Formation of Virus-like Clusters Is an Intrinsic Property of the Tumor Necrosis Factor Family Member BAFF (B Cell Activating Factor)†
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ABSTRACT: The oligomeric state of BAFF (B cell activating factor), a tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family cytokine that plays a critical role in B cell development and survival, has been the subject of recent debate. Myc-tagged BAFF starting at residue Gln136 was previously reported to crystallize as trimers at pH 4.5, whereas a histidine-tagged construct of BAFF, starting at residue Ala134, formed a virus-like cluster containing 60 monomers when crystallized at pH 9.0. The formation of the BAFF 60-mer was pH dependent, requiring pH ≥ 7.0. More recently, 60-mer formation was suggested to be artificially induced by the histidine tag, and it was proposed that BAFF, like all other TNF family members, is trimeric. We report here that a construct of BAFF with no amino-terminal tag (Ala134-BAFF) can form a 60-mer in solution. Using size exclusion chromatography and static light scattering to monitor trimer to 60-mer ratios in BAFF preparations, we find that 60-mer formation is pH-dependent and requires histidine 218 within the DE loop of BAFF. Biacore measurements established that the affinity of Ala134-BAFF for the BAFF receptor BAFFR/BR3 is similar to that of myc-Gln136-BAFF, which is exclusively trimeric in solution. However, Ala134-BAFF is more efficacious than myc-Gln136-BAFF in inducing B cell proliferation in vitro. We additionally show that BAFF that is processed and secreted by 293T cells transfected with full-length BAFF, or by a histiocytic lymphoma cell line (U937) that expresses BAFF, can signal through three receptors: B cell maturation antigen (BCMA), transmembrane activator and cyclophilin ligand interactor (TACI), and BAFF receptor (BAFFR, BR3). BCMA and TACI also bind APRIL (reviewed in ref 1). BAFF can signal through three receptors: B cell maturation antigen (BCMA), transmembrane activator and cyclophilin ligand interactor (TACI), and BAFF receptor (BAFFR, BR3). BCMA and TACI also bind APRIL (reviewed in ref 1). BAFF costimulates the proliferation of B cells in the presence of anti-IgM antibody (3), and fusion proteins of the BAFF receptors with the C1H1, C1H2, and hinge region of human IgG1 were shown to block this activity in vitro (4, 7, 12, 13). BAFFR is expressed on all peripheral B cells and other nonhematopoetic cells (reviewed in ref 1). BAFF is a type II transmembrane protein that can be proteolytically cleaved between Arg133 and Ala134 and released as a soluble protein (2, 3). BAFF knockout mice lack mature B cells in the periphery, showing that BAFF is required for B cell development in vivo (4, 5). Mice overexpressing BAFF display symptoms of autoimmune disorders (6). Moreover, elevated levels of soluble BAFF are detected in the blood of patients with various autoimmune diseases (7–10). Interestingly, BAFF has also been reported to form biologically active heteromers with APRIL (a proliferation-inducing ligand), a closely related TNF family ligand. These heterotrimers have been detected in serum samples from patients with systemic immune-based rheumatic diseases (11).
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have shown that BAFF binds BAFFR with 100-fold higher affinity than it binds BCMA (15).

All other TNF family ligands exist as trimers. We have previously reported the crystal structure of the BAFF extracellular domain, using a construct starting at residue Gln136 and with an amino-terminal myc tag (myc-Q136-BAFF). The structure, obtained at pH 4.5, showed two BAFF trimers per asymmetric unit. Like other TNF family members, each monomer of BAFF folds as a sandwich of two antiparallel β-sheets. The structure of BAFF revealed that the loop connecting β strands D and E is longer than the corresponding loops seen in other TNF family members (16). Oren and co-workers have independently reported the crystal structure of BAFF at pH 6.0, which also showed a dimer of trimers in the asymmetric unit (17). Interestingly, Liu et al. (18) reported that a BAFF construct starting at residue Ala134 and with an N-terminal histidine tag (His-A134-BAFF), when crystallized at pH 9.0, displayed an oligomeric, virus-like structure containing 20 trimers (60 monomers, 60-mer). It appeared that the interaction between trimers was mediated by the long DE loop. The authors showed that formation of the BAFF 60-mer in solution was pH-dependent, and was abolished by deletion of the DE loop. They proposed that the ionization state of histidine 218 in the DE loop is responsible for the pH dependence of 60-mer formation (18). The crystal structures of the BAFF—BAFFR and BAFF—BCMA complexes have been solved and show a similar, virus-like BAFF 60-mer, with each of the 60 receptor binding sites occupied by a receptor molecule (19). Recently, a report by Zhukovsky and colleagues questioned the relevance of oligomeric BAFF in solution (20). They suggested that BAFF, like other TNF family members, exists as a trimer and that the 60-mer formation reported by Liu et al. (18) was an artifact of the histidine tag present in their construct. Furthermore, Zhukovsky and co-workers reported that histidine-tagged and untagged BAFF protein showed equivalent activity in a cell-based assay, from which they concluded that 60-mer formation did not alter the functional activity of the protein.

To characterize the ability of BAFF to form 60-mers in solution and the consequences for functional activity, we engineered a construct of BAFF comprising the TNF homologous domain (Ala134–Leu285) with no amino-terminal tag (A134-BAFF). Since A134-BAFF purified from Pichia is glycosylated, we also engineered an aglycosylated version, A134-BAFF-N242Q. We report here that both A134-BAFF and A134-BAFF-N242Q form 60-mers in solution in a pH-dependent manner and that 60-mer formation is abolished by the mutation of histidine 218 within the DE loop to alanine. We also show that the affinity of A134-BAFF for BAFFR is identical to that of myc-Q136-BAFF, which we showed to be exclusively trimeric in solution, but that A134-BAFF shows higher activity than myc-Q136-BAFF in a cell-based assay measuring costimulation of B cell proliferation. Taken together, our results indicate that BAFF 60-mer formation does not require an N-terminal histidine tag, but rather is an intrinsic property of the BAFF protein. We additionally show that significant levels of the BAFF 60-mer are detected in culture supernatants when BAFF is naturally processed and secreted after transient transfection into 293T cells, and also when BAFF is endogenously expressed in U937 cells. These results support the notion that the BAFF 60-mer is not just an in vitro experimental artifact, but that this more active form of the protein could have a physiological role.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Expression of BAFF Proteins.** All protein expression was in *Pichia pastoris* GS115 using the methanol-inducible native AOX1 promoter, or the GS115 derivative MMC216 using the doxycycline-inducible TetO-AOX1 promoter (M. Levesque, D. Krushinskii, and K. Strauch, manuscript in preparation). Expression plasmids used the α factor secretion signal and the HIS4 selectable marker. Transformation was by electroporation or the *P. pastoris* EasyComp kit (Invitrogen). Manipulation and strain construction methods were as recommended by Invitrogen. *Stul* digestion was used to linearize DNA prior to transformation. The N242Q and H218A mutations were constructed by QuikChange (Stratagene) site-directed mutagenesis. Proteins were expressed by shake flask induction in BMGY and BMMY (2% MeOH) according to Invitrogen recommendations for Myc-BAFF, or by fermentation in a reduced salts basal salts hexametaphosphate medium (M. Levesque, D. Krushinskii, and K. Strauch, manuscript in preparation) for A134-BAFF (A134–L285), A134-BAFF-H218A, His-A134-BAFF, and A134-BAFF-N242Q. Induction in fermenters was achieved with 1 mg/mL doxycycline or by MeOH fed-batch growth.

**Purification of BAFF Proteins.** The following proteins were expressed in *P. pastoris*: myc-Q136-BAFF (Q136–L285), A134-BAFF (A134–L285), A134-BAFF-H218A, A134-BAFF-N242Q, and His-A134-BAFF. The purification of myc-BAFF was as reported (15). Briefly, *P. pastoris* supernatants were diafiltered by tangential flow filtration (Millipore, Pellicon II) and purified using ion exchange chromatography (Q XL Sepharose, Q HP Sepharose, and SP Sepharose; Amersham Biosciences). Purified proteins were dialyzed against 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl. His-A134-BAFF was purified on a nickel column (Amersham Biosciences). Proteins were over 90% pure as confirmed by SDS–PAGE, and mass spectrometry analyses confirmed that the proteins had the expected molecular mass.

**Analytical Gel Filtration of Purified BAFF.** BAFF proteins were dialyzed for 3 h or overnight against the following buffers containing 150 mM NaCl: pH 5.0 (25 mM sodium acetate), pH 7.5 (10 mM Tris–HCl), pH 8.0 (20 mM Tris–HCl), and pH 9.0 (20 mM Tris–HCl). Proteins (0.5–1 mg) were then analyzed in a Superdex 200 10/30 tricorn column (Amersham Biosciences) in the appropriate buffer. In some cases, peak fractions were subsequently analyzed by Western blotting using a rabbit polyclonal antibody raised against the carboxy terminus of BAFF (Chemicon). In some instances, peak fractions were concentrated 5-fold using Microcon YM-30 (Amicon, Millipore, 30 000 MWCO) prior to Western blot.

**Gel Filtration Assays with Light Scattering.** Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) was carried out on a YMC-Pack Diol-120 column, 8.0 × 300 mm (YMC, Inc., Wilmington, NC), in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl (PBS) using a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min on a Waters Alliance instrument (Waters, Milford, MA). In addition to UV detection, the eluent was monitored in tandem with a refractive index detector (Waters) and a Precision Detector.
Western Blots. The rabbit anti-human BAFF polyclonal antibody was purchased from Upstate.

The ARH-77 plasma cell leukemia, Hut-78 cutaneous T lymphoma, K562 chronic myelogenous leukemia, U266 myeloma, RPMI8226 myeloma, Molt-4 T acute lymphoblastic leukemia, SKW6.4 B lymphoblast, U937 histiocytic lymphoma, L428 Hodgkin’s lymphoma, THP-1 acute monocytic leukemia, L363 plasma cell leukemia, BL41 Burkitt’s lymphoma, OPM-2 myeloma, L428 Hodgkin’s lymphoma, and HL-60 promyelocytic leukemia cell lines were obtained from various collaborators and from the ATCC.

Immunoprecipitations. A 500 µL sample of cell supernatants was mixed with 1 µg/mL hBCMA-Fc (Axxora, San Diego) and immunoprecipitated with 5 µL of Protein A-Sepharose. Beads were recovered in microcolumns, washed with 3 × 200 µL of PBS, and eluted with 15 µL of 0.1 M citrate–NaOH, pH 2.7. The eluate was neutralized with 1 M Tris–HCl, pH 9, and analyzed by Western blotting.

Analytical Gel Filtration of BAFF in Cell Supernatants. 293T cells were transfected with a mammalian expression vector for full-length, untagged hBAFF (3) and grown for 3 days in serum-free OptiMEM-1 medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10 mM Heps, pH 7.4. U937 cells were grown for 11 days in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 0.1% sodium bicarbonate. Conditioned supernatants were concentrated 10-fold in an Amicon Ultra centrifugal filtration device with a molecular weight cutoff of 10,000, and loaded (200 µL) onto a Superdex 200 10/30 column equilibrated in either PBS, pH 7.4, or 25 mM sodium acetate, 150 mM NaCl, pH 5. The column was eluted at 0.5 mL/min, and fractions of 1.5 mL were collected. In the case of U937 supernatants, 430 µL of the fractions was supplemented with 20 µg of bovine serum albumin and precipitated for 10 min on ice with trichloroacetic acid at a final concentration of 5%. After centrifugation, the supernatants were carefully discarded, and the pellets were resuspended by sonication in 60 µL of reducing SDS–PAGE sample buffer. BAFF was detected by Western blotting with a rabbit anti-BAFF polyclonal antibody (Upstate) and ECL reagent (Amersham) or, for the Superdex elution of U937 supernatants, with SuperWest femto ECL reagent (Pierce).

Solution-Phase Affinity Measurements. All experiments were performed on a Biacore 3000 instrument (Biacore AB, Uppsala, Sweden). Chip preparation and solution-phase binding measurements have been described (15). Briefly, monomeric BAFFR and the various forms of BAFF were mixed in different ratios in Biacore assay buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, 3.4 mM EDTA, 0.005% P-20 detergent, 0.1% BSA) and preincubated for a minimum of 3 h at 4 °C to reach equilibrium. The equilibrated solutions were then injected over a BCMA-Fc-derivatized surface, and the concentration of free BAFF in solution was measured. The affinity of the interaction of BAFFR with various forms of BAFF was determined from a plot of the concentration of free BAFF versus total soluble receptor by fitting the data to a quadratic binding equation as described (15).

RESULTS

To test whether the formation of the BAFF 60-mer is dependent on the presence of an N-terminal histidine tag as has been proposed (20), we engineered a BAFF construct starting at amino acid alanine 134 with no amino-terminal tag. This construct is similar to that reported by Liu and co-workers (18), but lacking the histidine tag (Figure 1). Recombinant BAFF purified from P. pastoris is glycosylated at amino acid asparagine 242 (16). It has been shown that soluble human BAFF expressed in 293T cells is not glycosylated (3). Moreover, the construct reported by Liu and co-workers was purified from Escherichia coli, and hence was not glycosylated. Therefore, we also prepared a second construct in which residue 242 was mutated to glutamine (N242Q) to eliminate the N-linked glycosylation site and thus ensure that our yeast-expressed protein was not glycosylated. We refer to this protein as A134-BAFF-N242Q.

We evaluated the oligomeric state of both A134-BAFF and A134-BAFF-N242Q in solution by analytical gel filtration at pH 7.5. When 5.8 µM Ala134-BAFF protein (molarity based on the BAFF monomer) was loaded onto a gel filtration column, the protein eluted as an oligomer (MW > 670,000) with a small portion eluting as a trimer (Figure 2). To test how the formation of the 60-mer varies with protein concentration, we analyzed the elution profile of A134-BAFF at decreasing concentrations, and detected BAFF in peak fractions by Western blot analysis. A134-BAFF eluted as a 60-mer at all tested concentrations, the lowest being 14 nM.
BAFF polypeptide corresponding to 245 pM 60-mer BAFF (Figure 2 and data not shown). Similar results were observed with Ala134-BAFF-N242Q, and with Ala134-BAFF that had been preincubated in cell culture media (RPMI, 10% FBS) for 24 or 48 h at 37 °C (data not shown) to mimic the conditions used in subsequent cell-based assays. To directly measure the molecular weight of the BAFF oligomers in solution, we performed analytical gel filtration with in-line light scattering (GF-LS) at pH 7.2. Table 1 shows that both A134-BAFF and A134-BAFF-N242Q eluted with a molecular weight calculated from light scattering of 1,060,000 (50,000. This value closely matches the molecular weight expected for the BAFF 60-mer (1,022,000). We also prepared a BAFF construct containing an N-terminal histidine tag (His-A134-BAFF). In agreement with previous reports on histidine-tagged BAFF (18, 20), this protein was also seen to exist as a 60-mer by GF-LS (Table 1). The observation that both A134-BAFF and His-A134-BAFF are able to form oligomers in solution shows that BAFF 60-mer formation does not require an N-terminal histidine tag. In contrast, two constructs containing an N-terminal myc tag (myc-Q136-BAFF-N242Q and myc-Q136) were shown to be exclusively trimeric by analytical gel filtration and GF-LS with molecular weights from light scattering of 54,600 ± 3000 and 55,800 ± 3000 (Table 1).

To investigate the pH dependence of BAFF 60-mer formation, we dialyzed A134-BAFF-N242Q in a series of buffers with pH values ranging from 5.0 to 8.0. The oligomeric state of BAFF was then determined by analytical gel filtration as shown in Figure 3A. At pH 8.0 very little trimeric BAFF was detected; the protein eluted largely as an oligomer with an apparent molecular weight greater than...
dissociation properties in acidic conditions strongly suggest that naturally produced and processed BAFF can form 60-mers.

In the presence of anti-IgM antibody, BAFF costimulates the proliferation of B cells in vitro (3). Figure 5A shows that oligomeric A134-BAFF is more efficacious than trimeric myc-Q136-BAFF in inducing B cell proliferation in vitro. Interestingly, the mutation H218A, which abolished 60-mer formation, resulted in activity that was identical to that of myc-Q136-BAFF (Figure 5A). Thus, myc-Q136-BAFF and A134-BAFF-H218A represent forms of BAFF that are unable to form a 60-mer but retain biological activity.

To test whether the difference in functional activity observed between 60-mer and trimeric BAFF is due to a
physiological form of BAFF is a trimer like other TNF family members, but the subject of debate. While some investigators believe that the physiological form of BAFF is a trimer like other TNF family members, this is not universally accepted. The solution structure of BAFF at physiological pH is a trimer, as confirmed by crystallography and in solution using a construct containing an amino-terminal histidine tag. In their co-crystallization of BAFF with BAFFR, Kim et al. used untagged A134-BAFF which was co-expressed in insect cells with dimeric BAFFR-Fc. In this crystal structure BAFF appears as a 60-mer. However, they did not establish that this untagged BAFF can form a 60-mer in solution or in the absence of BAFFR-Fc. Zhukovsky et al. observed that, of the three constructs His-A134-BAFF, Flag-A134-BAFF, and untagged A134-BAFF, only His-A134-BAFF formed 60-mers in solution. They concluded that the ability of BAFF to form 60-mers is an artifact resulting from the presence of the histidine tag.

In contrast to the results of Zhukovsky et al., we found by analytical gel filtration and light scattering that purified untagged glycosylated A134-BAFF or aglycosylated A134-BAFF-N242Q proteins form 60-mers in solution. It is not clear why our results differ from those of Zhukovsky and co-workers. We used a different expression system, P. pastoris versus E. coli, and different buffer conditions, pH 7.5, no MgCl₂ versus pH 8.0, 25 mM MgCl₂. To rule out buffer differences as a factor, we analyzed our untagged A134-BAFF-N242Q by analytical gel filtration using the same buffer conditions as Zhukovsky et al. and found that the protein still eluted as a 60-mer (data not shown).

The pH dependence of 60-mer formation by His-A134-BAFF was ascribed, by Zhukovsky et al., to the ionization state of the histidine tag, while Hong et al. suggested that the pH dependence was instead due to the ionization of histidine 218 in the DE loop. Our observation that mutating histidine 218 to alanine abolished 60-mer formation even at pH 8.0–9.0 (Figure 3B, data not shown) is consistent with the pH dependence being due to ionization of histidine 218.

BAFF is the only TNF family member that has an extended DE loop (16, 18). This region is involved in trimer–trimer interactions and was reported to be essential for BAFF activity though not for receptor binding (18). The importance of the DE loop region in the formation of the 60-mer is underlined by the finding that murine APRIL, which lacks this long DE loop, is a trimeric protein at pH 7.5, no MgCl₂ versus pH 8.0, 25 mM MgCl₂. Furthermore, our finding that mutating histidine 218 to alanine abolished 60-mer formation even at pH 8.0–9.0 (Figure 3B, data not shown) is consistent with the pH dependence being due to ionization of histidine 218.

In contrast to the results of Zhukovsky et al., we found by analytical gel filtration and light scattering that purified untagged glycosylated A134-BAFF or aglycosylated A134-BAFF-N242Q proteins form 60-mers in solution. It is not clear why our results differ from those of Zhukovsky and co-workers. We used a different expression system, P. pastoris versus E. coli, and different buffer conditions, pH 7.5, no MgCl₂ versus pH 8.0, 25 mM MgCl₂. To rule out buffer differences as a factor, we analyzed our untagged A134-BAFF-N242Q by analytical gel filtration using the same buffer conditions as Zhukovsky et al. and found that the protein still eluted as a 60-mer (data not shown).

The pH dependence of 60-mer formation by His-A134-BAFF was ascribed, by Zhukovsky et al., to the ionization state of the histidine tag, while Hong et al. (24) suggested that the pH dependence was instead due to the ionization of histidine 218 in the DE loop. The fact that our untagged BAFF shows the same pH dependence for 60-mer formation as that reported for the Histag protein indicates that the histidine tag is not solely responsible for this effect. Furthermore, our finding that mutating histidine 218 to alanine abolished 60-mer formation even at pH 8.0–9.0 (Figure 3B, data not shown) is consistent with the pH dependence being due to ionization of histidine 218.

The solution structure of BAFF at physiological pH is a virus-like 60-mer containing 20 homotrimers (18). Formation of the BAFF 60-mer has been demonstrated by crystallography and in solution using a construct containing an amino-terminal histidine tag (18). In their cocrystallization of BAFF with BAFFR, Kim et al. used untagged A134-BAFF which was co-expressed in insect cells with dimeric BAFFR-Fc (23). In this crystal structure BAFF appears as a 60-mer. However, they did not establish that this untagged BAFF can form a 60-mer in solution or in the absence of BAFFR-Fc. Zhukovsky et al. observed that, of the three constructs His-A134-BAFF, Flag-A134-BAFF, and untagged A134-BAFF, only His-A134-BAFF formed 60-mers in solution. They concluded that the ability of BAFF to form 60-mers is an artifact resulting from the presence of the histidine tag.
60-mers, we attempted to pack additional N-terminal amino acids of this construct inside the virus-like 60-mer structure reported previously (18) using protein structure modeling techniques (26, 27). The calculation (data not shown) suggested that the N-terminal extension of myc-Q136-BAFF is incompatible with virus-like assembly due to steric crowding, in contrast to the smaller His tag extension, providing a structural rationale for myc-Q136-BAFF being a trimer in solution. The fact that the N-terminal histidine-tagged BAFF forms a 60-mer suggests that the energetic stabilization derived from trimer–trimer interaction mediated by the DE loop overcomes any electrostatic repulsion arising from the proximity of multiple histidine tags in the core of the 60-mer.

For applications where an exclusively trimeric BAFF protein is desired, myc-Q136-BAFF could be the construct of choice. Previous works that used this construct (12, 13, 15, 16) presumably reflect the properties of the BAFF trimer and are not influenced by the possibility of 60-mer formation. The A134-BAFF-H218A provides an alternative construct that it is solely trimeric and yet retains functional activity.

Having exclusively trimeric BAFF constructs in hand, we were able to compare the activities of the trimer versus the 60-mer in receptor binding and functional assays. We find that the BAFF trimer and 60-mer bind monomeric BAFFR with similar affinities. This result is entirely consistent with the cocrystal structure of BAFF and BAFFR in that the crystal showed that the receptor binding sites on the BAFF 60-mer were fully accessible. There is, therefore, no structural reason to expect that the monovalent affinity of the receptor for the ligand would change between the trimer and 60-mer. The structural and binding data also argue against the existence of any significant allosteric cooperativity between the different receptor binding sites in the BAFF 60-mer.

Most TNF family ligands are type II membrane proteins, but many can be cleaved by metalloproteinases or furin-like convertases and released as soluble molecules. This is the case for TNF, Fas ligand (FasL), and BAFF, among others. Reports have shown that soluble FasL is less cytotoxic than membrane-bound FasL and that oligomerization of FasL is required to trigger activity (28, 29). Unlike trimeric soluble FasL, trimeric BAFF has been shown previously to be active and able to costimulate B cell proliferation in vitro (Figure 5A) (12, 13). We reasoned that oligomeric BAFF could be more active in inducing B cell proliferation than trimeric BAFF due to the ability of 60-mer BAFF to engage more receptors at the plasma membrane, which in turn might induce a stronger signal. Our results indicate that the BAFF 60-mer is more active than the BAFF trimer in a B cell proliferation assay in vitro. Control experiments showed that the 60-mer remained intact when the protein was incubated in cell culture media (RPMI) at concentrations comparable to those used in this cell-based assay. The fact that the activity difference seen with the BAFF 60-mer is manifested in the amplitude of the response rather than its concentration dependence suggests that the increased multivalency of the 60-mer affects the quality of the signal transduced rather than just the avidity of binding. Although 60-mer formation has not been demonstrated for murine BAFF, sequence alignment shows that the DE loop is conserved between the human and murine proteins. Mouse models may therefore be useful to test the physiological relevance of BAFF 60-mers.

In conclusion, the ability of BAFF to form 60-mers in solution at physiological pH is an intrinsic property of this protein, and results in enhanced functional activity in vitro. Furthermore, our analysis of BAFF secreted by 293T cells transfected with full-length protein, and particularly by U937 cells that naturally express BAFF, shows that when expressed endogenously and processed naturally BAFF indeed forms 60-mers. These findings show that BAFF 60-mer formation is not restricted to truncated protein expressed ectopically, and therefore support the idea that the more active, 60-mer form of BAFF might occur in vivo and thus might have a physiological role.
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