

Université de Lausanne

Les Cahiers de l'IEP
IEP Working Paper Series

**The Working Class and
Support for the Radical Right**

A Critical Perspective

Philippe Gottraux, Cécile Péchu

N° 78 (2021)

Editeur responsable

Dr. Lionel Marquis

Université de Lausanne
Institut d'Etudes Politiques
Bâtiment Géopolis • 1015 Lausanne
CH – Switzerland

Tel +41 21 692 31 30
Fax +41 21 692 31 45
nicole.ferrari@unil.ch
<https://www.unil.ch/iep/>

CRAPUL

(Centre de Recherches sur l'Action Politique de l'Université de Lausanne)

La collection **Les Cahiers de l'IEP** vise à diffuser des travaux de chercheuses et chercheurs rattachés à l'Institut d'Etudes Politiques (IEP) de l'Université de Lausanne. Il peut s'agir de textes en prépublication, de communications scientifiques ou d'excellents mémoires d'étudiants. Ces travaux sont publiés sur la base d'une évaluation interne. Les opinions émises n'engagent cependant que la responsabilité de l'auteur•e.

Les Cahiers de l'IEP sont accessibles gratuitement sur www.unil.ch/iep (suivre le lien « Publications »).

The **IEP Working Papers Series** is intended to promote the diffusion of work in progress, articles to be published and research findings by researchers of the Institute of Political Studies, University of Lausanne. The papers submitted are refereed by members of the Institute. The opinions expressed are those of the author(s) only.

The **IEP Working Papers** are available free of charge at www.unil.ch/iep (click on « Publications »).

© Philippe Gottraux, Cécile Péchu

Layout : Nicole Ferrari

Couverture : Unicom, Université de Lausanne

Pour citer ce dossier / To quote this issue :

Gottraux Phillippe & Péchu Cécile (2021). " The Working Class and Support for the Radical Right: A Critical Perspective ", *Les Cahiers de l'IEP* n°78.

The Working Class and Support for the Radical Right: A Critical Perspective

Philippe Gottraux & Cécile Péchu¹
Institut d'Études Politiques, Université de Lausanne

Résumé

La littérature attribue habituellement à la classe ouvrière un rôle central, si ce n'est exclusif, dans la progression de la droite radicale ces dernières années en Europe. Cet article discute du lien entre la classe ouvrière et la droite radicale en s'appuyant sur le cas de l'Union démocratique du centre (UDC), et plus particulièrement sur son soutien électoral et militant. En utilisant des données d'enquêtes post-électorales et des entretiens approfondis avec des militants, il développe deux arguments critiques. Le premier est que le soutien à la droite radicale est interclassiste et basé sur différents types de logiques sociales et politiques. Le second argument est qu'une proportion significative de la classe ouvrière ne soutient pas l'UDC. Par conséquent, la position de classe n'est pas le seul déterminant du soutien à la droite radicale. L'article soutient qu'il est nécessaire de prendre en compte une pluralité de facteurs susceptibles de peser sur le comportement politique. Ces facteurs peuvent être endogènes (par exemple, la socialisation politique et les trajectoires sociales des acteurs) ou exogènes (tels que les réseaux de sociabilité mobilisateurs, l'offre politique et le contexte). Les études sur la droite radicale gagneraient à intégrer ces différents déterminants du comportement politique. Cela nécessite de dépasser les explications monocausales du soutien à la droite radicale, et permet de relativiser les explications du soutien à l'UDC en termes de frustration et de privation économique souvent présentes dans les travaux de recherche.

Mots-clefs : droite radicale ; classe ouvrière ; comportement politique ; Suisse

Abstract

Scholarship in political science usually attributes a pivotal, or even exclusive, role to the working class in the progress of the radical right in Europe in recent years. This paper discusses the link between working class and radical right bearing on the case of the Swiss People's Party (SVP) with a focus on its electorate and activists' support. Using post-electoral survey data and in-depth interviews with activists, it develops two critical arguments. The first is that support for the radical right is interclassist and based on various types of social and political rationales. The second argument is that a significant proportion of the working class does not support the SVP. Consequently class position is not the key determinant of support for the radical right. The paper argues that it is necessary to take into account a plurality of factors liable to bear on political behaviour. These factors can be endogenous (e.g. the political socialization and social trajectories of the actors) or exogenous (such as mobilizing sociability networks, political offer, and context). Studies on the radical right would gain by incorporating these various determinants of political behaviour. This requires going beyond monocausal explanations of support for the radical right, and allows to put into perspective explanations for support of the SVP in terms of frustration and economic deprivation often present in scholarship.

¹ Corresponding author: Maître d'Enseignement et de Recherche, Institut d'Études Politiques, Université de Lausanne, Géopolis, 1015 Lausanne, cecile.pechu@unil.ch

Keywords : radical right ; working class ; political behaviour ; Switzerland

Remerciements/Acknowledgements

This paper is the revised text of a paper presented at the 10th Conference of the European Sociological Association, RN 32 (Political sociology), panel « Populism and the Extreme-Right », in Geneva, the 7-10 september 2011. We thank the panel organizer Dietmar Loch and the participants, and also Nonna Mayer, for their very helpful comments. This paper remains dependent on the context of its realization and revision in the first half of the 2010s.

Table des Matières/Contents

RÉSUMÉ	3
ABSTRACT	3
INTRODUCTION	6
CONFUSING A PART WITH THE WHOLE	7
SOME SUPPORT COMES FROM THE WORKING CLASS... BUT WHAT ABOUT THE OTHERS ?	7
BUT WHICH MEMBERS OF THE WORKING CLASS SUPPORT THE RADICAL RIGHT?	8
A MULTIDETERMINANT RELATIONSHIP TO POLITICS	10
POLITICAL SOCIALIZATION AND SOCIAL TRAJECTORY	10
NETWORKS AND THE POLITICAL OFFER	11
DATA AND METHODOLOGY	12
THE VARIOUS TYPES OF SOCIAL AND POLITICAL RATIONALES BEHIND THE SUPPORT FOR THE SWP	13
BEYOND THE WORKING CLASS... THE OTHER CLASSES.....	13
THE VARIOUS FACTORS OF WORKING CLASS SUPPORT	14
CONCLUSION	16
BIBLIOGRAPHIE	19

Introduction

Scholarship usually attributes to the working class a pivotal, or even exclusive, role in the progress of the radical right in Europe in recent years. This article critiques this point of view. Two arguments guide our remarks: (1) the characterization of support for these parties tends to confuse a part (the working class) with the whole (the entire interclassist electorate); and (2) class position is not the sole determinant of support for the radical right². It is necessary to incorporate supplementary factors too often neglected in the literature into the analysis. These include both endogenous factors (actors' political socialization and social trajectories) and exogenous factors (mobilizing sociability networks, the political offer, and context). We will validate our contentions by focussing on a particular case, the 'neoliberal xenophobic' (Art, 2011, p.19) Swiss People's Party (SVP)³, analyzing its electorate and its activists' support.

The recent momentum of the radical right in Europe has given rise to numerous social science analyses (Betz, 1994; Kitschelt and Mc Gann, 1995; Mudde, 2007; Oesch, 2008; Rydgren, 2013, etc.). Kitschelt and Mc Gann (1995) have proposed the concept of a 'winning formula' to explain how these parties attract a composite public, on the basis of a discourse both neo-liberal (the rejection of the welfare state) and authoritarian (anti-immigration, and for law and order). One of the major theses (Minkenberg, 2000, p.182; Rydgren, 2007, p.248) of the literature attributes the success of these parties to the support of 'modernization losers' threatened by ongoing socio-economic transformations (Betz, 1994; Kriesi et al., 2005; Kriesi et al., 2008). Researchers hesitate over the definition of these 'modernization losers', who may be losing economically, subjectively or culturally. In the first case, certain classes, primarily 'workers and small business owners' are objectively losing (Oesch and Rennwald, 2010, p.346). In the second case, the focus is on the subjective feeling of being losers (Kriesi, 1999; Minkenberg, 2000). Finally, in the last case, the losers are conservatives, hostile to the post-materialistic values and tolerance characteristic of cultural liberalism (Bornschier and Kriesi, 2013). These explanations are often combined with a theory of social cleavages (Bartolini and Mair, 1990; Bornschier, 2010).

Despite their differences and reservations, these scholars implicitly agree on two points: (1) a significant proportion of the radical right stems from the working class; and (2) the working class is particularly attracted to the radical right. First, we will discuss these two aspects, based on the specialised literature on the radical right. Then, we will draw upon the literature on political behaviour to list factors explaining the latter. We suggest that these factors are at play, regardless of political orientation, in other words, for the radical right as well. Then, we will present the data and methodology employed, in addition to the specific characteristics of the case under study, the SVP. Next, we will empirically demonstrate the interclassist nature of the SVP's electoral support and, on the basis of a qualitative investigation of activists, the diverse social and political logics underlying various social groups' support for the SVP. Finally, we will identify factors other than social class leading working-class members to support this party.

² For convenience, we include under this heading all the political forces which the literature characterizes by varying labels: right-wing populism, extreme right, far right, radical right, etc

³ In German, *Schweizerischen Volkspartei*, SVP, and Union démocratique du centre (UDC) in French.

Confusing a Part with the Whole

Some Support comes from the Working Class... but what about the Others ?

The literature suggests that the radical right *principally* draws upon the working class. Thus, the working class constitutes the *core* of its electorate; it represents the bulk of its electoral *basis*; and, to refer to a particular empirical case, typical voters for the British National Party are 'angry white men' from a relatively uneducated working class (Ford and Goodwin, 2010). Depending on the country, studies observe that either: (1) working class voters constitute the *majority* in these parties' electoral basis; or (2) they are *overrepresented* relative to their weight in the total electorate; or (3) these parties are expanding primarily amongst these social categories. In the latter case, Gougou and Mayer (2013, p.156) affirm: 'Yesterday, the working class was the core clientele of the left. Today, all over Europe, it is increasingly giving support to the radical right.'

This varied reasoning neglects the support coming from other social categories. Nonetheless, the social composition of this vote shows the existence of support from other social classes. In a comparative study of four countries, support not coming from the working class (production and service workers, and clerks) varies from a minimum of 32 per cent in Denmark to 56 per cent in Switzerland (Oesch, 2013). Now, the support of other categories has been insufficiently analyzed. Focussing primarily, if not exclusively, on the working class is, thus, equivalent to taking the part for the whole.

If we look more closely, what does the literature teach us about this diversity? It sometimes indicates that another category, that of small business owners, supports these parties. Along with the working class, they share the situation of 'losers' in the process of modernization (McGann and Kitschelt, 2005, p.149; Oesch, 2013; Oesch and Rennwald, 2010). For his part, Kriesi interpreted the concept of a 'winning formula' (Kitschelt and McGann, 1995), initially stemming from an analysis of the political offer, to evoke the socially different supporters of these parties : 'not only the losers, but also part of the winners of the removal of national boundaries' (Kriesi, 2003, p.202). In the following analyses, he no longer speaks of 'winners.' A comparative study (Flecker, 2007) of eight European countries concludes that support is diversified, encompassing 'winners' and 'losers,' blue-collar workers and managers. The reasons for this attraction are described as varied: fear of loss of status, welfare chauvinism, and the shared 'ideology of success', found amongst those ascending the social ladder, 'legitimizing inequality and domination of some groups over others and leading to chauvinism and authoritarianism.' (Flecker, 2007, p.240)

Nonna Mayer's work on the vote for the Front National in France confirms the importance of the working class (workers and employees) in its bank of voters, and stresses their recently expanding weight (Gougou and Mayer, 2013). However, Mayer (2012) also reminds us of the existence, since the electoral advance of this party in 1984, of support provided by 'all categories of the population, varying depending on the specific election considered'. These variations in electoral composition are partially related to the political configuration and the political offer (Mayer, 2005; 2007).

Support for the radical right comes not only from electors but also from activists, who are more committed than those who merely vote. More rarely does the literature on activists in these parties underscore their heterogeneity. Usually it is more interested in ideological diversity or the political trajectory rather than social class. A

comparative study of five European countries (Klandermans and Mayer, 2006; Linden and Klandermans, 2007) distinguishes activists according to their political past (revolutionaries, wanderers, converts, and compliants) and their motivation to become involved (instrumentality, identity, or ideology). Field research on the British National Party referred to the diversity of activists' 'political background' (old guard, wanderers, and new recruits), but concludes with a 'social profile' of 'grassroots members' similar to that of voters coming from the working class (Goodwin, 2011, p.137)⁴, without mention of recruitment in other social categories. Another comparative study reveals the variety in the recruitment of activists 'on the basis of their ideological motivations, their socioeconomic status, and their political experience' (Art, 2011, p.40), but without providing detailed results on these socioeconomic differences. Finally, the existing literature on activists has been little interested in supporters' social characteristics, and is even less so in their potential class diversity.

But which Members of the Working Class Support the Radical Right?

Thus, studies have devoted little attention to support for the radical right from actors not belonging to the working class. On the other hand, they state that the working class is *first attracted* by the radical right. A recent overview bringing together recognised scholars in the field highlighted this research question: 'Why is it that the working class tends to be especially attracted by the radical right parties?' (Rydgren, 2013, p.1). Now, this type of reasoning does not take abstention into account (Gottraux, and Péchu 2011 and 2012). This is unequally distributed and hits these social categories the hardest (Verba, and Nie, 1972; Verba, Scholzman and Brady, 1995). However, recently, Bornschier and Kriesi (2013) mentioned abstention. For them, it was not a matter of putting working class support for the radical right into perspective (Bornschier and Kriesi, 2013, p.26), but rather of tracking the subcategories most attracted by it. Now, abstention amongst the working class is often greater than the votes accorded to the radical right. If we incorporate abstention into the analysis and if we consider the entire potential electorate,⁵ we observe that it is only a minority of the working class who vote for the radical right. The majority do not do so. Most vote for other parties or seek refuge in abstention. Thus, in France, during the presidential election of 2002, 18 per cent of registered workers voted for the Radical right, while 31 per cent abstained (Mayer, 2005). Then, what are the characteristics of members of the working class who vote for the radical right, rather than abstain or vote for another party? The literature provides four contrasting answers to this question.

First, studies inspired by the *objective* version of the 'globalization losers' thesis' are little interested in subdivisions within the working class. Yet they stress the link between being left out of social modernization and experiencing less security, on one hand and, on the other, discontent leading to a vote for the radical right (for Switzerland: Kriesi *et al.*, 2005; Oesch and Rennwald, 2010). This approach seems to depict the most impoverished working class individuals as voters for the radical right. Betz (1994; 1998) states this explicitly: it is the unemployed and the unskilled

⁴ See also Ford and Goodwin (2010).

⁵ This potential electoral body corresponds to those registered when registration on the electoral lists is automatic. In countries such as France or the United States where this is not the case, this electoral body corresponds to the voting age population. In France, research shows that the working class is less likely to be registered than other social categories (Braconnier and Dormagen, 2007).

workers, at the bottom of the social hierarchy and, therefore, the most destabilised by globalization, who join the radical right.

In the *subjective* version of the globalization loser's thesis, it is not, or not only, the most deprived amongst the working class who vote for the radical right, but, above all, 'those who have a little' and who fear losing it (Minkenberg, 2000). Research has shown that it is members of the working class with an average level of education, and not those with a low level of education, who support the radical right (Evans, 2005; Arzheimer and Carter, 2006; Girard, 2013), the least educated and the most unskilled tending to take refuge in abstention (Bornschieer and Kriesi, 2013). Other scholars no longer stress only the economic loss, but also highlight the fear of loss of status, which is present in those who are 'fragile' and seek to distinguish themselves from the lowest in society (Collovald and Schwartz, 2006; Cartier *et al.*, 2008). Without making reference to this, this literature comes close to the already old explanation for support of the radical right in terms of status politics (Lipset, 1963; Brandmeyer and Denisoff, 1969).

A third line of reasoning stresses the role of specific *values* to explain the vote for the radical right. In this cultural version of globalization losers' thesis, support for the radical right is found amongst members of the working class sharing certain cultural world views hostile to the post-materialistic values spread by globalization (Bornschieer and Kriesi, 2013). Yet this work does not look to the origin of these values in individuals' socialization, which are presented as independent explanatory variables. A French ethnographic study reveals that it is the sections of the working class who value economic capital (rather than cultural capital) who are most likely to vote for the radical right. This appreciation of economic capital stems from their family socialization and from their personal employment trajectory (Girard, 2013).

Finally, some research refutes the theoreticians of mass society who explain the appeal of the radical right by the isolation of individuals (Kornhauser, 1959). On the contrary, it is integration into cohesive local contexts which encourages identification with the radical right (Fitzgerald and Lawrence, 2011). Similarly, integration into communities that remain strong can have the same effect (Hamilton, 1982; Rydgren, 2007). Yet some affinities protect the individual against voting for the radical right; these include networks favouring the left, such as, for example, those of unions (Bornschieer and Kriesi, 2013⁶). Gougou and Mayer (2013) also show that younger working class generations affected by the economic crisis, the loss of leftist political points of reference and the alienation from trade-unions are more likely to support the radical right than earlier generations.

Thus, the support for the radical right does not stem exclusively from the working class, and when it does arise from the working class, this is not by chance. Class belonging is not the sole explanation for support for the radical right. Despite their points of disagreement, studies reveal a single explanatory factor, sometimes the objective socio-professional position, sometimes the subjective feeling of being a loser, sometimes an orientation of values, and sometimes belonging to certain networks. Each of these explanations highlights an interesting factor, yet, as Flecker (2007, p.239) suggests, 'there is no such thing as *the* reason for the rise of right-wing populism and extremism'. Beyond the literature on the radical right, consultation of research on political behaviour is essential to clarify all the factors explaining the latter. Therefore, we propose to theoretically and methodologically standardise the approach to the radical right (Roussel, 2003), and to decompartmentalise the analysis of voting behaviour and activism.

⁶ They talk about skilled workers but not about routine operatives.

A Multideterminant Relationship to Politics

Voting and activist involvement are, indeed, two forms of political participation (Teorell *et al.*, 2006; Dalton, 2014). In this way, the various principal categories of determinants explaining the support of voters or activists are basically the same. The recent literature on political behaviour is inclined towards this decompartmentalization. It reveals the existence of two broad categories of determinants which explain political participation and orientation, whether voting or 'high intensity forms of participation' (Whiteley and Seyd, 2002). On one hand, these are endogenous determinants stemming from individual characteristics (demand side) and, on the other, exogenous determinants (offer side).⁷ With this in mind, we will distinguish, on one side, political socialization and the social trajectory and, on the other, social networks and the political offer. These two sets of determinants interact. For example, networks and the political offer also have socializing effects. Of course, when it is a matter of understanding activist commitment, additional factors of the cost of the latter and selective incentives to participate (Olson, 1965; Whiteley and Seyd, 2002, pp.52-53; Gaxie, 2005⁸) must also be taken into account. Due to its cost, activism often involves people who are higher in the social hierarchy than those who merely vote (Marien and Quintelier, 2011). As a general rule, the literature on the radical right does not fully incorporate these multiple determining factors, which are, nonetheless, present in the general literature on political behavior (Flecker, 2007 is an exception).

Political Socialization and Social Trajectory

Individuals are socialised throughout their lives; that is, they acquire 'dispositions to act, feel, evaluate, think, appreciate in such and such a way' (Lahire, 2011, p.50)⁹. These dispositions are both general dispositions and specifically political dispositions, articulated amongst themselves: general propensities may have political effects. For example, the general disposition to value order, intervening in various types of activities, may also translate politically into a partisan choice. The importance of political socialization is recognised in the study of political behaviour (e.g. Jennings, 2007) which particularly underscores the role of family transmission (Muxel, 2001; Jaspers *et al.*, 2008; Jennings *et al.*, 2009; Abendschön, 2013). Yet curiously, the literature on the radical right is too often uninterested in effects of socialization on orientation with respect to this political trend. However, based on quantitative data from the 'Swiss Household Panel', one study shows that having parents favourable to the radical right and/or hostile to immigration encourages a vote for the SVP (Coffé and Voorspotel, 2010). And qualitative studies of activists reveal the importance of family traditions (Laffont, 2001; Klandermans and Mayer, 2006).

Political orientations are also acquired via secondary socialization (Berger and Luckmann, 1966; Sigel, 1989), whether in the work environment, in other significant groups to which an actor belongs (religious or associational groups, etc.) or during key life experiences. For example, the experience of decolonization and the return to one's home country, as well as membership in associations of returnees, inculcate dispositions favouring a vote for the radical right (Veugelers, 2005; 2012).

⁷ We find the joint consideration of offer and demand in Verba *et al.* (1995), when they explain nonparticipation by the fact that individuals "can't, don't want to or were not asked".

⁸ In French, we refer to 'rétributions militantes,' which may be material or symbolic.

⁹ See also Bourdieu (1984).

The *social slope* of electors or activists (reproductive, ascending, or descending), measured relative to the position of parents (intergenerational mobility) or that of actors during their lifetimes (intragenerational mobility), also matters. This slope changes the relationship of the actor to the world and, under certain conditions, may produce specific political outcomes (Bourdieu, 1984). In equivalent social positions, an heir, a parvenu or someone who has moved down the social ladder do not tend to share the same value systems because they are not exposed to the same environments throughout their lives.

Networks and the Political Offer

Membership in social networks has effects on participation and voting direction, as well as party membership. A classic work on electoral choice showed that group affiliation was likely to affect the vote and mentioned the influence of the most politicised members of these groups (Lazarsfeld *et al.*, 1944). In the wake of this tradition, Miller (1977) refers to the weight of the 'local social environment' on votes. Huckfeldt's early research pursued this line of inquiry, proposing a 'contextual' approach to electoral choices (Huckfeldt, 1986; Huckfeldt and Sprague, 1995), as has, more recently, the collective work edited by Zuckerman (2005)¹⁰. Despite their differences, these works show that individuals' characteristics (social and political) alone do not explain their political behaviour. The latter also depends on the groups in which they participate, in addition to mobilizing processes at this level. For its part, the study of social movements has also signalled the effect of networks on the propensity to become involved, in parallel with that of activists' social attributes (Guigni and Passy, 2001; Diani and Mac Adam, 2003; Krinsky and Crossley, 2014). Networks take root in informal primary groups (family and friends, neighbourhoods, and work groups), or constitute more formal structures, such as associational groups (Sawicki, 1997).

To summarise, future electors or activists primarily encounter the party through *immediate* interactions. The propensity to vote for a party or to become an activist often evolves through specific intermediary factors: solicitation to vote or to appear on an electoral list, proactive recruitment practices or meeting with a member or an acquaintance who is already involved, and so on (Rosenstone and Hansen, 1993; Verba *et al.*, 1995; Scarrow, 1996; Whiteley and Seyd, 2002).

Beyond networks, another exogenous element influences individuals' political orientation. This is the partisan offer which depends on the state of the political world: the structure of the political field, the strategies of the parties to position themselves in that environment, and the salience of certain issues in the public space (Petrocik, 1996; Van der Brug, 2004). Thus, the political offer acts upon political behaviour. In order to grasp the specificity of the party offer and its meaning to the actor, it is necessary to consider the competing discourses, electoral power relations and the specific positioning of the party in the political field. All of these elements together determine the party's public image. This image will increase a party's desirability and the possibility of voting for or becoming active within a particular party, as compared to other possibilities.¹¹ For example, a party's electoral success will increase its symbolic value; for voters or activists, it is valuable to be associated with a winning organization.

¹⁰ See also Braconnier (2010).

¹¹ For similar reasoning on social movements, see Fillieule (2010).

Data and Methodology

Our data relate to the electoral support and activist base of the Swiss People's Party (SVP), a particular case amongst parties of the radical right. The SVP does not have an anti-democratic or fascist past, but has its historic roots in the conservative right. Since the 90s, it has experienced a dramatic shift to the right (with nationalist rhetoric against foreigners and hostility to European integration), along with a significant rise in national election support, from 9.9 per cent in the lower house in 1975 to 26.6 per cent in 2011, with a peak of 28.9 per cent in 2007. Furthermore, for decades it has participated in the Swiss coalition government of consensus, which makes its supporters less stigmatised, and allows it to play both the integration and the protest cards in the political system (Mazzoleni *et al.*, 2007; Mazzoleni, 2008; Skenderovic, 2009, pp.123-172).¹² Finally, the Swiss economic context in which the SVP operates is quite favourable compared to other countries in the world, with a relatively low rate of unemployment (less than 4 per cent since 2000).

Our study is based on a secondary analysis of a 2011 national election survey.¹³ Our own data stem from an in-depth qualitative study of interviews with 40 activists from cantons of Geneva and Zurich, two distinct linguistic and cultural regions with different political contexts. Additional data (documents, and direct observation of sessions) were also used. We employed snow-ball sampling with a diversification strategy: activists differed in terms of gender, age, profession and activist history; investment in the party ranged from being card-carrying members to holding elective office. The study's objective was twofold: to grasp the various determinants of support for the SVP and to identify types of activists (Gottraux and Péchu 2009; 2011).

In our qualitative section, our approach differs from that of most research on the radical right, which is survey-based. To date, the latter has failed to provide sufficient empirical elements to cover the multiple factors affecting this political trend. Furthermore, their data analysis proceeds factor by factor, rather than considering their interaction. In contrast, qualitative interviews allow us to account for (1) the multiple variables which differ according to the actor and which impact their relation to politics; (2) the objective determinants (endogenous and exogenous) of behaviour, as well as the subjective manner in which activists perceive their lives; (3) the processual construction of the relation to politics, through successive and simultaneous socializations; and (4) the manner in which the individual deals with the political offer and re-appropriates the party's platform. That a qualitative approach is effective should not mean that quantitative methods are not also important in analyzing this type of support: what is crucial is not the specific methodology employed but rather the inclusion of the diverse variables highlighted above.

¹² This political radicalization has led social scientists, despite their differences in characterization, to locate the SVP in the family of 'the right of the right-wing' parties, here referred to as the radical right.

¹³ The Swiss Election Study (Selects) is a post-electoral survey, under the supervision of Swiss political science departments (see Lutz, 2012). More information on the survey can be found here: <https://www.swissubase.ch/en/catalogue/studies/10890/14986/overview>

The Various Types of Social and Political Rationales behind the Support for the SWP

Beyond the Working Class... The other Classes

The quantitative data reveal the existence of interclassist support for the SVP. Beyond the data of the European Social Survey, previously cited (Oesch, 2013), the interclassism of the SVP vote is still more obvious in working with the data from the SELECTS 2011 election survey.¹⁴ If we consider the social composition of the vote for the SVP in 2011, the working class (production and service workers, and clerks) represents 39.4 per cent of its voter base.¹⁵ Thus, 60.6 per cent, in other words, three out of five of its voters, come from other social categories. Therefore, one out of four (24.4 per cent) SVP voters belongs to the category of managers and administrators, which the literature classifies as winners in the context of globalization. Even if only considering the votes cast and not taking abstention into account, it is incorrect to present the working class as the 'core' of the SVP electorate, based on the SELECTS surveys.

Table 1: Social Composition of the SVP Vote

Social classes	Vote for the UDC (%)	Weight of classes (%)
Liberal professions and large employers	3.5	4.1
Managers and administrators	24.4	23.6
Small business owners	17.5	10.6
Technical specialists	7.3	10.6
Socio-cultural specialists	8.0	20.1
Clerks	12.2	11.3
Production workers	14.7	10.4
Service workers	12.5	9.4
Total	100	100

Source: SELECTS 2011, N weighted according to the size of cantons = 572.

Our qualitative investigation of activists allows us to better grasp the social diversity of SVP support. Amongst those interviewed, we discerned six different activist profiles (see the characteristics of these types in Table 2) (Gottraux and Péchu 2011), without being able to statistically evaluate their importance, something which only a quantitative study would permit. The construction of these types followed a back and forth movement, classic in qualitative research, between deduction and induction, and between theoretical knowledge of political behaviour and analysis of the interviews. We began by looking at the social diversity of the activists encountered (the volume and types of capital, and the social slope during their trajectory, as well

¹⁴ The survey was here only weighted to ensure the representativeness of the sample according to the size of the cantons (Lutz, 2012, pp.86-87).

¹⁵ Here we base ourselves on the social classification developed by Daniel Oesch (2006) which is most commonly used in Switzerland today, to demonstrate the validity of the globalization losers' thesis.

as the social position of their parents). In one case, that of the 'young Europeans', we added the criterion of belonging to the same generation. Then, we constructed subtypes to take account of political differences (in terms of values) and of varying selective incentives derived from commitment. These differences stem either from more subtle social characteristics observed (for example, whether the individual was salaried or self-employed), or political elements (their former political path or political competence (Bourdieu, 1984; Reichert, 2010).

It is not surprising, once again, to find some activists at the heart of the SVP not coming from a working-class background, given that the literature stresses the importance of resources in political engagement. However, analysis of their involvement clarifies the different forms of social and political rationales behind their support for the SVP. This may include, for example, the feeling of being self-made (the 'deserving'), an intransigent defense of economic liberalism (the 'liberals') or the defense of a very traditional, indeed, extreme right-wing vision of society (the 'ideologues').

The Various Factors of Working Class Support

Nevertheless, in the SVP we find a membership and activist base corresponding to what the literature refers to as working class. These are, of course, those we refer to as 'the lower classes' (*les populaires*) (Table 2, appendix), which are activists reproducing the lowly social position of their parents, and those who, amongst those who have moved down the social scale (the 'downgraded') are part of the working class because they have lower skilled jobs and hold menial positions in the working world (sales, temporary positions, etc.). However, their disadvantaged economic status is not the sole determinant of their commitment to the SVP; there are additional contributing factors leading these activists to value what the radical right has to offer within a specific context.

Thus, the effect of *prior socialization* to become involved and of *social trajectories* is particularly important; dispositions acquired within these contexts encounter a specific political offer and they are translated into a partisan choice. We often find in working class activists a primary socialization within the family, characterised by an authoritarian model of upbringing, and in certain cases by religious conservative forms. Moreover, these families are usually oriented to the right, and when this is not the case, an orientation to the left is not reflected in a less authoritarian upbringing. From the point of view of secondary socialization, working experiences also play a role in this identification with the radical right. Thus, a number of our activists are in jobs related to maintaining order (Gaxie, 2006), such as customs officials, janitors or sailors. Others have worked in Swiss sectors traditionally characterised as right-wing, such as the banking sector, and collective transport in the private sector. In addition to the working world, belonging to certain subcultures may bring people closer to the SVP. These include the biker subculture, which includes people who have a romantic attachment to South America, as well as the Protestant evangelical subculture.

As a function of earlier socialization and trajectories, individuals do not all accord the same value to *selective incentives* for becoming involved. For the 'lower classes', the main selective incentive for political engagement is to be associated with a 'winning party', which is symbolic compensation for their social position which is denigrated. For the 'downgraded' who see the SVP as a vehicle to launch a political career, this serves to compensate for their loss of social position. Politics is a consistently present and highly valued subject within their families; political activism is, thus, a chance to prove oneself to significant people in one's circle of family and friends, the 'significant others' (Berger and Luckmann, 1966), especially one's father. Interestingly, among

those who showed no political career ambitions, we found no evidence of the same type of appreciation for either politics or activism in the familial realm. Yet their current situation leads them to value the social integration (the quest for sociability and social capital) that the SVP offers.

Joining *networks* also matters in encouraging a political orientation, and soliciting or maintaining a commitment. For some of those interviewed, retirement reconfigures their sociability networks. Leaving a working environment, in other words, a union environment, leads 'lower class' individuals to lose the network which kept them on the left. This new configuration has even more of an effect if their identification with the left was quite weak, and if it coexisted with the more right-wing elements of socialization mentioned earlier. In addition, we encounter situations where turning to the SVP stems from a contact in the context of volunteer activities for a school board. For one individual who had dropped in social position and was seeking social integration, it was the explicit advice of a 'significant other' (a superior in the army) to join the SVP which was decisive. Unclear on his ideological orientations at that time, this activist recognised in the interview that he could have just as easily followed the suggestion to join another party, even at the other extreme of the political spectrum.

The political offer, an exogenous determinant, matters too. For the 'lower classes' subgroup, the SVP constitutes the sole possible encounter with the right. Other parties are seen as responsible for the deteriorating conditions in the country, particularly in Geneva, where they have long been involved 'in business', whereas this is not the case for the SVP. The SVP is also seen to be the only right-wing party that speaks to the working class; its protest discourse criticizing the political landscape meets the expectations of activists of these social groups. Moreover, one of the 'marginalised' respondents defines himself as extreme right and attributes the same label to the SVP, for it is at the farthest end of the right wing among the major parties. This political orientation prevents him from joining traditional right wing forces. Some 'downgraded' activists are also enticed by what they perceive as a popular party with a far from liberal orientation, which is objectively false, if we refer to the party's official line and to its actions. For others, this criterion does not figure into their choices; for them to join, it suffices that the SVP is a right wing party. Finally, for all these working class activists, it is also crucial that the SVP is on an upward trajectory. Indeed, this endows them with the symbolic reward (Gaxie, 2005) of being part of a watershed moment in Swiss political history.

Conclusion

We first recalled the existence of an interclassist electoral support for the SVP. That which could be seen as empirical evidence has not been viewed as such in the literature on the radical right, literature which neglects the other social categories than the working class. This is especially problematic with regards to the SVP, as these other categories are more present there than in the other European parties of the radical right.

Qualitative analysis of SVP activists clarifies the various kinds of social and political rationales behind the commitment of members of the working class, as well as those of other social categories. These kinds of rationales put the sordid explanations ('misérabiliste', Grignon and Passeron, 1989) for support of the SVP, in terms of frustration and economic deprivation, into perspective. Our results concerning working class activists take note of the diversity of their class positions. Amongst the 'downgraded', we met those with few qualifications and a very degraded socio-professional situation, who had dropped in social status compared to their parents and who were extremely socially isolated, but also people experiencing a drop in social status that was inter and intra-generational, who were relegated to menial jobs, despite being university graduates. Amongst the 'lower classes', which are in social reproduction, we find people integrated in society, skilled employees (or retired individuals) whose employment is neither objectively threatened nor felt to be so, but also employees who are unskilled and whose employment trajectory is erratic, bringing them closer to social marginality at certain points of their lives. These initial results on activists do not support the black and white interpretations of the voters proposed in the literature, seeking within the working class distinct and opposing categories (qualified-unqualified; threatened- unthreatened; integrated-excluded; and closed-opened) to determine which fractions support the radical right.

Factors pushing working class individuals to get involved are complex. They include socialization which is sometimes contradictory, causing tension; selective incentives offered for those who commit to the cause; networks with which they are in touch, which may shift over the course of a lifetime; and the selective and contextual appropriation of political offer. Thus, instead of a monocausal interpretation, we have favoured an explanation considering both endogenous and exogenous determinants. Consequently, support for the SVP (and, more broadly, for the radical right) is multidetermined, whether coming from the working class or other social categories. In this way, there is nothing particularly distinctive in working class support mechanisms for the radical right. For all our types and subtypes, similar mechanisms are at play, reflecting various socializations, social trajectories, selective incentives, networks and political offer.

Voting, the least demanding form of political involvement, is also subject to general mechanisms determining political behaviour. Its explanation requires taking into account individual characteristics (demand side) and the contexts which surround them (offer side). This is why empirical findings provided here with respect to activists of the SVP are a call to re-examine the explanation for electoral support for the radical right. Renewed quantitative investigative protocols could highlight this complex intertwining of endogenous and exogenous factors. Such an innovation would allow us to move beyond monocausal, reductive explanations of working class support for the radical right.

Appendix: Table 2: Different Activist Profiles in the SVP

	The “lower classes” (“les populaires”)	The downgraded (“les déclassés”)	The young anti-Europeans (“les jeunes anti-européens”)	The deserving (“les méritants”)	The liberals ¹⁶ (“les libéraux”)	The ideologues and the moralists (“les ideologues et les moralistes”)
Reasoning behind the construction of the type	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coming from and belonging to working class categories (workers, and employees) • In social reproduction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower rank or middle-ranking employees, and technical specialists • Lower in status than their family of origin and, in addition, for some, with respect to their training 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Young people interested, as adolescents, in the controversy surrounding the refusal of Switzerland to integrate into the EU • Socially undetermined (potentially ascending social slope) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coming from working class categories or from the traditional petty bourgeoisie • Small and medium-sized employers; employees dramatically rising up the social ladder; checkered professional trajectories 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coming from higher categories with substantial economic capital • In social reproduction • Securing their inheritance through the acquisition of graduate degrees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coming from higher categories with substantial economic and cultural capital • In social reproduction
Characterization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distance or disagreement with the party's economic liberalism • Distinction with respect to "smaller than oneself" (asylum seekers, and the unemployed) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Activism as compensation for a drop in status 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Membership around 18 years of age. • Absence of family tradition of activism in the SVP • Investment in politics and ambitions for a career as an elected official 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feeling of being self-made through work and sacrifice. • Hostile to the draining of the fruit of their efforts through taxes, and hostile to the beneficiaries of the welfare state • Appreciation of economic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defence of economic liberalism in ideological terms • Moderate discourse on immigration (contribution of foreigners to the national economy); but fear of a drop in education level as a result of too great a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distance from economic liberalism; not worried about defending immediate economic interests • Attachment to the party: defending some ideas • Traditional vision of society (male-

¹⁶ In the European sense.

	The “lower classes” (“les populaires”)	The downgraded (“les déclassés”)	The young anti-Europeans (“les jeunes anti-européens”)	The deserving (“les méritants”)	The liberals ¹⁶ (“les libéraux”)	The ideologues and the moralists (“les ideologues et les moralistes”)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Swiss identity and nationality as a refuge • SVP viewed as a working class party 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some openings to cultural liberalism (for example, homosexual rights). • Traditional conception of male-female relationships 	liberalism, starting with their own experience	proportion of immigrants in schools	female relationships, and homophobia).
Reasoning behind the construction of the subtype	Past political trajectory	Type of selective incentives sought	Political trajectory in comparison to parents	Social slope	Social category	Political competence
Subtypes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Long structured to the right:</i> conservative dispositions and feeling that Switzerland dropped in status • <i>Former leftists:</i> earlier presence of dispositions enabling them to lean to the right; loss of networks keeping them on the left • <i>Lower class marginality:</i> distance from politics and regular abstention 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The political career as compensation:</i> politicized families; search for electoral mandates • <i>Political engagement as a means of social integration:</i> quest for sociability and social capital 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Breaking with family tradition:</i> secondary socialization and desired profession encourage rightist tendencies • <i>In continuity with family tradition:</i> coming from families who vote SVP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Rising through effort:</i> coming from families on the right or the radical right They themselves have always been on the right. • <i>Making an effort to fight against a drop in social status:</i> may also come from families on the left or might have themselves been leftists 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Middle-ranked employers:</i> members of employer associations in their domain • <i>Higher salaried officials and members of the liberal professions:</i> Deploing the loss of the right leaning of traditional liberal parties 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Ideologues:</i> interest in strategy, political coups and ideological debate; for some, a history with the extreme right before joining the SVP • <i>Moralists:</i> Some women; little political competence and positions adopted based on moral and religious categories

Bibliographie

- Abendschön, Simone (ed.) (2013). *Growing into Politics. Context and Timing of Political Socialisation*. Essex: ECPR Studies Press.
- Art, David (2011). *Inside the Radical Right. The Development of Anti-Immigrant Parties in Western Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Arzheimer, Kai & Elisabeth Carter (2006). "Political Opportunity Structures and Right-Wing Extremist Party Success", *European Journal of Political Research* 45(3): 391-418.
- Bartolini, Stefano & Peter Mair (1990). *Identity, Competition, and Electoral Availability. The Stabilization of European Electorates 1885-1985*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Berger, Peter L. & Thomas Luckmann (1966). *The Social Construction of Reality. A Treatise in the Sociology of Knowledge*. Garden City: Doubleday.
- Betz, Hans-Georg (1994). *Radical Right-Wing Populism in Western Europe*. Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- Betz, Hans-Georg (1998). "Introduction", in Hans-Georg Betz & Stefan Immerfald (eds.), *The New Politics of the Right: Neo-Populist Parties and Movements in Established Democracies*. New York: St. Martin's Press: 1-10.
- Bornschieer, Simon (2010). *Cleavage Politics and the Populist Right: the New Cultural Conflict in Western Europe*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Bornschieer, Simon & Hanspeter Kriesi (2013). "The populist right, the working class, and the changing face of class politics", in Jens Rydgren (ed.), *Class Politics and the Radical Right*. Oxon: Routledge: 10-30.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1984). *Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste. Translated from French by R. Nice*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Braconnier, Cécile (2010). *Une autre sociologie du vote. Les électeurs dans leurs contextes: bilan critique et perspective*. Cergy-Pontoise: Lextenso Editions.
- Braconnier, Cécile & Jean-Yves Dormagen (2007). *La démocratie de l'abstention. Aux origines de la démobilisation électorale en milieu populaire*. Paris: Gallimard.
- Brandmeyer, Gerard A. & R. Serge Denisoff (1969). "Status Politics: an Appraisal of the Application of a Concept", *The Pacific Sociological Review* 12(1): 5-11.
- Cartier, Marie, Isabelle Coutant, Olivier Masclat & Yasmine Siblot (2008). *La France des 'petits-moyens'. Enquête sur la banlieue pavillonnaire*. Paris: La Découverte.
- Coffé, Hilde & Marieke Voorspotel (2010). "Young People, Parents and Radical Right Voting. The Case of the Swiss People's Party", *Electoral Studies* 29(3): 435-443.
- Collovald, Annie & Olivier Schwartz (2006). "Haut, bas, fragile: sociologie du populaire. Entretien avec Annie Collovald et Olivier Schwartz", *Vacarme* 37: 50-55.
- Dalton, Russell J. (2014). *Citizen Politics. Public Opinion and Political Parties in Advanced Industrial Democracy*. Los Angeles: Sage.
- Diani, Mario & Doug McAdam (eds.) (2003). *Social Movements and Networks. Relational Approaches to Collective Action*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Evans, Jocelyn (2005). "The Dynamics of Social Change in Radical Right-Wing Populist Party Support", *Comparative European Politics* 3(1): 76-101.

- Fillieule, Olivier (2010). "Some elements of an Interactionist Approach to Political Disengagement", *Social Movement Studies* 9(1): 1-15.
- Fitzgerald, Jennifer & Duncan Lawrence (2011). "Local Cohesion and Radical Right Support: The Case of the Swiss People's Party", *Electoral Studies* 30(4): 834-847.
- Flecker, Joerg (ed.) (2007). *Changing Working Life and the Appeal of the Extreme Right*. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Ford, Robert & Matthew J. Goodwin (2010). "Angry White Men: Individual and Contextual Predictors of Support for the British National Party", *Political Studies* 58(1): 1-25.
- Gaxie, Daniel (2005). "Rétributions du militantisme et paradoxes de l'action collective", *Swiss Political Science Review* 11(1): 157-188.
- Gaxie, Daniel (2006). "Des penchants vers les ultra-droites", in Annie Collovald & Brigitte Gaiti (eds.), *La démocratie aux extrêmes: sur la radicalisation politique*. Paris: La Dispute: 223-245.
- Girard, Violaine (2013). "Sur la politisation des classes populaires périurbaines. Trajectoires de promotion, recompositions des appartenances sociales et distance(s) vis-à-vis de la gauche", *Politix* 101: 183-215.
- Goodwin, Matthew J. (2011). *New British Fascism. Rise of the British National Party*. Oxon: Routledge.
- Gottraux, Philippe & Cécile Péchu (2009). "The Relationship between Values and Right-Wing 'Populist' Commitment in Switzerland: Swiss People's Party Activists", in Marcel A. Niggli (ed.), *Right-Wing Extremism in Switzerland. National and International Perspectives*. Baden-Baden: Nomos: 58-67.
- Gottraux, Philippe & Cécile Péchu (2011). *Militants de l'UDC. La diversité sociale et politique des engagés*. Lausanne: Antipodes.
- Gottraux, Philippe & Cécile Péchu (2012). "Beyond the 'Globalization's' Losers' Thesis: the Various Logics of Commitment within the Swiss People's Party", unpublished paper presented at the Annual Congress of Swiss Political Association, Luzern, 2-3 February 2012.
- Gougou, Florent & Nonna Mayer (2013). "The Class Basis of Extrem Right Voting in France: Generational Replacement and the Rise of New Cultural Issues (1984-2007)", in Jens Rydgren (ed.), *Class Politics and the Radical Right*. Oxon: Routledge: 156-172.
- Grignon, Claude & Jean-Claude Passeron (1989). *Le savant et le populaire. Misérabilisme et populisme en sociologie et littérature*. Paris: Le Seuil.
- Passy, Florence (2001). "Socialization, Connection, and the Structure/Agency Gap. A Specification of the Impact of Networks on Participation in Social Movements", *Mobilization* 6(2): 173-192.
- Hamilton, Richard F. (1982). *Who Voted for Hitler?* Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Huckfeldt, Robert (1986). *Politics in Context. Assimilation and Conflict in Urban Neighborhoods*. New York: Agathon Press.
- Huckfeldt, Robert & John Sprague (1995). *Citizens, Politics and Social Communication. Information and Influence in an Election Campaign*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jaspers, Eva, Marcel Lubbers & Jannes de Vries (2008). "Parents, Children and the Distance Between Them: Long Term Socialization Effects in the Netherlands", *Journal of Comparative Family Studies* 39(1): 39-58.

- Jennings, M. Kent (2007). "Political socialisation", in J. Dalton Russel & Hans-Dieter Klingemann (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Political Behavior*. Oxford: Oxford University Press: 29-44.
- Jennings, M. Kent, Laura Stoker & Jake Bowers (2009). "Politics Across Generations: Family Transmission Reexamined", *The Journal of Politics* 71(3): 782-799.
- Kitschelt, Herbert & Anthony J. McGann (1995). *The Radical Right in Western Europe: A Comparative Analysis*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Klandermans, Bert & Nonna Mayer (2006). *Extreme Right Activists in Europe: Through the Magnifying Glass*. London, New York: Routledge.
- Kornhauser, William (1959). *The Politics of Mass Society*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Kriesi, Hanspeter (1999). "Movements of the Left. Movements of the Right: Putting the Mobilization of Two New Types of Social Movements into Political Context", in Herbert Kitschelt (ed.), *Continuity and Change in Contemporary Capitalism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press: 398-423.
- Kriesi, Hanspeter (2003). "The Transformation of the National Political Space in a Globalizing World", in Ibarra Pedro (ed.), *Social Movements and Democracy*. New York: Palgrave: 195-210.
- Kriesi, Hanspeter, Romain Lachat, Peter Selb, Simon Bornschier & Marc Heibling (2005). *Der Aufstieg der SVP. Acht Kantone im Vergleich*. Zürich: Verlag Neue Zürcher Zeitung.
- Kriesi, Hanspeter, Edgar Grande, Romain Lachat, Martin Dolezal, Simon Bornschier & Timotheos Frey (2008). "Globalization and its Impact on National Spaces of Competition", in Hanspeter Kriesi, Edgard Grande, Romain Lachat, Martin Dolezalm Simon Bornschier & Thimoteos Frey (eds.), *West European Politics in the Age of Globalization*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press: 3-22.
- Krinsky, John & Nick Crossley (2014). "Social Movements and Social Networks: Introduction", *Social movements studies* 13(1): 1-21.
- Lafont, Valérie (2001). "Les jeunes militants du Front National: trois modèles de d'engagement et cheminement", *Revue française de science politique* 51(1-2): 175-198.
- Lahire, Bernard (2011). *The Plural Actor*. Translated from French by D. Fernbach. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Lazarsfeld, Paul, Bernard Berelson & Hazel Gaudet (1944). *The People's Choice. How the Voter Makes Up His Mind in a Presidential Campaign*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Linden, Annette & Bert Klandermans (2007). "Revolutionaries, Wanderers, Converts, and Compliant. Life Histories of Extreme Right Activists", *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography* 36(2): 184-201.
- Lipset, Seymour Martin (1963). "The Sources of the 'Radical right'", in Daniel Bell (ed.), *The Radical Right*. New York: Anchor Books: 307-371.
- Lutz, Georg (2012). *Elections fédérales 2011. Participation et choix électoral*. Lausanne: Selects-FORS.
- Marien, Sofie & Ellen Quintelier (2011). "Trends in Party Membership in Europe. Investigation into the Reasons for Declining Party Membership", in Emilie van Haute (ed.), *Party Membership in Europe : Exploration into the Anthills of Party Politics*. Brussels: Les Editions de l'Université de Bruxelles: 34-60.
- Mayer, Nonna (2005). "Votes populaires, votes populistes", *Hermès* 42(5): 161-166.

Mayer, Nonna (2007). "Comment Nicolas Sarkozy a rétréci l'électorat Le Pen", *Revue française de science politique* 57(3): 429-455.

Mayer, Nonna (2012). *L'électorat Marine Le Pen 2012: un air de famille*. Available at : <https://sites.google.com/a/iepg.fr/trielec/resultats-analyses/enquetes-pre-electorales/vague-t1---jour-du-vote-du-1er-tour/l/electoratmarinelepen2012unairdefamille> [accessed 5 December 2021].

Mazzoleni, Oscar (2008). *Nationalisme et populisme en Suisse: la radicalisation de la "nouvelle" UDC*. Lausanne: Presses polytechniques et universitaires romandes.

Mazzoleni, Oscar, Phillippe Gottraux & Cécile Péchu (eds.) (2007). *L'Union démocratique du centre: un parti, son action et ses soutiens*. Lausanne: Antipodes.

McGann, Anthony J. & Herbert Kitschelt (2005). "The Radical Right in The Alps. Evolution of Support for the Swiss SVP and Austrian FPÖ", *Party Politics* 11(2): 147-171.

Miller, William Lockley (1977). *Electoral Dynamics in Britain since 1918*. London: The Macmillan Press.

Minkenberg, Michael (2000). "The Renewal of the Radical Right: Between Modernity and Anti-Modernity", *Government and Opposition* 35(2): 170-188.

Mudde, Cas (2007). *Populist Radical Right Parties in Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Muxel, Anne (2001). *L'Expérience politique des jeunes*. Paris: Presses de Sciences Po.

Oesch, Daniel (2006). *Redrawing the Class Map. Stratification and Institutions in Britain, Germany, Sweden and Switzerland*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Oesch, Daniel (2013). "The Class Basis of the Cleavage between the New Left and the Radical Right", in Jens Rydgren (ed.), *Class Politics and the Radical Right*: 31-51.

Oesch, Daniel (2008). "Explaining Workers' Support for Right-Wing Populist Parties in Western Europe: Evidence from Austria, Belgium, France, Norway, and Switzerland", *International Political Science Review* 29(3): 349-373.

Oesch, Daniel & Line Rennwald (2010). "The Class Basis of Switzerland's Cleavage between the New Left and the Populist Right", *Swiss Political Science Review* 16(3): 343-371.

Olson, Mancur (1965). *The Logic of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Petrocik, John R. (1996). "Issue Ownership and Presidential Elections, with a 1980 Case Study", *American Journal of Political Science* 40(3): 825-850.

Reichert, Frank (2010). "Political Competences and Political Participation : On the Role of 'Objective' Political Knowledge, Political Reasoning, and Subjective Political Competence", *Early Adulthood. Journal of Social Science Education* 9(4): 63-81.

Rosenstone, Steven J. & John Mark Hansen (1993). *Mobilization, Participation and Democracy in America*. New York: Macmillan.

Roussel, Violaine (2003). "Labels politiques et construction de l'identité militante: le cas du Front National", in Michel Dobry (ed.), *Le mythe de l'allergie française au fascisme*. Paris: Albin Michel.

Rydgren, Jens (2007). "The Sociology of the Radical Right", *Annual Review of Sociology* 33: 241-262.

Rydgren, Jens (ed.) (2013). *Class Politics and the Radical Right*. Oxon: Routledge.

- Sawicki, Frédéric (1997). *Les réseaux du parti socialiste. Sociologie d'un milieu partisan*. Paris: Belin.
- Scarrow, Susan E. (1996). *Parties and their Members: Organizing for Victory in Britain and Germany*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sigel, Roberta S. (ed.) (1989). *Political Learning in Adulthood*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Skenderovic, Damir (2009). *The Radical Right in Switzerland: Continuity and Change, 1945-2000*. New York: Berghahn Books.
- Van der Brug, Wouter (2004). "Issue Ownership and Party Choice", *Electoral Studies* 23(2): 209-233.
- Verba, Sidney & Norman H. Nie (1972). *Participation in America. Political Democracy and Social Equality*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Verba, Sidney, Kay Lehman Scholzman & Henry E. Brady (1995). *Voice and Equality. Civic Voluntarism in American Politics*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Veugelers, John (2005). "Ex-Colonials, Voluntary Associations, and Electoral Support for the Contemporary Far Right", *Comparative European Politics* 3(4): 408-431.
- Veugelers, John (2012). "After Colonialism: Local Politics and Far-Right affinities in a City of Southern France", in Andrea Mammone, Emmanuel Godin & Brian Jenkins (eds.), *Mapping the Extreme Right in Contemporary Europe. From Local to Transnational*. London: Routledge: 33-47.
- Whiteley, Paul & Patrick Seyd (2002). *High-Intensity Participation: The Dynamics of Party Activism in Britain*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Zuckerman, Alan S. (ed.) (2005). *The Social Logic of Politics. Personal Networks as Contexts for Political Behavior*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

Dans la collection « Cahiers de l'IEP » / « Travaux de Science Politique »

Disponibles sur <https://www.unil.ch/iep/home/menuinst/publications.html>

77. **Andrea Pilotti et Oscar Mazzoleni** (2021). Le elezioni cantonali ticinesi del 2019. Partecipazione e astensionismo, elettorato dei partiti e radicamento territoriale.
76. **Camille Parguel et Jean-Christophe Graz** (2021). 'Food Can't Be Traded'. Civil Society's Discursive Power in the Context of Agricultural Liberalisation in India.
75. **Justine Loulergue** (2021). Equilibrium, from Germain Garnier to the French Liberals: The shaping of a concept.
74. **Yannick Perticone** (2020). La financiarisation du microcrédit solidaire en Suisse.
73. **Sylvain Maechler, Etienne Furrer, Emma Sofia Lunghi, Marc Monthoux, Céline Yousefzai et Jean-Christophe Graz** (2019). Substituting risk for uncertainty. Where are the limits and how to face them?
72. **Dimitri Courant** (2018). Deliberative Democracy, Legitimacy, and Institutionalisation. The Irish Citizens' Assemblies.
71. **Gabriel Borduas, Madeleine Braulin, Elahé Goin, Matteo Gorgoni, Diana Martínez, Antoine Michel, Élodie Müller, Maud Reveilhac, Charlotte Vidal Kränzlin, Jan-Erik Refle et Lionel Marquis** (2018). Le populisme à l'assaut de l'Europe. Le succès électoral des partis populistes entre crise de confiance, globalisation et clivages de valeurs.
70. **Andrea Pilotti, Madeleine Braulin, Oscar Mazzoleni et Yves Di Cristino** (2018). Chi ci rappresenta ? Candidati, eletti e campagna elettorale alle elezioni del Gran Consiglio ticinese del 2015.
69. **Lionel Marquis** (2017). Conformism in opinions about the welfare state in Switzerland. Results from a List Experiment.
68. **Dimitri Courant** (2017). Thinking Sortition. Modes of selection, deliberative frameworks and democratic principles.
67. **Oscar Mazzoleni, Carolina Rossi, Andrea Pilotti et Virginie Debons** (2017). Le elezioni cantonali ticinesi del 2015. Partecipazione, orientamento, temi e personalizzazione del voto.
66. **Maxime Filliau, Aziz Haltiti, Anna Herczeg, Loeva La Ragione, Régis Marchon, Baudouin Noez, Milinda Wannakula Aratchilage, Yuzhou Wu, Jan-Erik Refle et Lionel Marquis** (2016). Immigration, sécurité et comportement électoral. Les Européens face aux crises économique, migratoire et sécuritaire.
65. **Nicholas Pohl** (2016). Quand les hiérarchies reviennent par la fenêtre... La démocratie au sein d'un syndicat anarcho-syndicaliste.
64. **Philippe Gottraux et Cécile Péchu** (2016). Sous le populisme, la pluralité. Anti-élitisme et rapports au leader chez les militants de l'Union Démocratique du Centre en Suisse.
63. **Michele Bee** (2015). Opening One's Self Up The Historical Result of Bettering One's Condition According to Adam Smith.
62. **Conor Cradden et Jean-Christophe Graz** (2015). Transnational private authority, regulatory space and workers' collective competences: Bringing local contexts and worker agency back in.
61. **Andrea Pilotti et Oscar Mazzoleni** (2014). Il voto ticinese sull'iniziativa 'contro l'immigrazione di massa' del 9 febbraio 2014.
60. **Michele Bee** (2014). The Love of One's Self. The *Adam Smith Problem* Explained to Myself.
59. **Marion Beetschen** (2014). Les experts académiques dans les commissions extraparlimentaires helvétiques au XXe siècle.
58. **Rahel Kunz** (2013). Partnership in International Migration Governance.
57. **Gilles Descloux** (2013). Déviance et stratégies de conservation de l'estime de soi chez les jeunes de catégorie populaire en quête d'insertion professionnelle.
56. **Pierre Eichenberger, Sébastien Guex, Cédric Humair et André Mach** (2013). Les organisations patronales suisses : Bilan historiographique et perspectives de recherche.
55. **Andrea Plata, Mattia Pacella et Oscar Mazzoleni** (2012). Verso il parlamento.

- Candidati, partiti e campagna elettorale nelle elezioni cantonali ticinesi del 2011.
54. **Jean-Christophe Graz et Patrick Csikos** (2012). Satellizing Galileo? Non-state authority and interoperability standards in the European Global Navigation Satellite System.
 53. **Claire Dupuy et Julie Pollard** (2012). Retour sur une source écrite centrale pour l'analyse des politiques publiques.
 52. **Jean-Marie Chenou** (2012). Élitisme dans la politique mondiale. Le rôle des acteurs transnationaux dans les nouvelles formes de gouvernance.
 51. **Oscar Mazzoleni, Mattia Pacella et Andrea Plata** (2011). Le elezioni cantonali ticinesi del 2011. Partecipazione e orientamento di voto fra lealtà, defezione, protesta.
 50. **Olivier Fillieule** (2011). Disengagement process from radical organizations. What is so different when it comes to exclusive groups?
 49. **Olivier Fillieule et Philippe Blanchard** (2011). Fighting Together. Assessing Continuity and Change in Social Movement Organizations Through the Study of Constituencies' Heterogeneity.
 48. **Jean-Christophe Graz et Eva Hartmann** (2010). Global regulation of the knowledge-based economy: The rise of standards in educational services.
 47. **Jean-Christophe Graz et Nafy Niang** (2010). Standards and services offshoring in India: Moving beyond industry and institutional specificities.
 46. **Maude Gex** (2010). Le divin profané par Da Vine ? La Contestation du brevet sur l'ayahuasca et les débats autour de la biopiraterie.
 45. **Mattia Pacella** (2010). Qui gouverne le Tessin ? Les Elites politiques cantonales tessinoises : l'évolution du profil sociologique des Conseillers d'Etat de 1905 à nos jours.
 44. **Aline Mugny** (2010). Analyse du processus de réétatisation du secteur des eaux urbaines dans l'aire métropolitaine du Buenos Aires (1993-2009).
 43. **Nicolas Rieder** (2009). La diffusion scientifique dans les Expositions universelles. Les sciences anthropologiques à l'Exposition universelle de Paris en 1889 et à la World's Columbian Exposition de Chicago en 1893.
 42. **Philip Balsiger, Yassin Boughaba et Gwendoline Véniat** (2009). Conflit du travail et investissements militants. Les grèves des employés.es de Swissmetal à Reconvilier (2004-2006).
 41. **Dietmar Braun** (2009). Federalism in South Africa – Can it work?
 40. **Romain Bertrand** (2009). Habermas au Bengale, ou comment "provincialiser l'Europe" avec Dipesh Chakrabarty.
 39. **Oscar Mazzoleni et Hervé Rayner** (2008). Une coalition gouvernementale durable. Emergence, institutionnalisation et crise de la «formule magique» en Suisse (1959-2003).
 38. **Andrin Hauri** (2008). Les conséquences de la barrière de séparation entre Israël et la Cisjordanie à court et à long terme. Les perspectives pour la fondation d'un État palestinien.
 37. **André Mach et Andrea Pilotti** (2008). Professionnalisation et changements de profils des parlementaires suisses au cours de la période récente (1980-2000)
 36. **Olivier Fillieule** (2008). Travail militant, action collective et rapports de genre.
 35. **Olivier Fillieule et Philippe Blanchard** (2008). Individual Survey in RAllies (INSURA). A New Tool for Exploring Transnational Activism.
 34. **Johann Dupuis** (2008). Analyse politique des conditions de succès et d'échec des marchés de droits d'émissions.
 33. **Dietmar Braun et Björn Uhlmann** (2007). Ideas and Power in Swiss Health Care Party Politics.
 32. **Fabrizio Gilardi, Katharina Füglistner et Stéphane Luyet** (2007). Learning from Others: The Diffusion of Hospital Financing Reforms in OECD Countries.
 31. **Natalia Gerodetti** (2007). Enduring Legacies – Intersecting Discourses in the Context of Eugenics.
 30. **Véronique Mottier** (2007). Meaning, Identity, Power : Metaphors and Discourse Analysis.
 29. **Olivier Fillieule** (2007). On n'y voit rien ! Le recours aux sources de presse pour l'analyse des mobilisations protestataires.
 28. **Kathrin Daepf** (2006). La re-régulation sélective de la finance internationale : l'initiative sur les pays ou territoires non-coopératifs du GAFI.
 27. **Martino Maggetti** (2006). Assessing the De Facto Independence of Regulatory Agencies. The Case of the Swiss Federal Banking Commission in the 1990?
 26. **Dietmar Braun** (2006). Modernising Federalism. Towards Convergence in the Organisation of Intergovernmental Relations?

25. **Fabrizio Gilardi** (2006). The Same, but Different. Central Banks, Regulatory Agencies, and the Politics of Delegation to Independent Authorities.
24. **Cécile Péchu** (2006). Entre résistance et contestation. La genèse du squat comme mode d'action.
23. **Sarah Gotheil** (2005) ACCOBAMS. Les pays de mer noire, méditerranée et zone atlantique adjacente s'unissent pour la protection des cétaqués.
22. **Gerhard Schnyder, Martin Lüpold, André Mach et Thomas David** (2005) The Rise and Decline of the Swiss Company Network during the 20th Century.
21. **Aurélien Buffat** (2005) La mise en oeuvre de la réforme de l'armée suisse « Armée XXI » : les changements vécus à l'intérieur. Etude de cas des militaires professionnels des écoles, hôpital de la place d'armes de Moudon.
20. **Léonard Rey** (2005) La construction du marché intérieur suisse à la lumière de l'expérience européenne : centralité et intervention contrastée des Hautes Cours dans les processus d'intégration économique.
19. **Patrick Csikos** (2005) Emergence d'une nouvelle gouvernance internationale privée/publique : les cas des agences de notation financière et des normes comptables.
18. **Michael Voegtli** (2004) Entre paternalisme et Etat social. Le cas de la fabrique de chocolat Suchard (1870-1940).
17. **Luca Chinotti** (2004) Les effets de l'Accord sur l'Agriculture de l'Uruguay Round sur les pays en développement. Une entrave ou une opportunité pour le développement?.
16. **Alexandre Afonso** (2004) Internationalisation, économie et politique migratoire dans la Suisse des années 1990.
15. **Nicolas Freymond** (2003) La question des institutions dans la science politique contemporaine: l'exemple du néo-institutionnalisme.
14. **Yves Steiner** (2003) Le coût réel de l'indépendance de la banque centrale: économie politique comparée de la Deutsche Bundesbank et de la Banque du Japon dans les années soixante-dix.
13. **Dietmar Braun** (1999) Toward a heuristic framework of the Territorial division of Power in comparative public Policy research.
12. **Dietmar Braun** (1998) Bringing State structures back in: The Significance of Political Arena's in Political Decision-making.
11. **Daniel Kübler** (1996) Neighbourhood conflicts and dialogues.
10. **André Mach** (1995) Représentation des intérêts et capacité d'adaptation de l'économie suisse.
9. **Yves Sancey** (1995) Le Gentlemen's agreement de 1927. Lutte autour de la (non-)politisation de l'exportation du capital.
8. **Daniel Kübler** (1993) L'Etat face à la toxicomanie, action publique et contrat social.
7. **Jean-Philippe Leresche** (1993) Les transformations du pouvoir local en Suisse.
6. **Bernard Voutat** (1993) Les minorités territoriales, quelques considérations théoriques.
5. **Bernard Voutat** (1992) Les origines sociales de la "question jurassienne".
4. **Daniel-Louis Seiler** (1991) Le cas des partis politiques dans les nouvelles démocraties de l'Est européen.
3. **Silvia Kobi** (1991) Les "Neinsager" dans le processus référendaire suisse: des variations sur un thème mythique.
2. **Ioannis Papadopoulos** (1991) La Suisse: un "Sonderfall" pour la théorie politique?
Nadia Spang (1991) Scholarly View of Japan throughout the XXth Century.
Shahrokh Vaziri (1990) Eléments de réflexion sur les partis politiques et références idéologiques dans le Tiers Monde.