



Taylor & Franc

**Plant Signaling & Behavior** 

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: www.tandfonline.com/journals/kpsb20

# Highly expressed cell wall genes contribute to robustness of sepal size

Diego A. Hartasánchez, Mathilde Dumond, Nelly Dubrulle, Françoise Monéger & Arezki Boudaoud

To cite this article: Diego A. Hartasánchez, Mathilde Dumond, Nelly Dubrulle, Françoise Monéger & Arezki Boudaoud (2025) Highly expressed cell wall genes contribute to robustness of sepal size, Plant Signaling & Behavior, 20:1, 2446858, DOI: 10.1080/15592324.2024.2446858

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2024.2446858

© 2024 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.



9

View supplementary material

ď	1	ſ	1	ĥ
				L
				L
				L

Published online: 31 Dec 2024.



Submit your article to this journal 🕑

Article views: 75



View related articles 🖸



View Crossmark data

# SHORT COMMUNICATION

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

# Highly expressed cell wall genes contribute to robustness of sepal size

Diego A. Hartasánchez Dab, Mathilde Dumond Da, Nelly Dubrullea, Françoise Monéger Dab, and Arezki Boudaoud Dace

<sup>a</sup>Laboratoire Reproduction et Développement des Plantes, Université de Lyon, ENS de Lyon, CNRS, INRAE, UCBL, Lyon, France; <sup>b</sup>Department of Computational Biology, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland; <sup>c</sup>LadHyX, CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau Cedex, France

#### ABSTRACT

Reproducibility in organ size and shape is a fascinating trait of living organisms. The mechanisms underlying such robustness remain, however, to be elucidated. Taking the sepal of Arabidopsis as a model, we investigated whether variability of gene expression plays a role in variation of organ size and shape. Previous work from our team identified cell-wall related genes as being enriched among the genes whose expression is highly variable. We then hypothesized that the variation of measured morphological parameters in cell-wall related single knockout mutants could be correlated with the variation in gene expression of the corresponding gene (the knocked-out gene) in wild-type plants. We analyzed sepal size and shape from 16 cell-wall mutants and found that sepal size variability correlates positively, not with gene expression variation, but with mean gene expression of the corresponding gene in wild type. These findings support a contribution of cell-wall related genes to the robustness of sepal size.

#### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 4 November 2024 Revised 18 December 2024 Accepted 19 December 2024

### **KEYWORDS**

Cell wall mutants; morphological robustness; Arabidopsis sepal; gene expression variability

# Introduction

Organisms of the same species typically exhibit a remarkably reproducible morphological development despite high variability at the cellular level. The invariant expression of phenotype in the face of environmental and/or genetic perturbations, commonly referred to as "robustness",<sup>1</sup> is indeed an important characteristic of living beings.<sup>2-4</sup> In plants, molecular mechanisms have been found to modulate morphogenetic robustness to environmental perturbations, as in the case of heat-shock proteins,<sup>5</sup> and to genetic changes such as whole genome duplications.<sup>6</sup> Robustness, however, also refers to developmental stability despite systemic internal noise.<sup>7</sup> Indeed, gene expression has an important stochastic component attributed to a combination of external and internal noise, as initially shown in bacteria.<sup>8</sup> In a multicellular context, such as that of Arabidopsis plants, gene expression appears to be extremely variable in time and space.<sup>9–11</sup> In fact, when measuring variability in gene expression at the whole-organism level, there are some genes that exhibit very high variability between individuals. This variability itself has been observed to differ between day and night, for example, in Arabidopsis seedlings<sup>12</sup> and between developmental stages in C. elegans<sup>13</sup> and Drosophila.<sup>14</sup> Development is, then, not only robust to gene expression variability, but also, possibly dependent on it.

In a recent paper, Hartasánchez et al.<sup>15</sup> used the sepal of wildtype Arabidopsis plants to identify modules of co-expressed genes which co-vary with sepal morphology. Cell-wall related genes were found to be over-represented in two of these modules. In addition, highly variable genes were also enriched in cell-wall related genes. Building upon these results, we wanted to check if cell-wall related genes could be involved in the robustness of sepal morphology. We selected a sample of 16 genes, and we studied the corresponding mutants to evaluate the variability of their sepal size and shape in relation to the variability of expression of the corresponding gene in the wild-type sepal. Our results reveal a positive correlation between the level of expression of the genes in wild type and variability of size in the corresponding mutants. Altogether, our work supports a contribution of highly expressed cell-wall related genes to the robustness of sepal size.

# Materials and methods

# **Plant material**

Col-0 *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants were grown on soil at 20°C in short day conditions (8 h light/16 h darkness) for 20 days before being transferred to long day conditions (16 h light/8 h darkness). Sepals were dissected from secondary inflorescences after at least 10 siliques were formed. We assessed the final shape of sepals (stage 13, according to Smyth et al.<sup>16</sup>).

# Mutants

Mutant seeds (from Col-0 background) were obtained from well-characterized stocks<sup>17–20</sup> or from SAIL and SALK collections maintained at NASC<sup>21,22</sup> as described in Supplementary Table S1. Mutant plants were genotyped following O'Malley

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed online at https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2024.2446858

© 2024 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the Accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent.

**CONTACT** Diego A. Hartasánchez i diegohartasanchezfrenk@unil.ch i Génopode, Université de Lausanne, Quartier Sorge, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland; Françoise Monéger i françoise.moneger@ens-lyon.fr i Laboratoire Reproduction et Dévelopement des Plantes, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 46 Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France; Arezki Boudaoud i arezki.boudaoud@polytechnique.edu i LadHyX, École Polytechinqe, Palaiseau Cedex 91128, France \*Co-last

et al.<sup>23</sup> except for *csi1-3* and *prc1* which have distinctive phenotypes.

# Extraction of morphological parameters from mutant lines

We analyzed sepal contours and quantified area, length, width, and aspect ratio. We only analyzed these four geometrical parameters because they were the only parameters in common with the study relating gene expression to 3D sepal morphology.<sup>15</sup> Briefly, we flattened the sepals between two slides and took photographs with a black background under a dissecting microscope, following Hong et al.<sup>24</sup> We used Python scripts<sup>25</sup> to segment and align sepals, and to extract morphological parameters.<sup>24</sup>

# Data analysis

Raw data consisting of measurements of length, width, area, and aspect ratio for control plants (Supplementary Table S2; 11 control batches) and for each mutant (Supplementary Table S3; 16 mutants) were analyzed. The difference between mutant and wild type (from the corresponding control batch) for each morphological parameter was calcu-[mean(mutantParameter) lated as: mean-(controlBatchParameter)]/mean(controlBatchParameter). Since we are interested in the magnitude of this difference and not its sign, we used this difference in absolute numbers when testing for correlations. The squared coefficient of variation (CV<sup>2</sup>) for each parameter was calculated as: [sd-(mutantParameter)/mean(mutantParameter)]^2. The average gene expression for each mutant gene in wild type was

obtained from Hartasánchez et al.<sup>15</sup> (Supplementary Table S4). Source data for Figures 1 and 2 are shown in Supplementary Table S5.

# Mutant subsampling

We ran 1000 subsampling replicates. In each replicate, we extracted a random sample of 30 sepals (without replacement) for each mutant. For each parameter and each replicate, we tested if there was a correlation between the parameter's  $CV^2$  (obtained from the 30 subsamples of each mutant) and the log mean gene expression for the corresponding gene in wild type. We obtained Pearson correlation coefficients (R) and p-values for the 1000 correlation tests for each parameter.

#### Leave x-out experiments

We obtained Pearson correlation coefficients (R) and corresponding p-values for Area, Length and Width  $CV^2$  values against gene mean expression in wild type across a subselection of mutants. Leave 1-out experiments tested 16 correlations, leaving one mutant out at a time. Leave 2-out and leave 3-out experiments tested 240 and 3360 correlations, respectively, accounting for all possible combinations in which two or three mutants were left out. The leave *pmr6*+x experiments consisted in eliminating the *PMR6* mutant from the list and then performing the leave x-out experiments, with 15, 210 and 2730 combinations tested for *pmr6* +1, *pmr6* +2 and *pmr6* +3, respectively.



**Figure 1.** a) Squared coefficient of variation ( $CV^2$ ) of gene expression against mean gene expression in wild-type (WT) Col-0 sepals for 16 mutant genes (in colors as shown in the legend) over the corresponding values for 14,085 genes expressed in sepals (from Hartasánchez et al.<sup>15</sup>; dark tan points). b & c) Effect (in absolute value, denoted 'abs') of the knockout mutation of each gene on sepal area (corrected by sepal area in wild-type plants for each batch) against gene expression  $CV^2$  in WT (b), and against gene mean expression in WT (c). d & e)  $CV^2$  of sepal area in knockout mutants against gene expression  $CV^2$  in WT (d), and against gene mean expression in WT (e). Each colored point corresponds to one gene. Pearson correlation coefficient R and p-values are shown on the top in each plot. Gray solid line shows linear model adjustment with standard error in tan shade. Gray dashed lines correspond to average  $CV^2$  in area measurements in WT Col-0 control batches with dotted lines showing average plus/minus one standard deviation.



Figure 2. Squared coefficient of variation of sepal length (a), width (b) and aspect ratio (c) in knockout mutants against mean gene expression of the corresponding mutant genes in wild-type (WT) Col-0 plants. Each point corresponds to one gene. Pearson correlation coefficient R and p-values are shown on the top in each plot. Gray solid line shows linear model adjustment with standard error in tan shade. Gray dashed lines correspond to average CV<sup>2</sup> in length, width and aspect ratio measurements in WT Col-0 control batches with dotted lines showing average plus/minus one standard deviation.

# **Results**

To explore the link between cell-wall related gene expression and robustness of sepal morphology, we decided to exploit an unpublished mutant dataset previously produced by our team.<sup>26</sup> This mutant dataset had been generated in the context of sepal phenotype exploration and contained data for 16 of the 718 cell-wall related genes expressed in sepal (Table 1; Supplementary Table S1). The mutants had been selected based on the following features: involvement of the corresponding gene in synthesis or remodeling of cell wall components, relatively higher expression of the corresponding gene in sepals compared to other organs at stage 12,<sup>27</sup> and availability of mutants with T-DNA insertions in exons (we considered one mutant allele for each of these genes). The 16 corresponding genes can be grouped according to their (putative) functions as follows (Table 1): six genes encoding proteins related to cellulose [one hydrolase (BETA GLUCOSIDASE 42), two interactors of the cellulose synthase complex (CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-INTERACTIVE PROTEIN 1 and COMPANION OF

Table 1. Mutants for each of these 16 cell-wall related genes were analyzed.

GENE	GENE ID	RELATED TO	INVOLVED IN or ENABLES
BETA GLUCOSIDASE 42	AT5G36890	CELLULOSE	cellulose catabolic process
(BGLU42)			
CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-INTERACTIVE PROTEIN 1	AT2G22125	CELLULOSE	cellulose biosynthetic process
(CSI1)			
COMPANION OF CELLULOSE SYNTHASE 1	AT1G45688	CELLULOSE	linking cellulose synthase complex and
(CC1)			microtubules
CELLULOSE SYNTHASE 6	AT5G64740	CELLULOSE	cellulose biosynthetic process
(CESA6)			
CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-LIKE D5	AT1G02730	CELLULOSE	cellulose biosynthetic process
(CSLD5)			
CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-LIKE G3	AT4G23990	CELLULOSE	cellulose biosynthetic process
(CSLG3)	472602000		и и са
EXPANSIN A 15	A12G03090		cell wall organization
	AT2C 42270	HEMICELLULOSE	vestin estabelis vesses
PECTIN METHTLESTERASE 32 (DME22)	A13G43270	PECTIN	pectin catabolic process
(PINESZ) DECTINI METUVI ESTEDASE INIUIDITOD 2	AT5C20740	DECTIN	nogative regulation of pactin catabolic process
(DMEI3)	A13020740	FECTIN	negative regulation of pectin catabolic process
(FMEIS) POWDERY MILDEW RESISTANT 6	AT3G54920	PECTIN	nectin catabolic process
(PMR6)	ATJUJ4720	T ECHIN	peetin catabolic process
MIBIS 4	AT1G30620	PECTIN/	galactose metabolic process for cell wall
(MUR4)	7111050020	HEMICELLULOSE	biogenesis
CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-LIKE C8	AT2G24630	HEMICELLULOSE	cell wall organization
(CSLC8)			
XYLOGLUCAN ENDOTRANSGLUCOSYLASE/HYDROLASE 15	AT4G14130	HEMICELLULOSE	xyloglucan metabolic process
(XTH15)			, ,
XYLOGLUCAN ENDOTRANSGLUCOSYLASE/HYDROLASE 6 (XTH6)	AT5G65730	HEMICELLULOSE	xyloglucan metabolic process
XYLOGLUCAN ENDOTRANSGLUCOSYLASE/HYDROLASE 9 (XTH9)	AT4G03210	HEMICELLULOSE	xyloglucan metabolic process
GLYCOSYLTRANSFERASE 29A (GALT29A)	AT1G08280	ARABINOGALACTAN	protein glycosylation
		PROTEINS	

CELLULOSE SYNTHASE 1), and three cellulose synthases (CELLULOSE SYNTHASE 6, CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-LIKE D5, CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-LIKE G3)]; one gene encoding a protein related to cellulose and hemi-cellulose [an expansin (EXPA15)]; three genes encoding proteins related to pectin [a pectin methylesterase (PECTIN METHYLESTERASE 32), a pectin methylesterase inhibitor (PECTIN METHYLESTERASE INHIBITOR 2), and a pectate lyase (POWDERY MILDEW RESISTANT 6)]; one gene encoding a protein related to pectin and hemicellulose [a protein involved in synthesis of nucleotide-arabinose and necessary for arabinosylation of pectin and hemicellulose (MURUS 4)]; four genes encoding proteins related to hemicellulose [one glucan synthase (CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-LIKE C8), and three xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolases (XTH15, XTH6, and XTH9)]; and one gene encoding a protein related to arabinogalactan proteins [a glycosyltransferase (GLYCOSYLTRANSFERASE 29A)].

Because it is difficult to compare developmental stages between mutant and wild-type plants, we focused on the size and shape of sepals that had ceased growing (stage 13). For each mutant and their corresponding wild-type controls (Supplementary Table S2), we had obtained length, width, area, and aspect ratio with samples ranging from 39 to 90 sepals (see Materials and Methods & Supplementary Table S3). For the level of expression of the corresponding genes in wild-type sepals, we reasoned that transcriptome of sepals at stage 11 would be a good predictor of the final size of sepals (stage 13) because stage 11 precedes growth cessation. Accordingly, we used the data generated by Hartasánchez et al.<sup>15</sup> composed of transcriptomes of 27 individual sepals (stage 11) from Col-0 background generated by bulk RNA-seq (Supplementary Table S4). Despite cell-wall related genes being enriched in highly variable genes,<sup>15</sup> the genes represented within our set of mutants are widespread in both gene expression CV<sup>2</sup> and mean gene expression levels, as shown in Figure 1a, in comparison with the 14,085 genes expressed in sepals from Hartasánchez et al.<sup>15</sup>

We first hypothesized that the level of expression of a given gene in wild-type plants could predict the effect on morphology of the corresponding knockout mutant. We thus examined if knockout mutants of genes with higher expression in wild-type plants exhibited a stronger phenotype (difference in morphological parameters compared to wild type; see Materials and methods) than genes with lower expression. Our data (Supplementary Table S5), however, shows no correlation between the size or shape of mutant lines and the level of expression of the corresponding gene in wild-type plants (Figure 1c).

We then hypothesized that genes with higher expression variability in wild type would tend to be more important for robust morphogenesis, and hence, when knocked out, would have a stronger effect on sepal size and shape or their variability. Again, this was not supported by the data (Figure 1b–d; Supplementary Table S5). Finally, we hypothesized that knocking down highly expressed genes in wild type would prove more difficult to cope with than knocking down genes with lower expression. Accordingly, mutant phenotypic variability would correlate with the level of gene expression in wild type. Indeed, there is a significant correlation between the coefficient of variability  $(CV^2)$  of the mutant phenotypes (for area, length and width, but not aspect ratio) and the log mean expression in the wild type of the corresponding knocked out genes (Figures 1e and 2), supporting the latter hypothesis.

We performed additional tests to ensure the strength of our results. To confirm that sample size was not a confounding factor given its difference across our mutant dataset (from 39 to 90; Supplementary Table S3), we examined the correlation between parameter CV<sup>2</sup> and sample size over all mutants and only found a marginally significant correlation value for Aspect ratio CV<sup>2</sup>. In addition, we performed 1000 replicates of subsampling experiments (see Materials and methods) obtaining R and p-values for 1000 correlation tests for each of our four parameters. Correlation p-values for Area CV<sup>2</sup> and Length CV<sup>2</sup> were below 0.05 (significant) in 100% of replicates and correlations for Width CV<sup>2</sup> were significant in 47% of replicates. We then performed leave x-out experiments with  $x = \{1,$ 2, 3} and calculated R and p-values for all possible combinations (see Materials and methods). Area  $CV^2$  and Length  $CV^2$ correlations with gene expression level are resilient to leaving 1, 2 and 3 mutants out, while many correlations for Width  $CV^2$ lose significance with the removal of 2 and 3 mutants (Figure 3). Through these experiments we observed that PMR6 was not only an outlier, but that its presence affected the resilience of our main finding importantly. We hence proceeded to repeat the leave x-out experiments but after having completely removed PMR6 from the data. These leave x-out experiments with  $x = \{pmr6 + 1, pmr6 + 2, pmr6 + 3\}$ reveal striking resilience of our results, with all correlations tested being below the 0.05 significance threshold and most of them depicting p-values below the one obtained for the complete set of mutants despite smaller sample sizes in the leave x-out experiments (Figure 3). These validations confirm that the expression level of our set of cell-wall related genes in wild type correlates with variability (and not average effect) in sepal area, length and width of the corresponding mutant.

# Discussion

Although several signaling pathways regulating organ size and shape have been identified (reviewed in Powell and Lenhard<sup>28</sup>) the mechanisms behind morphological robustness have remained elusive. More recently, a screen for mutants that disrupted the robustness of sepal size and shape led to the identification of genes involved in reproducibility of sepal morphogenesis.<sup>24,29,30</sup> This work revealed that spatiotemporal averaging of cellular variability, precise timing of organ initiation, and growth balance between cell layers, are required for precision in organ size and shape. The mechanisms underlying organ robustness, however, remain largely enigmatic.

Robustness of organ size and shape is thought to be the result of the complex interaction of genes within gene regulatory networks and environmental cues. Gene expression variability can be considered, *a priori*, as a factor affecting robustness. Hong et al.<sup>24</sup> reported that cell-to-cell variability



**Figure 3.** Leave x-out experiments for area (a), length (b) and width (c) squared coefficient of variation ( $CV^2$ ) values. Each plot shows the distribution of p-values for all correlations tested within each of the six leave x-out experiments with x={1, 2, 3, *pmr6* +1, *pmr6* +2, *pmr6* +3}. The density curves are normalized to account for the different number of combinations tested in each experiment so that all areas under the curves are equal. Vertical dotted lines correspond to the p-value for the original correlation with the 16 mutants (dark blue) and to the significance threshold of p-value = 0.05 (dark red).

of growth is required for robustness of sepal shape and size, opening the question of the role of variability in gene expression in this process. Trinh et al.<sup>31</sup> found that an increase in variability of gene expression impaired robustness of sepal shape and size. To further assess the link between gene expression variability and robustness, we have evaluated sepal size and shape in cell-wall related mutants. Therefore, we used mutants, not to search for candidate genes involved in size and shape determination, but to test the way in which knockout mutants of genes putatively involved in robustness, such as cell-wall related genes, affect sepal size and shape. Our results show that knockouts of highly expressed genes are associated with an increased phenotypic variability. In particular, highly expressed cell-wall related genes in wild-type plants exhibit larger morphological variation when knocked out than less expressed genes, although levels of active proteins do not necessarily correlate with RNA levels.

We can speculate that the effect caused by knocking out highly expressed cell-wall genes is more difficult to buffer, compared to lowly expressed cell-wall genes. There is knowledge about capacitors of phenotypic variation, such as heatshock protein HSP90,<sup>5</sup> which limits the manifestation of cryptic genetic variation allowing for developmental stability.<sup>32</sup> Additionally, the effect of the mutation of a gene can be compensated by changes in the expression of other genes (e.g, Hocq et al.<sup>33</sup> and Sénéchal et al.<sup>34</sup>) possibly masking the mutation. Our work suggests that the function of genes can be revealed by analyzing variability of mutant phenotype instead of their average phenotype. Our observation that cell-wall related genes appear to be involved in buffering mechanisms that allow for developmental robustness, adds to evidence of cell-wall related genes being important for organ size and proportions.<sup>35</sup>

The set of mutants used in this study includes genes related to cellulose, hemicellulose, and pectin (Table 1). The selection of these genes was independent from the transcriptional study of Hartasánchez et al.<sup>15</sup> Among the mutants analyzed, mur4 has the largest effect in area, more than twice as that observed for *xth6* (Figure 1b,c). However, *xth6* shows five times more variability in sepal area than *mur4* (Figure 1d,e). Although each of the corresponding mutated genes is involved in a different metabolic process, namely, galactose for MUR4 and xyloglucan for *XTH6*, finding a mechanistic explanation for this difference is not trivial. By comparing the *xth6* phenotype with other genes involved in xyloglucan metabolic processes, such as XTH9 and XTH15, we observe that among these three genes, there is a negative correlation between Area CV<sup>2</sup> and gene expression  $CV^2$  in wild type (Figure 1d, purple points) and a positive correlation between Area CV<sup>2</sup> in the mutant and mean gene expression in wild type (Figure 1e, purple points). Whereas the former correlation is lost when including the other mutants, the latter holds and is statistically significant. This implies that regardless of the metabolic process any of these genes is involved in, the gene's average expression in wild type seems to be an important parameter determining the variability in the effect of that gene's knockdown mutation.

Testing for the resilience of our finding by performing leave x-out experiments revealed that the correlations for Area CV<sup>2</sup> and Length CV<sup>2</sup> were still significant after removal of one and two mutants, but less so for Width CV<sup>2</sup>. These tests also confirmed that PMR6 was not only an outlier as could be noted by eye inspection of Figures 1 and 2, but that its presence affected the resilience of the results importantly. Regarding the reasons why the PMR6 gene could be an outlier, we might speculate that it is not directly involved in developmental robustness, as opposed to the other genes. PMR6 is a pectate-lyase that makes Arabidopsis susceptible to powdery mildew.<sup>36</sup> It appears that pmr6 resistance is not due to the activation of known host defense pathways but rather a novel form of resistance due to the loss of a gene required for a compatible interaction. Its exact function is not known, yet, it clearly affects cell wall composition and has pleiotropic effects on the plant,<sup>36</sup> so there is no clear reason why we would rule out the involvement of this gene in sepal development or robust development. However, by repeating the leave x-out experiments, but previously removing the *PMR6* gene from our list, the resilience of the correlation between variability in mutant size and gene expression in wild type is drastically increased (Figure 3).

Regarding the other genes found within our list, for example those involved in cellulose synthesis, we might also speculate about their role in developmental robustness. In mutants which have an affected cellulose synthesis, mechanical stresses might be created, setting off well known cell wall signaling events and potentially leading to transient growth cessation. Cytoskeletal interactions might also be involved in mechanical stress responses, involving proteins linking the cellulose synthase complex to cortical micro-tubules such as CSI1<sup>37</sup> and CC1.<sup>19</sup> However, the number of mutants investigated is too small to draw strong conclusions about the relation between gene function and phenotypic variability of the mutant. We do not know whether this phenotypic

variability is a direct effect of cell wall modifications or involves feedback from the cell wall on cell growth, through cell wall integrity sensing and mechanosensing, for instance. Finally, we have only characterized the transcriptional regulation of robustness, discarding the potential role of signals (reactive oxygen species, calcium, pH, etc.) that directly affect cell wall properties.

Nevertheless, this work contributes to the understanding of how gene regulatory networks are related to developmental robustness. It opens questions regarding the role played by the level of expression of cell wall genes in the robustness of sepal size. Our results point toward genes with higher expression levels being more relevant, not for morphology, but for morphological robustness.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Annamaria Kiss and Marina Brasó-Vives for their help in early stages of this project. We thank Fabien Sénéchal and Jérôme Pelloux for providing the *pme32-1* seeds. Author contributions: Conceptualization -DAH, FM, AB; Methodology - DAH, MD, FM, AB; Software - DAH; Validation - DAH, MD, ND; Formal Analysis - DAH, MD; Investigation -DAH, MD, ND; Data Curation - DAH, MD, AB; Writing/Original Draft Preparation - DAH, FM, AB; Writing/Review & Editing - DAH, FM, AB; Visualization - DAH; Supervision - FM, AB; Project Administration - FM, AB; Funding Acquisition - FM, AB. Agence Nationale de la Recherche [ANR-17-CAPS-0002-01 V-Morph]; Institut National de Recherche pour l'Agriculture, l'Alimentation et l'Environnement [FLORIVAR]; École Normale Supérieure de Lyon [FLORIVAR].

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

# Funding

This work was funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR) through a European ERA-NET Coordinating Action in Plant Sciences (ERA-CAPS) grant [Grant No. ANR-17-CAPS-0002-01 V-Morph] and through a direct grant [Grant No. ANR-17-CE20-0023-02 WALLMIME] by Fond de Recherche ENS de Lyon (Projet émergent FLORIVAR), by BAP INRAE (Projet FLORIVAR), and by a PhD fellowship from ENS de Lyon (to MD).

#### ORCID

Diego A. Hartasánchez (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2596-6883 Mathilde Dumond (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0670-2866 Françoise Monéger (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2107-5696 Arezki Boudaoud (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2780-4717

# Data availability statement

Raw data for mutant sepal measurements and their corresponding controls; Supplementary Tables S1-S5; and the R scripts to analyze the raw data, to produce Supplementary Tables S2-S5 and Figures 1 and 2 are publicly available at Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13918461).

### References

- Félix MA, Barkoulas M. Pervasive robustness in biological systems. Nat Rev Genet. 2015;16(8):483–496. doi:10.1038/nrg3949.
- Schmalhausen II. Factors of evolution: the theory of stabilizing selection. Chicago (IL): Univ. of Chicago Press; 1949.

- 3. Waddington CH. Genetic assimilation of an acquired character. Evolution. 1953;7(2):118–126. doi:10.2307/2405747.
- 4. Waddington CH. Canalization of development and genetic assimilation of acquired characters. Nature. 1959;183(4676):1654–1655. doi:10.1038/1831654a0.
- Queitsch C, Sangster TA, Lindquist S. Hsp90 as a capacitor of phenotypic variation. Nature. 2002;417(6889):618-624. doi:10. 1038/nature749.
- Lachowiec J, Queitsch C, Kliebenstein DJ. Molecular mechanisms governing differential robustness of development and environmental responses in plants. Ann Botany. 2016;117(5):795–809. doi:10.1093/aob/mcv151.
- Alvarez-Buylla ER, Chaos A, Aldana M, Benítez M, Cortes-Poza Y, Espinosa-Soto C, Hartasánchez DA, Lotto RB, Malkin D, Escalera Santos GJ, et al. Floral morphogenesis: stochastic explorations of a gene network epigenetic landscape. PLOS ONE. 2008;3(11): e3626. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003626.
- Elowitz MB, Levine AJ, Siggia ED, Swain PS. Stochastic gene expression in a single cell. Science. 2002;297(5584):1183–1186. doi:10.1126/science.1070919.
- Araújo IS, Pietsch JM, Keizer EM, Greese B, Balkunde R, Fleck C, Hülskamp M. Stochastic gene expression in Arabidopsis thaliana. Nat Commun. 2017;8(1):2132. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02285-7.
- Joseph B, Corwin JA, Kliebenstein DJ, Copenhaver GP. Genetic variation in the nuclear and organellar genomes modulates stochastic variation in the metabolome, growth, and defense. PIOS Genet. 2015;11(1):e1004779. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004779.
- 11. Meyer HM, Teles J, Formosa-Jordan P, Refahi Y, San-Bento R, Ingram G, Jönsson H, Locke JC, Roeder AH. Fluctuations of the transcription factor ATML1 generate the pattern of giant cells in the Arabidopsis sepal. eLife. 2017;6:e19131. doi:10.7554/eLife. 19131.
- Cortijo S, Aydin Z, Ahnert S, Locke JC. Widespread inter-individual gene expression variability in Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol Syst Biol. 2019;15(1):e8591. doi:10.15252/msb. 20188591.
- Zalts H, Yanai I. Developmental constraints shape the evolution of the nematode mid-developmental transition. Nat Ecol Evol. 2017;1 (5):113. doi:10.1038/s41559-017-0113.
- Liu J, Frochaux M, Gardeux V, Deplancke B, Robinson-Rechavi M. Inter-embryo gene expression variability recapitulates the hourglass pattern of evo-devo. BMC Biol. 2020;18(1):129. doi:10.1186/ s12915-020-00842-z.
- Hartasánchez DA, Kiss A, Battu V, Soraru C, Delgado-Vaquera A, Massinon F, Brasó-Vives M, Mollier C, Martin-Magniette M, Boudaoud A, et al. Expression of cell-wall related genes is highly variable and correlates with sepal morphology. Peer Community J. 2023;3:e93. doi:10.24072/pcjournal.327.
- Smyth DR, Bowman JL, Meyerowitz EM. Early flower development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 1990;2(8):755–767. doi:10.1105/tpc.2.8.755.
- Bringmann M, Li E, Sampathkumar A, Kocabek T, Hauser MT, Persson S. POM-POM2/cellulose synthase interacting1 is essential for the functional association of cellulose synthase and microtubules in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2012;24(1):163–177. doi:10.1105/ tpc.111.093575.
- Desnos T, Orbović V, Bellini C, Kronenberger J, Caboche M, Traas J, Höfte H. Procustel mutants identify two distinct genetic pathways controlling hypocotyl cell elongation, respectively in dark- and light-grown Arabidopsis seedlings. Development. 1996;122(2):683–693. doi:10.1242/dev.122.2.683.
- Endler A, Kesten C, Schneider R, Zhang Y, Ivakov A, Froehlich A, Funke N, Persson S. A mechanism for sustained cellulose synthesis during salt stress. Cell. 2015;162(6):1353–1364. doi:10.1016/j.cell. 2015.08.028.
- 20. Sénéchal F. Rôles des pectines méthylestérases (PMEs) dans le développement chez Arabidopsis thaliana. Étude de leur régulation par les inhibiteurs (PMEIs) et protéases de type subtilisines (SBTs). Amiens: Université de Picardie Jules Verne; 2013.
- 21. Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Leisse TJ, Kim CJ, Chen H, Shinn P, Stevenson DK, Zimmerman J, Barajas P, Cheuk R, et al. Genome-

wide insertional mutagenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. Science. 2003;301(5633):653–657. doi:10.1126/science.1086391.

- 22. McElver J, Tzafrir I, Aux G, Rogers R, Ashby C, Smith K, Thomas C, Schetter A, Zhou Q, Cushman MA, et al. Insertional mutagenesis of genes required for seed development in Arabidopsis thaliana. Genetics. 2001;159(4):1751–1763. doi:10. 1093/genetics/159.4.1751.
- O'Malley RC, Barragan CC, Ecker JR. A user's guide to the arabidopsis T-DNA insertion mutant collections. In: Alonso J Stepanova A. editors. Plant functional genomics. Methods in molecular biology, 1284. New York (NY): Humana Press; 2015. doi:10. 1007/978-1-4939-2444-8\_16.
- 24. Hong L, Dumond M, Tsugawa S, Sapala A, Routier-Kierzkowska AL, Zhou Y, Chen C, Kiss A, Zhu M, Hamant O, et al. Variable cell growth yields reproducible OrganDevelopment through spatiotemporal averaging. Dev Cell. 2016;38(1):15–32. doi:10.1016/j.dev cel.2016.06.016.
- Van Rossum G, Drake FL. Python 3 reference manual. Scotts Valley (CA): CreateSpace; 2009. https://docs.python.org/3/refer ence/index.html.
- Dumond M. From cellular variability to shape reproducibility: mechanics and morphogenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana sepal. Lyon: Université de Lyon; 2017. https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/ tel-01650126.
- Schmid M, Davison TS, Henz SR, Pape UJ, Demar M, Vingron M, Schölkopf B, Weigel D, Lohmann JU. A gene expression map of Arabidopsis thaliana development. Nat Genet. 2005;37 (5):501–506. doi:10.1038/ng1543.
- Powell AE, Lenhard M. Control of organ size in plants. Curr Biol. 2012;22(9):R360–R367. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.02.010.
- 29. Xu S, He X, Trinh D-C, Zhang X, Wu X, Qiu D, Zhou M, Xiang D, Roeder AHK, Hamant O, et al. A 3-component module maintains sepal flatness in Arabidopsis. Curr Biol. 2024;34(17):4007–4020.e4. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2024.07.066.
- 30. Zhu M, Chen W, Mirabet V, Hong L, Bovio S, Strauss S, Schwarz EM, Tsugawa S, Wang Z, Smith RS, et al. Robust organ size requires robust timing of initiation orchestrated by focused auxin and cytokinin signalling. Nat Plants. 2020;6(6):686–698. doi:10.1038/s41477-020-0666-7.
- Trinh D-C, Martin M, Bald L, Maizel A, Trehin C, Hamant O. Increased gene expression variability hinders the formation of regional mechanical conflicts leading to reduced organ shape robustness. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2023;120(30):e2302441120. doi:10.1073/pnas.2302441120.
- 32. Sangster TA, Salathia N, Lee HN, Watanabe E, Schellenberg K, Morneau K, Wang H, Undurraga S, Queitsch C, Lindquist S. HSP90-buffered genetic variation is common in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008;105(8):2969–2974. doi:10. 1073/pnas.0712210105.
- 33. Hocq L, Guinand S, Habrylo O, Voxeur A, Tabi W, Safran J, Fournet F, Domon JM, Mollet JC, Pilard S, et al. The exogenous application of AtPGLR, an endo-polygalacturonase, triggers pollen tube burst and repair. Plant J. 2020;103(2):617–633. doi:10.1111/ tpj.14753.
- 34. Sénéchal F, Mareck A, Marcelo P, Lerouge P, Pelloux J. Arabidopsis PME17 activity can be controlled by pectin methylesterase Inhibitor4. Plant Signaling Behav. 2015;10(2):e983351. doi:10.4161/15592324.2014.983351.
- Weiss J, Delgado-Benarroch L, Egea-Cortines M. Genetic control of floral size and proportions. Int J Dev Biol. 2005;49(5–6):513– 525. doi:10.1387/ijdb.051998jw.
- Vogel JP, Raab TK, Schiff C, Somerville SC. PMR6, a pectate lyase-like gene required for powdery mildew susceptibility in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2002;14(9):2095–2106. doi:10.1105/tpc. 003509.
- 37. Mollier C, Skrzydeł J, Borowska-Wykręt D, Majda M, Bayle V, Battu V, Totozafy JC, Dulski M, Fruleux A, Wrzalik R, et al. Spatial consistency of cell growth direction during organ morphogenesis requires CELLULOSE SYNTHASE INTERACTIVE1. Cell Rep. 2023;42(7):112689. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112689.