

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Clinical Microbiology and Infection xxx (xxxx) xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Clinical Microbiology and Infection

journal homepage: www.clinicalmicrobiologyandinfection.com



Letter to the Editor

Re: effect of hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin on the mortality of COVID-19 patients: author's response

Thibault Fiolet ^{1, 2, *}, Anthony Guihur ³, Mathieu Edouard Rebeaud ³, Matthieu Mulot ⁴, Nathan Peiffer-Smadja ^{5, 6, 7}, Yahya Mahamat-Saleh ^{1, 2}

- 1) CESP (Center for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health), Fac. de Médecine Univ. Paris-Sud, Fac. de Médecine UVSQ, INSERM, Université Paris Saclay, Villejuif, France
- 2) Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France
- 3) Department of Plant Molecular Biology, Faculty of Biology and Medicine, University of Lausanne, Switzerland
- ⁴⁾ Laboratory of Soil Biodiversity, Faculty of Science, University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland
- 5) Université de Paris, IAME, INSERM, Paris, France
- 6) National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit in Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance, Imperial College London, UK
- ⁷⁾ Infectious and Tropical Diseases Department, Bichat-Claude Bernard Hospital, AP-HP, Paris, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 October 2020 Accepted 25 October 2020 Available online xxx

Editor: L. Leibovici

To the editor,

We would like to explain several points raised by Védrines et al.

1. We agree that Geleris et al. used a composite endpoint [1]. However, (a) intubation is a main prognostic factor for death, (b) exclusion of this study from the pooled RR estimation did not change our conclusion (see Table S4) and (c) Geleris et al. did not report adjusted hazard ratio (HR_a) for death only.

In our main analysis, we used this adjusted HR which takes into account indication bias (following the Cochrane recommendation [2]). Crude relative risk (RR) for death only may be estimated from the data reported in Geleris et al.: with 157 deaths among the hydroxychloroquine group (n=811) and 75 deaths among the control group (n=565), the new estimated RR is even higher: 1.45 (95% CI 1.13–1.87).

 $\hbox{\it E-mail address: T hibault.fiolet@gustaveroussy.fr (T. Fiolet).}$

2. Magagnoli et al. used a specific Cox model adapted for length-biased data on the overall population (n=807) [3,4]. The sub-analysis among patients who were admitted during the first 4 weeks of study, discussed by Védrines et al. represents half of the initial cohort: that is why we used the HR reported in their main analysis.

Using the HR from the subgroup cohort and the new HR for Geleris et al. leads to similar results with the pooled RR = 0.82 (95% CI 0.64-1.04) for hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) (Fig. S1) and RR = 1.23 (95% CI 1-1.53) for HCQ + azithromycin (AZI) (Fig. S2), indicating no benefit from HCQ with or without AZI. We thank Védrines et al. for leaving us the opportunity to illustrate again the robustness of our conclusion.

- 3. The point concerning Rivera et al. was already answered [5]. We note that this is the second letter from Dr Lacout, which is quite uncommon for the same study.
- 4. The Arshad et al. study was excluded from our main analysis because of numerous critical biases described in our supplementary materials and in two letters and one editorial [6–9].

Briefly, this study suffers from immortal time bias and residual confounding, and it is not possible to know if the observed risk reduction is due to hydroxychloroquine or corticosteroids use. Finally, Védrines et al. may be interested by the sensitivity analysis including studies at risk of critical bias, which was provided in our Table S6 [10].

5. The statement that we misrepresented four original works is fallacious.

Nevertheless, we agree with Védrines et al. on one point: even if we carefully evaluated all studies of our systematic review

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.10.031

1198-743X/© 2020 European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Fiolet T et al., Re: effect of hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin on the mortality of COVID-19 patients: author's response, Clinical Microbiology and Infection, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.10.031

^{*} Corresponding author. Thibault Fiolet, Center for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health Inserm U1018 "Health across Generations" Team and Paris-Sud 11 University/Paris-Saclay University, 114 rue Edouard Vaillant, 94805 Villejuif Cedex, France.

2

following the Cochrane Review methods, and reported all results according to PRISMA guidelines, observational studies are not the reference standard for therapeutic evaluation. Since our work was published, several other randomized controlled trials have become available. Overall, the RECOVERY trial, the SOLIDARITY trial and recent meta-analyses confirmed our conclusion [10-16]: hydroxvchloroquine was not associated with a decrease in mortality for hospitalized patients. The Axfors et al. meta-analysis, based on 26 published and unpublished RCTs (including SOLIDARITY and RE-COVERY trials), found a significant increased risk for mortality [14]. Thus, there is no need to reconsider our conclusion. We advise Védrines et al. to consider the last published articles and evidence. Védrines et al. stated that reality is complex. We agree, and we add that because reality is complex solid evidence of a favourable benefit-risk ratio is necessary. The COVID-19 crisis does not make the need for rigorous science obsolete: it reinforces this need.

As stated by the author of a recent editorial on studies evaluating tocilizumab, another putative treatment for COVID-19 patients, "I plan to wait out the torrent of positive observational studies and reconsider tocilizumab's use in COVID-19 if, and only if, more compelling data from randomized trials emerges." Regarding hydroxychloroquine, the torrent of positive observational studies, often of very low quality, has been shared, but compelling data from randomized trials have already been published and are univocal: hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin is not an effective treatment for patients with COVID-19 [17].

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.10.031.

References

- [1] Geleris J, Sun Y, Platt J, Zucker J, Baldwin M, Hripcsak G, et al. Observational study of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2012410. In press.
- [2] Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al., editors. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Cochrane; 2019. version 6.0 (updated July 2019). Cochrane. Available on https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current.

- [3] Magagnoli J, Narendran S, Pereira F, Cummings TH, Hardin JW, Sutton SS, et al. Outcomes of hydroxychloroquine usage in United States veterans hospitalized with COVID-19. Med 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medj.2020.06.001. In press.
- [4] Qin J, Shen Y. Statistical methods for analyzing right-censored length-biased data under cox model. Biometrics 2010;66:382–92.
- [5] Fiolet T, Guihur A, Rebeaud ME, Mulot M, Peiffer-Smadja N, Mahamat-Saleh Y. "Effect of hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin on the mortality of COVID-19 patients" – author's reply. Clin Microbiol Infect 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.10.002. In press.
- [6] Arshad S, Kilgore P, Chaudhry ZS, Jacobsen G, Wang DD, Huitsing K, et al. Treatment with hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, and combination in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Int J Infect Dis 2020;97:396–403.
- [7] Malviya A. The continued dilemma about the usage of hydroxychloroquine: respite is in randomized control trials. Int J Infect Dis 2020;99:310–1.
- [8] Lee TC, MacKenzie LJ, McDonald EG, Tong SYC. An observational cohort study of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin for COVID-19: (Can't get no) satisfaction. Int | Infect Dis 2020;98:216—7.
- [9] Varisco TJ, Johnson ML, Thornton D. Comment on Arshad et al.: treatment with hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin, and combination in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Int J Infect Dis 2020;99:373.
- [10] Fiolet T, Guihur A, Rebeaud ME, Mulot M, Peiffer-Smadja N, Mahamat-Saleh Y. Effect of hydroxychloroquine with or without azithromycin on the mortality of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Microbiol Infect 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cmi.2020.08.022. In press.
- [11] The RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Effect of hydroxychloroquine in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. New Engl J Med 2020. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2022926. In press.
- [12] WHO Solidarity trial consortium. Repurposed antiviral drugs for COVID-19-interim WHO SOLIDARITY trial results. MedRxiv 2020. https://doi.org/10. 1101/2020.10.15.20209817.
- [13] Juul S, Nielsen EE, Feinberg J, Siddiqui F, Jørgensen CK, Barot E, et al. Interventions for treatment of COVID-19: a living systematic review with meta-analyses and trial sequential analyses (The LIVING Project). PLOS Med 2020;17:e1003293.
- [14] Axfors C, Schmitt AM, Janiaud P, J van 't Hooft, Abd-Elsalam S, Abdo EF, et al. Mortality outcomes with hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine in COVID-19: an international collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials. MedRxiv 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.16.20194571.
- [15] Sarma P, Kaur H, Kumar H, Mahendru D, Avti P, Bhattacharyya A, et al. Virological and clinical cure in COVID-19 patients treated with hydroxychloroquine: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Virol 2020;92: 776–85. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25898.
- [16] Kashour Z, Riaz M, Garbati MA, AlDosary O, Tlayjeh H, Gerberi D, et al. Efficacy of chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine in COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/jac/dkaa403. In press.
- [17] Parr JB. Time to reassess tocilizumab's role in COVID-19 pneumonia, JAMA Intern Med 2020. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.6557. In press.