

1. DOMINIQUE ARLETTAZ: A VANISHING CONJECTURE FOR THE  
HOMOLOGY OF CONGRUENCE SUBGROUPS

For any prime number  $p$ , let  $\Gamma_{n,p}$  denote the congruence subgroup of  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  of level  $p$ , i.e., the kernel of the surjective homomorphism  $f_p : SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  induced by the reduction mod  $p$ , and let us write  $\Gamma_p = \varinjlim_n \Gamma_{n,p}$ , where the limit is defined by upper left inclusions.

Notice that the groups  $\Gamma_{n,p}$  are not homology stable with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients (see [Ch]).

If  $p$  is odd, then the group  $\Gamma_p$  is torsion-free. Therefore, it is of particular interest to detect torsion classes in the integral (co)homology of  $\Gamma_p$ . It turns out that  $H^*(\Gamma_p; \mathbb{Z})$  contains 2-torsion elements in arbitrarily large dimensions (see Corollary 1.10 of [Ar]). Groups like this are called groups with “very strange torsion” by S. Weintraub in 1986.

However, vanishing results for the (co)homology of  $\Gamma_p$  are also extremely useful. Let us propose the following

**Conjecture 1.1.** *For an odd integer  $n$  and an odd prime  $p$ , the homology group  $H_n(\Gamma_p; \mathbb{Z})$  contains no  $q$ -torsion if  $q$  is a sufficiently large prime (in comparison with  $n$ ),  $q \neq p$ .*

As far as I know, this problem is not solved, but one should notice its relationship with the study of the Dwyer-Friedlander map  $\varphi_{\mathbb{Z}} : (K_n(\mathbb{Z}))_q \rightarrow K_n^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{q}])$  relating the  $q$ -torsion of algebraic K-theory to étale K-theory. This map is known to be surjective and it is conjecturally an isomorphism (this is a version of the Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture, see [DF], Theorem 8.7 and Remark 8.8).

For  $q \neq p$ , the Dwyer-Friedlander map and the reduction mod  $p$  induce the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (K_n(\mathbb{Z}))_q & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{Z}}} & K_n^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{q}]) \\ \downarrow (f_p)_* & & \downarrow \\ (K_n(\mathbb{F}_p))_q & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_p}} & K_n^{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \end{array}$$

The map  $\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  is an isomorphism, since the K-theory of finite fields is completely known. If we define  $A_n = \ker \varphi_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $B_n = \ker (f_p)_*$ , this implies that  $A_n$  is contained in  $B_n$ .

On the other hand, one can show by using Postnikov decompositions that  $B_n$  is a direct summand of  $(H_n(\Gamma_p; \mathbb{Z}))_q$  for large enough primes  $q \neq p$  (see [Ar], Introduction and Theorem 2.1).

Consequently, the proof of the above conjecture for  $n$  odd and  $q$  a large enough prime would imply the vanishing of  $B_n$  and therefore the vanishing of  $A_n$  which provides the assertion that the Dwyer-Friedlander map  $\varphi_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is an isomorphism.

*References*

[Ar] D. Arlettaz: Torsion classes in the cohomology of congruence subgroups. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 105 (1989), 241-248.

[Ch] R. Charney: On the problem of homology stability for congruence subgroups. *Comm. Algebra* 12 (1984), 2081-2123.

[DF] W.C. Dwyer and E.M. Friedlander: Algebraic and étale K-theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 292 (1985), 247-280.