Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL serval.unil.ch

# **Author Manuscript**

**Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication** 

This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

Title: Ki67 proliferative index of the neuroendocrine component drives MANEC prognosis.
Authors: Milione M, Maisonneuve P, Pellegrinelli A, Grillo F, Albarello L, Spaggiari P, Vanoli A, Tagliabue G, Pisa E, Messerini L, Centonze G, Inzani F, Scarpa A, Papotti M, Volante M, Sessa F, Fazio N, Pruneri G, Rindi G, Solcia E, La Rosa S, Capella C
Journal: Endocrine-related cancer
Year: 2018 May
Issue: 25
Volume: 5
Pages: 583-593
DOI: 10.1530/ERC-17-0557

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.



UNIL | Université de Lausanne Faculty of Biology and Medicine

1 Ki67 proliferative index of the neuroendocrine component drives MANEC prognosis

2	Massimo Milione <sup>1</sup> , Patrick Maisonneuve <sup>2</sup> , Alessio Pellegrinelli <sup>1</sup> , Federica Grillo <sup>3</sup> , Luca
3	Albarello <sup>4</sup> , Paola Spaggiari <sup>5</sup> , Alessandro Vanoli <sup>6</sup> , Giovanna Tagliabue <sup>7</sup> , Eleonora Pisa <sup>8</sup> , Luca
4	Messerini <sup>9</sup> , Giovanni Centonze <sup>1</sup> , Frediano Inzani <sup>10</sup> , Aldo Scarpa <sup>11</sup> , Mauro Papotti <sup>12</sup> , Marco
5	Volante <sup>12</sup> , Fausto Sessa <sup>13</sup> , Nicola Fazio <sup>14</sup> , Giancarlo Pruneri <sup>1,15</sup> , Guido Rindi <sup>16</sup> , Enrico Solcia <sup>6</sup> ,
6	Stefano La Rosa <sup>17</sup> and Carlo Capella <sup>13</sup> .
7	<sup>1</sup> 1 <sup>st</sup> Pathology Division, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Fondazione IRCCS
8	Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan; Italy
9	<sup>2</sup> Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, European Institute of oncology (IEO), Milan, Italy
10	<sup>3</sup> Pathology Unit, Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics (DISC),
11	University of Genova and Policlinico San Martino, Genova, Italy
12	<sup>4</sup> Pathology Unit, San Raffaele Hospital, Milan, Italy
13	<sup>5</sup> Cancer Center Humanitas, Milan, Italy
14	<sup>6</sup> Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo and Department of Molecular Medicine,
15	University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy
16	<sup>7</sup> Lombardy Cancer Registry, Varese Province Cancer Registry Unit, Department of Research,
17	Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan; Italy
18	<sup>8</sup> Division of Pathology, European Institute of Oncology (IEO), Milan, Italy
19	<sup>9</sup> Pathology Department, University of Florence; Italy
20	<sup>10</sup> Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli, Roma, Italy

- 21 <sup>11</sup>ARC-Net Research Centre and Department of Diagnostics and Public Health-Section of
- 22 Pathology, University and Hospital Trust of Verona, Verona, Italy
- 23 <sup>12</sup> Department of Oncology, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

24	<sup>13</sup> Department of Medicine a	nd Surgery,	University of	Insubria, Va	arese, Italy
----	--	-------------	---------------	--------------	--------------

- 25 <sup>14</sup> Gastrointestinal Medical Oncology and Neuroendocrine Tumors Unit, European Institute
- 26 of Oncology (IEO), Milan; Italy
- 27 <sup>15</sup> University of Milan, School of Medicine, Milan, Italy
- 28 <sup>16</sup> Institute of Anatomic Pathology, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore-Fondazione
- 29 Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli, Rome, Italy
- 30 <sup>17</sup> Service of Clinical Pathology, Lausanne University Hospital, Institute of Pathology,
- 31 Lausanne, Switzerland
- 32

33

- 34 Corresponding Author:
- 35 Dr. Massimo Milione
- 36 1<sup>st</sup> Pathology Division, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine
- 37 Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Via G. Venezian, 1
- 38 Milan 20133, Italy
- 39 phone: + 39 02 23902260/2876/3460
- 40 fax: + 39 02 23902877
- 41 E-mail: massimo.milione@istitutotumori.mi.it
- 42
- 43 Short title: Ki67 in NEC drives MANEC prognosis

44

45 **Key words:** MANEC, NEC, Ki67, prognosis.

46

47 Word count:

18	
70	

#### 49 ABSTRACT

50 Mixed adeno-neuroendocrine carcinomas (MANECs) are composed of a poorly differentiated 51 neuroendocrine carcinoma (NEC) and a non-neuroendocrine (non-NEC) neoplastic epithelial 52 component, each representing at least 30% of the tumor. At present, prognostic factors for 53 MANECs remain largely unexplored. We investigated the clinical-pathologic features of a 54 large multicenter series of digestive system MANECs.

55 Surgical specimens of 200 MANEC candidates were centrally reviewed; diagnosis was 56 confirmed in 160 cases. While morphology, proliferation [mitotic count (MC), Ki67 index] 57 and immunophenotype (p53, SSTR2a, beta-Catenin, Bcl-2, p16, Rb1, ALDH, Mismatch Repair 58 proteins, CD117) were investigated separately in both components, genomic (*TP53*, 59 *KRAS,BRAF*) alterations were searched for on the entire tumor. Data were correlated with 60 overall survival (OS).

61 MANEC sites were: 92 colorectal, 44 gastro-esophageal and 24 pancreato-biliary. Median OS 62 was 13.2 months. After adjustment for primary site, Ki67 index of the NEC component (but 63 not of the non-NEC component) was the most powerful prognostic marker. At multivariable 64 analysis, patients with Ki67 ≥55% had an 8-fold risk of death [Hazard ratio (HR) 7.83; 95% 65 confidence interval (CI) 4.17-14.7; p<0.0001] and a median OS of 12.2 months compared to 66 those with Ki67<55% (median OS 40.5 months). MC (HR 1.51; 95% Cl 1.03-2.20, p=0.04) was 67 a weaker prognostic index. Colorectal primary site (HR 1.60; 95% CI 1.11-2.32; p=0.01) was 68 significantly associated with poorer survival. No single immunomarker, in either component, 69 was statistically significant. This retrospective analysis of a large series of digestive system 70 MANECs), showed that the NEC component, particularly its Ki-67 index, was the main 71 prognostic driver.

#### 73 INTRODUCTION

74 The coexistence of neuroendocrine and non-neuroendocrine components in the same 75 neoplasm is a rare but well known phenomenon in digestive system tumors. The 2010 World 76 Health Organization (WHO) classification of tumors of the digestive system proposed the 77 term "mixed adeno-neuroendocrine carcinoma" (MANEC) to define these cancers in which, 78 by definition, each component represents at least 30% of the tumor mass (Bosman F 2010). 79 The pathogenesis of MANECs is still unclear, and different hypotheses have been proposed 80 to explain their biphasic morphology. Molecular investigations have suggested a multistep 81 progression from a common precursor lesion. Indeed, the higher frequency of chromosomal 82 and gene abnormalities found in the neuroendocrine component compared to the non-83 neuroendocrine component suggests that progression from a non-neuroendocrine towards 84 a neuroendocrine cell phenotype, and not vice-versa, is more frequent (Furlan, et al. 2003; 85 Huang, et al. 2002; Jesinghaus, et al. 2017; Kim, et al. 2002; Paniz Mondolfi, et al. 2011; 86 Scardoni, et al. 2014; Volante, et al. 2015; Vortmeyer, et al. 1997; Woischke, et al. 2017).

87 The clinical behavior of MANECs is generally aggressive, however prognostic markers 88 predictive of MANEC outcome have not been definitively validated to date. Whether 89 prognostic markers of poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinomas (NECs), such as 90 proliferation, CD117 expression and microsatellite instability, have the same prognostic 91 relevance in MANECs is, as yet, unknown. Moreover, considering the peculiar morphologic 92 characteristics of MANECs, additional specific parameters may be prognostically important, 93 including the percentage and type of different tumor components, tumor site, morphologic 94 features (i.e. tumor cell budding, vascular and perineural infiltration, intra- and peri-tumoral 95 lymphoid infiltration), type of tumor component in nodal or distant metastases,

Page 6 of 28

96 immunophenotype and molecular profile. To the best of our knowledge, these diverse97 characteristics have never been fully investigated in a large series of digestive MANECs.

In this retrospective multicenter study, we collected a large series of MANECs and we
extensively investigated clinical, morphologic, immunohistochemical and molecular features
in order to characterize the different tumor components and to search for parameters useful
in prognostic stratification.

102

#### 103 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 104 Case selection and study design

105 Between 1995 and 2015, the surgical pathology and clinical databases of eleven Italian 106 Institutions were retrospectively searched and patients with one of the following diagnoses 107 at histology report sign out were selected: "mixed exocrine-neuroendocrine carcinoma", 108 "adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma (MANEC)", "composite glandular endocrine carcinoma" 109 "carcinoma with neuroendocrine differentiation", "amphicrine/combined, carcinoma or 110 tumor", "mixed adenocarcinoma and neuroendocrine carcinoma". Exclusion criteria were: i) 111 cases with only biopsy material available; ii) cases with either NEC or non-NEC component 112 <30%; iii) cases in which the neuroendocrine component was well differentiated (as 113 discussed by La Rosa, et al. 2012; and Ohike 2017); iv) patients who underwent neoadjuvant 114 chemotherapy.

A total of 200 candidate cases were identified. Patients' charts and tumor morphology were carefully revised, first by the pathologist of the case-proposing hospital and then by a panel of seven expert pathologists (MM, AP, PS, AV, LA, SLR, and CC) using a multihead microscope. During panel consensus meetings, the original diagnosis was reviewed and further workup was carried out whenever panelists disagreed or quantitative evaluations

120 approached cut-off values For qualitative parameters a majority decision was adopted, while 121 for quantitative evaluations the mean of values obtained by the individual panelists was 122 taken as final. MANEC identification, guantitative evaluation of the NEC versus non-NEC 123 components, and sub-type characterization as collision or combined were based on parallel 124 investigation of at least two consecutive sections from representative blocks, stained with 125 hematoxylin-eosin and synaptophysin, respectively. Ki67 proliferative rate (or other 126 histochemical parameters investigated) was assessed on a third consecutive section. The 127 identification of an amphicrine component was based on finding synaptophysin reactivity 128 within the cytoplasm of cells also showing signet ring or gland-forming patterns after alcian 129 blue counterstaining of the same section or with the help of an adjacent section stained with 130 PAS and alcian blue. In the end, 160 cases met all the above criteria and were enrolled in the 131 study.

132 Morphologic analysis (Table 1) considered: a) assessment of the percentage of NEC and non-133 NEC components; b) morphology of non-NEC component: adenoma, adenocarcinoma, 134 mucinous carcinoma, signet-ring carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma and acinar cell 135 carcinoma (only in pancreatic site) (La Rosa et al. 2012a); c) morphology of NEC component: 136 small cell or large cell according to WHO 2010 (Bosman F 2010; Rindi G 2010); d) necrosis in 137 the NEC component; e) Ki67 index was defined using the MIB antibody as a percentage of 138 500-2000 cells counted in areas of strongest nuclear labelling ("hot spots") (Rindi G 2010); f) mitotic count (MC) was evaluated in at least 10 HPF (10 HPF=2mm<sup>2</sup>)(Rindi G 2010); g) 139 140 quantitative assessment of NEC, non-NEC or mixed type components in lymph node 141 metastases and/or in distant metastases; h) tumor staging according to the Union for International Cancer Control/American Joint Committee on Cancer (UICC/AJCC) 8<sup>th</sup> edition 142 143 (Amin2017); i) lymphovascular invasion (evaluated on both hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) and/or 7

Page 8 of 28

144 CD31-stained sections); I) perineural invasion; m) intra and/or peritumoral lymphocytic 145 infiltration; n) prevalent tumor component (NEC, non-NEC or mixed type) on the deep invasive front; o) type of combination of the NEC and non-NEC component: collision when 146 147 the two components were clearly demarcated, *combined* when they were intimately 148 admixed, and *amphicrine*/combined, when the same cells displayed both neuroendocrine 149 and non-neuroendocrine phenotype, (as a rule this was observed in a combined histological 150 background; p) tumor budding defined according to the International Tumor Budding 151 Consensus Conference 2016 (ITBCC) (Lugli, et al. 2017).

152 The histochemical and immunohistochemical (IHC) study (Supplementary table 1) included: 153 a) Alcian Blue-Periodic Acid-Schiff (PAS) to better define mucin production in the non-NEC 154 epithelial neoplastic component; b) synaptophysin and chromogranin A (general 155 neuroendocrine immunomarkers) in order to confirm the presence and extent of the NEC 156 component (Figure 1B); c) Ki67 staining evaluated in both NEC and non-NEC components 157 (Bosman F 2010; Rindi G 2010); d) IHC assessment and evaluation in both components of 158 several markers including p53, Rb1, p16, Bcl-2, Beta-Catenin, aldehyde dehydrogenase 159 (ALDH), CDX2, thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF1), mismatch repair (MMR) proteins, CD117 160 and somatostatin receptor 2A (SSTR2A) using the antibodies listed in Supplementary Table 2. 161 With the exception of p53 and SSTR2A, all markers were considered positive regardless of 162 the number of positive cells. p53 was considered positive when  $\geq$ 30% of cells were positive (Ali, et al. 2017); SSTR2A was assessed according to Volante et al. (positive: 2+, 3+; negative: 163 164 0, 1+ score) (Volante, et al. 2007). MMR deficiency was established according to the criteria

165 reported by Chiaravalli et al. (Chiaravalli, et al. 2001).

Data concerning mutations (Table 1) of KRAS (codons 12 and 13), BRAF (codon 600) and TP53 (exons 5 to 8) were extracted from investigations performed for therapy decision making, either by PCR pyrosequencig as described by (Sahnane, et al. 2015) or by Next Generation Sequencing analysis (NGS) as detailed by (Meazza, et al. 2016).

170

171 This study was performed according to the clinical standards of the 1975 and 1983 172 Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethical Committee of Fondazione IRCCS 173 Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy (n° INT 21/16).

174 <u>Evaluation of proliferative cut-offs</u>

We searched for prognostically relevant cut-offs of Ki67 and MC. The results of ourexploratory analysis are shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

#### 177 <u>Statistical analysis</u>

178 Data were analyzed by descriptive statistics. Differences in frequencies were assessed with 179 the Chi-square or the Fisher exact test. The primary study endpoint was the correlation of 180 overall survival (OS) with primary tumor site, tumor stage, NEC subtype (large or small cell), 181 non-NEC histotype, MANEC type (collision, combined, amphicrine/combined), percentage of 182 NEC and non-NEC components (evaluated on: whole neoplasm, invasive front, lymph nodes 183 and/or distant metastases), lymphocytic intratumoral and peritumoral infiltrate, 184 angioinvasion, perineural invasion and necrosis in the NEC component. The following 185 parameters were separately evaluated in NEC and non-NEC components: MC, Ki67, MMR 186 deficiency, Bcl-2, Rb1, p16, p53, TTF1, CDX2, CD117, ALDH, Beta-Catenin and SSTR2a.

OS was assessed from the time of diagnosis to the time of death or last follow-up. Survivalcurves were drawn according to the Kaplan-Meier method, and difference between groups

was assessed with the log rank test. The proportions of patients surviving at different time points are presented with respective 95% Confidence Interval (CI). Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was used to assess the prognostic significance of various clinical and histopathologic characteristics. Data analysis was performed using the SAS software (version 9.4, Cary NC, USA). All tests were two-sided and p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.</p>

195

196 **RESULTS** 

#### 197 Clinicopathologic features

198 Table 1 and Figure 1 summarize the main clinicopathologic features of the 160 patients 199 enrolled in the study. The series comprised more males than females (72.5% vs 27.5%) and 200 this difference was statistically significant and was maintained across tumor sites (p=0.04). 201 Most patients (74.4%) had Stage IIIB disease. MANECs were more frequently colorectal 202 (n=92, 57.5%), with a prevalence in the right colon (64 cases) versus the left colon (10 cases) 203 and rectum (18 cases), followed by gastro-esophageal (n=44, 27.5%) locations, with a 204 prevalence (32 cases) for stomach, versus cardio-esophageal junction (9 cases) and 205 esophageal tumors (3 cases). Finally pancreato-biliary locations were the least common 206 (n=24, 15.0%), the majority of these were pancreatic tumors (14 cases) compared to 207 gallbladder (7 cases) or extrahepatic biliary tract primaries (3 cases). No MANECs were found 208 in the small bowel or appendix.

All neoplasms investigated showed 30% or more reactive cells for synaptophysin, while only 90/160 cases showed significant reactivity for chromogranin-A. Thus, we based our identification of the NEC component on the synaptophysin positive, poorly differentiated

212 neuroendocrine part of the neoplasm (Figure 1A, 1B). Most MANECs (75.6%) had a NEC 213 component in the 50% to 70% range (Table 1). Considering the NEC component, the large 214 cell type (n=135, 84.4%) was more frequent compared to the small cell type. In the non-NEC 215 component, conventional adenocarcinoma was the dominant histotype (n=105), followed by 216 mucinous (n=17, 11 of which colorectal), signet ring cell (n=10, 8 of which gastric) and 217 squamous cell carcinoma (n=5, 4 of which esophageal or cardio-esophageal). Fifty four 218 (33.8%) tumors showed a collision pattern, 82 (51.2%) a purely combined pattern, and 24 219 (15.0%) a mixed amphicrine and combined pattern. In collision neoplasms, the 220 adenocarcinomatous component was usually overlying a deep, invasive NEC component. In 221 combined neoplasms the two components were admixed without distinction between 222 superficial and deep aspects (Figure 1A-1B) however the invasive tumor front (Figure 1C) 223 was predominantly of NEC type with a pure NEC component in 71 (44.4%) cases, mixed 224 NEC/non-NEC component in 79 (49.4%) cases and pure non-NEC carcinomatous component 225 in 10 cases (6.2%) only. Angioinvasion was seen in all MANEC cases. Neoplastic emboli in 226 vessels were prevalently of NEC or mixed NEC/non-NEC type.

Nodal metastases (Figure 1D) were of pure NEC histotype in 35 (26.5%) and mixed NEC/nonNEC in 97 (73.5%) cases. In distant metastases pure NEC histology was found in 6 (35.3%)
cases, and mixed NEC/non-NEC histology in 11 cases (64.7%). Pure non-NEC component was
not detected in metastases.

231

232 Survival Analysis

233 In the overall cohort, median OS was 13.2 months (95% CI 12.4-14.3) (Figure 2A).

Site: OS was significantly shorter in patients with colorectal tumors (median 12.2 months;
95% Cl 10.3-13.3) compared to patients with tumors in other digestive system sites: gastroesophageal or pancreato-biliary (median 17.3 months; 95% Cl 13.3-19.9) (p=0.001) (Figure 28).

238 Immunohistochemistry markers: The majority of IHC markers showed no statistical 239 association with OS (Supplementary Table 1). Only CD117 (Table 2), evaluated in the NEC 240 component, was associated with OS at univariable analysis (HR=1.59; 95% CI 1.13-2.24; 241 p=0.008), although the association lost statistical significance at multivariable analysis 242 (HR=1.42; 95% CI 0.99-2.04; P=0.06). Loss of MMR proteins (MLH1 and PMS2 in all cases) 243 was found in 8/160 neoplasms with equal involvement of NEC and non-NEC components and 244 no significant influence on OS (Supplementary table 1). Rb, p53, CD117, CDX2 and ALDH 245 were significantly co-expressed in both NEC and non-NEC components (Supplementary Table 246 3). The distribution of immunohistochemical markers in the NEC and non-NEC components is 247 reported in Supplementary Table 1.

248 *Proliferation*: A preliminary evaluation of the NEC component showed 55% to be an optimal 249 prognostic cut-off for Ki67 index and 50 mitoses/10HPF to be optimal for MC 250 (Supplementary Figure 1). Ki67 index turned out to be  $\geq$ 55% in the large majority (82.5%) of 251 cases, while MC was  $\geq$ 50 in 30.6% of cases. On the other hand, in the non-NEC component 252 Ki67 was  $\geq$ 55% in 51.9% and MC was  $\geq$ 50/10 HPF in 17.5% of cases.

Patients with Ki67 index <55% in the NEC component (median 40.5 months; 95% CI 34.5-53.2) had a significatively longer OS than those with Ki67  $\geq$ 55% (median 12.2 months; 95% CI 11.1-13.2) p<0.0001. The latter showed a hazard ratio (HR) of 9.08 (95% CI 5.13-16.1) vs Ki67<55% (p<0.0001) after adjustment for tumor site, which retained high significance at

multivariable analysis (Figure 2c and Table 2). Patients with MC <50 mitoses/10HPF also had significatively longer OS (median 14.1; 95% CI 13.0-16.4) compared to those with  $\geq$ 50 mitoses/10 HPF (median 11.2 months; 95% CI 9.5-13.2; p=0.002), although with clearly lower HR (Table 2). In the non-NEC component, a Ki67index of  $\geq$ 55% was associatewd with a tumor site-adjusted HR of 1.81; 95% CI 1.28-2.54 (p=0.0007) vs. <55%, which lost statistical significance at multivariable analysis, while a MC  $\geq$ 50/10HPF lacked any significant difference compared to MC <50/10HPF.

Stage: Patients with early stage (I-IIIA) tumor (24 cases) had longer OS (median 22.1 months;
95% CI 12.8-36.4) compared to 119 patients with stage IIIB (median 12.7 months; 95% CI 11.2-13.3) or 17 patients with stage IV disease (median 15.7 months; 95% CI 12.2-23.3), with
a significant difference (p=0.003) between I-IIIA and IIIB+IV cases (Figure 2D).

When an analysis of OS *adjusted for tumor site* was performed, OS was shown to be significantly associated with tumor stage, MANEC subtype (mixed amphicrine/combined versus collision), perineural infiltration, high grade budding (Figure 1 C) and <del>NGS</del> gene mutations, in addition to Ki67, MC and CD117 in the NEC component, and Ki67 only in the non-NEC component (Table 2).

At *multivariable analysis*, only tumor site, Ki67 and MC in the NEC component and mutations were independently associated with OS (Table 2). Patients with gastro-esophageal tumors (HR=0.64; 95% CI 0.43-0.96; p=0.03) and those with pancreato-biliary tumors (HR=0.58; 95% CI 0.33-1.04; p=0.07) had significantly better survival compared to patients with colorectal MANECs. Patients with Ki67  $\geq$ 55% in the NEC component had a 7.83 fold increased risk of death (95% CI 4.17-14.7; p<0.0001) compared to patients with a Ki67 <55%, while patients with MC  $\geq$ 50 mitoses/10 HPF had a 1.51 fold risk of death (95% CI 1.03-2.20, p=0.04). A

280 mutation in either *KRAS* or *BRAF* or *TP53* genes (HR for any mutation 2.68; 95% Cl 1.50-4.81; 281 p=0.0009) was significantly associated with unfavorable outcome (Table 2). The risk was 282 comparable *KRAS mutations* (HR 2.69; 95% Cl 1.24-5.82; p=0.01) and *TP53* mutations (HR 283 2.90; 95% Cl 1.48-5.68; p=0.002) (Footnote Table 2).

284 **DISCUSSION** 

285 This study demonstrates that the prognosis of MANECs from digestive system is driven 286 mostly by the NEC component with special reference to its Ki67 proliferative index. Ki67 287 index of the NEC component has proven to be far superior to MC or, indeed, to any other 288 prognostic parameter, either morphologic (prevalence of NEC versus non-NEC component, 289 NEC small or large cell histology, histologic type), immunohistochemical (p53, Rb1, Bcl-2, 290 p16, Cdx2, MMR deficiency, TTF1, ALDH, CD117,SSTR2A protein expression or proliferative 291 index of the non-NEC component) or molecular (TP53 or KRAS or BRAF mutation). Of such 292 parameters, only primary site, CD117 immunostaining of the NEC component, perineural 293 infiltration, high grade budding and TP53 or KRAS mutations were associated with shorter OS 294 at univariate analysis, while only site and mutations (as well the aforementioned NEC 295 component Ki67 index) retained prognostic value at multivariable analysis (Table 2).

296 Accordingly, in the diagnostic work up of MANECs, besides identifying the NEC component 297 histologically and immunohistochemically (by synaptophysin and chromogranin-A reactivity), 298 evaluation should also focus on the quantitative assessment of Ki67 index in the 299 neuroendocrine component. While proliferative rate has been widely shown to be an important 300 diagnostic tool for neuroendocrine neoplasms, this study, has added new and interesting findings 301 with regards to the importance of proliferation in MANECs as well. Indeed, as previously reported for 302 pure NECs (Sorbye, et al. 2013) a Ki67 threshold of 55% also distinguishes between two 303 different prognostic classes of MANECs with significant survival differences. These findings 14 parallel our previously published results on pure gastroenteropancreatic NECs (Milione, et al. 2017), and show that the OS of MANECs with Ki67  $\geq$ 55% closely reproduces that of corresponding pure poorly differentiated NECs with Ki67  $\geq$ 55% (Type C NECs according to (Milione et al. 2017)(Fig 3b). Conversely, and perhaps more interestingly, with all the limitations of comparing two different studies, MANECs with Ki67 <55% showed better survival compared to pure poorly differentiated NECs with Ki67 between 21-55% (Type B NECs according to (Milione et al. 2017).

311 Our findings parallel those of a large study on resected colorectal neoplasms, where survival 312 outcomes of NECs were compared with those of patients with high-grade adenocarcinoma 313 (Shafqat, et al. 2015): the results demonstrated that the median survival was significantly 314 shorter (7.1 months) for patients with NECs compared with that of patients affected by high 315 grade adenocarcinoma (36.0 months). Likewise the 5-year OS of patients with gastric NECs 316 (38.7%) was poorer than that of patients with gastric adenocarcinoma (51.8%) (Xie, et al. 317 2017). The frequent finding of the NEC component only at the invasive front of MANECs or in 318 metastases, suggests that this component is mainly responsible for their aggressiveness.

In our study we showed that the UICC/AJCC staging system developed for site corresponding carcinomas, was prognostically informative also for MANECs. Although most patients were diagnosed at an advanced stage (stage IIIB or IV), with severe prognosis, a small proportion (24 cases, 15%) of potentially curable patients (stage I to IIIA) was identified. As such, our data support the WHO recommendation of considering MANECs as ordinary carcinomas, providing evidence for the application of the relevant dedicated staging in real life pathology reporting.

326 The significant association of several molecular markers, including Rb, p16, p53 CDX2, 327 CD117, ALDH and MMR that we found in both NEC and non-NEC components ,seems to 328 confirm and to extend previous studies (Furlan et al. 2003; Furlan, et al. 2013; Jesinghaus et 329 al. 2017; Kloppel 2017; Woischke et al. 2017). This finding suggests a possible common origin 330 of the two MANEC components from a pluripotent cancer stem cell which undergoes 331 divergent differentiation, during tumor progression. The mixed NEC/non-NEC pattern of 332 growth we found in 73.5% of nodal and 64.7% of distant metastases may by itself support 333 the proposed origin of the two components from a single, metastatic, bipotent clone, 334 although the origin of some mixed metastases from cytologically mixed emboli cannot be 335 excluded. Our finding of a greater frequency MANECs in the colon and stomach, where 336 ordinary carcinomas are also highly prevalent, coupled with the apparent lack of MANECs 337 originating in the mesenteric small intestine and appendix, where conventional carcinomas 338 rarely arise, suggests a MANEC histogenesis more akin to that of classical (adeno)carcinomas 339 than to that of neuroendocrine tumors.

In conclusion, this study of a large series of digestive system MANECs shows that the outcome of these neoplasms is mainly determined by their poorly differentiated neuroendocrine component and that the Ki67 proliferative index (above or below 55%) is the most important prognostic factor.

344

#### 345 **DECLARATION OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. Guido Rindi declares that he has received

347 speaker's fee by Novartis Pharma and Ipsen Pharma

348

349

#### 350 FUNDING

This research did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sector. Aldo Scarpa is supported by AIRC 5x1000 n. 18182. Guido Rindi is supported by internal university grants (Università Cattolica line D.1 2015-R412500333 and D.1/2016-R412500403) and by the Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro - AIRC IG 2013 14696.

356

#### 357 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the following persons for their support: Roberto Buzzoni, Sara Pusceddu, (Oncology Department, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori, Milan Italy); Adele Busico for NGS study (Biologist at Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori, Milan Italy); Lorenzo Antonuzzo (Università degli Studi, Firenze, Italy); Francesca Spada (IEO, Milan, Italy); Sara Cingarlini (Università degli Studi, Verona, Italy); Carlo Carnaghi (Cancer Center Humanitas, Milan, Italy).

#### 364 **REFERENCES**

- Ali AS, Gronberg M, Federspiel B, Scoazec JY, Hjortland GO, Gronbaek H, Ladekarl M, Langer
- 366 SW, Welin S, Vestermark LW, et al. 2017 Expression of p53 protein in high-grade
- 367 gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine carcinoma. *PLoS One* **12** e0187667.
- 368 Amin MB 2017 *AJCC Cancer Staging Manua 8th Edition* Chicago USA
- Bosman F CF, Hruban R, Theise N, et al 2010 WHO Classification of tumours of the Digestive
   System. Lyon, France: IARC Press.
- 371 Chiaravalli AM, Furlan D, Facco C, Tibiletti MG, Dionigi A, Casati B, Albarello L, Riva C &
- 372 Capella C 2001 Immunohistochemical pattern of hMSH2/hMLH1 in familial and sporadic
- 373 colorectal, gastric, endometrial and ovarian carcinomas with instability in microsatellite
- 374 sequences. Virchows Arch **438** 39-48.
- Fazio N & Milione M 2016 Heterogeneity of grade 3 gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine
  carcinomas: New insights and treatment implications. *Cancer Treat Rev* 50 61-67.
- Furlan D, Cerutti R, Genasetti A, Pelosi G, Uccella S, La Rosa S & Capella C 2003 Microallelotyping defines the monoclonal or the polyclonal origin of mixed and collision endocrine-exocrine tumors of the gut. *Lab Invest* **83** 963-971.
- 380 Furlan D, Sahnane N, Mazzoni M, Pastorino R, Carnevali I, Stefanoli M, Ferretti A, Chiaravalli
- AM, La Rosa S & Capella C 2013 Diagnostic utility of MS-MLPA in DNA methylation profiling
   of adenocarcinomas and neuroendocrine carcinomas of the colon-rectum. *Virchows Arch* 462 47-56.
- Huang J, Behrens C, Wistuba, II, Gazdar AF & Jagirdar J 2002 Clonality of combined tumors. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 126 437-441.
- Jesinghaus M, Konukiewitz B, Keller G, Kloor M, Steiger K, Reiche M, Penzel R, Endris V,
   Arsenic R, Hermann G, et al. 2017 Colorectal mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinomas and
   neuroendocrine carcinomas are genetically closely related to colorectal adenocarcinomas.
   *Mod Pathol* **30** 610-619.
- Kim KM, Kim MJ, Cho BK, Choi SW & Rhyu MG 2002 Genetic evidence for the multi-step
  progression of mixed glandular-neuroendocrine gastric carcinomas. *Virchows Arch* 440 8593.
- Kloppel G 2017 Neuroendocrine Neoplasms: Dichotomy, Origin and Classifications. *Visc Med*33 324-330.
- Konukiewitz B, Schlitter AM, Jesinghaus M, Pfister D, Steiger K, Segler A, Agaimy A, Sipos B, Zamboni G, Weichert W, et al. 2017 Somatostatin receptor expression related to TP53 and RB1 alterations in pancreatic and extrapancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms with a Ki67-
- index above 20. *Mod Pathol* **30** 587-598.
- La Rosa S, Adsay V, Albarello L, Asioli S, Casnedi S, Franzi F, Marando A, Notohara K, Sessa F,
  Vanoli A, et al. 2012a Clinicopathologic study of 62 acinar cell carcinomas of the pancreas:
  insights into the morphology and immunophenotype and search for prognostic markers. *Am*
- 402 J Surg Pathol **36** 1782-1795.
- 403 La Rosa S, Marando A, Furlan D, Sahnane N & Capella C 2012b Colorectal poorly 404 differentiated neuroendocrine carcinomas and mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinomas: 405 insights into the diagnostic immunophenotype, assessment of methylation profile, and 406 search for prognostic markers. *Am J Surg Pathol* **36** 601-611.
- 407 La Rosa S, Marando A, Sessa F & Capella C 2012c Mixed Adenoneuroendocrine Carcinomas
  408 (MANECs) of the Gastrointestinal Tract: An Update. *Cancers (Basel)* **4** 11-30.

409

410 TP, Hartmann A, et al. 2017 Recommendations for reporting tumor budding in colorectal 411 cancer based on the International Tumor Budding Consensus Conference (ITBCC) 2016. Mod 412 Pathol 30 1299-1311. 413 Meazza C, Belfiore A, Busico A, Settanni G, Paielli N, Cesana L, Ferrari A, Chiaravalli S, 414 Massimino M, Gronchi A, et al. 2016 AKT1 and BRAF mutations in pediatric aggressive 415 fibromatosis. Cancer Med 5 1204-1213. 416 Milione M, Maisonneuve P, Spada F, Pellegrinelli A, Spaggiari P, Albarello L, Pisa E, Barberis 417 M, Vanoli A, Buzzoni R, et al. 2017 The Clinicopathologic Heterogeneity of Grade 3 418 Gastroenteropancreatic Neuroendocrine Neoplasms: Morphological Differentiation and 419 Proliferation Identify Different Prognostic Categories. Neuroendocrinology 104 85-93. 420 Ohike NA, N.V.; La Rosa, S.; Volante, M.; Zamboni, G. 2017 Mixed neuroendocrine-non 421 neuroendocrine neoplasms. Lion, France: IARC. 422 Paniz Mondolfi AE, Slova D, Fan W, Attiyeh FF, Afthinos J, Reidy J, Pang Y & Theise ND 2011 423 Mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma (MANEC) of the gallbladder: a possible stem cell 424 tumor? Pathol Int 61 608-614. 425 Rindi G AR, Bosman FT, Capella C, Klimstra DS, Klöppel G, Komminoth P, Solcia E 2010 426 Nomenclature and classification of digestive neuroendocrine tumours; in Bosman TF, 427 Carneiro F, Hruban RH, Theise ND (eds). Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer 428 (IARC) 429 Sahnane N, Furlan D, Monti M, , Romualdi C, Vanoli A, Vicari E, Solcia E, Capella C, Sessa F, La Rosa 430 S. 2015 Microsatellite unstable gastroinestinal neuroendocrin e carcinomas: a new 431 clinicopathologic entity. Endocrine Related Cancer **22**, 35-45.

Lugli A, Kirsch R, Ajioka Y, Bosman F, Cathomas G, Dawson H, El Zimaity H, Flejou JF, Hansen

- Scardoni M, Vittoria E, Volante M, Rusev B, Bersani S, Mafficini A, Gottardi M, Giandomenico
  V, Malleo G, Butturini G, et al. 2014 Mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinomas of the
  gastrointestinal tract: targeted next-generation sequencing suggests a monoclonal origin of
  the two components. *Neuroendocrinology* **100** 310-316.
- Shafqat H, Ali S, Salhab M & Olszewski AJ 2015 Survival of patients with neuroendocrine
  carcinoma of the colon and rectum: a population-based analysis. *Dis Colon Rectum* 58 294303.
- Sorbye H, Welin S, Langer SW, Vestermark LW, Holt N, Osterlund P, Dueland S, Hofsli E,
  Guren MG, Ohrling K, et al. 2013 Predictive and prognostic factors for treatment and survival
  in 305 patients with advanced gastrointestinal neuroendocrine carcinoma (WHO G3): the
- 442 NORDIC NEC study. *Ann Oncol* **24** 152-160.

Volante M, Brizzi MP, Faggiano A, La Rosa S, Rapa I, Ferrero A, Mansueto G, Righi L, Garancini S, Capella C, et al. 2007 Somatostatin receptor type 2A immunohistochemistry in neuroendocrine tumors: a proposal of scoring system correlated with somatostatin receptor scintigraphy. *Mod Pathol* **20** 1172-1182.

- Volante M, Monica V, Birocco N, Brizzi MP, Busso S, Daniele L, La Rosa S, Righi L, Sapino A,
  Berruti A, et al. 2015 Expression analysis of genes involved in DNA repair or synthesis in
- 449 mixed neuroendocrine/nonneuroendocrine carcinomas. *Neuroendocrinology* **101** 151-160.
- 450 Vortmeyer AO, Lubensky IA, Merino MJ, Wang CY, Pham T, Furth EE & Zhuang Z 1997
  451 Concordance of genetic alterations in poorly differentiated colorectal neuroendocrine
  452 carcinomas and associated adenocarcinomas. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **89** 1448-1453.
- 453 Woischke C, Schaaf CW, Yang HM, Vieth M, Veits L, Geddert H, Markl B, Stommer P, 454 Schaeffer DF, Frolich M, et al. 2017 In-depth mutational analyses of colorectal

- 455 neuroendocrine carcinomas with adenoma or adenocarcinoma components. *Mod Pathol* **30**
- 456 95-103.
- 457 Xie JW, Lu J, Lin JX, Zheng CH, Li P, Wang JB, Chen QY, Cao LL, Lin M, Tu RH, et al. 2017
- 458 Different long-term oncologic outcomes after radical surgical resection for neuroendocrine
- 459 carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of the stomach. *Oncotarget* **8** 57495-57504.

#### FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1.**Two adjacent sections of a combined MANEC illustrate the intimate admixture of the NEC and non-NEC components of the neoplasm. **A**: Hematoxylin/Eosin; **B**: Synaptophysin Immunohistochemistry. Both ×100; **C**: Invasive front of colonic MANEC showing exclusively a NEC component massively reactive for Synaptophysin. Note the associated high grade budding (arrows) ×100; **D**: Synaptophysin immunostained intestinal MANEC in lymph node metastases, massive in the left lymph node and sinusoidal only (arrow) in the right lymph node, both composed exclusively of NEC cells. ×100. **E**: NEC component of a MANEC with 90% of Ki67 proliferative index. ×400; **F**: NEC component of a MANEC with 90% of Ki67 index ×400.

**Figure 2.** Overall survival of the whole 160 MANECs population (**A**), of MANECs according to tumor site (**B**) or according to Ki67 proliferative index (**C**) or according to AJCC staging system (**D**).

**Figure 3.** Comparison of overall survival according to Ki67 expression in the current study on MANECs compared to 112 pure poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinomas (Type B and C NECs from Milione *et al.* Neuroendocrinology 2017 Used by permission from S. Karger AG, Basel).

**Supplementary Figure 1**. Overall survival based cut offs for Ki67 proliferative index or mitotic count in the NEC component of the 160 MANECs.

	All	Colorectal	Gastro- esophageal	Pancreato- biliary	P-value
Total	160	92	44	24	
Sex					
Male	116	61	38	17	
Female	44	31	6	7	0.04
Age					
<60	40	25	12	3	
60-69	55	31	14	10	
70+	65	36	18	11	0.66
Stage					
I/II (pN-)	11	3	3	5	
IIIA (pN-)	13	6	1	6	
IIIB (pN+)	119	74	34	11	
IV ( M+)	17	9	6	2	0.002
MANEC sub-type					
Collision	54	35	12	7	
Combined	82	44	26	12	
Amphicrine/Combined	24	13	6	5	0.63
NEC type					
Large cells	135	78	41	16	
Small cells	25	14	3	8	0.02
NEC necrosis					
Absent	40	23	8	9	
Present	120	69	36	15	0.21
% NEC component					
< 50%%	39	19	14	6	
50-60%	68	40	18	10	
>60%	53	33	12	8	0.70
Ki67 (NEC)					
<55%	28	13	7	8	
≥55%	132	79	37	16	0.10
Ki67 (non NEC)					
<55%	77	47	17	13	
≥55%	83	45	27	11	0.34
MC (NEC)					
<50/10HPF	111	62	32	17	
≥50/10HPF	49	30	12	7	0.81
MC (non NEC)					
<50/10HPF	132	73	39	20	
≥50/10HPF	28	19	5	4	0.41
Nerve infiltration					
Absent	20	12	4	4	
Present	106	63	28	15	0.69
Angioinvasion					
Absent	23	14	6	3	
Present	137	78	38	21	1.00
Budding					
Low	47	24	17	6	
Intermediate	47	33	8	6	
High	66	35	19	12	0.21
Peritumoral lymphoid cells					
Absent	70	42	18	10	
Mild	64	32	18	14	
Moderate	23	16	7	0	

### $\textbf{Table 1.} \ \textbf{Main characteristics of patients with MANEC according to tumor site}$

Severe	3	2	1	0	0.19
Intratumoral lymphoid cells					
Absent	74	42	22	10	
Mild	69	41	15	13	
Moderate	13	6	6	1	
Severe	4	3	1	0	0.61
N+ (%NEC)					
Median (range)	70 (0-100)	70 (0-100)	70 (20-100)	90 (0-100)	
<100% (Mixed)	97	57	31	9	
100% (pure NEC)	35	23	8	4	0.61
M+ (%NEC)					
Median (range)	85 (20-100)	90 (20-100)	55 (20-100)	88 (85-90)	
<100% (Mixed)	11	5	4	2	
100% (pure NEC)	6	4	2	0	0.80
Molecular analysis					
Wild type	38	14	15	9	
KRAS mutated	12	11	1	0	
BRAF mutated	4	3	0	1	
TP53 mutated	17	9	8	0	0.004

\* Some immunohistochemical evaluations are missing for some patients;

**Ki67**: Ki67 index; **M+:** Liver metastasis; **MANEC:** Mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinomas; **MC:** Mitotic count; **N+:** Lymph node metastasis; **NEC:** neuroendocrine carcinoma;

Budding: single tumor cell or a cell cluster (buds) of up to 4 tumor cells, detected at the invasive tumor front; Low grade Budding:< 4 buds; Intermediate grade Budding: 5-10 buds; High grade budding: >10 buds

Page 24 of 28

#### Table 2. Univariate and multivariable analysis for overall survival

	Adjusted for site HR (95% CI) *	P-value	Multivariable <sup>2</sup> HR (95% CI)	P-value	Multivariable <sup>3</sup> HR (95% CI)	P-value
Site						
Colorectal	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Gastroesophageal	0.73 (0.50-1.06)	0.10	0.61 (0.39-0.94)	0.02	0.64 (0.43-0.96)	0.03
Pancreatobiliary	0.41 (0.25-0.67)	0.0004	0.54 (0.29-1.03)	0.06	0.58 (0.33-1.04)	0.07
Stage						
I/II/IIIA (pN-)	1.00		1.00		1.00	
IIIB (pN+)	2.03 (1.20-3.42)	0.008	1.70 (0.90-3.22)	0.10	1.75 (0.95-3.23)	0.07
IV ( M+)	1.59 (0.80-3.16)	0.19	1.08 (0.48-2.40)	0.86	1.09 (0.51-2.31)	0.83
MANEC subtype						
Collision	1.00		1.00			
Combined	0.90 (0.63-1.28)	0.56	1.07 (0.72-1.61)	0.73	-	
Amphicrin/Combined	0.56 (0.33-0.96)	0.04	0.83 (0.45-1.53)	0.55	-	
NEC type						
Large cells	1.00		1.00			
Small cells	1.54 (0.97-2.43)	0.07	1.27(0.77-2.10)	0.35	-	
NEC component						
% NEC component (per 10%)	1.09 (0.96-1.22)	0.18	-		-	
Ki67 (≥55% vs. <55%)	9.08 (5.13-16.1)	<0.0001	8.92 (3.96-20.1)	<0.0001	7.83 (4.17-14.7)	<0.0001
MC (≥50/10HPF vs. <50/10HPF)	1.81 (1.28-2.58)	0.0009	1.53 (1.03-2.28)	0.04	1.51 (1.03-2.20)	0.04
p53 (≥30% vs. <30%)	1.10 (0.78-1.56)	0.58	-		-	
CD117 (positive vs. negative)	1.59 (1.13-2.24)	0.008	1.45 (0.98-2.15)	0.06	1.42 (0.99-2.04)	0.06
SSTR2a (positive vs. negative)	1.21 (0.87-1.68)	0.25	-			
Non-NEC component						
Ki67 (≥55% vs. <55%)	1.81 (1.28-2.54)	0.0007	1.29 (0.87-1.92)	0.20	-	
MC (≥50/10HPF vs. <50/10HPF)	1.39 (0.91-2.11)	0.13	-		-	
Nerve infiltration						
Present vs. absent	3.20 (1.77-5.77)	0.0001	0.88 (0.42-1.82)	0.72	-	
Angioinvasion						
Present vs. absent	0.85 (0.54-1.33)	0.47	-		-	
Budding						
Absent	1.00		1.00			
Mild/moderate	0.82 (0.53-1.27)	0.37	1.29 (0.72-2.28)	0.39	-	
Severe	1.97 (1.31-2.96)	0.001	1.13 (0.65-1.96)	0.67	-	
Molecular Analysis**						
Wild type	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Mutated	2.36 (1.41-3.97)	0.001	2.22 (1.19-4.15)	0.01	2.68 (1.50-4.81)	0.0009

**CD117:** tyrosine-protein kinase Kit; **Ki67:** Ki67 index; **M+:** Liver metastases; **MANEC:** Mixed adeno-neuroendocrine carcinoma; **MC:** Mitotic count; **N+:** Lymph node metastases; **NEC:** neuroendocrine carcinoma; **SSTR2a**: somatostatin receptor 2a.

Multivariable model 2 includes all factors associated with overall survival after single adjustment for tumor site; Multivariable model 3 retains only variables showing an association (P<0.10) with overall survival.

\*\* Multivariable risk estimates for single gene mutations from alternative model 3: *KRAS* mutated [n=12; HR=2.69 (1.24-5.82); P=0.01]; *BRAF* mutated [n=4; HR=1.81 (0.51-6.39); P=0.36]; *TP53* mutated [n=17; HR=2.90 (1.48-5.68); P=0.002].

## Figure 1



209x297mm (300 x 300 DPI)



#### Figure 2. Overall survival in MANEC, by tumor site, Ki67 labelling index and tumor stage

	Overall Survival (95% Confidence Intervals)							
	12-month	24-month	36-month	48-month	60-month	Median OS		
All MANECs	61.1 (53.1-68.2)	20.8 (14.8-27.5)	12.3 ( 7.7-18.2)	6.9 ( 3.5-12.0)	4.6 ( 1.9- 9.1)	13.2 (12.4-14.3)		
Colorectum	53.3 (42.6-62.8)	13.3 ( 7.3-21.2)	7.0 ( 2.8-13.7)	4.2 ( 1.2-10.3)	4.2 ( 1.2-10.3)	12.2 (10.3-13.3)		
Other GI	71.9 (59.5-81.0)	31.0 (20.4-42.2)	19.6 (11.0-30.1)	10.7 ( 4.5-20.0)	5.3 ( 1.4-13.2)	17.3 (13.3-19.9)		
Ki67<55%	100	96.2 (76.1-99.5)	62.0 (39.6-78.1)	33.4 (15.2-52.8)	28.6 (11.9-48.0)	40.5 (34.5-53.2)		
Ki67≥55%	52.9 (44.0-61.0)	5.4 ( 2.4-10.2)	2.3 ( 0.6- 6.0)	1.5 ( 0.3- 4.9)	-	12.2 (11.1-13.2)		
Stage I-IIIA	75.0 (52.6-87.9)	48.5 (27.4-66.7)	33.2 (14.9-52.8)	22.1 (7.4-41.8)	16.6 ( 4.3-35.8)	22.1 (12.8-36.4)		
Stage IIIB	55.3 (45.9-63.7)	15.9 ( 9.9-23.0)	8.4 ( 4.2-14.4)	5.2 ( 2.1-10.7)	3.1 ( 0.9- 8.0)	12.7 (11.2-13.3)		
Stage IV	82.4 (54.7-93.9)	17.6 ( 4.3-38.3)	11.8 ( 2.0-31.2)	-	-	15.7 (12.2-23.3)		





	overall survival (55% confidence intervals)					
	12-month	24-month	36-month	48-month	60-month	Median OS
PD NEC	48.9 (41.4-55.9)	20.0 (14.5-26.1)	8.3 ( 4.9-12.9)	5.6 ( 2.8- 9.6)	4.4 ( 2.1- 8.2)	11.0 ( 8.4-13.0)
MANEC	61.1 (53.1-68.2)	20.8 (14.8-27.5)	12.3 ( 7.7-18.2)	6.9 ( 3.5-12.0)	4.6 ( 1.9- 9.1)	13.2 (12.4-14.3)
PD NEC Ki67<55%	83.7 (70.0-91.5)	42.9 (28.9-56.1)	14.3 ( 6.3-25.5)	8.2 ( 2.6-17.9)	4.1 ( 0.8-12.3)	20.0 (16.9-25.0)
PD NEC Ki67≥55%	35.9 (27.8-44.0)	11.5 ( 6.7-17.6)	6.1 ( 2.9-11.1)	4.6 ( 1.9- 9.1)	4.6 ( 1.9- 9.1)	7.6 ( 5.5-10.0)
MANEC Ki67<55%	100	96.2 (76.1-99.5)	62.0 (39.6-78.1)	33.4 (15.2-52.8)	28.6 (11.9-48.0)	40.5 (34.5-53.2)
MANEC Ki67≥55%	52.9 (44.0-61.0)	5.4 ( 2.4-10.2)	2.3 ( 0.6- 6.0)	1.5 ( 0.3- 4.9)	-	12.2 (11.1-13.2)