

Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL serval.unil.ch

Author Manuscript

Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication

This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

Title: Exposure to field vs. storage wheat dust: different consequences on respiratory symptoms and immune response among grain workers.

Authors: Barrera C, Wild P, Dorribo V, Savova-Bianchi D, Laboissière A, Pralong JA, Danuser B, Krief P, Millon L, Reboux G, Niculita-Hirzel H

Journal: International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health

Year: 2018 Aug

Issue: 91

Volume: 6

Pages: 745-757

DOI: [10.1007/s00420-018-1322-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-018-1322-7)

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.

Exposure to field vs. storage wheat dust: different consequences on respiratory symptoms and immune response among grain workers

Coralie Barrera, ^{1,2}

Pascal Wild, ^{3,4}

Victor Dorribo, ⁵

Dessislava Savova-Bianchi, ³

Audrey Laboissière, ^{1,2}

Jacques A. Pralong, ⁵

Brigitta Danuser, ⁵

Peggy Krief, ⁵

Laurence Millon, ^{1,2}

Gabriel Reboux, ^{1,2}

Hélène Niculita-Hirzel, ³ ✉

Phone +41 (0)21 314 71 47

Email Helene.Hirzel@hospvd.ch

¹ UMR6249 Chrono-Environnement, University of Franche-Comté, Besançon, France

² Parasitology-Mycology Department, University Hospital of Besançon, Besançon, France

³ Service of Occupational Hygiene, Institute for Work and Health, University of Lausanne and Geneva, Epalinges-Lausanne, Switzerland

⁴ Scientific Management, INRS, Nancy, France

⁵ Service of Occupational Medicine, Institute for Work and Health, University of Lausanne and Geneva, Epalinges-Lausanne, Switzerland

Received: 11 September 2017 / Accepted: 22 May 2018

Abstract

Purpose

The aim of this study was to understand the differential acute effects of two distinct wheat-related dusts, such as field or stored wheat dust handling, on workers' health and how those effects evolved at 6 month intervals.

AQ1

Methods

Exposure, work-related symptoms, changes in lung function, and blood samples of 81 workers handling wheat and 61 controls were collected during the high exposure season and 6 months after. Specific IgG, IgE, and precipitins against 12 fungi isolated from wheat dust were titrated by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, dissociation-enhanced lanthanide fluorescence immunoassay, and electrosyneresis. The level of fungi was determined in the workers' environment. Levels of exhaled fraction of nitrogen monoxide ($F_E\text{NO}$) and total IgE were obtained. Exposure response associations were investigated by mixed logistic and linear regression models.

Results

The recent exposure to field wheat dust was associated with a higher prevalence for five of six self-reported airway symptoms and with a lower $F_E\text{NO}$ than those in the control population. Exposure to stored

wheat dust was only associated with cough. No acute impact of exposure on respiratory function was observed. Exposure to field wheat dust led to workers' sensitization against the three field fungi *Aureobasidium*, *Cryptococcus*, and *Phoma*, although exposure to storage wheat dust was associated with tolerance. The level of Ig remained stable 6 months after exposure.

Conclusion

The clinical picture of workers exposed to field or storage wheat dust differed. The systematic characterization of the aerosol microbial profile may help to understand the reasons for those differences.

Keywords

Grain workers
Cattle raisers
Respiratory symptoms
Occupational wheat dust exposure
Fungi-specific immunoglobulins

Introduction

Grain workers and cattle raisers are chronically exposed to the dust generated during wheat handling, which leads to airway symptoms and declining lung function (Dorribo et al. 2015). Operators handling grain or straw may complain of a chronic cough that can be accompanied in the short-term by a scratchy throat (Dorribo et al. 2015) or by a decline in lung function over the long term (Dorribo et al. 2015) and an increased incidence of chronic diseases, such as asthma (Rask-Andersen 2011; Kline et al. 2000), chronic bronchitis (Jouneau et al. 2012), or farmer's lung disease (Dalphin et al. 2009). To avoid the development of such pathologies, collective and personal protection has been greatly improved in developed countries to decrease the exposure level to grain dust by workers (Spankie and Cherrie 2012; Halstensen et al. 2013). However, the increased risk of morbidity and mortality in this worker population continue to be a concern (Eduard et al. 2009; Dorribo et al. 2015). The prevalence of acute airway symptoms continues to increase

with the level of exposure to dust during wheat handling even if its concentration seldom exceed 4 mg m^{-3} , which is the occupational exposure limit defined by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (Dorribo et al. 2015; Halstensen et al. 2013).

Grain workers and cattle raisers are exposed to different levels of wheat dust throughout the year. Grain workers perform high exposure activities during summer when they handle freshly harvested grain in the field, at the grain terminal, or on the farms. The remainder of the year they are exposed to wheat dust during transfer of stored grain. In contrast, cattle raisers might be exposed to wheat dust year round during the handling of stored wheat straw bales and the spreading of straw as bedding for cows (Roussel et al. 2011b). The level of exposure to dust varies depending on the task involving grain or straw handling, use of mechanical assistance, the presence of collective protective equipment, and wearing of personal protective equipment (Dorribo et al. 2015; Halstensen et al. 2013).

Dust generated during wheat handling contains a large diversity of components capable of causing airway inflammation and an allergic response. These components could be derived from microorganisms, such as endotoxins, β -1,3-glucans, mycotoxins, species-specific allergens, or other biological materials (plant fragments, insect, mite and rodent body parts, pesticides, and soil particles) (Halstensen et al. 2013). Endotoxin exposure was the first etiological factor proposed to explain the biological effects caused by grain dust exposure (Jagiello et al. 1996). Since then, respiratory symptoms have been shown to have a stronger association with the air's fungal spore content than with endotoxin (Straumfors et al. 2016). Fungi act as irritants, toxins, aeroallergens, or pathogens that cause infection depending on underlying disease, species, and form (Wiszniewska et al. 2013; Vacher et al. 2015; Kuhn and Ghannoum 2003). Certain molds abundant in freshly harvested wheat are known to be allergenic, such as *Alternaria alternata* and *Cladosporium cladosporioides* (Pellissier et al. 2016; Madsen et al. 2015; Flannigan 1978; Gora et al. 2009; Swan and Crook 1998), or toxigenic, such as *Fusarium graminearum* and *Fusarium culmorum*, two mycotoxin-producing fungal species (Pellissier et al. 2016). The microflora of freshly harvested wheat differs from that of

stored grain and straw which contains “storage fungi”, such as *Penicillium brevicompactum*, and *Eurotium amstelodami* (Swan and Crook 1998). This variation in microbial flora between field and stored wheat might explain the contradictory results between studies on the health effects of grain dust (May et al. 2012). To test this hypothesis, we compared the health effects induced by handling freshly harvested wheat (called hereafter field wheat) to those induced by handling stored wheat. Despite the high microbial diversity of these dusts, only a few biological agents have been associated with the development of respiratory diseases in these occupational populations. We also chose a set of representative biological agents and researched how healthy wheat workers’ immune systems respond to such a complex combination of microbial species and how long the response is maintained after exposure. Thus, grain workers and cattle raisers were visited at 6 month intervals to determine their health status, collect blood to screen for sensitization markers (specific IgE, IgG, and precipitins) to environmental fungi, and to sample settled dust looking for antigens.

Materials and methods

Study design

A longitudinal study was conducted on two different wheat working populations: a population of grain workers handling large volumes of field wheat and a population of cattle raisers handling large volumes of stored wheat. The acute effects of inhaling wheat dust on the respiratory health of those two worker populations were determined by comparison to a reference population with no occupational exposure to wheat dust during the study period. Each population was seen twice between August 2012 and June 2013; the first time during the period when the largest quantities of wheat grain or straw are handled (field or storage), and the second time 6 months later. All participants were examined at work. Detailed information on occupational exposure and work-related acute symptoms were obtained by questionnaire. The examinations included spirometry and a measure of the fraction of exhaled nitric oxide ($F_E\text{NO}$), which is a non-invasive marker for early detection of airway inflammation caused by exposure to organic dust (Sundblad et

al. 2002; Moen et al. 2016). Blood was systematically sampled to determine sensitization markers (specific IgE, IgG, and precipitins) to specific biological agents in field or stored wheat. The presence of the respective fungal species in the workers' environment was researched in settled dust by high-throughput sequencing and those results were described in detail elsewhere (Pellissier et al. 2016).

Subjects

The enrollment and cross-sectional survey of the populations handling field or stored wheat have been described previously (Dorribo et al. 2015). Exclusion criteria were an ongoing corticosteroid or immunosuppressant treatment, obesity ($BMI > 40 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$), difficulty in understanding the questionnaire, or current inclusion in another study protocol. From the 149 volunteers recruited in 2012 from the Vaud region, Switzerland, 142 accepted to participate in the overall protocol, including 32 grain workers handling large quantities of field wheat, 42 handling large quantities of stored straw, and 68 workers not occupationally exposed to wheat dust during the study period (61 subjects employed at different hospital facilities of the university hospital of Lausanne and seven grain farmers). The characteristics of the study population are given in Table 1. The Human Research Ethics Committee from Vaud, Switzerland approved this study (Protocol 130/12). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Table 1 Please, widen the second column in order to have all numbers on a line (4th line and shrink the third one.

Characteristics of the study population

	Controls	Exposed to wheat dust in V1 or/and V2	Exposed to field wheat in V1	Exposed to stored wheat in V1
			And exposed	And expos

V1 first medical examination, V2 second medical examination, BMI body mass index

			But not exposed in V2	to stored wheat in V2	But not exposed in V2	to store whea in V2
Volunteer number	68	74	12	20	10	32
Age, mean (SD)	40.6 (10.4)	41.4 (12.3)	42.3 (13.8)	39.5 (12.8)	44.8 (9.6)	41.2 (12.5)
Male gender, <i>n</i> (%)	68 (100)	73 (99)	12 (100)	19 (95)	10 (100)	32 (100)
BMI, mean (SD)	24.6 (4.0)	26.0 (3.0)	26.2 (3.6)	26.7 (2.7)	25.0 (3.0)	25.8 (3.1)
Smoking status at V1						
Smokers, <i>n</i> (%)	11 (16)	24 (32)	3 (25)	12 (60)	2 (20)	7 (22)
Cigarettes/day, median (IQR)	2.0 (12.0)	12.6 (14.8)	10.0 (9.3)	20.0 (10.0)	10.3 (19.4)	10.0 (7.4)
Ex-smokers, <i>n</i> (%)	23 (34)	13 (18)	3 (25)	2 (10)	2 (20)	6 (19)
Smoking status at V2						
Smokers, <i>n</i> (%)	17 (25)	27 (36)	4 (33)	12 (60)	4 (40)	7 (22)
Cigarettes/day, median (IQR)	4.3 (14.0)	10.0 (15.0)	8.5 (9.0)	17.5 (10.0)	5.7 (14.3)	5.0 (9.9)
Ex-smokers, <i>n</i> (%)	19 (28)	11 (15)	3 (25)	2 (10)	0 (0)	6 (19)
Duration (in hours) of wheat-related tasks in the 6 weeks preceding						
V1, mean (SD)	0.0 (0.0)	83.4 (102.2)	165.9 (108.7)	151.0 (119.0)	18.4 (11.7)	30.4 (43.0)
V2, mean (SD)	0.0 (0.0)	18.2 (30.8)	0.0 (0.0)	38.4 (41.0)	0.0 (0.0)	18.2 (26.5)
Number of subjects exposed to more than 4 mg m ⁻³						
Before V1, <i>n</i> (%)	0 (0)	8 (11)	2 (17)	2 (10)	0 (0)	4 (13)
Before V2, <i>n</i> (%)	0 (0)	8 (11)	0 (0)	4 (20)	0 (0)	4 (13)
<i>V1</i> first medical examination, <i>V2</i> second medical examination, <i>BMI</i> body mass index						

Clinical atopy, <i>n</i> (%)	4 (6)	3 (4)	0 (0)	2 (10)	1 (10)	0 (0)
Total IgE > 100 UI/ml serum, <i>n</i> (%)	18 (13)	20 (14)	5 (21)	8 (20)	3 (15)	4 (6)

V1 first medical examination, *V2* second medical examination, *BMI* body mass index



Collection of work-related symptoms

The participants' interview was done by a trained nurse following a questionnaire adapted from the European Coal and Steel Community questionnaire (Minette 1989) that included questions on smoking habits, symptoms of the airways, eyes, and skin, as well as gastrointestinal and systemic symptoms experienced during or after work. Self-declaration of cough, wheezing, dyspnea, runny/stuffy nose, sneezing and scratchy throat was considered acute respiratory symptoms. Systemic symptoms were defined as the presence of any of the following: headache, fatigue, muscles aches, or fever. Chronic symptoms were defined as the presence of cough or phlegm symptoms for at least 3 months during the last 2 years. Usual respiratory problems were defined as the presence of any usual subjective, non-spontaneously reversible respiratory troubles reported by the subject. Work-related symptoms were defined as any symptoms present during work that improved or disappeared at night, during the weekend, or on holidays.

Clinical atopy was obtained from the questionnaire as the presence of any allergic symptoms or disease during childhood (asthma, eczema, or rash). Total IgE was quantifiably assessed for all non-specific acute immune reactions.

Lung function

Spirometry was performed using the EasyOne® device, (NDD, Zürich, Switzerland) following the 2005 American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society (ERS) guidelines. Three reproducible measurements for the following parameters were recorded: forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁), peak

expiratory flow, and the Tiffeneau index (FEV1/FVC%). According to the ERS guidelines, these parameters are expressed as the percentage between observed values and predicted values by sex, age, and height. All study participants were Caucasian, so that no adjustment on race was necessary.

Exhaled nitric oxide

$F_{E}NO$ was used as a surrogate marker for eosinophilic airway inflammation (Dweik et al. 2011). $F_{E}NO$ was measured by an electrochemical analyzer (NIOX MINO device; Aerocrine[®], Stockholm, Sweden), as described previously (Dorribo et al. 2015).

Assessment of exposure to wheat dust

A detailed occupational history, including job title, workplace, start and stop dates, technological changes during their career, tasks undertaken in the previous 6 months with their duration and frequency, the collective and personal respiratory protective equipment used, and plants handled was obtained by face-to-face questionnaire with each participant during the first visit after the medical examination (V1). At the second visit (V2), only the recent occupational exposure, including tasks undertaken within the last 6 months with their duration and frequency, plants handled, and the collective and personal protective devices used was questioned. The workplace was systematically visited on V1 and V2 by an occupational hygiene specialist who estimated the exposure level of each participant to wheat dust during each wheat-related task in the previous 6 weeks based on a task-exposure matrix established in a previous study (Dorribo et al. 2015).

The following formulas have been applied for recent exposure to wheat dust (E_{6w}) and cumulative chronic exposure over a career (E_{tot}):

$$E_{6w} = [(h \times d_{6w})_{task1} \times l_{task1} + [(h \times d_{6w})_{task2} \times l_{task2} \\ + [\dots + [(h \times d_{6w})_{taskn} \times l_{taskn} \\ E_{tot} = [(h \times d_y \times y_{tot})_{task1} \times l_{task1} + [(h \times d_y \times y_{tot})_{task2} \times l_{task2} \\ + [\dots + [(h \times d_y \times y_{tot})_{taskn} \times l_{taskn}$$

where h is the number of exposed hours per day, d_{6w} is the number of days in which the task was performed in the 6 weeks before the medical examination, $l_{\text{task}n}$ is the level of exposure to wheat dust during the task “ n ” estimated by Dorribo et al. (2015), d_y is the number of days in which the task was performed per year and y_{tot} is the number of years in which the task was performed over a career.

To estimate possible confounding exposure to dust generated during handling of other plants, such as hay, we computed separate cumulative exposure indicators under the assumption that the level of those dusts was similar to that of wheat dust when similar tasks were accomplished.

Assessment of exposure to microbes

Settled dust was collected in the environment of each participant with the electrostatic dust collector (EDC) described by Noss et al. (2008) and validated for the efficiency of microbe quantification by molecular biology as described by Scherer et al. (2014). The EDC was exposed to the air on a horizontal surface between 1.20 and 1.60 m above the floor at the workplace or in an occupied room. Dust was allowed to settle over a 6-week period starting with each medical examination. Participants returned the EDC by mail at the end of the sampling period.

An EDC washing step was performed as described previously (Scherer et al. 2014), as well as DNA extraction, generation of internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) amplicons, and their high-throughput sequencing (Pellissier et al. 2016). Briefly, each wipe contained in the EDC was washed with a 0.1% Tween 80 solution for 10 min in a Stomacher™ (AES®, Combourg, France). The collected liquid was centrifuged for 30 min at 8500× g . The pellet was mechanically disrupted with a Tissue Lyser (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) in the first buffer of a FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedical, Zurich, Switzerland). Then, total DNA was extracted according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The ITS1 region was amplified using the forward primer ITS1F and the reverse primer ITS2 and paired-end sequenced on a GS FLX instrument with the FLX Titanium reagents at Microsynth (Balgach, Switzerland). The sequenced paired-end reads were demultiplexed and quality filtered using in-house scripts. The

filtered reads were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at the 97% similarity threshold using QIIME v.1.7.0 (Caporaso et al. 2010). The OTUs that fit at 100% to the following IDs AM161136, AJ853759, AJ244269, AM286197, LK022839, AJ269841, AJ853761, AJ491291, AJ491293, AJ608949, AJ493582, and AJ853460 were identified with the CLUSTALW alignment program and considered to correspond to the respective fungal species: *Acremonium strictum*, *Alternaria alternata*, *Aureobasidium pullulans*, *Cladosporium cladosporioides*, *Cryptococcus victoriae*, *Epicoccum nigrum*, *Eurotium amstelodami*, *Fusarium culmorum*, *Fusarium graminearum*, *Penicillium chrysogenum*, *Phoma* sp., and *Sporobolomyces roseus*. The number of reads of each OTU per sample was considered an indicator of the corresponding species abundance.

Antigen extract preparation

Strains of 11 of the 12 targeted species were successfully isolated by culture from wheat dust on one of the following media: DG18, Malt-agar, salt malt, PDA or Chromagar candida at 20 or 37 °C, Sabouraud at 12 °C, R8 at 52 °C, and *Actinomyces* Difco at 30 °C. The only *Cryptococcus* isolated from the environmental samples was *Cryptococcus albidus*. This strain was used to prepare the antigen. The crude-extracted antigens were produced as described previously by Reboux et al. (2007) and the protein extract was purified as described by Roussel et al. (2011a).

Blood sampling

Blood was collected at the workplace in lithium-heparin plasma separator tubes and left at room temperature for 30 min before a 10-min centrifugation at 3500 rpm. In the lab, the plasma was gently transferred into new tubes without additives and maintained at – 80 °C until analysis. The present allergic status was assessed by quantifying total serum IgE using the Immuno-Cap-100 System (Phadia, Uppsala, Sweden). An ImmunoCAP value ≥ 0.35 kU_A/L was considered positive. Total IgE levels > 100 kU_A/L were considered elevated (Wiszniewska et al. 2013).

Precipitin analysis

Serum precipitins (precipitating antibody–antigen complex visualized by Coomassie Blue staining) were investigated by electrosyneresis on cellulose acetate with the crude extract antigens from each of the species of interest as described previously (Reboux et al. 2006). All results were read blindly by two operators. Two arcs of precipitins were chosen as the positive cut-off point.

Antigen-specific immunoglobulin analysis

Fungi-specific immunoglobulin (Ig) G antibodies to the purified protein extract from each of the species of interest were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) protocol described previously (Roussel et al. 2011a). Fungi-specific IgE antibodies to the purified protein extract from the 12 different species were measured in sera of participants by dissociation-enhanced lanthanide fluorescence immunoassay (DELFI[®]), as described previously (Barrera et al. 2016). All experiments were performed in triplicate. Results are expressed as an optical density value for the ELISA antibody measurement and as Europium counts for fluorometric measurement by DELFI[®] and were normalized to the value of a reference serum. The ELISA reference serum was composed of five sera from patients with Farmer's lung disease confirmed by clinicians. The DELFI[®] reference serum was composed of sera of patients with multiple allergies confirmed by clinicians. Patient sensitivity to one particular Ig was defined as negative, positive, or borderline depending on the sample to reference ratio falling below 0.95, above 1.1 or between these intervals, respectively.

Statistical analysis

Four types of outcome categories are reported as a function of exposure in the statistical analysis. The first was the reported work-related symptoms, including cough, wheezing, dyspnea, lower airway symptoms (at least one of the three preceding), sneezing, scratchy throat, runny or stuffy nose, upper airway symptoms (at least one of the three preceding), and systemic symptoms (any non-specific symptom related to work except the former, headache, and fever). These symptoms, recorded at both visits, were analyzed using a two-level

logistic model with the subject ID as a random effect adjusted for smoking, season, and recruitment type (hospital-based controls vs. non-exposed grain farmers). Four statistical models were considered. The first model (model 1) included recent occupational exposure to field wheat dust or stored wheat dust (yes vs. no), the second model (model 2) included the maximal level of recent occupational exposure to field or stored wheat dust coded as 1: no recent exposure, 2: exposed but to $< 4 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ of personal inspirable dust, 3: exposed to $> 4 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$. The third model (model 3) considered the duration of wheat-related tasks in hours with a distinction between those handling field or stored wheat in the 6 weeks prior to the medical examination. The fourth model (model 4) included E_{6w} , which was the overall recent occupational exposure to wheat field or stored dust. The second type of outcome considered was the humoral response to a recent exposure to specific fungal antigens. A positive response was considered when the specific Ig concentrations were higher than the internal reference by 10% and when at least two precipitin arcs were observed. These outcomes were again analyzed using a two-level logistic model with subject ID as a random effect adjusted for smoking and season following the same models as those used for the symptoms. The third category of outcomes was log-transformed $F_{E}NO$, which was analyzed using a linear mixed model with subject ID as a random effect adjusted for smoking and atopy following the same occupational exposure models. The fourth outcome was FEV_1 , which was expressed as the difference between its value in L and the predicted value by age, sex, and height according to the ERS reference values. This was analyzed using a linear mixed model with subject ID as a random effect adjusted for smoking category and pack-years. The same models as before were applied. A supplementary model was fitted for the last two outcomes by considering estimated lifelong cumulative exposure (E_{tot}) as an independent variable. All analyses were carried using the Stata 14 statistical software (StataCorp LP, TX USA).

Results

Exposure assessment

A major difference was observed between the occupational exposure profile to wheat dust of grain workers and cattle raisers. Overall, the

grain workers handled field grain intensively during the short period of wheat harvesting of about 10.5 ± 3.5 h during 15 days. Six months later, 62.5% performed stored wheat, grain or straw tasks. In contrast, cattle raisers were exposed to wheat dust during handling of stored straw which was a regular activity over the entire year for 76.2% of them (Table 1). In general, the duration of wheat-related tasks drastically decreased between the first (V1) and second visit (Table 1), particularly for the workers handling field wheat at V1. The level of exposure was not dependent on the type of wheat handled but on the type of task and the presence of collective protective equipment (Table 1). The most exposing activities (i.e., $> 4 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$) were machines/infrastructure cleaning and direct contact with wheat during harvesting or unloading (absence of collective protective equipment). However, 90% of the volunteers accomplished wheat-related tasks that exposed them to $< 4 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$.

The frequencies of the antigens in the volunteers' environment were significantly different among dust types only for *Eurotium amstelodami* ($p = 0.025$), which was less frequent in field wheat dust than in stored wheat dust or house dust. However, the abundance of most antigens differed significantly among dusts. *Aureobasidium pullulans*, *Cryptococcus victoriae*, and *Fusarium* species were more abundant in the field wheat dust, although *E. amstelodami* was more abundant in the stored wheat dust (Table 2). Three of the four antigens with a very low mean number of reads corresponded to antigens with a low frequency in the samples ($< 12.5\%$ of samples). Notably, the most abundant antigens among those described here (*Alternaria alternata*, *Cladosporium cladosporioides*, and *Epicoccum nigrum*) were also less abundant in stored wheat dust than in house dust (Table 2).

Table 2

Frequency and abundance of antigens in different types of dusts

	Presence, expressed in %			Abundance, expressed by the mean number of reads \pm SD		
	House dust	Field wheat dust	Stored wheat dust	House dust	Field wheat dust	Stored wheat dust
Ag more abundant in stored wheat dust Ag more abundant in field wheat dust						
<i>Aureobasidium pullulans</i>	95.4	100.0	93.6	19.69 \pm 16.91	52.36 \pm 53.46	19.09 \pm 25.12
<i>Cryptococcus victoriae</i>	97.7	100.0	95.2	26.19 \pm 50.99	115.9 \pm 71.83	50.79 \pm 61.46
<i>Fusarium culmorum/ fusarium</i> Please write "Fusarium" with a capital letter <i>graminearum</i>	79.1	100.0	87.1	8.51 \pm 16.31	51.95 \pm 47.70	21.60 \pm 46.25
Ag more abundant in stored wheat dust Ag more abundant in stored wheat dust						
<i>Eurotium amstelodami</i>	39.5	18.8	54.8	0.66 \pm 1.17	0.34 \pm 1.01	1.58 \pm 2.67
Ag less abundant in stored wheat dust Ag less abundant in stored wheat dust						
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	97.7	100.0	96.8	112.62 \pm 105.90	77.18 \pm 43.13	34.29 \pm 42.74
<i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i>	100.0	100.0	100.0	302.98 \pm 175.30	293.40 \pm 152.38	170.35 \pm 222.09
<i>Epicoccum nigrum</i>	100.0	100.0	96.8	220.08 \pm 185.11	217.15 \pm 142.52	135.31 \pm 149.36
Ag with a low level in all tested dusts Ag with a low level in all tested dusts						
<i>Acremonium strictum</i>	11.6	12.5	11.3	0.24 \pm 0.87	0.27 \pm 0.99	0.86 \pm 7.03
<i>Penicillium brevicompactum</i>	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.05 \pm 0.30	0	0
<i>Phoma sp</i> Add a dot after "sp" and do	58.1	37.5	48.4	2.57 \pm 5.26	0.50 \pm 0.80	0.94 \pm 1.67

	Presence, expressed in %			Abundance, expressed by the mean number of reads \pm SD		
	House dust	Field wheat dust	Stored wheat dust	House dust	Field wheat dust	Stored wheat dust
not write "sp." in italics						
<i>Sporobolomyces roseus</i>	7.0	0.0	8.1	0.16 \pm 0.61	0	0.15 \pm 0.90

Population characteristics and self-reported symptoms

The participants were on average 42 years old at the first medical examination. None of the participants had a history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, or other chronic disease and none had suffered a respiratory tract infection during the 6 weeks prior to each medical examination. Among the workers recently exposed to wheat, 31% were current smokers, and 27% had elevated total IgE (> 100 UI/ml serum), although among controls 16% were current smokers and 21% had elevated total IgE (Table 1). Work-related respiratory symptoms due to wheat dust exposure were more common than ocular and cutaneous symptoms. Distinct significant associations between the type of dust generated by handling of field or stored wheat and the prevalence of the different respiratory symptoms were observed (Table 3). The prevalence of all declared lower airway symptoms, including cough, wheezing and dyspnea, as well as those of two upper airway symptoms, such as runny/stuffy nose and scratchy throat, and the systemic symptoms increased significantly with exposure level to the aerosols generated during handling of the field wheat, although only the prevalence of cough increased with the level of exposure to the aerosols generated during the handling of stored wheat. Interestingly, dyspnea also increased with the duration of the tasks related to field wheat. Significant increases in the prevalence of most of those respiratory symptoms, except cough and nose congestion was also associated with the abundance of *A. pullulans* and *C. victoriae* in the settled dust (data not shown).

Table 3 Please adjust the width of the columns in order to have the numbers written in t

Example:

1.00 [0.96–1.05]

or

1.00

[0.96–1.05]

Multiple two-level logistic regression models of declared symptoms with the subj
models with different exposure indices to wheat dust

Outcome	Exposure to field wheat dust [OR (95% CI)]				Expos CI]
	Yes/ n Write with a capital letter. "No" and not "no" ^{Model 1}	Level ^{Model 2}	Duration in the previous 6 weeks ^{Model 3}	E_{6w} ^{Model 4}	Yes /n with a c letter. " and not "no" c
Symptoms Delete superscript "a" ^a					
Lower airway respiratory symptoms Lower airway respiratory symptoms	9.76 [2.54 –37.49]***	5.22 [1.79 –15.20] **	1.04 [1.00-1.08]	2.39 [0.77 –7.41]	2.82 [1 –7.70]
Cough	6.88 [1.43 –33.27]*	3.91 [1.22 –12.53]*	1.00 [0.96 –1.05]	1.13 [0.72 –1.77]	5.10 [1 20.01]
Wheezing	5.44 [0.91 –32.50]	4.11 [1.06 –15.98]*	1.043 [0.993 –1.096]	1.60 [0.83 –3.06]	1.14 [0 –4.88]
Dyspnea	12.96 [1.65 –101.88]*	6.32 [1.55 –25.86] **	1.09 [1.01 –1.16]*	1.96 [0.94 –4.12]	1.34 [0 –6.37]
Systemic symptoms	21.23 [1.64 –275.23]*	6.24 [1.48 –26.24]*	1.02 [0.97 –1.08]	1.51 [0.92 –2.47]	4.68 [0 43.84]
Upper airway respiratory symptoms Upper airway	2.74 [0.78 –9.66]	2.46 [0.89 –6.81]	1.01 [0.97 –1.05]	1.72 [0.72 –4.13]	1.38 [0 –4.03]

“-” Lack of convergence due to the small sample size; odds ratio (OR) derived 1
exposed in the previous 6 weeks adjusted for age, smoking status, and season; E_{6w}
exposure to wheat dust in the last 6 weeks

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.005$

Outcome	Exposure to field wheat dust [OR (95% CI)]				Expos CI]
	Yes/ n Write with a capital letter. "No" and not "no" o ^{Model 1}	Level ^{Model} 2	Duration in the previous 6 weeks ^{Model} 3	E_{6w}^{Model} 4	Yes /n with a c letter. " and not "no" c
respiratory symptoms					
Runny/stuffy nose	5.59 [0.97 -32.17]	4.22 [1.07 -16.66]*	1.00 [0.95 -1.06]	2.21 [0.74 -6.59]	3.31 [0.97 -14.99]
Sneezing	3.01 [0.72 -12.67]	2.73 [0.93 -8.06]	1.04 [0.99 -1.08]	2.45 [0.87 -6.91]	0.80 [0.72 -2.98]
Scratchy throat	8.43 [1.19 -59.20]*	7.61 [1.71 -33.82] **	1.03 [0.98 -1.09]	3.08 [0.89 -10.61]	2.79 [0.97 -17.49]
“-” Lack of convergence due to the small sample size; odds ratio (OR) derived from model 1 comparing workers exposed in the previous 6 weeks adjusted for age, smoking status, and season; 1 = no exposure to wheat dust in the last 6 weeks					
* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.005$					



Effects on lung function and acute airway inflammation

FEV₁ measurements were not significantly different between workers handling wheat during the present study and controls and were not associated with recent exposure to wheat dust, regardless of whether the subjects handled field or stored wheat. Moreover, they were remarkably stable at the 6-month interval, even if exposure to organic aerosols decreased or stopped between the first and second medical examinations. Nevertheless, chronic exposure to wheat dust was significantly associated with a decline of FEV₁ in wheat workers (Table 4).

AQ2

Table 4 Please adjust the width of the column, they are too wide

Results of multiple linear mixed model of lung function parameter FEV1 and inflammatory marker $F_{E}NO$ with the subject ID as a random effect according to four models with different exposure indices to wheat dust

Variable	FEV1 ^a		$F_{E}NO$ ^b	
	Regression coefficient	<i>p</i> value	Regression coefficient	<i>p</i> value
Exposure to field wheat dust Exposure to field wheat dust				
Y/ Please replace "Y/N" by "Yes/No" N ^{Model 1}	- 0.037	0.531	- 0.432	< 0.001
Level ^{Model 2}	- 0.003	0.952	- 0.244	0.002
Duration in the last 6 weeks ^{Model 3}	0.000	0.580	- 0.001	0.004
E_{6w}^c , ^{Model 4}	0.009	0.713	0.009	0.713
Exposure to stored dust Exposure to stored dust				
Y/ Please replace "Y/N" by "Yes/No" N ^{Model 1}	- 0.012	0.841	- 0.031	0.721
Level ^{Model 2}	- 0.008	0.865	0.055	0.420
Duration in the last 6 weeks ^{Model 3}	0.001	0.193	0.002	0.219
E_{6w}^d , ^{Model 4}	0.029	0.658	0.029	0.170
E_{tot}	- 0.005	0.006	0.002	0.217
^a FEV1 is forced expiratory volume in 1 s expressed as observed–predicted in L; confounders are smoking categories (current, former, and non-smokers) as well as cumulative smoking in pack-years, season and recruitment type				
^b $F_{E}NO$ is the exhaled fraction of nitrogen monoxide expressed in ppb and is log transformed; confounders include season, recruitment type, smoking category, cumulative smoking, and atopy (IgE > 100 UI mL ⁻¹)				
^c E_{6w} corresponds to the indicator of recent exposure to wheat dust in the last 6 weeks				
^d E_{tot} corresponds to the indicator of cumulative chronic exposure over a career				

An increase in recent exposure to field wheat dust was associated with a decrease in $F_{E}NO$ concentration, even if the effect of smoking on $F_{E}NO$

was considered. However, no association was found between recent exposure to stored wheat dust and the $F_{E}NO$ values (Table 4).

Immune response to fungal aerosols generated by handling wheat

The sensitization of the wheat-exposed population and controls to 12 different fungal antigens associated with wheat was tested at a 6-month interval (V1 and V2). No decrease in the level of different specific immunoglobulins quantified in sera (specific IgG, specific IgE, and precipitins) was observed between V1 and V2 (data not shown). However, positivity to one type of specific immunoglobulin was dependent on the type of dust and the level of exposure (Table 5, see details in online Supplementary Tables I–III). Thus, the prevalence of positivity for *Cryptococcus albidus* IgE increased with the level of exposure to field wheat dust where this antigen has been found significantly more abundant than in other dusts. Notice the increased prevalence of *A. pullulans*, *C. albidus*, and *Phoma* sp. IgE with increased duration of field wheat handling.

AQ3

Table 5 Please adjust the width of the columns in order to have the numbers written in t
 Example:

1.00 [0.96–1.05]

or

1.00

[0.96–1.05]

Multiple two-level logistic regression models of sensitization outcomes with the s
 four models with different exposure indices to wheat dust

Outcome	Exposure to field wheat dust, OR [95% CI]				Exposure
	Yes/ n Write with a capital letter. "No" and not "no" ^{Model 1}	Level ^{Model 2}	Duration in the previous 6 weeks ^{Model 3}	$E_{6w}^{Model 4}$	
Positive for specific IgE Positive for specific IgE					
Ag more abundant in field wheat dust					
<i>Aureobasidium pullulans</i>	4.28 [0.82 -22.44]	2.00 [0.57 -7.03]	1.06 [1.01 -1.11]*	1.05 [0.54 -2.03]	1.14 [0.28 -4.66]
<i>Cryptococcus albidus</i> ^a	4.58 [0.79 -26.63]	5.19 [1.28 -21.01]*	1.07 [1.01 -1.13]*	3.82 [0.96 -15.23]	0.95 [0.20 -4.48]
Ag with a low level in all tested dusts					
<i>Penicillium brevicompactum</i>	0.16 [0.03 -0.90]*	0.16 [0.04 -0.73]*	0.97 [0.92 -1.02]	0.83 [0.44 -1.56]	0.88 [0.21 -3.68]
<i>Phoma sp</i>	3.14 [0.82 -12.02]	2.18 [0.75 -6.30]	1.06 [1.01 -1.12]*	2.25 [0.79 -6.45]	2.20 [0.72 -6.79]
Positive for specific IgG Positive for specific IgG					
Ag less abundant in storage wheat dust					
<i>Alternaria alternata</i>	2.94 [0.27 -31.54]	0.84 [0.13 -5.29]	1.02 [0.93 -1.11]	0.23 [0.03 -1.79]	0.26 [0.02 -2.79]
Positive for precipitins ($n \geq 2$ arcs)					
Ag more abundant in storage wheat dust					
<i>Eurotium amstelodami</i>	1.13 [0.27 -4.76]	1.27 [0.41 -3.98]	1.03 [0.97 -1.08]	1.44 [0.80 -2.59]	0.17 [0.05 -0.58]**
“-” Odds ratio (OR) not calculable; OR derived using the category of grain worked 6 weeks adjusted for age, smoking status, and season					
* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.005$					
^a <i>Cryptococcus albidus</i> was used instead of <i>C. victoriae</i> for immunological tests					



In contrast, the level of exposure to stored wheat dust was not associated to an increase in the prevalence of sensitization against *E. amstelodami*, which was the only antigen found more abundantly in stored wheat dust, but to a lower prevalence of precipitins produced against this antigen. Workers exposed to stored wheat dust also had a lower prevalence of positivity for the *A. alternata*-specific IgG.

AQ4

The proportion of subjects positive for at least one specific IgG was higher in the population never exposed to or not recently exposed to wheat dust (67%) than in the population that handled recently freshly harvested or stored wheat (respectively 35 and 42%) (Kruskal–Wallis, $p = 0.01$).

Discussion

This study showed difference in the effects of exposure to dust on the respiratory health and immune system depending on whether the aerosols were generated from handling of field or stored wheat. Thus, a significant dose–response relationship was found between levels of exposure to field wheat dust and most declared work-related acute symptoms (cough, wheezing, dyspnea, runny/stuffy nose, scratchy throat, and systemic symptoms), while the level of exposure to stored wheat dust was associated only with an increased prevalence of cough. Nevertheless, the level of exposure to both types of dust did not seem to be high enough to visibly impact the lung function parameters, but it was still sufficient, considering the level of field wheat dust to decrease the $F_E\text{NO}$ concentration. Nitric oxide (NO) is produced by various cells including airway epithelial cells and inflammatory cells under the action of inducible NO synthase enzyme which converts L-arginine to L-citrulline. Some components in field wheat dust, which remain to be identified, seemed to repress NO production by those cells in the lung even if we considered volunteer's age and tobacco exposure, two factors known to influence $F_E\text{NO}$ concentration (Xu et al. 2016). Indeed, such an effect has been already described after tobacco exposure, however, the mechanism is still unknown. This association was significant despite taking into account that exposure to field wheat dust was also associated with an increased prevalence of sensitization to

3 of the 12 environmental antigens tested, including *A. pullulans*, *C. albidus*, and *Phoma* *sp*. Write "sp." normally, not in italics ..., while exposure to stored wheat dust was associated with a lower prevalence of positivity for IgG or precipitins (composed essentially of IgG) to *A. alternata* and for *E. amstelodami*, respectively.

One important finding of the present study is the major difference in the frequency of self-declared symptoms between the population-handling field wheat and the one handling stored wheat. This difference observed between healthy populations suggests that distinct mechanisms might lead to the respiratory effects observed in grain workers or cattle raiser patients. Indeed, asthma-like syndrome was mostly described in grain elevator operators, although COPD has been reported in multiple cattle raisers (May et al. 2012). Different effects on inhalation of distinct grain dust components have been suggested previously in healthy grain worker populations (Straumfors et al. 2016). In this recent study, the self-reported airway symptoms were related to the individual microbial components in a complex manner. In particular, cough was equally associated with grain dust and fungal spores, although wheezing, chest tightness, and dyspnea were mostly associated with grain dust and nose congestion with different microbial components. In our study, the prevalence of all of those symptoms correlated with the level of exposure to field wheat dust. Interestingly, the abundance of two field fungi, *Aureobasidium* and *Cryptococcus* (Le Bars et al. 1973), has also been associated with an increased prevalence of most of those respiratory symptoms. However, although the prevalence of cough also increased with the level of exposure to stored dust, no association has been found between *Eurotium amstelodami* abundance, a storage fungus, and the prevalence of this symptom. Furthermore, such a difference in the clinical picture of workers exposed to field or stored wheat dust might explain the difference in the health effects described among studies (Smid et al. 1994; Dorribo et al. 2015; Straumfors et al. 2015). However, the frequent reporting of cough among workers handling wheat remains a constant between studies on healthy populations. Another common feature between our study and previous studies is a baseline decline in lung function with chronic exposure to wheat dust. Decreases in FVC among grain handlers have been significantly correlated with increasing grain dust exposure (doPico et

al. 1983). Cross-shift lung function changes have also been observed among grain workers (doPico et al. 1983) and wheat harvest workers (Viet et al. 2001), but not in the most recent studies (Straumfors et al. 2016). Our results are consistent with those published by Straumfors et al. (2016) and support a normal decline in lung function at a 6-month interval in grain workers and cattle raisers. Taken together, those results suggest that decreasing the level of exposure was not strong enough to affect lung function, but was still sufficient to induce acute symptoms during wheat harvesting. The question that arises now is whether an allergic, irritative, or toxic mechanism leads to this difference in the reaction to field or stored wheat dust.

To explore the allergic mechanism hypothesis, we investigated the immune responses of healthy grain workers and cattle raisers to different antigens present in their environment and compared the results to those of the general population. It was remarkable to find such a stable immune response at the 6 month interval. The immune system of grain workers reacted with an IgE response to an increase in *Cryptococcus* antigen abundance in their environment, but also to the duration of exposure to this antigen as well as to *Aureobasidium* and *Phoma*. Interestingly, the immune system of cattle raisers had an opposite response to increased exposure to this antigen. Thus, positivity for the precipitins against *E. amstelodami* in this population decreased with the exposure level to this antigen. This finding supports the hypothesis of clinical tolerance to environmental fungi in cattle raisers.

The immune response against *Cryptococcus* discriminated not only the grain workers from cattle raisers but also the overall workers recently exposed to wheat dust from the controls. *Cryptococcus* is an understudied yeast genus with regard to allergic disease due to difficulties with culturing (Simon-Nobbe et al. 2008). We also encountered this difficulty in the present study when screening for *C. albidus* sensitivity in the target population. Nevertheless, comparing the level of exposure to wheat dust helped link exposure data to health effects in a healthy worker population. Exposure to *C. albidus* has been described previously to induce an immune response in patient populations diagnosed with summer-type hypersensitivity pneumonitis (Miyagawa et al. 2000). Moreover, the presence of several

Cryptococcus spp. in the asthmatic environment has been associated with increased or decreased asthma severity depending on the species (Dannemiller et al 2016). Too few data are available to estimate the importance of exposure to environmental *Cryptococcus* and the development of respiratory pathology. However, frequent exposure of grain workers to such species makes them an interesting population to follow in further studies for a better understanding of the mechanism. Molecular methods are preferred to identify and quantify *Cryptococcus* in aerosols to resolve the role of exposure to this microbial agent in workers (Pitkaranta et al. 2008). Nevertheless, the role of other biological agents that differ in abundance between field and stored wheat dust cannot be excluded. An overall characterization of the field and storage microbial communities, with high-throughput sequencing tools, might be needed to answer this question.

Similarly, the causes of clinical tolerance to *E. amstelodami* by cattle raisers need to be further explored. Indeed, cattle raisers are exposed to multiple types of organic dust, such as hay dust, animal feed, and manure, which might be an important source of endotoxins. Endotoxins have already been proposed to have a protective effect on allergic sensitization (Portengen et al. 2005; Smit et al. 2008; Basinas et al. 2012). The tolerance phenomenon to acute proinflammatory agents other than endotoxins has also been suggested in pig farmers as an attenuation of clinical, physiological, and inflammatory airway responses (Sundblad et al. 2009). The clinical tolerance to repeated exposure to organic dust seems to be expressed by a decrease in the level of the IgE-allergen complex that binds to B cells and an increase in the levels of specific IgG and IgG4 (Jones et al. 2014), but also by a decrease in the acute inflammatory response (May et al. 2012). In our case, the precipitins test was not designed to make such a distinction between Ig types. Specific experiments must be conducted to test this hypothesis.

Finally, the last hypothesis compatible with the results is that the occupational respiratory effects observed in the farmers and grain workers are not mediated by allergic mechanisms, but instead by an irritative or toxic reaction (Wiszniewska et al. 2013). This hypothesis is supported by the previous results of Schachter et al. (2004) who showed

that a wheat dust extract induces ~~in vitro~~ *in vitro* constriction of tracheal smooth muscle, which could be responsible for the respiratory symptoms declared by workers exposed to it (Schachter et al. 2004). Respiratory symptoms mainly due to an irritant effect of the dust rather than an allergic effect to grain dust exposure have also been proposed in a grain terminal operator population (Lucas et al. 2013). The dose–response relationship found in our study between exposure to field grain dust and respiratory symptoms support the findings of these previous studies and suggest that an irritative mechanism (Lucas et al. 2013) and/or a mechanical mechanism (Schachter et al. 2004) might mediate the respiratory pathologies developed by the grain workers.

The toxic effect of wheat dust has been suggested by the frequent presence in field wheat dust of the *Fusarium* mycotoxin deoxynivalenol (DON) (Niculita-Hirzel et al. 2016), a secondary fungal metabolite known to have a different toxic effect on human alveolar cells depending on its combination with summer dust (PM10 fraction) or winter dust, at least ~~in vitro~~ *in vitro* (Camatini et al. 2012; Gualtieri et al. 2012; Capasso et al. 2015), but it does not increase the allergic response to allergens, at least in mice (Instanes and Hetland 2004). Thus, the presence/absence of this mycotoxin or its combination with dust of different compositions might explain the differential effect observed on the respiratory health of workers handling field wheat and those handling stored wheat. In addition to this differential toxic effect, ~~in vitro~~ *in vitro* studies suggest that DON might also induce different effects on the immune system depending on its dose. Thus, at high doses, DON might lead to immunosuppression, while at low doses it can stimulate cytokine production and immune function of human T lymphocytes and macrophages (Moon and Pestka 2002, 2003; Katika et al. 2012; Kankkunen et al. 2009). This hypothesis is in accordance with the lack of immune and inflammatory responses in wheat workers observed in the present study.

The results of the present study must be interpreted in light of its strengths and weaknesses. First, our population size was relatively small, so we cannot exclude that some relationships between exposure and health effects might have gone undetected because of the lack of power. Nevertheless, our study provides a comprehensive view on how

the immune system responds to a complex mixture of fungi by screening for specific IgG, IgE, and precipitins against a representative selection of environmental antigens. Moreover, a main strength of this study is the choice of worker populations with clear exposure patterns, as exposure to field wheat dust is possible only during the summer. Thus, intra-individual comparisons were done between the immune response to field wheat dust at V1 and storage dust at V2, which gave more power to the results obtained from our study. Finally, the study was designed to follow-up individual health with the level of exposure, between a season where the exposure is at its maximum and another where the exposure might decrease. Consequently, the changes in stored wheat dust effects on workers' health might be hidden by a similar level of exposure at V1 and V2 by cattle farmers.

In conclusion, the major finding of our study was that grain workers and cattle raisers presented distinct dose–response relationships between the self-declared respiratory symptoms and the level of exposure to field or stored wheat dust. However, although grain workers might develop a sensitization against the most abundant antigens present in field wheat dust, cattle raisers seemed to be protected from an immune response. This difference in the clinical picture might be due to a distinct immunosuppressive effect of mycotoxins depending on the other components present in the field and stored wheat dust. Nevertheless, an irritative response cannot be excluded. Wheat workers must protect themselves from grain dust during the most exposing activities and avoid direct handling of grain or straw wheat as much as possible.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. C. Lazor-Blanchet and M. Côté for their support recruiting the controls, M. Porchet and D. Spinedi for helping with data collection, Y. Randin for data management support and BioMed Proofreading® LLC experts to correct the English.

Funding

The study was funded by the ANSES (Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire de l'Alimentation, de l'Environnement et du Travail, France) Grant 2011/1/087 to HNH and by a SUVA (Caisse nationale suisse

d'assurance en cas d'accidents) grant to PK and BD. The funding organs played no role in the collection of data, formulation of study hypothesis analyses, or interpretation of findings.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

References

Barrera C, Richaud-Thiriez B, Rocchi S, Rognon B, Roussel S, Grenouillet F, Laboissiere A, Dalphin JC, Reboux G, Millon L (2016) New commercially available IgG kits and time-resolved fluorometric IgE assay for allergic broncho-pulmonary aspergillosis diagnosis in cystic fibrosis patients. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 23:196–203

Basinas I, Schlünssen V, Heederik D, Sigsgaard T, Smit LAM, Samadi S, Omland Ø, Hjort C, Madsen AM, Skov S, Wouters IM (2012) Sensitisation to common allergens and respiratory symptoms in endotoxin exposed workers: a pooled analysis. *Occup Environ Med* 69:99–106

Camatini M, Corvaja V, Pezzolato E, Mantecca P, Gualtieri M (2012) PM10-biogenic fraction drives the seasonal variation of proinflammatory response in A549 cells. *Environ Toxicol* 27:63–73

Capasso L, Longhin E, Caloni F, Camatini M, Gualtieri M (2015) Synergistic inflammatory effect of PM10 with mycotoxin deoxynivalenol on human lung epithelial cells. *Toxicol* 104:65–72

Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Pena AG, Goodrich JK, Gordon JI, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koenig JE, Ley RE, Lozupone CA, McDonald D, Muegge BD, Pirrung M, Reeder J, Sevinsky JR, Turnbaugh PJ, Walters WA, Widmann J, Yatsunenko T, Zaneveld J, Knight R (2010) QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat Methods* 7:335–336

Dalphin J-C, Reboux G, Lefebvre A, Thaon I (2009) Pneumopathies d'hypersensibilité. In: *Traité de Pneumologie* (ed) Flammarion, Paris

Dannemiller KC, Gent JF, Leaderer BP, Peccia J (2016) Indoor microbial communities: influence on asthma severity in atopic and nonatopic children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 138:76–83

doPico GA, Reddan W, Anderson S, Flaherty D, Smalley E (1983) Acute effects of grain dust exposure during a work shift. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 128:399–404

Dorribo V, Wild P, Pralong JA, Danuser B, Reboux G, Krief P, Niculita-Hirzel H (2015) Respiratory health effects of fifteen years of improved collective protection in a wheat-processing worker population. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 22:647–654

Dweik RA, Boggs PB, Erzurum SC, Irvin CG, Leigh MW, Lundberg JO, Olin AC, Plummer AL, Taylor DR (2011) An official ATS clinical practice guideline: interpretation of exhaled nitric oxide levels (FENO) for clinical applications. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 184:602–615

Eduard W, Pearce N, Douwes J (2009) Chronic bronchitis, COPD, and lung function in farmers: the role of biological agents. *Chest* 136:716–725

Flannigan B (1978) Primary contamination of barley and wheat grain storage fungi. *Trans Br Mycol Soc* 71:37–42

Gora A, Mackiewicz B, Krawczyk P, Golec M, Skorska C, Sitkowska J, Cholewa G, Larsson L, Jarosz M, Wojcik-Fatla A, Dutkiewicz J (2009) Occupational exposure to organic dust, microorganisms, endotoxin and peptidoglycan among plants processing workers in Poland. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 16:143 – 50

Gualtieri M, Longhin E, Mattioli M, Mantecca P, Tinaglia V, Mangano E, Proverbio MC, Bestetti G, Camatini M, Battaglia C (2012) Gene expression profiling of A549 cells exposed to Milan PM2.5. *Toxicol Lett* 209:136–145

Halstensen AS, Heldal KK, Wouters IM, Skogstad M, Ellingsen DG, Eduard W (2013) Exposure to grain dust and microbial components in the Norwegian grain and compound feed industry. *Ann Occup Hyg* 57:1105–1114

Instanes C, Hetland G (2004) Deoxynivalenol (DON) is toxic to human colonic, lung and monocytic cell lines, but does not increase the IgE response in a mouse model for allergy. *Toxicology* 204:13–21

Jagiello PJ, Thorne PS, Watt JL, Frees KL, Quinn TJ, Schwartz DA (1996) Grain dust and endotoxin inhalation challenges produce similar inflammatory responses in normal subjects. *Chest* 110:263–270

Jones M, Jeal H, Schofield S, Harris JM, Shamji MH, Francis JN, Durham SR, Cullinan P (2014) Rat-specific IgG and IgG4 antibodies associated with inhibition of IgE–allergen complex binding in laboratory animal workers. *Occup Environ Med* 71:619–623

AQ5

Jouneau S, Boche A, Brinchault G, Fekete K, Guillot S, Bayat S, Desrues B (2012) On-site screening of farming-induced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with the use of an electronic mini-spirometer: results of a pilot study in Brittany, France. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 85:623–630

Kankkunen P, Rintahaka J, Aalto A, Leino M, Majuri ML, Alenius H, Wolff H, Matikainen S (2009) Trichothecene mycotoxins activate inflammatory response in human macrophages. *J Immunol* 182:6418–6425

Katika MR, Hendriksen PJ, Shao J, van Loveren H, Peijnenburg A (2012) Transcriptome analysis of the human T lymphocyte cell line Jurkat and human peripheral blood mononuclear cells exposed to deoxynivalenol (DON): new mechanistic insights. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 264:51–64

Kline JN, Jagielo PJ, Watt JL, Schwartz DA (2000) Bronchial hyperreactivity is associated with enhanced grain dust-induced airflow obstruction. *J Appl Physiol* 89:1172–1178

Kuhn DM, Ghannoum MA (2003) Indoor mold, toxigenic fungi, and *Stachybotrys chartarum*: infectious disease perspective. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 16:144–172

Le Bars J, Escoula L, Henry G (1973) Mycoflore des fourrages secs, Inventaire et fréquence des espèces. *Ann Rech Vet* 4:273–282

Lucas D, Lodde B, Pougnet RP, Dewitte JD, Jegaden D (2013) Evaluation of the sensitisation to grains and its pulmonary impact in employees of the port of Brest silos. *Int Marit Health* 64:18–23

Madsen AM, Zervas A, Tendal K, Nielsen JL (2015) Microbial diversity in bioaerosol samples causing ODTS compared to reference bioaerosol samples as measured using Illumina sequencing and MALDI-TOF. *Environ Res* 140:255–267

May S, Romberger DJ, Poole JA (2012) Respiratory health effects of large animal farming environments. *J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev* 15:524–541

Minette A (1989) Questionnaire of the European Community for Coal and Steel (ECSC) on respiratory symptoms. 1987—updating of

the 1962 and 1967 questionnaires for studying chronic bronchitis and emphysema. *Eur Respir J* 2:165–177

Miyagawa T, Hamagami S, Tanigawa N (2000) *Cryptococcus albidus*-induced summer-type hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 161:961–966

Moen BE, Kayumba A, Sakwari G, Mamuya SH, Bratveit M (2016) Endotoxin, dust and exhaled nitrogen oxide among hand pickers of coffee; a cross-sectional study. *J Occup Med Toxicol* 11:17

Moon Y, Pestka JJ (2002) Vomitoxin-induced cyclooxygenase-2 gene expression in macrophages mediated by activation of ERK and p38 but not JNK mitogen-activated protein kinases. *Toxicol Sci* 69:373–382

Moon Y, Pestka JJ (2003) Cyclooxygenase-2 mediates interleukin-6 upregulation by vomitoxin (deoxynivalenol) in vitro and in vivo. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 187:80–88

Niculita-Hirzel H, Hantier G, Storti F, Plateel G, Roger T (2016) Frequent occupational exposure to *Fusarium* mycotoxins of workers in the Swiss grain industry. *Toxins (Basel)* 8:370

Noss I, Wouters IM, Visser M, Heederik DJ, Thorne PS, Brunekreef B, Doekes G (2008) Evaluation of a low-cost electrostatic dust fall collector for indoor air endotoxin exposure assessment. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74:5621–5627

Pellissier L, Oppliger A, Hirzel AH, Savova-Bianchi D, Mbayo G, Mascher F, Kellenberger S, Niculita-Hirzel H (2016) Airborne and grain dust fungal community compositions are shaped regionally by plant genotypes and farming practices. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 82:2121–2131

Pitkaranta M, Meklin T, Hyvarinen A, Paulin L, Auvinen P, Nevalainen A, Rintala H (2008) Analysis of fungal flora in indoor

dust by ribosomal DNA sequence analysis, quantitative PCR, and culture. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74:233–244

Portengen L, Preller L, Tielen M, Doekes G, Heederik D (2005) Endotoxin exposure and atopic sensitization in adult pig farmers. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 115:797–802

Rask-Andersen A (2011) Asthma increase among farmers: a 12-year follow-up. *Ups J Med Sci* 116:60–71

Reboux G, Magy N, Dalphin JC, Gevois PA, De Vuyust P (2006) Immunological methods. In: *Imaging of occupational and environmental disorders of the chest*. Springer, Berlin, pp 133–158.

Reboux G, Piarroux R, Roussel S, Millon L, Bardonnnet K, Dalphin JC (2007) Assessment of four serological techniques in the immunological diagnosis of farmers' lung disease. *J Med Microbiol* 56:1317–1321

Roussel S, Rognon B, Barrera C, Reboux G, Salamin K, Grenouillet F, Thaon I, Dalphin J-C, Tillie-Leblond I, Quadroni M, Monod M, Millon L (2011a) Immuno-reactive proteins from *Mycobacterium immunogenum* useful for serodiagnosis of metalworking fluid hypersensitivity pneumonitis. *Int J Med Microbiol* 301:150–156

Roussel S, Sudre B, Reboux G, Waser M, Buchele G, Vacheyrou M, Dalphin JC, Millon L, Braun-Fahrlander C, von Mutius E, Piarroux R (2011b) Exposure to moulds and actinomycetes in Alpine farms: a nested environmental study of the PASTURE cohort. *Environ Res* 111:744–750

Schachter EN, Zuskin E, Rienzi N, Goswami S, Castranova V, Siegel P, Whitmer M, Chung E (2004) Pharmacological studies of the effect of wheat grain extract. *Respiration* 71:276–283

Scherer E, Rocchi S, Reboux G, Vandentorren S, Roussel S, Vacheyrou M, Raheison C, Millon L (2014) qPCR standard operating procedure for measuring microorganisms in dust from

dwelling in large cohort studies. *Sci Total Environ* 466–467:716–724

Simon-Nobbe B, Denk U, Pöll V, Rid R, Breitenbach M (2008) The spectrum of fungal allergy. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 145:58–86

Smid T, Heederik D, Houba R, Quanjer PH (1994) Dust- and endotoxin-related acute lung function changes and work-related symptoms in workers in the animal feed industry. *Am J Ind Med* 25:877–888

Smit LA, Heederik D, Doekes G, Blom C, van Zweden I, Wouters IM (2008) Exposure–response analysis of allergy and respiratory symptoms in endotoxin-exposed adults. *Eur Respir J* 31:1241–1248

Spankie S, Cherrie JW (2012) Exposure to grain dust in Great Britain. *Ann Occup Hyg* 56:25–36

Straumfors A, Heldal KK, Wouters IM, Eduard W (2015) Work tasks as determinants of grain dust and microbial exposure in the Norwegian grain and compound feed industry. *Ann Occup Hyg* 59:724–736

Straumfors A, Heldal KK, Eduard W, Wouters IM, Ellingsen DG, Skogstad M (2016) Cross-shift study of exposure–response relationships between bioaerosol exposure and respiratory effects in the Norwegian grain and animal feed production industry. *Occup Environ Med* 73:685–693

Sundblad BM, Larsson BM, Palmberg L, Larsson K (2002) Exhaled nitric oxide and bronchial responsiveness in healthy subjects exposed to organic dust. *Eur Respir J* 20:426–431

Sundblad BM, von Scheele I, Palmberg L, Olsson M, Larsson K (2009) Repeated exposure to organic material alters inflammatory and physiological airway responses. *Eur Respir J* 34:80–88

Swan JR, Crook B (1998) Airborne microorganisms associated with grain handling. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 5:7–15

Vacher G, Niculita-Hirzel H, Roger T (2015) Immune responses to airborne fungi and non-invasive airway diseases. *Semin Immunopathol* 37:83–96

Viet SM, Buchan R, Stallones L (2001) Acute respiratory effects and endotoxin exposure during wheat harvest in Northeastern Colorado. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 16:685–697

Wiszniewska M, Tymoszek D, Nowakowska-Świrta E, PałCzyŃSki C, Walusiak-Skorupa J (2013) Mould Sensitisation among bakers and farmers with work-related respiratory symptoms. *Ind Health* 51:275–284

Xu X, Hu H, Kearney GD, Kan H, Carrillo G, Chen X (2016) A population-based study of smoking, serum cotinine and exhaled nitric oxide among asthmatics and a healthy population in the USA. *Inhal Toxicol* 28:724–730