0.05	5
Asians	0.06 (−0.02, 0.15)	0.14	57	0.06 (−0.02, 0.15)	0.15	57
All	0.08 (0.06, 0.10)	2.4×10^{-16}	32	0.05 (0.04, 0.07)	7.5×10^{-9}	29
Carbohydrate (% of energy)						
Whites	−0.07 (−0.11, −0.02)	0.005	30	−0.04 (−0.09, 0.01)	0.10	22
African Americans	−0.06 (−0.40, 0.27)	0.71	60	−0.05 (−0.38, 0.28)	0.77	59
Asians	−0.07 (−0.32, 0.18)	0.57	0	−0.08 (−0.33, 0.17)	0.53	0
All	−0.07 (−0.11, −0.02)	0.004	29	−0.04 (−0.09, 0.01)	0.08	23
Fat (% of energy)						
Whites	0.02 (−0.02, 0.07)	0.30	1	0.00 (−0.04, 0.05)	0.85	0
African Americans	0.03 (−0.22, 0.27)	0.84	43	0.01 (−0.23, 0.26)	0.92	42
Asians	0.07 (−0.12, 0.26)	0.47	0	0.08 (−0.11, 0.28)	0.39	0
All	0.03 (−0.02, 0.07)	0.24	3	0.01 (−0.03, 0.05)	0.69	0

^aData are β coefficients (95% CI) per minor allele of rs9939609 or a proxy ($r^2 > 0.8$) for each trait. Analyses from individual studies were conducted separately in men and women, and then combined by meta-analysis of up to 177 330 adults (154 439 Whites, 5776 African Americans and 17 115 Asians).

^bModel 1, adjusted for age, physical activity (if available), region (if available) and eigenvectors (GWAS data only).

^cModel 2, further adjusted for BMI.




Figure 1. Forest plot of the association between *FTO*-rs9939609 SNP or a proxy and protein intake in a fixed effects meta-analysis of 175 142 adults. The studies are shown in men (_M), women (_F) or mixed (_All), cases (_case) and controls (_control) for case-control studies, and Whites (_W) and African Americans (_AA) for studies with multiple ethnicities separately, sorted by sample size (smallest to largest). The β represents the difference in protein intake (% of energy intake) per minor allele of SNP rs9939609 or a proxy ($r^2 > 0.8$), adjusted for age, physical activity (if available), region (if available) and eigenvectors (GWAS data only).

($I^2 = 29\%$). The meta-regression indicated heterogeneity by geographic region (North America versus Europe, $P = 0.03$) (Supplementary Material, Table S2). We observed no significant association between the *FTO* variant and dietary fat intake (effect per allele = 0.03 [−0.02, 0.07] %, $P = 0.24$) (Table 1; Supplementary Material, Fig. S5), and heterogeneity among studies was low ($I^2 = 3\%$). The results in stratified meta-analyses for the association between *FTO* SNP and carbohydrate and fat intakes are shown in Supplementary Material, Figure S6 and S7.

In addition, the minor allele of the *FTO* variant was associated with higher absolute intake (g/day) of protein ($P = 7.9 \times 10^{-12}$) and lower absolute intake (g/day) of carbohydrate ($P = 0.003$) (Supplementary Material, Table S3). We also performed meta-analyses for the *FTO* SNP and dietary intakes using random effects methods, resulting in similar findings (Supplementary Material, Table S4).

Comparison of associations of *FTO* and *MC4R* variants with dietary intake

To show some specificity of the *FTO* variant, we compared the *FTO* with the *MC4R*, the second strongest obesity gene identified so far, in associations with dietary intakes. As expected, the *MC4R* genetic variant (SNP rs17782313 or a proxy) was significantly associated with BMI ($P = 5.4 \times 10^{-76}$); however, there is no significant association between the *MC4R* variant and total energy, protein, carbohydrate or fat intake (Supplementary Material, Table S5). As the effect size of the *FTO* variant on BMI is larger than that of the *MC4R* variant on BMI (0.33 versus 0.23 kg/m²), we calculated the adjusted effect sizes of the *FTO* variant on dietary intake after accounting for the strength of

the effect of the *MC4R* variant on BMI. There is a significant difference in effect sizes of the *FTO* variant (adjusted) and the *MC4R* variant on dietary protein intake ($P = 0.008$) (Supplementary Material, Table S5).

Dietary intake and BMI

There was no significant difference in BMI between participants in the high- and low-energy intake groups ($\beta = -0.07$ [−0.17, 0.04] kg/m², $P = 0.21$) (Supplementary Material, Table S6). Participants in the high-protein (0.66 kg/m², $P = 2.6 \times 10^{-29}$) and high-fat intake groups (0.20 kg/m², $P = 0.002$) had a higher mean BMI compared with those in the low intake groups, whereas the mean BMI was lower (0.31 kg/m², 4.3×10^{-8}) among participants in the high carbohydrate intake group compared with those in the low intake group (Supplementary Material, Table S6). Most of these differences seem to be driven by those observed in Whites, whereas they are less apparent or absent in African Americans and Asians. We observed moderate-to-high heterogeneity for these comparisons ($I^2 = 40–90\%$).

Interaction between *FTO* variant and dietary intake on BMI

There was no significant interaction between the *FTO* variant and total energy intake on BMI (effect for interaction = 0.03 [−0.02, 0.09], $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.25$), and heterogeneity among studies was low ($I^2 = 14\%$) (Table 2 and Fig. 2). The meta-regression identified ethnicity (White versus African Americans, $P = 0.01$) and sample size ($P = 0.02$) as sources of heterogeneity (Supplementary Material, Table S7). When we stratified our meta-analysis by ethnicity, we observed a

Table 2. Interaction between *FTO*-rs9939609 SNP or a proxy and dietary intakes on BMI in a fixed effects meta-analysis of up to 177 330 adults^a

	Main effect of SNP in high intake group ^b			Main effect of SNP in low intake group ^b			Interaction effect		
	β (95% CI)	P	I^2 (%)	β (95% CI)	P	I^2 (%)	β (95% CI)	P	I^2 (%)
Total energy (kcal/day)									
Whites	0.36 (0.32, 0.40)	1.4×10^{-61}	32	0.31 (0.26, 0.35)	3.9×10^{-43}	28	0.04 (−0.01, 0.10)	0.13	5
African Americans	−0.23 (−0.52, 0.06)	0.12	36	0.20 (−0.08, 0.48)	0.16	0	−0.41 (−0.81, −0.01)	0.04	7
Asians	0.26 (0.11, 0.41)	0.001	48	0.20 (0.04, 0.35)	0.01	37	0.00 (−0.21, 0.21)	0.97	34
All	0.34 (0.30, 0.38)	7.1×10^{-61}	42	0.30 (0.26, 0.34)	1.6×10^{-44}	26	0.03 (−0.02, 0.09)	0.25	14
Protein (% of energy)									
Whites	0.32 (0.28, 0.37)	5.8×10^{-48}	32	0.33 (0.29, 0.37)	4.8×10^{-52}	33	0.00 (−0.06, 0.06)	0.90	0
African Americans	−0.07 (−0.36, 0.21)	0.62	0	0.07 (−0.21, 0.35)	0.61	23	−0.11 (−0.51, 0.29)	0.58	0
Asians	0.37 (0.21, 0.53)	8.6×10^{-6}	26	0.15 (−0.02, 0.31)	0.08	23	0.22 (−0.01, 0.44)	0.06	0
All	0.32 (0.28, 0.36)	1.2×10^{-50}	32	0.31 (0.27, 0.35)	3.0×10^{-51}	33	0.01 (−0.05, 0.06)	0.80	0
Carbohydrate (% of energy)									
Whites	0.34 (0.29, 0.38)	2.2×10^{-54}	37	0.33 (0.28, 0.37)	2.0×10^{-49}	21	0.00 (−0.05, 0.06)	0.94	0
African Americans	0.10 (−0.18, 0.38)	0.48	22	−0.11 (−0.40, 0.18)	0.45	0	0.24 (−0.16, 0.64)	0.25	1
Asians	0.19 (0.03, 0.35)	0.02	40	0.36 (0.20, 0.51)	7.5×10^{-6}	33	−0.19 (−0.42, 0.04)	0.10	0
All	0.32 (0.28, 0.36)	1.4×10^{-54}	38	0.32 (0.28, 0.36)	5.6×10^{-52}	26	0.00 (−0.06, 0.05)	0.87	0
Fat (% of energy)									
Whites	0.34 (0.30, 0.38)	6.6×10^{-53}	26	0.32 (0.28, 0.36)	7.0×10^{-50}	38	0.03 (−0.03, 0.09)	0.37	0
African Americans	0.02 (−0.27, 0.31)	0.90	2	0.00 (−0.28, 0.27)	0.98	46	0.02 (−0.37, 0.42)	0.91	49
Asians	0.43 (0.27, 0.58)	7.4×10^{-8}	13	0.11 (−0.05, 0.28)	0.18	31	0.30 (0.07, 0.52)	0.01	0
All	0.34 (0.30, 0.38)	5.7×10^{-58}	25	0.30 (0.26, 0.34)	3.8×10^{-48}	41	0.04 (−0.01, 0.10)	0.13	7

^aData are β (95% CI) per minor allele of rs9939609 or a proxy ($r^2 > 0.8$), adjusted for age, physical activity (if available), region (if available) and eigenvectors (GWAS data only). Analyses from individual studies were conducted separately in men and women, and then combined by meta-analysis of up to 177 330 adults (154 439 Whites, 5776 African Americans and 17 115 Asians).

^bHigh and low intake groups were defined by medians for each dietary intake.




Figure 2. Forest plot of the interaction between *FTO*-rs9939609 SNP or a proxy and total energy intake on BMI in a fixed effects meta-analysis of 177 330 adults. The studies are shown in men (_M), women (_F) or mixed (_All), cases (_case) and controls (_control) for case-control studies, and Whites (_W) and African Americans (_AA) for studies with multiple ethnicities separately, sorted by sample size (smallest to largest). The β represents the difference in BMI per minor allele of SNP rs9939609 or a proxy ($r^2 > 0.8$) comparing participants in the high total energy intake to those in the low total energy intake group, adjusted for age, physical activity (if available), region (if available) and eigenvectors (GWAS data only).

nominally significant result that showed interaction in opposite direction in African Americans (effect for interaction = -0.41 [$-0.81, -0.01$], $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.04$) (Table 2). We did not observe significant interactions between the *FTO* genetic variant and total energy intake on BMI in the stratified meta-analyses by other characteristics (Supplementary Material, Fig. S8).

Overall, we did not find a significant interaction between the *FTO* variant and dietary protein intake (effect for interaction = 0.01 [$-0.05, 0.06$], $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.80$), carbohydrate intake (effect for interaction = 0.00 [$-0.06, 0.05$], $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.87$) or dietary fat intake (effect for interaction = 0.04 [$-0.01, 0.10$], $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.13$) on BMI (Table 2; Supplementary Material, Figs S9, S10 and S11). The heterogeneity among studies was low ($I^2 = 0, 0$ and 7% , respectively).

We observed a significant interaction between the *FTO* genetic variant and fat intake on BMI in Asians (effect for interaction = 0.30 [$0.07, 0.52$], $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.01$), but not in Whites ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.37$) or African Americans ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.91$) (Table 2). When stratified by other characteristics, we observed a significant interaction between the *FTO* variant and fat intake on BMI in studies from Asia (identical result in Asians since participants of these studies are all Asians) and in studies with low fat intake (effect for interaction = 0.10 [$0.01, 0.18$], P for interaction = 0.03) (Supplementary Material, Fig. S12). We also conducted the stratified analyses by excluding Asian studies (Supplementary Material, Fig. S13). Most results were similar except that interaction between the *FTO* variant and fat intake on BMI in studies with low fat intake did not remain significant (effect for interaction = 0.05 [$-0.04, 0.14$], P for interaction = 0.25). No significant interactions between the *FTO* genetic variant and dietary protein or fat intake on BMI were observed in the stratified meta-analyses (Supplementary Material, Fig. S14 and S15).

Since there was little or no heterogeneity in interactions between *FTO* genetic variants and dietary intake on BMI among studies, the results were similar when we performed meta-analyses using random effects method (Supplementary Material, Table S8).

DISCUSSION

By combining data from 40 studies including up to 177 330 individuals, we confirmed the association between the minor allele (A-allele) of the *FTO*-rs9939609 variant (or its proxy) and higher BMI in Whites and Asians and all participants combined, but not in African Americans. This is consistent with the previous results that this index SNP is not associated with adiposity in African-ancestry populations (31–34), which can likely be explained by different LD patterns of *FTO* between European and African populations (35). We also found significant association with dietary protein intake where the BMI-increasing allele of *FTO* variant was associated with higher protein intake. This association was only slightly attenuated after adjustment for BMI. Dietary intakes of total energy, protein, carbohydrate and fat did not influence the association between the *FTO* variant and BMI.

We observed that the BMI-increasing allele of *FTO* was associated with lower total energy intake in our study. Moreover, the

observed inverse association was slightly stronger among studies with a higher mean BMI compared with those with a lower mean BMI. This might be partly explained by the underreporting of total energy intake, as individuals with higher BMI are more likely to be underreporters. In line with our results, Sonestedt *et al.* (12) found an inverse association between *FTO* and total energy intake, and interestingly, the frequency of individuals who underreported their dietary energy intake was higher in the BMI-increasing allele carriers compared with non-carriers. The difference in energy intake between *FTO* genotypes was not significant when misreporters were excluded (12). Misreporting is a common and inevitable type of measurement error found in any dietary assessment that relies on self-reports, such as the food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) and dietary records. Thus, the observed associations between BMI-associated genetic variants (such as genetic variants in the *FTO* and *MC4R*) and total energy intake could be biased among studies using self-reported data on dietary intakes if this measurement error (underreporting in obese participants) is not taken into account. Unfortunately, we were unable to do further analysis by excluding underreporters in the current study because detailed data on energy expenditure to evaluate the magnitude of misreporting in each study were not available. For macronutrient intakes, we primarily focused on relative intakes (% of energy) rather than absolute intakes, since the results might be less influenced by misreporting (36). Moreover, it has been suggested that underreporting was particularly frequent with fat- and/or carbohydrate-rich foods (37). We observed a strong association between the *FTO* genetic variant and dietary protein intake, and the association was consistent across subgroups stratified by study characteristics. Moreover, we found that another obesity-related genetic variant, *MC4R*-rs17782313, was not associated with dietary intake and there was a significant difference in effect sizes of the *FTO* variant and the *MC4R* variant on dietary protein intake, after adjusting for the strengths of the effects of these two genetic variants on BMI. These results clearly suggest the specificity of the *FTO* genetic variant in association with dietary protein intake, and this observed association is less likely due to measurement errors in reporting of dietary intakes which are related to obesity.

Previous animal studies have supported a role of the *FTO* in energy homeostasis, but it remains unclear whether the *FTO* primarily affects energy expenditure or food intake (38,39). Emerging evidence from animal and *in vitro* studies suggests a role of the *FTO* in protein metabolism and cellular amino acids sensing (40–42). The sensing of amino acid levels in the brain has critical impacts on hypothalamic mTOR pathways regulating food intake (43). Moreover, a very recent study suggested a link between the *FTO*, ghrelin (a key mediator of ingestive behavior), and neural responses to food cues (45). Taken together, it is possible that the *FTO* might be involved in the central sensing of dietary macronutrients composition (41) and our data from current large population studies suggest a preference for protein-rich diets by the *FTO* BMI-increasing allele carriers.

We found no evidence for dietary total energy or macronutrient intake influencing the association between the *FTO* variant and BMI. Although sample size, age, gender, BMI, dietary intake, ethnicity, geographic region, study design and measurement of dietary intake varied among the participating studies, our meta-regression indicated that these study-specific characteristics were less likely to have affected the interaction effects. There was

very little or no between-study heterogeneity in the meta-analyses of interaction effects. It is unlikely that the lack of significant interaction was due to low statistical power because we have adequate sample size to detect interactions of small magnitude ($>80\%$ power to detect gene–diet interaction effect size of 0.08 kg/m^2). Indeed, the observed interaction effects were close to null, and therefore, it is unlikely that even larger sample sizes would reveal significant interactions. Nevertheless, it is possible that the estimate of the interaction effect may be an underestimation of the true effect because of measurement error in dietary intake and heterogeneous measurement methods across studies. A previous methodology paper suggested that gene–environment interaction studies would benefit more from better measurement of environmental factors than from increasing sample size (30). Studies with repeated and more precise measurement of dietary intake are needed in future gene–diet interaction analyses. In addition, our previously reported approach using a genetic risk score based on multiple genetic variants rather than analysis of individual variants might be preferable in gene–environment interaction studies (25,26,44).

Interestingly, we observed a nominally significant interaction between dietary fat intake and *FTO* genetic variant on BMI in Asians. Besides genetic differences, we may speculate that the observed interaction specific to Asians might be related to the lower dietary fat intake in Asian populations compared with others from North America or Europe, as we also found a similar but weaker interaction in studies with a low dietary fat intake. As protein intake is relatively stable across different populations, lower fat as percentage of energy intake likely reflects higher carbohydrate intake in Asian populations. Thus, either dietary fat or carbohydrate may be involved. However, it was also difficult to tease out whether genetic differences, geographic differences in dietary intakes or both are responsible for the observations. Asians included in our analyses were all from Asia, and we did not have data for Asians living in North America or Europe. Nevertheless, considering the compelling interaction effect size of 0.30 kg/m^2 observed in Asians (approximately equivalent to the main effect of the *FTO* variant on BMI), it would be worth confirming this result in future studies with larger samples.

Major strengths of our study include the designed meta-analysis based on *de novo* analysis of both published and unpublished data, analytical consistency across studies, and a large sample size of $>177\,000$ individuals. Together with our previous interaction analysis between physical activity and *FTO* genetic variants, (24) we have demonstrated that large-scale international collaborations are feasible and useful for confirming or refuting moderate interactions between genes and diet/lifestyle.

Several limitations need to be acknowledged. The present meta-analysis was based on cross-sectional data, which limits the interpretation of observations. Although genetic variants do not change throughout the life course, dietary intake may change and obesity status and other environmental factors may influence individuals' dietary intake. As measurement errors in self-reported dietary intake data are inevitable and accurate dietary assessment remains a major challenge in the gene–diet interaction analyses, repeated measures using longitudinally collected data might be helpful in reducing measurement errors and improving the study power. Furthermore, randomized dietary intervention trials may provide reliable evidence because

the study conditions, especially the intakes of specific foods and nutrients, are prescribed, and the confounding effects are maximally reduced. Therefore, a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies or intervention trials would be required, but few studies have investigated the long-term interactions between *FTO* variants and dietary intake (46–48). In addition, we did not include data on other adiposity proxies or different types of fatty acid intake, while several studies reported interactions of *FTO* genetic variants with total fat intake on body fat mass, (49) and with saturated fat intake on BMI and risk of obesity (15,50,51). Participants included in our analysis were mostly from studies of Caucasian populations (87%), and more studies are needed in other ethnic groups such as African Americans in which different effective *FTO* variants may be involved. Finally, our current analysis did not include data from children and adolescents in which a positive association between *FTO* genetic variants and food intake has been reported previously (7–9,11,13).

In summary, our findings, based on a large-scale meta-analysis, suggest a strong association between *FTO* genetic variants and dietary protein intake, independent of its association with BMI; this is consistent with emerging insights from functional studies (41,45). The current study did not provide evidence supporting an interaction between total energy or macronutrient intake and the *FTO* genetic variant in relation to adiposity. Due to the inevitable measurement errors in self-reported data on dietary intakes, our results should be interpreted with caution. Future studies with repeated and precise measurement of dietary intakes are warranted to investigate interrelationships between the *FTO* genetic variants, dietary intakes and adiposity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

Considering the limitations of a literature-based meta-analysis, such as publication bias and inconsistency in statistical methods, exposures and outcomes across individual studies, (52) we designed a meta-analysis based on *de novo* analyses of data conducted according to a standardized analytical plan (see details in Statistical analysis). We identified 45 eligible studies with data on *FTO* genotype and dietary intake through PubMed and through the network of collaborators who have joined our previous meta-analysis on the interaction between the *FTO* variant and physical activity in relation to BMI and obesity (24). A standardized analytical plan was sent to 40 studies that agreed to participate in the meta-analysis. Analyses according to our standardized plan were performed by each study locally, and detailed summary statistics were subsequently collected using our standardized data collection form.

Study participants

Our meta-analysis included cross-sectional data on 177 330 adults (62 275 men and 115 055 women; 154 439 Whites, 5776 African Americans and 17 115 Asians) from 40 studies (21 from Europe, 10 from North America and 9 from Asia) (Supplementary Material, Table S9).

Measurement of BMI and dietary intake

BMI was calculated as body weight (kg)/height² (m²). Body weight and height were measured in 37 studies, and three studies used validated, self-reported data (Supplementary Material, Table S10). Dietary intakes (total energy, protein, carbohydrate and fat) were assessed using an FFQ (33 studies), dietary record (three studies), both FFQ and dietary record (two studies) or diet recall over a 1-month period (two studies) (Supplementary Material, Table S10). All the studies used data on height and weight measured within 1 year of dietary intake assessment.

Genotyping

The *FTO*-rs9939609 SNP or a proxy (linkage disequilibrium [LD] $r^2 > 0.8$ in the corresponding ethnic group) and the *MC4R*-rs17782313 SNP or a proxy were genotyped using direct genotyping methods or Affymetrix and Illumina genome-wide genotyping arrays or imputed using MACH (<http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/MACH/>) or IMPUTE software (<https://mathgen.stats.ox.ac.uk/impute/impute.html>) with a high imputation quality ($r^2 > 0.99$) (Supplementary Material, Table S11 and S12). The studies provided summary statistics based on data that met their quality control criteria for genotyping call rate, concordance in duplicate samples and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium *P*-value.

Statistical analysis

Each participating study analyzed the data according to our standardized plan described below. We used linear regression model to test (i) the difference in BMI between the low and high dietary intake groups (dichotomized at median of respective dietary intake variable) and (ii) the associations of the *FTO* variant with BMI, total energy intake and absolute intakes (g/day) and relative intakes (expressed as the percentage of total energy) of fat, protein and carbohydrate, adjusted for age, geographic regions (if available), physical activity (if available) and eigenvectors (GWAS data only). We additionally adjusted for BMI when evaluating the association between the *FTO* variant and dietary intake. The association between the *FTO* variant and BMI was also tested stratified by low and high dietary intake groups. Interactions between the *FTO* variant and dietary intake on BMI were tested by including the respective interaction terms in the models (e.g. interaction term = rs9939609 SNP × total energy intake [dichotomized at the medians]). All the analyses were conducted in men and women separately, except for two family studies that combined the data from men and women. In studies with multiple ethnicities, each ethnicity was analyzed separately. In case–control studies, cases and controls were analyzed separately.

We pooled β -coefficients and standard errors from individual studies using Mantel and Haenszel fixed effects as well as the DerSimonian and Laird random effects meta-analysis methods in Stata, version 12 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). Between-study heterogeneity was tested by Cochran's *Q* statistic and quantified by the I^2 value. Low heterogeneity was defined as an I^2 value of 0–25%, moderate heterogeneity as an I^2 of 25–75% and high heterogeneity as an I^2 of 75%–100%. *P* for heterogeneity was derived from a χ^2 test.

We also performed meta-regression analyses to explore sources of heterogeneity in our meta-analyses. Meta-regression included the following study-specific variables as covariates: study sample size, mean age (or age group <60 versus ≥60 years), mean BMI, median of dietary intake, gender, ethnicity (White, African American and Asian), geographic region (North America, Europe and Asia), study design (population- or family-based versus case–control), dietary intake measurement method (FFQ versus dietary record or other) and adjustment for physical activity (yes versus no). Stratified meta-analyses were performed in subgroups according to these covariates.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Material is available at *HMG* online.

Conflict of Interest statement. None declared.

FUNDING

Funding sources for the individual studies included in this work are listed in Supplementary Material.

REFERENCES

1. Finucane, M.M., Stevens, G.A., Cowan, M.J., Danaei, G., Lin, J.K., Paciorek, C.J., Singh, G.M., Gutierrez, H.R., Lu, Y., Bahalim, A.N. *et al.* (2011) National, regional, and global trends in body-mass index since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 960 country-years and 9.1 million participants. *The Lancet*, **377**, 557–567.
2. Speliotes, E.K., Willer, C.J., Berndt, S.I., Monda, K.L., Thorleifsson, G., Jackson, A.U., Allen, H.L., Lindgren, C.M., Luan, J.a., Magi, R. *et al.* (2010) Association analyses of 249,796 individuals reveal 18 new loci associated with body mass index. *Nat. Genet.*, **42**, 937–948.
3. Scuteri, A., Sanna, S., Chen, W.-M., Uda, M., Albai, G., Strait, J., Najjar, S., Nagaraja, R., Orrù, M., Usala, G. *et al.* (2007) Genome-wide association scan shows genetic variants in the *FTO* gene are associated with obesity-related traits. *PLoS Genet.*, **3**, e115.
4. Frayling, T.M., Timpson, N.J., Weedon, M.N., Zeggini, E., Freathy, R.M., Lindgren, C.M., Perry, J.R.B., Elliott, K.S., Lango, H., Rayner, N.W. *et al.* (2007) A common variant in the *FTO* gene is associated with body mass index and predisposes to childhood and adult obesity. *Science*, **316**, 889–894.
5. Berthoud, H.R. and Morrison, C. (2008) The brain, appetite, and obesity. *Ann. Rev. Psychol.*, **59**, 55–92.
6. Hofker, M. and Wijmenga, C. (2009) A supersized list of obesity genes. *Nat. Genet.*, **41**, 139–140.
7. Cecil, J.E., Tavendale, R., Watt, P., Hetherington, M.M. and Palmer, C.N.A. (2008) An obesity-associated *FTO* gene variant and increased energy intake in children. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, **359**, 2558–2566.
8. Timpson, N.J., Emmett, P.M., Frayling, T.M., Rogers, I., Hattersley, A.T., McCarthy, M.I. and Davey Smith, G. (2008) The fat mass- and obesity-associated locus and dietary intake in children. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, **88**, 971–978.
9. Wardle, J., Llewellyn, C., Sanderson, S. and Plomin, R. (2008) The *FTO* gene and measured food intake in children. *Int. J. Obes.*, **33**, 42–45.
10. Haupt, A., Thamer, C., Staiger, H., Tschritter, O., Kirchhoff, K., Machicao, F., Häring, H.U., Stefan, N. and Fritsche, A. (2009) Variation in the *FTO* gene influences food intake but not energy expenditure. *Exp. Clin. Endocrinol. Diabetes*, **117**, 194–197.
11. Lee, H.J., Kim, I.K., Kang, J.H., Ahn, Y., Han, B.G., Lee, J.Y. and Song, J. (2010) Effects of common *FTO* gene variants associated with BMI on dietary intake and physical activity in Koreans. *Clin. Chim. Acta*, **411**, 1716–1722.
12. Sonestedt, E., Roos, C., Gullberg, B., Ericson, U., Wirfält, E. and Orho-Melander, M. (2009) Fat and carbohydrate intake modify the

- association between genetic variation in the FTO genotype and obesity. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, **90**, 1418–1425.
13. Tanofsky-Kraff, M., Han, J.C., Anandalingam, K., Shomaker, L.B., Columbo, K.M., Wolkoff, L.E., Kozlosky, M., Elliott, C., Ranzenhofer, L.M., Roza, C.A. *et al.* (2009) The FTO gene rs9939609 obesity-risk allele and loss of control over eating. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, **90**, 1483–1488.
 14. Bauer, F., Elbers, C.C., Adan, R.A.H., Loos, R.J.F., Onland-Moret, N.C., Grobbee, D.E., van Vliet-Ostapchouk, J.V., Wijmenga, C. and van der Schouw, Y.T. (2009) Obesity genes identified in genome-wide association studies are associated with adiposity measures and potentially with nutrient-specific food preference. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, **90**, 951–959.
 15. Corella, D., Arnett, D.K., Tucker, K.L., Kabagambe, E.K., Tsai, M., Parnell, L.D., Lai, C.Q., Lee, Y.C., Warodomwicht, D., Hopkins, P.N. *et al.* (2011) A high intake of saturated fatty acids strengthens the association between the fat mass and obesity-associated gene and BMI. *J. Nutr.*, **141**, 2219–2225.
 16. Do, R., Bailey, S.D., Desbiens, K., Belisle, A., Montpetit, A., Bouchard, C., Pérusse, L., Vohl, M.C. and Engert, J.C. (2008) Genetic variants of FTO influence adiposity, insulin sensitivity, leptin levels, and resting metabolic rate in the Quebec Family Study. *Diabetes*, **57**, 1147–1150.
 17. Hakanen, M., Raitakari, O.T., Lehtimäki, T., Peltonen, N., Pakkala, K., Sillanmaki, L., Lagstrom, H., Viikari, J., Simell, O. and Ronnema, T. (2009) FTO genotype is associated with body mass index after the age of seven years but not with energy intake or leisure-time physical activity. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*, **94**, 1281–1287.
 18. Hasselbalch, A.L., Angquist, L., Christiansen, L., Heitmann, B.L., Kyvik, K.O. and Sorensen, T.I. (2010) A variant in the fat mass and obesity-associated gene (FTO) and variants near the melanocortin-4 receptor gene (MC4R) do not influence dietary intake. *J. Nutr.*, **140**, 831–834.
 19. Holzapfel, C., Grallert, H., Huth, C., Wahl, S., Fischer, B., Doring, A., Ruckert, I.M., Hinney, A., Hebebrand, J., Wichmann, H.E. *et al.* (2010) Genes and lifestyle factors in obesity: results from 12,462 subjects from MONICA/KORA. *Int. J. Obes.*, **34**, 1538–1545.
 20. Hubacek, J.A., Pikhart, H., Peasey, A., Kubinova, R. and Bobak, M. (2011) FTO variant, energy intake, physical activity and basal metabolic rate in Caucasians. The HAPIEE study. *Physiol. Res.*, **60**, 175–183.
 21. Jonassaint, C.R., Szatkiewicz, J.P., Bulik, C.M., Thornton, L.M., Bloss, C., Berrettini, W.H., Kaye, W.H., Bergen, A.W., Magistretti, P., Strober, M. *et al.* (2011) Absence of association between specific common variants of the obesity-related FTO gene and psychological and behavioral eating disorder phenotypes. *Am. J. Med. Genet. B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.*, **156B**, 454–461.
 22. Karasawa, S., Daimon, M., Sasaki, S., Toriyama, S., Oizumi, T., Susa, S., Kameda, W., Wada, K., Muramatsu, M., Fukao, A. *et al.* (2010) Association of the common fat mass and obesity associated (FTO) gene polymorphism with obesity in a Japanese population. *Endocr. J.*, **57**, 293–301.
 23. Liu, G., Zhu, H., Lagou, V., Gutin, B., Stallmann-Jorgensen, I., Treiber, F., Dong, Y. and Snieder, H. (2010) FTO variant rs9939609 is associated with body mass index and waist circumference, but not with energy intake or physical activity in European- and African-American youth. *BMC. Med. Genet.*, **11**, 57.
 24. Kilpeläinen, T.O., Qi, L., Brage, S., Sharp, S.J., Sonestedt, E., Demerath, E., Ahmad, T., Mora, S., Kaakinen, M., Sandholt, C.H. *et al.* (2011) Physical activity attenuates the influence of FTO variants on obesity risk: a meta-analysis of 218,166 adults and 19,268 children. *PLoS Med.*, **8**, e1001116.
 25. Qi, Q., Chu, A.Y., Kang, J.H., Jensen, M.K., Curhan, G.C., Pasquale, L.R., Ridker, P.M., Hunter, D.J., Willett, W.C., Rimm, E.B. *et al.* (2012) Sugar-sweetened beverages and genetic risk of obesity. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, **367**, 1387–1396.
 26. Qi, Q., Li, Y., Chomistek, A.K., Kang, J.H., Curhan, G.C., Pasquale, L.R., Willett, W.C., Rimm, E.B., Hu, F.B. and Qi, L. (2012) Television watching, leisure time physical activity, and the genetic predisposition in relation to body mass index in women and men. *Circulation*, **126**, 1821–1827.
 27. Yang, J., Loos, R.J.F., Powell, J.E., Medland, S.E., Speliotes, E.K., Chasman, D.I., Rose, L.M., Thorleifsson, G., Steinthorsdottir, V., Magi, R. *et al.* (2012) FTO genotype is associated with phenotypic variability of body mass index. *Nature*, **490**, 267–272.
 28. Ahmad, T., Lee, I.M., Paré, G., Chasman, D.I., Rose, L., Ridker, P.M. and Mora, S. (2011) Lifestyle Interaction with fat mass and obesity-associated (FTO) genotype and risk of obesity in apparently healthy U.S. women. *Diabetes Care*, **34**, 675–680.
 29. Taylor, A.E., Sandeep, M.N., Janipalli, C.S., Giambartolomei, C., Evans, D.M., Kranthi Kumar, M.V., Vinay, D.G., Smitha, P., Gupta, V., Aruna, M. *et al.* (2011) Associations of FTO and MC4R variants with obesity traits in Indians and the role of rural/urban environment as a possible effect modifier. *J. Obes.*, **2011**, 307542.
 30. Wong, M.Y., Day, N.E., Luan, J.A., Chan, K.P. and Wareham, N.J. (2003) The detection of gene-environment interaction for continuous traits: should we deal with measurement error by bigger studies or better measurement?. *Int. J. Epidemiol.*, **32**, 51–57.
 31. Wing, M.R., Ziegler, J.M., Langefeld, C.D., Roh, B.H., Palmer, N.D., Mayer-Davis, E.J., Rewers, M.J., Haffner, S.M., Wagenknecht, L.E. and Bowden, D.W. (2011) Analysis of FTO gene variants with obesity and glucose homeostasis measures in the multiethnic Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study cohort. *Int. J. Obes.*, **35**, 1173–1182.
 32. Hassanein, M.T., Lyon, H.N., Nguyen, T.T., Akyzbekova, E.L., Waters, K., Lettre, G., Tayo, B., Forrester, T., Sarpong, D.F., Stram, D.O. *et al.* (2010) Fine mapping of the association with obesity at the FTO locus in African-derived populations. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **19**, 2907–2916.
 33. Grant, S.F.A., Li, M., Bradfield, J.P., Kim, C.E., Annaiah, K., Santa, E., Glessner, J.T., Casalunovo, T., Frackelton, E.C., Otieno, F.G. *et al.* (2008) Association analysis of the FTO gene with obesity in children of Caucasian and African ancestry reveals a common tagging SNP. *PLoS ONE*, **3**, e1746.
 34. Bollepalli, S., Dolan, L.M., Deka, R. and Martin, L.J. (2010) Association of FTO gene variants with adiposity in African-American adolescents. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*, **18**, 1959–1963.
 35. Peters, U., North, K.E., Sethupathy, P., Buyske, S., Haessler, J., Jiao, S., Fesinmeyer, M.D., Jackson, R.D., Kuller, L.H., Rajkovic, A. *et al.* (2013) A Systematic mapping approach of 16q12.2/FTO and BMI in more than 20,000 African Americans narrows in on the underlying functional variation: results from the Population Architecture using Genomics and Epidemiology (PAGE) Study. *PLoS Genet.*, **9**, e1003171.
 36. Poslusna, K., Ruprich, J., de Vries, J.H.M., Jakubikova, M. and van't Veer, P. (2009) Misreporting of energy and micronutrient intake estimated by food records and 24-hour recalls, control and adjustment methods in practice. *Br. J. Nutr.*, **101**, S73–S85.
 37. Lissner, L. (2002) Measuring food intake in studies of obesity. *Public Health Nutr.*, **5**, 889–892.
 38. Fischer, J., Koch, L., Emmerling, C., Vierkotten, J., Peters, T., Bruning, J.C. and Ruther, U. (2009) Inactivation of the Fto gene protects from obesity. *Nature*, **458**, 894–898.
 39. Church, C., Moir, L., McMurray, F., Girard, C., Banks, G.T., Teboul, L., Wells, S., Bruning, J.C., Nolan, P.M., Ashcroft, F.M. *et al.* (2010) Overexpression of Fto leads to increased food intake and results in obesity. *Nat. Genet.*, **42**, 1086–1092.
 40. Cheung, M.K., Gulati, P., O'Rahilly, S. and Yeo, G.S.H. (2013) FTO expression is regulated by availability of essential amino acids. *Int. J. Obes.*, **37**, 744–777.
 41. Gulati, P., Cheung, M.K., Antrobus, R., Church, C.D., Harding, H.P., Tung, Y.C.L., Rimmington, D., Ma, M., Ron, D., Lehner, P.J. *et al.* (2013) Role for the obesity-related FTO gene in the cellular sensing of amino acids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **110**, 2257–2262.
 42. McMurray, F., Church, C.D., Larder, R., Nicholson, G., Wells, S., Teboul, L., Tung, Y.C.L., Rimmington, D., Bosch, F., Jimenez, V. *et al.* (2013) Adult onset global loss of the Fto gene alters body composition and metabolism in the mouse. *PLoS Genet.*, **9**, e1003166.
 43. Cota, D., Proulx, K., Smith, K.A.B., Kozma, S.C., Thomas, G., Woods, S.C. and Seeley, R.J. (2006) Hypothalamic mTOR signaling regulates food intake. *Science*, **312**, 927–930.
 44. Li, S., Zhao, J.H., Luan, J.A., Ekelund, U., Luben, R.N., Khaw, K.T., Wareham, N.J. and Loos, R.J.F. (2010) Physical activity attenuates the genetic predisposition to obesity in 20,000 men and women from EPIC-Norfolk Prospective Population Study. *PLoS Med.*, **7**, e1000332.
 45. Karra, E., O'Daly, O.G., Choudhury, A.I., Youssef, A., Millership, S., Neary, M.T., Scott, W.R., Chandarana, K., Manning, S., Hess, M.E. *et al.* (2013) A link between FTO, ghrelin, and impaired brain food-cue responsiveness. *J. Clin. Invest.*, **123**, 3539–3551.
 46. Grau, K., Hansen, T., Holst, C., Astrup, A., Saris, W.H.M., Arner, P., Rossner, S., Macdonald, I., Polak, J., Oppert, J.M. *et al.* (2009) Macronutrient-specific effect of FTO rs9939609 in response to a 10-week randomized hypo-energetic diet among obese Europeans. *Int. J. Obes.*, **33**, 1227–1234.
 47. Zhang, X., Qi, Q., Zhang, C., Hu, F.B., Sacks, F.M. and Qi, L. (2012) FTO genotype and 2-year change in body composition and fat distribution in response to weight-loss diets: the POUNDS LOST Trial. *Diabetes*, **61**, 3005–3011.

48. Vimalaswaran, K.S., Ångquist, L., Hansen, R.D., Van der A, D.L., Bouatia-Naji, N., Holst, C., Tjønneland, A., Overvad, K., Jakobsen, M.U., Boeing, H. *et al.* (2012) Association between fto variant and change in body weight and its interaction with dietary factors: the DiOGenes Study. *Obesity*, **20**, 1669–1674.
49. Sonestedt, E., Gullberg, B., Ericson, U., Wirfält, E., Hedblad, B. and Orholm-Melander, M. (2011) Association between fat intake, physical activity and mortality depending on genetic variation in FTO. *Int. J. Obes.*, **35**, 1041–1049.
50. Phillips, C.M., Kesse-Guyot, E., McManus, R., Hercberg, S., Lairon, D., Planells, R. and Roche, H.M. (2012) High dietary saturated fat intake accentuates obesity risk associated with the fat mass and obesity-associated gene in adults. *J. Nutr.*, **142**, 824–831.
51. Moleres, A., Ochoa, M.C., Rendo-Urteaga, T., Martínez-González, M.A., Azcona San Julián, M.C., Martínez, J.A. and Martí, A. (2012) Dietary fatty acid distribution modifies obesity risk linked to the rs9939609 polymorphism of the fat mass and obesity-associated gene in a Spanish case-control study of children. *Br. J. Nutr.*, **107**, 533–538.
52. Palla, L., Higgins, J.P.T., Wareham, N.J. and Sharp, S.J. (2010) Challenges in the use of literature-based meta-analysis to examine gene-environment interactions. *Am. J. Epidemiol.*, **171**, 1225–1232.