# RESEARCH

**Open Access** 



Sarika K. L. Hogendoorn<sup>1\*</sup>, Loïc Lhopitallier<sup>1</sup>, Melissa Richard-Greenblatt<sup>2</sup>, Estelle Tenisch<sup>3</sup>, Zainab Mbarack<sup>4</sup>, Josephine Samaka<sup>5</sup>, Tarsis Mlaganile<sup>5</sup>, Aline Mamin<sup>6</sup>, Blaise Genton<sup>7,8</sup>, Laurent Kaiser<sup>6</sup>, Valérie D'Acremont<sup>7,8</sup>, Kevin C. Kain<sup>2†</sup> and Noémie Boillat-Blanco<sup>1,5,7†</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** Inappropriate antibiotics use in lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) is a major contributor to resistance. We aimed to design an algorithm based on clinical signs and host biomarkers to identify bacterial community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) among patients with LRTI.

**Methods:** Participants with LRTI were selected in a prospective cohort of febrile ( $\geq$  38 °C) adults presenting to outpatient clinics in Dar es Salaam. Participants underwent chest X-ray, multiplex PCR for respiratory pathogens, and measurements of 13 biomarkers. We evaluated the predictive accuracy of clinical signs and biomarkers using logistic regression and classification and regression tree analysis.

**Results:** Of 110 patients with LRTI, 17 had bacterial CAP. Procalcitonin (PCT), interleukin-6 (IL-6) and soluble triggering receptor expressed by myeloid cells-1 (sTREM-1) showed an excellent predictive accuracy to identify bacterial CAP (AUROC 0.88, 95%CI 0.78–0.98; 0.84, 0.72–0.99; 0.83, 0.74–0.92, respectively). Combining respiratory rate with PCT or IL-6 significantly improved the model compared to respiratory rate alone (p = 0.006, p = 0.033, respectively). An algorithm with respiratory rate ( $\geq 32$ /min) and PCT ( $\geq 0.25 \ \mu g/L$ ) had 94% sensitivity and 82% specificity.

**Conclusions:** PCT, IL-6 and sTREM-1 had an excellent predictive accuracy in differentiating bacterial CAP from other LRTIs. An algorithm combining respiratory rate and PCT displayed even better performance in this sub-Sahara African setting.

Keywords: Bacterial community-acquired pneumonia, Predicting algorithm, Biomarkers, PCT

\*Correspondence: sarika.hogendoorn@gmail.com

<sup>†</sup>Kevin C. Kain and Noémie Boillat-Blanco contributed equally

<sup>1</sup> Infectious Diseases Service, University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



# Background

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a growing problem associated with antibiotic use [1, 2]. Most antibiotics are prescribed in outpatient clinics and lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) account for the majority of unnecessary prescriptions [3, 4].

Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), usually of bacterial origin, requires antibiotic treatment according to guidelines while other LRTIs such as bronchitis are

© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

generally self-resolving [5]. The presence of a new infiltrate on chest X-ray remains the gold standard to decide on antibiotic prescription among patients with LRTIs, even though it has a limited performance and cannot differentiate viral from bacterial aetiologies [6]. Recent studies investigating the causes of CAP using molecular microbiology identified a respiratory virus in a quarter of patients [7]. The proportion of patients with viral CAP is even higher during outbreaks, such as the ongoing SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, highlighting the need for easy-toperform diagnostic tools to support clinicians in patient management and allow rational antibiotic use.

Biomarkers can support clinical decision-making in patients with LRTI. Studies have evaluated the utility of host immune and endothelial activation biological markers to diagnose pneumonia. Soluble trigger receptor expressed on myeloid cells-1 (sTREM-1), C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT), Angiopoietin-1 (Angpt-1) and Angiopoietin-2 (Angpt-2) showed promising results in this context [8, 9]. To our knowledge, no study has evaluated host biomarkers to identify adults with bacterial CAP versus other causes of LRTI in sub-Saharan Africa.

In low- and middle-income countries, resources for diagnosing pneumonia are often lacking. In the following study, we hypothesized that an algorithm based on clinical signs and host biomarkers can predict bacterial CAP among patients with LRTIs in outpatient clinics in low resource settings.

# Methods

# Study design and population

This study was nested in a prospective cohort study on fever aetiology conducted between July 2013 and May 2014 in four outpatient clinics (one public hospital and three connected health care facilities) in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania [10]. Consecutive patients (age  $\geq$  18 years) with fever (tympanic temperature  $\geq$  38 °C) were included in the cohort if they met inclusion criteria: (1) fever for  $\leq$ 7 days and (2) first consultation for the presenting complaint. Exclusion criteria were: refusal of HIV-1 screening, injury or trauma as the main reason for consultation, delivery within 6 weeks of presentation or hospital admission within 1 month.

In this nested study, we included patients with a clinical LRTI. We defined a clinical LRTI as cough and/or dyspnoea combined with at least one of the following sign or symptoms: tachypnoea (respiratory rate  $\geq 20/$ min), abnormal chest auscultation and/or chest pain. Patients in whom the respiratory tract symptoms were due to another cause than LRTI (such as patients with typhoid fever and bacteremia from another source than





the respiratory tract) were excluded. Patients with tuberculosis/fungal infection were excluded as they can be identified by specific microbiological tests and need specific treatment. Of note, tuberculosis was defined as the presence of a positive GeneXpert MTB/RIF in sputum, a positive TB LAM Ag in urine or a chest X-ray suggestive of tuberculosis and the decision by the medical doctor in charge to treat with a full course of treatment.

Patient demographics, co-morbidities, symptoms, as well as vital and other clinical signs were collected at inclusion in the outpatient clinic using a standardised electronic case report form.

Two easy-to-measure bedside clinical scores to identify patients at risk of poor outcome were calculated at inclusion, CRB-65 and quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (qSOFA). The van Vugt score [11, 12], a clinical score to predict pneumonia, was also measured at inclusion: one point each for absence of runny nose, presence of dyspnea, presence of crackles or diminished breath by auscultations, temperature  $\geq$  37.8 °C or heart rate > 100/min. Mortality was assessed by a phone call on day 28. If the patient was unreachable, we called a patient's relative.

#### Microbiological investigations and chest X-ray

All patients had a rapid diagnostic test for HIV-1, dengue, malaria, typhoid as well as blood cultures. A nasopharyngeal swab was collected in all patients at inclusion and stored at - 80 °C until analysis. Retrospectively, a multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for 11 respiratory bacteria, *Pneumocystis jirovecii* and 21 respiratory viruses was performed on nasopharyngeal swabs (Fasttrack DIAGNOSTICS respiratory pathogens 33<sup>®</sup> (ref FTD-2P.3-64)) in all patients. According to a predefined algorithm [10], selected patients were screened for tuberculosis (GeneXpert MTB/RIF in two sputa, TB LAM Ag in urine), histoplamosis (urinary Histoplasma antigen and Histoplasma IgM in serum) and/or, *Pneumocystis jirovecci* (in induced sputum by immunofluorescence and PCR and in serum by (1,3-β-D-glucan).

All patients with a clinical LRTI had a chest X-ray. Two experienced radiologists read the X-rays and decided on the presence of lung infiltrate suggestive of pneumonia. A third radiologist solved discordant results.

Table 1	Baseline characteristics,	vital signs,	management and	outcome of patients	according to the diagnosis
---------	---------------------------	--------------	----------------	---------------------	----------------------------

	All (n = 110)	Bacterial CAP (n = 17)	Viral CAP (n = 8)	CAP of unknown origin (n = 7)	Bronchitis (n = 78)	p-value
Age, years	29 (23–39)	28 (24–35)	31 (29–51)	33 (32–64)	29 (21–38)	0.051
Female sex	58 (53)	8 (47)	3 (38)	2 (29)	45 (58)	0.338
HIV-1infection	36 (33)	13 (76)	4 (50)	1 (14)	18 (23)	0.000
Co-infection	22 (20)	2 (12)	1 (13)	1 (14)	18 (23)	0.658
- Malaria	7 (6.4)	1 (5.9)	0 (0)	0 (0)	6 (7.7)	0.739
- Dengue	7 (6.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	7 (9.0)	0.381
- Other	9 (8.2) <sup>a</sup>	1 (5.9)	1 (13)	1 (14)	6 (7.7)	0.875
Sepsis / septic shock <sup>b</sup>	27 (25)	5 (35)	1 (13)	1 (14)	20 (26)	0.731
Symptoms and signs						
Cough	103 (94)	17 (100)	8 (100)	6 (86)	72 (92)	0.446
Dyspnoea	27 (25)	6 (35)	1 (13)	2 (29)	18 (23)	0.606
Chest pain,	25 (23)	4 (24)	3 (38)	2 (29)	16 (21)	0.716
Respiratory rate, /min	25 (23–29)	34 (26–37)	26 (25–34)	24 (23–26)	24 (22–26)	0.000
Abnormal auscultation	29 (26)	8 (47)	2 (25)	2 (29)	17 (22)	0.202
Saturation, %	97 (96–98)	95 (93–96)	96 (94–96)	97 (96–98)	97 (96–98)	0.000
Systolic BP, mmHg	117 (104–123)	100 (97–107)	119 (109–123)	126 (120–132)	118 (104–124)	0.000
Heart rate, /min	108 (92–120)	129 (117–138)	115 (104–120)	98 (82–108)	106 (89–115)	0.000
Pneumonia clinical scores						
CRB-65 score <sup>c</sup> $\geq$ 2	12 (11)	5 (29)	1 (13)	0 (0)	6 (7.7)	0.053
Van Vugt-score <sup>d</sup> , high	68 (62)	16 (94)	6 (75)	4 (57)	42 (54)	0.016
Management and outcom	e					
Admission	20 (18)	7 (41)	2 (25)	2 (29)	9 (12)	0.028
Antibiotic prescription	55 (50)	16 (94)	7 (88)	4 (57)	28 (36)	0.000
28-day mortality	6 (5.5)	2 (12)	1 (13)	0 (0)	3 (3.9)	0.411

Bold values indicate a significant difference between the groups

Data are number (%) of patients or median (interquartile range)

Bacterial CAP: community-acquired pneumonia with a bacterial aetiology detected; viral CAP: community-acquired pneumonia with a viral aetiology detected. CAP of unknown origin: community-acquired pneumonia without microbiological documentation

<sup>a</sup> 1 adenovirus gastro-enteritis, 3 urogenital infections, 2 West nile, 1 intraabdominal infection, 2 ricketsioses, 2 gastroenteritis of unknown origin. <sup>b</sup>Sepsis or septic shock defined as a sofa score of  $\geq$  2 points. <sup>c</sup>CRB-65 score defined as one point for each of the following: Glasgow Coma Score < 15, respiratory rate  $\geq$  30/min, systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure  $\leq$  60 mmHg, age  $\geq$  65. <sup>d</sup>Van Vugt score was defined as one point for each of the following: absence of runny nose, presence of dyspnea, presence of crackles or diminished breath by auscultations, temperature  $\geq$  37.8 °C or heart rate > 100/min. High score was defined as  $\geq$  3 points

# Definitions

We classified LRTI patients in four groups according to the radiological and microbiological results: group 1 (patients with bacterial CAP: presence of an infiltrate on chest X-ray and positive blood culture and/or nasopharyngeal positive PCR for *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae* and/or *Moraxella catarrhalis* (cycle threshold < 35), *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, *Chlamydia pneumoniae* and/or *Legionella pneumophila* (any positive PCR result) irrespective of the presence of a respiratory virus), group 2 (patients with viral CAP: presence of an infiltrate on chest X-ray and a positive respiratory virus PCR (influenza, picornavirus, RSV, adenovirus, parainfluenza, coronavirus, bocavirus, metapneumovirus and/or enterovirus), group 3 (patients with CAP of unknown origin: presence of an infiltrate on chest X-ray and negative nasopharyngeal PCR for respiratory viruses and bacteria) and group 4 (patients with bronchitis: absence of infiltrate on chest X-ray). We did not consider nasopharyngeal PCR results in bronchitis as these patients do not need antibiotics anyway.

# Quantification of markers of immune and endothelial activation

Plasma samples were collected from all patients at enrolment in the outpatient clinic and stored at -80 °C within four hours of blood collection. Plasma concentrations of markers of endothelial- and immune activation were analysed using a multiplex Luminex<sup>®</sup> platform with customdeveloped reagents from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN) as described [13, 14]. PCT (RayBiotech<sup>®</sup>, Norcross, Table 2 Plasma concentration of immune and endothelial dysfunction markers at clinical presentation according to the diagnosis

	All (n = 110)	Bacterial community- acquired pneumonia (n = 17)	Viral community- acquired pneumonia (n = 8)	Unknown origin community-acquired pneumonia (n=7)	Bronchitis (n = 78)	P value
Common biomark	ers					
CRP mg/l	22 (8–85)	17 (10–144)	14 (8–29)	43 (8–50)	23 (8–86)	0.661
PCT µg/l	0.09 (0.05–0.21)	2.62 (0.22-4.64)	0.06 (0.05-0.17)	0.05 (0.05–0.16)	0.05 (0.05–0.16)	0.000
Biomarkers of imm	nune activation					
sTREM-1 pg/ml	378 (240–566)	624 (513–1154)	437 (244–1164)	348 (222–471)	337 (221–479)	0.000
IL-6, pg/ml	17 (6–71)	582 (36–1677)	9 (8–190)	10 (1–15)	14 (5–41)	0.000
sTNFR-1, pg/ml	4791 (3036–7541)	9618 (5647–19,722)	6923 (3604–8385)	4242 (2964–9908)	4445 (2794–5961)	0.002
CHI3L-1, ng/ml	41 (13–137)	116 (40–538)	80 (17–378)	56 (12–80)	30 (12–110)	0.018
IL-8, pg/ml	15 (8–39)	16 (12–51)	18 (12–34)	11 (5–21)	14 (7–42)	0.556
IP-10, pg/ml	397 (104–915)	473 (265–915)	761 (177–2096)	276 (45–916)	380 (94–874)	0.411
Biomarkers of end	othelial activation					
Angpt-2, pg/ml	1795 (929–3396)	3181 (544–7719)	1130 (338–2887)	1728 (1120–2870)	1876 (940–2701)	0.345
sVCAM-1, ng/ml	1804 (1109–3257)	2998 (1934–4494)	1934 (1093–2248)	1549 (869–3640)	1575 (1035–2743)	0.038
sICAM-1, ng/ml	481 (229–880)	767 (370–933)	297 (250–1063)	165 (105–577)	481 (214–882)	0.132
Angpt-1, pg/ml	3529 (1262–9276)	3381 (944–9963)	13,389 (3103–22,903)	3157 (1622–7932)	3438 (1242–8267)	0.197
sVEGFR-1, pg/ml	183 (106–275)	233 (156–335)	172 (105–224)	83 (58–244)	183 (104–277)	0.116

Bold values indicate a significant difference between the groups

Data are median (interquartile range)

Angpt-1 angiopoietin-1, Angpt-2 angiopoietin-2, CAP community-acquired pneumonia, CHI3L1 chitinase-3-like protein-1, CRP C-reactive protein, IL-6 interleukin-6, IL-8 interleukin-8, IP-10 interferon-gamma-inducible protein-10, LRTI lower respiratory tract infection, PCT procalcitonin, sICAM1 soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1, sTNFR-1 soluble tumor necrosis factor-1, sTREM-1 soluble trigger receptor expressed on myeloid cells, sVCAM-1 soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, sVEGFR1 soluble variant of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1

GA) and CRP (R&D DuoSet<sup>®</sup>, Minneapolis, MN) were quantified by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

## Statistical analysis

Differences in characteristics, vital signs, management, outcome and biomarkers between the four groups were evaluated by Kruskal–Wallis or Chi-squared test, as appropriate. Differences between bacterial CAP and patients with other LRTIs were evaluated using Mann Whitney-U, *chi*-squared, or Fisher tests as appropriate. P values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. Using univariate logistic regression, the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) was calculated for all clinical signs and biomarkers to predict bacterial CAP among patients with LRTIs. Variables with excellent predictive value (AUROC  $\geq$  0.80) were selected for the multivariate analysis [15]. The linearity of the continuous

variables with respect to the logit of the dependent variable was assessed via the Box-Tidwell (1962) procedure and by inspecting the partial residuals [16]. Nonlinear variables were transformed for the multivariate logistic regression. A maximum of two variables at a time were tested to avoid overfitting (rule of the thumb of testing one variable per ten events—bacterial CAP). The predictive validity of a multivariate model adding top biomarkers to vital signs was measured using logistic regression, and the predicted probabilities were used to generate AUROC. The multi-variate models were compared using the DeLong method [17].

A classification and regression tree analysis (CRT) was performed with all vital signs and biomarkers with the following settings: minimum of ten cases for parent node and five for child node, pruning to reduce overfitting, and maximum levels for tree depth of three [18]. To ensure safety, misclassification cost for radiological bacterial

(See figure on next page.)

**Fig. 3** Plasma concentration of immune and endothelial dysfunction markers at clinical presentation according to the diagnosis. Boxplot with median and interquartile range. Concentrations reported in pg/mL except CRP in mg/L. P values were computed using the Wilcoxon-Mann Whitney test and were adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni method. P \* < 0.05; \*\* < 0.01; \*\*\* < 0.001. CAP, community-acquired pneumonia



Table 3	Prognostic accuracy	v of vital signs and	l clinical scores	alone and	in combination wi	th selected bio	markers (tho:	se with an area
under tl	ne receiver-operating	) characteristic cu	irve>0.80) for	predicting	community-acquir	ed pneumonia	with a bact	erial pathogen
detected	d among those prese	nting with a lower	r respiratory tra	ct infection	at outpatient clinie	cs in Tanzania		

Model	Clinical parameter	(+) PCT	(+) IL-6	(+) sTREM1
Respiratory rate	0.82 (0.72–0.92)	0.95 (0.91-1.00)**	0.90 (0.81-0.98)*	0.89 (0.83–0.95)
Saturation	0.83 (0.75-0.91)	0.90 (0.81–0.98)	0.89 (0.81–0.96)	0.85 (0.77–0.94)
Systolic blood pressure	0.79 (0.67–0.91)	0.90 (0.82-0.98)**	0.85 (0.73–0.97)	0.86 (0.76–0.96)*
Heart rate	0.79 (0.66–0.92)	0.93 (0.88-0.99)*	0.83 (0.70-0.96)	0.86 (0.76–0.95)
CRB-65	0.76 (0.64–0.88)	0.92 (0.86-0.98)**	0.84 (0.71-0.96)*	0.86 (0.78-0.93)**
Van Vught-score	0.76 (0.66–0.87)	0.91 (0.84-0.98)**	0.85 (0.75-0.96)**	0.86 (0.78–0.94)*
PCT	_	0.88 (0.78-0.98)	0.90 (0.80-0.99)	0.89 (0.79–0.99)
IL-6	_	0.92 (0.83-1.00)	0.84 (0.72-0.96)	0.87 (0.78–0.96)
sTREM1	-	0.89 (0.79–0.99)	0.87 (0.78–0.96)	0.83 (0.74–0.92)

Data are area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve and 95% confidence interval

\* P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, comparing the clinical parameter AUROC vs the combined clinical parameter with PCT or IL-6 AUROC

CAP was set ten times greater than the misclassification cost for other LRTI.

The identified algorithm was tested to predict bacterial CAP versus CAP of other aetiology (viral or unknown).

To evaluate the appropriateness of algorithm recommendation regarding antibiotics, we compared, among patients who received antibiotics during routine care, the characteristics of those in whom antibiotics were recommended by the algorithm to those in whom antibiotics were not recommended using Mann Whitney-U, *chi*squared, or Fisher tests as appropriate.

All analyses were performed with IBM SPSS version 26 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA), STATA software (version 13.1, Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA) MedCalc version 19.1 and GraphPad Prism 8.

# Results

Among 519 patients prospectively enrolled in the fever aetiology cohort, 110 patients with a clinical LRTI and no exclusion criteria were included in this study (Fig. 1): 17 in group 1 (bacterial CAP), 8 in group 2 (viral CAP), 7 in group 3 (CAP of unknown origin) and 78 in group 4 (bronchitis). Figure 2 shows the pathogens distribution in patients with CAP (groups 1 to 3). Additional file 1: Tables S1 and S2 shows additional analyses supporting the accuracy of our bacterial CAP (group 1) definition.

## Demographic and clinical characteristics

Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population. The median age of the study population was 29 years. Fifty-three percent were female and 33% were HIV-infected. In total, 50% of the patients received an antibiotic and 5% died within 28 days. Patients with bacterial CAP were more likely to be HIVinfected (76% versus 25%; < 0.001), and have an elevated CRB-65 score (29% versus 8%; p = 0.012) compared to those with another LRTI (Additional file 1: Table S3).

PCT was significantly higher in patients with bacterial CAP compared to other LRTI groups, while CRP did not show any significant difference. IL-6 and sTREM-1 were significantly higher in patients with bacterial CAP compared to those with CAP of unknown origin and bronchitis (Table 2, Fig. 3).

# Predictive accuracy of vital signs, clinical scores and host biomarkers for bacterial pneumonia among patients with LRTI

Respiratory rate and oxygen saturation could discriminate accurately between bacterial CAP and other LRTIs (Table 3). Among biomarkers, PCT showed the best discriminating ability (AUROC 0.88; 95% CI 0.78–0.98), followed by IL-6 (0.84; 0.72–0.96) and sTREM-1 (0.83; 0.74–0.92) (Fig. 4). Of note, PCT did not perform significantly better than IL-6, sTREM-1 and sTNFR-1. Among the biomarkers of endothelial activation, sVCAM-1 was the most accurate with an acceptable ability to discriminate (AUROC 0.72; 0.60–0.84).

# Combination of different biomarkers and of clinical signs/ scores with biomarkers

We assessed the diagnostic accuracy of varying combinations of biomarkers from similar or different pathways. We tested all biomarkers combinations (two biomarkers at a time) and none improved the diagnostic performance over using a single marker.

We also combined vital signs with the best predicting biomarkers (AUROC  $\geq$  0.80). The combination of respiratory rate and PCT had the highest predictive value (AUROC 0.95, 95% CI 0.91–1.00). The predictive



accuracy of the combination was significantly higher than respiratory rate alone, but not than PCT alone (Table 3). The combination of systolic blood pressure, heart rate, CRB-65 and Van Vugt score with PCT also significantly improved the performance of the vital sign or score alone. We found similar results when combining vital signs and IL-6 or sTREM-1 (Table 3).

## Algorithm to predict bacterial pneumonia

We performed a CRT analysis to generate algorithms to inform clinical decision making. A CRT analysis including all vital signs and biomarkers generated a classification tree including PCT first and respiratory rate second (Fig. 5A). This model had a specificity of 87% and sensitivity of 88% (Table 4). To develop an algorithm that could be used in the clinical setting and avoid unnecessary laboratory analysis (diagnostic stewardship), a CRT analysis was done forcing the respiratory rate as the first splitting variable (Fig. 5B). This model had a specificity of 88% and a sensitivity of 88%. The cut-off of PCT which was automatically selected by the model was 2.9  $\mu$ g/L. To improve the sensitivity of the model and to be in line with the literature, we repeated CRT analysis with respiratory rate and a pre-defined cut-off for PCT of 0.25  $\mu$ g/L (59) (Fig. 5C). This model had a specificity of 94% and a specificity of 82%.

We further investigated whether the proposed algorithm could also be used as an additional tool to identify bacterial CAP among patients with radiological CAP. The performance remained good in this population with a similar sensitivity (94%) and specificity (87%) (Table 4).

By using this algorithm in our study population, antibiotic prescription would have been restricted to 33/110 patients (30%) instead of the 55/110 (50%) who received antibiotics, implying a drop of 40% in the absolute antibiotic prescription rate (p < 0.001). To evaluate the appropriateness of the recommendation, we compared among patients who received antibiotics, those in whom the algorithm won't have recommended antibiotics to the other. Patients in whom antibiotics were recommended were more often HIV-infected, had a higher respiratory rate, a lower systolic blood pressure, a higher heart rate, a higher CRB-65 severity score and were more often admitted. There was no difference in mortality (Table 5). Four patients who would not have received antibiotics had sepsis, defined as a SOFA score > 2 points. However, in all of them, the only sepsis criteria was a low platelets count which may not be caused by sepsis.

### Discussion

Accurate diagnostic tools to inform appropriate antibiotic use are crucial to counter the growing threat of antimicrobial resistance. In this prospective cohort of 110 adults attending outpatient clinics with a clinical LRTI in urban sub-Saharan Africa, an algorithm combining respiratory rate (cut-off of 32/min) and PCT (cutoff  $\geq 0.25 \ \mu g/L$ ) performed well to identify CAP with bacterial pathogen detected. We estimated that by applying the algorithm to the management of patients presenting with LRTI we could have reduced antibiotic use by nearly half. Furthermore, we tested the diagnostic accuracy of a panel of host response biomarkers for the identification of bacterial pneumonia, among which sTREM-1 and IL-6 displayed excellent diagnostic accuracy.

We show that PCT is a good predictor of CAP with a bacterial pathogen detected among patients with LRTIs



predicted categorization for those patients is indicated. Algorithm performance characteristics are presented in Table 3. PCT procalcitonin

in urban Tanzania. Our results align with a large study of patients hospitalized with CAP in the United States, which showed a strong correlation between higher PCT and an increased probability of bacterial pathogens [19] and with a large study of CAP patients hospitalized in Spain, which showed good performance of PCT to predict positive blood cultures and bacterial pathogens [20]. A systematic review evaluating the accuracy of PCT to distinguish viral from bacterial pneumonia reported limited specificity and sensitivity [21]. However, this review included a heterogeneous panel of studies, many addressing the topic of bacterial co-infection in patients with influenza. Furthermore, randomized controlled studies using PCT to guide antibiotics showed the safety of this approach [22, 23]. PCT is now available at the point-of-care which makes it suitable for implementation in daily care in sub-Saharan Africa.

By combining clinical signs and biomarkers, we show an added value compared to clinical signs alone. Furthermore, the presentation of a ready to use algorithm combining an easy-to-measure vital sign with PCT adds value since it has a high negative predictive value. In line with our findings, the combination of clinical signs and biomarkers to predict bacterial CAP added value to the clinical assessment in a Swiss cohort of LRTI patients [24]. **Table 4** Performance characteristics of classification and regression tree models for predicting community-acquired pneumonia with a bacterial pathogen detected in patients presenting with a lower respiratory tract infection at outpatient clinics in Tanzania

	Prediction of community acquired pneumonia with a bacterial pathogen detected					
	Patients with a lowe	Patients with radiological pneumonia				
	All variables	RR $\geq$ 32/min and PCT $\geq$ 2.9	and PCT $\geq$ 2.9 RR $\geq$ 32/min and PCT $\geq$ 0.25 $\mu g/l$	RR ≥ 32/ min and PCT ≥ 0.25 μg/l		
Sensitivity	88%	88%	94%	94%		
Specificity	87%	88%	82%	87%		
Negative likelihood ratio	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		
Positive likelihood ratio	6.8	7.5	5.1	7.1		
Negative predictive value	98%	87%	99%	93%		
Positive predictive value	56%	89%	48%	89%		

The identified algorithm was also tested to predict community-acquired pneumonia with a bacterial pathogen detected among patients with radiological pneumonia *PCT* procalcitonine, *RR* respiratory rate

**Table 5** Among all patients who received antibiotics: comparison of the characteristics of the patients classified as having a bacterial pneumonia by the algorithm (combining respiratory rate and procalcitonin) to those classified as not having a bacterial pneumonia

	Patients received antibiotics during routine care			
	Algorithm classify patients as not having a bacterial pneumonia (does not recommend antibiotics) $(n = 27)$	Algorithm classify patients as having a bacterial pneumonia (recommends antibiotics) (n = 28)	P value	
Age, years	32 (29–42)	28 (23–36)	0.032	
Female sex	11 (41%)	14 (50%)	0.491	
HIV-1	7 (26%)	16 (57%)	0.019	
Sepsis / septic shock <sup>a</sup>	4 (15%)	10 (36%)	0.121	
Symptoms and signs				
Cough	24 (89%)	27 (96%)	0.352	
Dyspnoea	7 (26%)	13 (46%)	0.114	
Chest pain	8 (30%)	7 (25%)	0.700	
Respiratory rate, /min	25 (23–27)	35 (26–38)	0.000	
Abnormal auscultation	10 (37%)	7 (25%)	0.334	
Saturation, %	96 (95–97)	96 (94–97)	0.129	
Systolic BP, mmHg	121 (113–132)	102 (96–114)	0.000	
Heart rate, /min	105 (87–113)	121 nn	0.002	
Pneumonia clinical scores				
CRB-65 score <sup>b</sup> $\geq$ 2	1 (4%)	9 (32%)	0.012	
Van Vugt-score <sup>c</sup> , high	15 (56%)	24 (86%)	0.019	
Diagnosis				
Bronchitis	17 (63%)	11 (40%)	0.079	
Viral pneumonia	9 (33%)	2 (7%)	0.020	
Bacterial pneumonia	1 (4%)	15 (54%)	0.000	
Management and outcome				
Admission	4 (15%)	12 (43%)	0.037	
28-day mortality	1 (4%)	2 (7%)	1.000	

Bold values indicate a significant difference between the groups

Data are number (%) of patients or median (interquartile range)

<sup>a</sup> Sepsis/septic shock: sofa score of  $\geq$  2 points. <sup>b</sup>CRB-65 score defined as one point for each of the following: Glasgow Coma Score < 15, respiratory rate  $\geq$  30/min, systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure  $\leq$  60 mmHg, age  $\geq$  65. <sup>c</sup>Van Vugt score was defined as one point for each of the following: absence of runny nose, presence of dyspnea, presence of crackles or diminished breath by auscultations, temperature  $\geq$  37.8 <sup>c</sup>C or heart rate > 100/min. High score was defined as  $\geq$  3 points

Novel biomarkers (IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, IL-8, IL-10 and TNF) were measured in patients with CAP by Menendez et al. [20]. Their diagnostic accuracy for discriminating bacterial from viral infections was comparable to PCT, in line with our findings.

We showed a good discriminative accuracy of sTREM-1, whereas results vary in the literature. In a small cohort of patients intubated with CAP, sTREM-1 measured in the bronchoalveolar lavage was accurate to identify the presence of bacterial pneumonia [25]. In a cohort of children admitted for CAP in Italy, sTREM-1 showed a poor ability to differentiate bacterial from viral disease [26]. These discordant results might be due to the choice of antibodies utilized for the detection of sTREM-1 in the ELISAs. In line with a previous study, we also showed a good accuracy of IL-6 to identify patients with CAP and bacterial pathogen detection [20]. sTREM-1 and IL-6 also appear to be good predictors of adverse outcome in patients presenting with fever in emergency departments (including those with LRTIs and particularly those with COVID-19 [14, 27]).

This study had several limitations. First, the imperfect performance of chest X-ray in diagnosing pneumonia introduces bias in our diagnostic classification of study groups. To optimize chest X-ray interpretation and limit observer bias, two expert radiologists reviewed all images and a third expert solved discordant results. Secondly, the use of multiplex PCRs results in upper respiratory tract samples as surrogate marker of bacterial aetiology in patients with LRTI could lead to classification biases. However, a recent study from Kenya [28], showed an excellent agreement between bacteria found in upper and lower respiratory tract samples. Another study [29] showed that PCR in upper respiratory tract swabs for S. pneumoniae and H. influenza are both sensitive and specific in detecting these pathogens in adults with pneumonia. We also assumed that CAP of unknown origin were not of bacterial aetiology due to the poor yield of viral detection in nasopharyngeal swabs [7, 30]. Third, biomarker values could be affected due to co-infection with other pathogens, such as malaria or dengue. To minimize the impact of co-infection, we excluded patients with documented bacterial infections presenting with respiratory tract symptoms, such as typhoid fever or bacteremia of a source other than the respiratory tract. Although we are aware that infections like malaria might affect the biomarkers value, we kept these patients as it represents the reality in this setting [31–33]. Fourth, as there is no perfect screening test, we may have missed some tuberculosis diagnoses in our study population. However, we used an inclusive tuberculosis definition to minimise underdiagnosis. Finally, the sample size of our study population is limited and our results were not confirmed in an external cohort. If the developed algorithm is validated in another population, its impact should to be tested in an intervention trial.

# Conclusions

Here we provide new data supporting a simplified algorithm combining an easy-to-measure vital sign, respiratory rate, with a biomarker easily measurable at the point-of-care, PCT, that displayed an excellent predictive accuracy in identifying adult CAP patients with documented bacterial infection. Findings from our study support the potential use of biomarkers for antibiotic stewardship and management of patients with LRTIs in sub-Saharan Africa. However, our results need confirmation in larger cohorts of patients with lower respiratory tract infections.

#### Abbreviations

AMR: Antimicrobial resistance; Angpt-1: Angiopoietin-1; Angpt-2: Angiopoietin-2; AUROC: Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; CAP: Community acquired pneumonia; CRP: C-reactive protein; IL-6: Interleukin-6; LRTI: Lower respiratory tract infection; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; PCT: Procalcitonin; qSOFA: Quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; STREM-1: Soluble triggering receptor expressed by myeloid cells-1.

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12879-021-06994-9.

Additional file 1: Table S1. Presence of bacteria in nasopharyngeal swabs. Comparison of patients with community-acquired pneumonia and a control group of patients with dengue without any respiratory symptoms. Table S2. Baseline characteristics, vital signs, and outcome of patients with community-acquired pneumonia and documented viral pathogen versus patients with community-acquired pneumonia of unknown origin. Table S3. Baseline characteristics, vital signs, management, and outcome of enrolled patients. Comparison between patients with community-acquired pneumonia and documented bacterial pathogen versus patients with other lower respiratory tract infections.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank all the patients who accepted to participate and make this study possible. We thank all the clinical officers, nurses and recruiters of Ifakara Health Institute, Mwananyamala Hospital, Sinza Hospital, Magomeni Health Care Center and Tandale Dispensary, who worked with full dedication in this study. We thank the Medical Officers in charge of Mwananyamala Hospital, Sinza Hospital, Magomeni Health Care Center and Tandale Dispensary of the study. We thank the Medical Officers in charge of Mwananyamala Hospital, Sinza Hospital, Magomeni Health Care Center and Tandale Dispensary for their support throughout the study. We are grateful to Lara Turin who managed sample shipment and storage and performed the molecular analyses. We thank Dr Thomas Brahier for the design of Supplementary Figure 2 and Dr Yoris Desmars for his critical review of the manuscript.

#### Authors' contributions

SH, KCK, VD, NBB: study conception, study design, study performance, study management, data analysis, data interpretation and manuscript writing. LL, ET, AM, LK: data analysis, data interpretation and manuscript writing. ZM, JS, TM: data collection, data interpretation and critical review of the manuscript. MRG, BG: data interpretation and critical review of the manuscript. All authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring

that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. SH and NBB had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was supported by Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (grant OPP-1022128; to VD). This work was also supported by a postdoctoral fellowship of the Leenaards Foundation (to NBB). This work was supported in part by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Foundation grant FDN-148439 (KCK) and a Canada Research Chair (KCK). The funding bodies had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Ethical approval was granted from the Ifakara Health Institute Review Board (IHI/IRB/No: 12-2013), the Medical Research Coordinating Committee of the National Institute for Medical Research of Tanzania (NIMR/HQ/R.8a/ Vol.IX/1561), Ethics Committee of the canton of Basel of Switzerland (Ref. Nr. EK: 1612/13) and University Health Network (17-5833) in Toronto, Canada. All participants gave their informed written consent at enrolment.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

All authors declare to have no conflict of interest.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Infectious Diseases Service, University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. <sup>2</sup>Tropical Disease Unit, Department of Medicine, Sandra Rotman Centre for Global Health, University Health Network-Toronto General Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada. <sup>3</sup>Department of Radiology, University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. <sup>4</sup> Mwananyamala Hospital, Dar es Salaam, United Republic of Tanzania. <sup>5</sup>Ifakara Health Institute, Dar es Salaam, United Republic of Tanzania. <sup>6</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases and Center for Emerging Viral Diseases, University of Geneva Hospitals, and Faculty of Medicine, Geneva, Switzerland. <sup>7</sup>Center for Primary Care and Public Health, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. <sup>8</sup>Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland.

# Received: 1 September 2021 Accepted: 13 December 2021 Published online: 06 January 2022

#### References

- 1. Bryan-Wilson J. No time to wait. Artforum Int. 2016;54(10):113-4.
- Goossens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, Elseviers M. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a cross-national database study. Lancet. 2005;365(9459):579–87.
- Chem ED, Anong DN, Akoachere JFKT. Prescribing patterns and associated factors of antibiotic prescription in primary health care facilities of Kumbo East and Kumbo West Health Districts, North West Cameroon. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(3): e0193353.
- Gasson J, Blockman M, Willems B. Antibiotic prescribing practice and adherence to guidelines in primary care in the Cape Town Metro District, South Africa. S Afr Med J. 2018;108(4):304.
- Woodhead M, Blasi F, Ewig S, Garau J, Huchon G, leven M, et al. Guidelines for the management of adult lower respiratory tract infections—full version. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2011;17:E1-59.

- Hopstaken RM, Witbraad T, van Engelshoven JMA, Dinant GJ. Interobserver variation in the interpretation of chest radiographs for pneumonia in community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections. Clin Radiol. 2004;59(8):743–52.
- Burk M, El-Kersh K, Saad M, Wiemken T, Ramirez J, Cavallazzi R. Viral infection in community-acquired pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Respir Rev Eur Respir Soc. 2016;25:178–88.
- Sibila O, Restrepo MI. Biomarkers in community-acquired pneumonia: still searching for the one. Eur Respir J. 2019;53(2):1802469.
- 9. Wunderink RG, Waterer G. Advances in the causes and management of community acquired pneumonia in adults. BMJ. 2017;358;j2471.
- Boillat-Blanco N, Mbarack Z, Samaka J, Mlaganile T, Kazimoto T, Mamin A, et al. Causes of fever in Tanzanian adults attending outpatient clinics: a prospective cohort study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2020. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cmi.2020.08.031.
- Van Vugt SF, Broekhuizen BDL, Lammens C, Zuithoff NPA, De Jong PA, Coenen S, et al. Use of serum C reactive protein and procalcitonin concentrations in addition to symptoms and signs to predict pneumonia in patients presenting to primary care with acute cough: diagnostic study. BMJ. 2013;346(7909):f2450.
- Schierenberg A, Minnaard MC, Hopstaken RM, Van De Pol AC, Broekhuizen BDL, De Wit NJ, et al. External validation of prediction models for pneumonia in primary care patients with lower respiratory tract infection: An individual patient data meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(2): e0149895.
- Leligdowicz A, Conroy AL, Hawkes M, Zhong K, Lebovic G, Matthay MA, et al. Validation of two multiplex platforms to quantify circulating markers of inflammation and endothelial injury in severe infection. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(4): e0175130.
- Richard-Greenblatt M, Boillat-Blanco N, Zhong K, Mbarack Z, Samaka J, Mlaganile T, et al. Prognostic accuracy of soluble triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells (sTREM-1)-based algorithms in febrile adults presenting to Tanzanian outpatient clinics. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;70(7):1304–12.
- Mandrekar JN. Receiver operating characteristic curve in diagnostic test assessment. J Thorac Oncol. 2010;5(9):1315–6.
- 16. Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS. Using multivariate statistics. 6th ed. Harlow: Pearson; 2014.
- DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. Biometrics. 1988;44(3):837.
- Erdman LK, DAcremont V, Hayford K, Rajwans N, Kilowoko M, Kyungu E, et al. Biomarkers of host response predict primary end-point radiological pneumonia in Tanzanian children with clinical pneumonia: a prospective cohort study. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(9): e0137592.
- Self WH, Balk RA, Grijalva CG, Williams DJ, Zhu Y, Anderson EJ, et al. Procalcitonin as a marker of etiology in adults hospitalized with communityacquired pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;65(2):183–90.
- Menéndez R, Sahuquillo-Arce JM, Reyes S, Martínez R, Polverino E, Cillóniz C, et al. Cytokine activation patterns and biomarkers are influenced by microorganisms in community-acquired pneumonia. Chest. 2012;141(6):1537–45.
- Kamat IS, Ramachandran V, Eswaran H, Guffey D, Musher DM. Procalcitonin to distinguish viral from bacterial pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;70(3):538–42.
- Briel M, Schuetz P, Mueller B, Young J, Schild U, Nusbaumer C, et al. Procalcitonin-guided antibiotic use vs a standard approach for acute respiratory tract infections in primary care. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168(18):2000–7.
- Schuetz P, Christ-Crain M, Thomann R, Falconnier C, Wolbers M, Widmer I, et al. Effect of procalcitonin-based guidelines vs standard guidelines on antibiotic use in lower respiratory tract infections: the ProHOSP randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2009;302(10):1059–66.
- Müller B, Harbarth S, Stolz D, Bingisser R, Mueller C, Leuppi J, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic accuracy of clinical and laboratory parameters in community-acquired pneumonia. BMC Infect Dis. 2007;7(1):10. http://bmcinfectdis.biomedcentral.com/articles/https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2334-7-10.
- Gibot S, Cravoisy A, Levy B, Bene M-C, Faure G, Bollaert P-E. Soluble triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells and the diagnosis of pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2004;350(5):451–8.
- 26. Esposito S, Di Gangi M, Cardinale F, Baraldi E, Corsini I, Da Dalt L, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of soluble triggering receptor expressed on

myeloid cells-1, midregional proatrial natriuretic peptide and midregional proadrenomedullin for distinguishing etiology and to assess severity in community-acquired pneumonia. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(11): e0163262. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163262.

- Van Singer M, Brahier T, Ngai M, Wright J, Weckman AM, Erice C, et al. COVID-19 risk stratification algorithms based on sTREM-1 and IL-6 in emergency department. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2021;147(1):99-106.e4.
- Nyawanda BO, Njuguna HN, Onyango CO, Makokha C, Lidechi S, Fields B, et al. Comparison of respiratory pathogen yields from nasopharyngeal/ oropharyngeal swabs and sputum specimens collected from hospitalized adults in rural Western Kenya. Sci Rep. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-019-47713-4.
- Bjarnason A, Lindh M, Westin J, Andersson LM, Baldursson O, Kristinsson KG, et al. Utility of oropharyngeal real-time PCR for S. pneumoniae and H. influenzae for diagnosis of pneumonia in adults. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2017;36(3):529–36.
- Wolff BJ, Bramley AM, Thurman KA, Whitney CG, Whitaker B, Self WH, et al. Improved detection of respiratory pathogens by use of highquality sputum with TaqMan array card technology. J Clin Microbiol. 2017;55(1):110–21.
- Righi E, Merelli M, Arzese A, Siega PD, Scarparo C, Bassetti M. Determination of PCT on admission is a useful tool for the assessment of disease severity in travelers with imported *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. Acta Parasitol. 2016;61(2):412–8.
- Bruneel F, Tubach F, Mira JP, Houze S, Gibot S, Huisse MG, et al. Imported falciparum malaria in adults: host- and parasite-related factors associated with severity. The French prospective multicenter PALUREA cohort study. Intensive Care Med. 2016;42(10):1588–96.
- 33. Lubell Y, Blacksell SD, Dunachie S, Tanganuchitcharnchai A, Althaus T, Watthanaworawit W, et al. Performance of C-reactive protein and procalcitonin to distinguish viral from bacterial and malarial causes of fever in Southeast Asia. BMC Infect Dis. 2015;15(1):511.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

