

1 **Sweet dreams are not made of this: no association between diet and sleep**
2 **quality**

3 Diet and sleep quality
4

5 Joana Lopes Oliveira, medical student ¹ and Pedro Marques-Vidal, MD, PhD, FESC ²

6 ¹ Faculty of Biology and Medicine, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

7 ² Department of Medicine, Internal Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital and University of
8 Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

9 Emails:

10 Joana Lopes Oliveira: Joana.Lopesoliveira @unil.ch

11 Pedro Marques-Vidal: Pedro-Manuel.Marques-Vidal@chuv.ch

12 ORCID:

13 Joana Lopes Oliveira: 0000-0001-6441-362X

14 Pedro Marques-Vidal: 0000-0002-4548-8500

15 Corresponding author:

16 Pedro-Manuel Marques-Vidal

17 Office BH10-642

18 Department of Medicine, Internal Medicine

19 Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV)

20 Rue du Bugnon 46

21 CH-1011 Lausanne

22 Switzerland,

23 Phone : +41 (0)21 314 09 34

24 Fax : +41 (0)21 314 09 51

25 Email : Pedro-Manuel.Marques-Vidal@chuv.ch

26 All authors have seen and approved the manuscript.

27 All authors have no conflict of interest to declare

28 None of the authors received funding to conduct this work

29 Figures: 1

30 Tables: 5

31 Word count: 245 abstract, 107 brief summary, 2830 manuscript

32 **Abstract**

33 **Background:** Numerous studies have emphasized the significance of nutrition on the quality of
34 sleep, but little have evaluated the effect of various coexisting dietary markers on middle-aged
35 adults. We assessed the association between sleep quality and a large array of dietary markers
36 among middle-aged, community-dwelling participants.

37 **Methods:** Data from the first, second and third follow-ups of CoLaus|PsyCoLaus, a population-
38 based study in Lausanne, Switzerland, was used. Sleep quality was assessed by the Pittsburgh
39 Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). Dietary intake was assessed by a validated food frequency
40 questionnaire.

41 **Results:** data from 3857 (53% women, 57.2±10.4 years), 2370 (52% women, 60.7±9.5 years) and
42 1617 (52% women, 63.5±9.0 years) participants from the first, second and third follow-ups was
43 used. Bivariate correlations showed fish, vegetables, fruit, and cheese intake to be associated with
44 a better sleep quality (lower PSQI), while rusks, sugar, and meat intake were associated with a
45 poorer sleep quality (higher PSQI). After multivariable adjustment, participants reporting poor
46 sleep quality (PSQI>5) had a lower Mediterranean diet score and a lower likelihood of complying
47 with the meat and fish recommendations, but the results were inconsistent between surveys. No
48 association was found between sleep quality and macro- or micronutrients in the three surveys.

49 **Conclusions:** No consistent associations were found between a large panel of nutritional markers
50 and sleep quality. Components of the Mediterranean diet such as dairy, fruits and vegetables might
51 favour good sleep quality, while increased consumption of sugary foods or meat might favour poor
52 sleep quality.

53 **Key words:** sleep quality; dietary intake; nutrients; cross-sectional study

54 **Brief summary**

55 Despite recent research emphasizing the link between diet and sleep quality and the
56 significance of sleep quality to prevent cardiometabolic diseases, few studies have examined the
57 association of various coexisting dietary markers on middle-aged adults. Our study assessed the
58 association between a wide range of dietary markers and sleep quality as assessed by PSQI in a
59 population-based sample.

60 Consumption of healthy foods such as fruits, vegetables, fatty fish, and dairy products was
61 positively associated sleep quality, whereas consumption of sugary foods was negatively
62 associated. As a result, patients with poor sleep quality should be encouraged to adopt a
63 Mediterranean diet that includes dairy products.

64 **Introduction**

65 Poor sleep quality is a common issue reported by the adult population¹⁻³ and is a known
66 risk factor for illnesses such as hypertension⁴ and coronary heart disease.⁵ Several studies have
67 highlighted the importance of dietary intake on sleep quality.⁶⁻⁹ Two large studies concluded a
68 positive impact of fruits and vegetables on sleep quality among older adults¹⁰ and university
69 students.¹¹ Smaller studies found positive associations between sleep quality and other types of
70 food such as milk,^{8,12} cherries^{8,9} and rice¹³ in older adults. Other studies reported a positive impact
71 of nutrients like protein^{14,15} or even dietary patterns such as the Mediterranean diet^{16,17} in middle
72 aged and older participants. Conversely, no specific benefit has been reported for ketogenic diet¹⁸
73 and the beneficial effect of omega-3 fatty acids has been questioned.¹⁹

74 Although multiple studies have studied the association between dietary intake and sleep
75 quality, most studies assessed only a limited number of nutrients, foods or dietary patterns and
76 only a few assessed them all simultaneously. In addition, most sample sizes were small and
77 included mainly elderly people. There is a need for a larger sample sized study to evaluate the
78 impact of multiple coexistent dietary markers on sleep quality in middle aged adults.

79 The objective of this study was to assess the cross-sectional associations between a wide
80 range of dietary markers and sleep quality among middle-aged, community-dwelling people. We
81 expect to confirm or not the previously published associations between dietary intake and sleep
82 quality.

83 **Methods**

84 *Participants*

85 The CoLaus|PsyCoLaus study is a population-based study investigating the epidemiology
86 and genetic determinants of psychiatric and cardiovascular disease in Lausanne, Switzerland.²⁰
87 Briefly, a representative sample was collected through a simple, non-stratified random sampling
88 of 19,830 individuals (35% of the source population) aged between 35 and 75. The baseline study
89 was conducted between June 2003 and May 2006 and included 6733 participants; the first follow-
90 up was performed between April 2009 and September 2012 and included 5064 of the initial
91 participants (75.2%); the second follow-up was performed between May 2014 and April 2017 and
92 included 4881 of the initial participants (72.5%), and the third follow-up was performed between
93 April 2018 and May 2021 and included 3751 of the initial participants (55.7%). As dietary intake
94 was only assessed in the follow-ups, data from the follow-ups was included in this study.

95 *Sleep quality*

96 Sleep quality was assessed with the Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI). The PSQI is
97 used to assess sleep patterns over the past month and can be completed by the rater alone or with
98 a sleeping partner. The score varies between 0 and 21; indicative of overall sleep quality, as well
99 as subscale values, including self-reported sleep quality, sleep-onset latency, sleep duration,
100 habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleep medication, and daytime dysfunction. A
101 score >5 indicates poor sleep quality.²¹

102 *Dietary intake*

103 Dietary intake was assessed using a self-administered, semi-quantitative food frequency
104 questionnaire (FFQ) which also included portion size.²² This FFQ has been validated in the Geneva
105 population.^{22,23} Briefly, this FFQ assesses the dietary intake of the previous 4 weeks and consists

106 of 97 different food items that account for more than 90% of the intake of calories, proteins, fat,
107 carbohydrates, alcohol, cholesterol, vitamin D and retinol, and 85% of fibre, carotene and iron.
108 For each item, consumption frequencies ranging from “less than once during the last 4 weeks” to
109 “2 or more times per day” were provided, and the participants also indicated the average serving
110 size (smaller, equal or bigger) compared to a reference size.

111 Dietary intake was computed as follows: first, for each food item, the daily amount
112 consumed was obtained by multiplying the daily frequency (converting monthly and weekly
113 frequencies into fractions, for example “3-4 per week”= $3.5/7=0.5$ times per day) by the portion
114 size (in grams or mL) indicated. The amounts of individual food items belonging to the same food
115 group (i.e., dairy products or fruits) were added to obtain the total daily amount consumed. For
116 dairy products, conversion of mL to gr of milk was performed. Conversion into calories and
117 nutrients was performed based on the French CIQUAL food composition table considering each
118 individual food item. Then, for each individual nutrient (i.e., total or animal-derived protein) the
119 corresponding caloric intake was computed and divided by the total energy intake.

120 *Dietary scores*

121 Two dietary scores were computed based on the Mediterranean diet. The first
122 Mediterranean dietary score (designated as ‘Mediterranean score 1’) was derived from
123 Trichopoulou et al. .²⁴ The score uses consumption frequencies instead of amounts. Briefly, a value
124 of 0 or 1 is assigned to each of seven foods using their sex-specific medians as cut-off. Participants
125 whose consumption frequencies for “healthy” foods (vegetables, fruits, fish, cereal...) were above
126 the median were given the value of 1, while for “unhealthy” foods (meat, dairy products),
127 consumption frequencies below the median were given the value of 0. Two other items were
128 considered: ratio of monounsaturated to saturated fats and moderate alcohol consumption (between

129 5 and 25 g/day for women and 10 and 50 g/day for men). The score ranges between 0 and 8. The
130 second Mediterranean dietary score (designated as ‘Mediterranean score 2’) adapted to the Swiss
131 population was computed according to Vormund et al. .²⁵ It used the same scoring system but
132 considered nine types of “healthy” foods: fruits, vegetables, fish, cereal, salads, poultry, dairy
133 products and wine. The score ranges between 0 and 9. For both scores, higher values represented
134 a healthier diet.

135 Participants were dichotomized according to whether they followed the dietary
136 recommendations for fruits, vegetables, meat, fish and dairy products from the Swiss Society of
137 Nutrition.^{26,27} The recommendations were ≥ 2 fruit portions/day; ≥ 3 vegetable portions/day; ≤ 5
138 meat portions/week; ≥ 1 fish portion/week and ≥ 3 dairy products portions/day. In this study, we
139 did not use portion size to compute adherence, but relied on consumption frequencies. This was
140 done as the portion sizes recommended by the Swiss Society of Nutrition do not take into account
141 a subject’s corpulence and caloric needs.²⁷ As the FFQ queried about fresh and fried fish, two
142 categories of adherence to fish consumption were considered: one included and one excluded fried
143 fish. For each participant, the number of guidelines complied to was computed. Two sums were
144 computed: one used adherence to fish consumption using all types of fish preparation (i.e.,
145 including fried fish); the other used adherence to fish consumption using fresh fish only.

146 *Other covariates*

147 Smoking was self-reported and categorized as never, former (irrespective of the time since
148 quitting smoking) and current. Education was categorized into high (university), middle (high
149 school) and low (apprenticeship + primary). Marital status was defined as living alone (single,
150 divorced, widowed) or living with a partner.

151 Body weight and height were measured with participants barefoot and in light indoor
152 clothes. Body weight was measured in kilograms to the nearest 100 g using a Seca® scale
153 (Hamburg, Germany). Height was measured to the nearest 5 mm using a Seca® (Hamburg,
154 Germany) height gauge. Body mass index was calculated and categorized as normal ($<25 \text{ kg/m}^2$),
155 overweight ≥ 25 and $<30 \text{ kg/m}^2$) and obese $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$).

156 Blood pressure (BP) was measured using an Omron® HEM-907 automated oscillometric
157 sphygmomanometer after at least a 10-minute rest in a seated position, and the average of the last
158 two measurements was used. Hypertension was defined by a SBP ≥ 140 mm Hg or a DBP ≥ 90
159 mm Hg or presence of antihypertensive drug treatment.

160 Participants reported the medicines prescribed or bought over the counter. Medicines were
161 further classified according to the WHO ATC criteria. Presence of sleep-inducing drugs such as
162 benzodiazepines (ATC code starting with N05BA) and hypnotics or sedatives (ATC code starting
163 with N05C) were considered.

164 *Inclusion and exclusion criteria*

165 All participants of the different surveys were considered as eligible for analysis. According
166 to the PSQI scoring system, questions 1 to 9 are not allowed to be missing. Participants were
167 excluded if they had 1) PSQI completely missing; 2) at least one answer missing for PSQI
168 questions 1 to 9; 3) at least one answer missing in the PSQI questions 10 to 19; 4) no dietary data;
169 5) extreme total energy intake values (defined as <500 or >3500 kcal/day for women and <800 or
170 >4000 kcal/day for men), or 6) missed any covariate.

171 *Ethical statement*

172 The institutional Ethics Committee of the University of Lausanne, which afterwards
173 became the Ethics Commission of Canton Vaud (www.cer-vd.ch) approved the baseline CoLaus

174 study (reference 16/03). The approval was renewed for the first (reference 33/09), the second
175 (reference 26/14) and the third (reference PB_2018-000408) follow-ups. The approval for the
176 entire CoLaus|PsyCoLaus study was confirmed in 2021 (reference PB_2018-00038, 239/09). The
177 full decisions of the CER-VD can be obtained from the authors upon request. The study was
178 performed in agreement with the Helsinki declaration and its former amendments, and in
179 accordance with the applicable Swiss legislation. All participants gave their signed informed
180 consent before entering the study.

181 *Statistical analysis*

182 Statistical analyses were conducted using Stata v.16.1 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX,
183 USA). Descriptive results were expressed as number of participants (percentage) for categorical
184 variables and as average±standard deviation or median [interquartile range] for continuous
185 variables. Bivariate analyses were conducted using chi-square for categorical variables and
186 student's t-test, analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables.
187 Multivariable analyses were conducted using logistic regression for categorical outcomes, and
188 results were expressed as odds ratio (OR) and (95% confidence interval). Multivariable analyses
189 of continuous outcomes were conducted using ANOVA and results were expressed as
190 multivariable-adjusted average±standard error. Multivariable analyses were adjusted on gender,
191 age (continuous), education (high, middle, low), marital status (living with partner, living alone),
192 smoking (never, former, current), presence of a diet (yes, no), BMI categories (normal, overweight,
193 obese) and presence of sleep medicines (yes, no). As smoking might change dietary intake and
194 increased BMI has been associated with poor sleep quality, the same analyses were conducted
195 after stratifying by smoking and by BMI categories. The associations between the PSQI score (as

196 a continuous variable) and the daily amounts of each food group were assessed using Spearman
197 rank correlation.

198 Several sensitivity analyses were conducted. The first one used inverse probability
199 weighting to take into account the percentage of excluded participants.²⁸ Briefly, logistic
200 regression was used to estimate the likelihood of being included for each participant using
201 covariates that were significantly different between included and excluded participants, i.e. age,
202 gender, educational level, BMI categories, hypertension, diabetes and sleep medicines. The inverse
203 of the predicted probability was then used for the analysis of dichotomous outcomes by logistic
204 regression. The second sensitivity analysis used the data from all three follow-ups and assessed
205 the association between dietary intake and sleep quality using mixed models with repeated
206 measures for each participant. A third sensitivity analysis was conducted after excluding
207 participants taking sleep medicines. Both weighted and unweighted mixed models were applied.
208 All sensitivity analyses were adjusted for the same covariates as in the main analyses. Finally, a
209 last sensitivity analysis was conducted including participants who had missing answers for the
210 PSQI questions 10 to 19 (exclusion criterion 3).

211 Statistical significance was considered for a two-sided test with $p < 0.05$.

212 **Results**

213 *Study population*

214 Of the 5064, 4894 and 3751 participants in the first, second and third follow-ups, 3857
215 (76.2%), 2370 (48.4%) and 1617 (43.1%) were included, respectively. The reasons for exclusion
216 are summarized in **supplementary figure 1**. The main reason for exclusion was absence of sleep
217 data.

218 The characteristics of included and excluded participants in each follow-up are
219 summarized in **Table S1**. Excluded participants were more frequently women, were older, of a
220 lesser educational level, more frequently obese, presenting with hypertension or diabetes, and
221 taking more frequently sleep medicines.

222 The characteristics of the participants according to sleep quality and stratified by study
223 period are summarized in **table 1**. Participants with a lower sleep quality were more frequently
224 women, older, lived more frequently alone, and took sleep medicines more frequently.

225 *Associations between dietary intake and poor sleep quality*

226 The bivariate and multivariate associations between sleep quality and dietary intake,
227 stratified by study period, are summarized in **tables 2 and 3**, respectively. No consistent
228 statistically significant associations were found between the three follow-ups for any of the dietary
229 variables studied (**table 2**). Participants reporting poor sleep quality had a lower Mediterranean
230 diet score as defined by Vormund et al. in the first and second follow-ups, and a similar trend was
231 found for the Mediterranean diet score as defined by Trichopoulo et al. (**table 2**).

232 Multivariate analysis confirmed the lack of consistent associations between sleep quality
233 and dietary intake (**table 3**). Participants reporting poor sleep quality had a lower likelihood of
234 complying with the meat recommendation in the first and third follow-ups, and a similar, non-
235 significant trend was found in the second follow-up. Participants reporting poor sleep quality also
236 had a lower likelihood of complying with the fish recommendation in the second follow-up.
237 Finally, participants reporting poor sleep quality tended to present lower Mediterranean diet
238 scores, but the differences did not reach statistical significance (**Table 3**). Slightly similar results
239 for adherence to guidelines were found after weighting for non-inclusion (**Table S2**). Including all
240 follow-ups in a single analysis and taking into account repeated measurements for each participant

241 led to similar findings (**Table S3**). Excluding participants taking sleep medicines led to results
242 close to those using the whole sample or applying inverse probability weighting (**Table S4**).

243 Stratifying the analysis by smoking status (**Tables S5 to S7**) or BMI category (**Tables S8**
244 **to S10**) did not reveal any consistent association. At most, a higher Mediterranean diet score
245 among non-smokers reporting good sleep quality for study period 2014-2017 (**Table S6**) and a
246 lower compliance to dietary guidelines among overweight participants reporting poor sleep quality
247 for study periods 2014-2017 and 2019-2021 (**Tables S9 and S10**) was found.

248 The results of the sensitivity analysis including participants with missing data for the PSQI
249 questions 10 to 19 are summarized in **Tables S11 to S12**. Overall, results were comparable to
250 those restricted to participants with full PSQI data, a higher consumption of fruits, vegetables and
251 fish, a lower consumption of meat and a higher compliance to at least three guidelines being
252 associated with a lower likelihood of poor sleep quality.

253 *Associations between selected food items and poor sleep quality*

254 The correlations between the 97 food items of the FFQ (expressed as daily consumption in
255 gr or mL) and sleep quality for the three follow-ups are depicted in **Figure 1**. Significant, albeit
256 non-consistent negative associations were found for fish, vegetables, and cheese, while positive
257 associations were found for rusks, sugar, and milk in coffee. Restricting the analysis to more
258 generic food groups showed a negative association with fish, vegetables and fruit, and a positive
259 association with meat (**Table 4**). Including participants with missing data for the PSQI questions
260 10 to 19 led to similar findings (**Table S13**).

261 **Discussion**

262 *Main findings*

263 In this population-based study, we found few associations between a large array of dietary
264 intake markers and sleep quality. Overall, the results suggested that Mediterranean diet, fruits,
265 vegetables and fish were negatively associated with poor sleep quality, while meat was positively
266 associated with poor sleep quality. Still, the associations were inconsistent between surveys.

267 *Associations between dietary intake and poor sleep quality*

268 No association was found between sleep quality and macro- or micronutrient intake. Those
269 findings do not replicate other studies reporting an inverse association between sleep quality and
270 carbohydrate intake²⁹ or a positive association with monounsaturated³⁰ or polyunsaturated³¹ fatty
271 acids. Still, the latter findings are subject to controversy, as no association between
272 polyunsaturated fatty acids and sleep quality was found in a cross-sectional study¹⁹, and a
273 randomized controlled trial failed to find any effect of a carbohydrate (55% of total calories) or a
274 high fat (60% of total calories) diet on sleep quality as assessed by the PSQI.¹⁹ Conversely, our
275 findings are in agreement with a previous study assessing the association between sleep duration
276 and diet³² and with other studies reporting no association between sleep quality and long-chain
277 omega-3 fatty acids.¹⁹ Possible explanations include a low sample size leading to a low statistical
278 power or that our database missed the nutrients that have been reported to be associated with sleep
279 quality. Indeed, a recent review reported that zinc, vitamin B6 and polyphenols were associated
280 with sleep quality,⁶ and another review suggested that low serum levels of vitamin D were
281 associated with poorer sleep quality.³³

282 Participants reporting poor sleep quality had lower scores of the Mediterranean diet as
283 defined by Vormund, but not as defined by Trichopoulou. Those findings confirm positive

284 associations between the Mediterranean diet and sleep quality as reported previously,^{8,16-17}
285 Interestingly, the Vormund score differs from the original Mediterranean score by giving a positive
286 effect to dairy products, and it has been suggested that milk intake improves sleep quality^{9,34-35}.
287 Still, no association was found between dairy products and sleep quality in our study, suggesting
288 that dairy products alone might not be associated with sleep quality, or that only specific dairy
289 products such as cheese (**Figure 1**) are associated with sleep quality. Further studies are needed to
290 replicate our findings.

291 *Sleep and adherence to dietary guidelines*

292 Participants reporting poor sleep quality had a lower likelihood to comply with the meat
293 recommendations and, to a lesser degree, a lower likelihood of complying with the fruits and the
294 fish guidelines. Those findings are in agreement with the literature, as a higher consumption of
295 fruits and vegetables,^{11,36} fish,^{34,37-38} and a lower consumption of meat (a source of saturated fat²⁹)
296 have been associated with a better sleep quality. Overall, our results indicate that a healthy diet
297 favours sleep quality.

298 *Sleep and food items*

299 The food items with the strongest negative association with poor sleep quality were carrots,
300 cheese, green salad, pasta, salmon, fruit tart, white fish, tomatoes, and olive oil. Those findings are
301 in agreement with the literature, as a higher consumption of dairy products,^{9,34,35} fruits and
302 vegetables,^{11,36,37} and fatty fish^{34,37,38} is associated with a better sleep quality. Conversely, foods
303 positively associated with poor sleep quality were rusks, sugar, lemonade, soda, syrups, milk in
304 coffee, cake and dried pastries, mineral water, margarine, and low-fat products. Those findings are
305 partly in agreement with the literature, where increased consumption of caffeinated beverages was
306 associated with poor sleep quality³⁶; for instance, milk in coffee might be a proxy of increased

307 coffee consumption, although no association between coffee and sleep quality was found.
308 Similarly, as cake and dried pastries are energy-dense foods, our findings are in agreement with a
309 study reporting a positive association between higher energy-dense foods and poor sleep quality.³⁹
310 Finally, the association between sugary foods and poor sleep intake is in agreement with a study
311 reporting that high sugar intake was associated with lighter, less restorative sleep.⁴⁰

312 *Implications for clinical practice*

313 Our results suggest that consumption of healthy foods such as fruits, vegetables, fatty fish
314 and dairy products favourably influences sleep quality, while the consumption of sugary foods
315 decreases sleep quality. Hence, patients with poor sleep quality should be encouraged to adopt a
316 Mediterranean type of diet, including dairy products, as a component of their treatment. Further,
317 besides a favourable effect on sleep quality, the Mediterranean diet is also beneficial against type
318 2 diabetes⁴¹ and cardiovascular disease.⁴²

319 *Strengths and limitations*

320 This study's principal advantages rest on its sample size, which is considerably greater than
321 those identified in previous studies. Additionally, we were able to conduct three interviews, with
322 the same sleep and dietary intake questionnaires. The extensive panel of dietary markers examined
323 is another significant strength.

324 There are some limitations to this study. First, many participants didn't complete the sleep
325 questionnaire; therefore, a selection bias could be present. Still, the results were similar after
326 weighting for exclusion. Secondly, sleep quality and dietary intake were assessed using self-
327 reported questionnaires that could show different results from reality. Another limitation was the
328 absence of information regarding the last meal consumed prior to the examined sleep period, which
329 is likely to have the greatest impact on sleep quality.⁴³ Fourthly, our study was cross-sectional, and

330 no causal effect of diet on sleep can be inferred; similarly, the issue of reverse causation (i.e., sleep
331 quality affecting subsequent dietary intake) cannot be excluded⁴⁴. Still, our results replicate those
332 of previous studies, where no consistent association was found between dietary intake and sleep
333 quality.^{36,45}

334 *Conclusions*

335 No consistent associations were found between a large panel of nutritional markers and
336 sleep quality. Components of the Mediterranean diet such as dairy, fruits and vegetables might be
337 beneficial, while consumption of sugary foods or meat might favour poor sleep quality.

338 **Abbreviations**

339 ANOVA, analysis of variance

340 BP, blood pressure

341 BMI, body mass index

342 DBP, diastolic blood pressure

343 CI, confidence interval

344 FFQ, food frequency questionnaire

345 OR, odds ratio

346 PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index

347 SBP, systolic blood pressure

348 TEI, total energy intake

349 WHO, World Health Organization

350 **CREDIT author statement**

351 **Joana Lopes Oliveira:** investigation, formal analysis, writing – original draft,
352 visualization. **Pedro Marques-Vidal:** conceptualization, data curation, validation, writing –
353 review & editing, supervision.

354 **Funding**

355 The CoLaus|PsyCoLaus study was supported by unrestricted research grants from
356 GlaxoSmithKline, the Faculty of Biology and Medicine of Lausanne, the Swiss National Science
357 Foundation (grants 3200B0-105993, 3200B0-118308, 33CSCO-122661, 33CS30-139468,
358 33CS30-148401, 33CS30_177535 and 3247730_204523) and the Swiss Personalized Health
359 Network (project: Swiss Ageing Citizen Reference). The funders had no role in the design of the
360 study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in
361 the decision to publish the results.

362 **Data availability statement**

363 The data of CoLaus|PsyCoLaus study used in this article cannot be fully shared as they
364 contain potentially sensitive personal information on participants. According to the Ethics
365 Committee for Research of the Canton of Vaud, sharing these data would be a violation of the
366 Swiss legislation with respect to privacy protection. However, coded individual-level data that do
367 not allow researchers to identify participants are available upon request to researchers who meet
368 the criteria for data sharing of the CoLaus|PsyCoLaus Datacenter (CHUV, Lausanne, Switzerland).
369 Any researcher affiliated to a public or private research institution who complies with the
370 CoLaus|PsyCoLaus standards can submit a research application to research.colaus@chuv.ch or
371 research.psycolaus@chuv.ch. Proposals requiring baseline data only, will be evaluated by the
372 baseline (local) Scientific Committee (SC) of the CoLaus and PsyCoLaus studies. Proposals

373 requiring follow-up data will be evaluated by the follow-up (multicentric) SC of the
374 CoLaus|PsyCoLaus cohort study. Detailed instructions for gaining access to the
375 CoLaus|PsyCoLaus data used in this study are available at [www.colaus-
psycolaus.ch/professionals/how-to-collaborate/](http://www.colaus-
376 psycolaus.ch/professionals/how-to-collaborate/).

377 **Conflict of interest**

378 The authors report no conflict of interest.

379 **References**

- 380 1. Almojali AI, Almalki SA, Alothman AS, Masuadi EM, Alaqeel MK. The prevalence and
381 association of stress with sleep quality among medical students. *J Epidemiol Glob Health.*
382 2017;7(3):169-174. doi:10.1016/j.jegh.2017.04.005
- 383 2. Birhanu TE, Getachew B, Gerbi A, Dereje D. Prevalence of poor sleep quality and its
384 associated factors among hypertensive patients on follow up at Jimma University Medical
385 Center. *J Hum Hypertens.* 2021;35(1):94-100. doi:10.1038/s41371-020-0320-x
- 386 3. Manzar MD, Bekele BB, Noohu MM, et al. Prevalence of poor sleep quality in the
387 Ethiopian population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Breath Schlaf Atm.*
388 2020;24(2):709-716. doi:10.1007/s11325-019-01871-x
- 389 4. Lo K, Woo B, Wong M, Tam W. Subjective sleep quality, blood pressure, and hypertension:
390 a meta-analysis. *J Clin Hypertens Greenwich Conn.* 2018;20(3):592-605.
391 doi:10.1111/jch.13220
- 392 5. Kwok CS, Kontopantelis E, Kuligowski G, et al. Self-Reported Sleep Duration and Quality
393 and Cardiovascular Disease and Mortality: A Dose-Response Meta-Analysis. *J Am Heart*
394 *Assoc Cardiovasc Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2018;7(15):e008552. doi:10.1161/JAHA.118.008552
- 395 6. Binks H, E Vincent G, Gupta C, Irwin C, Khalesi S. Effects of Diet on Sleep: A Narrative
396 Review. *Nutrients.* 2020;12(4):E936. doi:10.3390/nu12040936
- 397 7. Burrows T, Fenton S, Duncan M. Diet and sleep health: a scoping review of intervention
398 studies in adults. *J Hum Nutr Diet Off J Br Diet Assoc.* 2020;33(3):308-329.
399 doi:10.1111/jhn.12709
- 400 8. Gupta CC, Irwin C, Vincent GE, Khalesi S. The Relationship Between Diet and Sleep in
401 Older Adults: a Narrative Review. *Curr Nutr Rep.* 2021;10(3):166-178. doi:10.1007/s13668-

- 402 021-00362-4
- 403 9. Pereira N, Naufel MF, Ribeiro EB, Tufik S, Hachul H. Influence of Dietary Sources of
404 Melatonin on Sleep Quality: A Review. *J Food Sci.* 2020;85(1):5-13. doi:10.1111/1750-
405 3841.14952
- 406 10. Lee YH, Chang YC, Lee YT, Shelley M, Liu CT. Dietary patterns with fresh fruits and
407 vegetables consumption and quality of sleep among older adults in mainland China. *Sleep*
408 *Biol Rhythms.* 2018;16(3):293-305. doi:10.1007/s41105-018-0163-9
- 409 11. Pengpid S, Peltzer K. Fruit and Vegetable Consumption is Protective from Short Sleep and
410 Poor Sleep Quality Among University Students from 28 Countries. *Nat Sci Sleep.*
411 2020;12:627-633. doi:10.2147/NSS.S263922
- 412 12. Kitano N, Tsunoda K, Tsuji T, et al. Association between difficulty initiating sleep in older
413 adults and the combination of leisure-time physical activity and consumption of milk and
414 milk products: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Geriatr.* 2014;14:118. doi:10.1186/1471-2318-
415 14-118
- 416 13. Koga M, Toyomaki A, Kiso Y, Kusumi I. Impact of a Rice-Centered Diet on the Quality of
417 Sleep in Association with Reduced Oxidative Stress: A Randomized, Open, Parallel-Group
418 Clinical Trial. *Nutrients.* 2020;12(10):E2926. doi:10.3390/nu12102926
- 419 14. Sutanto CN, Loh WW, Toh DWK, Lee DPS, Kim JE. Association Between Dietary Protein
420 Intake and Sleep Quality in Middle-Aged and Older Adults in Singapore. *Front Nutr.*
421 2022;9:832341. doi:10.3389/fnut.2022.832341
- 422 15. Schaafsma A, Mallee L, van den Belt M, et al. The Effect of A Whey-Protein and Galacto-
423 Oligosaccharides Based Product on Parameters of Sleep Quality, Stress, and Gut Microbiota
424 in Apparently Healthy Adults with Moderate Sleep Disturbances: A Randomized Controlled

- 425 Cross-Over Study. *Nutrients*. 2021;13(7):2204. doi:10.3390/nu13072204
- 426 16. Campanini MZ, Guallar-Castillón P, Rodríguez-Artalejo F, Lopez-Garcia E. Mediterranean
427 Diet and Changes in Sleep Duration and Indicators of Sleep Quality in Older Adults. *Sleep*.
428 2017;40(3). doi:10.1093/sleep/zsw083
- 429 17. Godos J, Ferri R, Caraci F, et al. Adherence to the Mediterranean Diet is Associated with
430 Better Sleep Quality in Italian Adults. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(5):E976. doi:10.3390/nu11050976
- 431 18. Iacovides S, Goble D, Paterson B, Meiring RM. Three consecutive weeks of nutritional
432 ketosis has no effect on cognitive function, sleep, and mood compared with a high-
433 carbohydrate, low-fat diet in healthy individuals: a randomized, crossover, controlled trial.
434 *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2019;110(2):349-357. doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqz073
- 435 19. Zhang Y, Chen C, Luo J, et al. Long-chain omega-3 fatty acids, selenium, and mercury in
436 relation to sleep duration and sleep quality: findings from the CARDIA study. *Eur J Nutr*.
437 2022;61(2):753-762. doi:10.1007/s00394-021-02682-1
- 438 20. Firmann M, Mayor V, Vidal PM, et al. The CoLaus study: a population-based study to
439 investigate the epidemiology and genetic determinants of cardiovascular risk factors and
440 metabolic syndrome. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2008;8:6. doi:10.1186/1471-2261-8-6
- 441 21. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality
442 Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res*.
443 1989;28(2):193-213. doi:10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4
- 444 22. Bernstein L, Huot IM. Amélioration des performances d'un questionnaire alimentaire semi-
445 quantitatif comparé à un rappel des 24 heures. *Sante Publique (Bucur)*. 1995;7(4):403-413.
- 446 23. Beer-Borst S, Costanza MC, Pechère-Bertschi A, Morabia A. Twelve-year trends and
447 correlates of dietary salt intakes for the general adult population of Geneva, Switzerland.

- 448 *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2009;63(2):155-164. doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602922
- 449 24. Trichopoulou A, Costacou T, Bamia C, Trichopoulos D. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet
450 and survival in a Greek population. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;348(26):2599-2608.
451 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa025039
- 452 25. Vormund K, Braun J, Rohrmann S, Bopp M, Ballmer P, Faeh D. Mediterranean diet and
453 mortality in Switzerland: an alpine paradox? *Eur J Nutr.* 2015;54(1):139-148.
454 doi:10.1007/s00394-014-0695-y
- 455 26. Walter P, Infanger E, Mühlemann P. Food Pyramid of the Swiss Society for Nutrition. *Ann*
456 *Nutr Metab.* 2007;51(Suppl. 2):15-20. doi:10.1159/000103562
- 457 27. Pyramide alimentaire. Société Suisse de Nutrition SSN. <https://www.sge-ssn.ch/fr/toi-et->
458 [moi/boire-et-manger/equilibre-alimentaire/pyramide-alimentaire-suisse/](https://www.sge-ssn.ch/fr/toi-et-moi/boire-et-manger/equilibre-alimentaire/pyramide-alimentaire-suisse/). Accessed
459 November 2, 2022.
- 460 28. Narduzzi S, Golini MN, Porta D, Stafoggia M, Forastiere F. [Inverse probability weighting
461 (IPW) for evaluating and “correcting” selection bias]. *Epidemiol Prev.* 2014;38(5):335-341.
- 462 29. Wilson K, St-Onge MP, Tasali E. Diet Composition and Objectively Assessed Sleep
463 Quality: A Narrative Review. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* 2022;122(6):1182-1195.
464 doi:10.1016/j.jand.2022.01.007
- 465 30. Cj B, Sw C, MI B. Monounsaturated fat intake is associated with improved sleep quality in
466 pregnancy. *Midwifery.* 2019;78. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2019.07.019
- 467 31. Dai Y, Liu J. Omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid and sleep: a systematic review
468 and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and longitudinal studies. *Nutr Rev.*
469 2021;79(8):847-868. doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuaa103
- 470 32. Marques-Vidal P, Schaller R, Vollenweider P, et al. The association between objective sleep

- 471 duration and diet. The CoLaus|HypnoLaus study. *Clin Nutr ESPEN*. 2022;48:313-320.
472 doi:10.1016/j.clnesp.2022.01.028
- 473 33. de Oliveira DL, Hirotsu C, Tufik S, Andersen ML. The interfaces between vitamin D, sleep
474 and pain. *J Endocrinol*. 2017;234(1):R23-R36. doi:10.1530/JOE-16-0514
- 475 34. Frank S, Gonzalez K, Lee-Ang L, Young MC, Tamez M, Mattei J. Diet and Sleep
476 Physiology: Public Health and Clinical Implications. *Front Neurol*. 2017;8:393.
477 doi:10.3389/fneur.2017.00393
- 478 35. Komada Y, Okajima I, Kuwata T. The Effects of Milk and Dairy Products on Sleep: A
479 Systematic Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(24):E9440.
480 doi:10.3390/ijerph17249440
- 481 36. Moss K, Zhang Y, Kreutzer A, et al. The Relationship Between Dietary Intake and Sleep
482 Quality in Endurance Athletes. *Front Sports Act Living*. 2022;4:810402.
483 doi:10.3389/fspor.2022.810402
- 484 37. St-Onge MP, Mikic A, Pietrolungo CE. Effects of Diet on Sleep Quality. *Adv Nutr Bethesda*
485 *Md*. 2016;7(5):938-949. doi:10.3945/an.116.012336
- 486 38. Taira K, Tanaka H, Arakawa M, Nagahama N, Uza M, Shirakawa S. Sleep health and
487 lifestyle of elderly people in Ogimi, a village of longevity. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*.
488 2002;56(3):243-244. doi:10.1046/j.1440-1819.2002.01014.x
- 489 39. Stelmach-Mardas M, Iqbal K, Mardas M, et al. Synchronic inverse seasonal rhythmus of
490 energy density of food intake and sleep quality: a contribution to chrono-nutrition from a
491 Polish adult population. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2017;71(6):718-722. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2016.229
- 492 40. St-Onge MP, Roberts A, Shechter A, Choudhury AR. Fiber and Saturated Fat Are
493 Associated with Sleep Arousals and Slow Wave Sleep. *J Clin Sleep Med JCSM Off Publ Am*

- 494 *Acad Sleep Med.* 2016;12(1):19-24. doi:10.5664/jcsm.5384
- 495 41. Zeraattalab-Motlagh S, Jayedi A, Shab-Bidar S. Mediterranean dietary pattern and the risk
496 of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective
497 cohort studies. *Eur J Nutr.* 2022;61(4):1735-1748. doi:10.1007/s00394-021-02761-3
- 498 42. Rosato V, Temple NJ, La Vecchia C, Castellan G, Tavani A, Guercio V. Mediterranean diet
499 and cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies.
500 *Eur J Nutr.* 2019;58(1):173-191. doi:10.1007/s00394-017-1582-0
- 501 43. Yang TH, Chen YC, Ou TH, Chien YW. Dietary supplement of tomato can accelerate
502 urinary aMT6s level and improve sleep quality in obese postmenopausal women. *Clin Nutr*
503 *Edinb Scotl.* 2020;39(1):291-297. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2019.02.009
- 504 44. Soltanieh S, Solgi S, Ansari M, Santos HO, Abbasi B. Effect of sleep duration on dietary
505 intake, desire to eat, measures of food intake and metabolic hormones: A systematic review
506 of clinical trials. *Clin Nutr ESPEN.* 2021;45:55-65. doi:10.1016/j.clnesp.2021.07.029
- 507 45. Kleiser C, Wawro N, Stelmach-Mardas M, et al. Are sleep duration, midpoint of sleep and
508 sleep quality associated with dietary intake among Bavarian adults? *Eur J Clin Nutr.*
509 2017;71(5):631-637. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2016.264
- 510

511 **Figure legends**

512 **Figure 1:** volcano plot showing Spearman correlation coefficients on the X-axis and $-\log_{10}(\text{p-}$
513 value) in the Y-axis between sleep quality as defined by the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index and
514 daily consumption of the 97 items composing the food frequency questionnaire for the first
515 (circles), second (triangles) and third (squares) follow-ups of the CoLaus|PsyCoLaus study,
516 Lausanne, Switzerland. Negative correlations indicate a beneficial effect on sleep quality, while
517 positive correlations indicate a deleterious effect. The horizontal lines indicate the p-values of 0.05
518 (long dashes) and 0.01 (short dashes).

519 **Tables**

520 **Table 1:** Characteristics of participants according to sleep quality, stratified by study period, CoLaus|PsyCoLaus study, Lausanne,
 521 Switzerland.

	2009-2012			2014-2017			2018-2021		
	PSQI≤5	PSQI>5	P-value	PSQI≤5	PSQI>5	P-value	PSQI≤5	PSQI>5	P-value
Sample size	2525	1332		1557	793		1126	491	
Women (%)	1251 (49.5)	794 (59.6)	<0.001	777 (49.3)	456 (57.5)	<0.001	534 (47.4)	309 (62.9)	<0.001
Age (years)	56.7 ± 10.3	58.2 ± 10.5	<0.001	60.1 ± 9.2	61.9 ± 9.8	<0.001	63.3 ± 8.9	63.9 ± 9.3	0.254
Education (%)			<0.001			0.205			0.408
Low	1196 (47.4)	717 (53.8)		693 (44.0)	374 (47.2)		487 (43.3)	230 (46.8)	
Middle	706 (28.0)	347 (26.1)		453 (28.7)	227 (28.6)		344 (30.6)	141 (28.7)	
High	623 (24.7)	268 (20.1)		431 (27.3)	192 (24.2)		295 (26.2)	120 (24.4)	
Living alone (%)	974 (38.6)	626 (47.0)	<0.001	376 (28.2)	259 (39.4)	<0.001	291 (30.8)	165 (40.7)	<0.001
Smoking (%)			0.880			0.726			0.881
Never	1045 (41.4)	558 (41.9)		672 (42.6)	328 (41.4)		484 (43.0)	205 (41.8)	
Former	951 (37.7)	504 (37.8)		630 (40.0)	317 (40.0)		447 (39.7)	201 (40.9)	
Current	529 (21.0)	270 (20.3)		275 (17.4)	148 (18.7)		195 (17.3)	85 (17.3)	
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.9 ± 4.3	26.1 ± 4.6	0.165	25.9 ± 4.4	26.2 ± 4.4	0.253	26.0 ± 4.3	26.0 ± 4.7	0.908
BMI categories			0.446			0.532			0.079
Normal	1150 (45.5)	591 (44.4)		715 (45.3)	343 (43.3)		495 (44.0)	235 (47.9)	
Overweight	993 (39.3)	519 (39.0)		624 (39.6)	319 (40.2)		440 (39.1)	163 (33.2)	
Obese	382 (15.1)	222 (16.7)		238 (15.1)	131 (16.5)		191 (17.0)	93 (18.9)	
Diet (%)	733 (29.0)	468 (35.1)	<0.001	349 (22.1)	180 (22.7)	0.754	309 (27.4)	143 (29.1)	0.488
Hypertension (%)	955 (37.8)	594 (44.6)	<0.001	623 (40.0)	343 (43.3)	0.080	518 (46)	238 (48.5)	0.360
Diabetes (%)	232 (9.2)	134 (10.1)	0.380	100 (6.3)	73 (9.2)	0.011	82 (7.3)	34 (6.9)	0.798
Sleep medicines (%)	45 (1.8)	235 (17.7)	<0.001	33 (2.1)	145 (12.3)	<0.001	20 (1.8)	85 (17.3)	<0.001

522 BMI, body mass index; PSQI, Pittsburgh sleep quality index. Results are expressed as number of participants (percentage) for categorical
 523 variables and as average±standard deviation for continuous variables Between-group comparisons performed using chi-square for
 524 categorical variables and student's t-test for continuous variables.

525 **Table 2:** Bivariate associations between sleep quality and dietary intake, stratified by study period, CoLaus|PsyCoLaus study,
 526 Lausanne, Switzerland.

	2009-2012			2014-2017			2018-2021		
	PSQI≤5	PSQI>5	P-value	PSQI≤5	PSQI>5	P-value	PSQI≤5	PSQI>5	P-value
Sample size	2525	1332		1557	793		1126	491	
Nutrients (as % of TEI)									
Total protein	15.5 ± 3.2	15.5 ± 3.4	0.574	15.9 ± 3.4	15.7 ± 3.1	0.159	15.8 ± 3.3	15.7 ± 2.9	0.436
Vegetal protein	4.7 ± 1.2	4.6 ± 1.2	0.417	4.6 ± 1.1	4.5 ± 1.2	0.499	4.5 ± 1.1	4.6 ± 1.2	0.323
Animal protein	10.9 ± 3.6	10.8 ± 3.8	0.809	11.3 ± 3.7	11.2 ± 3.5	0.290	11.3 ± 3.7	11.1 ± 3.3	0.325
Total carbohydrates	46.4 ± 8.6	46.4 ± 9.2	0.958	44.7 ± 8.7	44.4 ± 9.1	0.425	43.5 ± 8.8	43.4 ± 8.8	0.829
Monosaccharides	23.4 ± 8.2	23.6 ± 8.3	0.412	22.8 ± 7.9	22.3 ± 7.9	0.155	22.2 ± 8.2	22.0 ± 7.6	0.733
Polysaccharides	22.9 ± 7.6	22.7 ± 7.9	0.368	21.8 ± 7.3	22.0 ± 7.8	0.590	21.3 ± 7.1	21.3 ± 7.4	0.875
Total fat	33.9 ± 6.5	33.8 ± 7.0	0.490	35.4 ± 6.8	35.5 ± 7.1	0.808	36.5 ± 6.9	36.3 ± 6.7	0.662
Saturated fat	12.6 ± 3.2	12.4 ± 3.3	0.160	12.9 ± 3.2	12.9 ± 3.3	0.542	13.4 ± 3.3	13.1 ± 3.1	0.156
Monounsaturated fat	13.6 ± 3.5	13.6 ± 3.8	0.964	14.6 ± 3.9	14.6 ± 4.0	0.871	15.1 ± 4.0	15.2 ± 4.0	0.744
Polyunsaturated fat	4.7 ± 1.5	4.8 ± 1.5	0.560	4.8 ± 1.4	4.9 ± 1.4	0.407	4.8 ± 1.3	4.9 ± 1.4	0.471
Dietary scores									
Mediterranean ^a	4.0 ± 1.5	3.9 ± 1.5	0.060	4.1 ± 1.5	3.9 ± 1.5	0.006	3.9 ± 1.5	4.0 ± 1.5	0.887
Mediterranean ^b	4.7 ± 1.9	4.6 ± 2.0	0.019	4.7 ± 2.0	4.5 ± 1.9	0.026	4.5 ± 2.0	4.6 ± 1.9	0.347
AHEI	31.9 ± 9.9	32.3 ± 10.3	0.278	32.2 ± 9.9	31.9 ± 9.9	0.465	31.6 ± 10	32.4 ± 9.8	0.146
Dietary guidelines									
Fruits ≥ 2/day	1038 (41.1)	549 (41.2)	0.949	705 (45.0)	305 (39.0)	0.005	444 (39.9)	204 (42.2)	0.391
Vegetables ≥ 3/day	174 (6.9)	91 (6.8)	0.945	128 (8.2)	55 (7.0)	0.340	75 (6.7)	26 (5.4)	0.300
Meat ≤ 5/week	1564 (61.9)	789 (59.2)	0.101	905 (57.7)	446 (57.0)	0.765	690 (62.2)	285 (59.3)	0.274
Fish all ≥ 1/week	1689 (66.9)	882 (66.2)	0.672	1119 (71.4)	560 (71.3)	0.989	779 (69.8)	335 (68.8)	0.685
Fish not fried ≥ 1/week	1014 (40.2)	536 (40.2)	0.961	765 (48.7)	337 (42.9)	0.008	209 (18.7)	86 (17.6)	0.616
Dairy ≥ 3/day	188 (7.5)	116 (8.7)	0.166	110 (7.0)	67 (8.6)	0.169	66 (6.0)	38 (7.9)	0.147
At least 3 guidelines ^c	586 (23.2)	306 (23.0)	0.869	393 (25.2)	177 (22.8)	0.212	260 (23.7)	107 (22.6)	0.621
At least 3 guidelines ^d	435 (17.2)	218 (16.4)	0.498	304 (19.5)	128 (16.5)	0.083	435 (39.7)	183 (38.6)	0.697

527 ^a, according to Trichopoulo et al.; ^b, according to Vormund et al.; ^c, using all types of fish; ^d, excluding fried fish. AHEI, alternative
 528 healthy eating index; PSQI, Pittsburgh sleep quality index; TEI, total energy intake. Results are expressed as number of participants
 529 (percentage) for categorical variables and as average±standard deviation for continuous variables Between-group comparisons
 530 performed using chi-square for categorical variables and student's t-test for continuous variables.

531 **Table 3:** Multivariable analysis of the associations between sleep quality and dietary intake, stratified by study period,
 532 CoLaus|PsyCoLaus study, Lausanne, Switzerland.

	2009-2012			2014-2017			2018-2021		
	PSQI \leq 5	PSQI $>$ 5	P-value	PSQI \leq 5	PSQI $>$ 5	P-value	PSQI \leq 5	PSQI $>$ 5	P-value
Sample size	2525	1332		1557	793		1126	491	
Nutrients (as % of TEI)									
Total protein	15.5 \pm 0.1	15.5 \pm 0.1	0.814	15.8 \pm 0.1	15.7 \pm 0.1	0.329	15.8 \pm 0.1	15.7 \pm 0.2	0.713
Vegetal protein	4.66 \pm 0.02	4.63 \pm 0.03	0.481	4.57 \pm 0.03	4.49 \pm 0.05	0.159	4.53 \pm 0.04	4.49 \pm 0.06	0.597
Animal protein	10.9 \pm 0.1	10.9 \pm 0.1	0.988	11.3 \pm 0.1	11.2 \pm 0.1	0.664	11.3 \pm 0.1	11.2 \pm 0.2	0.881
Total carbohydrates	46.4 \pm 0.2	46.4 \pm 0.2	0.758	45.0 \pm 0.2	44.4 \pm 0.3	0.205	43.8 \pm 0.3	43.1 \pm 0.5	0.169
Monosaccharides	23.5 \pm 0.2	23.4 \pm 0.2	0.720	22.9 \pm 0.2	22.1 \pm 0.3	0.033	22.4 \pm 0.3	21.4 \pm 0.4	0.046
Polysaccharides	22.8 \pm 0.2	22.8 \pm 0.2	0.959	21.9 \pm 0.2	22.2 \pm 0.3	0.461	21.3 \pm 0.2	21.5 \pm 0.4	0.612
Total fat	33.9 \pm 0.1	33.8 \pm 0.2	0.579	35.3 \pm 0.2	35.4 \pm 0.3	0.716	36.5 \pm 0.2	36.2 \pm 0.3	0.601
Saturated fat	12.6 \pm 0.1	12.4 \pm 0.1	0.231	12.8 \pm 0.1	12.9 \pm 0.1	0.394	13.3 \pm 0.1	13.2 \pm 0.2	0.741
Monounsaturated fat	13.6 \pm 0.1	13.6 \pm 0.1	0.835	14.5 \pm 0.1	14.6 \pm 0.2	0.844	15.1 \pm 0.1	15.1 \pm 0.2	0.870
Polyunsaturated fat	4.72 \pm 0.03	4.74 \pm 0.04	0.702	4.81 \pm 0.04	4.88 \pm 0.06	0.311	4.85 \pm 0.04	4.84 \pm 0.07	0.870
Dietary patterns									
Mediterranean ^a	4.00 \pm 0.03	3.95 \pm 0.04	0.400	4.12 \pm 0.04	3.95 \pm 0.06	0.028	4.01 \pm 0.05	4.02 \pm 0.08	0.927
Mediterranean ^b	4.72 \pm 0.04	4.62 \pm 0.05	0.136	4.86 \pm 0.06	4.53 \pm 0.09	0.002	4.60 \pm 0.07	4.70 \pm 0.11	0.436
AHEI	31.9 \pm 0.2	32.2 \pm 0.3	0.350	32.5 \pm 0.3	32.0 \pm 0.4	0.318	32.1 \pm 0.3	32.2 \pm 0.5	0.887
Dietary guidelines									
Fruits \geq 2/day	1 (ref.)	0.92 (0.79-1.06)	0.252	1 (ref.)	0.71 (0.57-0.87)	0.001	1 (ref.)	0.95 (0.74-1.23)	0.715
Vegetables \geq 3/day	1 (ref.)	0.94 (0.71-1.25)	0.687	1 (ref.)	0.77 (0.52-1.13)	0.175	1 (ref.)	0.68 (0.39-1.18)	0.170
Meat \leq 5/week	1 (ref.)	0.82 (0.71-0.96)	0.010	1 (ref.)	1.01 (0.82-1.24)	0.908	1 (ref.)	0.75 (0.58-0.98)	0.032
Fish all \geq 1/week	1 (ref.)	1.00 (0.86-1.16)	0.998	1 (ref.)	0.98 (0.78-1.22)	0.847	1 (ref.)	0.92 (0.70-1.21)	0.555
Fish not fried \geq 1/week	1 (ref.)	1.02 (0.89-1.18)	0.748	1 (ref.)	0.74 (0.61-0.91)	0.004	1 (ref.)	0.94 (0.68-1.29)	0.687
Dairy \geq 3/day	1 (ref.)	1.09 (0.84-1.41)	0.527	1 (ref.)	1.31 (0.91-1.89)	0.145	1 (ref.)	1.44 (0.89-2.34)	0.141
At least 3 guidelines ^c	1 (ref.)	0.90 (0.76-1.07)	0.222	1 (ref.)	0.85 (0.67-1.07)	0.166	1 (ref.)	0.77 (0.57-1.05)	0.103
At least 3 guidelines ^d	1 (ref.)	0.86 (0.71-1.04)	0.117	1 (ref.)	0.78 (0.60-1.01)	0.064	1 (ref.)	0.83 (0.64-1.08)	0.165

533 ^a, according to Trichopoulos et al.; ^b, according to Vormund et al.; ^c, using all types of fish; ^d, excluding fried fish. AHEI, alternative
 534 healthy eating index; PSQI, Pittsburgh sleep quality index; TEI, total energy intake. Multivariable analyses were conducted using

535 logistic regression for categorical outcomes, and results were expressed as odds ratio and (95% confidence interval). Multivariable
536 analyses of continuous outcomes were conducted using ANOVA and results were expressed as multivariable-adjusted
537 average±standard error. Multivariable analyses were adjusted on gender, age (continuous), education (high, middle, low), marital
538 status (living with partner, living alone), smoking (never, former, current), presence of a diet (yes, no), BMI categories (normal,
539 overweight, obese) and presence of sleep medicines (yes, no).

540 **Table 4:** correlations between sleep quality and daily amount consumed of each food group,
 541 stratified by study period, CoLaus|PsyCoLaus study, Lausanne, Switzerland.

Food group	2009-2012	p-value	2014-2017	p-value	2018-2021	p-value
Dairy	0.012	0.447	0.014	0.513	0.034	0.168
Meat	0.034	0.036	0.017	0.410	0.017	0.495
Processed meat	0.004	0.799	0.004	0.865	-0.009	0.715
Fish	0.007	0.661	-0.051	0.013	-0.004	0.877
Vegetables	0.010	0.542	-0.061	0.003	0.009	0.723
Fruit	-0.012	0.476	-0.059	0.004	-0.015	0.559
Alcohol	-0.011	0.482	-0.004	0.833	-0.033	0.188

542 Results are expressed as Spearman correlation coefficients between the PSQI score (as a
 543 continuous variable) and the daily amounts consumed for each food group.