

# UNIFORM TAIL APPROXIMATION OF HOMOGENOUS FUNCTIONALS OF GAUSSIAN FIELDS

KRZYSZTOF DĘBICKI, ENKELEJD HASHORVA, AND PENG LIU

**Abstract:** Let  $X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a centered Gaussian random field with continuous trajectories and set  $\xi_u(t) = X(f(u)t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $f$  some positive function. Classical results establish the tail asymptotics of  $\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\xi_u) > u\}$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$  with  $\Gamma(\xi_u) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]^d} \xi_u(t), T > 0$  by requiring that  $f(u)$  tends to 0 as  $u \rightarrow \infty$  with speed controlled by the local behaviour of the correlation function of  $X$ . Recent research shows that for applications more general functionals than supremum should be considered and the Gaussian field can depend also on some additional parameter  $\tau_u \in K$ , say  $\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . In this contribution we derive uniform approximations of  $\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\xi_{u, \tau_u}) > u\}$  with respect to  $\tau_u$  in some index set  $K_u$ , as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . Our main result have important theoretical implications; two applications are already included in [12, 13]. In this paper we present three additional ones, namely i) we derive uniform upper bounds for the probability of double-maxima, ii) we extend Piterbarg-Prisyazhnyuk theorem to some large classes of homogeneous functionals of centered Gaussian fields  $\xi_u$ , and iii) we show the finiteness of generalized Piterbarg constants.

**Key Words:** fractional Brownian motion; supremum of Gaussian random fields; stationary processes; double maxima; uniform double-sum method; generalized Piterbarg constants.

**AMS Classification:** Primary 60G15; secondary 60G70

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X(t), t \geq 0$  be a centered stationary Gaussian process with continuous trajectories, unit variance and correlation function  $r$  satisfying for some  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$

$$1 - r(t) \sim |t|^\alpha, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and } r(t) < 1, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

We write  $\sim$  for asymptotic equivalence when the argument tends to 0 or infinity.

The seminal paper [24] established for any  $T$  positive and  $q(u) = u^{-2/\alpha}$

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} X(t) > u \right\} \sim T \mathcal{H}_\alpha \frac{\mathbb{P}\{X(0) > u\}}{q(u)}$$

as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  is the *Pickands constant* defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathcal{H}_\alpha[0, T] \in (0, \infty), \quad \text{with } \mathcal{H}_\alpha[0, T] = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{\sqrt{2} B_\alpha(t) - t^\alpha} \right\},$$

with  $B_\alpha$  a standard fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index  $\alpha/2$ ; see the recent contributions [6, 7, 10, 19, 20] for the main properties of Pickands and related constants.

While the original proof of Pickands utilizes a discretisation approach, in [25, 26] the asymptotics (1) was derived by establishing first the exact asymptotics on the short interval  $[0, q(u)T]$ , namely (see e.g., Lemma 6.1 in [26])

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, q(u)T]} X(t) > u \right\} \sim \mathcal{H}_\alpha[0, T] \mathbb{P}\{X(0) > u\}, \quad u \rightarrow \infty$$

and then using the *double-sum method*. A completely independent proof for the stationary case, based on the notion of *sojourn time*, was derived by Berman (see [3, 4]).

In this contribution we develop the *uniform double-sum method*. Originally, introduced by Piterbarg for non-stationary case, see e.g., [26], the *double-sum method* is a powerful tool in derivation of the exact asymptotics of the tail distribution of supremum for non-stationary Gaussian processes (and fields). With no loss of generality, for a given centered Gaussian process  $Y(t), t \in [0, S]$  with continuous trajectories, the crucial steps of this method are:

- a) application of Slepian inequality that allows for uniform approximation as  $u \rightarrow \infty$  (uniformity is with respect to  $k \leq N(u)$ ) of summands of  $\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [kTq(u), (k+1)Tq(u)]} Y(t) > u \right\}$  by  $\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, Tq(u)]} X^\varepsilon(t) > u_k \right\} =: p(u_k)$ , for appropriately chosen stationary process  $X^\varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0$ ;
- b) uniform approximation for  $k \leq N(u)$  of  $p(u_k)$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- c) uniformly tight upper bounds for the probability of double supremum

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [kTq(u), (k+1)Tq(u)]} Y(t) > u, \sup_{t \in [lTq(u), (l+1)Tq(u)]} Y(t) > u \right\}$$

for  $k, l \in \mathcal{A}_u$ , where the set  $\mathcal{A}_u$  is suitably chosen.

The deep contribution [18] showed that while dealing with supremum of Gaussian processes on the half-line it is convenient to replace Slepian inequality by a uniform version of the tail asymptotics of threshold-dependent Gaussian processes. Omitting technical details, [18] derives the exact asymptotics and a uniform upper bound of

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \xi_{u, \tau_u}(t) > g_{u, \tau_u} \right\}$$

as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ , with respect to  $\tau_u \in K_u$ , for  $\xi_{u, \tau_u}$  being centered Gaussian processes indexed by  $u$  and  $\tau_u$ , see also Lemma 5.1 in [16]. This uniform counterpart of (2) is crucial when the processes  $X_{u, \tau_u}$  are parameterised by  $u$  and  $\tau_u$ .

Recent contributions show strong need for analysis of distributional properties of more general continuous functionals than supremum, as e.g.,  $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \inf_{s \in [0, S]} X(s + f(u)t), S > 0$ , see [9, 11] or  $\inf_{s \in \mathcal{A}_u} \sup_{t \in \mathcal{B}_u} Y(s, t)$ , see [14, 16].

The lack of Slepian-type results for general continuous functionals  $\Gamma$  can be overcome by the derivation of uniform approximations with respect to  $\tau_u$  of the tail distribution of  $\Gamma(\xi_{u, \tau_u})$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, the principal goal of this contribution is to derive uniform approximations for the tail of homogeneous continuous functionals  $\Gamma$  of general Gaussian random fields. Specifically, we shall consider  $\Gamma$  defined on  $C(E)$ , the space of continuous functions on  $E$  with  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 1$  a compact set containing the origin. In Theorem 2.1 we derive the following uniform asymptotics

$$(4) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma(\xi_{u, \tau_u}) > g_{u, \tau_u} \}}{\Psi(g_{u, \tau_u})} - C \right| = 0,$$

where  $\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t), t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u$  is a centered Gaussian random field,  $C$  is a positive finite constant, and  $\Psi$  denotes the survival function of an  $N(0, 1)$  random variable. This result allows us to derive counterparts of (1) for a class of homogeneous functionals of centered Gaussian fields satisfying some weak asymptotic conditions. Additionally, in Section 3.1 we derive a uniform upper bound for the double maxima for general Gaussian fields parameterised by  $u$  and  $\tau_u$ . That extends and unifies the known upper bounds for (3).

Brief organisation of the rest of the paper: main results of this contribution and related discussions are presented in Section 2. We dedicate Section 3 to applications. Finally, we display the proofs of all the results in Section 4, postponing some technical calculations to Appendix.

## 2. MAIN RESULT

We begin this section with some motivations for the investigation of distributional properties of functionals of threshold-dependent Gaussian random fields. For this purpose we focus on supremum of non-centered Gaussian process. Then we introduce the class of functionals that are of our interest and provide the main result of this contribution; see Theorem 2.1.

Numerous articles, e.g., [8, 18, 21, 22], developed techniques for the approximation, as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ , of the so-called ruin probability

$$(5) \quad p(u) = \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} (X(t) - ct) > u \right\},$$

where  $X$  is a centered continuous Gaussian process,  $c > 0$  is some constant and  $\mathcal{T} = [0, \infty)$  or  $\mathcal{T} = [0, T]$ ,  $T > 0$ . Originally the *double-sum method* was designed to handle supremum of centered Gaussian processes. For our case, this method still works under the following modifications. First, we rewrite the original problem in the language of a centered, threshold-dependent family of Gaussian processes  $Z_u(t) = \frac{X(t)}{u+ct}$ ,  $u > 0$  as follows

$$(6) \quad p(u) = \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathcal{T}} Z_u(t) > 1 \right\}.$$

Then, one checks that, for suitably chosen  $w(u)$  and  $N(u)$ ,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} p(u) &\sim \mathbb{P} \left\{ \text{There exists } |k| \leq N(u) : \sup_{t \in [0, w(u)S]} Z_u(t + kSw(u)) > 1 \right\} \\ &\sim \sum_{|k| \leq N(u)} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, S]} Y_{u,k}(t) > v_k(u) \right\} =: \sum_{|k| \leq N(u)} p_k(u) \end{aligned}$$

as  $u \rightarrow \infty$  and  $S \rightarrow \infty$  respectively, where

$$Y_{u,k}(t) = Z_u(w(u)t + w(u)kS)v_k(u), \quad v_k(u) = \inf_{t \in [0, S]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(Z_u(w(u)t + w(u)kS))}}.$$

Finally, since usually  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} N(u) = \infty$ , then in order to determine the asymptotics of  $p(u)$  it is necessary to derive the asymptotics of  $p_k(u)$ , as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly for  $|k| \leq N(u)$ .

In this section, we consider a more general situation focusing on the validity of (4) for centered Gaussian random fields.

Next, let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a compact set including the origin and write  $C(E)$  for the set of real-valued continuous functions defined on  $E$ . Let  $\Gamma : C(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a real-valued continuous functional satisfying

**F1:** there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\Gamma(f) \leq c \sup_{t \in E} f(t)$  for any  $f \in C(E)$ ;

**F2:**  $\Gamma(af + b) = a\Gamma(f) + b$  for any  $f \in C(E)$  and  $a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Note that **F1-F2** cover the following important examples:

$$\Gamma = \sup, \quad \inf, \quad a \sup + (1 - a) \inf, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We shall consider a family of centered Gaussian random fields  $\xi_{u, \tau_u}$  given by

$$\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t) = \frac{Z_{u, \tau_u}(t)}{1 + h_{u, \tau_u}(t)}, \quad t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u,$$

with  $Z_{u, \tau_u}$  a centered Gaussian random field with unit variance and continuous trajectories, and  $h_{u, \tau_u} \in C_0(E)$ , where  $C_0(E)$  is the Banach space of all continuous functions  $f$  on  $E$  such that  $f(0) = 0$  equipped with the sup-norm. In order to avoid trivialities, the thresholds  $g_{u, \tau_u}$  will be chosen such that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma(\xi_{u, \tau_u}) > g_{u, \tau_u} \} = 0.$$

In order to derive the asymptotics of  $\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\xi_{u,\tau_u}) > g_{u,\tau_u}\}$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$  we shall first condition on  $\xi_{u,\tau_u}(0) = g_{u,\tau_u} - \frac{w}{g_{u,\tau_u}}$ , yielding that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\xi_{u,\tau_u}) > g_{u,\tau_u}\} = \frac{e^{-g_{u,\tau_u}^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}g_{u,\tau_u}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{w - \frac{w^2}{2g_{u,\tau_u}^2}} \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\chi_{u,\tau_u}) > w\} dw,$$

where

$$\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t) = g_{u,\tau_u}(\xi_{u,\tau_u}(t) - g_{u,\tau_u}) + w \left| \xi_{u,\tau_u}(0) = g_{u,\tau_u} - \frac{w}{g_{u,\tau_u}} \right|.$$

Note that

$$\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{g_{u,\tau_u}}{1 + h_{u,\tau_u}(t)} \left( Z_{u,\tau_u}(t) - r_{u,\tau_u}(t,0)Z_{u,\tau_u}(0) \right) + \mathbb{E}\{\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t)\}, \quad t \in E,$$

where  $\stackrel{d}{=}$  means equality of distributions.

Next, we shall impose the following assumptions (see also [16][Lemma 5.1] and [18][Lemma 2]) to ensure the weak convergence of  $\{\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t), t \in E\}$ , as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ .

**C0:** The positive constants  $g_{u,\tau_u}$  are such that  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tau_u \in K_u} g_{u,\tau_u} = \infty$ .

**C1:** There exists  $h \in C_0(E)$  such that

$$(8) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u, t \in E} |g_{u,\tau_u}^2 h_{u,\tau_u}(t) - h(t)| = 0.$$

**C2:** There exists  $\theta_{u,\tau_u}(s, t)$  such that

$$(9) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \sup_{s \neq t \in E} \left| g_{u,\tau_u}^2 \frac{\text{Var}(Z_{u,\tau_u}(t) - Z_{u,\tau_u}(s))}{2\theta_{u,\tau_u}(s, t)} - 1 \right| = 0$$

and for some centered Gaussian random field  $\eta(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with continuous trajectories and  $\eta(0) = 0$

$$(10) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} |\theta_{u,\tau_u}(s, t) - \text{Var}(\eta(t) - \eta(s))| = 0, \quad \forall s, t \in E.$$

**C3:** There exists  $a > 0$  such that

$$(11) \quad \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \sup_{s \neq t, s, t \in E} \frac{\theta_{u,\tau_u}(s, t)}{\sum_{i=1}^d |s_i - t_i|^a} < \infty$$

and

$$(12) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \sup_{\|t-s\| < \epsilon, s, t \in E} g_{u,\tau_u}^2 \mathbb{E}\{[Z_{u,\tau_u}(t) - Z_{u,\tau_u}(s)] Z_{u,\tau_u}(0)\} = 0.$$

If  $X$  is a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments satisfying **AI-AII** in [16], then  $Y_{u,k}(t), t \in [0, S], |k| \leq N(u)$  in (7) satisfies **C0-C3**; see also [18].

The intuitive explanation behind these assumptions is as follows: **C1** and (12) in **C3** are used to guarantee the uniform convergence of the function  $\mathbb{E}\{\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t)\}$  for  $t \in E$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . Utilising further **C2**, the convergence of finite-dimensional distributions (fidi's) of  $\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t), t \in E$  to those of  $\eta(t), t \in E$  can be shown. Moreover, the tightness follows by (11) in **C3**.

Given  $h \in C_0(E)$  and the functional  $\Gamma$  satisfying **F1-F2**, for  $\eta$  introduced in **C2**, we define a new constant

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\eta,h}^\Gamma(E) := \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\Gamma(\eta^h)}\right\}, \quad \eta^h(t) := \sqrt{2}\eta(t) - \text{Var}(\eta(t)) - h(t),$$

which by **F1** is finite. For notational simplicity we set below

$$\mathcal{H}_\eta(E) = \mathcal{H}_{\eta,0}^{\text{sup}}(E).$$

We present next the main result of this section. Recall that  $\Psi$  stands for the survival function of an  $N(0,1)$  random variable.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Under assumptions **C0-C3** and **F1-F2**, if further  $\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\xi_{u,\tau_u}) > g_{u,\tau_u}\} > 0$  for all  $\tau_u \in K_u$  and all  $u$  large, then*

$$(14) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\xi_{u,\tau_u}) > g_{u,\tau_u}\}}{\Psi(g_{u,\tau_u})} - \mathcal{H}_{\eta,h}^\Gamma(E) \right| = 0.$$

**Remark 2.2.** *i) Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 we have*

$$(15) \quad \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \frac{\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\xi_{u,\tau_u}) > g_{u,\tau_u}\}}{\Psi(g_{u,\tau_u})} < \infty,$$

*which coincides with the results of Lemma 5.1 in [16] and extends Lemma 2 in [18].*

*ii) Condition **C2** and (12) in **C3** are equivalent to **C2** and*

$$(16) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u} \left| g_{u,\tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(Z_{u,\tau_u}(t) - Z_{u,\tau_u}(0)) - 2\text{Var}(\eta(t)) \right| = 0.$$

*iii) Condition **C2** can be formulated also for the degenerated case  $\eta(t) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  almost surely. The claim of Theorem 2.1 holds also for such  $\eta$ .*

Next we give a simplified version of Theorem 2.1. Instead of **C2-C3**, we assume that

$$(17) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \sup_{s \neq t, s, t \in E} \left| g_{u,\tau_u}^2 \frac{\text{Var}(Z_{u,\tau_u}(t) - Z_{u,\tau_u}(s))}{2 \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{c_i \sigma_i^2(q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|)}{\sigma_i^2(q_i(u))}} - 1 \right| = 0,$$

where  $q_i(u), i = 1, \dots, d$  are some functions of  $u$  with  $q_i(u) > 0$  for  $u$  large enough and  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} q_i(u) = \varphi_i \in [0, \infty]$  with

$$\varphi_i = \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq i \leq d_1 \\ (0, \infty), & d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d_2, \\ \infty, & d_2 + 1 \leq i \leq d \end{cases}$$

and  $c_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq d$ . Moreover,  $\sigma_i, 1 \leq i \leq d$  are regularly varying at 0 with indices  $\alpha_{i,0}/2 \in (0, 1]$  respectively and  $\sigma_i(0) = 0, \sigma_i(t) > 0, t > 0, 1 \leq i \leq d$ ;  $\sigma_i, d_2 + 1 \leq i \leq d$  are bounded on any compact interval and regularly varying at  $\infty$  with indices  $\alpha_{i,\infty}/2 \in (0, 1]$ , respectively;  $\sigma_i^2(t), d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d_2$  are continuous and non-negative definite, implying that there exist centered Gaussian processes  $\eta_i, d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d_2$  with continuous sample path and stationary increments such that  $\text{Var}(\eta_i(t)) := \sigma_i^2(t), d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d_2$ . We refer to, e.g., [8, 18, 21, 22], where particular examples of Gaussian processes that satisfy the above regularity assumptions are investigated; see also [23] for characterisation of such processes in terms of max-stable stationary processes.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Suppose that **C0-C1** and **F1-F2** hold. If (17) holds with  $\sum_{i=1}^d c_i > 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\xi_{u,\tau_u}) > g_{u,\tau_u}\} > 0$  for all  $\tau_u \in K_u$  and all  $u$  large, then (14) holds with*

$$(18) \quad \eta(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \sqrt{c_i} B_{\alpha_{i,0}}(t_i) + \sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} \sqrt{c_i} \frac{\eta_i(\varphi_i t_i)}{\sigma_i(\varphi_i)} + \sum_{i=d_2+1}^d \sqrt{c_i} B_{\alpha_{i,\infty}}(t_i),$$

*where  $B_{\alpha_{i,0}}, 1 \leq i \leq d_1, \eta_i, d_1 + 1 \leq d_2$  and  $B_{\alpha_{i,\infty}}, d_2 + 1 \leq i \leq d$  are mutually independent.*

**Remark 2.4.** *i) Condition (17) is satisfied by a large class of important processes that are investigated in the literature, see e.g. [8, 12, 16, 18, 21].*

*ii) Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1*

$$(19) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_i(\xi_{u,\tau_u}) > u, i = 1, \dots, d\}}{\Psi(g_{u,\tau_u})} - \mathcal{H}_{\eta,h}^{\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_d} \right| = 0,$$

with  $\Gamma_i, i \leq d$  continuous functionals satisfying **F1-F2** and

$$\mathcal{H}_{\eta, h}^{\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_d} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{w\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_i(\eta^h) > w, i = 1, \dots, d\}} dw \in (0, \infty).$$

Moreover, (19) holds also in the case that  $\eta$  is degenerated, i.e.,  $\eta(t) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  almost surely.

Finally, we present below a version of Theorem 2.1 under slightly different and more explicit assumptions. We keep the same notation as in Theorem 2.1 and moreover let  $\sigma_{u, \tau_u}^2(t) := \text{Var}(\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t))$ .

**D1:** Condition **C0** holds for  $g_{u, \tau_u}$  and  $\sigma_{u, \tau_u}(0) = 1$  for all  $\tau_u \in K_u$  and all  $u > 0$ , and there exists some  $h \in C_0(E)$  such that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u} |g_{u, \tau_u}^2(1 - \sigma_{u, \tau_u}(t)) - h(t)| = 0.$$

**D2:** There exists a centered Gaussian random field  $\eta(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with continuous sample paths,  $\eta(0) = 0$  such that for any  $s, t \in E$  and  $\tau_u \in K_u$

$$(20) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t) - \xi_{u, \tau_u}(s)) - 2\text{Var}(\eta(t) - \eta(s)) \right| = 0,$$

and

$$(21) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u} \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t) - \xi_{u, \tau_u}(0)) - 2\text{Var}(\eta(t)) \right| = 0.$$

**D3:** There exist positive constants  $G, \nu, u_0$  such that for any  $u > u_0$

$$\sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t) - \xi_{u, \tau_u}(s)) \leq G \|t - s\|^\nu$$

holds for all  $s, t \in E$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *If D1-D3 and F1-F2 are satisfied, then (14) holds.*

### 3. APPLICATIONS

**3.1. Upper Bounds for Double Supremum.** Uniform bounds for the tail distribution of bivariate maxima of Gaussian processes play a key role in the double-sum technique of V.I. Piterbarg; see, e.g., [26, 27]. More precisely, of interest is to find an optimal upper bound for

$$D(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, u) := \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1} X_u(t) > m_{\lambda_1}(u), \sup_{t \in \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2} X_u(t) > m_{\lambda_2}(u) \right\},$$

which is valid for all large  $u$  with  $\lambda_i$ 's and  $\mathcal{E}_i$ 's controlled by  $E_u$  by requiring that  $\lambda_i + \mathcal{E}_i \subset E_u$ , with  $E_u$  a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Further, the thresholds  $m_{\lambda_1}(u), m_{\lambda_2}(u)$  are assumed to satisfy

$$(22) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} m(u) = \infty, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\lambda_i + \mathcal{E}_i \subset E_u} \left| \frac{m_{\lambda_i}(u)}{m(u)} - 1 \right| = 0, \quad i = 1, 2$$

for some positive function  $m$ .

Set below  $F(A, B) = \inf_{s \in A, t \in B} \|s - t\|$  with  $A, B$  two non-empty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  the Euclidean norm. Let  $\mathbb{K} = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) : \lambda_i + \mathcal{E}_i \subset E_u, i = 1, 2\}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X_u(t), t \in E_u \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a family of centered Gaussian random fields with continuous trajectories, variance 1 and correlation function  $r_u$ . Suppose that there exist positive constants  $S_1, C_1, C_2, \beta$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$  such that for  $u$  sufficiently large*

$$(23) \quad m^2(u)(1 - r_u(s, t)) \geq C_1 \|s - t\|^\beta, \quad \|s - t\| \geq S_1, \quad s, t \in E_u,$$

and

$$(24) \quad m^2(u)(1 - r_u(s, t)) \leq C_2 \|s - t\|^\alpha, \quad s, t \in E_u, s - t \in [-1, 1]^d.$$

Moreover, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $u$  large enough

$$(25) \quad r_u(s, t) > \delta - 1, \quad s, t \in E_u.$$

If further (22) holds, then there exists  $C > 0$  such that for all  $u$  large enough

$$(26) \quad \sup_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{K}, \mathcal{E}_i \subset [0, S_2]^d, \mathcal{E}_i \neq \emptyset, i=1,2} \frac{e^{\frac{C_1 F^\beta(\lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1, \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2)}{8}} D(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, u)}{S_2^{2d} \Psi(m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(u))} \leq C,$$

with  $S_2 > 1$ ,  $m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(u) = \min(m_{\lambda_1}(u), m_{\lambda_2}(u))$  and  $C$  a positive constant independent of  $S_2, u$ .

Next assume that  $\kappa_i(t) > 0, t > 0, 1 \leq i \leq 2d$  are some non-negative locally bounded functions and define

$$g_u(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\kappa_i(q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|)}{\kappa_i(q_i(u))} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{g}_u(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\kappa_{i+d}(q_{i+d}(u)|s_i - t_i|)}{\kappa_{i+d}(q_{i+d}(u))}.$$

Further, let  $q_i(u) > 0, u > 0$  be such that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} q_i(u) = \varphi_i \in [0, \infty], \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2d.$$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $X_u(t), t \in E_u$  be centered Gaussian random fields with continuous trajectories, variance 1 and correlation function  $r_u$  satisfying (25). Assume further that (22) holds. If further for  $u$  sufficiently large*

$$(27) \quad C_3 g_u(s, t) \leq m^2(u)(1 - r_u(s, t)) \leq C_4 \tilde{g}_u(s, t), \quad s, t \in E_u,$$

with  $C_3, C_4 > 0$  and  $\kappa_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2d$ , being regularly varying both at 0 and at  $\infty$  with indices  $\alpha_{i,0} > 0$  and  $\alpha_{i,\infty} > 0$ , respectively, then there exists  $C > 0$  such that for  $u$  large enough (26) holds with  $\beta = \frac{1}{2} \min_{i=1, \dots, 2d} \min(\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,\infty}, 2)$  and  $C_1$  a fixed positive constant.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $X_u(t), t \in E_u \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be centered Gaussian random fields with continuous trajectories, variance 1 and correlation function  $r_u$  satisfying (25) and (27) with  $\varphi_i = 0, 1 \leq i \leq 2d$  and  $\kappa_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2d$  being regularly varying at 0 with indices  $\alpha_{i,0} > 0$ . If further (22) and*

$$(28) \quad \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s, t \in E_u} \max_{i=1, \dots, 2d} q_i(u) |s_i - t_i| < \infty$$

hold, then there exist positive constants  $C, C_1$  such that for  $u$  large enough (26) holds with  $\beta = \frac{1}{2} \min(2, \min_{i=1, \dots, 2d} \alpha_{i,0})$ .

**Remark 3.4.** *i) Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, using the idea of [15, 28], since for  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$*

$$D(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, u) \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s \in \lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1, t \in \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2} (\gamma X_u(s) + (1 - \gamma) X_u(t)) > m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \gamma}(u) \right\},$$

with  $m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \gamma}(u) = \gamma m_{\lambda_1}(u) + (1 - \gamma) m_{\lambda_2}(u)$ , then in some cases (26) can be improved by putting  $4\gamma(1 - \gamma)C_1$  instead of  $C_1$  and  $m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \gamma}(u)$  instead of  $m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(u)$ , respectively.

ii) A particular example is  $\kappa_i(x) = x^{\alpha_i}, \alpha_i \in (0, 2]$ . For such a case, the result of Corollary 3.3 yields the claim of Lemma 9.14 in [27], see also Lemma 6.3 in [26].

**3.2. Tail Approximation of  $\Gamma_{E_u}(X_u)$ .** In many applications the tail asymptotics of general functionals of Gaussian random fields  $X_u$  indexed by thresholds  $u > 0$  is of interest. In this section we present an application of Theorem 2.1 concerned with the tail asymptotics of  $\Gamma_{E_u}(X_u)$ , where

$$E_u := \left( \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i(u), b_i(u)] \right) \times E$$

is also parametrised by  $u$ , with  $E$  a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $0 \in E$ . The functional  $\Gamma_{E_u}$  is defined as follows:

Let  $\Gamma^* : C(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a real-valued continuous functional satisfying **F1-F2** with  $c = 1$  in **F1**. For any compact set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  define

$$\Gamma_{A \times E}(f) = \sup_{s \in A} \Gamma^*(f(s, t)), \quad f \in C(A \times E).$$

It follows that  $\Gamma_{A \times E}$  is a continuous functional and satisfies **F1-F2** with  $c = 1$  in **F1**. Examples of  $\Gamma^*$  are

$$\Gamma^* = \sup, \quad \inf, \quad a \sup + (1 - a) \inf, \quad a \leq 1.$$

We shall consider  $X_u(s, t)$ ,  $(s, t) \in E_u$ , a family of centered continuous Gaussian random fields with variance function  $\sigma_u(s, t)$  and correlation function  $r_u(s, t, s', t')$  satisfying as  $u \rightarrow \infty$

$$(29) \quad \sigma_u(0, 0) = 1, \quad 1 - \sigma_u(s, 0) \sim \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{|s_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)}, \quad s \in \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i(u), b_i(u)]$$

and

$$(30) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s \in \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i(u), b_i(u)], t \neq 0, t \in E} \left| \frac{1 - \frac{\sigma_u(s, t)}{\sigma_u(s, 0)}}{\sum_{i=d+1}^{d+n} \frac{|t_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)}} - 1 \right| = 0,$$

where  $\beta_i > 0$  and  $g_i(u)$  is a function of  $u$  satisfying  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} g_i(u) = \infty$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d+n$ . Moreover, there exists  $m(u)$  such that  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} m(u) = \infty$  and

$$(31) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(s, t), (s', t') \in E_u, (s, t) \neq (s', t')} \left| \frac{m^2(u)(1 - r_u(s, t, s', t'))}{\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{c_i \sigma_i^2(q_i(u)|s_i - s'_i|)}{\sigma_i^2(q_i(u))} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{d+n} \frac{c_i \sigma_i^2(q_i(u)|t_i - t'_i|)}{\sigma_i^2(q_i(u))}} - 1 \right| = 0,$$

where  $c_i > 0$ ,  $q_i(u) > 0$ ,  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} q_i(u) = \varphi_i \in [0, \infty]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d+n$ , and  $\sigma_i$  are the variance functions of  $\eta_i$ 's, centered continuous Gaussian processes with stationary increments,  $\eta_i(0) = 0$ , satisfying further the following assumptions:

**A1:**  $\sigma_i^2(t)$  is regularly varying at  $\infty$  with index  $2\alpha_{i,\infty} \in (0, 2)$  and is continuously differentiable over  $(0, \infty)$  with  $\dot{\sigma}_i^2(t)$  being ultimately monotone at  $\infty$ .

**A2:**  $\sigma_i^2(t)$  is regularly varying at 0 with index  $2\alpha_{i,0} \in (0, 2]$ .

Moreover, we shall assume that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_i(u)|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)} = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|b_i(u)|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d+n.$$

Let

$$(32) \quad V_{\varphi_i}(t_i) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{c_i} B_{\alpha_{i,0}}(t_i), & \varphi_i = 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{c_i}}{\sigma_i(\varphi_i)} \eta_i(\varphi_i t_i), & \varphi_i \in (0, \infty), \\ \sqrt{c_i} B_{\alpha_{i,\infty}}(t_i), & \varphi_i = \infty \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq i \leq d+n.$$

In the sequel, we shall denote

$$\mathcal{P}_\eta^h(E) = \mathcal{H}_{\eta,h}^{\text{sup}}(E), \quad \mathcal{H}_\eta(E) = \mathcal{H}_{\eta,0}^{\text{sup}}(E)$$



and set

$$\mathcal{P}_\eta^h = \lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_\eta^h([0, S]), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\eta^h = \lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_\eta^h([-S, S]), \quad \mathcal{H}_\eta = \lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} S^{-1} \mathcal{H}_\eta([0, S])$$

if the limits exist. We refer to [12, 17, 26] for the properties of *Piterbarg constants*  $\mathcal{P}_\eta^h$  and *Pickands constants*  $\mathcal{H}_\eta$ . Next, suppose that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m^2(u)}{g_i(u)} = \gamma_i \in [0, \infty]$$

and for all  $u$  large  $\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_{E_u}(X_u) > m(u)\} > 0$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $X_u(s, t), (s, t) \in E_u \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+n}$  be a family of centered Gaussian random fields with continuous trajectories satisfying (29)-(31) and*

$$\gamma_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq d_1, \\ \infty, & \text{if } d_2 + 1 \leq i \leq d, \end{cases} \quad \gamma_i \in (0, \infty), \quad d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d_2, \quad \gamma_i \in [0, \infty), \quad d + 1 \leq i \leq d + n.$$

If further for  $1 \leq i \leq d_1$

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(m(u))^{2/\beta_i} a_i(u)}{(g_i(u))^{1/\beta_i}} = y_{i,1}, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(m(u))^{2/\beta_i} b_i(u)}{(g_i(u))^{1/\beta_i}} = y_{i,2}, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(m(u))^{2/\beta_i} (a_i^2(u) + b_i^2(u))}{(g_i(u))^{2/\beta_i}} = 0,$$

with  $-\infty \leq y_{i,1} < y_{i,2} \leq \infty$ , for  $d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d_2$ ,  $a_i(u) \leq 0 \leq b_i(u)$ ,  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} a_i(u) = a_i \in [-\infty, 0]$ ,  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} b_i(u) = b_i \in [0, \infty]$  and  $a_i(u) \leq 0 \leq b_i(u)$  for  $d_2 + 1 \leq i \leq d$ , then

$$(33) \quad \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_{E_u}(X_u) > m(u)\} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{d_1} \mathcal{H}_{V_{\varphi_i}} \prod_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} \mathcal{P}_{V_{\varphi_i}}^{h_i}[a_i, b_i] \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{V}_{\varphi}, \tilde{h}}^{\Gamma^*}(E) \prod_{i=1}^{d_1} \int_{y_{i,1}}^{y_{i,2}} e^{-|s|^{\beta_i}} ds \prod_{i=1}^{d_1} \left( \frac{g_i(u)}{m^2(u)} \right)^{1/\beta_i} \Psi(m(u)),$$

where

$$(34) \quad \tilde{V}_{\varphi}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n V_{\varphi_{d+i}}(t_i), \quad \tilde{h}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{d+i} |t_i|^{\beta_{d+i}}, \quad h_i(s_i) = \gamma_i |s_i|^{\beta_i}, \quad d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d_2.$$

**Remark 3.6.** *Theorem 3.5 extends and unifies both the previous findings of [8, 18, 21, 22] and in particular Theorem 8.2 in [26].*

**3.3. Generalized Piterbarg Constants.** Let  $X(t), t \geq 0$  be a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments and continuous trajectories. Suppose that the variance function  $\sigma^2(t) = \text{Var}(X(t))$  is strictly positive for all  $t > 0$  and  $\sigma(0) = 0$ . Define next

$$\mathcal{P}_X^b([0, S], [0, T]) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \inf_{s \in [0, S]} e^{\sqrt{2}X(t-s) - (1+b)\sigma^2(|t-s|)} \right\},$$

where  $b, S, T$  are positive constants. In the special case, that  $X = B_\alpha$  is a fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst index  $\alpha/2 \in (0, 1]$ , the generalized Piterbarg constant

$$\mathcal{P}_{B_\alpha}^b(S) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_{B_\alpha}^b([0, S], [0, T]) \in (0, \infty)$$

determines the asymptotics of Parisian ruin of the corresponding risk model, see [11]. Note that the classical Piterbarg constant corresponds to the case  $S = 0$ . Our next result shows that  $\mathcal{P}_X^b(S) \in (0, \infty)$  for a general Gaussian process with stationary increments.

**Proposition 3.7.** *If  $X(t), t \geq 0$  is a centred Gaussian process with stationary increments and variance function satisfying **A1** with regularly varying index  $2\alpha_\infty \in (0, 2]$  and **A2** with regularly varying index  $2\alpha_0 \in (0, 2)$ , then for any  $b, S$  positive we have*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_X^b([0, S], [0, T]) \in (0, \infty).$$

#### 4. PROOFS

Hereafter, by  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$  we denote positive constants which may differ from line to line.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1** Since we assume that  $\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\xi_{u, \tau_u}) > g_{u, \tau_u}\} > 0$  for all  $u$  large and any  $\tau_u \in K_u$ , then by conditioning

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\xi_{u, \tau_u}) > g_{u, \tau_u}\} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\xi_{u, \tau_u}) > g_{u, \tau_u} | \xi_{u, \tau_u}(0) = x\} \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx \\ &= \frac{e^{-g_{u, \tau_u}^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi g_{u, \tau_u}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-w - \frac{w^2}{2g_{u, \tau_u}^2}} \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\chi_{u, \tau_u}) > w\} dw \\ &=: \frac{e^{-g_{u, \tau_u}^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi g_{u, \tau_u}}} \mathcal{I}_{u, \tau_u}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\mathcal{I}_{u, \tau_u} > 0$  for all  $u$  large and

$$\chi_{u, \tau_u}(t) = \zeta_{u, \tau_u}(t) | (\zeta_{u, \tau_u}(0) = 0), \quad \zeta_{u, \tau_u}(t) = g_{u, \tau_u}(\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t) - g_{u, \tau_u}) + w.$$

Hence the proof follows by showing that  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta, h}^\Gamma(E)$  is finite and

$$(35) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} |\mathcal{I}_{u, \tau_u} - \mathcal{H}_{\eta, h}^\Gamma(E)| = 0.$$

Weak convergence of  $\Gamma(\chi_{u, \tau_u})$ . We have that  $\chi_{u, \tau_u}(0) = 0$  almost surely. Setting  $r_{u, \tau_u}(s, t) = \text{Cor}(Z_{u, \tau_u}(s), Z_{u, \tau_u}(t))$  we may write

$$\chi_{u, \tau_u}(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{g_{u, \tau_u}}{1 + h_{u, \tau_u}(t)} \left( Z_{u, \tau_u}(t) - r_{u, \tau_u}(t, 0) Z_{u, \tau_u}(0) \right) + \mathbb{E}\{\chi_{u, \tau_u}(t)\}, \quad t \in E,$$

where  $\stackrel{d}{=}$  means equality of the fidi's. Since

$$(1 + h_{u, \tau_u}(t)) \mathbb{E}\{\chi_{u, \tau_u}(t)\} = -g_{u, \tau_u}^2 (1 - r_{u, \tau_u}(t, 0)) - g_{u, \tau_u}^2 h_{u, \tau_u}(t) + w(1 - r_{u, \tau_u}(t, 0) + h_{u, \tau_u}(t))$$

by **C1**, **C3** for some arbitrary  $M$  positive, uniformly with respect to  $t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u, w \in [-M, M]$

$$(36) \quad (1 + h_{u, \tau_u}(t)) \mathbb{E}\{\chi_{u, \tau_u}(t)\} \rightarrow -(\sigma_\eta^2(t) + h(t)), \quad u \rightarrow \infty$$

and also for any  $s, t \in E$  uniformly with respect to  $\tau_u \in K_u, w \in [-M, M]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}\left( (1 + h_{u, \tau_u}(t)) \chi_{u, \tau_u}(t) - (1 + h_{u, \tau_u}(s)) \chi_{u, \tau_u}(s) \right) \\ &= g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \left[ \mathbb{E}\left\{ \left( Z_{u, \tau_u}(t) - Z_{u, \tau_u}(s) \right)^2 \right\} - \left( \mathbb{E}\{Z_{u, \tau_u}(0)[Z_{u, \tau_u}(t) - Z_{u, \tau_u}(s)]\} \right)^2 \right] \\ (37) \quad & \rightarrow 2\text{Var}(\eta(t) - \eta(s)), \quad u \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Lemma 4.1 in [29] the fidi's of  $(1 + h_{u, \tau_u}(t)) \chi_{u, \tau_u}(t), t \in E$  converge to those of  $\eta^h(t), t \in E$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly for  $\tau_u \in K_u, w \in [-M, M]$  where  $M > 0$  is fixed (recall  $\eta^h(t) = \sqrt{2}\eta(t) - \text{Var}(\eta(t)) - h(t)$ ).

Condition **C3** together with the uniform convergence in (36) guarantee that Proposition 9.7 in [27] can be

applied to yield the uniform tightness of  $(1 + h_{u,\tau_u}(t))\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t), t \in E$  and thus  $\{(1 + h_{u,\tau_u}(t))\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t), t \in E\}$  weakly converges to  $\{\eta^h(t), t \in E\}$ , as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $\tau_u \in K_u$ . Further, since

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u} h_{u,\tau_u}(t) = 0,$$

then  $\{\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t), t \in E\}$  converges weakly to  $\{\eta^h(t), t \in E\}$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly with respect to  $\tau_u \in K_u$ .

Consequently, since we assume that  $\Gamma$  is a continuous functional, by the continuous mapping theorem  $\Gamma(\chi_{u,\tau_u})$  converges in distribution to  $\Gamma(\eta^h)$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly with respect to  $\tau_u \in K_u$ .

Convergence of (35). Denote by  $\mathbb{A} = \{w : \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\eta^h) > w\}$  is discontinuous at  $w\}$ , then  $\mathbb{A}$  is an countable set with measure 0. Hence for any  $w \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{A}$

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \left| \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\chi_{u,\tau_u}) > w\} - \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\eta^h) > w\} \right| = 0$$

and by **C0**

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u, w \in [-M, M]} e^w \left[ 1 - e^{-\frac{w^2}{2g_{u,\tau_u}^2}} \right] \leq \frac{e^M M^2}{2 \liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tau_u \in K_u} g_{u,\tau_u}^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad u \rightarrow \infty$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \left| \int_{-M}^M \left[ e^{w - \frac{w^2}{2g_{u,\tau_u}^2}} \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\chi_{u,\tau_u}) > w\} - e^w \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\eta^h) > w\} \right] dw \right| \\ & \leq \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \int_{-M}^M e^w (1 - e^{-\frac{w^2}{2g_{u,\tau_u}^2}}) \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\eta^h) > w\} dw \\ & \quad + \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \left| \int_{-M}^M \left[ e^{w - \frac{w^2}{2g_{u,\tau_u}^2}} (\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\chi_{u,\tau_u}) > w\} - \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\eta^h) > w\}) \right] dw \right| \\ & \leq e^M \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-M}^M \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \left| \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\chi_{u,\tau_u}) > w\} - \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\eta^h) > w\} \right| dw = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using (36) for  $\delta \in (0, 1/c)$ ,  $|w| > M$  with  $M$  sufficiently large and all  $u$  large we have

$$\sup_{\tau_u \in K_u, t \in E} (1 + h_{u,\tau_u}(t)) \mathbb{E}\{\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t)\} \leq \delta |w|.$$

Moreover, in view of (37) and (11) in **C3** we have that for  $u$  sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\left((1 + h_{u,\tau_u}(t))\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t) - (1 + h_{u,\tau_u}(s))\chi_{u,\tau_u}(s)\right) & \leq g_{u,\tau_u}^2 \mathbb{E}\left\{\left(Z_{u,\tau_u}(t) - Z_{u,\tau_u}(s)\right)^2\right\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{Q} \sum_{i=1}^d |s_i - t_i|^a. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Piterbarg inequality (see e.g., Theorem 8.1 in [26]) we obtain for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\delta \in (0, 1/c)$  with  $c$  given in **F1**, and all  $u$  large

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|w| > M} e^{w - \frac{w^2}{2g_{u,\tau_u}^2}} \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma(\chi_{u,\tau_u}) > w\} dw \\ & \leq \int_{|w| > M} e^w \mathbb{P}\left\{c \sup_{t \in E} (1 + h_{u,\tau_u}(t)) (\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t) - \mathbb{E}\{\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t)\}) > w - c \sup_{t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u} (1 + h_{u,\tau_u}(t)) \mathbb{E}\{\chi_{u,\tau_u}(t)\}\right\} dw \\ & \leq e^{-M} + \int_M^\infty e^w \Psi((1 - \varepsilon)(1/c - \delta)w) dw \\ & =: A(M) \rightarrow 0, \quad M \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Borell-TIS inequality (see e.g., [1])

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|w|>M} e^w \mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma(\eta^h) > w \} dw &\leq \int_{|w|>M} e^w \mathbb{P} \left\{ c \sup_{t \in E} \eta^h(t) > w \right\} dw \\
&\leq e^{-M} + \int_M^\infty e^w \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sqrt{2}c \sup_{t \in E} \eta(t) > w - c \sup_{t \in E} (Var(\eta(t)) + h(t)) \right\} dw \\
&\leq e^{-M} + \int_M^\infty e^{w - \frac{(w-a)^2}{2 \sup_{t \in E} Var(\sqrt{2}c\eta(t))}} dw \\
&=: B(M) \rightarrow 0, \quad M \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

with  $a = \sqrt{2}c\mathbb{E} \{ \sup_{t \in E} \eta(t) \} - c \sup_{t \in E} (Var(\eta(t)) + h(t)) < \infty$ . Hence (35) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} |\mathcal{I}_{u, \tau_u} - \mathcal{H}_{\eta, h}^\Gamma(E)| &\leq \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \left| \int_{-M}^M \left[ e^{w - \frac{w^2}{2g_{u, \tau_u}^2}} \mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma(\chi_{u, \tau_u}) > w \} - e^w \mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma(\eta^h) > w \} \right] dw \right| \\
&\quad + A(M) + B(M) \\
&\rightarrow A(M) + B(M), \quad u \rightarrow \infty, \\
&\rightarrow 0, \quad M \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

establishing the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 2.3** It follows from Remark 2.2 ii) that it suffices to prove (10), (11) and (16). Without loss of generality, in the following derivation we assume that  $c_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq d$ . By (17), we have

$$\theta_{u, \tau_u}(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{c_i \sigma_i^2(q_i(u) | s_i - t_i |)}{\sigma_i^2(q_i(u))}, \quad (s, t) \in E.$$

By uniform convergence theorem (UCT) for regularly varying functions, see [5], (10) holds with  $\eta$  defined in (18). Next we verify (11). For  $0 < \beta < \min(\min_{1 \leq i \leq d} \alpha_{i,0}, \min_{d_2+1 \leq i \leq d} \alpha_{i,\infty})$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{c_i \sigma_i^2(q_i(u) | s_i - t_i |)}{\sigma_i^2(q_i(u))} = \sum_{i=1}^d c_i \frac{f_i(q_i(u) | s_i - t_i |)}{f_i(q_i(u))} |s_i - t_i|^{\beta/2},$$

with  $f_i(t) = \frac{\sigma_i^2(t)}{t^{\beta/2}}, t > 0$ . Note that  $f_i$  is regularly varying at 0 with index  $\alpha_{i,0} - \beta/2 > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and for  $d_2 + 1 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $f_i$  is regularly varying at  $\infty$  with index  $\alpha_{i,\infty} - \beta/2 > 0$ . By UCT for any  $M > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i=1, \dots, d_1} \sup_{0 < |s_i - t_i| \leq M} \left| \frac{f_i(q_i(u) | s_i - t_i |)}{f_i(q_i(u))} - |s_i - t_i|^{\alpha_{i,0} - \beta/2} \right| = 0.$$

Using the fact that  $f_i$  is bounded on compact intervals for  $d_2 + 1 \leq i \leq d$ , again by UCT, for any  $M > 0$

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i=d_2+1, \dots, d} \sup_{0 < |s_i - t_i| \leq M} \left| \frac{f_i(q_i(u) | s_i - t_i |)}{f_i(q_i(u))} - |s_i - t_i|^{\alpha_{i,\infty} - \beta/2} \right| = 0.$$

Moreover, since  $f_i$  is regularly varying at 0 with index  $\alpha_{i,0} - \beta > 0$  and  $\varphi_i \in (0, \infty), d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d_2$ , then for any  $M > 0$  and  $u$  large enough

$$\max_{d_1+1 \leq i \leq d_2} \sup_{0 < |s_i - t_i| \leq M} \frac{f_i(q_i(u) | s_i - t_i |)}{f_i(q_i(u))} < \infty.$$

Thus we conclude that for  $u$  large enough

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{c_i \sigma_i^2(q_i(u) | s_i - t_i |)}{\sigma_i^2(q_i(u))} \leq \mathbb{Q} \sum_{i=1}^d |s_i - t_i|^{\beta/2}, \quad s, t \in E,$$

which confirms (11). We are now left to prove (16). In light of (17) and UCT, we have

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in E \setminus \{0\}, \tau_u \in K_u} \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 Var(Z_{u, \tau_u}(t) - Z_{u, \tau_u}(0)) - 2Var(\eta(t)) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in E \setminus \{0\}, \tau_u \in K_u} \left| \frac{g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(Z_{u, \tau_u}(t) - Z_{u, \tau_u}(0))}{2\theta_{u, \tau_u}(0, t)} - 1 \right| \left| 2\theta_{u, \tau_u}(0, t) \right| \\ &+ \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u} \left| 2\theta_{u, \tau_u}(0, t) - 2\text{Var}(\eta(t)) \right| = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (16) holds. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.5** We check that **C0-C3** hold. Clearly, **C0** is satisfied by the assumptions. We observe that

$$\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t) = \frac{\bar{\xi}_{u, \tau_u}(t)}{1 + h_{u, \tau_u}(t)}, \quad t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u,$$

with

$$\bar{\xi}_{u, \tau_u}(t) = \frac{\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t)}{\sigma_{u, \tau_u}(t)}, \quad h_{u, \tau_u}(t) = \frac{1 - \sigma_{u, \tau_u}(t)}{\sigma_{u, \tau_u}(t)},$$

which together with **D1** immediately implies that **C1** is valid. Let next for  $u > 0$

$$\theta_{u, \tau_u}(s, t) = \frac{g_{u, \tau_u}^2}{2} \text{Var}(\bar{\xi}_{u, \tau_u}(t) - \bar{\xi}_{u, \tau_u}(s)).$$

Direct calculations yield

$$\theta_{u, \tau_u}(s, t) = I_{1, u, \tau_u}(s, t) + I_{2, u, \tau_u}(s, t) + I_{3, u, \tau_u}(s, t), \quad s, t \in E,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1, u, \tau_u}(s, t) &= \frac{g_{u, \tau_u}^2}{2} \frac{\text{Var}(\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t) - \xi_{u, \tau_u}(s))}{\sigma_{u, \tau_u}^2(t)}, \quad I_{2, u, \tau_u}(s, t) = \frac{g_{u, \tau_u}^2}{2} \frac{(\sigma_{u, \tau_u}(t) - \sigma_{u, \tau_u}(s))^2}{\sigma_{u, \tau_u}^2(t)}, \\ I_{3, u, \tau_u}(s, t) &= g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \frac{\sigma_{u, \tau_u}(t) - \sigma_{u, \tau_u}(s)}{\sigma_{u, \tau_u}^2(t) \sigma_{u, \tau_u}(s)} \mathbb{E} \{ (\xi_{u, \tau_u}(s) - \xi_{u, \tau_u}(t)) \xi_{u, \tau_u}(s) \}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from **D1** that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s, t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u} I_{2, u, \tau_u}(s, t) \leq \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s, t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u} g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \frac{(\sigma_{u, \tau_u}(t) - 1)^2 + (1 - \sigma_{u, \tau_u}(s))^2}{\sigma_{u, \tau_u}^2(t)} = 0.$$

Further, by **D1, D2**

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} |I_{1, u, \tau_u}(s, t) - \text{Var}(\eta(t) - \eta(s))| = 0, \quad s, t \in E$$

and

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} |I_{3, u, \tau_u}(s, t)| \leq \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \frac{|\sigma_{u, \tau_u}(t) - \sigma_{u, \tau_u}(s)|}{\sigma_{u, \tau_u}^2(t)} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\xi_{u, \tau_u}(s) - \xi_{u, \tau_u}(t))} = 0, \quad s, t \in E.$$

Thus we confirm that **C2** holds. Moreover, by **D3** and the fact that

$$(\sigma_{u, \tau_u}(t) - \sigma_{u, \tau_u}(s))^2 \leq \text{Var}(\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t) - \xi_{u, \tau_u}(s))$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \sup_{s \neq t, s, t \in E} \frac{\theta_{u, \tau_u}(s, t)}{\|t - s\|^\nu} \leq \mathbb{Q} \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \sup_{s \neq t, s, t \in E} \frac{g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(\xi_{u, \tau_u}(t) - \xi_{u, \tau_u}(s))}{\|t - s\|^\nu} < \infty.$$

Using again **D1, D2** we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u} |I_{1, u, \tau_u}(0, t) - \text{Var}(\eta(t))| = 0, \\ &\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u} I_{2, u, \tau_u}(0, t) = 0, \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u} |I_{3, u, \tau_u}(0, t)| = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in E, \tau_u \in K_u} |\theta_{u, \tau_u}(0, t) - \text{Var}(\eta(t))| = 0.$$

Hence **C3** is satisfied with (16) instead of (12). In view of Remark 2.2 the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1** Recall that  $F(A, B) = \inf_{s \in A, t \in B} \|s - t\|$  with  $A, B$  two non-empty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  the Euclidean norm. Clearly, for any  $u$  positive

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1} X_u(t) > m_{\lambda_1}(u), \sup_{t \in \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2} X_u(t) > m_{\lambda_2}(u) \right\} \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s \in \lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1, t \in \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2} (X_u(s) + X_u(t)) > 2m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(u) \right\},$$

where  $m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(u) = \min(m_{\lambda_1}(u), m_{\lambda_2}(u))$ . By (23) and (25), we have that for  $u$  sufficiently large and  $F(\lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1, \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2) > S_1$ , with  $S_1$  large enough,

$$2\delta \leq \text{Var}(X_u(s) + X_u(t)) = 4 - 2(1 - r_u(s, t)) \leq 4 - \frac{2\mathcal{C}_1 F^\beta(\lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1, \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2)}{m^2(u)}.$$

Moreover, by (24) and the above inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \text{Cor}(X_u(s) + X_u(t), X_u(s') + X_u(t')) &\leq \frac{\text{Var}(X_u(s) + X_u(t) - X_u(s') - X_u(t'))}{2\sqrt{\text{Var}(X_u(s) + X_u(t))}\sqrt{\text{Var}(X_u(s') + X_u(t'))}} \\ &\leq \delta^{-1}(1 - r_u(s, s') + 1 - r_u(t, t')) \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}_2 \frac{\delta^{-1} d^{\alpha/2}}{m^2(u)} \sum_{i=1}^d (|s_i - s'_i|^\alpha + |t_i - t'_i|^\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

holds for  $s, t, s', t' \in [0, 1]^d$ . Let  $X_u^*(s, t), s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d, u > 0$  be a family of centered Gaussian random fields with unit variance and correlation satisfying

$$r_u(s, t) = e^{-\frac{2\delta^{-1} d^{\alpha/2} \mathcal{C}_2}{m^2(u)} \sum_{i=1}^d (|s_i|^\alpha + |t_i|^\alpha)}, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

and let further

$$m_{u, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} := \frac{2m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(u)}{\sqrt{4 - \frac{2\mathcal{C}_1 F^\beta(\lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1, \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2)}{m^2(u)}}}, \quad I_{i_1, \dots, i_d} = \prod_{j=1}^d [i_j, i_j + 1].$$

For all  $u$  large we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s \in \lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1, t \in \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2} (X_u(s) + X_u(t)) > 2m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(u) \right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s \in \lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1, t \in \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2} \overline{X_u(s) + X_u(t)} > m_{u, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \right\} \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s \in \lambda_1 + [0, S_2]^d, t \in \lambda_2 + [0, S_2]^d} \overline{X_u(s) + X_u(t)} > m_{u, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d, i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_d=0}^{[S_2]} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s \in \lambda_1 + I_{i_1, \dots, i_d}, t \in \lambda_2 + I_{i'_1, \dots, i'_d}} \overline{X_u(s) + X_u(t)} > m_{u, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d, i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_d=0}^{[S_2]} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s \in \lambda_1 + I_{i_1, \dots, i_d}, t \in \lambda_2 + I_{i'_1, \dots, i'_d}} X_u^*(s, t) > m_{u, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \right\} \\ (38) \quad &= (S_2 + 1)^{2d} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s, t \in [0, 1]^d} X_u^*(s, t) > m_{u, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Slepian inequality (see, e.g., [1, 2]) to derive (38). Hence in order to complete the proof, we need to apply Proposition 2.3 to the family of Gaussian random fields  $\{X_u^*(s, t), (s, t) \in [0, 1]^{2d}\}$ . Let

$$K_u = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), \lambda_i + \mathcal{E}_i \subset E_u, i = 1, 2\}.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in K_u} \sup_{(s,t) \neq (s',t'), (s,t), (s',t') \in [0,1]^{2d}} \left| \frac{(m_{u,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\mathcal{E}_1,\mathcal{E}_2})^2 \text{Var}(X_u^*(s,t) - X_u^*(s',t'))}{2 \sum_{i=1}^d 2\delta^{-1} d^{\alpha/2} \mathcal{C}_2 (\sum_{i=1}^d |s_i - s'_i|^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^d |t_i - t'_i|^\alpha)} - 1 \right| = 0.$$

Since conditions **C0-C1** are clearly satisfied, then Proposition 2.3 implies

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in K_u} \left| \frac{1}{\Psi(m_{u,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\mathcal{E}_1,\mathcal{E}_2})} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s,t \in [0,1]^{2d}} X_u^*(s,t) > m_{u,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\mathcal{E}_1,\mathcal{E}_2} \right\} - \mathcal{H}_\eta([0,1]^{2d}) \right| = 0,$$

where

$$\eta(s,t) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{2\delta^{-1} d^{\alpha/2} \mathcal{C}_2} B_\alpha^{(i)}(s_i) + \sum_{i=d+1}^{2d} \sqrt{2\delta^{-1} d^{\alpha/2} \mathcal{C}_2} B_\alpha^{(i)}(t_{i-d}),$$

with  $B_\alpha^{(i)}, 1 \leq i \leq 2d$  independent fBm's with index  $\alpha$ . Thus we establish the claim for  $F(\lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1, \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2) > S_1$ .

For  $F(\lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1, \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2) \leq S_1$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s \in \lambda_1 + \mathcal{E}_1} X_u(s) > m_{\lambda_1}(u), \sup_{t \in \lambda_2 + \mathcal{E}_2} X_u(t) > m_{\lambda_2}(u) \right\} \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \lambda_1 + [-S_1, S_2 + S_1]^d} X_u(t) > m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(u) \right\}.$$

By (24) and Slepian inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s \in \lambda_1 + [-S_1, S_2 + S_1]^d} X_u(s) > m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(u) \right\} \\ & \leq (S_2 + 2S_1 + 1)^d \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s \in [0,1]^d} X_u^*(\delta^{1/\alpha} s, 0, \dots, 0) > m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(u) \right\} \\ & \sim (S_2 + 2S_1 + 1)^d \mathcal{H}_\lambda([0,1]^d) \Psi(m_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(u)), \quad u \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\lambda(s) = \sqrt{\delta} \eta(s, 0, \dots, 0)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 3.2** Let  $\beta = \frac{1}{2} \min_{i=1, \dots, 2d} \min(\alpha_{i,0}, \alpha_{i,\infty}, 2)$  and  $f_i(t) = \kappa_i(t)/t^\beta$ . Clearly,  $f_i$ 's are regularly varying at 0 with index  $\alpha_{i,0} - \beta > 0$  and regularly varying at  $\infty$  with index  $\alpha_{i,\infty} - \beta > 0$ . With this notation we have

$$(39) \quad \frac{\kappa_i(q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|)}{\kappa_i(q_i(u))} = \frac{f_i(q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|)}{f_i(q_i(u))} |s_i - t_i|^\beta, \quad s_i \neq t_i, i = 1, \dots, 2d.$$

Next we focus on  $\frac{f_i(q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|)}{f_i(q_i(u))}$ . We consider the upper bound and lower bound respectively.

*Lower bound.* For  $\varphi_i = 0$  we define  $g_i(t) = 1/f_i(1/t)$ . Then  $g_i$  is both regularly varying at 0 with index  $\alpha_{i,\infty} - \beta > 0$  and regularly varying at  $\infty$  with index  $\alpha_{i,0} - \beta > 0$ . By the assumption on  $\kappa_i$ 's, further  $g_i$  is bounded over any compact interval and by UCT

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|s_i - t_i| \geq 1} \left| \frac{g_i\left(\frac{1}{q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|}\right)}{g_i\left(\frac{1}{q_i(u)}\right)} - \left(\frac{1}{|s_i - t_i|}\right)^{\alpha_{i,0} - \beta} \right| = 0$$

implying that for  $u$  large enough

$$\frac{g_i\left(\frac{1}{q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|}\right)}{g_i\left(\frac{1}{q_i(u)}\right)} \leq 2, \quad \frac{1}{|s_i - t_i|} \leq 1.$$

Consequently, for  $u$  sufficiently large

$$\frac{f_i(q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|)}{f_i(q_i(u))} = \frac{g_i\left(\frac{1}{q_i(u)}\right)}{g_i\left(\frac{1}{q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad |s_i - t_i| \geq 1.$$

Next, if  $\varphi_i \in (0, \infty)$ , then by the fact that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f_i(t) = \infty$ , there exists  $S_1 > 0$  and  $M'_i$  such that for  $u$  sufficiently large

$$\frac{f_i(q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|)}{f_i(q_i(u))} > M'_i, \quad |s_i - t_i| > S_1.$$

For  $\varphi = \infty$ , Potter's theorem (see e.g., [5][Theorem 1.5.6]) implies that for any  $0 < \epsilon < \alpha_{i,\infty} - \beta$  there exists  $M_i'' > 0$  and  $S_1' > 1$  such that for  $u$  sufficiently large

$$\frac{f_i(q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|)}{f_i(q_i(u))} > M_i'' |s_i - t_i|^{\alpha_{i,\infty} - \beta - \epsilon} \geq M_1'', \quad |s_i - t_i| > S_1'.$$

Consequently, there exists  $S > 1$  and  $M > 0$  such that for  $u$  sufficiently large

$$\frac{\kappa_i(q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|)}{\kappa_i(q_i(u))} \geq M |s_i - t_i|^\beta, \quad |s_i - t_i| > S, i = 1, \dots, d.$$

Further, for  $u$  large enough

$$(40) \quad g_u(s, t) \geq d^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} M \|s - t\|^\beta, \quad \|s - t\| > \sqrt{d}S.$$

Upper bound. If  $\varphi_i \in \{0, \infty\}$ , then using again UCT we have that

$$\sup_{|s_i - t_i| \leq 1} \frac{f_i(q_i(u)|s_i - t_i|)}{f_i(q_i(u))} \leq C$$

is valid for all  $u$  large enough and some constant  $C$ . Further, since  $f_i$  is locally bounded, then the above holds also if  $\varphi_i \in (0, \infty)$ . This implies that for some  $M' > 0$

$$(41) \quad \tilde{g}_u(s, t) \leq M' \sum_{i=1}^d |s_i - t_i|^\beta \leq dM' \|s - t\|^\beta, \quad s - t \in [-1, 1]^d,$$

which combined with (40) and Theorem 3.1 establishes the claim.  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 3.3** The claim follows straightforwardly using the arguments of Corollary 3.2 for the case  $\varphi_i = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.5** Without loss of generality, we assume that  $a_i = -\infty$ ,  $b_i = \infty$  for  $d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d_2$ . Set in the following

$$I_k = \prod_{i=1}^{d_1} [k_i S, (k_i + 1)S], \quad k = (k_1, \dots, k_{d_1}),$$

$$J_l = \prod_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} [l_i S, (l_i + 1)S] \times \prod_{i=d_2+1}^d [l_i T, (l_i + 1)T], \quad l = (l_{d_1+1}, \dots, l_d),$$

$$J^* = \prod_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} [-S, S] \times \prod_{i=d_2+1}^d [-T, T], \tilde{J} = \prod_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} [-S, S] \times \{0\}, \quad 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d-d_2}.$$

Further, define

$$I_k^* = I_k \times J^* \times E, \quad \tilde{I}_k = I_k \times \tilde{J} \times E, \quad I_{k,l} = I_k \times J_l \times E,$$

$$K_u^\pm = \left\{ k, \frac{a_i(u)}{S} \mp 1 \leq k_i \leq \frac{b_i(u)}{S} \pm 1, 1 \leq i \leq d_1 \right\},$$

$$L_u = \left\{ l, \frac{a_i(u)}{S} - 1 \leq l_i \leq \frac{b_i(u)}{S} + 1, d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d_2, \frac{a_i(u)}{T} - 1 \leq l_i \leq \frac{b_i(u)}{T} + 1, d_2 + 1 \leq i \leq d, J_l \not\subseteq J^* \right\}.$$

For some  $\epsilon \in (-1, 1)$  and  $u > 0$  set

$$\Theta_\epsilon(u) := \prod_{i=1}^{d_1} \int_{y_{i,1}}^{y_{i,2}} e^{-(1-\epsilon)|s|^{\beta_i}} ds \prod_{i=1}^{d_1} \left( \frac{g_i(u)}{m^2(u)} \right)^{1/\beta_i} \Psi(m(u)).$$

Observe that

$$X_u(s, t) = \frac{\sigma_u(s, t) \bar{X}_u(s, t)}{\sigma_u(0, 0)}, \quad \frac{\sigma_u(0, 0)}{\sigma_u(s, t)} = \frac{\sigma_u(0, 0) \sigma_u(s, 0)}{\sigma_u(s, 0) \sigma_u(s, t)}.$$



Using (29) and (30), there exists  $e_{u,1}(s)$  and  $e_{u,2}(s, t)$  such that as  $u \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sup_{s \in \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i(u), b_i(u)]} |e_{u,1}(s)| = o(1), \quad \sup_{(s,t) \in E_u} |e_{u,2}(s, t)| = o(1),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_u(0, 0)}{\sigma_u(s, 0)} &= 1 + (1 + e_{u,1}(s)) \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{|s_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)}, \quad s \in \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i(u), b_i(u)], \\ \frac{\sigma_u(s, 0)}{\sigma_u(s, t)} &= 1 + (1 + e_{u,2}(s, t)) \sum_{i=d+1}^{d+n} \frac{|t_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)}, \quad (s, t) \in E_u. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by **F2** for  $\Gamma^*$

$$\Gamma_{E_u}(X_u(s, t)) = \sup_{s \in \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i(u), b_i(u)]} \Gamma^*(X_u(s, t)) = \sup_{s \in \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i(u), b_i(u)]} \sigma_u(s, 0) \Gamma^* \left( \bar{X}_u(s, t) \frac{\sigma_u(s, t)}{\sigma_u(s, 0)} \right).$$

Thus, by **F2** for  $\Gamma^*$ , and the property of sup functional we have that for  $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$  and  $u$  sufficiently large

$$(42) \quad \mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma_{E_u}(X_u^{+\epsilon}) > m(u) \} \leq \mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma_{E_u}(X_u) > m(u) \} \leq \mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma_{E_u}(X_u^{-\epsilon, y}) > m(u) \},$$

where for  $(s, t) \in E_u$

$$\begin{aligned} X_u^{-\epsilon, y}(s, t) &= \frac{\bar{X}_u(s, t)}{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} (1 - \epsilon) \frac{|s_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)}) (1 + \sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} (1 - \epsilon) \frac{|s_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)} + \sum_{i=d_2+1}^d y \frac{|s_i|^{\beta_i}}{m^2(u)})} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(1 + (1 + e_{u,2}(s, t)) \sum_{i=d+1}^{d+n} \frac{|t_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$X_u^{+\epsilon}(s, t) = \frac{\bar{X}_u(s, t)}{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} (1 + \epsilon) \frac{|s_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)}) (1 + \sum_{i=d_1+1}^d (1 + \epsilon) \frac{|s_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)}) (1 + (1 + e_{u,2}(s, t)) \sum_{i=d+1}^{d+n} \frac{|t_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)})}.$$

Upper bound. By the property of sup functional, we have that

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma_{E_u}(X_u^{-\epsilon, y}) > m(u) \} &\leq \sum_{k \in K_u^+} \mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma_{I_k^*}(X_u^{-\epsilon, y}) > m(u) \} + \sum_{(k,l) \in K_u^+ \times L_u} \mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma_{I_{k,l}}(X_u^{-\epsilon, y}) > m(u) \} \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in K_u^+} \mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma_{I_0^*}(\xi_{u,k}) > m_{u,k} \} + \sum_{(k,l) \in K_u^+ \times L_u} \mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma_{I_{0,0}}(\xi_{u,k,l}) > m_{u,k,l} \}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{u,k}(s, t) &= \frac{\bar{X}_u(s + kS, t)}{(1 + \sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} (1 - \epsilon) \frac{|s_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)} + \sum_{i=d_2+1}^d y \frac{|s_i|^{\beta_i}}{m^2(u)}) (1 + (1 + e_{u,2}(s, t)) \sum_{i=d+1}^{d+n} \frac{|t_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)})}, \quad (s, t) \in I_0^*, \\ \xi_{u,k,l}(s, t) &= \frac{\bar{X}_u(s + (k, l)(S, T), t)}{1 + (1 + e_{u,2}(s, t)) \sum_{i=d+1}^{d+n} \frac{|t_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)}}, \quad (s, t) \in I_{0,0}, \\ m_{u,k} &= m(u) \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} (1 - \epsilon) \frac{|k_i^* S|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)} \right), \\ m_{u,k,l} &= m(u) \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} (1 - \epsilon) \frac{|k_i^* S|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)} + \sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} (1 - 2\epsilon) \frac{|l_i^* S|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)} + \sum_{i=d_2+1}^d y/2 \frac{|l_i^* S|^{\beta_i}}{m^2(u)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with  $kS = (k_1 S, \dots, k_{d_1} S, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and

$$(k, l)(S, T) = (k_1 S, \dots, k_{d_1} S, l_{d_1+1} S, \dots, l_{d_2} S, l_{d_2+1} T, l_d T) \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$k_i^* = \min(|k_i|, |k_i + 1|), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d_1, \quad l_i^* = \min(|l_i|, |l_i + 1|), \quad d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

In order to apply Proposition 2.3, by (31), set

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{u,k}(s, t, s', t') &= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{c_i \sigma_i^2(q_i(u) |s_i - s'_i|)}{\sigma_i^2(q_i(u))} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{d+n} \frac{c_i \sigma_i^2(q_i(u) |t_i - t'_i|)}{\sigma_i^2(q_i(u))}, \quad (s, t), (s', t') \in I_0^*, \\ h_{u,k}(s, t) &= \left( \sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} (1-\epsilon) \frac{|s_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)} + \sum_{i=d_2+1}^d y \frac{|s_i|^{\beta_i}}{m^2(u)} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{d+n} \frac{|t_i|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)} \right) (1 + o(1)), \quad (s, t) \in I_0^*, \\ g_{u,k} &= m_{u,k}, \quad K_u = K_u^+, \quad E = I_0^*.\end{aligned}$$

First we note that condition **C0** holds straightforwardly. One can easily check that **C1** holds with

$$(44) \quad h_\epsilon(s, t) = \sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} (1-\epsilon) \gamma_i |s_i|^{\beta_i} + \sum_{i=d_2+1}^d y |s_i|^{\beta_i} + \sum_{i=d+1}^{d+n} \gamma_i |t_i|^{\beta_i}, \quad (s, t) \in I_0^*.$$

Thus in view of **A1-A2** and by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$(45) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \in K_u^+} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_{I_0^*}(\xi_{u,k}) > m_{u,k}\}}{\Psi(m_{u,k})} - \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_\epsilon}^\Gamma(I_0^*) \right| = 0,$$

with  $h_\epsilon$  defined in (44) and  $V_\varphi(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^d V_{\varphi_i}(s_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n V_{\varphi_{d+i}}(t_i)$  with  $V_{\varphi_i}$  defined in (32). Similarly, we have

$$(46) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(k,l) \in K_u^+ \times L_u} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_{I_{0,0}}(\xi_{u,k,l}) > m_{u,k,l}\}}{\Psi(m_{u,k,l})} - \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, \tilde{h}}^\Gamma(I_{0,0}) \right| = 0,$$

with  $\tilde{h}(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i+d} |t_i|^{\beta_{i+d}}$ . Further, as  $u \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}(47) \quad \sum_{k \in K_u^+} \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_{I_0^*}(\xi_{u,k}) > m_k(u)\} &\sim \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_\epsilon}^\Gamma(I_0^*) \sum_{k \in K_u^+} \Psi(m_{u,k}) \\ &\sim \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_\epsilon}^\Gamma(I_0^*) \Psi(m(u)) \sum_{k \in K_u^+} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{d_1} (1-\epsilon) m^2(u) \frac{|k_i^* S|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)}} \\ &\sim S^{-d_1} \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, \tilde{h}}^\Gamma(I_0^*) \Theta_\epsilon(u)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(48) \quad &\sum_{(k,l) \in K_u^+ \times L_u} \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_{I_{0,0}}(\xi_{u,k,l}) > m_{u,k,l}\} \\ &\sim \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, \tilde{h}}^\Gamma(I_{0,0}) \sum_{(k,l) \in K_u^+ \times L_u} \Psi(m_{u,k,l}) \\ &\leq \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, \tilde{h}}^\Gamma(I_{0,0}) \sum_{k \in K_u^+} \Psi(m_{u,k}) \sum_{l \in L_u} e^{-m^2(u) (\sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} (1-2\epsilon) \frac{|l_i^* S|^{\beta_i}}{g_i(u)} + \sum_{i=d_2+1}^d y/2 \frac{|l_i^* T|^{\beta_i}}{m^2(u)})} (1 + o(1)) \\ &\leq \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, \tilde{h}}^\Gamma(I_{0,0}) \sum_{k \in K_u^+} \Psi(m_{u,k}) \sum_{l \in L_u} e^{-\sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} (1-2\epsilon) \gamma_i |l_i^* S|^{\beta_i} - \sum_{i=d_2+1}^d y/2 |l_i^* T|^{\beta_i}} (1 + o(1)) \\ &\leq S^{-d_1} \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, \tilde{h}}^\Gamma(I_{0,0}) \left( \sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} e^{-\mathbb{Q} S^{\beta_i}} + \sum_{i=d_2+1}^d e^{-y \mathbb{Q} T^{\beta_i}} \right) \Theta_\epsilon(u) (1 + o(1)).\end{aligned}$$

Lower bound. By the property of sup functional and Bonferroni inequality, we obtain

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_{E_u}(X_u^{+\epsilon}) > m(u)\} &\geq \sum_{k \in K_u^-} \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_{\tilde{I}_k}(X_u^{+\epsilon}) > m(u)\} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k, q \in K_u^-, k \neq q} \mathbb{P}\{\Gamma_{\tilde{I}_k}(X_u^{+\epsilon}) > m(u), \Gamma_{\tilde{I}_q}(X_u^{+\epsilon}) > m(u)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly as (47), we have

$$(50) \quad \sum_{k \in K_u^-} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Gamma_{\tilde{I}_k}^-(X_u^{+\epsilon}) > m(u) \right\} \sim S^{-d_1} \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_\epsilon^*}^\Gamma(\tilde{I}_0) \Theta_{-\epsilon}(u),$$

with  $h_\epsilon^*(s, t) = \sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} (1+\epsilon)\gamma_i |s_i|^{\beta_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i+d} |t_i|^{\beta_{i+d}}$ ,  $(s, t) \in \tilde{I}_0$ . Finally, we focus on the double-sum term. It follows from **F1**, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k, q \in K_u^-, k \neq q} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \Gamma_{\tilde{I}_k}^-(X_u^{+\epsilon}) > m(u), \Gamma_{\tilde{I}_q}^-(X_u^{+\epsilon}) > m(u) \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{k, q \in K_u^-, k \neq q} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}_k} X_u^{+\epsilon}(s, t) > m(u), \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}_q} X_u^{+\epsilon}(s, t) > m(u) \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{k, q \in K_u^-, k \neq q} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}_k} \bar{X}_u(s, t) > m_{u, k}, \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}_q} \bar{X}_u(s, t) > m_{u, q} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let for  $u > 0$

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{(k, q), k, q \in K_u^-, k \neq q, \tilde{I}_k \cap \tilde{I}_q \neq \emptyset\}, \quad \mathcal{T}_2 = \{(k, q), k, q \in K_u^-, \tilde{I}_k \cap \tilde{I}_q = \emptyset\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $q_1 = k_1 + 1$ ,  $S > 1$ . Then  $\tilde{I}_k = \tilde{I}'_k \cup \tilde{I}''_k$  with

$$\tilde{I}'_k = [k_1 S, (k_1 + 1)S - \sqrt{S}] \times \prod_{i=2}^{d_1} [k_i S, (k_i + 1)S] \times \tilde{J} \times E,$$

$$\tilde{I}''_k = [(k_1 + 1)S - \sqrt{S}, (k_1 + 1)S] \times \prod_{i=2}^{d_1} [k_i S, (k_i + 1)S] \times \tilde{J} \times E.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}_k} \bar{X}_u(s, t) > m_{u, k}, \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}_q} \bar{X}_u(s, t) > m_{u, q} \right\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}'_k} \bar{X}_u(s, t) > m_{u, k}, \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}'_q} \bar{X}_u(s, t) > m_{u, q} \right\} + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}''_k} \bar{X}_u(s, t) > m_{u, k} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as in (45), we have

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \in K_u^-} \left| \frac{\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}'_k} \bar{X}_u(s, t) > m_{u, k} \right\}}{\Psi(m_{u, k})} - \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_\epsilon^*}^{\text{sup}}(\hat{I}_0) \right| = 0,$$

with  $\hat{I}_0 = [0, \sqrt{S}] \times [0, S]^{d_1-1} \times \tilde{J} \times E$ .

Let  $\beta = \min(\min_{i=1}^{d_1+n} \alpha_{i,0}, \min_{i=1}^{d_1+n} \alpha_{i,\infty})$ . By (31) and Corollary 3.2, there exists  $\mathcal{C} > 0$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}'_k} \bar{X}_u(s, t) > m_{u, k}, \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}'_q} \bar{X}_u(s, t) > m_{u, q} \right\} \\ & \leq \mathcal{C}(S + |E| + 1)^{2(d_2+n)} e^{-\mathcal{C}_1 S^{\beta/2}} \Psi(m_{u, k, q}^*) \end{aligned}$$

and for  $(k, q) \in \mathcal{T}_2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}_k} \bar{X}_u(s, t) > m_{u, k}, \sup_{(s, t) \in \tilde{I}_q} \bar{X}_u(s, t) > m_{u, q} \right\} \\ & \leq \mathcal{C}(S + |E| + 1)^{2(d_2+n)} e^{-\mathcal{C}_1 F^\beta(\tilde{I}_k, \tilde{I}_q)} \Psi(m_{u, k, q}^*), \end{aligned}$$

with  $m_{u,k,q}^* = \min(m_{u,k}, m_{u,q})$ . Since each  $\tilde{I}_k$  has at most  $3^{d_1}$  neighbours, then for  $S$  and  $u$  sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(k,q) \in \mathcal{T}_1} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{(s,t) \in \tilde{I}_k} \bar{X}_u(s,t) > m_{u,k}, \sup_{(s,t) \in \tilde{I}_q} \bar{X}_u(s,t) > m_{u,q} \right\} \\
& \leq 3^d \sum_{k \in K_u^-} \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_\varepsilon^*}^{\text{sup}}(\hat{I}_0) \Psi(m_{u,k}) + \sum_{(k,q) \in \mathcal{T}_1} \mathcal{C}(S + |E| + 1)^{2(d_2+n)} e^{-c_1 S^{\beta/2}} \Psi(m_{u,k,q}^*) \\
& \leq \mathbb{Q} \sum_{k \in K_u^-} \left( \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_\varepsilon^*}^{\text{sup}}(\hat{I}_0) + e^{-\frac{c_1 S^{\beta/2}}{2}} \right) \Psi(m_{u,k}) \\
(51) \quad & \leq \mathbb{Q} S^{-d_1} \left( \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_\varepsilon^*}^{\text{sup}}(\hat{I}_0) + e^{-\frac{c_1 S^{\beta/2}}{2}} \right) \Theta_\varepsilon(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for all  $u$  large

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{(k,q) \in \mathcal{T}_2} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{(s,t) \in \tilde{I}_k} \bar{X}_u(s,t) > m_{u,k}, \sup_{(s,t) \in \tilde{I}_q} \bar{X}_u(s,t) > m_{u,q} \right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{(k,q) \in \mathcal{T}_2} \mathcal{C}(S + |E| + 1)^{2(d_2+n)} e^{-c_1 F^\beta(\tilde{I}_k, \tilde{I}_q)} \Psi(m_{u,k,q}) \\
& \leq \sum_{k \in K_u^-} \Psi(m_{u,k}) \mathbb{Q} S^{\mathbb{Q}_1} \sum_{q \neq 0} e^{-c_1 (S^2 \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} q_i^2)^{\beta/2}} \\
(52) \quad & \leq \mathbb{Q} S^{\mathbb{Q}_1} e^{-\mathbb{Q}_2 S^\beta} \Theta_\varepsilon(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (43–52) into (42) and dividing each term by  $\Theta_0(u)$ , we have, with  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
& S^{-d_1} \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_0^*}^\Gamma(\tilde{I}_0) - \mathbb{Q} S^{-d_1} \left( \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_0^*}^{\text{sup}}(\hat{I}_0) + e^{-\frac{c_1 S^{\beta/2}}{2}} \right) - \mathbb{Q} S^{\mathbb{Q}_1} e^{-\mathbb{Q}_2 S^\beta} \\
& \leq \liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma_{E_u}(X_u) > m(u) \}}{\Theta_0(u)} \\
& \leq \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P} \{ \Gamma_{E_u}(X_u) > m(u) \}}{\Theta_0(u)} \\
& \leq \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} S^{-d_1} \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_0}^\Gamma(I_0^*) + \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} S^{-d_1} \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, \tilde{h}}^\Gamma(I_0^*) \left( \sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} e^{-\mathbb{Q} S^{\beta_i}} + \sum_{i=d_2+1}^d e^{-y \mathbb{Q} T^{\beta_i}} \right) \\
(53) \quad & = S^{-d_1} \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_0^*}^\Gamma(\tilde{I}_0) \left( 1 + \sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} e^{-\mathbb{Q} S^{\beta_i}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note further that

$$(54) \quad \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_0^*}^{\text{sup}}(\hat{I}_0) = \mathcal{H}_{V_{\varphi_1}}([0, \sqrt{S}]) \prod_{i=2}^{d_1} \mathcal{H}_{V_{\varphi_i}}[0, S] \prod_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} \mathcal{P}_{V_{\varphi_i}}^{h_i}[0, S] \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{V}_\varphi, \tilde{h}}^{\Gamma^*}(E)$$

and

$$(55) \quad \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_0^*}^\Gamma(\tilde{I}_0) = \prod_{i=1}^{d_1} \mathcal{H}_{V_{\varphi_i}}[0, S] \prod_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} \mathcal{P}_{V_{\varphi_i}}^{h_i}[0, S] \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{V}_\varphi, \tilde{h}}^{\Gamma^*}(E),$$

with  $V_{\varphi_i}, \tilde{V}_\varphi$  and  $\tilde{h}$  defined in (32) and (34). Using further the fact that (see e.g., Theorem 3.1 in [8])

$$\lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{V_{\varphi_i}}[0, S]}{S} = \mathcal{H}_{V_{\varphi_i}} \in (0, \infty), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d_1$$

and letting  $S \rightarrow \infty$  on the left side of (53), we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d_1} \mathcal{H}_{V_{\varphi_i}} \prod_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} \lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_{V_{\varphi_i}}^{h_i}[-S, S] \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{V}_\varphi, \tilde{h}}^{\Gamma^*}(E) \leq S^{-d_1} \mathcal{H}_{V_\varphi, h_0^*}^\Gamma(\tilde{I}_0) \left( 1 + \sum_{i=d_1+1}^{d_2} e^{-\mathbb{Q} S^{\beta_i}} \right) < \infty.$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_{V_{\varphi_i}}^{h_i} [-S, S] \in (0, \infty), \quad d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d_2,$$

which establishes the claim by letting  $S \rightarrow \infty$  on both sides of (53). For other cases of  $a_i, b_i, d_1 + 1 \leq i \leq d_2$ , the proof is similar as above.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 3.7** We have that for any  $S, T$  positive

$$0 < \mathcal{P}_X^b([0, S], [0, T]) \leq \mathcal{P}_X^{b\sigma^2(t)}[0, T].$$

In order to complete the proof it suffices to prove that  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_X^{b\sigma^2(t)}[0, T] < \infty$ . For this purpose, define for any  $S > 0, u > 1$

$$Y_u(t) = \frac{\overline{X}(u(t+1))}{1 + \frac{b\sigma^2(ut)}{2\sigma^2(u)}}, \quad t \in [0, u^{-1} \ln u].$$

Note that

$$1 - \text{Cor}(X(ut), X(us)) = \frac{\sigma^2(u|t-s) - (\sigma(ut) - \sigma(us))^2}{2\sigma(ut)\sigma(us)} = \frac{\sigma^2(u|t-s) - (u\dot{\sigma}(u\theta)(t-s))^2}{2\sigma(ut)\sigma(us)},$$

with  $\theta \in [s, t]$ . By **A1** and Theorem 1.7.2 in [5], it follows that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u\dot{\sigma}(u)}{\sigma(u)} = \alpha_\infty.$$

If we set  $f(t) = t^2/\sigma^2(t)$ , then by Lemma 5.2 in [16] it follows that  $f$  is bounded over any compact set and regularly varying at  $\infty$  with index  $2 - 2\alpha_\infty > 0$ . Consequently, UCT implies for any  $S > 0$

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in (0, S]} \left| \frac{f(ut)}{f(u)} - |t|^{2-2\alpha_\infty} \right| = 0$$

and therefore as  $u \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \text{Cor}(X(ut), X(us)) &\sim \frac{\sigma^2(u|t-s)}{2\sigma(ut)\sigma(us)} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_\infty^2}{\theta^2} \frac{\sigma^2(u\theta)(t-s)^2}{\sigma^2(u|t-s)} \right) \\ (56) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \frac{\sigma^2(u|t-s)}{2\sigma(ut)\sigma(us)} \left( 1 - \alpha_\infty^2 \frac{f(u|t-s)}{f(u\theta)} \right) \sim \frac{\sigma^2(u|t-s)}{2\sigma^2(u)} \end{aligned}$$

for  $s, t \in [1, 1 + u^{-1} \ln u]$ . Let further

$$I_k(u) = [ku^{-1}S, u^{-1}(k+1)S], \quad 0 \leq k \leq N(u), \quad \text{with } N(u) := [S^{-1} \ln u] + 1.$$

It follows that for  $S$  sufficiently large

$$(57) \qquad p_0(u) \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, u^{-1} \ln u]} Y_u(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma(u) \right\} \leq p_0(u) + \sum_{k=1}^{N(u)} p_k(u),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(u) &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in I_0(u)} Y_u(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma(u) \right\}, \\ p_k(u) &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in I_k(u)} \overline{X}(u(t+1)) > \sqrt{2}\sigma(u) \left( 1 + \frac{b\sigma^2(kS)}{4\sigma^2(u)} \right) \right\}, \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

In order to apply Theorem 2.1, in view of (56) we set (using the notation in Theorem 2.1)

$$(58) \qquad K_u = \{k : 0 \leq k \leq N(u)\}, \quad E = [0, S], \quad g_{u,k} = \sqrt{2}\sigma(u) \left( 1 + \frac{b\sigma^2(kS)}{4\sigma^2(u)} \right), \quad k \in K_u,$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{u,k}(t) &= \overline{X}(u(u^{-1}kS + u^{-1}t + 1)), \quad k \in K_u, \\ \theta_{u,k}(s, t) &= g_{u,k}^2 \frac{\sigma^2(|t-s|)}{2\sigma^2(u)}, \quad s, t \in E, k \in K_u, \end{aligned}$$

$$h_{u,0}(t) = \frac{b\sigma^2(t)}{2\sigma^2(u)}, \quad t \in E, \quad h_{u,k} = 0, \quad k \in K_u \setminus \{0\}, \quad \eta = X.$$

**C0** and **C2** are obviously fulfilled. **C1** is also satisfied with

$$g_{u,0}^2 h_{u,0}(t) \rightarrow b\sigma^2(t), \quad u \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly with respect to  $t \in E$  and

$$g_{u,k}^2 h_{u,k}(t) = 0, \quad t \in E, k \in K_u \setminus \{0\}, \quad u > 0$$

Next we shall verify **C3**. Clearly by **A2** for  $u$  sufficiently large

$$\theta_{u,k}(s, t) = g_{u,k}^2 \frac{\sigma^2(|t-s|)}{2\sigma^2(u)} \leq 2\sigma^2(|t-s|) \leq Q|t-s|^{\alpha_0}, \quad s, t \in E, k \in K_u.$$

Moreover, by (56)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{k \in K_u} \sup_{\|t-s\| < \epsilon, s, t \in E} g_{u,k}^2 \mathbb{E} \{ [Z_{u,k}(t) - Z_{u,\tau}(s)] Z_{u,k}(0) \} \\ & \leq \sup_{k \in K_u} \sup_{\|t-s\| < \epsilon, s, t \in E} g_{u,k}^2 \left( \frac{\sigma^2(t)}{2\sigma^2(u)} (1 + o(1)) - \frac{\sigma^2(s)}{2\sigma^2(u)} (1 + o(1)) \right) \\ & \leq \sup_{k \in K_u} \sup_{\|t-s\| < \epsilon, s, t \in E} \frac{g_{u,k}^2}{2\sigma^2(u)} (|\sigma^2(t) - \sigma^2(s)| + o(1)) \rightarrow 0, \quad u \rightarrow \infty, \epsilon \downarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus **C3** is satisfied. Therefore, in light of Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_0(u)}{\Psi(\sqrt{2}\sigma(u))} = \mathcal{P}_X^{b\sigma^2(t)}[0, S]$$

and

$$(59) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \in K_u \setminus \{0\}} \left| \frac{p_k(u)}{\Psi\left(\sqrt{2}\sigma(u) \left(1 + \frac{b\sigma^2(kS)}{4\sigma^2(u)}\right)\right)} - \mathcal{H}_X[0, S] \right| = 0.$$

Dividing (57) by  $\Psi(\sqrt{2}\sigma(u))$ , letting  $u \rightarrow \infty$  and by **A1**, we have that for sufficiently large  $S_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_X^{b\sigma^2(t)}[0, S] & \leq \mathcal{P}_X^{b\sigma^2(t)}[0, S_1] + \mathcal{H}_X[0, S_1] \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{b\sigma^2(kS_1)}{2}} \\ & \leq \mathcal{P}_X^{b\sigma^2(t)}[0, S_1] + \mathcal{H}_X[0, S_1] \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-Q_1(kS_1)^{\alpha_\infty}} \\ & \leq \mathcal{P}_X^{b\sigma^2(t)}[0, S_1] + \mathcal{H}_X[0, S_1] e^{-Q_2 S_1^{\alpha_\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, letting  $S \rightarrow \infty$  leads to

$$\lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_X^{b\sigma^2(t)}[0, S] \leq \mathcal{P}_X^{b\sigma^2(t)}[0, S_1] + \mathcal{H}_X[0, S_1] e^{-Q_2 S_1^{\alpha_\infty}} < \infty$$

establishing the claim.  $\square$

## 5. APPENDIX

**Proof of Remark 2.2 ii).** First we suppose that **C2** and (12) hold. Our aim is to prove (16). By (12), the continuity of  $\sigma_\eta^2(t), t \in E$  and the compactness of  $E$ , for any  $c > 0$ , there exists a constant  $\epsilon := \epsilon_c > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \sup_{\|t-s\| < \epsilon, s, t \in E} \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(s)) - g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t)) \right| < c/3,$$

with  $b_u(t) = Z_{u, \tau_u}(t) - Z_{u, \tau_u}(0)$  and further

$$\sup_{\|t-s\| < \epsilon, s, t \in E} \left| \sigma_\eta^2(t) - \sigma_\eta^2(s) \right| < c/3.$$

By the compactness of  $E$ , we can find  $E_c \subset E$  which has a finite number of elements such that for any  $t \in E$

$$O_\epsilon(t) \cap E_c \neq \emptyset, \quad O_\epsilon(t) := \{s \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|t-s\| < \epsilon\}.$$

For any  $t \in E$ , with  $t' \in O_\epsilon(t) \cap E_c$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t)) - 2\sigma_\eta^2(t) \right| &\leq \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t)) - g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t')) \right| \\ &\quad + 2 \left| \sigma_\eta^2(t) - \sigma_\eta^2(t') \right| + \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t')) - 2\sigma_\eta^2(t') \right|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from **C2** that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t)) - 2\sigma_\eta^2(t) \right| = 0, \quad t \in E.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \sup_{t \in E} \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t)) - 2\sigma_\eta^2(t) \right| \\ &\leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \sup_{\|t-s\| < \epsilon, s, t \in E} \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(s)) - g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t)) \right| \\ &\quad + 2 \sup_{\|t-s\| < \epsilon, s, t \in E} \left| \sigma_\eta^2(t) - \sigma_\eta^2(s) \right| + \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \sup_{t \in E_c} \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t)) - 2\sigma_\eta^2(t) \right| \\ &\leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Hence letting  $c$  to 0 yields (16).

Next, supposing that **C2** and (16) hold, we prove (12). By the continuity of  $\sigma_\eta^2(t), t \in E$  and the compactness of  $E$ , for any  $c > 0$ , there exists a constant  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\|t-s\| < \epsilon, s, t \in E} \left| \sigma_\eta^2(t) - \sigma_\eta^2(s) \right| < c/3.$$

For any  $s, t \in E$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(s)) - g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t)) \right| &\leq \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(s)) - 2\sigma_\eta^2(s) \right| + 2 \left| \sigma_\eta^2(s) - \sigma_\eta^2(t) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| 2\sigma_\eta^2(t) - g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (16)

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \sup_{\|t-s\| < \epsilon, s, t \in E} \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(s)) - g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t)) \right| \\ &\leq 2 \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau_u \in K_u} \sup_{t \in E} \left| g_{u, \tau_u}^2 \text{Var}(b_u(t)) - 2\sigma_\eta^2(t) \right| + 2 \sup_{\|t-s\| < \epsilon, s, t \in E} \left| \sigma_\eta^2(t) - \sigma_\eta^2(s) \right| \\ &\leq c. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $c \rightarrow 0$ , the above establishes (12), which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement:** We would like to thank the referees for their useful comments leading to significant improvement for the readability of this paper. Thanks to Swiss National Science Foundation grant No. 200021-166274. KD acknowledges partial support by NCN Grant No 2015/17/B/ST1/01102 (2016-2019).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.J. Adler and J.E. Taylor. *Random fields and geometry*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2007.
- [2] J-M. Azaïs and M. Wschebor. *Level sets and extrema of random processes and fields*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2009.
- [3] S.M. Berman. Sojourns and extremes of stationary processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 10(1):1–46, 1982.
- [4] S.M. Berman. *Sojourns and extremes of stochastic processes*. The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Statistics/Probability Series. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Pacific Grove, CA, 1992.
- [5] N.H. Bingham, C.M. Goldie, and J.L. Teugels. *Regular variation*, volume 27 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [6] K. Dębicki, S. Engelke, and E. Hashorva. Generalized Pickands constants and stationary max-stable processes. *Extremes, in press*, DOI 10.1007/s10687-017-0289-1, 2017.
- [7] K. Dębicki and E. Hashorva. On extremal index of max-stable stationary processes. *Probability and Mathematical Statistics, in press*, arXiv:1704.01563, 2017.
- [8] K. Dębicki. Ruin probability for Gaussian integrated processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 98(1):151–174, 2002.
- [9] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and L. Ji. Parisian ruin of self-similar Gaussian risk processes. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 52(3):688–702, 2015.
- [10] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and L. Ji. Extremes of a class of nonhomogeneous Gaussian random fields. *Ann. Probab.*, 44(2):984–1012, 2016.
- [11] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and L. Ji. Parisian ruin over a finite-time horizon. *Sci. China Math.*, 59(3):557–572, 2016.
- [12] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and P. Liu. Ruin probabilities and passage times of  $\gamma$ -reflected Gaussian processes with stationary increments. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1511.09234v1.pdf>, 2015.
- [13] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and P. Liu. Extremes of Gaussian processes with regularly varying dependence structure. *Extremes*, 20(2):333–392, 2017.
- [14] K. Dębicki and K.M. Kosiński. On the infimum attained by the reflected fractional Brownian motion. *Extremes*, 17(3):431–446, 2014.
- [15] K. Dębicki, K.M. Kosiński, M. Mandjes, and T. Rolski. Extremes of multidimensional Gaussian processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 120(12):2289–2301, 2010.
- [16] K. Dębicki and P. Liu. Extremes of stationary Gaussian storage models. *Extremes*, 19(2):273–302, 2016.
- [17] K. Dębicki and M. Mandjes. Exact overflow asymptotics for queues with many Gaussian inputs. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 40(3):704–720, 2003.
- [18] A.B. Dieker. Extremes of Gaussian processes over an infinite horizon. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 115(2):207–248, 2005.
- [19] A.B. Dieker and T. Mikosch. Exact simulation of Brown-Resnick random fields at a finite number of locations. *Extremes*, 18:301–314, 2015.



- [20] A.B. Dieker and B. Yakir. On asymptotic constants in the theory of Gaussian processes. *Bernoulli*, 20(3):1600–1619, 2014.
- [21] J. Hüsler and V.I. Piterbarg. Extremes of a certain class of Gaussian processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 83(2):257–271, 1999.
- [22] J. Hüsler and V.I. Piterbarg. On the ruin probability for physical fractional Brownian motion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 113(2):315–332, 2004.
- [23] Z. Kabluchko. Stationary systems of Gaussian processes. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 20(6):2295–2317, 2010.
- [24] J. Pickands, III. Upcrossing probabilities for stationary Gaussian processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145:51–73, 1969.
- [25] V.I. Piterbarg. On the paper by J. Pickands ”upcrossing probabilities for stationary Gaussian processes”. *Vestnik Moscow Univ Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* 27, 25-30. *English transl. in Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* 1972, 27, 27:25–30, 1972.
- [26] V.I. Piterbarg. *Asymptotic methods in the theory of Gaussian processes and fields*, volume 148 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [27] V.I. Piterbarg. *Twenty Lectures About Gaussian Processes*. Atlantic Financial Press, London, New York, 2015.
- [28] V.I. Piterbarg and B. Stamatovich. Rough asymptotics of the probability of simultaneous high extrema of two Gaussian processes: the dual action functional. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 60(1(361)):171–172, 2005.
- [29] Y. Zhou and Y. Xiao. Tail asymptotics for the extremes of bivariate Gaussian random fields. *Bernoulli*, 23(3):1566–1598, 2017.

KRZYSZTOF DĘBICKI, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW, PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2/4, 50-384 WROCLAW, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* Krzysztof.Debicki@math.uni.wroc.pl

ENKELEJD HASHORVA, DEPARTMENT OF ACTUARIAL SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF LAUSANNE, UNIL-DORIGNY 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND  
*E-mail address:* enkelejd.hashorva@unil.ch

PENG LIU, DEPARTMENT OF ACTUARIAL SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF LAUSANNE, UNIL-DORIGNY 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND AND MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW, PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2/4, 50-384 WROCLAW, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* peng.liu@unil.ch