

**Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL [serval.unil.ch](http://serval.unil.ch)**

## **Author Manuscript**

**Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication**

**This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.**

Published in final edited form as:

**Title:** Development and evaluation of double locus sequence typing for molecular epidemiological investigations of *Clostridium difficile*.

**Authors:** Stojanov M, Magalhaes B, Terletsky V, Basset P, Prod'homme G, Greub G, Senn L, Blanc DS

**Journal:** European journal of clinical microbiology & infectious diseases : official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology

**Year:** 2016 Feb

**Volume:** 35

**Issue:** 2

**Pages:** 175-81

**DOI:** [10.1007/s10096-015-2525-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-015-2525-4)

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.

1 **Development and evaluation of double locus sequence typing for molecular**  
2 **epidemiological investigations of *Clostridium difficile*.**

3

4 Miloš Stojanov<sup>1</sup>, Bàrbara Magalhaes<sup>1</sup>, Valery Terletsky<sup>1</sup>, Patrick Basset<sup>1</sup>, Guy Prod'hom<sup>2</sup>,  
5 Gilbert Greub<sup>2</sup>, Laurence Senn<sup>1</sup>, Dominique S. Blanc<sup>1,2</sup>.

6

7 <sup>1</sup>Service of Hospital Preventive Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne,  
8 Switzerland

9 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Microbiology, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland

10

11

12

13

14 Corresponding author:

15

16 Miloš Stojanov

17 Institute of Microbiology

18 University Hospital of Lausanne

19 Rue du Bugnon 46

20 1011 Lausanne

21 Switzerland

22 Email: milos.stojanov@chuv.ch

23 **Abstract**

24 Despite the development of novel typing methods based on whole genome sequencing, most  
25 laboratories still rely on classical molecular methods for outbreak investigation or  
26 surveillance. Reference methods for *Clostridium difficile* include ribotyping and pulsed-field  
27 gel electrophoresis, which are band-comparing methods often difficult to establish and which  
28 require reference strain collections. Here we present the double locus sequence typing (DLST)  
29 scheme as a tool to analyse *C. difficile* isolates. Using a collection of clinical *C. difficile*  
30 isolates recovered during a one-year period, we evaluated the performance of DLST and  
31 compared the results to multilocus sequence typing (MLST), a sequence-based method that  
32 has been used to study the structure of bacterial populations and highlight major clones.  
33 DLST had a higher discriminatory power compared to MLST (Simpson's index of diversity of  
34 0.979 versus 0.965) and successfully identified all isolates of the study (100% typeability).  
35 Previous studies showed that discriminatory power of ribotyping was comparable to that of  
36 MLST, thus DLST might be more discriminatory than ribotyping. DLST is easy to establish  
37 and provides several advantages, including absence of DNA extraction (PCR is performed on  
38 colonies), no specific instrumentation, low cost and unambiguous definition of types.  
39 Moreover, implementation of DLST typing scheme on an Internet database, such previously  
40 done for *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (<http://www.dlst.org>), will  
41 allow users to easily obtain the DLST type by submitting directly sequencing files and will  
42 avoid problems associated with multiple databases.

43

## 44 **Introduction**

45 During the last few decades *Clostridium difficile* has arisen as a major human pathogen  
46 mainly associated with nosocomial infections [1-3]. Disruption of the gut microbiota  
47 homeostasis due to use of antibiotics allows *C. difficile* to colonize the colon and cause a  
48 whole range of intestinal diseases, ranging from mild diarrhea to life-threatening diseases like  
49 pseudomembranous colitis [3, 4]. Novel genotypes associated to more severe clinical  
50 outcomes and outbreaks were increasingly reported throughout Europe and United States [5,  
51 6].

52 Molecular typing of clinical isolates, allowing rapid epidemiological tracking of *C.*  
53 *difficile* infections (CDI), could lead to development of more effective infection control  
54 measures that might reduce the spread of *C. difficile* between patients, yet reducing the risk of  
55 outbreaks. Recent advances in sequencing technology allowed the use of whole genome  
56 sequencing as tool for epidemiological tracking of CDI [7, 8]. Nevertheless, this technology  
57 remains restricted to few centers for research purposes and classical molecular typing  
58 methods remain essential for epidemiological investigations. The actual reference method for  
59 *C. difficile* is PCR-ribotyping, which relies on the amplification of variable DNA segments  
60 comprised between 16S and 23S rRNA genes of *rrn* operons [9]. After electrophoresis, DNA  
61 banding patterns are compared to those of reference strains and a PCR ribotype is assigned.  
62 The requirement of a reference strain collection and the lack of standardization make *de novo*  
63 implementation of this method challenging and comparison of results between laboratories  
64 often difficult. In contrast to band pattern methods, sequence-based methods are portable and  
65 definitive, offering good intra- and inter-laboratory reproducibility [10]. Multilocus sequence  
66 typing (MLST) uses the nucleotide sequence data of several (generally seven) housekeeping  
67 genes. This method is considered the gold standard to understand the global population

68 structure of a bacterial species [11, 12]. However, it is rather expensive and its discriminatory  
69 power is often relatively low to investigate local epidemiology.

70 We recently developed a typing scheme involving single strand sequencing of small  
71 fragments of only two highly variable loci (double locus sequence typing, DLST). This typing  
72 scheme allowed us to investigate the epidemiology of two major nosocomial pathogens,  
73 *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [13, 14]. Using this approach, a  
74 definitive type is assigned to strains, based on the sequence of the two alleles, and typing  
75 results can be unambiguously compared between laboratories with the help of a web-based  
76 database (<http://www.dlst.org>). In this study, we developed the DLST typing scheme for *C.*  
77 *difficile* in order to investigate the epidemiology of this bacterium. To validate the method,  
78 DLST results were compared to MLST using a collection of strains isolated at the University  
79 Hospital of Lausanne during a one-year period.

## 80 **Material and methods**

### 81 *Bacterial isolates*

82 A total of 109 *C. difficile* clinical isolates (toxigenic and non toxigenic) were collected from  
83 hospitalized patients at the Lausanne University Hospital during the year 2012  
84 (Supplementary file 1). Stools of symptomatic patients were tested for the presence of *C.*  
85 *difficile* glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) antigen and the A/B toxins with an  
86 immunochromatographic test (C. Diff. Quik Chek Complete®, Alere, Orlando, FL, USA). If  
87 positive, stools were cultured and *C. difficile* isolates were identified using standard  
88 microbiological methods. In addition to clinical isolates, a collection of 18 strains  
89 (Supplementary file 1) with known PCR ribotypes was included in the study (strains were  
90 kindly provided by F. Barbut, see Acknowledgments section). Presence of toxins was  
91 assessed by a 5-plex PCR assay targeting the toxin genes *tcdA*, *tcdB*, *cdtA* and *cdtB*, in  
92 addition to 16S rDNA as previously described [15].

### 93 *Molecular procedures for DLST*

94 Primers for amplification of loci are shown in Table 1. When required, they were designed  
95 using Primer3 software (version 2.3.4, [16]). PCR amplification of the loci was performed  
96 with the KAPA 2G Robust HotStart PCR kit (KAPA Biosystems, Cape Town, South Africa).  
97 *C. difficile* colonies were used directly as template for PCR, by transferring a small amount of  
98 colony biomass in the reaction tubes using sterile toothpicks. PCR amplification was carried  
99 out in 30- $\mu$ l reaction containing 1.25 U of Taq DNA polymerase, 1X Reaction Buffer B, 0.4  
100  $\mu$ M of each primer, and 0.2 mM of each dNTP. PCR cycling conditions consisted of 3 min of  
101 initial denaturation at 95 °C, 30 cycles of 15 sec at 95 °C, 30 sec at 60 °C and 45 sec at 72 °C  
102 and a final extension step of 3 min at 72 °C. Sequencing reactions were performed with the  
103 Big Dye Terminator kit 3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and purification of

104 sequencing products was performed with the BigDye XTerminator kit (Applied Biosystems),  
105 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purified samples were analyzed with the ABI  
106 3130xl sequencer (Applied Biosystems), according to standard protocols. For sequences of  
107 unsatisfactory quality, the whole procedure was repeated and if the absence of sequence was  
108 again obtained after the second assay, a null allele (0) was assigned to the isolate.

### 109 *Allele assignment for DLST*

110 Sequences were analyzed using the BioNumerics software version 7.0 (Applied Maths, Sint-  
111 Martens-Latem, Belgium). Increasing allele numbers were assigned sequentially to new  
112 alleles.

### 113 *Comparison between DLST and MLST*

114 Chromosomal DNA for MLST analysis was extracted with the GenElute bacterial genomic  
115 DNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland), following manufacturer's specifications.  
116 MLST was performed according to the typing scheme proposed by Griffiths et al. [17].  
117 Sequencing was performed as for DLST (see above).

118 Discriminatory power of MLST and DLST was evaluated by calculating the Simpson's  
119 index of diversity (ID), which is the probability that two strains sampled randomly in the  
120 collection belong to two different types [18]. An ID value of 1 would indicate that the typing  
121 method was able to distinguish each isolate and, conversely, an index of 0 would indicate that  
122 all isolates belong to an identical type. This coefficient was determined via an online tool  
123 ([http://biophp.org/stats/discriminatory\\_power/demo.php](http://biophp.org/stats/discriminatory_power/demo.php)).

124 The degree of congruence between DLST and MLST was calculated using the  
125 adjusted Wallace coefficient (AW), which indicates the probability that two strains belonging  
126 to one type by one method will also be classified to a same type using the other method [19].  
127 This coefficient was determined via an online tool  
128 (<http://darwin.phyloviz.net/ComparingPartitions/>).

129 ***Epidemiological investigation***

130 Probable epidemiological links between CDI cases were suspected when two or more patients  
131 were hospitalized in the same ward, under overlapping periods of time. If those patients  
132 carried isolates with the same DLST type, these epidemiological links were considered as  
133 possible.



## 134 **Results**

### 135 *Development of DLST scheme*

136 A literature search was conducted to identify highly variable loci present in *C. difficile*  
137 genomes [20, 21]. Four loci (TR6, TR10, A6 and C6) were selected and tested *in silico* on  
138 eight *C. difficile* genomes available in the NCBI database. Among these, TR6, TR10 and C6  
139 were retained for further analyses (locus A6 was not found in all strains). Specific primers  
140 located in conserved parts of C6, TR6 and TR10 loci were used to determine the sequence of  
141 the variable region containing the repeat units. As expected, amplicons of different sizes were  
142 obtained for each locus, confirming the genomic variability at these sites. Sequencing of  
143 amplicons was performed on both ends for a subset of strains and the best-performing  
144 sequencing direction (forward or reverse) was consequently chosen (Table 1). A trimming  
145 start located in the conserved region was determined for each locus and lengths of alleles were  
146 selected according to the variants that had the shortest variable region (Table 2). Allele  
147 sequences of C6, TR6 and TR10 loci and MLST types were successfully determined for all  
148 strains, with the exception of a null TR10 allele in one isolate (Table 3).

149 The Simpson's ID was calculated for combinations of two loci and the combination  
150 C6+TR6 was found to have the highest discriminatory power, almost the same as the three  
151 loci combined together (Table 3). Considering that C6 and TR6 had a typeability of 100%,  
152 their combination was the best candidate for the DLST scheme.

153 The Adjusted Wallace indexes were calculated in order to compare the congruence  
154 between DLST and MLST (Table 3). The fact that  $AW_{DLST \rightarrow MLST} = 0.877$  and  $AW_{MLST \rightarrow DLST} = 0.514$   
155 means that, if two strains are in the same cluster by DLST, they have about 88%  
156 chance of having the same MLST type, while conversely, the chance is only about 51%. This  
157 reflects the fact that, within our collection of strains, DLST was more discriminatory than  
158 MLST and that DLST may subdivide MLST types. Correspondence between DLST and

159 MLST types, as well as their distribution and presence/absence of toxin A, toxin B, and the  
160 binary toxin is shown in Table 4. For isolates with identical ribotypes (Supplementary table  
161 2), identical DLST types were also observed, with two exceptions: a single DLST variant was  
162 observed within the 4 isolates of ribotype 027 and within the 3 isolates of ribotype 078-126.

163 Stability of DLST markers was evaluated by comparing two isolates from the same  
164 patient. For 11 patients, a second isolate was available after 11 to 103 days (mean 30 days,  
165 median 20 days). For 8 of them, the same DLST type was observed in both isolates,  
166 suggesting the stability of DLST markers over this period of time. For the remaining three  
167 patients, the second isolate showed a different DLST type and a different sequence type (ST),  
168 suggesting the presence of different strains rather than a genetic evolution over time.

#### 169 ***Analysis and confirmation of transmissions***

170 The newly developed DLST typing scheme was used to investigate possible *C. difficile*  
171 transmissions at the University Hospital of Lausanne during the year 2012. From a total of 98  
172 symptomatic patients diagnosed with toxigenic *C. difficile* stool samples, at least one isolate  
173 was successfully recovered in 58 patients (75 isolates in total). Epidemiological maps for  
174 these patients were constructed (Supplementary table 2) and 25 possible transmissions  
175 between patients could be highlighted. For 23 of them, different DLST types were observed in  
176 isolates from linked patients, ruling out transmission event. In only two cases, isolates with  
177 the same DLST (and ST) were found for patients with epidemiological links, supporting  
178 transmission between patients.

## 179 **Discussion**

180 Despite the recent development of highly discriminatory typing methods based on whole  
181 genome sequencing, classical molecular typing remain the only available methods for most  
182 laboratories. Ideally, such typing methods should give fast and unambiguous results. In this  
183 study we developed a typing method based on two highly variable loci (DLST) and we used a  
184 local epidemiological collection of *C. difficile* isolates to evaluate the method. Our results  
185 showed that, using the C6 and TR6 loci, DLST was more discriminatory than MLST, and thus  
186 more discriminatory than ribotyping as previous studies showed that MLST had a similar  
187 discriminatory power as ribotyping [17]. The good congruence between DLST and MLST  
188 ( $AW_{DLST \rightarrow MLST} = 0.877$ ) shows that our method is able to recognize important lineages, such  
189 as the ST1 (ribotype 027). The stability of DLST (same DLST in consecutive isolates over  
190 several weeks in the same patients) suggests no transmission occurred when two patients  
191 carry different DLST types.

192 Investigation of possible *C. difficile* transmissions between patients in our hospital  
193 (CDI patients with overlapping period of hospitalization) with DLST results allowed us to  
194 rule out 23 possible transmission events and to confirm only two. Therefore, suspected events  
195 of transmission based on epidemiological data can easily be investigated with DLST.

196 One advantage of DLST is that it assigns a definite characterization of types, allowing  
197 ongoing surveillance and thus an early detection of outbreaks or increase frequency of  
198 transmission events. However, during the 1-year period of investigation in our hospital, the  
199 number of transmissions must have been underestimated. First, for nearly half of CDI  
200 patients, no isolate was obtained and could represent the source or the recipient of a  
201 transmission event. Second, recent studies showed that a large percentage of new CDI cases  
202 resulted of transmission from asymptomatic cases [7, 22, 23]. Third, the persistence of *C.*  
203 *difficile* in the environment might further complicate the establishment of epidemiological

204 links between patients. Among nine patients belonging to the predominant DLST type in our  
205 collection, five were found in the same ward but with no apparent epidemiological link  
206 supporting the hypothesis of an environmental reservoir.

207 Interestingly, we did not observe the international hypervirulent clone rt027 in our  
208 collection of clinical isolates, suggesting this clone did not reached our hospital yet. However,  
209 7 patients carried the hypervirulent clone ST11 (rt078), for which four DLST types were  
210 found (4-4, 5-4, 17-5 and 17-34) suggesting this clone did not cause outbreak.

211 Ribotyping is the standard typing method to study the molecular epidemiology of *C.*  
212 *difficile* in Europe [24, 25]. However, the need of a reference strain collection and comparison  
213 of banding patterns to discriminate isolates make the setting of this method challenging. We  
214 developed a DLST typing method that provides several advantages previously shown [13]  
215 including low cost, high portability and definitive typing. Moreover, we were able to avoid  
216 the DNA extraction step, by performing the PCR amplification of the two loci directly on *C.*  
217 *difficile* colonies. Standardization of the results can be easily simplified by the  
218 implementation of the typing scheme on a centralized Internet database assigning the DLST  
219 alleles such it has been done for DLST of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas*  
220 *aeruginosa* (<http://www.dlst.org>). Thus, the *C. difficile* DLST typing scheme might represent  
221 a valuable alternative for existing molecular typing of this bacterium and should be tested to  
222 more diverse strain collections to confirm its promising value.

## 223 **Acknowledgments**

224 We thank F. Barbut (Hôpital Saint-Antoine, 184 rue du Faubourg Saint-Antoine, 75012 Paris,  
225 France) for providing us with *C. difficile* strains with known ribotypes (Supplementary file 1)  
226 and C. Choulat for technical assistance.

227 **Competing interests**

228 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

229 **References**

- 230 [1] Freeman J, Bauer MP, Baines SD, Corver J, Fawley WN, Goorhuis B, Kuijper EJ,  
231 Wilcox MH (2010) The changing epidemiology of *Clostridium difficile* infections. Clin  
232 Microbiol Rev 23 (3):529-549
- 233 [2] Lessa FC, Mu Y, Bamberg WM, Beldavs ZG, Dumyati GK, Dunn JR, Farley MM,  
234 Holzbauer SM, Meek JI, Phipps EC, Wilson LE, Winston LG, Cohen JA, Limbago BM,  
235 Fridkin SK, Gerding DN, McDonald LC (2015) Burden of *Clostridium difficile* infection in  
236 the United States. N Engl J Med 372 (9):825-834
- 237 [3] Rupnik M, Wilcox MH, Gerding DN (2009) *Clostridium difficile* infection: new  
238 developments in epidemiology and pathogenesis. Nat Rev Microbiol 7 (7):526-536
- 239 [4] Peniche AG, Savidge TC, Dann SM (2013) Recent insights into *Clostridium difficile*  
240 pathogenesis. Curr Opin Infect Dis 26 (5):447-453
- 241 [5] Carroll KC, Bartlett JG (2011) Biology of *Clostridium difficile*: implications for  
242 epidemiology and diagnosis. Annu Rev Microbiol 65:501-521
- 243 [6] Honda H, Dubberke ER (2014) The changing epidemiology of *Clostridium difficile*  
244 infection. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 30 (1):54-62
- 245 [7] Eyre DW, Cule ML, Wilson DJ, Griffiths D, Vaughan A, O'Connor L, Ip CL,  
246 Golubchik T, Batty EM, Finney JM, Wyllie DH, Didelot X, Piazza P, Bowden R, Dingle KE,  
247 Harding RM, Crook DW, Wilcox MH, Peto TE, Walker AS (2013) Diverse sources of *C.*  
248 *difficile* infection identified on whole-genome sequencing. N Engl J Med 369 (13):1195-1205
- 249 [8] Mac Aogain M, Moloney G, Kilkenny S, Kelleher M, Kelleghan M, Boyle B, Rogers  
250 TR (2015) Whole-genome sequencing improves discrimination of relapse from reinfection

251 and identifies transmission events among patients with recurrent *Clostridium difficile*  
252 infections. J Hosp Infect 90 (2):108-116

253 [9] Bidet P, Barbut F, Lalande V, Burghoffer B, Petit JC (1999) Development of a new  
254 PCR-ribotyping method for *Clostridium difficile* based on ribosomal RNA gene sequencing.  
255 FEMS Microbiol Lett 175 (2):261-266

256 [10] Aires-de-Sousa M, Boye K, de Lencastre H, Deplano A, Enright MC, Etienne J,  
257 Friedrich A, Harmsen D, Holmes A, Huijsdens XW, Kearns AM, Mellmann A, Meugnier H,  
258 Rasheed JK, Spalburg E, Strommenger B, Struelens MJ, Tenover FC, Thomas J, Vogel U,  
259 Westh H, Xu J, Witte W (2006) High interlaboratory reproducibility of DNA sequence-based  
260 typing of bacteria in a multicenter study. J Clin Microbiol 44 (2):619-621

261 [11] Enright MC, Spratt BG (1999) Multilocus sequence typing. Trends Microbiol 7  
262 (12):482-487

263 [12] Maiden MC, Bygraves JA, Feil E, Morelli G, Russell JE, Urwin R, Zhang Q, Zhou J,  
264 Zurth K, Caugant DA, Feavers IM, Achtman M, Spratt BG (1998) Multilocus sequence  
265 typing: a portable approach to the identification of clones within populations of pathogenic  
266 microorganisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95 (6):3140-3145

267 [13] Kuhn G, Francioli P, Blanc DS (2007) Double-locus sequence typing using *clfB* and  
268 *spa*, a fast and simple method for epidemiological typing of methicillin-resistant  
269 *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Clin Microbiol 45 (1):54-62

270 [14] Basset P, Blanc DS (2014) Fast and simple epidemiological typing of *Pseudomonas*  
271 *aeruginosa* using the double-locus sequence typing (DLST) method. Eur J Clin Microbiol  
272 Infect Dis 33 (6):927-932

273 [15] Persson S, Torpdahl M, Olsen KE (2008) New multiplex PCR method for the  
274 detection of *Clostridium difficile* toxin A (*tcdA*) and toxin B (*tcdB*) and the binary toxin

275 (*cdtA/cdtB*) genes applied to a Danish strain collection. Clin Microbiol Infect 14 (11):1057-  
276 1064

277 [16] Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, Rozen SG  
278 (2012) Primer3 - new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic Acids Res 40 (15):e115

279 [17] Griffiths D, Fawley W, Kachrimanidou M, Bowden R, Crook DW, Fung R, Golubchik  
280 T, Harding RM, Jeffery KJ, Jolley KA, Kirton R, Peto TE, Rees G, Stoesser N, Vaughan A,  
281 Walker AS, Young BC, Wilcox M, Dingle KE (2010) Multilocus sequence typing of  
282 *Clostridium difficile*. J Clin Microbiol 48 (3):770-778

283 [18] Hunter PR (1990) Reproducibility and indices of discriminatory power of microbial  
284 typing methods. J Clin Microbiol 28 (9):1903-1905

285 [19] Severiano A, Pinto FR, Ramirez M, Carrico JA (2011) Adjusted Wallace coefficient  
286 as a measure of congruence between typing methods. J Clin Microbiol 49 (11):3997-4000

287 [20] Zaiss NH, Rupnik M, Kuijper EJ, Harmanus C, Michielsen D, Janssens K, Nubel U  
288 (2009) Typing *Clostridium difficile* strains based on tandem repeat sequences. BMC  
289 Microbiol 9:6

290 [21] Marsh JW, O'Leary MM, Shutt KA, Pasculle AW, Johnson S, Gerding DN, Muto CA,  
291 Harrison LH (2006) Multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis for investigation of  
292 *Clostridium difficile* transmission in Hospitals. J Clin Microbiol 44 (7):2558-2566

293 [22] Walker AS, Eyre DW, Wyllie DH, Dingle KE, Harding RM, O'Connor L, Griffiths D,  
294 Vaughan A, Finney J, Wilcox MH, Crook DW, Peto TE (2012) Characterisation of  
295 *Clostridium difficile* hospital ward-based transmission using extensive epidemiological data  
296 and molecular typing. PLoS Med 9 (2):e1001172

297 [23] Curry SR, Muto CA, Schlackman JL, Pasculle AW, Shutt KA, Marsh JW, Harrison  
298 LH (2013) Use of multilocus variable number of tandem repeats analysis genotyping to

299 determine the role of asymptomatic carriers in *Clostridium difficile* transmission. Clin Infect  
300 Dis 57 (8):1094-1102

301 [24] Bidet P, Lalande V, Salauze B, Burghoffer B, Avesani V, Delmee M, Rossier A,  
302 Barbut F, Petit JC (2000) Comparison of PCR-ribotyping, arbitrarily primed PCR, and  
303 pulsed-field gel electrophoresis for typing *Clostridium difficile*. J Clin Microbiol 38 (7):2484-  
304 2487

305 [25] Killgore G, Thompson A, Johnson S, Brazier J, Kuijper E, Pepin J, Frost EH,  
306 Savelkoul P, Nicholson B, van den Berg RJ, Kato H, Sambol SP, Zukowski W, Woods C,  
307 Limbago B, Gerding DN, McDonald LC (2008) Comparison of seven techniques for typing  
308 international epidemic strains of *Clostridium difficile*: restriction endonuclease analysis,  
309 pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, PCR-ribotyping, multilocus sequence typing, multilocus  
310 variable-number tandem-repeat analysis, amplified fragment length polymorphism, and  
311 surface layer protein A gene sequence typing. J Clin Microbiol 46 (2):431-437

312