1	Surveys of organic gunshot residue prevalence: comparison
2	between civilian and police populations
3	
4	Manuela Manganelli <sup>a,b</sup> , Céline Weyermann <sup>a</sup> and Anne-Laure Gassner <sup>a</sup>
5	
-	
6	
7	<sup>a</sup> Ecole des Sciences Criminelles, University of Lausanne, Batochime, 1015 Lausanne,
8	Switzerland
9	<sup>b</sup> Kantonspolizei Aargau, Polizeikommando, 5000 Aarau, Switzerland
10	
11	
12	Abstract
13	
14	This study investigated the prevalence of eight OGSR compounds in a civilian and a police
15	population. Specimens were collected from the hands and sleeves of 122 civilians and 115 individuals
16	working in police services using carbon stubs. Data was acquired using liquid chromatography
17	coupled to tandem mass spectrometry.
18	Results indicated a non-negligible prevalence in the civilian sample, with 18% of the 122 civilians
19	sampled having one or more OGSR related compounds on their hands and 11.5% on their wrists or
20	sleeves. For the police population, the prevalence was logically higher than for civilians, with 36.5%
21	of the hand specimens and 33% of the wrist specimens positive for one or more compounds. A higher
22	prevalence was expected for the second population due to the possession of service weapons regularly
23	used during shooting exercises. These results demonstrate that the presence of one OGSR compound
24	is not a rare occurrence, even in a civilian population. Considering the results of this research together
25	with information on alternative sources of the targeted OGSR compounds can serve as a basis for
26	OGSR interpretation in casework.
27	
28	Keywords: Forensic science, firearms, firearm discharge residue, contamination
29	

#### 30 1. INTRODUCTION

According to the Small Arms Survey, the estimated number of firearms all over the world, licit and 31 32 illicit, might exceed one billion [1]. They are divided between firearms held by civilians (84.6%), state 33 militaries (13.1%) and law enforcement agencies (2.2%). There proportions are highly country-34 dependent due to various policies on firearms, crime rates and ongoing armed conflicts. Incidents 35 involving firearms are usually investigated by forensic science services. Gunshot residues (GSR) 36 produced during a firearm discharge can be detected and analysed in casework to evaluate if a person 37 has been involved in a shooting incident [2]. During a discharge, GSR are ejected from all firearm 38 openings and deposited onto the shooter and various surfaces in close proximity. They originate from 39 the primer and propellant, as well as other firearm and ammunition components such as metals (from 40 the bullet, cartridge case or gun barrel) and lubricant [3, 4]. GSR are classified into primer residues, also called inorganic GSR (IGSR), and propellant residues that will be referred to as organic GSR 41 (OGSR) [2]. It is important to note that even though IGSR are called primer residues, their chemical 42 43 composition is not limited to that of the primer, but contributions from other metallic surfaces involved in the discharge or to bluing products used to protect firearms from corrosion [5] have been 44 45 observed. The analysis of IGSR is routinely performed by scanning electron microscopy coupled to energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX) [2], whereas OGSR usually remain unexploited in 46 47 routine analyses.

GSR can be transferred primarily to the shooter, but also to their clothing, a bystander, the victim or 48 any object or surface in close proximity. The amount of OGSR transferred will depend on the 49 50 ammunition (composition, combustion efficiency) and firearm (model, memory effect from previous ammunition, lubricant) used. The number of cartridges shot might also have an influence. Moreover, 51 52 GSR might be further transferred to other surfaces and individuals through processes called secondary 53 transfer and tertiary transfer. Thus, it might be possible to detect GSR on an individual not involved in 54 any firearm discharge. As indicated in the literature, such events are not negligible and should be 55 considered when interpreting GSR traces [6-10]. Therefore, it is essential to estimate the chances of an 56 individual testing positive for GSR without being involved in a firearm incident.

57 To date, the prevalence of IGSR has been investigated in various populations. Lucas et al. studied the 58 occurrence of IGSR in a random population of 289 Australians [11]. Their results showed an overall 59 prevalence of 0.3% for characteristic PbBaSb particles, 8% for PbSb and about 7% for single Pb, Ba or Sb particles. In Poland, Brozek-Mucha studied a population of 100 non-shooters and 50 shooters 60 61 [9]. Only one PbBaSb particle was detected among individuals who had no contact with firearms, whereas the numerous particles found among shooters showed a strong correlation with the time 62 elapsed since the last shooting session. The prevalence of IGSR was also investigated among police 63 officers. Gialamas et al. found 3 specimens with one PbBaSb particle in a population of 43 non-64 shooting police officers in California [12]. In Canada, Gerard et al. detected at least one PbBaSb 65 particle on the hands of 60% of patrol and plainclothes officers (66 individuals) and on 24% of their 66

equipment [13]. In the same study, no IGSR particles were found on the 28 civilians working in a 67 police environment and only 2 of the 18 vehicles sampled had one characteristic GSR particle. In 68 Australia, Cook sampled 33 police officers immediately after the start-of-shift handling of their 69 70 firearm and 28 had PbBaSb particles on their hands, with an average of 64 such particles [14]. Another study by Lindsay et al. targeted 13 employees of firearm manufactures [15]. PbBaSb particles were 71 72 found on nine of the employees, and no more than two characteristic particles were found on the hands 73 of the five individuals who had no direct contact with firearms or items that had been in the firing 74 range. For the other four employees, the number of particles ranged from nine to 424. In the United 75 States, Berk et al. investigated police vehicles and detention facilities in Chicago [16]. A total of 201 76 specimens were analysed and a total of 56 PbBaSb particles were found in 23 specimens. Only two 77 vehicles had one particle. The other particles were recovered from detention facilities with a maximum 78 of seven particles collected from a table surface and restraining bars. Finally, in Ireland, Hannigan et 79 al. collected 100 upper body garments submitted to a forensic laboratory that were not related to a 80 firearm offence [17]. 98% of the specimens collected from the cuffs were negative: up to two PbBaSb particles were detected on two garments. The aforementioned studies showed that prevalence can 81 82 considerably differ depending on the targeted population, people and objects. The items/people 83 directly in contact with firearms generally presented the largest prevalence.

In the case of IGSR, the elemental composition, the morphology and the composition of the entire 84 85 particle population of the specimen are considered by the analyst [18]. However, due to the common 86 use of bulk analytical techniques such as micellar electrokinetic capillary electrophoresis (MEKC) 87 [19-22], gas chromatography (GC) [23-25] or liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry 88 (LC-MS) [26-30], the sole overall specimen composition can be obtained for OGSR. A positive result 89 is based on the detection of an OGSR compound, regardless of its source. Hence, the knowledge of potential alternative sources of OGSR compounds is a prerequisite for the interpretation of that trace. 90 91 Currently, there is only limited or obsolete information regarding alternative sources, leading to a lack 92 of precise definition of which OGSR compounds are the most characteristic [31]. However, if a sufficient amount of data is collected, prevalence studies might also help in identifying alternative 93 sources. Few prevalence researches have been published concerning OGSR. Various explosive 94 95 background studies including the detection of nitroglycerine (NG) and dinitrotoluenes (DNT), which 96 are also major components of smokeless powders, indicate a low prevalence of these compounds in public places [32-34]. Regarding OGSR prevalence, the hands of 100 volunteers were sampled in the 97 United States and no specimen tested positive using MEKC [19]. Another study based on a sample of 98 99 73 people including law enforcement personnel of Morgantown, West Virginia (USA), showed less than 5% of positive results for the specimens analysed by ion-mobility spectrometry (IMS) [35]. The 100 101 authors used neural networks and the results were based on pattern matching. Thus, the proportion of 102 positive results depended on the threshold defined by the user. In that study, when a likelihood ratio of

10:1 was used, the frequency of positives dropped under 2%. In Switzerland, a preliminary study 103 concerning 27 people detected no positive specimen using LC-MS and also concluded that OGSR 104 occurrence was rare [36]. Furthermore, as suspects might be contaminated during an arrest, 105 106 transportation in a police car or detention, it is important to estimate the level of contamination of 107 police officers and premises. Hofstetter et al. collected specimens from 25 individuals working in a forensic laboratory [36], only two positive results were observed. Ali et al. [37] collected seventy 108 109 specimens from four Pittsburgh police stations and vehicles and analysed both IGSR and OGSR. Only 110 one characteristic IGSR particle was detected, whereas ethylcentralite (EC) was the only organic compound quantified in four specimens. Altogether, even though the aforementioned studies indicate a 111 112 rather low prevalence, the amount of prevalence data for OGSR molecules required to interpret that 113 trace evidence remains very limited. More studies using sensitive instrumental techniques and a higher number of specimens need to be carried out. 114

In order to gain more knowledge about the prevalence of OGSR in Switzerland, the present work investigated the detection of eight OGSR compounds in two populations to provide useful information for the assessment of OGSR evidence. Specimens were collected from 122 civilians and 115 individuals working in a police environment. Collection was performed using carbon stubs and data was acquired using LC-MS/MS with electrospray ionisation in positive mode. The results obtained from both populations were compared and discussed in a forensic perspective.

121

### 122 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 123 **2.1 Target populations**

124 Specimens were collected from two populations. The first one is a so called *civilian population* 125 composed of 122 volunteers with no or limited contact with firearms. All of them completed a questionnaire evaluating their firearm exposure. Data such as occupation, gender, hunting/military 126 127 activity and firearm ownership (model) were collected. If volunteers indicated ownership or use of a firearm, data regarding the ammunition type, the frequency of use, the date of the last shooting session 128 129 and the number of cartridges discharged was also collected. Finally, they were also asked about the last time they washed their hands and whether they thought there was a reason they would test positive 130 131 for OGSR.

- The second survey targeted a *police population*. This included police officers, police recruits as well as scientific collaborators working in three regional Swiss police services. 115 individuals were sampled and filled in a questionnaire similar to the one submitted to the civilian population, with an additional question included regarding the use of a firearm outside the purpose of their profession.
- 136

# 137 **2.2 Specimen collection and preparation**

Specimens were collected using carbon stubs from Plano (Wetzlar, Germany), consisting of an 138 adhesive carbon tab 12 mm in diameter mounted on a 12.5 mm aluminium stub. This assembly was 139 140 inserted in a plastic vial with a screwed cap. Two stubs were used for each participant, one for their 141 hands and the other for their wrists or the cuffs of their sleeves (depending whether they wore a short 142 or long-sleeved shirt). Following recommendations from Zeichner et al. [38], the stubs were dabbed about 100 times on the skin and 200 times on the sleeves. For the hands, sample collection was first 143 144 performed on the thumb-index region and then on the back and palm. To minimize contaminations, the person in charge of specimen collection wore gloves and changed them after each participant's 145 sampling. It must be noted that 50 specimens (civilian population) were auto-collected, that is to say 146 147 that the participants were given a sampling kit to stub themselves. Prior to that, a presentation 148 explaining the goal of the study and the sampling procedure was given.

149

To extract the compounds present in the specimens, the carbon adhesive was removed from the stub with clean tweezers and transferred to a 20 mL scintillation vial containing 1 mL MeOH. After 15 minutes ultrasonication at room temperature, the resulting solution was filtered through a 0.2 μm Chromafil PTFE syringe filter (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) to remove carbon particles. To detect potential laboratory contamination during specimen preparation, methanol blanks were prepared before and after each extraction session. Likewise, a blank carbon tab was extracted to check for potential contamination of the stub batch. For all these control samples, no OGSR were detected.

157

#### 158 2.3 Chemicals

Acetonitrile, methanol, formic acid (FA) and water were of ULC–MS grade (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs,
Switzerland). The study targeted eight OGSR compounds: diphenylamine (DPA) from Fluka (Buchs,
Switzerland); ethylcentralite (EC), *N*-nitrosodiphenylamine (*N*-nDPA), 4-nitrodiphenylamine (4nDPA), akardite II (AK II) and *N*,*N*-diphenylformamide (*N*,*N*-DPF) from Sigma–Aldrich (Buchs,
Switzerland); 2-nitrodiphenylamine (2-nDPA) from Alfa Aesar (Karlsruhe, Germany);
methylcentralite (MC) from MP Biomedicals (Illkirch, France). Standard solutions at 1 mg/mL were
prepared in MeOH and stored at 4°C.

166

#### 167 **2.4 Instrumentation**

168 The specimens were analysed using an Agilent Infinity 1290 ultra-high performance liquid 169 chromatography (UHPLC) from Agilent Technologies. The instrument was equipped with a binary 170 pump enabling a maximum delivery flow rate of 5 mL/min, an autosampler, and a thermostatically 171 controlled column compartment. Separation was performed using a C18 Kinetex core-shell column 172 from Phenomenex ( $2.6 \mu m$ ,  $2.1 mm \times 100 mm$ ). A SecurityGuard ULTRA cartridge with C18 173 selectivity was used to protect the analytical column. The UHPLC system was coupled to a triple 174 quadrupole mass spectrometer (5500 QTrap) from AB Sciex. Electrospray ionization was operated in

- positive mode. [M+H]<sup>+</sup> was defined as the precursor ion for each of the target compounds, and quantification was obtained from the SRM measurements. The source parameters were as follows: the desolvation temperature was set to 500°C, the nebulizer gas to 60 psig, the turbo gas to 50 psig and the curtain gas to 25 psig. The IonSpray voltage was adjusted to 5500 V. Data acquisition, treatment and instrument control were monitored using Analyst software. Details of the LC method, MS/MS parameters and limits of detection (LOD) can be found in Tables 1 and 2.
- 181

# **182 Table 1:** LC parameters [30]

Column type	C18 (2.6 μm, 2.1 mm × 100 mm),				
Column temperature	40 °C				
Flow rate	0.25 mL/min				
Injection volume	5 μL				
Gradient table	t / min	% A	% B		
		H <sub>2</sub> O+0.1%FA	ACN+0.1%FA		
	0	65	35		
	0.5	65	35		
	6	20	80		
	7	0	100		

183

# **Table 2:** MS parameters [30]

	SRM transitions	LOD [ng/mL]	Declustering potential [V]	Collision energy [V]
Akardite II (AK II)	$227.1 \rightarrow 170.1$ $227.1 \rightarrow 91.9$	0.01	120	27 36
Methylcentralite (MC)	$241.2 \rightarrow 134.1$ $241.2 \rightarrow 105.9$	0.01	125	24 36
<i>N,N-</i> diphenylformamide ( <i>N,N-</i> <b>DPF</b> )	$198.1 \rightarrow 92$ $198.1 \rightarrow 65$	0.02	130	30 54
Ethylcentralite (EC)	$269.2 \rightarrow 147.9$ $269.2 \rightarrow 120$	0.01	120	20 33
2-nitrodiphenylamine (2-nDPA)	$215.1 \rightarrow 197$ $215.1 \rightarrow 180.1$	0.02	80	14 23
4-nitrodiphenylamine (4-nDPA)	$215.1 \rightarrow 197.8$ $215.1 \rightarrow 167.1$	0.02	60	18 47
Diphenylamine (DPA)	$170.1 \rightarrow 93$ $170.1 \rightarrow 66$	0.5	200	32 58
<i>N-</i> nitrosodiphenylamine ( <i>N</i> -nitrosoDPA)	$199.1 \rightarrow 169$ $199.1 \rightarrow 66$	0.02	60	15 30

185

Semi-quantitative data were obtained from a calibration curve (11 levels, 2 replicates) measured for
each sequence of experiments with levels ranging between the LOD and 10 ng/ml, except for DPA
with a 100 ng/mL highest level.

### 190 3. <u>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</u>

### **3.1 Civilian population**

The civilian population consisted of 122 participants. The questionnaires were used to extract 192 information relative to the occupations of the participants and to evaluate their potential exposure to 193 GSR in order to help interpret positive results. Among the 122 individuals, the gender was equally 194 distributed with 61 men and 61 women. 68 students were sampled, representing 55.7% of the whole 195 196 dataset. The majority of the participants (101 people) did not possess a firearm (Fig. 1a), while 11 had 197 a military rifle, 4 owned a pistol (9 mm calibre), 4 owned more than one firearm. Two people did not 198 answer that question. The frequency of firearm use (Fig. 1b) was divided into three categories: 199 "never", "rarely" (people who shoot at least once a year) and "regularly" (those who shoot at least once a month). It was observed that less than 5% of the individuals regularly used firearms. Only one 200 201 individual reported discharging a firearm in the two weeks before specimen collection. It must be 202 emphasized that this participant was sampled only ten minutes after the last shooting practice, did not 203 wash his hands after shooting, and as expected, tested positive for OGSR. Of the 82.8% of the 204 individuals who did not own a firearm, 73.8% of them reported having never shot. Finally, the participants were asked if they thought they might be contaminated. Seven individuals answered 205 positively. Their explanations for potential contamination were the following: cleaning, manipulating 206 or discharging a firearm (four participants), visiting premises contaminated with GSR (two 207 participants) and installing a stand in the boot of a police vehicle (one participant). 208



210

#### b) Firearm use frequency





212 Figure 1: a) Firearm possession and b) Frequency of firearm use in the population of 122 civilians

213

Among the 122 individuals sampled in this study, only a minority tested positive for OGSR (Fig. 2a). 214 215 For the hand specimens, 100 individuals (82%) were free from OGSR, 18 individuals (14.8%) had one 216 OGSR compound, three individuals (2.5%) had two compounds and only one individual had six 217 compounds on their hands. Comparable results were found for the sleeve specimens with slightly 218 fewer participants testing positive. In fact, 108 individuals (88.5%) showed no OGSR, while 12 people (9.8%) had one compound, and two people had two and four compounds respectively. It is interesting 219 to note that the hand and sleeve specimens with the highest number of compounds were not collected 220 from the same person. When considering the hands and sleeves together, a higher percentage of people 221 222 were contaminated with at least one compound (Fig. 2a, green bars). Thus, it might be interesting to 223 collect specimens from other surfaces than hands as hands are generally washed much more frequently 224 than the face and hair, for example [36, 39]).







228

Figure 2: Prevalence in the civilian population: a) Prevalence versus number of compounds detected. The "combined" bar
 considers the total number of compounds detected on both hands and sleeves irrespective of potential compound duplication.
 For example, if DPA was detected on the hands and the same compound was detected on the sleeves, two compounds were
 counted. b) Number of positive specimens for each analyte.

Regarding the number of positive results per compound (Fig. 2b), it can be seen that AK II (47% and 44% of positive results for hands and sleeves respectively) and 4-nDPA (30% and 39% of positive results) were the most frequently detected compounds. Other DPA derivatives and centralites were rarely detected and *N*,*N*-DPF was never detected. The amounts detected (Fig. 3) were in the low ng range and very often close to the LOD. Such amounts are in the same range as those observed in persistence and secondary transfer studies [10, 40], showing that it might be very difficult to distinguish between firearm discharge and incidental contamination.



241

Figure 3: Prevalence in the civilian population: Amount of analyte detected. The asterisk indicates an extrapolated value
 (outside of calibration range)

245 The data from the questionnaire were investigated to look for a potential explanation for the presence 246 of OGSR compounds. Two individuals had four or more compounds detected on their hands and sleeves respectively. The person who had six compounds on his hands (AK II, EC, DPA, N-nDPA, 4-247 248 nDPA and 2-nDPA) was the participant (student) who discharged a firearm less than ten minutes 249 before specimen collection without washing his hands and thus expected testing positive for OGSR. 250 Interestingly, only AK II was detected on his sleeves. The person who had four compounds on his sleeves (DPA, N-nDPA, 4-nDPA and 2-nDPA) and no compounds detected on his hands was a student 251 who never discharged a firearm. In that case, the presence of these compounds could not be explained 252 253 by the questionnaire and might be due to contamination through secondary transfer. Three compounds were only detected when the results of the hands and sleeves were added (Fig. 2a). However, three 254 255 individuals tested positive for two compounds, one only on the hands (4-nDPA and N-nDPA), one with two compounds on the hands and one on the sleeves (AK II, 4-nDPA and 4-nDPA respectively) 256 and one with the same two compounds on the hands and sleeves (AK II and 4-nDPA). These three 257 individuals had not manipulated or discharged a firearm recently. One of them (AK II and 4-nDPA on 258 259 both hands and sleeves) thought a positive result was possible because he had installed a stand in the 260 boot of a police vehicle. That person also owned a military rifle that he had not used for more than ten 261 months. In that case, an explanation might be the contact with a contaminated vehicle or a secondary 262 transfer from a surface contaminated by the weapon. The other two had no explanation for that result 263 in their questionnaire. However, all three shared the same occupation, vehicle locksmith, and worked 264 in the same garage. Thus, working in contact with vehicles might be an alternative source for OGSR

compounds. Another explanation might be a tertiary transfer from a contaminated colleague as the 265 individuals worked in the same garage. Among the individuals for which only one compound was 266 267 detected (18 on the hands and 12 on the sleeves), three had the same compound on their hands and 268 sleeves (twice 4-nDPA and once AK II). None of them had contact with firearms. One was a 269 consultant, the other a mechanic and the last one a student. Except for five one-compound positive specimens that might be explained by the possession of a firearm, the origin of the positive results is 270 271 unknown. It is also worth mentioning that three individuals had occupations related to cars, adding to 272 the three two-compounds positive results related to those professions. Regarding the compounds that 273 were detected alone, AK II was the most frequent (11 and 7 positives for hands and sleeves 274 respectively) followed by 4-nDPA (5 positives for both hands and sleeves).

In summary, the present results show that finding one compound on the hands of a civilian is not a rare occurrence, as the hands and sleeves of 18 and 12 individuals respectively tested positive for one compound (for a total of 24.6% of the studied civilian population, hands and sleeves combined). However, the presence of more than one OGSR compound remains unlikely (less than 7% of the studied population with two explanations related to firearms). Results also indicate that some activities in relation to vehicles might represent an alternative source for some OGSR compounds. However, this should be confirmed by further research.

282

#### 283 **3.2 Police population**

For the prevalence study of the police population, 115 individuals from three Swiss police services 284 were sampled. The population was composed of 97 men (84.3%) and 18 women (15.7%). All had a 285 service weapon except for one scientific collaborator. Regarding the firearm brand and model, all 286 287 individuals possessed a 9 mm Luger calibre service pistol from different brands (Fig. 4a). 30 288 individuals practiced shooting at least once a week (often: 26.1%), 30 at least once a month (regularly: 289 26.1%) and for 54 individuals at least once a year (rarely: 46.9%) (Fig. 4b). The date of the last 290 shooting session might also provide information as to the probability of a positive OGSR result and was reported in Figure 4c. Indeed, 23 individuals (20%) had just finished a shooting session at the 291 292 time of specimen collection. The amounts on their hands and sleeves were therefore expected to be 293 much higher than for individuals who last practiced more than one week prior. 44 individuals had 294 practiced shooting in the last 24 hours, six in the last eight days and for 40, the last shooting training 295 was more than eight days ago. As to the number of cartridges fired during training, 52 individuals 296 (45.2%) used between 51 and 100 cartridges and 45 (39.1%) between 11 and 50 cartridges (Fig. 4d). Consequently, the level of contamination from a police officer after training might be very high. 297 298 Lastly, the individuals were asked about shooting activities outside work. Most of the sampled police 299 officers (94 people: 81.7%) did not practice shooting as a hobby.



Figure 4: Distribution of the police population in terms of a) Firearm model, b) Frequency of firearm use, c) Last shooting
 training and d) Number of cartridges discharged (n = 115)

In the police population, the hands of 73 (63.5%) and the sleeves of 77 (67%) individuals tested 304 305 negative for OGSR (Fig.5a). One compound was detected in about 10% of the individuals for both 306 hands and sleeves. Two and three compounds were less frequently detected (about 5%). Four or more compounds were found on the hands/sleeves of 19 and 18 individuals respectively (16.5% and 15.6% 307 respectively). When adding the numbers of compounds on hands and sleeves, only 62 individuals 308 309 (53.9%) were free from OGSR. Specimens from 25.2% (29 people) gave a total of four or more compounds. Interestingly, the police prevalence of one compound was slightly lower than that 310 311 observed in the civilian population (9.6 vs 14.8% on hands).

Regarding the occurrence of each compound (Fig. 5b), *N*-nDPA was the most frequently encountered compound, with 34 occurrences on hands and 27 on sleeves. EC was the second most common compound, followed by DPA derivatives, AK II and DPA. Finally, *N*,*N*-DPF was more rarely detected, but this might also be due to its very low proportion in smokeless powders. MC was only detected once, indicating that it may not be present or only at a very low percentage in the ammunition used by the police forces.







Figure 5: Prevalence in the police population. a) Prevalence versus number of compounds detected. The "combined" bar
 considers the total number of compounds detected on both hands and sleeves irrespective of potential compound duplication.

323 For example, if DPA was detected on the hands and the same compound was detected on the sleeves, two compounds were

324 counted. b) Number of positive specimens per analyte

325

There was a substantial variation in the amounts of OGSR compounds recovered, with values ranging from zero to values above the highest calibration standard (Fig. 6). The highest amounts were observed for DPA and *N*-nDPA. The other compounds were detected at tenfold lower concentrations. This might be explained by the ammunition used by police services, as the composition of smokeless powders has been shown to vary between brands [19]. Thus, DPA might be a major stabilizer in the smokeless powders used by the collaborators of the three police services sampled in this study.



Figure 6: Prevalence in the police population: Amount of analyte detected. The asterisks indicate extrapolated values(outside of calibration range)

335

As expected, the highest number of compounds was detected on individuals who had just finished 336 337 their shooting training (< 10 min). All the specimens from their hands tested positive for OGSR and a total number of seven compounds were detected for four of them (Fig. 7a). Such a high number was 338 never detected for individuals for whom the time since last shooting was over ten minutes. It is 339 340 interesting to emphasize that in the less than 24h category, two and three compounds were detected on 341 the hands of individuals who had discharged a firearm less than three hours before. However, five and four compounds respectively were found on the hands of two people more than 24h after shooting. A 342 similar trend was observed for the wrists/sleeves specimens as the highest numbers of compounds (up 343 344 to eight compounds) were generally detected from the sleeves of individuals who had just finished a shooting session (Fig. 7b). Four to six compounds were detected on the sleeves of four people who 345 346 fired less than 24h before. Interestingly, the two cases with six compounds and the one with four 347 compounds were from individuals who practiced shooting less than three hours ago. After more than 24 hours, a maximum of one compound was detected on the sleeves. 348

As illustrated in Fig.7a-b, the distribution of the number of compounds varied with the elapsed time. Logically, the highest numbers of compounds were detected just after firing and due to losses related to various activities, lower numbers were detected afterwards. Thus, the detection of a high number of OGSR compounds can be related to a recent discharge. Persistence studies drew attention to the rapid decrease in OGSR quantities [39, 40]. Therefore, a decrease in the number of detected compounds may be due to the early disappearance of less concentrated compounds.

356 a)



# 357 358

b)

359

Figure 7: Number of OGSR compounds detected on a) police officers' hands, b) police officers' sleeves vs time elapsedsince last shooting

362

The detection of four or more compounds was generally related to individuals who had discharged a 363 firearm in the last few hours before specimen collection. However, in two cases, four and five 364 compounds were detected on the hand specimens of people who had not discharged a firearm recently 365 (> 24h). On referring to the questionnaire data, it was revealed that one of these two police officers 366 367 had shaken hands with someone who had just left shooting training (five compounds) and the other 368 had handled his service weapon not long before OGSR collection (four compounds). Weapon manipulation also explained the two instances with two compounds on the hands after > 24h. These 369 370 results imply that it is rare to detect more than one OGSR compound beyond 24 hours without a

secondary transfer explanation. Two recent studies highlighted secondary transfer during a handshake 371 or firearm displacement [10, 41]. For police officers, manipulation of a firearm involves generally 372 more than ten seconds of contact, as investigated in the first aforementioned study [10]. Depending on 373 374 the background contamination and the intensity of the manipulation, such as opening the breech or 375 removing the charger, a high degree of secondary transfer might occur as confirmed in the present study and in the second aforementioned study [41]. For the positive specimens containing one 376 377 compound, the results often remained unexplained, whether for hand or wrists specimens. It is also 378 worth emphasizing that wrist/sleeve specimens collected from five individuals who had just finished 379 training were negative. Thus, if the detection of OGSR compounds generally implies a firearm 380 discharge, a negative result shortly after discharge is also possible. While the aforementioned 381 observations demonstrate the contamination risks in a police environment, more research will be necessary to understand the different factors at play in the transfer and persistence of OGSR. Contact 382 with contaminated colleagues, manipulation of weapons, contaminated equipment (e.g. clothing, 383 handcuffs) and premises (e.g. tables, computer, cars) are potential sources of OGSR positive 384 specimens. Moreover, while detecting four or more compounds seems highly correlated with a recent 385 firearm discharge, the presence of one compound appears to be a usual occurrence in such 386 387 environment.

388

#### 389 3.2 Discussion

Among the 122 civilians sampled in this study, 22 (18%) had one or more compounds on their hands and 14 (11.5%) on their wrists or sleeves. Compared to other studies, such prevalence appears to be relatively high. Bell and Seitzinger obtained less than 5% positive results for a sample of 73 people [35]. Two other studies by Northrop [19] and Hofstetter *et al.* [36] found no positives in population samples of 100 and 27 individuals respectively. Three factors might explain this difference:

- 395 the targeted country
- 396 the analytical technique
- 397 the sampled population

Bell/Seitzinger and Northrop collected specimens in the United States, while both the present study and that from Hofstetter et al. were carried out in Switzerland. While Switzerland is a country where many citizens own a gun due to mandatory military service, shooting sport and hunting tradition, the United States is also known for a relatively high firearm possession. Indeed, the firearm density in Switzerland has been estimated to 27.6 firearms per 100 inhabitants (firearm possession in the present study was 22.1 ), whereas a value of 120.5 was given for the United States [1]. Thus, the targeted country is probably not the main influence factor for OGSR prevalence.

Three different analytical techniques were used to study prevalence in previous studies. Bell and Seitzinger used IMS, Northrop used MEKC and Hofstetter *et al.* used LC-MS for their respective studies. The sensitivity can vary considerably between analytical approaches. For example, IMS is the 408 least sensitive, with reported LOD for DPA and EC of 50 and 1 ng respectively [42], while using LC-MS/MS, LOD of 0.5 and 0.01 ng can be attained, respectively. Capillary electrophoresis is the most 409 sensitive technique in terms of amounts of injected analyte with a LOD of 1.8 pg and 0.9 pg for EC 410 411 and DPA, respectively [19]. However, the injection volume is also much lower (in the nanoliter range) 412 than those used with LC-MS/MS instrumentation and LOD should be compared in terms of 413 concentrations and not amounts loaded in the capillary or column. As the exact injection volume was 414 not indicated in Northrop study, the better sensitivity of LC-MS vs CE can only be extrapolated. Thus, 415 sensitivity issues might explain the differences observed between Bell and Seitzinger's study, 416 Northrop's and the present study.

417 Finally, the sample size and selection can also have a considerable influence on the results. Indeed, the 418 number of individuals involved in the studies remained very limited (from 27 to 122) and might not be 419 representative of the whole population due to the absence of randomization in the participant selection. For example, in the present study 68 students were involved (55.7% of the whole dataset), yielding a 420 421 much younger population in comparison to the whole country. Consequently, larger prevalence studies 422 would allow a more accurate picture of OGSR prevalence. It might also be interesting to target populations close to a person of interest in casework, as the prevalence might vary with the living area 423 424 (city/countryside, known firearm violence, etc).

425 This study highlighted the possibility to detect several OGSR compounds on an individual without reported contact with firearms. Thus, while most positive results with three or more compounds could 426 be explained by the questionnaires, one individual had four compounds on his sleeves without 427 explanation (i.e. did not report any contact with firearms or potential secondary transfer). Given the 428 other results from the study, such a high number of OGSR-related compounds supports the hypothesis 429 430 of primary or secondary transfer rather than an alternative source. On the contrary, the presence of a 431 single OGSR compound is more frequent and can derive from an alternative source. For example, 432 DPA has multiple applications in the perfume industry and is used to prevent oxidation in rubber and 433 scald of some fruit crops [43]. Goudsmits et al. compiled the results of a number of OGSR publications and listed the compounds appearing frequently in propellant formulations and having few 434 435 alternative sources [31]. According to their study, EC, MC, nitroglycerin and nitroguanidine might be the most relevant OGSR targets. While our results confirm that EC and MC were very rarely detected 436 437 in a civilian population, nitroglycerin and nitroguanidine were not targeted. The first can only be 438 detected in negative mode, which was not used in this study, and the second is only found in triple 439 base smokeless powders that are exclusively used in large calibre ammunition.

Logically, the police population prevalence was noticeably higher, with 36.5% and 33% of the hand and wrist specimens testing positive for one or more compounds respectively. A previous study investigating the prevalence of OGSR for police officers found only two people positive to OGSR out of 25 (7.4%) [36]. However, the population was composed of people working in a forensic laboratory and not police officers holding a service weapon and practicing shooting, which might explain the

difference in prevalence. Contamination in the police environment is not limited to police officers, as 445 Ali et al. also demonstrated the presence of OGSR in police stations and vehicles [37]. Our study also 446 447 highlights that a vehicle locksmith who installed material in the trunk of a police vehicle had two 448 OGSR compounds on his hands and on his sleeves, indicating a potential secondary transfer from a 449 contaminated source. It would be interesting to collect more information regarding OGSR background 450 level in police premises, where a suspect might be brought during investigation. Indeed, if there is a 451 non-negligible background level, secondary transfer to people transported and/or detained in police 452 premises might occur.

453 By comparing both populations, one can observe that OGSR prevalence is about twice as high for 454 police officers ( $\geq$  one compound: 36.5% on hands and 33% on sleeves) than for civilians ( $\geq$  one 455 compound: 18% on hands and 11.5% on sleeves). This is expected, as most civilians do not have regular contact with firearms. In the civilian population, the prevalence decreased as the number of 456 compounds detected together increased. Only four individuals (less than 5%) had two or more 457 compounds on the hands and/or sleeves (if we exclude the person who discharged a firearm less than 458 10 minutes before specimen collection). In the police population, the trend was dependent on the time 459 elapsed since discharge. Indeed, the highest numbers of compounds were in most cases observed for 460 individuals who discharged a firearm very recently (or explained through secondary transfer). After 24 461 hours, the distribution in the police population was more similar to the civilian one. In terms of 462 compound prevalence, the two most frequently detected compounds were AK II and 4-nDPA in the 463 civilian population, whereas N-nDPA and EC were the most frequently detected in the police 464 465 population. It is interesting to note that in the police population, most of the one compound positive results (hands and sleeves considered together) concerned AK II, potentially indicating a longer 466 467 persistence for that particular compound. On the contrary, MC was very rarely detected in both 468 populations. As discussed above, finding traces of one OGSR compound on the hands of an individual 469 can occur without an explanation. Indeed, alternative sources were previously reported for some 470 OGSR compounds, such as DPA. In this case, how relevant would be the detection of DPA alone? As highlighted by others [10, 18], the simultaneous detection of several compounds has greater value than 471 472 that of a single compound. This was also confirmed in the present study, as the detection of more than 473 three OGSR compounds together was generally related to a direct or indirect contact with firearms. 474 The only exception was a civilian with four compounds on his sleeves. However, while there was no 475 explanation as to the exact source of this result, its origin is more likely to be from a firearm than from 476 an environmental source. In practice, it would be important to investigate the risk of contamination through secondary transfer. One should keep in mind that the number of OGSR compounds that is 477 478 detected simultaneously also depends on the combination firearm-ammunition, that is to say the 479 number of compounds present in the propellant and a potential memory effect. Hence, the case-by-480 case approach proposed by Romolo and Margot recommending to evaluate evidence in light of case 481 circumstances and using whenever possible comparison of GSR with other case-specific items such as

the cartridge case is particularly attractive [44]. Finally, some of the civilians positive to OGSR and without contact with firearms were vehicle locksmiths. It would then be advisable to look for alternative sources in the automotive industry. It must be noted that vehicle parts, more particularly brake pads [45-47], were also mentioned as potential alternative sources for IGSR, though a recent study indicated that it is not a concern anymore [48].

487

# 488 4. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

The present work investigated the prevalence of eight OGSR compounds in a civilian and police population to provide information for the assessment of that trace. Specimens were collected on the hands and sleeves of 122 civilians and 115 individuals working in a police environment using carbon stubs. One stub was used to sample both hands and the other was used to sample the cuffs of the sleeves or the wrists when a short-sleeve upper garment was worn. Data was acquired using liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) with electrospray ionisation in positive mode.

496 Prevalence in the civilian sample was relatively high, with 18% of the 122 civilians sampled having 497 one or more compounds on their hands and 11.5% on their wrists or sleeves. More than three compounds were detected simultaneously from only two individuals. Using data from the 498 questionnaire, it was possible to explain one result by a direct contact with firearms. However, no 499 500 explanation was found in the questionnaire for the presence of four compounds on the sleeves of the 501 second person. Results also showed that secondary transfer (through handshake or service weapon handling) can explain OGSR contamination. The detection of two compounds on three vehicle 502 503 locksmiths might indicate alternative sources (possibly related to occupations in the automotive field). 504 Further research is required to investigate such alternative sources.

505 For the police population, the prevalence was higher, with 36.5% of the hand specimens and 33% of the wrist specimens positive to one or more compounds. A higher prevalence was expected for this 506 population due to service weapon holding and regular shooting exercises. In the civilian population, 507 508 the prevalence decreased as the number of compound detected simultaneously increased, whereas in 509 the police population, the trend was dependent on the time elapsed since the last shooting exercise. 510 Indeed, the simultaneous detection of four or more compounds was in most cases observed for individuals who discharged a firearm very recently (< 10 minutes). Due to the relatively high 511 512 prevalence of OGSR on police officers, it would be interesting to target their premises (police stations 513 and vehicles for example) to evaluate the background contamination. This is critical, as an arrested 514 person might be contaminated by secondary transfer from a contaminated vehicle, a police officer or 515 even a desk at a police station. In any case, it would serve as a quality assurance tool for laboratory 516 contamination monitoring if OGSR is implemented in routine work in parallel to IGSR analysis. From 517 the present results, it appears that there is an important prevalence for single compounds. The

simultaneous detection of two compounds shows a lower prevalence and the detection of three or
more compounds supports the hypothesis of a firearm origin rather than an environmental source.
However, results should be interpreted on a case-by-case basis, as the number of compounds that can
be detected depends on the composition of the propellant.

Further prevalence studies on a larger scale should be carried out to avoid bias in participant selection and provide a more representative picture of the population. The present study was performed in ESI positive mode and detecting additional compounds in the negative mode, such as dinitrotoluenes and nitroglycerine, would be valuable. Further research for OGSR environmental sources is also required and should be combined with prevalence data to establish the most relevant compounds and evaluate what combinations of compounds are the most characteristic for firearm discharge. Such information would be particularly useful for OGSR interpretation in casework.

529

### 530 Acknowledgements

531

The authors wish to acknowledge the Swiss National Science Foundation (10521A\_165608) for financial support. They also would like to thank three Swiss police services for enabling the collection of the police prevalence specimens, especially Commissaires Nicola Albertini and Daniel Kolly from the Police Cantonale Vaudoise, Oberleutnant Adrien Cordier and Adjutant Dr Balthasar Jung from the Kantonspolizei Aargau and Stephan Christen from the Forensisches Institut Zürich. They are grateful to Dr Amanda Frick for proof reading this manuscript. Finally, they would like to warmly thank all the volunteers who took part to the study.

# 540 Bibliography

- 541
- 542 [1] Karp A. Estimating Global Civilian-Held Firearms Numbers. Small Arms Survey; 2018. p. 1-12.
- 543 [2] Zeichner A. Firearm Discharge Residue: Analysis of. In: John Wiley & Sons L, editor. Wiley 544 Encyclopedia of Forensic Science2009.
- 545 [3] Meng HH, Caddy B. Gunshot residue analysis A review. J Forensic Sci. 1997;42:553-70.
- 546 [4] Zeichner A. Recent developments in methods of chemical analysis in investigations of firearm-547 related events. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2003;376:1178-91.
- 548 [5] Romolo FS, Stamouli A, Romeo M, Cook M, Orsenigo S, Donghi M. An experimental study about 549 the presence of selenium in inorganic gunshot residues (GSR). Forensic Chem. 2017;4:51-60.
- 550 [6] Charles S, Geusens N. A study of the potential risk of gunshot residue transfer from special units 551 of the police to arrested suspects. Forensic Sci Int. 2012;216:78-81.
- 552 [7] French J, Morgan R. An experimental investigation of the indirect transfer and deposition of 553 gunshot residue: further studies carried out with SEM-EDX analysis. Forensic Sci Int. 2015;247:14-7.
- 554 [8] French J, Morgan R, Davy J. The secondary transfer of gunshot residue: an experimental 555 investigation carried out with SEM-EDX analysis. X-Ray Spectrom. 2014;43:56-61.
- 556 [9] Brozek-Mucha Z. On the prevalence of gunshot residue in selected populations An empirical 557 study performed with SEM-EDX analysis. Forensic Sci Int. 2014;237:46-52.
- [10] Gassner AL, Manganelli M, Werner D, Rhumorbarbe D, Maitre M, Beavis A, et al. Secondary
   transfer of organic gunshot residues: Empirical data to assist the evaluation of three scenarios. Sci
   Justice. 2019;59:58-66.
- 561 [11] Lucas N, Brown H, Cook M, Redman K, Condon T, Wrobel H, et al. A study into the distribution of 562 gunshot residue particles in the random population. Forensic Sci Int. 2016;262:150-5.
- 563 [12] Gialamas DM, Rhodes EF, Sugarman LA. Officers, Their Weapons and Their Hands an Empirical-564 Study of Gsr on the Hands of Non-Shooting Police Officers. J Forensic Sci. 1995;40:1086-9.
- 565 [13] Gerard RV, Lindsay E, McVicar MJ, Randall ED, Gapinska A. Observations of Gunshot Residue 566 Associated with Police Officers, Their Equipment, and Their Vehicles. Canadian Society of Forensic 567 Science Journal. 2012;45:57-63.
- 568 [14] Cook M. Gunshot residue contamination of the hands of police officers following start-of-shift 569 handling of their firearm. Forensic Sci Int. 2016;269:56-62.
- 570 [15] Lindsay E, McVicar MJ, Robert V. Gerard RV, Randall ED. Observations of GSR on the Hands of
- 571 Employees at Firearms Manufacturing Facilities. Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal. 572 2011;44:105-9.
- 573 [16] Berk RE, Rochowicz SA, Wong M, Kopina MA. Gunshot residue in Chicago police vehicles and 574 facilities: An empirical study. J Forensic Sci. 2007;52:838-41.
- 575 [17] Hannigan TJ, McDermott SD, Greaney CM, O'Shaughnessy J, O'Brien CM. Evaluation of gunshot
- residue (GSR) evidence: Surveys of prevalence of GSR on clothing and frequency of residue types.
  Forensic Sci Int. 2015;257:177-81.
- 578 [18] Maitre M, Kirkbride KP, Horder M, Roux C, Beavis A. Current perspectives in the interpretation of 579 gunshot residues in forensic science: A review. Forensic Sci Int. 2017;270:1-11.
- [19] Northrop DM. Gunshot residue analysis by micellar electrokinetic capillary electrophoresis:
   Assessment for application to casework. Part I. J Forensic Sci. 2001;46:549-59.
- [20] Northrop DM. Gunshot residue analysis by micellar electrokinetic capillary electrophoresis:
   Assessment for application to casework. Part II. J Forensic Sci. 2001;46:560-72.
- [21] Reardon MR, MacCrehan WA, Rowe WF. Comparing the additive composition of smokeless
   gunpowder and its handgun-fired residues. J Forensic Sci. 2000;45:1232-8.
- 586 [22] MacCrehan WA, Patierno ER, Duewer DL, Reardon MR. Investigating the effect of changing 587 ammunition on the composition of organic additives in gunshot residue (OGSR). J Forensic Sci. 588 2001;46:57-62.
- 589 [23] Muller D, Levy A, Vinokurov A, Ravreby M, Shelef R, Wolf E, et al. A novel method for the 590 analysis of discharged smokeless powder residues. J Forensic Sci. 2007;52:75-8.

- 591 [24] Zeichner A, Eldar B. A novel method for extraction and analysis of gunpowder residues on 592 double-side adhesive coated stubs. J Forensic Sci. 2004;49:1194-206.
- 593 [25] Tarifa A, Almirall JR. Fast detection and characterization of organic and inorganic gunshot 594 residues on the hands of suspects by CMV-GC-MS and LIBS. Sci Justice. 2015;55:168-75.
- [26] Laza D, Nys B, De Kinder J, Mesmaeker AKD, Moucheron C. Development of a quantitative LC MS/MS method for the analysis of common propellant powder stabilizers in gunshot residue. J
   Forensic Sci. 2007;52:842-50.
- 598 [27] Thomas JL, Lincoln D, McCord BR. Separation and Detection of Smokeless Powder Additives by
- 599 Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry (UPLC/MS/MS). J 600 Forensic Sci. 2013;58:609-15.
- 601 [28] Benito S, Abrego Z, Sanchez A, Unceta N, Goicolea MA, Barrio RJ. Characterization of organic 602 gunshot residues in lead-free ammunition using a new sample collection device for liquid 603 chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Forensic Sci Int. 2015;246:79-85.
- 604 [29] Taudte RV, Roux C, Blanes L, Horder M, Kirkbride KP, Beavis A. The development and comparison 605 of collection techniques for inorganic and organic gunshot residues. Anal Bioanal Chem. 606 2016;408:2567–76.
- 607 [30] Gassner AL, Weyermann C. LC-MS method development and comparison of sampling materials 608 for the analysis of organic gunshot residues. Forensic Sci Int. 2016;264:47-55.
- [31] Goudsmits E, Sharples GP, Birkett JW. Preliminary classification of characteristic organic gunshot
   residue compounds. Sci Justice. 2016;56:421-5.
- [32] Crowson CA, Cullum HE, Hiley RW, Lowe AM. A survey of high explosives traces in public places. J
  Forensic Sci. 1996;41:980-9.
- [33] Cullum HE, McGavigan C, Uttley CZ, Stroud MAM, Warren DC. A second survey of high explosives
  traces in public places. J Forensic Sci. 2004;49:684-90.
- 615 [34] Lahoda KG, Collin OL, Mathis JA, LeClair HE, Wise SH, McCord BR. A survey of background levels 616 of explosives and related compounds in the environment. J Forensic Sci. 2008;53:802-6.
- 617 [35] Bell S, Seitzinger L. From binary presumptive assays to probabilistic assessments: Differentiation
- of shooters from non-shooters using IMS, OGSR, neural networks, and likelihood ratios. Forensic Sci
  Int. 2016;263:176-85.
- [36] Hofstetter C, Maitre M, Beavis A, Roux CP, Weyermann C, Gassner AL. A study of transfer and
   prevalence of organic gunshot residues. Forensic Sci Int. 2017;277:241-51.
- [37] Ali L, Brown K, Castellano H, Wetzel SJ. A Study of the Presence of Gunshot Residue in Pittsburgh
   Police Stations using SEM/EDS and LC-MS/MS. J Forensic Sci. 2016;61:928-38.
- [38] Zeichner A, Levin N. Collection Efficiency of Gunshot Residue (Gsr) Particles from Hair and Hands
  Using Double-Side Adhesive Tape. J Forensic Sci. 1993;38:571-84.
- 626 [39] Gassner AL, Ribeiro C, Kobylinska J, Zeichner A, Weyermann C. Organic gunshot residues:
  627 Observations about sampling and transfer mechanisms. Forensic Sci Int. 2016;266:369-78.
- [40] Maitre M, Horder M, Kirkbride KP, Gassner AL, Weyermann C, Roux C, et al. A forensic
  investigation on the persistence of organic gunshot residues. Forensic Sci Int. 2018;292:1-10.
- [41] Maitre M, Chadwick S, Kirkbride PK, Gassner AL, Weyermann C, Beavis A, et al. An investigationon the secondary transfer of organic gunshot residues. Sci Justice. 2019;In Press.
- 632 [42] Yeager B, Bustin K, Stewart J, Dross R, Bell S. Evaluation and validation of ion mobility
- 633 spectrometry for presumptive testing targeting the organic constituents of firearms discharge 634 residue. Anal Methods-Uk. 2015;7:9683-91.
- [43] Drzyzga O. Diphenylamine and derivatives in the environment: a review. Chemosphere.2003;53:809-18.
- [44] Romolo FS, Margot P. Identification of gunshot residue: a critical review. Forensic Sci Int.2001;119:195-211.
- 639 [45] Cardinetti B, Ciampini C, D'Onofrio C, Orlando G, Gravina L, Ferrari F, et al. X-ray mapping
- 640 technique: a preliminary study in discriminating gunshot residue particles from aggregates of
- 641 environmental occupational origin. Forensic Sci Int. 2004;143:27-46.

- 642 [46] Garofano L, Capra M, Ferrari F, Bizzaro GP, Di Tullio D, Dell'Olio M, et al. Gunshot residue -
- Further studies on particles of environmental and occupational origin. Forensic Sci Int. 1999;103:1-21.
- 645 [47] Torre C, Mattutino G, Vasino V, Robino C. Brake linings: A source of Non-GSR particles containing 646 lead, barium, and antimony. J Forensic Sci. 2002;47:494-504.
- 647 [48] Tucker W, Lucas N, Seyfang KE, Kirkbride KP, Popelka-Filcoff RS. Gunshot residue and brakepads:
- 648 Compositional and morphological considerations for forensic casework. Forensic Sci Int.
- 649 2017;270:76-82.
- 650