RESEARCH ARTICLE

Polyctenidae (Hemiptera: Cimicoidea) species in the Afrotropical region: Distribution, host specificity, and first insights to their molecular phylogeny

Tamara Szentiványi ^{1,2} 💿	Sándor Hornok ^{3,4}	Áron B. Kovács ⁵	Nóra Takács ^{3,4}
Miklós Gyuranecz ⁵ Wai	nda Markotter ⁶ I	Philippe Christe ¹ 💿 🕴	Olivier Glaizot ^{1,2} 💿

¹Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

Revised: 23 August 2022

²Museum of Zoology, Lausanne, Switzerland

³Department of Parasitology and Zoology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Budapest, Hungary

⁴ELKH-ÁTE Climate Change: New Blood-Sucking Parasites and Vector-Borne Pathogens Research Group, Budapest, Hungary

⁵Veterinary Medical Research Institute, Budapest, Hungary

⁶Centre for Viral Zoonoses, Department of Medical Virology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

Correspondence

Tamara Szentiványi, Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Biophore, Lausanne, Switzerland. Email: tamaraszentivanyi@gmail.com

Present address

Tamara Szentiványi, Centre for Ecological Research, Vácrátót, Hungary

Funding information

Tématerületi Kiválósági Program 2020, Grant/Award Number: 2020-4.1.1-TKP2020; National Research, Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary, Grant/Award Number: TKP2020-NKA-01; National Research Foundation of South Africa, Grant/ Award Number: 91496, 85756, 92524 and 98339; South African Research Chair Initiative of the Department of Science and Technology

Abstract

Polyctenidae bugs are rarely studied, hematophagous, and highly specialized ectoparasites of bats. There are only 32 described species worldwide, including six species in the Afrotropical region. Knowledge on these parasites is limited, and most studies are restricted to the New World polyctenid species. Here we report additional records of *Adroctenes horvathi* from Kenya and South Africa, as well as *Hypoctenes faini* from Rwanda. We present an updated list of published polyctenid records in the Afrotropical region indicating their host specificity and their geographical distribution. We report global infection patterns and sex ratio of polyctenids based on previously published data, including Old and New World species. Lastly, we demonstrate the first molecular phylogeny of Polyctenidae, showing their phylogenetic relationship with the closely related family Cimicidae.

KEYWORDS bat bug, Chiroptera, Cimicidae, distribution, ectoparasite, Polyctenidae, specificity

TAXONOMY CLASSIFICATION Entomology

Philippe Christe and Olivier Glaizot contributed equally.

.....

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

1 | INTRODUCTION

1.1 | Polyctenid diversity worldwide

Bats host a wide variety of parasites, including ectoparasitic bugs. Bat bugs (Hemiptera: Cimicidae and Polyctenidae) are blood-sucking parasites, belonging to the superfamily Cimicoidea. Cimicids (especially the bed bugs, Cimex lectularius and C. hemipterus) are a wellstudied parasitic group as they are a public health concern due to their vectorial potential of several diseases, including Trypanosoma cruzi toward humans (Delaunay et al., 2011; Salazar et al., 2015). Additionally, the ecology, distribution, and phylogeny of some cimicids species parasitizing bats, particularly C. adjunctus, C. pipistrelli, C. lectularius, and closely related species, are relatively well studied (Balvín et al., 2014, 2013; Bartonička, 2008, 2010; Hornok et al., 2018, 2021, 2017; Quetglas et al., 2012; Reinhardt et al., 2007, 2008). By contrast, Polyctenidae is an extremely understudied ectoparasitic family. They are represented by 32 species worldwide belonging to two subfamilies and five genera (Adroctenes Jordan, 1912, Eoctenes Kirkaldy, 1906, Hypoctenes Jordan, 1922, Polyctenes Giglioli, 1864 within the Polycteninae and Hesperoctenes Kirkaldy, 1906 within the Hesperocteninae).

Polyctenid subfamilies occur in different biogeographical regions. Subfamily Polycteninae only found in the Eastern Hemisphere (Africa, Asia and Australia), whereas Hesperocteninae is restricted to the Western Hemisphere (South and North America) (Dick & Bindokas, 2007; Maa, 1964). In the Eastern Hemisphere, there are 16 species in total, out of which six species occur in the African continent. In the subfamily Polycteninae, Eoctenes is the most species rich genus with seven species [E. coleurge Maa, 1964, E. ferrisi Maa, 1964, E. intermedius (Speiser, 1904), E. maai Bhat, Sreenivasan and Ilkal, 1973, E. nycteridis (Maa, 1964) and references therein), E. sinae Maa (1961) and E. spasmae (Waterhouse, 1879)]. Eoctenes intermedius is the most widespread species with several records from Australia, Africa, and Asia (e.g. Malaya, Philippines, Sudan, Sumatra and Thailand) (Dick & Bindokas, 2007). By contrast, Eoctenes coleurae and E. nycteridis are endemic to the African continent. Additionally, three endemic species are found in the African region which are Adroctenes horvathi, Hypoctenes clarus, and H. faini. The most recent records of polyctenids from the African region indicate the occurrence of Hypoctenes clarus from Kenya, which was also a new observation to the country (Patterson et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the last polyctenid records were published nearly two decades ago from the continent (Kock et al., 1998), which suggests either biased sampling efforts, the difficulty of collecting polyctenids, or possibly the rarity of these parasites.

1.2 | Phylogenetic relation with Cimicidae

The phylogenetic relationship of polyctenids with other groups has previously received little attention. It has been shown that, based on morphological characters, the phylogenetic relationship between cimicids and polyctenids represents two different monophyletic groups, but molecular data were missing from polyctenids (Schuh et al., 2009). Polyctenids are generally excluded from molecular phylogenetic reconstruction of the superfamily Cimicoidea, due to the lack of available specimens and molecular data on these species (Jung & Lee, 2012; Roth et al., 2019; Schuh et al., 2009). Only a cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 mitochondrial gene (COI) fragment of a North American species, *Hesperoctenes fumarius*, has been previously published (Smit & Miller, 2019). Additionally, fossil records of polyctenids are not available.

1.3 | Reproduction biology of polyctenids

Our knowledge about the basic biology and ecology of these bat bugs is currently based on some long-standing observational work, based on a few common species. The whole life cycle of polyctenids takes place on their hosts (Jordan, 1911; Marshall, 1982a), in contrast with cimicids, which only feed on the host but lay eggs on a substrate, such as the host's roost wall. Polyctenids show strong morphological and physiological adaptation to their parasitic lifestyle; they are viviparous, dorsoventrally flattened, eyeless, and wingless, and these features might strongly affect their host specificity and abundance through limited dispersal ability.

1.4 | Host specificity and infection patterns

Previously published data have suggested that polyctenids show a high specificity to their bat hosts. Most species are described as oioxenous (i.e., specific to one certain host species) and/or stenoxenous (i.e., occurring on two or more congeneric host species) (Maa, 1964; Marshall, 1982a). An experimental study has shown that *Hesperoctenes fumarius*, a New World species, is able to survive and actively feed on different host species, when dispersal barriers are removed (Dick et al., 2009), although congeneric host species were used during this experiment. Overall, specificity and host preferences of polyctenid species are mostly unknown.

Limited data are available about the infection patterns, such as prevalence and abundance of polyctenid species on their hosts. *Hesperoctenes fumarius* showed prevalence of 21% on *Molossus rufus* as well as intensity of infestation (mean number of bat bugs on infected hosts) of 2.22 ± 2.86 (Esbérard et al., 2005). Presley (2011) also reported the infection patterns of *H. fumarius* on two hosts. The prevalence of *H. fumarius* was 26.8% and 13% on *Molossus molossus* and *M. rufus*, respectively. Additionally, he observed mean abundance (mean number of bat bugs per host) of 0.5 ± 1.14 and 0.4 ± 1.49 as well as mean intensity of 2.0 ± 1.43 and 3.2 ± 3.00 on *M. molossus* and *M. rufus*, respectively (Presley, 2011). *Hesperoctenes* species tend to show sex-biased parasitism toward female bat hosts and in some cases, their abundance is affected by host morphological characters, such as body mass and/or forearm length, which may indicate the body condition of their hosts

Ecology and Evolution

WILEY

(Presley & Willig, 2008). Data on the sex ratio of polyctenids are scarce. Some studies reported mostly female biased sex ratio in adults, although sex ratio at emergence was unknown (Maa, 1964; Marshall, 1981, 1982a).

Our aim was to describe the specificity, sex ratio, and distributional patterns of polyctenids using published and field collected data along with specimens retrieved from museum collection, extending the current knowledge on the Polyctenidae family. Furthermore, we aimed to gain insights to the phylogenetic relationship of this family in relation to the closely related family Cimicidae, for the first time.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Sampling and species identification

Opportunistic ectoparasite sampling was carried out by the Centre for Viral Zoonoses at University of Pretoria at several sites in South Africa, Rwanda, and Botswana. This was part of bio surveillance in both frugivorous and insectivorous bat species between 2008 and 2017. Bat species were identified based on morphological characters (Meester, 1986; Van Cakenberghe et al., 2017). Currently valid bat names are used throughout this work, whenever possible, based on batnames.org (Simmons & Cirranello, 2022). Parasites were individually placed into 70% ethanol. Voucher specimens are deposited at Museum of Zoology, Lausanne, Switzerland. Additionally, further polyctenid specimens were examined at the collection of California Academy of Sciences in San Francisco, CA (USA), and previously unpublished data were also added to this work. Morphological identifications were performed using Maa (1964) and Greenwood (1991).

2.2 | DNA extraction and molecular analyses

Polyctenid samples were extracted non-invasively (whole body), keeping whole specimens from external damage. Specimens were placed in separate tubes at 56°C for overnight digestion, using 20µl Proteinase-K and 180µl ATL buffer (per sample) (Qiagen). DNA was extracted using DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kits (Qiagen) based on the protocol provided by the manufacturer. We targeted the COI gene (658bp long fragment) for the molecular analysis, and we used the following primers: Lep1F (5'-ATT CAA CCA ATC ATA AAG ATA TTG G-3'), Lep1Fdeg (5'-ATT CAA CCA ATC ATA AAG ATA TNG G-3'), and Lep3R (5'-TAT ACT TCA GGG TGT CCG AAA AAT CA-3') (Balvín et al., 2015). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) master mix was prepared based on previously published protocol (Hornok et al., 2017). During amplification, the following steps were used: 1 cycle of 95°C for 5 min, 40 cycles of 94°C for 40s, 53°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min. Final extension of 1 cycle of 72°C for 10 min (Veriti 96-Well Thermal Cycler, Applied Biosystems). Additionally, we targeted the 16S gene fragment (381-384bp), with the primers 16S LR-J (5'-TTA CGC TGT TAT CCC TAA-3') and 16S LR-N (5'-CGC CTG TTT ATC AAA AAC AT-3') (Kambhampati & Smith, 1995; Simon et al., 1994).

Fragments were amplified using PCR premix (AccuPower PCR PreMix, BIONEER) under the following conditions: 1 cycle of 95°C for 5 min, 35 cycle of 95°C for 30s, 48°C for 30s, and 72°C for 30s. Final extension of 1 cycle of 72°C during 5 min (Veriti 96-Well Thermal Cycler, Applied Biosystems). Furthermore, we targeted the 18S gene fragment (1200 and 800 bp long fragments), using primer pairs 18S-1 (5'-CTG GTT GAT CCT GCC AGT AGT-3') and 18S-3 (5'-GGT TAG AAC TAG GGC GGT ATC T-3'), and 18S-2 (5'-AGA TAC CGC CCT AGT TCT AAC C-3') and 18S-4 (5'-GAT CCT TCT GCA GGT TCA CC-3') (Tian et al., 2008); however, only the shorter region (800bp) was successfully retrieved. Lastly, the 28S rRNA gene fragment was also targeted using the primers 1274 (5'-GAC CCG TCT TGA AAC ACG GA-3') and 1275 (5'-TCG GAA GGA ACC AGC TAC TA-3') (Markmann & Tautz, 2005). Another PCR was also used targeting an approx. 700-bp-long part of the 28S rRNA gene, with the primers 28S-FF (5'-TTA CAC ACT CCT TAG CGG AT-3') and 28S-DD (5'-GGG ACC CGT CTT GAA ACA C-3') (Hillis & Dixon, 1991). However, the amplification and sequencing of the 28S rRNA gene of Hypoctenes faini were not successful with two different primer sets. PCR reactions of 18S and 28S amplifications were performed as reported (Hornok et al., 2021).

PCR products were visualized on 1.5% agarose gel. Biomi Ltd. and Microsynth AG performed purification and high-throughput Sanger sequencing of the PCR products.

Sequences (in the following order: 16S rRNA, COI, and 18S rRNA) were concatenated in the Geneious Prime 2019.2.3 (Kearse et al., 2012) software. The alignment of the concatenated sequences was done with MAFFT algorithm (Katoh et al., 2002). The best fitting evolutionary model was selected as general time reversible (GTR)+G+I model by MEGA 11.0.10 (Kumar et al., 2018; Tamura et al., 2021), as it takes into account most parameters. A Bayesian consensus tree was created using the MrBayes (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001; Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003) Geneious plugin, with GTR model with gamma distribution and invariant sites (GTR+G+I). The stationarity of posterior distribution was also examined using the Geneious plugin. The chain length was set to 5,000,000, sampling frequency to 500 and burn-in length to 100,000. The gene partitions were treated as unlinked. The random seed was set to 21,231. The analysis of the Bayesian tree was done with the MEGA11 11.0.10 (Kumar et al., 2018; Tamura et al., 2021) software. Distribution maps of parasites were produced by using QGIS version 2.16.2.

References sequences of *A. horvathi* and *H. faini* can be obtained in GenBank under accession numbers: ON157489-ON182061.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Polyctenidae collected during this study

Three polyctenids (2 female adults and 1 nymph) were found belonging to two species: Adroctenes horvathi (n = 1, female) and Hypoctenes faini (n = 2, female and nymph), from one female WILEY_Ecology and Evolution ____

of Rhinolophus simulator (in South Africa, 26. 09. 2017) and one female of Otomops martiensseni (Rwanda, 13. 12. 2008), respectively.

Five specimens of previously unidentified and unpublished polyctenids were recorded, representing *Adroctenes horvathi* in the collection of the California Academy of Sciences in San Francisco, CA (USA). The specimens were collected by James D. Hawkins (1 female, 25. 02. 1971, Busia District, N Mambale, Kenya; 2 females, 1 male, 1 nymph, 11. 03. 1971) from *Rhinolophus* spp. We included these records in Table 1.

3.2 | Geographical distribution of African polyctenids

We collected distributional data of all six African polyctenid species, which have been reported from 14 countries to date (Figure 1a-f, Table 1). Our records of *A. horvathi* and *H. faini* are the second published occurrence of these species to both Rwanda and South Africa. Finally, *H. faini* and *A. horvathi* are reported for the first time from *Otomops martiensseni* and *Rhinolophus simulator*, respectively. We excluded records with unspecified data, when exact country was not given (e.g. "Central Africa").

3.3 | Infection patterns and sex ratio in Polyctenidae

Published and new records of Polyctenidae prevalence are shown in Table 2, including Old and New World species. Altogether, records of at least 2175 screened host individuals and 1716 parasites were obtained covering broad geographic scale. Most frequently, recorded prevalence rates are known from the New World genus *Hesperoctenes*. Sex ratio is often female biased in both New and Old World species; however, there is no clear evidence for strong female biased occurrence due to low sampling effort and lack of data. In total, 645 females and 381 males were reported from previous works, indicating female biased sex ratio (Table 2).

3.4 | Molecular analysis of COI, 16S, 18S, and 28S rRNA gene

Based on BLAST search, for the COI gene fragment the closest match for *H. fainii* and *A. horvathi* was 83.09% *Psacasta exanthematica* (MF162983) (Scutelleridae) and 83.18% Ceratocapsidea (MW984087), respectively. The 16S sequences of *H. fainii* and *A. horvathi* showed the highest similarity of 84.29% *Tetraphleps aterrimus* (NC_042679) (Anthocoridae) and 83.65% *Primicimex cavernis* (MG596876) (Cimicidae), respectively. For the 18S fragment, *H. fainii* and *A. horvathi* showed the highest similarity to 97.37% and 95.50% *Latrocimex spectans* (MZ378786) (Cimicidae),

respectively. Lastly, the BLAST search of the 28S gene fragment of A. horvathi (28S) showed a 90.12% similarity with Cimex lectularius (KJ461188) (Cimicidae). Amplification and sequencing of the 28S rRNA gene of *H. faini* were unsuccessful with two different primer sets.

Overall, within Cimicoidea, as reflected by the topology of the Bayesian tree based on three genetic markers (COI, 16S, and 18S rRNA genes) (Figure 2, Table 3), the monophyly of Cimicidae can only be maintained if it includes Polyctenidae.

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Distribution of polyctenidae in Africa

Currently, six species of polyctenids are known from the African region. *Adroctenes horvathi* has been recorded in the African continent only and has the widest distribution, being present in Eastern and Southern Africa and is the most common species among all the known African polyctenids. The primary host species of *A. horvathi* belong to the family Rhinolophidae, which are widely distributed in continental Africa and *A. horvathi* may be present in additional countries where its presence has not yet been observed.

Eoctenes is the most species-rich genus in Africa, with three different species. Nevertheless, *E. coleurae* seems to be the most rarely collected polyctenid species among all the African Polyctenidae as it has been recorded only once in Sudan and has not been reported since its description (Maa, 1964), making additional conclusions on its distribution problematic. Nevertheless, its host *Coleura afra* is a widely distributed species, known from several Central, Eastern, and Western African countries. Consequently, *E. coleurae* might occur within its host distribution (if *C. afra* is the main host of this species). Future studies focusing on family Emballonuridae and its parasitic fauna should give more insights to the distribution of *E. coleurae*.

Eoctenes nycteridis is also endemic to the African continent and has been mostly reported from the central countries with some additional records, such as Eritrea and Liberia; therefore, it is expected to occur in other regions within the distribution range of its hosts, family Nycteridae. Species belonging to family Nycteridae occur in Africa but some parts of Asia as well.

Eoctenes intermedius is a widely distributed species with several records from Asia (Maa, 1961, 1964, Theodor & Moscona, 1954), Australia (Maa, 1964), and Africa (Jordan, 1912; Maa, 1964; Speiser, 1904). In Africa, the species has a Northern and Central African distribution but has also been recorded once in Guinea, Western Africa (Aellen, 1956). Its hosts, *C. afra* and *Taphozous* spp., are widely distributed in Africa, *T. perforatus* occurring in several parts of Asia as well. Within its global distribution, *E. intermedius* shows a strong preference toward *Taphozous* species; therefore, its distribution is expected where these hosts occur (Maa, 1964).

	Host species (current/valid name)	Host family	Host habitat type	Country	Location	References
Rhinolo	ohus blasii	Rhinolophidae	Caves	Malawi	Viphya Plateau	Kock et al. (1998)
Rhinola	suphus eloquens	Rhinolophidae	Caves	Kenya	Mt. Elgon, Kapsakwany	Ferris and Usinger (1939), Kock et al. (1998)
Rhino	lophus eloquens	Rhinolophidae	Caves	South Africa	Gauteng (Formerly Transvaal)	Zumpt (1966)
Rhinol	ophus eloquens	Rhinolophidae	Caves	South Sudan	Equatoria	Maa (1964)
Rhino. fu	lophus migatus	Rhinolophidae	Caves	Malawi	Zomba	Kock et al. (1998)
Rhino	lophus landeri	Rhinolophidae	Caves	Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	Cooreman (1955)
Rhinc	lophus simulator	Rhinolophidae	Caves	South Africa	Matlapitsi cave, GaMafefe, Linpopo Province	This study
Rhine	olophus sp.	Rhinolophidae	Caves	South Sudan	Torit	Maa (1964)
Rhine	olophus sp.	Rhinolophidae	Caves	Kenya	N Mambale	James D. Hawkins, Unpublished record (California Academy of Sciences, CA, USA)
unkr	uwor	I	1	Somalia	Upper Sheika	Jordan (1912)
Cole	ura afra	Emballonuridae	Underground sites including caves	Sudan		Maa (1964)
Cole	ura afra	Emballonuridae	Underground sites including caves	Guinea		Aellen (1956)
Taph	iozous nauritianus	Emballonuridae	Mixed (no caves mentioned)	Democratic Republic of the Congo		Cooreman (1951)
Taph	iozous perforatus	Emballonuridae	Underground sites including caves	Democratic Republic of the Congo	grotte Dethioux (Kataga)	Anciaux de Faveaux (1965), Benoit (1958); Leleup (1956)
Taph	ozous perforatus	Emballonuridae	Underground sites including caves	Egypt	Luxor, Abu Rawash, Cairo	Maa (1961, 1964), Speiser (1904)
unkn	own host	1	1	Sudan		Jordan (1912), Kellogg & Paine (1911) (Continues)

TABLE 1 Published records of Polyctenidae from the African continent along with our field and museum collected data

5 of 17

	lsinger (1939)	1951)	and references	8)	Faveaux (1965), 1958), Maa (1964)	8)	Faveaux (1965)	1964)	2), Maa (1964)	8	2)		t al. (2018)	(1991)		8)
References	Ferris and L	Cooreman (Maa (<mark>1964</mark>) therein)	Benoit (195	Anciaux de Benoit (Benoit (<mark>195</mark>	Anciaux de	Maa (1961 ,	Jordan (<mark>191</mark>	Benoit (<mark>195</mark>	Jordan (<mark>192</mark>	Maa (<mark>1970</mark>)	Patterson e	Greenwood	This study	Benoit (<mark>195</mark>
Location			Victoria Nyanza, Shirati		Katanga		Katanga	Sembel				Eastern Region		Lake Naivasha	Ruhengeri	
Country	Liberia	Congo	Tanzania	Rwanda	Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rwanda	Democratic Republic of the Congo	Eritrea	Uganda	Congo	Cameroon	Ghana	Kenya	Kenya	Rwanda	Rwanda
Host habitat type	Mixed (no caves mentioned)	Mixed (no caves mentioned)	Underground sites including caves	Underground sites including caves	Underground sites including caves	Mixed but also caves		I	1	Mixed (no caves mentioned)	Mixed (no caves mentioned)	Mixed (no caves mentioned)	Caves	Mixed (no caves mentioned)	Underground sites including caves	Underground sites including caves
Host family	Nycteridae	Nycteridae	Nycteridae	Nycteridae	Nycteridae	Nycteridae	Nycteridae	I	I	Molossidae	Molossidae	Molossidae	Molossidae	Molossidae	Molossidae	Molossidae
Host species (current/valid name)	Nycteris arge	Nycteris grandis	Nycteris hispida	Nycteris hispida	Nycteris macrotis	Nycteris macrotis	Nycteris thebaica	Unknown host	Unknown host	Chaerephon pumilus (currently Mops pumilus)	Mops thersites	Mops thersites	Otomops harrisoni	Chaerephon pumilus (currently Mops pumilus)	Otomops martiensseni	Tadarida fulminans
Polyctenid species	Eoctenes nycteridis	(Maa, 1964)	and references therein)							Hypoctenes clarus (Jordan, 1922)				Hypoctenes faini Benoit (1958)		

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Ecology and Evolution

The genus *Hypoctenes* includes two species, *H. clarus* and *H. faini* exclusively found in the African continent. The African representatives of this genus are rarely collected, and records seem to be limited in a relatively narrow distribution, when compared to other species in the family. *Hypoctenes clarus* has been reported from Cameroon, Democratic Republic of Congo, Ghana, and Kenya (Benoit, 1958; Jordan, 1922; Maa, 1970; Patterson et al., 2018). It might have additional populations in other regions where host species are distributed. Family Molossidae is one of the most species rich bat families occurring in all continents (except Antarctica) (Ammerman et al., 2012). *Hypoctenes clarus* and *H. faini* are known to occur on the members of this family but reports are scarce.

Hypoctenes faini is also a rarely observed species, with only two published records, representing two specimens (Benoit, 1958; Greenwood, 1991). During our work, two specimens of *H. faini* have been found in Rwanda for the second time (Figure 1). It might be expected from additional countries where its potential hosts from the Molossidae family are present. *Otomops martiensseni*, which we recorded in Rwanda as host species, occurs mainly in Central Africa but has populations in the southern and western part of the continent; therefore, the occurrence of *H. faini* is possible in these areas.

4.2 | Host specificity

Based on literature and field collected data, all polyctenid species appeared to be oligoxenous, meaning that they occur on two or more congeneric host species. However, the number of sampled individuals is low and conclusions cannot be drawn on the preferred host species, if any. Nevertheless, all polyctenid species exclusively occur on the members of a single bat family. The level of dispersal ability of polyctenids is unknown, although Marshall (1981) stated that biased sex ratio occurs in polyctenids due to males being the more mobile sex (Marshall, 1981), which could affect their dispersal ability and their specificity. Phylogenetic specificity (rather than ecological specificity) is supported by the fact that some host species often form mixed colonies with bats belonging to different families, which are not known as polyctenid hosts (McDonald et al., 1990; van der Merwe 1987). In conclusion, dispersal barriers do not likely influence polyctenid host specificity.



FIGURE 1 Distribution of Polyctenidae species in the African countries. Collection sites (whenever known) are indicated with black stars. Advoctenes horvathi (a), Eoctenes coleurae (b), E. intermedius (c), E. nycteridis (d), Hypoctenes clarus (e), and H. faini (f).

stuuy											
Parasite species	Host species	Hosts screened	Infected hosts (n)	Parasites (n)	Prevalence (%)	Female (n)	Male (n)	Nymph (n)	Biased sex ratio	Location	References
Adroctenes horvathi	Rhinolophus spp. (+unknown host species)	1	ı	19	1	13	5	4	Female	Africa (various countries)	Maa (1964) and references therein)
	Rhinolophus simulator	41	1	1	2.4	1	0	0	I	South Africa	This study
Eoctenes coleurae	Coleura afra	I	I	4	I	0	1	1	I	Sudan	Maa (1964)
Eoctenes intermedius	Taphozous spp.	I	I	44	I	25	13	Ŷ	Female	Australia, Asia, Africa	Maa (1964) and references therein)
Eoctenes nycteridis	Nycteris spp.	1	1	26	I	14	£	11	Female	Africa (various countries)	Maa (1964) and references therein)
Eoctenes spasmae	Megaderma spasma	27	23	370	85.2	241	129	I	Female	Malaysia	Marshall (1982a)
	Megaderma spasma (+unknown)			102		51	27	24	Female	Asia (various countries)	Maa (1964) and references therein)
	Megaderma spasma	I	I	12	I	7	5	0	I	Philippines	Amarga & Yap (<mark>2017</mark>)
Hesperoctenes angustatus	Molossus molossus	20	Ţ	Ţ	2J	I	I	I	I	Peru	Bonifaz et al. (2020)
Hesperoctenes cartus	Cynomops planirostris and C. abrasus	I	13	26	I	13	13	I	No	Argentina	Autino et al. (2020)
Hesperoctenes fumarius	Molossidae/emballonuridae/ mormoopidae	1	1	148	1	45	53	50	No	South-America (various countries)	Ueshima (1972)
Hesperoctenes	Molossus rufus	762	161	387	21	I	I	I	I	Brazil	Esbérard et al. (2005
fumarius	Molossus molossus	228	70	I	26.8	I	I	I	I	Paraguay	Presley (2011)
	Molossus rufus	100	27	I	13	I	I	I	I	Paraguay	Presley (2011)
	Molossus molossus	228	62	106	27.1	31	28	47	No	Paraguay	Presley (2012)
	Molossus bondae	I	71	ი	I	I	I	I	I	Columbia	Marinkelle & Grose (<mark>1979</mark>)
	Molossus molossus	ю	I	9	I	6	0	I	I	Lesser Antilles	Smit & Miller (2019)
Hesperoctenes longiceps	Eumops patagonicus	526	89	135	16.9	52	33	50	Female	Paraguay	Presley (2012)
Hesperoctenes parvulus	Molossops temminckii	160	30	41	18.7	11	10	20	No	Paraguay	Presley (2012)

TABLE 2 Literature records and records of the present work indicating the infection patterns (prevalence), number of parasites (including sex and/or life stage), sex ratio, and location of the

8 of 17

(pənu
ontir
Ŭ
2
Ш
Ξ
<

Parasite species	Host species	Hosts screened	Infected hosts (n)	Parasites (n)	Prevalence (%)	Female (n)	Male (n)	Nymph (n)	Biased sex ratio	Location	References
Hesperoctenes vicinus	Molossops temminckii	1	Ţ	1	I	1	0	1	1	Argentina	Autino et al. (2020)
Hesperoctenes	Eumops glaucinus	56	24	136	42.8	54	35	47	Female	Paraguay	Presley (2012)
sp.	Molossus molossus	ო	1	ო	33	ı	1	1	I	Colombia	Calonge-Camargo & Pérez- Torres (2018)
Hesperoctenes spp.	Molossidae/emballonuridae/ mormoopidae	1	1	84	1	46	14	24	Female	South-America (various countries)	Ueshima (1972)
Hypoctenes clarus	Otomops harrisoni	20	5	5	25	2	e	0	I	Kenya	Patterson et al. (2018)
	Tadarida spp.	I	1	ო	1	2	1	0	I	Africa (various countries)	Maa (1964) and references therein)
	Tadarida thersites (currently Mops thersites)	I	I	12	I	8	Ļ	с	Female	Ghana	Maa (1970)
Hypoctenes faini	Tadarida fulminans	1	1	₽.	1	₽.	0	0	1	Rwanda	Maa (1964) and references therein)
	Otomops martiensseni	1	1	1	(100)	1	0	0	I	Rwanda	This study
Hypoctenes hutsoni	Tadarida pusilla (currently Mops pusillus) (+unknown)	I	I	23	I	10	10	С	No	Seychelles	Maa (197 0)
Polyctenis molossus	Megaderma lyra (currently Lyroderma lyra) (+unkown)	1	1	16	I	8	7	9	Female	Asia (various countries)	Maa (1964) and references therein)
oto: African anois	o ouo biobliochtod in bold										

Note: African species are highlighted in bold.



0.10

FIGURE 2 Bayesian tree of family Cimicidae (including all six subfamilies) and Polyctenidae (including two species, one subfamily) based on concatenated sequences of the cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (COI), 16S, and 18S rRNA genes. GenBank accession numbers for each species are indicated in Table 3. Scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site. Main host groups are indicated for each subfamily (i.e., birds, bats, and and/or humans).

Common characteristics of polyctenid hosts include insectivore behavior; however, emballonurids occasionally consume fruits. Infected bat species mostly roost in underground places, such as caves. The microclimate of these roosts might be preferred or required by polyctenids.

4.3 | Sex ratio and infection patterns

Biased sex ratio in ectoparasitic insects is common and has been explored in the case of bat-associated parasites (Dick & Patterson, 2008; Dittmar et al., 2011; Szentiványi et al., 2017). Several factors may cause biased sex ratio, such as difference between body size, mobility, dispersal ability between sexes, or the presence of reproduction manipulating bacteria or inbreeding (Dick & Patterson, 2008; Duron et al., 2008; Patterson et al., 2008; Szentiványi et al., 2017). We found some evidence of female biased sex ratio in polyctenid bat bugs, similarly to previous suggestion (Marshall, 1982a). Overall, it is currently unknown if polyctenid bat bugs show biased sex ratio at birth, such as in the case of bat flies (Dittmar et al., 2011), or if female biased sex ratio occurs a later life stage. If natural polyctenid populations are truly female biased, some scenarios (or combinations of them) might explain this phenomenon. Local mate competition (LMC) could be one explanation. LMC results a female biased sex ratio in parasite populations, due to dispersal limited, isolated, and inbred populations, which could all be true in the case of polyctenids. LMC implies a female biased sex ratio, since males compete for mating opportunities, and mothers try to decrease sexual competition by maximizing female success through reducing the number of male offspring (Hamilton, 1967). Marshall (1982a, 1982b) suggested that biased sex ratio occurs because males are more active than females and therefore more exposed to predation by their hosts (Marshall, 1981, 1982a). Additionally, if there is different mobility and dispersal ability between sexes, it might also affect the capture success and thus implies a apparent bias in sex ratio. Furthermore, different longevity between females and males might also strongly influence sex ratio. Dispersal ability and mobility differences between female and male polyctenids are currently unknown on their hosts; however, off-host both sexes are incapable of moving (Marshall, 1982a). Additionally, Wolbachia, which is a genus of Gram-negative bacteria known to be able to alter sex ratios, has been found at least in one polyctenid species, Hesperoctenes fumarius (Sakamoto et al., 2006), and is common in other bat ectoparasites (Morse et al., 2012; Wilkinson et al., 2016). Nevertheless, there is a lack of evidence if they occur in a wide range of polyctenid species, and if they affect their reproduction. Future studies should address polyctenid sex ratios and their driving factors.

Prevalence of polyctenids shows a wide variation on their hosts, ranging from 2.4% to 85.2%. We currently have little understanding on what affects prevalence of these ectoparasites, although it

is likely shaped by several factors, such as host availability, dispersal ability, seasonality, and population dynamics of each species. Furthermore, data on potential host sex bias are not available or scarce; however, one study found equal infection between female and male hosts (Marshall, 1982b). Prevalence and infection pattern between host sexes need to be explored in future studies.

4.4 | Phylogenetic relationship of Polyctenidae

Previous phylogenetic trees involving Polyctenidae were based on morphological data (Schuh et al., 2009). Our genetic analysis placed Primicimicinae (Primicimex and Bucimex) to the base of the tree. Polyctenid species cluster close to Primicimicinae, forming a separate clade at the base of Cimicidae. Based on these results, Polycteninae is a sister clade to Primicimicinae. Subfamily Cacodminae appears to be monophyletic, which has been shown before (Balvín et al., 2015; Hornok et al., 2021; Ossa et al., 2019; Roth et al., 2019). Subfamily Cimicinae also shows monophyly, with two separated clusters for the genus Cimex encompassing the genus Paracimex, which supports previous findings (Balvín et al., 2015; Roth et al., 2019). Furthermore, the 18S sequence of H. faini was only 93.5% identical to Curalium cronini (EU683128) suggesting that Curaliidae is not a sister group of Polyctenidae (unlike in Schuh et al. (2009): figure 10). Until today, there is a single 18S sequence available for Curalium cronini, representing family Curaliidae, and further conclusion cannot be drawn regarding its relationship to the Polyctenidae family.

Based on previous works, we expected Polyctenidae and Cimicidae to be two separate monophyletic group on their own; our results strongly suggest that the monophyly of Cimicidae can only be maintained if it includes Polyctenidae. However future studies including more polyctenid species are needed to draw final conclusions. Overall, family Polyctenidae (or subfamily Polycteninae) may be considered as a subfamily of Cimicidae.

4.5 | Potential as vectors

Polyctenidae have not been identified as vectors of any pathogens. However, they may have a potential role in disease transmission. Closely related bat bug species belonging to family Cimicidae are competent or suspected vectors of several pathogens, such as *Trypanosoma*, *Bartonella*, and Kaeng Khoi virus (Gardner & Molyneux, 1988; Reeves et al., 2005; Salazar et al., 2015; Van Den Berghe et al., 1963; Williams et al., 1976). The vector of *Nycteria* (Haemosporidia) parasites, which have been shown to infect, e.g., Rhinolophidae and Nycteridae species (Schaer et al., 2015), is not known and as some polyctenids parasitize these families, it is possible that they play a vectorial role in *Nycteria* transmission.

ŝ
18
p
ar
6S
Ч.
0
0
ers
q
In
Ц
.io
ese
3
k a
an
e
ы С)
ų.
≩i
é,
da
ŝni
Сt
Š
2
pr
al
ae
c:q
Ē
Ü
en
Š
÷
å
hip
nsl
.9
at
E.
÷
ne
ge
Ϋ́
h
≷
þ
S S
ć
þ
stL
ils.
th
<u> </u>
sed.
ns
٩ta
g
lar
cu
<u>e</u>
ž
(T) 111
B
Ĭ

Species	Host group	Host species	Host family	Country	COI	16S	18S
Acanthocrios furnarii	Bird	Furnarius rufus (nest)	Furnariidae	Brazil	MG596830	MG596866	MG978385
Adroctenes horvathi	Bat	Rhinolophus simulator	Rhinolophidae	South Africa	This study	This study	This study
Afrocimex constrictus	Bat	Rousettus aegyptiacus	Pteropodidae	Kenya	MG596804	MG596841	MG978357
Afrocimex constrictus	Bat	Rousettus aegyptiacus	Pteropodidae	Kenya	MG596805	MG596842	MG978358
Afrocimex constrictus	Bat	Rousettus aegyptiacus	Pteropodidae	Kenya	MG596806	MG596843	MG978359
Aphrania barys	Bat	Neoromicia capensis (currently Laephotis capensis)	Vespertilionidae	Namibia	MG596820	MG596856	MG978375
Aphrania barys	Bat	Neoromicia capensis (currently Laephotis capensis)	Vespertilionidae	South Africa	MG596825	MG596861	MG978380
Aphrania elongata	I	Unknown	1	Senegal	MG596812	MG596849	MG978367
Aphrania elongata	Bat	Scotophilus leucogaster	Vespertilionidae	Mauritania	KF018763	KF018729	KF018715
Aphrania recta	Bat	Nycticeinops schlieffeni	Vespertilionidae	Mauritania	KF018764	KF018730	KF018716
Aphrania recta	Bat	Neoromicia cf. guineensis	Vespertilionidae	Senegal	MG596818	MG596854	MG978373
Bucimex chilensis	I	Unknown	1	Chile	MG596840	MG596877	MG978399
Cacodmus sparsilis	Bat	Pipistrellus dhofarensis	Vespertilionidae	Oman	MG596813	MG596850	MG978369
Cacodmus vicinus	Bat	Pipistrellus sp.	Vespertilionidae	Spain	MG596816	MG596852	MG978371
Cacodmus vicinus	Bat	Scotoecus hirundo	Vespertilionidae	Senegal	MG596819	MG596855	MG978374
Cacodmus villosus	I	Unknown	1	Kenya	MG596815	MG596851	MG978370
Cacodmus villosus	Bat	Pipistrellus hesperidus	Vespertilionidae	Ethiophia	MG596821	MG596857	MG978376
Cacodmus villosus	Bat	Pipistrellus hesperidus	Vespertilionidae	Ethiophia	MG596822	MG596858	MG978377
Cacodmus villosus	Bat	Neoromicia capensis (currently Laephotis capensis)	Vespertilionidae	Namibia	MG596823	MG596859	MG978378
Cacodmus villosus	Bat	Neoromicia capensis (currently Laephotis capensis)	Vespertilionidae	Namibia	MG596824	MG596860	MG978379
Cimex adjunctus	Bat	Nycticeius humeralis	Vespertilionidae	USA	GU985536	GU985558	KF018712
Cimex emarginatus	Bat	Myotis cf. alcathoe	Vespertilionidae	Bulgaria	MG596837	MG596874	MG978396
Cimex emarginatus	Bat	Pipistrellus pipistrellus	Vespertilionidae	Morocco	MF680526	MF680517	MG978397
Cimex hemipterus	Human	Homo sapiens	Hominidae	Kenya	MG596826	MG596862	MG978381
Cimex hemipterus	Human	Homo sapiens	Hominidae	Malaysia	KF018754	KF018724	KF018710
Cimex hemipterus	Human	Homo sapiens	Hominidae	India	KF018755	KF018725	KF018710
Cimex hirundinis	I	Unknown	ı	Switzerland	MG596808	MG596845	MG978363
Cimex hirundinis	Bird	Delichon urbica	Hirundinidae	Czechia	MG596809	MG596846	MG978364
Cimex latipennis	Bat	Myotis lucifugus	Vespertilionidae	Canada	KF018758	KF018734	KF018720

EN	TIVÁ	NYI	ET AI																		Ecc	olog	y and	d Eve	oluti	on @	pen Acce	\	WI	L	ΞY		13 of 17
	18S	KF018719	KF018711	MG978394	MG978393	MG978392	KF018709	MG978388	MG978384	This study	MZ378786	MG978365	MG978382	MG978383	MG978360	MG978362	KF018721	MG978398	MG978386	MG978366	MG978372	MG978387	GQ258393	GQ258401	GQ258400	GQ258407	EU683117	GQ258399	EF487311	GQ258425	GQ258410	EU683151	(Continues)
	16S	KF018733	GU985546	MG596873	GU985556	MG596872	GU985563	MG596869	MG596865	This study	MW270938	MG596847	MG596863	MG596864	MG596844	MF680520	KF018735	MG596876	MG596867	MG596848	MG596853	MG596868	GQ258358	GQ258359	GQ258388	GQ258364	AY252712	GQ258386	EF487290	GQ258381	GQ258367	EU683098	
	CO	KF018757	GU985524	MG596836	GU985534	MG596835	GU985541	MG596833	MG596829	This study	MW269881	MG596810	MG596827	MG596828	MG596807	MF680531	KF018761	MG596839	MG596831	MG596811	MG596817	MG596832	GQ292178	KM022525	KF36463	GQ292145	AY252977	GQ292210	EF523481	KR034788	GQ292187	KM022867	
	Country	Canada	Czechia	UK	UK	Spain	NSA	Argentina	USA	Rwanda	Belize	Israel	NSA	NSA	Indonesia	China	Malaysia	Mexico	Argentina	Iran	Oman	NSA											
	Host family	Vespertilionidae	Hominidae	Hominidae	Vespertilionidae	I	Hirundinidae	Psittacidae	Falconidae	Molossidae	Noctilionidae	1	1	Hirundinidae	Apodidae	Apodidae	Apodidae	Molossidae	Psittacidae	I	Molossidae	Apodidae	Anthocoridae	Anthocoridae	Anthocoridae	Anthocoridae	Miridae	Anthocoridae	Tingidae	Nabidae	Anthocoridae	Microphysidae	
	Host species	Myotis volans	Homo sapiens	Homo sapiens	Pipistrellus sp.	Chiroptera	Petrochelidon pyrrhonota	Cyanoliseus patagonus	Falco mexicanus (nest)	Otomops martiensseni	Noctilio leporinus	Unknown	Unknown	Delichon urbicum (nest)	Aerodramus salanganus	Aerodramus brevirostris	Aerodromus sp.	Tadarida brasiliensis	Myiopsitta monachus	mixed species bat colony	Nyctinomus thomasi (currently Tadarida aegyptiaca)	Aeronautes saxatalis (nest)											
	Host group	Bat	Human	Human	Bat	Bat	Bird	Bird	Bird	Bat	Bat	I	I	Bird	Bird	Bird	Bird	Bat	Bird	Bat	Bat	Bird	Outgroup	Outgroup	Outgroup	Outgroup	Outgroup	Outgroup	Outgroup	Outgroup	Outgroup	Outgroup	
	Species	Cimex latipennis	Cimex lectularius	Cimex lectularius	Cimex pipistrelli	Cimex pipistrelli	Cimex vicarius	Cyanolicimex patagonicus	Haematosiphon inodorus	Hypoctenes faini	Latrocimex spectans	Leptocimex duplicatus	Ornithocoris pallidus	Ornithocoris pallidus	Paracimex avium	Paracimex cf chaeturus	Paracimex setosus	Primicimex cavernis	Psitticimex uritui	Stricticimex namru	Stricticimex sp.	Synxenoderus comosus	Amphiareus obscuriceps	Anthocoris confusus	Blaptostethus aurivillus	Buchananiella crassicornis	Capsus ater	Dysepicritus rufescens	Eteoneus angulatus	Himacerus apterus	Lasiochilus japonicus	Loricula elegantula	

SZENTIVÁNYI ET AL.

TABLE 3 (Continued)

pecies	Host group	Host species	Host family Co	ountry	COI	16S	18S
yctocoris beneficus	Outgroup		Lyctocoridae		GQ292284	GQ258369	GQ258412
labis flavomarginatus	Outgroup		Nabidae		KM022694	GQ258380	GQ258424
labis stenoferus	Outgroup		Nabidae		GQ292211	GQ258379	GQ258426
Drius minutus	Outgroup		Anthocoridae		KR040183	GQ258372	GQ258417
rostemma div. spp.	Outgroup		Nabidae		JQ782833	JQ782833	JQ782787
coloposcelis albodecussata	Outgroup		Anthocoridae		GQ292129	GQ258376	GQ258422
ylocoris cerealis	Outgroup		Anthocoridae		GQ292172	GQ258384	GQ258395

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Tamara Szentiványi: Conceptualization (equal); data curation (equal); formal analysis (equal); investigation (equal); methodology (equal); project administration (equal); resources (equal); supervision (equal); visualization (equal); writing - original draft (equal); writing - review and editing (equal). Sándor Hornok: Conceptualization (equal); data curation (equal); methodology (equal); supervision (equal); writing - original draft (equal); writing - review and editing (equal). Áron Botond Kovács: Formal analysis (equal); methodology (equal); writing - review and editing (equal). Nóra Takács: Methodology (equal); writing - review and editing (equal). Miklós Gyuranecz: Formal analysis (equal); software (equal); writing - review and editing (equal). Wanda Markotter: Data curation (equal); funding acquisition (equal); investigation (equal); supervision (equal); writing - review and editing (equal). Philippe Christe: Conceptualization (equal); project administration (equal); supervision (equal); validation (equal); writing - original draft (equal); writing - review and editing (equal). Olivier Glaizot: Conceptualization (equal); project administration (equal); supervision (equal); validation (equal); writing - original draft (equal); writing - review and editing (equal).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, we are grateful to Malcolm Greenwood and David Happold, who kindly offered their suggestions and literature copies during the preparation of this work. We are grateful to Mine Altinli who gave suggestions to improve the phylogenic analysis. In addition, we are thankful to Christopher Grinter who helped to access the undetermined polyctenid species in the collection of the California Academy of Sciences. We are grateful to the reviewers for their insights and for their constructive comments. The authors acknowledge the contributions of staff and students from the Biosurveillance and Ecology of Emerging Zoonoses Research Group, Centre for Viral Zoonoses, University of Pretoria, and the Centre for Emerging Zoonotic and Parasitic Diseases, National Institute of Communicable Diseases, AfricanBats, Ditsong National Museum of Natural History and Rwanda Tourism and National Park's authority for field work associated with this study. Funding was obtained from the South African Research Chair Initiative of the Department of Science and Technology and National Research Foundation of South Africa, UIDs 98339, 92524, 85756, and 91496 (WM). Molecular laboratory work at the Department of Parasitology and Zoology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Budapest was funded by Project no. TKP2020-NKA-01 implemented with the support provided from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary, financed under the "Tématerületi Kiválósági Program 2020" (2020-4.1.1-TKP2020) funding scheme (SH, NT).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in GenBank at ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/, reference number ON157489 - ON182061.

FABLE 3 (Continued)

ETHICS APPROVAL

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Pretoria (Pretoria, South Africa; EC054-14) and Research was performed under Section 20 approval of the Department of Agriculture, Land Reform and Rural Development, South Africa.

ORCID

Tamara Szentiványi ^(D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8123-0374 Philippe Christe ^(D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8605-7002 Olivier Glaizot ^(D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9116-3355

REFERENCES

- Aellen, V. (1956). Speologica africana. Chiroptères des grottes de Guinée. Bulletin de l'Institut fondamental d'Afrique noire Série B: Sciences Humaines, 18, 884–894.
- Amarga, A. K. S., & Yap, S. A. (2017). Search for the blind vampire: First record of Eoctenes Kirkaldy in Southern Luzon, (Hemiptera: Polyctenidae), with key to the Cimicoidea ectoparasitic on bats in The Philippines. *Halteres*, 8, 25–29.
- Ammerman, L. K., Lee, D. N., & Tipps, T. M. (2012). First molecular phylogenetic insights into the evolution of free-tailed bats in the subfamily Molossinae (Molossidae, Chiroptera). *Journal of Mammalogy*, 93, 12–28.
- Anciaux de Faveaux, M. (1965). Les parasites des Chiroptères. Rôle épidémiologique chez les animaux et l'homme au Katanga. Annales de Parasitologie Humaine et Comparée, 40, 21–38.
- Autino, A. G., Idoeta, F. M., Claps, G. L., & Barquez, R. M. (2020). Ectoparasite insects of bats from the fields and weedlands ecoregion of Argentina. *Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia*, 60, e20206064. https://doi.org/10.11606/1807-0205/2020.60.64
- Balvín, O., Bartonička, T., Simov, N., Paunović, M., & Vilímová, J. (2014). Distribution and host relations of species of the genus Cimex on bats in Europe. *Folia Zoologica*, 63, 281–289.
- Balvín, O., Roth, S., & Vilímová, J. (2015). Molecular evidence places the swallow bug genus Oeciacus Stål within the bat and bed bug genus *Cimex* Linnaeus (Heteroptera: Cimicidae). *Systematic Entomology*, 40, 652–665.
- Balvín, O., Vilímová, J., & Kratochvíl, L. (2013). Batbugs (Cimex pipistrelli group, Heteroptera: Cimicidae) are morphologically, but not genetically differentiated among bat hosts. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research, 51, 287–295. https://doi. org/10.1111/jzs.12031
- Bartonička, T. (2008). Cimex pipistrelli (Heteroptera, Cimicidae) and the dispersal propensity of bats: An experimental study. Parasitology Research, 104, 163–168.
- Bartonička, T. (2010). Survival rate of bat bugs (Cimex pipistrelli, Heteroptera) under different microclimatic conditions. Parasitology Research, 107, 827–833.
- Benoit, P. L. G. (1958). Les Polyctenidae du Congo Beige. Revue de zoologie et de botanique africaines, 57, 68–72.
- Bonifaz, E., Mena, J. L., & Oporto, R. (2020). Moscas de murciélagos en algunas localidades de la costa peruana Bat flies in some localities of the Peruvian coast. *Revista Peruana de Biología*, 27, 241–250. https://doi.org/10.15381/rpb.v27i2.17881
- Calonge-Camargo, B., & Pérez-Torres, J. (2018). Ectoparasites (Polyctenidae, streblidae, nycteribiidae) of bats (Mammalia: Chiroptera) from the Caribbean region of Colombia. *Therya*, 9, 171–178.
- Cooreman, J. (1951). Sur la presence au Congo Belge du genre Eoctenes Kirkaldy (Polyctenidae, Cimicoidea). *Ibid*, 44, 339–341.
- Cooreman, J. (1955). Un Polyctenide nouveau pour la faune du Congo Belge (Hemiptera, Cimicoidea). *Ibid*, *5*1, 107–108.

- Delaunay, P., Blanc, V., Del Giudice, P., Levy-Bencheton, A., Chosidow, O., Marty, P., & Brouqui, P. (2011). Bedbugs and infectious diseases. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 52, 200–210.
- Dick, C. W., & Bindokas, M. (2007). Checklist of World Polyctenidae (Hemiptera: Cimicoidea) 1944. 1944–1945.
- Dick, C. W., Esbérard, C. E. L., Graciolli, G., Bergallo, H. G., & Gettinger, D. (2009). Assessing host specificity of obligate ectoparasites in the absence of dispersal barriers. *Parasitology Research*, 105, 1345–1349.
- Dick, C. W., & Patterson, B. D. (2008). An excess of males: Skewed sex ratios in bat flies (Diptera: Streblidae). Evolutionary Ecology, 22, 757– 769. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-007-9201-9
- Dittmar, K., Morse, S., Gruwell, M., Mayberry, J., & DiBlasi, E. (2011). Spatial and temporal complexities of reproductive behavior and sex ratios: A case from parasitic insects. *PLoS One, 6*, e19438. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019438
- Duron, O., Bouchon, D., Boutin, S., Bellamy, L., Zhou, L., Engelstädter, J., & Hurst, G. D. (2008). The diversity of reproductive parasites among arthropods: Wolbachia do not walk alone. *BMC Biology*, 6, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-6-27
- Esbérard, C. E. L., Jesus, A. C., Motta, A. G., Bergallo, H. G., & Gettinger,
 D. (2005). *Hesperoctenes fumarius* (Hemiptera: Polyctenidae) Infesting Molossus rufus (Chiroptera: Molossidae) in Southeastern Brazil. *The Journal of Parasitology*, *91*, 465–467.
- Ferris, G. F., & Usinger, R. L. (1939). The family Polyctenidae (Hemiptera; Heteroptera). *Microentomology*, 4, 1–50.
- Gardner, R. A., & Molyneux, D. H. (1988). Trypanosoma (Megatrypanum) incertum from *Pipistrellus pipistrellus*: Development and transmission by cimicid bugs. *Parasitology*, 96, 433–447.
- Greenwood, M. T. (1991). Hypoctenes faini (Hemiptera: Polyctenidae) from the free-tailed bat Tadarida (Chaerephon) pumila, Lake Naivasha, Kenya. *Revue de zoologie africaine (1974)*, 105, 105–111.
- Hamilton, W. D. (1967). Extraordinary sex ratios. *Science* (80-), 156, 477– 488. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.156.3774.477
- Hillis, D. M., & Dixon, M. T. (1991). Ribosomal DNA: Molecular evolution and phylogenetic inference. *Quarterly Review of Biology*, 66, 411-453.
- Hornok, S., Murányi, D., Kontschán, J., & Tan Tu, V. (2018). Description of a new bat-associated bug species of the *Cimex lectularius* group from Vietnam. Acta Veterinaria Hungarica, 66, 607–612. https://doi. org/10.1556/004.2018.053
- Hornok, S., Szentiványi, T., Takács, N., Kovács, Á. B., Glaizot, O., Christe, P., Fasel, N., Gyuranecz, M., & Kontschán, J. (2021). Latrocimicinae completes the phylogeny of Cimicidae: Meeting old morphologic data rather than modern host phylogeny. *Parasites and Vectors*, 14, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-021-04932-x
- Hornok, S., Szoke, K., Boldogh, S. A., Sándor, A. D., Kontschán, J., Tu, V. T., Halajian, A., Takács, N., Görföl, T., & Estók, P. (2017). Phylogenetic analyses of bat-associated bugs (Hemiptera: Cimicidae: Cimicinae and Cacodminae) indicate two new species close to *Cimex lectularius*. *Parasites and Vectors*, 10, 1–10.
- Huelsenbeck, J. P., & Ronquist, F. (2001). MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics*, 17, 754-755. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754
- Jordan, K. (1911). Polyctenidae viviparous. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of London. 64.
- Jordan, K. (1912). Contributions to our knowledge of the morphology and systematics of the Polyctenidae, a family of Rhynchota parasitic on bat. Novitates Zoologicae: A Journal of Zoology in Connection with the Tring Museum, 18, 555–579.
- Jordan, K. (1922). On a new genus and species of Polyctenidae from West Africa. *Ectoparasites*, 1, 199–203.
- Jung, S., & Lee, S. (2012). Correlated evolution and Bayesian divergence time estimates of the Cimicoidea (Heteroptera: Cimicomorpha) reveal the evolutionary history. Systematic Entomology, 37, 22–31.

WII FY_Ecology and Evolution

16 of 17

- Kambhampati, S., & Smith, P. T. (1995). PCR primers for the amplification of four insect mitochondrial gene fragments. *Insect Molecular Biology*, 4, 233–236.
- Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K. I., & Miyata, T. (2002). MAFFT: A novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 30, 3059–3066. https:// doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkf436
- Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., Cooper, A., Markowitz, S., Duran, C., Thierer, T., Ashton, B., Meintjes, P., & Drummond, A. (2012). Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 28, 1647–1649. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199
- Kellogg, V. L., & Paine, J. H. (1911). Anoplura (Siphunculata and Mallophaga) from South African Hosts. Bulletin of Entomological Research, 2, 145–152.
- Kock, D., Happold, D. C., & Happold, M. (1998). Cimicidae and polyctenidae from Malawian Chiroptera (Insecta: Hemiptera). Senckenbergiana Biologica, 77, 235–240.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., & Tamura, K. (2018). MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 35, 1547–1549. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/msy096
- Leleup, N. (1956). La fauna cavernicole de Congo Belge, et considdrations sur les Coleopthres reliques d'Afrique intertropicale. Musée royal du Congo belge.
- Maa, T. C. (1961). Records and descriptions of Polyctenidae (Hemiptera). *Ibid*, 3, 1–10.
- Maa, T. C. (1964). A review of the Old World Polyctenidae (Hemiptera: Cimicoidea). *Pacific Insects*, *6*, 494–516.
- Maa, T. C. (1970). A new Hypoctenes from the Aldabra Atoll with notes on other species and a key to adults and nymphs of the genus (Hemiptera: Polyctenidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 7, 736–744.
- Marinkelle, C. J., & Grose, E. S. (1979). A list of ectoparasites of Colombian bats. *Revista de Biología Tropical*, *29*, 11–20.
- Markmann, M., & Tautz, D. (2005). Reverse taxonomy: An approach towards determining the diversity of meiobenthic organisms based on ribosomal RNA signature sequences. *Philosophical Transactions* of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 360, 1917–1924. https:// doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1723
- Marshall, A. G. (1981). The sex ratio in ectoparasitic insects. *Ecological Entomology*, *6*, 155–174.
- Marshall, A. G. (1982a). Ecology of insects ectoparasitic on bats. In *Ecology of bats* (pp. 369-401). Springer.
- Marshall, A. G. (1982b). The Ecology of the Bat Ectoparasite Eoctenes spasmae (Hemiptera: Polyctenidae) in Malaysia. *Biotropica*, 14, 50–55.
- McDonald, J. T., Rautenbach, I. L., & Nel, J. A. J. (1990). Roosting requirements and behaviour of five bat species at De Hoop Guano Cave, southern Cape Province of South Africa. *South African Journal of Wildlife Research*, 20(4), 157–161.

Meester, J. (1986). Classification of southern African mammals, Transvaal. ed.

- Morse, S. F., Dick, C. W., Patterson, B. D., & Dittmard, K. (2012). Some like it hot: Evolution and ecology of novel endosymbionts in bat flies of cave-roosting bats (hippoboscoidea, nycterophiliinae). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 78, 8639–8649. https://doi. org/10.1128/AEM.02455-12
- Ossa, G., Johnson, J. S., Puisto, A. I. E., Rinne, V., Sääksjärvi, I. E., Waag, A., Vesterinen, E. J., & Lilley, T. M. (2019). The Klingon batbugs: Morphological adaptations in the primitive bat bugs, Bucimex chilensis and Primicimex cavernis, including updated phylogeny of Cimicidae. *Ecology and Evolution*, *9*, 1736–1749.
- Patterson, B. D., Dick, C. W., & Dittmar, K. (2008). Sex biases in parasitism of neotropical bats by bat flies (Diptera: Streblidae). *Journal* of Tropical Ecology, 24, 387–396. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266 467408005117

- Patterson, B. D., Webala, P. W., Bartonjo, M., Nziza, J., Dick, C. W., & Demos, T. C. (2018). On the taxonomic status and distribution of African species of Otomops (Chiroptera: Molossidae). *PeerJ*, 6, e4864.
- Presley, S. J. (2011). Interspecific aggregation of ectoparasites on bats: Importance of hosts as habitats supersedes interspecific interactions. *Oikos*, 120, 832–841.

Presley, S. J. (2012). Sex-based population structure of ectoparasites from Neotropical bats. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 107, 56–66. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2012.01924.x

- Presley, S. J., & Willig, M. R. (2008). Intraspecific patterns of ectoparasite abundances on Paraguayan bats: Effects of host sex and body size. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 24, 75–83.
- Quetglas, J., Balvín, O., Lučan, R. K., Benda, P., & Lucan, R. K. (2012). First records of the bat bug Cacodmus vicinus (Heteroptera: Cimicidae) from Europe and further data on its distribution. *Vespertilio*, 16, 243–248.
- Reeves, W. K., Loftis, A. D., Gore, J. A., & Dasch, G. A. (2005). Molecular evidence for novel Bartonella species in Trichobius major (Diptera: Streblidae) and Cimex adjunctus (Hemiptera: Cimicidae) from two southeastern bat caves, U.S.A. Journal of Vector Ecology, 30, 339–341.
- Reinhardt, K., Naylor, R. A., & Siva-Jothy, M. T. (2007). Estimating the mean abundance and feeding rate of a temporal ectoparasite in the wild: Afrocimex constrictus (Heteroptera: Cimicidae). *International Journal for Parasitology*, 37, 937–942.
- Reinhardt, K., Naylor, R. A., & Siva-Jothy, M. T. (2008). Temperature and humidity differences between roosts of the fruit bat, Rousettus aegyptiacus (Geoffroy, 1810), and the refugia of its ectoparasite, Afrocimex constrictus. Acta Chiropterologica, 10, 173–176.
- Ronquist, F., & Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2003). MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, 19, 1572–1574. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180
- Roth, S., Balvín, O., Siva-Jothy, M. T., Di Iorio, O., Benda, P., Calva, O., Faundez, E. I., Anwarali Khan, F. A., McFadzen, M., Lehnert, M. P., Naylor, R., Simov, N., Morrow, E. H., Willassen, E., & Reinhardt, K. (2019). Bedbugs evolved before their bat hosts and did not cospeciate with ancient humans. *Current Biology*, *29*, 1847–1853.e4.
- Sakamoto, J. M., Feinstein, J., & Rasgon, J. L. (2006). Wolbachia infections in the Cimicidae: Museum specimens as an untapped resource for endosymbiont surveys. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72, 3161–3167.
- Salazar, R., Castillo-Neyra, R., Tustin, A. W., Borrini-Mayorí, K., Náquira, C., & Levy, M. Z. (2015). Bed bugs (*Cimex lectularius*) as vectors of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 92, 331–335.
- Schaer, J., Reeder, D. A. M., Vodzak, M. E., Olival, K. J., Weber, N., Mayer, F., Matuschewski, K., & Perkins, S. L. (2015). Nycteria parasites of Afrotropical insectivorous bats. *International Journal for Parasitology*, 45, 375–384.
- Schuh, R. T., Weirauch, C., & Wheeler, W. C. (2009). Phylogenetic relationships within the Cimicomorpha (Hemiptera: Heteroptera): A total-evidence analysis. *Systematic Entomology*, 34, 15–48.
- Simmons, N. B., & Cirranello, A. L. (2022). Bat species of the world: A taxonomic and geographic database. https://batnames.org/
- Simon, C., Frati, F., Beckenbach, A., Crespi, B., Liu, H., & Flook, P. (1994). Evolution, weighting, and phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene sequences and a compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 87, 651–701.
- Smit, J. T., & Miller, J. (2019). Bat ectoparasites from sint eustatius, lesser antilles (Diptera: Hippoboscidae: Streblinae; Hemiptera: Polyctenidae). *The Journal of Parasitology*, 105, 45.
- Speiser, P. (1904). Die Hemipterengattung Polyctenes Gigl. und ihre Stellung im System. Zoologischen Jahrbücher, 7, 373–380.
- Szentiványi, T., Vincze, O., & Estók, P. (2017). Density-dependent sex ratio and sex-specific preference for host traits in parasitic bat

flies. Parasites and Vectors, 10, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s1307 1-017-2340-0

- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., & Kumar, S. (2021). MEGA11: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 38, 3022–3027. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab120
- Theodor, O., & Moscona, A. (1954). On the bat parasites in Palestine I. Nycteribiidae, Streblidae, Hemiptera, Siphonaptera. *Parasitology*, 44, 157–245.
- Tian, Y., Zhu, W., Li, M., Xie, Q., & Bu, W. (2008). Influence of data conflict and molecular phylogeny of major clades in Cimicomorphan true bugs (Insecta: Hemiptera: Heteroptera). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 47, 581–597. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ympev.2008.01.034
- Ueshima, N. (1972). New World Polyctenidae (Hemiptera), with special reference to Venezuelan species. Brigham Young University Science Bulletin-Biological Series, 17, 13–21.
- Van Cakenberghe, V., Gembu Tungaluna, G. C., Musaba Akawa, P., Seamark, E., & Verheyen, E. (2017). The bats of The Congo and of Rwanda and Burundi revisited (Mammalia: Chiroptera). European Journal of Taxonomy, 2017, 1–327. https://doi.org/10.5852/ ejt.2017.382
- Van Den Berghe, L., Chardome, M., & Peel, E. (1963). An African Bat Trypanosome in Stricticimex brevispinosus Usinger, 1959. The Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology, 10, 135–138.
- Wilkinson, D. A., Duron, O., Cordonin, C., Gomard, Y., Ramasindrazana, B., Mavingui, P., Goodman, S. M., & Tortosa, P. (2016). The

bacteriome of bat flies (Nycteribiidae) from the Malagasy region: A community shaped by host ecology, bacterial transmission mode, and host-vector specificity. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 82, 1778–1788. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03505-15

- Williams, J. E., Imlarp, S., Top, F. H., Cavanaugh, D. C., & Russell, P. K. (1976). Kaeng Khoi virus from naturally infected bedbugs (Cimicidae) and immature free tailed bats. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 53, 365–369.
- Van der Merwe, M. (1987). Other bat species in maternity caves occupied by Miniopterus schreibersii natalensis. South African Journal of Wildlife Research, 17(1), 25–27.
- Zumpt, F. (1966). The arthropod parasites of vertebrates in Africa south of the Sahara (Ethiopian Region). South African Institute for Medical Research.

How to cite this article: Szentiványi, T., Hornok, S., Kovács, Á. B., Takács, N., Gyuranecz, M., Markotter, W., Christe, P., & Glaizot, O. (2022). Polyctenidae (Hemiptera: Cimicoidea) species in the Afrotropical region: Distribution, host specificity, and first insights to their molecular phylogeny. *Ecology and Evolution*, 12, e9357. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/</u> <u>ecc3.9357</u>