1	Whole-genome sequencing revealed independent emergence of
2	vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium causing sequential
3	outbreaks over three years in a tertiary care hospital
4	
5	Mohamed H.H Abdelbary ¹ , Laurence Senn ¹ , Gilbert Greub ² , Gregory Chaillou ¹ , Estelle
6	Moulin ¹ , Dominique S. Blanc ¹
7	
8	1. Service of hospital preventive medicine, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne,
9	Switzerland
10	2. Institute of Microbiology, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland
11	
12	Key words: Enterococcus faecium, genome sequencing, outbreak, vancomycin
13	resistance, transmission, infection control
14	
15	Corresponding author:
16	Dominique Blanc
17	Service of Hospital Preventive Medicine
18	Lausanne University Hospital
19	Rue du Bugnon 46
20	1011 Lausanne, Switzerland
21	e-mail: Dominique.Blanc@chuv.ch
22	

23

24 Abstract

25 Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium (VREfm) emerged as an important cause of nosocomial infections worldwide. Previous studies based on molecular typing 26 27 revealed that VREfm outbreaks are mainly associated with a particular genetic lineage, 28 namely clonal complex 17 (CC17), which harbours either vanA or vanB gene cluster. 29 The University Hospital of Lausanne faced several VREfm episodes of transmissions 30 between 2014 and 2017. In this study, we used whole-genome sequencing (WGS) to 31 investigate the relatedness of 183 VREfm isolates collected from 156 patients. 32 Sequence type (ST) 17, ST80 and ST117 were the most predominant clones. Based 33 on epidemiological data, 10 outbreaks were identified, which were caused by at least 34 13 distinct genotypes. The majority of isolates involved in outbreaks (91%) differed by 35 only 0 to 3 SNPs. Four outbreaks involved more than one genotype and half of the 36 cases considered as sporadic were possibly linked to an outbreak. By sequencing all 37 isolates, we were able to better understand our local epidemiology of VREfm. The 38 polyclonal structure observed between the different outbreaks strains, the high level of 39 recombination detected in isolates, the time elapsed between admission and the first 40 VREfm detection and the negative screening at admission support the hypothesis of 41 the emergence of new VREfm clones within the hospitalized population.

43 Introduction

Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VREfm) colonization and infection represent a major problem mostly in the hospital setting. Since VREfm was first reported in 1988 in the United Kingdom and in France, it emerged worldwide causing outbreaks (1, 2). Patients infected by VREfm are likely to have longer hospitalization stays and exhibit higher mortality rates compared to vancomycin-susceptible infections (3, 4). In addition, asymptomatic VREfm colonized patients can serve as potential sources for transmission and environmental contamination.

51 VREfm belonging to clonal complex 17 (CC17) has been successfully disseminated in 52 hospital settings and became endemic in many countries. *E. faecium* encode 53 resistance to vancomycin through of *van* gene clusters of either type A (*vanA*) or B 54 (*vanB*). Previous studies have shown that VREfm with *vanA* type are widely spread in 55 the United States, Europe, Korea, Africa and South America, while VREfm with *vanB* 56 are predominant in Australia and Singapore (5).

57 Transmission is suspected when two or more VREfm-positive patients are identified in 58 the same unit during an overlapping period. Genotyping of isolates should complement 59 this epidemiological approach, especially when whole-genome sequencing techniques 60 are used, providing greater discrimination between isolates (6-8).

Here, we report the findings of a prospective study of several sequential VREfm outbreaks, which occurred between 2014 and 2017 at the University Hospital of Lausanne, Switzerland. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) was used to assess the genetic relatedness among 183 VREfm isolates collected from 156 patients during this period. In addition, we investigated the evolution of VREfm within individual long-term carriers.

67

68 Materials and methods

Setting, case definition and infection control measures. The University Hospital of 69 70 Lausanne is a 1100-bed tertiary care hospital with 1 to 5-bedrooms, which faced 71 several VRE outbreaks in 2011, 2015 and 2016. A case was defined as a patient 72 colonized/infected with VREfm during a hospitalization stay. All VRE cases were 73 placed on contact isolation. Contact patients (i.e. patients who shared the same room 74 or the same open unit of a new VRE case during at least 24 hours in the last month) 75 were screened and placed on contact isolation until at least 3 rectal samples taken a 76 week apart were found negative for VRE. When one or more contacts were found 77 positive, all patients from the unit were screened on a weekly basis and at discharge 78 until no new case was revealed.

Laboratory. Screening for VRE was performed by culturing a rectal swab or a stool sample in an enrichment brain-heart infusion with 3.3 mg/L of vancomycin and chromogenic selective agar plates (Biorad, Marnes Ia Coquette, France). Identification was confirmed with MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker, Daltonics, Germany) and the presence of the *van* genes was assessed using the Xpert vanA/vanB rapid test (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). At least one isolate per patient was stored at -80 °C for further analysis.

Epidemiological definition. Epidemiological links between cases were considered i) between a new case and positive patients identified following the screening of contact patients, ii) new cases identified in the same unit during weekly screening and iii) after reviewing the hospitalisation chart of patients and the detection of links with VREpositive cases during previous hospitalisation. An outbreak was defined as two or more cases with epidemiological links. A case with no epidemiological links was considered as a single case. Bacterial isolates. In this study, we analysed a total of 183 consecutive VREfm
isolates. They were collected during successive outbreaks occurring between January
2014 and May 2017 at the University hospital of Lausanne. For only 10 patients, the
VREfm was detected in a clinical sample, for the others patients, the VRE (n=146)
were detected from screening samples (Table S1).

98 Whole-genome sequencing. Genomic DNA of isolates was extracted using the 99 Wizard Genomic DNA Purification kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the 100 manufacturer's instructions. The extracted DNA was quantified by the Qubit double-101 stranded DNA high-sensitivity (HS) assay kit (Life Technologies, Waltham, MA, USA). 102 Sequencing library preparation was carried out using Nextera XT DNA Library 103 Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) with indexed adapters, following the 104 manufacturer's guidelines, followed by sequencing using version 2 chemistry protocol 105 on the Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) to generate 150 bp 106 paired-end reads.

107 Sequence data analysis and core-genome phylogeny. In silico multi-locus 108 sequence typing (MLST) analysis and identification of STs were performed using 109 SRST2 pipeline (9). Sequence reads of the 183 VREfm were mapped to the VREfm 110 reference genome AUS004 (GenBank accession number: NC-017022) using Snippy 111 version 3.1 pipeline (https://github.com/tseemann/snippy). Furthermore, Snippy used 112 BWA-MEM version 0.7.15 (10) for aligning reads against the reference genome, while 113 Freebayes version 1.0.2 (11) was used for variant calling. Single nucleotide 114 polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified based on the following parameters: first, a 115 minimum read coverage of 10 x, a minimum base guality score of 30, and a minimum 116 proportion for variant evidence of 0.9. SNPs found within the core-genome of the 183 117 VREfm isolates (1,755,155 bp in size) were included, while SNPs located within mobile

118 genetic elements and repetitive regions were excluded. Briefly, mobile genetic 119 elements such as insertion sequences, transposons, and conjugative plasmids were 120 identified from the reference genome annotations AUS004 (GenBank accession 121 numbers: NC-017022) and the repeat-match algorithm that is implemented in MUMmer 122 package version 3.23 (12), while putative prophages and repetitive regions were 123 identified using PHAST (phast.wishartlab.com). Gubbins version 2.2.2 (13) with default 124 settings was used to identify and exclude regions of high SNPs densities and 125 suspected to undergo recombination events based on the isolates' phylogeny. 126 Subsequently, the final alignment of non-recombinant core genome SNPs was 127 generated and used to construct a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree using PhyML, 128 which was visualized with the Seaview software version 4.6 (14).

A cluster was defined as a group of isolates showing a high degree of similarity basedon SNPs analysis, suggesting they belong to the same chain of transmission.

131 Detection of antibiotic resistance and virulence genes. The determination of 132 acquired resistance and putative virulence genes were performed through mapping 133 and from de novo assembled using both SRST2 and ARIBA (rapid antimicrobial 134 resistance genotyping directly from sequencing reads) 135 (http://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2017/03/18/118000) with default settings. 136 public ANNOT respectively. Furthermore. the databases ARG-137 (http://en.mediterranee-infection.com/article.php?laref=283&titre=arg-annot) and 138 CARD (15) were used as a reference for detecting the antimicrobial resistance 139 determinants, while the VFDB database (16) was used for identifying the virulence 140 factor genes. To study the genetic variation within vanA and vanB transposons among 141 the investigated isolates, sequence reads were mapped against Tn1549 (ENA

accession number M97297) and Aus0004 (2835430-2869240 bp) reference genomes,
respectively, using the Snippy pipeline version 3.1.

144

145 **Results**

146 From January 2014 to May 2017, 156 patients were found infected/colonized with 147 VREfm; the majority being hospitalized in the visceral surgery ward (n=76), the medical 148 ward (n=33), the septic surgical ward (n=25) and the intensive care units (n=10). A 149 total of 183 VREfm isolates were sequenced (one per patient for 146 patients, 2-17 150 isolates for 10 patients). Outbreaks were defined on epidemiological links as described 151 in Material and Methods. During the study period, a total of ten outbreaks were 152 recorded (Figure 1 and Table S1). The median age of VREfm positive patients was 66 153 years (range 1 to 96). The median number of days between patients' admission and 154 first detection of VREfm was 25 days (range 0 to 280). Moreover, temporary universal 155 VRE screening of patients at admission in the surgical unit during six weeks revealed 156 only one VREfm case out of 187, suggesting intra-hospital emergence of vancomycin-157 resistance in *E. faecium* instead of importation.

158 **Population structure of VREfm within the hospital setting**

In silico MLST analysis revealed eleven different STs among the 156 patients: ST80 (n = 77), ST117 (n = 36) and ST17 (n = 30) were the most prevalent STs, while few isolates were assigned to ST203 (n = 3), ST18 (n = 3), and ST192 (n = 2). In addition, ST82, ST182, ST412 and ST721 were represented each by a single isolate, and one remaining isolate had a novel ST (not assigned to any of the previously published STs) (Table S1).

165 **Recombination**

166 Using Gubbins, a high rate of recombination events was detected, especially among 167 VREfm isolates that belongs to ST80 (216 recombination events) and ST117 (95 168 recombination events) (Figure S1). These recombination events were distributed over 169 the entire genomes of both ST80 and ST117 and varied broadly in size between 19 bp 170 to 109,812 bp and between 31 bp to 93,428 bp, respectively. The majority of these 171 recombination events were due to the acquisition of insertion sequences that encode 172 for antimicrobial resistance and phosphotransferase system (PTS). Consequently, the 173 entire SNPs detected within the identified recombinant sequences were excluded from 174 the final core-genome SNPs alignment that was used to build the phylogeny of the 175 investigated VREfm isolates.

176 **Phylogenetic analysis**

177 The phylogenetic analysis based on core genome SNPs of the 183 VREfm revealed 178 that isolate H32990 (ST82) was distantly related to the remaining 182 isolates and, 179 therefore, was used as an outgroup to root the phylogenetic tree. Three major 180 phylogenetic clades were identified, namely, clade ST117, clade ST17 and clade 181 ST80-ST18 (Figure 2), which were correlated to the different successive VREfm 182 outbreaks, suggesting clonal disseminations of different VREfm clones within the 183 hospital. The monophyletic clade ST17 corresponded to the first and second outbreaks 184 and consisted of 51 ST17 VREfm isolates with a median SNP difference of zero (range 185 0-2), suggesting direct transmission between patients.

In contrast, clade ST80-ST18 was subdivided into six distinct clusters (ST80-A, ST80B, ST80-C, ST80-D, ST80-E and ST18), which represented different lineages
introduced into the hospital on different occasions (Figure 2).

189 With exception of three isolates, all VREfm ST117 isolates were grouped into the same 190 clade, which is subdivided into four distinct clusters (ST117-A, ST117-B, ST117-C and

ST117-D). Pairwise SNPs distance analysis revealed that isolates within each cluster of clades ST80-ST18 and clade ST117 were closely related with a median SNP difference of 0-2 SNPs (range 0-5), with exception of cluster ST117-D that had a range of 0 to 51 SNPs. This wide range of SNPs was due to isolate H32231, which is single locus variant from ST117 and differed by 49 to 51 SNPs compared to the remaining isolates within this cluster. Noteworthy, the inter-clades/clusters median SNPs differences were considerably high with up to 50 SNPs (range 2-82).

198 Seventeen patients carried an isolate that was not closely related to another isolate199 (unique genotypes).

200 Comparison between epidemiological and genotyping data

201 The relation between outbreaks (documented based on epidemiological data) and 202 genetic clusters (defined based on genomic data) is shown in Figure 3. This figure is 203 highly informative. First, among the 129 cases involved in outbreaks, 109 (91%) 204 belonged to the same genetic clusters. The remaining 20 cases (16%) could be 205 excluded from the transmission chains because they carried an isolate genetically 206 different. In four of the ten outbreaks, patients with a unique genotype or belonging to 207 another cluster were observed, excluding these cases from the transmission chain. 208 More interesting, on one occasion, a small outbreak (#1, Figures 1 and 3) was followed 209 two months later by a larger outbreak (#2), suggesting the resurgence of transmission 210 that was considered under control. On the other hand, among the 27 cases with no 211 epidemiological link with other cases (singles), only 12 carried a unique VRE genotype, 212 the other 15 carried isolates genetically highly similar to isolates from other cases, 213 strongly suggesting they were associated to some putative chains of transmission. We 214 also observed patients hospitalized at different times, with no obvious epidemiological 215 link, but carrying isolates belonging to the same cluster and therefore putative transmission (ST80-A, ST117-C, ST117-D and ST117-E). The most striking example
is cluster ST117-D which was first observed in a single case in March 2016, followed
by 5 cases between October 2016 and January 2017 that were detected during weekly
screenings performed to control outbreak #8 (cluster ST80-D), and a last case in May
2017. These observations strongly suggest the existence of undetected carriers.

221 Genetic characterisation of VRE isolates

In this study, the antimicrobial resistance genes detected in the 183 VREfm isolates genomes are summarized in Table S2. The majority harboured the *vanA* gene (91.3%), whereas the remaining 8.7% carried the *vanB* gene. In addition, the presence or absence of antimicrobial resistance genes was consistent within each cluster (Table S2).

227 To estimate the number of different origins of the *van* genes in our isolates' collection, 228 the genetic contents of van transposon were investigated. Sixteen isolates carried the 229 vanB genes and constituted clusters ST18, ST117-A and ST117-B. Mapping the vanB 230 transposon of these isolates against the vanB transposon of the reference genome 231 AUS004 (GenBank accession number: NC-017022) grouped the isolates into three 232 distinct clusters with 8 to 11 SNPs differences among them. The tree topology agreed 233 with the core-genome SNPs phylogeny (Figure S2). Similar analysis was performed 234 with the vanA transposon, showing a limited diversification (zero to five SNPs) and no 235 correlation with clusters (data not shown).

Several genes conferring resistance to various aminoglycosides were detected among the isolates collection. Most of these genes were associated with particular phylogenetic clusters. For example, all 33 isolates belonging to cluster ST80-D lacked the high-level gentamicin resistance aac(6')-aph(2'') gene, which was detected in 47% (86/183) of the isolates. Similarly, isolates from clusters ST80-C and ST80-D lacked

both *sat4* and *aph(3')-IIIa* genes, while ST17 isolates lacked the *ant(6')-Ia* gene that
mediate only high-level streptomycin resistance; these genes were detected in 47.5%
(87/183), 53% (97/183) and 67% (128/183) of the investigated isolates, respectively.

The *msrC*, *ermA*, *ermB*, and *ermC* genes that confer resistance to macrolides were detected in 100% (183/183), 0.5 % (1/183), 98% (180/183) and 4.9% (9/183) of the investigated VREfm isolates, respectively. The *dfr* gene, that encodes resistance to trimethoprim, was found in 46.5% (85/183) of the isolates. Of note, all isolates belonging to clade ST17 and cluster ST117-D lacked this gene.

Interestingly, mutations in *liaS* gene and/or *liaR* conferring resistance to daptomycin
were detected in 20% (37/183) of isolates, which all but one belonged to ST80 and
were mainly located in clusters ST80-B and ST80-D.

252 Similarly, screening all the 183 VREfm for putative virulence factors genes using the 253 Virulence Factor Database (VFDB) (17) revealed that all isolates but two harboured 254 the *acm* gene. In addition, clusters ST80-B and ST80-D isolates lacked pilin gene 255 cluster, while the *ecbA* gene was present in > 50% of isolates but was absent from 256 ST17 isolates (Table S2).

257 In-host evolution

258 To investigate the in-host diversity and the evolution of VREfm within host, we analysed 259 36 isolates that were sampled between 0 to 391 days apart from nine patients (P003 260 [n = 2], P008 [n = 2], P009 [n = 17], P020 [n = 2], P025 [n = 2], P033 [n = 5], P037 [n 261 = 2], P089 [n = 2] and P159 [n = 2]). For Patient P009, on two occasions, different 262 colonies from the same sample were isolated for WGS (P009-02 to P009-05; and 263 P009-08 to P009-16, Figure 2). For six patients, phylogenetic analysis revealed 264 evidence of restricted numbers of in-host variations: isolates sampled up to 391 days 265 apart differed by only 0 to 3 SNPs. Evidence for multiple colonization was found in

patients P033 and P089. Over a period of 370 days, P033 was simultaneously colonized by two different genotypes ST80-A and ST80-B which differed by a mean of 20 SNPs. The two isolates from P089 were obtained 182 days apart and belonged to two different genotypes (unique and ST117-C; 42 SNP differences), suggesting successive colonization by different genotypes.

Evidence of in-host recombination was found in patients P037 and P089. After excluding the SNPs located within recombinant genomic regions, four (P037) and 42 (P089) SNPs differences were detected among the repetitive isolates from these patients.

275 Infection control

276 These outbreaks led to reinforcement of infection control measures in units with VRE cases, including information, training and observations of health care workers. 277 278 Procedures for disinfection of the patient's environment were reinforced, and additional 279 staff was dedicated to this task. Weekly screenings by culture of all patients were 280 performed until two weeks passed without any new case. In addition, patients in units 281 with recurrent VRE outbreaks were screened on a weekly basis for several months. 282 We also transformed some of the 5-bed rooms into 2-bed rooms with sanitation. Since 283 the introduction of these infection control measures, a marked decrease in new cases 284 has been observed (N=16, including only three small outbreaks of 2, 2 and 3 cases) 285 during the year following this study.

286

287 **Discussion:**

Using WGS analysis, we prospectively investigated the epidemiology of VREfm at the University hospital of Lausanne over a period of three years. Among the 156 studied patients, thirteen clusters (genotypes) of genetically highly related isolates were

involved in the sequential outbreaks, suggesting several independent introductions of
VRE isolates into the hospital followed by direct or indirect transmission. These findings
are supported by previous studies, which revealed the emergence of several VREfm
clones within hospitals through multiple independent introductions followed by intrahospital transmissions (6, 7, 18, 19).

296 Our results showed that 91% of the cases involved in outbreaks were confirmed by 297 WGS results. Moreover, isolates of the same outbreak differed by only 0 to 3 SNPs, 298 which is in agreement with the estimated molecular clock rate of ~10 SNPs per genome 299 per year (19). Conversely, based on WGS results, we were able to completely exclude 300 patients from a chain of transmission when they were harbouring a different genotype. 301 Moreover, WGS highlighted the co-circulation of several genotypes in some wards. For 302 example, during outbreaks #3 and #9 (four patients each), only one transmission was 303 confirmed, whereas during outbreak#7, two genotypes (ST80D and ST117-D) were 304 spreading concomitantly in the ward.

305 Another added value of WGS analysis lies in its very high discriminatory power, which 306 enables to cluster patients for whom no apparent epidemiological link was recorded. 307 For example, WGS linked the first large outbreak in January 2015 to two 308 epidemiologically unsuspected cases in November 2014, which highly suggests the 309 presence of undetected cases between both episodes. This is corroborated in our 310 study by the presence of several patients hospitalized at different times, with no 311 obvious epidemiological link, but carrying isolates belonging to the same cluster. The 312 hypothesis of a persistent contamination of the environment was considered. Several 313 other factors contributing to the spread of VREfm were also identified: i) multiple 314 movements of patients within the hospital, ii) five-bed rooms and open units, iii) lack of 315 individual toilet facility, iii) suboptimal disinfection of the environment. Interestingly,

316 VREfm cases transferred from a foreign hospital, for whom contact precautions were
317 taken since admission, had a unique genotype, suggesting they were not the source
318 of transmission to other patients.

319 Investigating the in-host evolution of VREfm revealed that most patients carried 320 isolates with only 0 to 3 SNP differences despite long period of carriage (up to 391 321 days). Analysing various repetitive isolates from the same sample showed a small 322 number of SNP difference emphasising that patients harbour a collection of VREfm 323 isolates that have evolved independently following the first colonization/infection event. 324 Conversely, different genotypes were observed for two patients, suggesting 325 colonization on different occasions from various sources. These findings are consistent 326 with previous studies that suggested the carriage of several VREfm lineages by 327 patients (7, 20). Hence, a larger number of sequenced isolates per sample and per 328 patient are required to better characterize the in-host population dynamic of VREfm. 329 Of note, recombination between patient's isolates was observed, highlighting the 330 necessity to consider this diversification process when analysing SNP similarity 331 between isolates.

332 The diversity of genotypes within our population of patients raised the question of their 333 emergence. Van Hal et al. (21) hypothesised that the emergence of new clone is a 334 result of continuous recombination. Our study supports this hypothesis since a high 335 recombination rate was observed among the investigated isolates. Moreover, recent 336 studies showed that resistance to vancomycin is repeatedly introduced in the E. 337 faecium population (7, 19). A limitation of these studies was that only blood culture 338 isolates were included and carriage isolates were missing. Our study includes carriage 339 isolates and we identified various resistance patterns that were lineage specific, 340 emphasizing the role of horizontal gene transfer in the emergence of new genotypes.

341 However, the limitation of our study to investigate this hypothesis resides in the lack of 342 sequencing isolates of *E. faecium* susceptible to vancomycin. Together, these findings 343 suggest the common *de novo* emergence of VREfm. The time elapsed between 344 patients' admission and first VREfm detection (median 26 days) and the fact that only 345 one patient out of 187 was positive for VREfm at admission in a unit with recurrent 346 outbreaks suggest that the emergence occurred within the hospitalized patients. 347 Therefore, control measures should focus on (i) the prevention of VREfm emergence 348 with an effective antibiotic stewardship program and (ii) a bundle of infection control 349 actions to prevent cross transmission, particularly with early identification of cases by 350 repeated screening throughout the hospitalization as well as prompt implementation of 351 contact precautions.

In conclusion, WGS of all VREfm isolates enable us to better understand our local epidemiology. Of interest, sporadic cases were often found to be related to a past or future outbreak. The polyclonal structure observed between the different outbreaks, the high level of recombination detected in studied isolates, the time elapsed between admission and the first VREfm detection and the negative screening at admission support the hypothesis of the emergence of new VREfm clones within hospitalized patients.

359

360

361 **Note**

- 362 Current affiliation of Mohamed H.H Abdelbary: Division of Oral Microbiology and
- 363 Immunology, Department of Operative and Preventive Dentistry and Periodontology,
- 364 RWTH Aachen University Hospital, Aachen, Germany.

365 Compliance with Ethical Standards

- 366 Funding
- 367 The Service of Hospital Preventive Medicine of the Lausanne University Hospital
- 368 funded this project.

369 Conflict of Interest

370 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

371 Ethical approval

- 372 All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in
- 373 accordance with the ethical standards of the regional and national research committee
- and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical
- 375 standards.

376 Informed consent

377 For this type of study, informed consent is not required.

379

380 **References**

Woodford N, Stigter JM. Molecular investigation of glycopeptide resistance in
 gram-positive bacteria. Methods in molecular medicine. 1998;15:579-615.

383 2. Woodford N. Epidemiology of the genetic elements responsible for acquired
384 glycopeptide resistance in enterococci. Microb Drug Resist. 2001;7(3):229-36.

385 3. DiazGranados CA, Jernigan JA. Impact of vancomycin resistance on mortality
 386 among patients with neutropenia and enterococcal bloodstream infection. J Infect Dis.
 387 2005;191(4):588-95.

DiazGranados CA, Zimmer SM, Klein M, Jernigan JA. Comparison of mortality
 associated with vancomycin-resistant and vancomycin-susceptible enterococcal
 bloodstream infections: a meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis. 2005;41(3):327-33.

391 5. Talaga-Cwiertnia K, Bulanda M. Analysis of the world epidemiological situation
392 among vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* infections and the current situation
393 in Poland. Przeglad epidemiologiczny. 2018;72(1):3-15.

Pinholt M, Gumpert H, Bayliss S, Nielsen JB, Vorobieva V, Pedersen M, et al.
 Genomic analysis of 495 vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* reveals broad
 dissemination of a vanA plasmid in more than 19 clones from Copenhagen, Denmark.
 J Antimicrob Chemother. 2017;72(1):40-7.

398 7. Raven KE, Gouliouris T, Brodrick H, Coll F, Brown NM, Reynolds R, et al.
399 Complex Routes of Nosocomial Vancomycin-Resistant *Enterococcus faecium*400 Transmission Revealed by Genome Sequencing. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;64(7):886-93.

401 8. de Been M, Pinholt M, Top J, Bletz S, Mellmann A, van Schaik W, et al. Core
402 Genome Multilocus Sequence Typing Scheme for High- Resolution Typing of
403 *Enterococcus faecium*. J Clin Microbiol. 2015;53(12):3788-97.

VRE_Manuscript_Revised2.docx

404 9. Inouye M, Dashnow H, Raven LA, Schultz MB, Pope BJ, Tomita T, et al. SRST2:
405 Rapid genomic surveillance for public health and hospital microbiology labs. Genome
406 medicine. 2014;6(11):90.

407 10. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
408 transform. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(14):1754-60.

409 11. Garrison EM, Gabor. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read410 sequencing. ARXIV [Internet]. 2012.

411 12. Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, et al.
412 Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. Genome Biol.
413 2004;5(2):R12.

414 13. Croucher NJ, Page AJ, Connor TR, Delaney AJ, Keane JA, Bentley SD, et al.
415 Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole genome
416 sequences using Gubbins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(3):e15.

417 14. Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuel O. SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical
418 user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. Mol Biol Evol.
419 2010;27(2):221-4.

Jia B, Raphenya AR, Alcock B, Waglechner N, Guo P, Tsang KK, et al. CARD
2017: expansion and model-centric curation of the comprehensive antibiotic resistance
database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(D1):D566-d73.

423 16. Chen L, Yang J, Yu J, Yao Z, Sun L, Shen Y, et al. VFDB: a reference database
424 for bacterial virulence factors. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005;33(Database issue):D325-8.

425 17. Chen L, Zheng D, Liu B, Yang J, Jin Q. VFDB 2016: hierarchical and refined
426 dataset for big data analysis--10 years on. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(D1):D694-7.

427 18. Pinholt M, Larner-Svensson H, Littauer P, Moser CE, Pedersen M, Lemming

428 LE, et al. Multiple hospital outbreaks of vanA Enterococcus faecium in Denmark, 2012-

VRE_Manuscript_Revised2.docx

429 13, investigated by WGS, MLST and PFGE. J Antimicrob Chemother. 430 2015;70(9):2474-82.

431 19. Howden BP, Holt KE, Lam MM, Seemann T, Ballard S, Coombs GW, et al.
432 Genomic insights to control the emergence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. mBio.
433 2013;4(4).

434 20. Moradigaravand D, Gouliouris T, Blane B, Naydenova P, Ludden C, Crawley C,
435 et al. Within-host evolution of Enterococcus faecium during longitudinal carriage and
436 transition to bloodstream infection in immunocompromised patients. Genome
437 medicine. 2017;9(1):119.

438 21. van Hal SJ, Ip CL, Ansari MA, Wilson DJ, Espedido BA, Jensen SO, et al.
439 Evolutionary dynamics of Enterococcus faecium reveals complex genomic
440 relationships between isolates with independent emergence of vancomycin resistance.
441 Microbial genomics. 2016;2(1).

442

444

445 Figure legends

446

447 Figure 1. Incidence of VREfm cases and outbreaks identified with epidemiological448 data.

449

Figure 2. Maximum likelihood tree based on core-genome SNPs in the 182 vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* isolates collected in 156 patients from January 2014 to May 2017. MLST sequence type, isolate number and patient number are indicated. Isolates carrying the *vanA* gene are in black and *vanB* in red. A "\$" indicates isolates retrieved at admission of patients transferred from a foreign hospital.

456 Figure 3. Distribution of the different genetic clusters within outbreaks defined by457 epidemiological data

458

459

460 Supplementary materials

Figure S1. Phylogenetic tree of the 183 vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*isolates inferring recombination events using Gubbins. Red blocks represent regions
of high SNPs density detected in multiple isolates, while blue blocks represent high
SNPs density only found in a single isolate.

465 Figure S2. Phylogenetic tree reconstruction of 16 VREfm isolates based on core SNPs
466 in *vanB Tn1549*.

467 **Table S1**. Patient's epidemiological data.

468 **Table S2.** Entire resistance and virulence factors detected in the 183 VREfm isolates.

Figure 1





Figure 3





Figure S1. Phylogenetic tree of the 183 vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* isolates inferring recombination events using Gubbins. Red blocks represent regions of high SNPs density detected in multiple isolates, while blue blocks represent high SNPs density only found in a single isolate.



Figure S2. Phylogenetic tree reconstruction of 16 VREfm isolates based on core SNPs in vanB Tn1549.