Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL serval.unil.ch

Author Manuscript Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication

This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

Title: The Effect of Cigarette Smoking on Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Authors: Clair C, Cohen MJ, Eichler F, Selby KJ, Rigotti NA Journal: Journal of general internal medicine Year: 2015 Aug Volume: 30 Issue: 8 Pages: 1193-203 DOI: 10.1007/s11606-015-3354-y

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.



UNIL | Université de Lausanne Faculté de biologie et de médecine

The Effect of Cigarette Smoking on Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Carole Clair, MD, MSc¹, Marya J Cohen, MD, MPH², Florian Eichler, MD³, Kevin J. Selby, MD¹, Nancy A. Rigotti, MD⁴

¹Department of Ambulatory Care and Community Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland, ²Division of General Internal Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States, ³Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States ⁴Massachusetts General Hospital, Tobacco Research and Treatment Center, Division of General Internal Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States

Authors' emails: Carole Clair: <u>carole.clair@hospvd.ch</u> Marya Cohen: <u>mjcohen@partners.org</u>micro Florian Eichler: feichler@partners.org Kevin J. Selby: kevin.selby@hospvd.ch Nancy Rigotti: nrigotti@partners.org

Corresponding author

Carole Clair

Policlinique Médicale Universitaire

Rue du Bugnon 44

1011 Lausanne, Switzerland

Phone: +41 79 556 81 46

Fax: +41 21 314 61 01

Email address: Carole.Clair@hospvd.ch

Word count

Abstract: 286 words Manuscript: 3257 words

References: 71

3 tables, 4 figures, 4 supplementary files

1 Abstract

Objective: Studies suggest that smoking might be a risk factor for the development of
microvascular complications such as diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN). The objective of
this study is to assess the relationship between smoking and DPN in people with type 1 or
type 2 diabetes.

Research Design and Methods: A systematic review of PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane
Clinical Trials databases was conducted from 1966 to November 2014 for cohort, crosssectional and case-controlled studies that assessed the relationship between smoking and
DPN. Separate meta-analyses for prospective cohort studies and case control or crosssectional studies were performed using random effects models.

Results: Thirty-eight studies (10 prospective cohort and 28 cross-sectional) were included. 11 12 The prospective cohort studies included 5,558 participants without DPN at baseline. During a follow up ranging from 2 to 10 years, 1,550 cases of DPN occurred. The pooled unadjusted 13 odds ratio (OR) of developing DPN associated with smoking was 1.26 (95% CI 0.86-1.85; 14 I^2 =74.3%; Evidence grade: moderate strength). Overall prospective studies were of moderate 15 to high quality according to the Newcastle-Ottawa scale. Including only the six studies of 16 17 moderate quality, we found a higher and significant association, with an adjusted OR of 1.73 (95% CI 1.48-2.03, $I^2=0\%$, Evidence grade: moderate strength). The cross sectional studies 18 19 included 27,594 participants. The pooled OR of DPN associated with smoking was 1.42 (95% CI 1.21-1.65; I^2 =64.5%; Evidence grade: moderate strength). Overall cross-sectional 20 studies were of low to moderate quality, and after exclusion of studies of low quality (n=4), 21 the OR was 1.29 (95% CI 1.13-1.48; I^2 =50.5%). There was no evidence of publication bias. 22

Conclusions: Smoking may be associated with an increased risk of DPN in people with
diabetes. Future studies are needed to test whether smoking cessation reduces the risk of DPN

25 in adult with diabetes.

26 Diabetic peripheral neuropathy, also known as distal symmetrical polyneuropathy or sensorimotor neuropathy, is part of a wider spectrum of microvascular complications of 27 diabetes; other microvascular complications include ulcer/amputations, erectile dysfunction 28 29 and autonomic dysfunction. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy is the most common microvascular complication of diabetes, affecting approximately 30% of people with 30 diabetes¹⁻³. Symptoms include numbress, tingling or burning sensation in the legs and hands, 31 typically in a "stocking and glove" distribution¹. Ultimately, muscle weakness, loss of 32 reflexes, and foot deformities can result, leading to end clinical sequelae of ulcers, potential 33 34 infection, and amputation for some patients with poorly-controlled disease. There is a complex interaction between metabolic and vascular factors in the pathogenesis of 35 diabetic peripheral neuropathy^{1,4}. Hyperglycemia has been the primary factor described and 36

leads to nerve-cell damage through several mechanisms, including oxidative stress or polyol
accumulation³. Reduced nerve perfusion, endoneurial hypoxia and endothelial dysfunction
also contribute to neuropathy development¹.

Previous studies have investigated potential risk factors for diabetic peripheral neuropathy,
including hypertension, microalbuminuria, dyslipidemia, and of particular interest, cigarette
smoking⁵⁻⁷. There appears to be an increased likelihood of neuropathy in people with diabetes
who smoke; however, prior studies investigating this relationship only included a small
number of participants⁷.

In order to better assess the relationship between smoking and diabetic neuropathy, we
conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis, analyzing cross-sectional, case control,
prospective and retrospective cohort studies.

48

49

51 **Research Design and Methods**

52 Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

We searched PubMed (1966 to November 2014), Embase (1980 to November 2014) and 53 Cochrane Clinical Trials (until November 2014). We also searched the references of the 54 relevant retrieved articles. Studies that assessed the effect of cigarette smoking on the risk of 55 peripheral neuropathy among patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes were included 56 57 (population of interest). Only participants with diabetes at baseline were included, as we were interested primarily in the effect of smoking on diabetic complications. The exposure of 58 59 interest was cigarette smoking. In order to be considered for inclusion in the systematic review, all studies had to include a control or comparison group of participants with diabetes 60 who did not smoke. The outcome of interest was diabetic peripheral neuropathy. 61 62 Cohort studies as well as cross-sectional studies and case control studies were included based on our search results. For cohort studies, we included studies with at least one year of follow-63 up because we assumed that there should be a latency period of at least one year for smoking 64 to impact the development of diabetic neuropathy. We considered studies published in all 65 languages and did not restrict our search to published studies only. 66 For our search, we combined 3 search themes: 1) diabetes, 2) smoking and 3) neuropathy. 67 The full electronic search is available in the **online appendix 1**. 68

69 Study Selection

70 A first screen of retrieved citations was performed based on titles and abstracts; each citation

vas screened by 2 different co-authors (CC, MJC, FE or KJS). The inclusion criteria for this

first screen were the following: population with diabetes (type 1 or type 2) at baseline,

reuropathy as one recorded outcome (not necessarily the primary outcome), and

74 identification as prospective, cohort or cross-sectional studies. We included studies even if

they did not mention smoking exposure in the title or abstract (although it was preferable).

Exclusion criteria included gestational diabetes, animal studies, or non-original study design
(such as reviews, editorials, and case reports/case series). A second screen was then
performed based on full-text review of retained citations. Exclusion criteria were the same as
for the first screen, with the addition of the following criteria: 1) Smoking-neuropathy
relationship was not assessed and/or data did not allow calculating it by hand; 2) Peripheral
neuropathy was not one of the outcomes; or 3) People without diabetes were included.

Two reviewers (CC, MJC, FE or KJS) independently reviewed the articles and any
disagreement was resolved by consensus.

84 Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

85 Two authors independently extracted the data from selected studies. To evaluate the risk of bias in individual studies, and assess overall quality, we considered several criteria based on 86 the Newcastle-Ottawa scale⁸. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale for cohort studies has 3 categories: 87 88 1) selection (representativeness of the exposed cohort, selection of the non-exposed cohort, ascertainment of exposure and demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start 89 90 of study) (0-4 points), 2) comparability (comparability of cohorts on the basis of design or analyses) (0-2 points) and 3) outcome (assessment of outcome, was follow-up long enough 91 for outcomes to occur, adequacy of follow-up of cohorts) (0-3 points). The Newcastle-Ottawa 92 93 scale exists for case control studies and we used a modified version to evaluate the quality of cross-sectional studies. In the modified version we deleted the question on selection of 94 controls (in the "selection" category, yielding to a maximum of 3 points) and the questions on 95 methods of ascertainment for cases and controls and non-response rate (in the "exposure" 96 97 section, yielding to a maximum of 2 points). We reported the score for each subcategory in the extraction form. We defined Additionally, we evaluated the strength of evidence of the 98 studies using the Cochrane GRADE criteria⁹. By definition, observational studies are 99 considered "Low quality" with the GRADE approach. We upgraded studies to "Moderate 100

101 quality" if they met at least one of the following factors: 1. "Large magnitude of effect"; 2.

102 "All plausible confounding would reduce a demonstrated effect or suggest a spurious effect

103 when results show no effect"; or 3. "Dose-response gradient". Studies were downgraded to

- 104 "Very low quality" if at least one of the following factors was present: 1. "Limitations in the
- design and implementation of available studies suggesting high likelihood of bias"; 2.

106 "Indirectness of evidence"; 3. "Unexplained heterogeneity or inconsistency of results"; 4.

107 "Imprecision of results"; or 5. "High probability of publication bias".

Studies reported risk ratios (RR), odds ratios (OR) or absolute numbers when describing the relationship between smoking and diabetic peripheral neuropathy. As most prospective and cross-sectional studies reported ORs and not all studies provided information to convert OR into RR, we used OR in our meta-analyses. For studies that did not provide OR or RR, we calculated unadjusted ORs and Confidence intervals (CI) manually.

113 Data Synthesis and Analysis

We pooled our results using the DerSimonian and Laird random effect model¹⁰ because we 114 expected to have heterogeneity between studies. Anticipated sources of heterogeneity 115 included study population (people with type 1 versus type 2 diabetes), definition of smoking 116 and definition of neuropathy and were defined *a priori*. We explored other sources of 117 heterogeneity for 3 variables that were added post-hoc: level of adjustment, mean duration of 118 follow-up (for prospective study only) and level of quality assessed with the Newcastle-119 Ottawa scale⁸. We then performed stratified analyses to assess/explore potential sources of 120 heterogeneity linked to a priori and post hoc variables. In parallel we performed univariate 121 metaregression analyses to quantify potential source of heterogeneity. 122 We performed separate meta-analyses stratified by type of design. To assess heterogeneity, 123

the Q statistic and I squared statistic were calculated 11,12 .

- 125 The possibility of publication bias was assessed using the Begg test and visual inspection of
- the funnel plot^{13,14}. STATA 13 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas) was used for statistical
- 127 analyses.

128 **Results**

129 Study Selection

In terms of study selection, the initial search included 2006 citations from PubMed, Embaseand Cochrane Clinical Trials databases. After excluding duplicates, 1554 unique citations

were available (see **Figure 1**). After the first screen, 126 citations were considered for further

133 review. After a second screen, 88 studies were excluded based on full text review. Agreement

between reviewers at this stage was good with a Kappa of 0.78. Reasons for exclusion at this

135 point included no estimate (or numbers to allow manual calculation) of the smoking-

neuropathy relationship (n=54), outcome other than peripheral neuropathy (n = 30), or

inclusion of participants without diabetes (n = 4). Finally, 38 studies were selected for final

inclusion in the systematic review and we performed separate meta-analyses for the 10

139 prospective studies $^{5,15-23}$ and the 28 cross-sectional studies $^{6,7,24-49}$.

140 Smoking and incidence of diabetic peripheral neuropathy in prospective cohort studies

The main individual characteristics of the prospective studies are shown in **Table 1**. They 141 include 5,558 participants in total; 3 studies include participants with type 2 diabetes, 6 142 studies included participants with type 1 diabetes and 1 study included both participants with 143 type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Participants were from different settings including inpatient, 144 outpatient and the community; mean age of participants ranged from 25 to 66 years old and 145 mean diabetes duration ranged from 0 to 17 years. All studies excluded participants with 146 147 neuropathy at baseline and participants were followed for 2 to 10 years. Peripheral neuropathy screening was done by neurological history and examination in most studies^{5,17-} 148 20,23 , by electromyography to measure nerve conduction velocities in one study¹⁵, through 149 measure of vibration perception with biothesiometers in one study²², and by monofilament 150 examinations in two studies^{16,21}. The definition of smoking exposure varied between studies; 151 six studies compared ever smokers (i.e. current and former smokers) to never smokers, one 152

153	study compared current to non smokers (i.e. former and never smokers) and 3 studies did not
154	clearly specify the smoking comparison groups. Most studies provided OR, two RR and one
155	gave numbers of smokers and non smokers and of participants who developed peripheral
156	neuropathy in each category. All studies except one performed multivariable-adjusted
157	analyses; five controlled for at least A1C and diabetes duration and 4 adjusted for either A1C
158	or diabetes duration and several other confounders - see Online appendix 2. The quality of
159	studies varied. Most were considered good quality with maximum points for selection and
160	exposure criteria on the Newcastle-Ottawa scale; however, two were classified as suboptimal
161	for quality with lower scores ^{15,16} . Using the GRADE criteria, two studies were rated as
162	"Low quality" ^{21,23} , two were downgraded as "Very low" ^{15,16} because of a poorly defined
163	outcome and risk of selection bias, and six were upgraded to "Moderate quality" ^{5,17-20,22}
164	mainly due to adjustment for confounding factors and a dose-response gradient. In terms of
165	the incidence of diabetic peripheral neuropathy, 7 studies showed a positive association with
166	smoking and 3 showed a negative association, OR ranged from 0.22 to 10.16. When we
167	pooled the data using a random effects model, the pooled OR was 1.26 (95% CI of 0.86 –
168	1.85) - see Figure 2. There was evidence of high heterogeneity across studies as suggested by
169	the <i>I</i> -squared statistic ($I^2 = 74.3\%$). Visual inspection of the funnel plot (Online appendix 3)
170	and the Begg test (p-value = 0.72) did not suggest publication bias (i.e. no evidence of small
171	negative unpublished studies) but showed a cluster of medium to large negative studies.
172	Trying to correct for eventual small unpublished negative studies using the "trim and fill"
173	method in STATA ⁵⁰ did not significantly change the results (OR 1.26, 95% CI 0.86-1.83).
174	However, when restricting the analysis to studies of highest quality (i.e with "Moderate
175	quality" using the GRADE criteria for prospective studies) the pooled OR was 1.73 (95% CI
176	1.48-2.03) with no evidence of heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$) (Figure 3). In stratified analyses,
177	studies with higher quality, better level of adjustment and longer follow-up showed a stronger

positive association between smoking and diabetic peripheral neuropathy (Table 2). Studies
including people with type 1 diabetes showed increased risks of diabetic peripheral
neuropathy for smokers compared with non-smokers whereas studies with people with type 2
diabetes did not show a statistically significant association between diabetic peripheral
neuropathy and smoking.

183

184 Smoking and prevalence of diabetic peripheral neuropathy in cross sectional studies The main individual characteristics of the cross-sectional studies are shown in **Table 3**. They 185 186 include 27,594 participants in total; 21 studies include people with type 2 diabetes, 3 with type 1 diabetes and 4 with both type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Mean age of participants ranged 187 from 19 to 68 years old and mean diabetes duration ranged from 0 to 20 years. There was a 188 189 high heterogeneity in the definition of exposure: 7 studies compared current smokers to non 190 smokers (i.e. former and never smokers), 4 studies compared ever smokers (i.e. current and former smokers) to never smokers, 6 studies compared current vs. never smokers, 2 studies 191 192 compared smokers of 30 or more pack-years to smokers of less than 30 pack-years, one study compared smokers of < 20 pack years to never smokers and 8 studies did not specify the 193 comparison groups. The majority of studies expressed the estimate in OR, two used RR and 9 194 used number or proportions, allowing us to calculate unadjusted OR and 95% CI manually. 195 Seven studies controlled for at least A1C and diabetes duration, one adjusted for either A1C 196 197 or diabetes duration, 4 adjusted for some confounders but not A1C and diabetes duration and 16 did not adjust for potential confounders.- see **Online appendix 4**. Using the GRADE 198 criteria, most studies were rated as "Low quality" and 4 studies were downgraded as "Very 199 low"^{25,28-30} mainly because of selection bias, lack of adjustment for confounders and poorly 200 defined exposure and/or outcome. The majority of studies showed increased odds of 201 neuropathy for smokers compared with non-smokers and ORs ranged from 0.68 to 8.20. The 202

203 pooled OR using a random effects model was 1.42 (95% CI of 1.21 - 1.65) - see Figure 4. There was evidence of some heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 64.5\%$). There was no 204 evidence of publication bias as suggested by both visual inspection of the funnel plots 205 206 (**Online appendix 5**) and the Begg test (p-value = 0.17). In stratified analyses, studies with higher level of adjustment, studies which included participants with type 1 diabetes and those 207 comparing ever vs. never smokers showed a higher and stronger association between 208 209 smoking and diabetic peripheral neuropathy. (Table 2) When analyses were restricted to studies with the highest available level of evidence (i.e. "Low quality" using the GRADE 210 criteria) the pooled OR was 1.29 (95% CI 1.13-1.48; l^2 =50.5%). When analyzing only studies 211 which provided adjusted estimates OR was 1.59 (95% CI 1.28-1.97, I^2 =43.4%). 212

213

214

215 Conclusions

In summary, we found a positive association between smoking and diabetic peripheral 216 217 neuropathy prevalence and incidence. Although we performed two different meta-analyses, both had similar findings and suggest that smoking is associated with an increased risk of 218 peripheral neuropathy among people with diabetes. There was substantial heterogeneity for 219 both prospective and cross-sectional meta-analyses. However, in stratified analyses, studies 220 with higher level of adjustment, longer follow-up (prospective studies only) and good level of 221 222 quality showed a stronger positive association between smoking and diabetic peripheral 223 neuropathy with less heterogeneity. Prospective studies comparing ever smokers (current and former smokers) vs. never smokers as well as those including participants with type 1 224 225 diabetes showed a stronger positive association between smoking and diabetic peripheral neuropathy. However these studies were of higher quality and might not necessarily reflect a 226 real effect modification. 227

229	In people without diabetes, cigarette smoking has been shown to be positively associated
230	with A1C, a surrogate for metabolic control which reflects average glycemia over the past
231	months ⁵¹ . A previous meta-analysis has shown that smokers have a 44% increased risk of
232	developing type 2 diabetes compared with non smokers ⁵² . Among people with diabetes prior
233	studies suggest that smoking is also associated with insulin resistance ⁵³ , higher insulin
234	needs ^{54,55} and thus poor metabolic control ⁵⁶⁻⁶¹ . As microvascular complications in people
235	with type 1 or type 2 diabetes are highly linked to metabolic control ^{62,63} , A1C probably acts
236	as a mediator in the relationship between smoking and diabetic peripheral neuropathy.
237	However, the fact that the association remains positive after adjustment for A1C suggests that
238	hyperglycemia may not entirely mediate this relationship. Furthermore, smoking is associated
239	with oxidative stress, systemic inflammation and endothelial dysfunction independent of
240	diabetes ⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶ . It might increase the risk of nerve damage through these pathways in parallel to
241	metabolic factors. Smoking may also have direct toxic effects and induce diabetic peripheral
242	neuropathy via hypoxemia and microvascular insufficiency. Similar to what occurs with
243	larger vessels (coronary arteries), smaller arteries, including vasa nervorum, might be
244	damaged by smoking which, in turn, leads to development and progression of diabetic
245	peripheral neuropathy. Smoking has been found to be a causal variable in other microvascular
246	complications such as retinopathy or nephropathy, and similar mechanisms might occur for
247	diabetic peripheral neuropathy to damage those target organs ⁶⁷ . Finally, confounding factors
248	might also contribute to the association between smoking and diabetic peripheral neuropathy.
249	Smokers might have poorer adherence to recommended self-care compared with non-
250	smokers ⁶⁸ . Smokers also tend to accumulate unhealthy behaviors such as alcohol abuse, lack
251	of physical activity or a diet rich in fat and poor in fruits and vegetables ⁶⁹ . Though these

factors might contribute to diabetes complications through poorer diabetes control, they donot entirely explain the association, which remains after adjustment for diabetes control.

254

Our study has several strengths. We retrieved and pooled a substantial number of studies, 255 assessing the association between smoking and diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Contrary to 256 other microvascular complications such as nephropathy or retinopathy, few studies had to 257 258 date shown a clear positive association between smoking and diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Indeed, few studies have been directly designed to measure the impact of smoking on 259 260 diabetic peripheral neuropathy and the complex, multifactorial pathogenesis of diabetic peripheral neuropathy makes it difficult to measure the effect of smoking on a unique 261 outcome. Many prospective studies and some cross-sectional studies, included in our meta-262 263 analysis, provided adjusted estimates which permitted control for some potential confounders and exploration of mediating factors. 264

265

266 Our study has several limitations including the relatively small number of prospective studies and the heterogeneity between studies. Stratified analyses allowed us to address the source of 267 heterogeneity but due to limited number of prospective studies, some conclusions cannot be 268 drawn. For example, studies including participants with type 1 diabetes were of higher 269 quality, and it is thus difficult to conclude that the association between smoking and diabetic 270 271 peripheral neuropathy is significant only among people with type 1 diabetes and not in those with type 2 diabetes. Another limitation is that the cross-sectional studies were of medium to 272 poor quality. Some did not adjust for the main confounders, some did not assess the outcome 273 274 clinically, and the smoking exposure was very variable between studies. Finally, we cannot prove that the association we observed is causal because of the design and limitations of our 275 selected studies. 276

277

Few studies have prospectively assessed the impact of smoking cessation on diabetes control 278 and complications. We identified only one study which prospectively assessed the impact of 279 smoking cessation on diabetic peripheral neuropathy⁷⁰. Among 193 participants newly 280 diagnosed with type 2 diabetes and microalbuminuria, 62% had quit smoking at 12 months. 281 In this population, the prevalence of diabetic peripheral neuropathy decreased significantly 282 283 more in participants who quit smoking compared to those who continued (p < 0.04), but no absolute numbers were given. This was also the case for microalbuninuria, peripheral 284 285 vascular disease, blood pressure and dyslipidemia. This unique study of suboptimal quality suggests that the effect of smoking on diabetic peripheral neuropathy might be reversible, but 286 more research is needed to assess the effect of smoking cessation on diabetes control and 287 288 micro-vascular complications. 289 In conclusion, smoking might be associated with an increased risk of developing diabetic

peripheral neuropathy. This is an important finding as this exposure is a modifiable behavior to be targeted in clinical practice, as recommended in diabetes guidelines⁷¹. Even though we cannot exclude the possibility of confounding, it seems reasonable based on the consistency of results and plausibility of biological hypothesis that the association might be causal or at least of concern for clinicians. Future research should be focused on evaluating the impact of smoking cessation on improvement of diabetic neuropathy, helping to establish a causal link between exposure and outcome.

Author's contributions:

CC contributed to the study conception and design, the data research, extraction, led the analyses, and drafted the manuscript, MJC and FE contributed to the study conception and design, the data research, extraction, the interpretation of data and the drafting of the manuscript as well as its review, KJS contributed to the data research, extraction, the interpretation of data and reviewed and edited the manuscript. NAR contributed to the study conception, interpretation of data and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Parts of the data of this manuscript have been presented as an oral presentation at the 35th annual meeting of the Society of General Internal Medicine (SGIM) in May 2012.

Financial support:

CC was supported by a grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation PBLAP3-127728/1 and by a grant from the SICPA foundation.

Conflict of interest:

NR has been an unpaid consultant to Pfizer and Alere Wellbeing and receives royalties from UpToDate for chapters on smoking cessation. All other authors declare that they do not have a conflict of interest

References

1. Cameron NE, Eaton SE, Cotter MA, Tesfaye S. Vascular factors and metabolic interactions in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy. Diabetologia 2001;44:1973-88.

2. Tesfaye S, Stevens LK, Stephenson JM, et al. Prevalence of diabetic peripheral neuropathy and its relation to glycaemic control and potential risk factors: the EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study. Diabetologia 1996;39:1377-84.

3. Vinik AI, Park TS, Stansberry KB, Pittenger GL. Diabetic neuropathies. Diabetologia 2000;43:957-73.

4. Vincent AM, Calabek B, Roberts L, Feldman EL. Biology of diabetic neuropathy. Handbook of clinical neurology 2013;115:591-606.

5. Tesfaye S, Chaturvedi N, Eaton SE, et al. Vascular risk factors and diabetic neuropathy. N Engl J Med 2005;352:341-50.

6. Maser RE, Steenkiste AR, Dorman JS, et al. Epidemiological correlates of diabetic neuropathy. Report from Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study. Diabetes 1989;38:1456-61.

7. Mitchell BD, Hawthorne VM, Vinik AI. Cigarette smoking and neuropathy in diabetic patients. Diabetes Care 1990;13:434-7.

8. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa Hospital Research Institute. (Accessed February 16, 2015, at http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp.)

9. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2008;336:924-6.

10. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986;7:177-88.

11. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med 2002;21:1539-58.

12. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003;327:557-60.

13. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 1994;50:1088-101.

14. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629-34.

15. Lehtinen JM, Niskanen L, Hyvonen K, Siitonen O, Uusitupa M. Nerve function and its determinants in patients with newly-diagnosed type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus and in control subjects--a 5-year follow-up. Diabetologia 1993;36:68-72.

16. Adler AI, Boyko EJ, Ahroni JH, Stensel V, Forsberg RC, Smith DG. Risk factors for diabetic peripheral sensory neuropathy. Results of the Seattle Prospective Diabetic Foot Study. Diabetes Care 1997;20:1162-7.

17. Forrest KY, Maser RE, Pambianco G, Becker DJ, Orchard TJ. Hypertension as a risk factor for diabetic neuropathy: a prospective study. Diabetes 1997;46:665-70.

18. Sands ML, Shetterly SM, Franklin GM, Hamman RF. Incidence of distal symmetric (sensory) neuropathy in NIDDM. The San Luis Valley Diabetes Study. Diabetes Care 1997;20:322-9.

19. Christen WG, Manson JE, Bubes V, Glynn RJ. Risk factors for progression of distal symmetric polyneuropathy in type 1 diabetes mellitus. Sorbinil Retinopathy Trial Research Group. Am J Epidemiol 1999;150:1142-51.

20. Sibal L, Law HN, Gebbie J, Home P. Cardiovascular risk factors predicting the development of distal symmetrical polyneuropathy in people with type 1 diabetes: A 9-year follow-up study. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2006;1084:304-18.

Gerrits EG, Lutgers HL, Kleefstra N, et al. Skin autofluorescence: a tool to identify type 2 diabetic patients at risk for developing microvascular complications. Diabetes Care 2008;31:517-21.
 Elliott J, Tesfaye S, Chaturvedi N, et al. Large-fiber dysfunction in diabetic peripheral

neuropathy is predicted by cardiovascular risk factors. Diabetes Care 2009;32:1896-900.

23. Uruska A, Araszkiewicz A, Uruski P, Zozulinska-Ziolkiewicz D. Higher risk of microvascular complications in smokers with type 1 diabetes despite intensive insulin therapy. Microvasc Res 2014;92:79-84.

24. Franklin GM, Shetterly SM, Cohen JA, Baxter J, Hamman RF. Risk factors for distal symmetric neuropathy in NIDDM. The San Luis Valley Diabetes Study. Diabetes Care 1994;17:1172-7.

25. Gregory R, Tattersall RB, Allison SP. Peripheral neuropathy as a presenting feature of type 2 diabetes: a case-controlled study. Diabet Med 1994;11:407-9.

26. Matsumoto T, Ohashi Y, Yamada N, Kikuchi M. Hyperglycemia as a major determinant of distal polyneuropathy independent of age and diabetes duration in patients with recently diagnosed diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 1994;26:109-13.

27. Zafra Mezcua JA, Mendez Segovia JC, Novalbos Ruiz JP, Costa Alonso MJ, Failde Martinez I. [Chronic complications in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus cared for at a health center]. Aten Primaria 2000;25:529-35.

Barbosa AP, Medina JL, Ramos EP, Barros HP. Prevalence and risk factors of clinical diabetic polyneuropathy in a Portuguese primary health care population. Diabetes Metab 2001;27:496-502.
 Gomez-Viera N, Soto-Lavastida A, Rosello-Silva H, Gomez de Molina-Iglesias M. [Risk factors involved in symmetrical diabetic neuropathy]. Rev Neurol 2001;32:806-12.

30. Tapp RJ, Shaw JE, de Courten MP, Dunstan DW, Welborn TA, Zimmet PZ. Foot complications in Type 2 diabetes: an Australian population-based study. Diabet Med 2003;20:105-13.

31. Boru UT, Alp R, Sargin H, et al. Prevalence of peripheral neuropathy in type 2 diabetic patients attending a diabetes center in Turkey. Endocr J 2004;51:563-7.

32. Tamer A, Yildiz S, Yildiz N, et al. The prevalence of neuropathy and relationship with risk factors in diabetic patients: a single-center experience. Medical principles and practice : international journal of the Kuwait University, Health Science Centre 2006;15:190-4.

33. Al-Mahroos F, Al-Roomi K. Diabetic neuropathy, foot ulceration, peripheral vascular disease and potential risk factors among patients with diabetes in Bahrain: a nationwide primary care diabetes clinic-based study. Annals of Saudi medicine 2007;27:25-31.

34. Cho HC. The Association between Serum GGT Concentration and Diabetic Peripheral Polyneuropathy in Type 2 Diabetic Patients. Korean diabetes journal 2010;34:111-8.

35. Jianbo L, Yuche C, Ming S, et al. Association of homocysteine with peripheral neuropathy in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2011;93:38-42.

36. Spallone V, Morganti R, D'Amato C, et al. Clinical correlates of painful diabetic neuropathy and relationship of neuropathic pain with sensorimotor and autonomic nerve function. European Journal of Pain 2011;15:153-60.

37. Wang W, Balamurugan A, Biddle J, Rollins KM. Diabetic neuropathy status and the concerns in underserved rural communities: challenges and opportunities for diabetes educators. Diabetes Educ 2011;37:536-48.

38. Abougalambou SS, Abougalambou AS. Explorative study on diabetes neuropathy among type II diabetic patients in Universiti Sains Malaysia Hospital. Diabetes & metabolic syndrome 2012;6:167-72.

39. Ji N, Zhang N, Ren ZJ, et al. Risk factors and pain status due to diabetic neuropathy in chronic long-term diabetic patients in a Chinese urban population. Chin Med J (Engl) 2012;125:4190-6.

40. Katulanda P, Ranasinghe P, Jayawardena R, Constantine GR, Sheriff MH, Matthews DR. The prevalence, patterns and predictors of diabetic peripheral neuropathy in a developing country. Diabetology & metabolic syndrome 2012;4:21.

41. Rasul S, Ilhan A, Wagner L, Luger A, Kautzky-Willer A. Diabetic polyneuropathy relates to bone metabolism and markers of bone turnover in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes: greater effects in male patients. Gender medicine 2012;9:187-96.

42. Eleftheriadou I, Grigoropoulou P, Mourouzis I, et al. Serum osteoprotegerin levels are associated with peripheral neuropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2013;56:S496.

43. Molina M, Gonzalez R, Folgado J, et al. [Correlation between plasma concentrations of homocysteine and diabetic polyneuropathy evaluated with the Semmes-Weinstein monofilament test in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus]. Med Clin (Barc) 2013;141:382-6.

44. Aubert CE, Michel PL, Gillery P, et al. Association of peripheral neuropathy with circulating advanced glycation end products, soluble receptor for advanced glycation end products and other risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2014;30:679-85.

45. Bener A, Al-Laftah F, Al-Hamaq AO, Daghash M, Abdullatef WK. A study of diabetes complications in an endogamous population: an emerging public health burden. Diabetes & metabolic syndrome 2014;8:108-14.

46. Brownrigg JR, de Lusignan S, McGovern A, et al. Peripheral neuropathy and the risk of cardiovascular events in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Heart 2014;100:1837-43.

47. Hu Y, Liu F, Shen J, et al. Association between serum cystatin C and diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a cross-sectional study of a Chinese type 2 diabetic population. Eur J Endocrinol 2014;171:641-8.

48. Jaiswal M, Divers J, Isom S, et al. Prevalence and clinical correlates of diabetic peripheral neuropathy among youth with type 1 diabetes: Search for diabetes in youth cohort study. Diabetes 2014;63:A148-A9.

49. Wang DD, Bakhotmah BA, Hu FB, Ali Alzahrani H. Prevalence and correlates of diabetic peripheral neuropathy in a Saudi Arabic population: A cross-sectional study. PloS one 2014;9.
50. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics 2000;56:455-63.

51. Clair C, Bitton A, Meigs JB, Rigotti NA. Relationships of cotinine and self-reported cigarette smoking with hemoglobin A1c in the U.S.: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2008. Diabetes Care 2011;34:2250-5.

52. Willi C, Bodenmann P, Ghali WA, Faris PD, Cornuz J. Active smoking and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2007;298:2654-64.

53. Targher G, Alberiche M, Zenere MB, Bonadonna RC, Muggeo M, Bonora E. Cigarette smoking and insulin resistance in patients with noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1997;82:3619-24.

54. Madsbad S, McNair P, Christensen MS, et al. Influence of smoking on insulin requirement and metbolic status in diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 1980;3:41-3.

55. Bott U, Jorgens V, Grusser M, Bender R, Muhlhauser I, Berger M. Predictors of glycaemic control in type 1 diabetic patients after participation in an intensified treatment and teaching programme. Diabet Med 1994;11:362-71.

56. Melin EO, Thunander M, Svensson R, Landin-Olsson M, Thulesius HO. Depression, obesity, and smoking were independently associated with inadequate glycemic control in patients with type 1 diabetes. Eur J Endocrinol 2013;168:861-9.

57. Gunton JE, Davies L, Wilmshurst E, Fulcher G, McElduff A. Cigarette smoking affects glycemic control in diabetes. Diabetes Care 2002;25:796-7.

58. Kapoor D, Jones TH. Smoking and hormones in health and endocrine disorders. Eur J Endocrinol 2005;152:491-9.

59. Nilsson PM, Gudbjornsdottir S, Eliasson B, Cederholm J. Smoking is associated with increased HbA1c values and microalbuminuria in patients with diabetes--data from the National Diabetes Register in Sweden. Diabetes Metab 2004;30:261-8.

60. Sargeant LA, Khaw KT, Bingham S, et al. Cigarette smoking and glycaemia: the EPIC-Norfolk Study. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer. Int J Epidemiol 2001;30:547-54.

61. Lundman BM, Asplund K, Norberg A. Smoking and metabolic control in patients with insulindependent diabetes mellitus. J Intern Med 1990;227:101-6.

62. Fullerton B, Jeitler K, Seitz M, Horvath K, Berghold A, Siebenhofer A. Intensive glucose control versus conventional glucose control for type 1 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014;2:CD009122.

63. Hemmingsen B, Lund SS, Gluud C, et al. Targeting intensive glycaemic control versus targeting conventional glycaemic control for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013;11:CD008143.

64. Winkelmann BR, Boehm BO, Nauck M, et al. Cigarette smoking is independently associated with markers of endothelial dysfunction and hyperinsulinaemia in non-diabetic individuals with coronary artery disease. Curr Med Res Opin 2001;17:132-41.

65. Burke A, Fitzgerald GA. Oxidative stress and smoking-induced vascular injury. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2003;46:79-90.

66. Benowitz NL. Cigarette smoking and cardiovascular disease: pathophysiology and implications for treatment. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2003;46:91-111.

67. Eliasson B. Cigarette smoking and diabetes. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2003;45:405-13.

68. Yu MK, Lyles CR, Bent-Shaw LA, Young BA. Sex disparities in diabetes process of care measures and self-care in high-risk patients. Journal of diabetes research 2013;2013:575814.

69. Chiolero A, Wietlisbach V, Ruffieux C, Paccaud F, Cornuz J. Clustering of risk behaviors with cigarette consumption: A population-based survey. Prev Med 2006;42:348-53.

70. Voulgari C, Katsilambros N, Tentolouris N. Smoking cessation predicts amelioration of microalbuminuria in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 1-year prospective study. Metabolism 2011;60:1456-64.

71. Standards of medical care in diabetes--2014. Diabetes Care 2014;37 Suppl 1:S14-80.

Tables and figures legends:

Table 1: Characteristics of prospective studies included in the Meta-analysis

Table 2: Stratified analyses for prospective studies and cross-sectional studies

Table 3: Characteristics of cross-sectional studies included in the Meta-analysis

Figure 1: Study flow diagram

Figure 2: Forest plot for prospective studies: Adjusted Odds ratios of Neuropathy for Smokers Compared with Nonsmokers

Figure 3: Forest plot for prospective studies of highest quality ("moderate quality" using the GRADE criteria): Adjusted Odds ratios of Neuropathy for Smokers Compared with Nonsmokers

Figure 4: Forest plot for cross-sectional studies: Adjusted Odds Ratios of Neuropathy for Smokers Compared with Nonsmokers

Online appendix files

Online appendix 1: Electronic search strategy

Online appendix 1: Level of adjustment and quality of prospective studies

Online appendix 2: Funnel plot for prospective studies

Online appendix 3: Level of adjustment and quality of cross-sectional studies

Online appendix 4: Funnel plot for cross-sectional studies

Author, year	Country/	Sample	Population	Type	%	Mean	Mean DM	Neuropathy	Smoking	FUP	Estimate
	region	size		OI DM	men	age	duration	screening	comparison	у	-
Lehtinen et al., 1993	Finland	113	Subjects with newly diagnosed DM from the community	2	51	56.4	0	Nerve conduction velocities	NS	5	Ν
Adler et al., 1997	USA	387	US veterans followed in an outpatient clinic	Both	96	61.7	9.8	Monofilament examination	Current vs. former + never smokers	2.6	OR
Forrest et al., 1997	USA	453	Subjects with childhood-onset DM	1	49	25.1	16.9	Neurological examination	Current + former vs. never smokers	5.3	RR
Sands et al., 1997	USA	231	Biethnic population in Colorado	2	NS	NS	NS	Neurological examination and history	Current + former vs. never smokers	4.7	OR
Christen et al., 1999	USA	407	Participants of a drug (Sorbinil) multi-center trial	1	75	31.4	6.5	Neurological examination and history	Current + former vs. never smokers*	2	RR
Tesfaye et al., 2005	Europe	1172	Subjects randomly selected from 31 diabetes clinics	1	51	30.7	12.4	Neurological examination	Current + former vs. never smokers	7.3	OR
Sibal et al., 2006	UK	334	Outpatients who attended diabetes services	1	54	39	20	Neurological examination and history	NS	9	OR
Gerrits et al.,	Netherlands	973	Subjects from	2	46	66	4	Monofilament	NS	3.1	OR

Table 1: Characteristics of prospective studies included in the Meta-analysis

2008			primary care					examination			
Elliott et al., 2009	Europe	1407	Subjects randomly selected from 31 diabetes clinics	1	48	31.5	13.1	Vibration perception threshold measured by biothesiometers	Current + former vs. never smokers	7.3	OR
Uruska et al., 2014	Poland	81	Patients treated with intensive Insulin from onset of disease	1	63	34	10	Neurological examination (monofilament, vibration, temperature and ankle reflex)	Current + former vs. never smokers	10	OR

DM = diabetes mellitus, NS = non specified, FUP = follow-up, OR=Odds ratio, RR=Relative risk, N=number or proportion

* In the age-adjusted analyses, compared current smokers to never smokers, in the multivariable-adjusted model compares ever to never smokers

 Table 2: Stratified analyses for prospective and cross-sectional studies

Stratified analysis	Total number of trials	OR	(95% CI)	I-squared	P value from metareg ⁺
Adjustment for confounding factors					
Prospective studies					0.71
Adjusted for at least HbA1c and DM duration	5	1.47	(1.01-2.13)	71.8%	
Not adjusted for HbA1c and DM duration	5	1.03	(0.34-3.09)	79.2%	
Cross sectional studies					0.31
Adjusted for at least HbA1c and DM duration	7	1.59	(1.23-2.06)	43.6%	
Not adjusted for HbA1c and DM duration	21	1.36	(1.11-1.66)	69.2%	
Type of diabetes					
Prospective studies					0.02
Type 1	6	1.74	(1.48-2.04)	0%	
Type 2	3	0.65	(0.16-2.71)	83.2%	
Both	1	0.22	(0.07-0.66)		
Cross sectional studies					0.19
Type 1	3	3.02	(2.03-4.47)	11.7%	
Type 2	21	1.24	(1.08-1.44)	50.5%	
Both	4	1.55	(0.94-2.57)	63.2%	
Smoking exposure					
Prospective studies					0.007
Ever (current + former) vs. never smoker	6	1.77	(1.51-2.08)	0%	
Current vs. never smoker	1	0.22	(0.07-0.66)		
Non specified	3	0.47	(0.21-1.06)	31.6%	
Cross sectional studies					0.79
Ever (current + former) vs. never smoker	4	1.78	(1.39-2.29)	10.6%	
Current vs. non smokers (former + never)	7	1.38	(0.87-2.20)	70.0%	

Current vs. never smoker	6	1.58	(1.00-2.48)	74.2%	
Non specified or other definition	11	1.28	(1.03-1.60)	57.2%	
Mean follow-up					
Prospective studies					0.322
< 5 years	4	0.77	(0.25-2.31)	86.4%	
\geq 5 years	6	1.63	(1.21-2.21)	47.7%	
Level of quality (GRADE criteria)					
Prospective studies					0.007
Moderate	6	1.73	(1.48-2.03)	0%	
Low	2	1.57	(0.05-50.83)	91%	
Very low	2	0.27	(0.11-0.65)	0%	
Cross sectional studies					0.75
Low	22	1.29	(1.13-1.48)	50.5%	
Very low	4	1.30	(0.61-2.75)	68.3%	

+ P-value for metaregression using the "metareg" Stata command

 Table 3: Characteristics of cross-sectional studies included in the Meta-analysis

Author	Country	Sample size	Population	Type of DM	% men	Mean age	Mean DM duration	Neuropathy screening	Smoking measure	Estimate
Maser et al., 1989 ⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶	USA	363	Cohort of patients with recent diagnosis	1	50	28.4	19.9	Neurological examination and history	Current + former vs. never smokers	OR
Mitchell et al., 1990	USA	214	Patients admitted to the inpatient diabetic clinic of a University hospital	1	37	46	14.7	Neurological examination and history	Smoking ≥ 30 vs. < 30 pack- years	OR
Franklin et al., 1994	USA	277	Biethnic population in Colorado	2	43	59.5	9.7	Neurological examination and history	< 20 pack- years vs. never smokers	OR
Gregory et al., 1994	UK	136	Newly diagnosed patients attending a hospital	2	50	68	0	Neurological examination and history	Smoking ≥ 30 vs. < 30 pack- years	Ν
Matsumoto et al., 1994	Japan	742	Outpatients who visited the diabetic unit of a department of internal medicine	2	54	49	1.3	Information from patient's charts and neurological examination t	NS	OR
Zafra Mezcua et al., 2000	Spain	504	Patients attending a medical outpatient clinic	2	42	63.9	8.6	Medical chart review	NS	RR
Barbosa et al., 2001	Portugal	93	Patients from primary health cares	2	40	65.4	10.1	Neurological examination	Current vs. never smokers	N
Gomez-Viera et al.,	Cuba	200	Patients diagnosed in clinic	Both	3 2 /6	•	•	Clinical diagnosis with	NS	RR

2001								neuroinduction exam corroboration		
Tapp et al., 2003	Australia	821	Population-based survey	2	51	63.1	0.2	Neurological examination and history	Current vs. former + never smokers	OR
Boru et al., 2004	Turkey	866	Patients who attended a diabetic clinic	2	40	57.2	8.5	Neurological examination and history	NS	OR
Tamer et al., 2006	Turkey	191	Patients with type 2 DM recruited	2	43	58.7		Neurological examination and electromyography	Current + former vs. never smokers	OR
Al-Mahroos et al., 2007	Bahrain	1477	Patients from specialized clinics	2	43	57.3	9.5	Neurological examination and history	NS	OR
Cho et al., 2010	Korea	90	Patients who underwent work-ups for peripheral polyneuropathy	2	51	59	8.7	Neurological examination and history	NS	OR
Jianbo et al., 2011	China	227	Inpatients and outpatients	2		64.5	9.3	Neurologic exam and electromyography	Current vs. never smoker	Ν
Spallone et al., 2011	Italy	191	Diabetic patients with suspected neuropathic pain referred to a center	Both	56.5	58.6	16.7	History + electrodiagnostic studies in selected cases	NS	OR
Wang et al., 2011	USA	816	Patients referred to a diabetes education program	2	45.2	57		Questionnaires and review of medical records	Current + former vs. never	OR

									smokers	
Abougalambou et al., 2012	Malaysia	1077	Patient followed in an outpatient diabetic clinic	2	45.2			Neurologic exam	Current vs. former+ never smokers	OR
Ji et al., 2012	China	565	Mostly inpatients	2	47.8	66.6	16.2	Medical history and/or symptoms and/or neurological exam	Current vs. never smokers	Ν
Katulanda et al., 2012	Sri Lanka	337	Non institutionalized adults from the community	2	37.1	56.8	6.3	Symptoms and neurologic exam	Current vs. former + never smokers	OR
Rasul et al., 2012	Austria	120	Patients from an outpatient clinic	2	59.2	62.9	12.7	Neurological exam and nerve conduction velocity	Current vs. never smokers	Ν
Eleftheradiou et al., 2013 (Abstract)	Greece	71	Patients from an outpatient clinic	2	63.4	67.7	15	Neuropathy symptom and neuropathy disability scores, vibration perception threshold	Current vs. former + never smokers	OR
Molina et al., 2013	Spain	405	Patients from a diabetes clinic and primary care clinic	2	58.3	66	12.7	Semmes- Weinstein monofilament test	Current vs. former + never smokers	Ν
Aubert et al., 2014	France	198		2	79.8	65	13	Neuropathy disability score or inability to perceive	Current vs. former + never smokers	N

								monofilament		
Bener et al., 2014	Qatar	1633		Both	51.6	45.3	7.3	Not specified	Current vs. former + never smokers	OR
Brownrigg et al., 2014	UK	13043		2	51.8	63.8	·	Semmes- Weinstein monofilament test	Current vs. never smokers	Ν
Hu et al., 2014	China	937	Diabetic inpatients ate a clinical medical center of diabetes	2	57.7	59.6	9.8	Neurological examination and nerve conduction tests	NS	Ν
Jaiswal et al., 2014 (Abstract)	USA	1448	Participants to the SEARCH for diabetes in youth study	1	50	19	8	Symptoms and neurologic exam	Current vs. never smokers	OR
Wang et al., 2014	Saudi Arabia	552	People with diabetes from the community	Both	62.7	53.4			Current + former vs. never smokers	OR

DM = diabetes mellitus, NS = non specified, FUP = follow-up, OR=Odds ratio, RR=Relative risk, N=number or proportion

Figure 1:







Dashed vertical line represents the estimated pooled effect size estimate; points in grey squares with lines represent odds ratios and 95% CIs of individual studies; the open diamond represent a visual summary of the overall 95% CI of the effect estimate of smoking on the incidence of DPN. Studies on the right of the 1 vertical line indicate a positive association between smoking and DPN, studies on the left a negative association.

Figure 3



Dashed vertical line represents the estimated pooled effect size estimate; points in grey squares with lines represent odds ratios and 95% CIs of individual studies; the open diamond represent a visual summary of the overall 95% CI of the effect estimate of smoking on the incidence of DPN. Studies on the right of the 1 vertical line indicate a positive association between smoking and DPN, studies on the left a negative association.

Figure 4



Dashed vertical line represents the estimated pooled effect size estimate; points in grey squares with lines represent odds ratios and 95% CIs of individual studies; the open diamond represent a visual summary of the overall 95% CI of the effect estimate of smoking on the prevalence of DPN. Studies on the right of the 1 vertical line indicate a positive association between smoking and DPN, studies on the left a negative association.

Online appendix 1

A) Electronic search strategy for PubMed (performed on November 17, 2014):

((polyneuropath*[tiab] OR neuropath*[tiab]) OR ("Peripheral Nervous System

Diseases"[Mesh]) OR (((("Diabetic Neuropathies"[Mesh]) OR "Polyneuropathies"[Mesh]) OR

"Diabetic Foot"[Mesh])) AND (("Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2"[Mesh] OR "Diabetes Mellitus,

Type 1"[Mesh]) OR (diabetes[tiab] OR diabetic*[tiab])) AND (((("Smoking"[Mesh] OR

"Smoking Cessation"[Mesh]) OR ("Tobacco Use Cessation"[Mesh] OR "Tobacco Use

Disorder"[Mesh] OR "Tobacco, Smokeless"[Mesh] OR "Tobacco"[Mesh])) OR

"Nicotine"[Mesh]) OR (smoking[tiab] OR smoker*[tiab] OR cigarett*[tiab] OR tobacco[tiab]

OR nicotine[tiab])).

B) Electronic search strategy for Embase (performed on November 17, 2014):

'diabetic neuropathy'/exp OR 'peripheral neuropathy'/exp OR 'polyneuropathy'/exp AND [embase]/lim

'diabetes mellitus'/exp OR 'non insulin dependent diabetes mellitus'/exp OR 'insulin dependent diabetes mellitus'/exp AND [embase]/lim

'smoking'/exp OR 'smoking cessation'/exp OR 'smokeless tobacco'/exp OR 'tobacco dependence'/exp OR 'tobacco'/exp OR 'nicotine'/exp AND [embase]/lim Online appendix 2: Level of adjustment and quality of prospective studies

Author year	Adjustment	Qu	ality score (NOS sca	ale)
Author, year	Adjustment	Selection ¹	Comparability ²	Exposure ³
Lehtinen et al., 1993	-	***	-	**
Adler et al., 1997	HbA1c, height, history of ulcer, age, alcohol, albumin, creatinin	*	*	*
Forrest et al., 1997	HbA1c, DM duration, height, hypertension	****	**	***
Sands et al., 1997	HbA1c, DM duration, age, insulin treatment, ethnicity, gender, history of myocardial infarct, angina	****	**	***
Christen et al., 1999	HbA1c, age, drug (Sorbinil vs plabebo), height, gender	****	*	***
Tesfaye et al., 2005	HbA1c, DM duration	****	*	***
Sibal et al., 2006	DM duration, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, glomerular filtration rate, triglycerides, microalbuminuria, retinopathy, foot complications	****	*	***
Gerrits et al., 2008	HbA1c, DM duration, sex, systolic blood pressure, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, body- mass index, skin autofluorescence	****	**	***
Elliott et al., 2009	HbA1C, DM duration, hypertension, body-mass index, retinopathy, cardiovascular disease history, cholesterol, triglycerides, albumin	****	*	***
Uruska et al., 2014	HbA1c, age, sexe, hypertension	***	*	*

HbA1c= glycated heamoglobin, DM=diabetes mellitus

NOS scale = Newcastle Ottawa scale

¹ Selection : minimum = -, maximum =****, ² Comparability: minimum = -, maximum = **, ³ Exposure: minimum = -, maximum = ***

Online appendix 3



Online appendix 4: Level of adjustment and quality of cross-sectional studies

Author year	Adjustment	Qua	Quality score (NOS scale)						
Author, year	Adjustment	Selection ¹	Comparability ²	Exposure ³					
Maser et al., 1989 ⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶⁶	HbA1c,DM duration, HDL-cholesterol, macrovascular disease	*	**	*					
Mitchell et al., 1990	HbA1c, DM duration	*	*	*					
Franklin et al., 1994	HbA1c, DM duration, age, ethnicity, systolic blood pressure, height, insulin use, alcohol, serum lipids, peripheral vascular disease, fasting C-peptide	*	**	*					
Gregory et al., 1994	-	*	-	*					
Matsumoto et al., 1994	-	**	-	**					
Zafra Mezcua et al., 2000	-	***	-	*					
Barbosa et al., 2001	-	*	-	*					
Gomez-Viera et al., 2001	-	*	-	*					
Tapp et al., 2003	-	*	-	*					
Boru et al., 2004	HbA1c, DM duration, retinopathy, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, alcohol	*	**	*					
Tamer et al., 2006	HbA1c, DM duration, gender, age, hypertension, cholesterol, triglycerides, drug usage, neuropathic complaints	*	**	*					
Al-Mahroos et al., 2007	HbA1c, DM duration, gender, age, hypertension, cholesterol, triglycerides, body- mass index, waist circumference	*	**	*					

Cho et al., 2010	Retinopathy, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, gamma-glutamyl transferase, Aspartate amino transferase, urine albunine/creatinie, C-reactive protein	*	*	*
Jianbo et al., 2011	-	***	-	*
Spallone et al., 2011	HbA1c, DM duration, gender, age, systolic blood pressure, triglycerides, peripheral arterial disease, Valsalva ratio, type of DM, body-mass index, waist circumference, Michigan Diabetic Neuropathy Score	*	**	*
Wang et al., 2011	DM duration, sex, race, education, self foot exam, foot exam by medical doctor	*	*	*
Abougalambou et al., 2012	-	***	-	*
Ji et al., 2012	-	***	-	*
Katulanda et al., 2012	-	***	-	*
Rasul et al., 2012	-	***	-	*
Eleftheradiou et al., 2013 (abstract)	Sex, age, body-mass index			
Molina et al., 2013	-	**	-	**
Aubert et al., 2014	-	***	-	**
Bener et al., 2014	-	**	-	*
Brownrigg et al., 2014	-	***	-	**
Jaiswal et al., 2014 (abstract)	-			
Hu et al., 2014	-	**	-	**

Wang et al., 2014	Sex, age, nationality	***	*	*	
					glycated

heamoglobin, DM=diabetes mellitus, NA= not applicable

NOS scale = Newcastle Ottawa scale

HbA1c=

¹ Selection : minimum = -, maximum =***, ² Comparability: minimum = -, maximum = **, ³ Exposure: minimum = -, maximum = **

Online appendix 5

