Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL serval.unil.ch

Author Manuscript

Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication

This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

Title: Sexual selection favours good or bad genes for pathogen resistance depending on males' pathogen exposure. Authors: Joye P, Kawecki TJ Journal: Proceedings. Biological sciences Year: 2019 May 15 Issue: 286 Volume: 1902 Pages: 20190226 DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2019.0226

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.



UNIL | Université de Lausanne Faculty of Biology and Medicine

- 1 Sexual selection favours good or bad genes for pathogen resistance depending on males'
- 2 pathogen exposure
- 3
- 4 Patrick Joye¹ and Tadeusz J. Kawecki^{2*}
- 5 Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, CH 1015 Lausanne,
- 6 Switzerland
- Proceedings of the Royal Society Series B-Biological Sciences 286:20190226 (2019)
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.0226
- 9 ¹patrick.joye@unil.ch
- 10 ²tadeusz.kawecki@unil.ch
- 11 *Corresponding author
- 12 Except for small editorial changes in proofs the content of this preprint corresponds to the published version.

13

14 Abstract

15 Resistance to pathogens is often invoked as an indirect benefit of female choice, but 16 experimental evidence for links between father's sexual success and offspring resistance is 17 scarce and equivocal. Two proposed mechanisms might generate such links. Under the first, 18 heritable resistance to diverse pathogens depends on general immunocompetence; owing to 19 shared condition-dependence, male sexual traits indicate immunocompetence independently 20 of the male's pathogen exposure. In contrast, other hypotheses (e.g., Hamilton-Zuk) assume 21 that sexual traits only reveal heritable resistance if the males have been exposed to the 22 pathogen. The distinction between the two mechanisms has been neglected by experimental 23 studies. We show that Drosophila melanogaster males that are successful in mating contests (one female with two males) sire sons that are substantially more resistant to the intestinal 24 25 pathogen *Pseudomonas entomophila* – but only if the males have themselves been exposed to 26 the pathogen before the mating contest. In contrast, sons of males sexually successful in the 27 absence of pathogen exposure are less resistant than sons of unsuccessful males. We detected 28 no differences in daughters' resistance. Thus, while sexual selection may have considerable 29 consequences for offspring resistance, these consequences may be sex-specific. Furthermore, contrary to the "general immunocompetence" hypothesis, these consequences can be positive 30 31 or negative depending on the epidemiological context under which sexual selection operates. 32 Keywords: good genes, parasites, immunocompetence, Hamilton-Zuk hypothesis, female

- 33 choice, Drosophila
- 34

35 **1. Introduction**

36 The "good genes" hypothesis for sexual selection posits that traits enhancing male mating 37 success are indicators that the male carries genetic variants improving non-sexual components 38 of offspring fitness (relative to alternative alleles, i.e., "bad genes") [1]. In genetic terms, this 39 means a positive correlation between a male's sexual traits and his breeding value for non-40 sexual fitness components [2, 3]. One fitness component often invoked in this context is 41 resistance to pathogens and parasites: female preference for costly male display traits is 42 hypothesized to bring indirect genetic benefits in terms of offspring resistance [4-7], and 43 sexual selection is proposed to act in synergy with natural selection for improved resistance 44 [8, 9] (Here we use resistance in a broad sense of reducing the impact of pathogen presence 45 on host fitness, including behavioural avoidance, barriers to infection, immune defence and 46 physiological tolerance of infection.) Despite its intellectual appeal and the research effort devoted to it, this idea remains controversial [3, 10-12]. In particular, very few studies 47 48 experimentally tested the prediction that more sexually attractive or successful males actually do sire offspring more resistant to pathogens; their results are equivocal. In the three-spined 49 stickleback, offspring of fathers with a stronger ornament (redder belly) became less heavily 50 51 infected upon experimental exposure to a cestode parasite [13]. In contrast, in *Drosophila*, survival after a bacterial infection did not differ between offspring of sexually successful 52 versus unsuccessful males [14]. Female mice mated to their preferred males did produce 53 54 offspring more resistant to Salmonella than females mated to non-preferred males [15], but this appears mediated by MHC heterozygote advantage [16], and thus supports the 55 "compatible genes" hypothesis [17] rather than the "good genes". In trout, offspring survival 56 57 under conditions favouring opportunistic pathogens was positively correlated with father's 58 melanin ornamentation, but negatively with carotene ornamentation; it is not clear which plays a greater role in female choice [18]. No relationship between father's attractiveness and 59 60 measures of offspring immune response was found in scorpion flies [19, 20], whereas in ostrich one of several measures of plumage positively correlated with one of three measures 61 62 of immune response [21]. Similarly mixed results about additive genetic correlations between sexually selected traits and resistance have emerged from quantitative genetic estimates [9, 63 64 22-26] and experimental evolution [27-30].

65 The study we report here suggests that those mixed results can be at least in part explained by 66 a distinction between two ways in which a positive correlation between a male's sexual traits 67 and his breeding value for pathogen resistance could be generated. The currently prevailing 68 view is that variation in pathogen resistance relevant for sexual selection is largely due to 69 general immunocompetence that determines resistance to a broad range of pathogens, and 70 which depends on (or is an aspect of) the individual's physiological condition [6, 9, 31]. The 71 condition is thought to be heritable because it captures a significant part of genetic variance 72 for fitness maintained by mutation-selection balance and other mechanisms; sexual display 73 traits evolve to be honest signals of condition [8, 32], and thus of immunocompetence [7, 9, 9]74 33].

An alternative scenario, first proposed by Hamilton and Zuk [4], assumes that variation in

resistance is specific to pathogen species or genotypes, which undergo constant turnover;

77 male sexual traits reveal heritable resistance to currently prevalent parasites and pathogens

78 (rather than general immunocompetence). This correlation is generated by differential

- 79 consequences of pathogen exposure for the health of males with different degrees of
- resistance, and these health consequences are revealed by sexual display traits [4, 5, 34-38].
- 81 Thus, male sexual traits only "capture" variation in resistance to pathogens to which the males
- have been exposed [38]. In the absence of any pathogen, resistant males are not expected to
- be healthier and thus not more sexually attractive or successful [38]; they may be less
- successful if resistance carries a physiological cost [5]. Thus, under this "specific resistance"
- scenario the identity of "good genes" depends on the environmental context; offspring
- resistance is an indirect benefit of mating choice only if both fathers and offspring are
- exposed to the same pathogens [4, 5].
- 88 Both these scenarios have been originally invoked in the context of display traits targeted by 89 mate choice, but may apply as well to traits involved in intra-sexual competition for mates, as these traits are also costly and likely condition-dependent, and often are the same traits as 90 91 those involved in mate choice [39]. The relative and absolute importance of these two hypothetical scenarios linking pathogen resistance and sexual selection remains unresolved 92 [11]. Yet, the predictions about consequences of sexual selection differ between these 93 scenarios in a crucial way. Under the "general immunocompetence" scenario, fathers' sexual 94 success predicts offspring resistance to diverse pathogens irrespective of whether or not the 95 fathers have been exposed to any pathogens [38]. In contrast, under the "specific resistance" 96 97 scenario, sexually successful males sire offspring with higher resistance to a pathogen only if the males have themselves been exposed to the pathogen while they were developing their 98 99 sexual traits; sexual success in the absence of pathogens does not predict offspring resistance 100 [38].

101 The aim of the present study was to test these distinct predictions. To our knowledge, the 102 distinction has not been experimentally addressed; in none of the experimental studies 103 summarized above were the fathers experimentally exposed to pathogens, although in some 104 [13, 18, 23] they might have been naturally exposed. We tested if sexually successful Drosophila melanogaster males sire offspring more resistant to an intestinal pathogen 105 (Pseudomonas entomophila) than unsuccessful males, and, crucially, if this depends on 106 107 whether the males' success is determined after they have been exposed to the pathogen. This 108 pathogen causes substantial mortality in *Drosophila*, and fly populations harbour natural 109 genetic variation in resistance to this pathogen [40, 41]. This variation has been found 110 associated with differences in ROS production, tendency to lose gut wall integrity and activity 111 of gut repair [40, 41]. In contrast, genetically higher resistance to P. entomophila does not 112 seem to be mediated by greater expression of antimicrobial peptides or reduced ingestion of 113 the bacteria ([40, 41]), in spite of flies being able to learn to avoid this pathogen ([42]). 114 We staged mating contests in which two males (sires) from a single outbred population 115 competed for a female, where either both sires were earlier exposed to the pathogen or both 116 were sham-treated. Drosophila females have full control over mating, and although the outcome of such contests is affected by male-male agonistic interactions, it contains a large 117 component of female choice [43]. Subsequently, we quantified pathogen resistance of 118

- 119 offspring sired by these winner and loser males before the infection treatment and the mating
- 120 contest. This excluded potential non-genetic effects of father's infection or contest outcome on



Figure 1. The design of the experiment to study the relationship between a sire's sexual success and his breeding value for resistance to P. entomophila. For explanations see Methods

- 121 offspring resistance, and prevented potential transmission of the pathogen from infected
- 122 fathers to offspring. Mean resistance of the offspring was thus an unbiased estimate of the
- sire's breeding value (his "genetic quality") for that trait [2, 44], allowing us to test its
- relationship with attractiveness.
- 125

126 **2. Methods**

127 (a) Fly maintenance

We used flies from a population collected in 2007 in the canton of Valais, Switzerland, and 128 129 maintained in the laboratory since at a population size of >1000 adults. Flies used in the 130 experiments were raised at 25°C, relative humidity 55% and 12:12 photoperiod on standard 131 yeast-cornmeal-sugar medium under density of about 250 larvae per bottle with 30 ml of food (controlled by egg counting). Virgin flies of both sexes were collected within 12 h of 132 emergence. Virgin females were maintained in groups in food vials until used in the 133 experiment; their virginity was verified by the absence of larvae. All fly transfers were done 134 under light CO₂ anaesthesia. 135

- 136
- 137 (b) Father's sexual success and offspring resistance

138 The design of our main experiment is summarized in Figure 1. Immediately after being

- 139 collected, sires were dusted with red or blue powder (Sennelier), then maintained for 72 hours
- in groups of about 50 in vials with food. Subsequently, each sire was placed with two virgin
- 141 females in a vial containing 10 ml of food and given 48 hours to mate before being removed
- 142 for the next step of the experiment. Females were given another 24 hours to lay eggs before

being removed from the vials; the vials were then kept until offspring collection.

After removal from the mating vials we haphazardly paired a red-dusted and a blue-dusted sire; each sire duo was then subject to either the infection or the sham treatment (described

- food vial divided by a removable longitudinal partition (Supplementary Figure 1). The sires 147
- 148 were placed on one side of the partition and a virgin female on the other side; they were
- maintained so overnight to let them habituate and the CO_2 effect wear off. The next morning 149
- (40 h after the beginning of the infection or sham treatment), we removed the partition, 150
- 151 bringing the two sexes together. We observed the flies until the first mating occurred; the
- male that mated was defined as the "winner" and its less successful counterpart the "loser". 152
- Replicates in which no mating occurred within 2 h or in which one or both males were dead 153
- before the mating contest were discarded. Where mating occurred, flies were retained in the 154
- 155 vial and the survival of "winner" and "loser" males until 72 h post-infection was recorded.
- 156 To assess resistance of the offspring, 17 days after initial mating (4-6 days after adult
- 157 eclosion) we collected 10 female and 10 male offspring per sire. The offspring were orally
- infected (in single sex groups) as described below and subsequently transferred to food vials; 158
- 159 the number of dead and alive flies was scored at 24, 48 and 72 hours from beginning of the 160
- infection treatment.

This entire experiment was performed in three blocks spread over several months. Per block 161 and infection/sham treatment we assessed the resistance of offspring of five winner-loser duos 162 (3 blocks \times 2 treatments \times 5 duos \times winner and loser \times 2 sexes \times 10 offspring = 1200 163

offspring in total). The design was paired in that we compared offspring of winner and loser 164

from the same duo, i.e., two sires that directly competed with each other (see section 2e). If 165

166 either sire of a duo failed to produce enough offspring, the entire duo was discarded to avoid a

- sampling bias. To obtain this number of replicates, many more mating contests were set to 167
- allow for sire mortality prior to contest, unresolved contests (i.e., no mating) and insufficient 168
- 169 number of offspring (i.e., fewer than 10 offspring of each sex for either sire of a winner/loser
- 170 pair). Thus, the number of replicate duos whose offspring's resistance was assessed was
- 171 smaller than the total number of mating contests.
- 172

173 (c) Bacterial culture and infection protocol

As the experimental pathogen we used *Pseudomonas entomophila*, a gram-negative bacterium 174 originally isolated from *D. melanogaster*, which is virulent upon intestinal infection at 175 sufficiently high doses [41, 45]. The Pseudomonas entomophila strain was originally provided 176 by Bruno Lemaitre [45] and maintained at -80°C. Cultures were first initiated on solid 177 medium (triptone, yeast, NaCL, agar and 5% milk). Milk was added to screen colonies for 178 179 protease activity, which is a marker of virulence and which will form a pale halo around the 180 colony [46]. A single colony from the plate was used to initiate culture in 50 ml of liquid medium (with the same composition as the solid media but without agar and milk) for 24 181 hours at 28.5°C on a shaker at 190 rpm. The 50 ml of culture were then transferred into 200 182 183 ml of fresh medium and kept in the same conditions for another 24 hours. The content was subsequently centrifuged for 20 minutes at 4°C and 3000 rpm. The pellet was resuspended in 184 0.9% NaCl solution to the optical density (OD) of 200 at 600 nm. For infection of the sires 185 and their male offspring, the final bacterial suspension was obtained by adding the same 186

volume of a 5% sucrose solution, reducing the final OD to 100. The same bacterial 187

188 concentration was used to infect the female offspring in the first experimental block; however,

- it resulted in over 90 % mortality for daughters of all sire categories. Aiming to reduce
- 190 mortality and thus to increase the resolution of potential differences in daughter resistance, for
- 191 the remaining two experimental blocks we halved the final concentration used to infect female
- 192 offspring to OD 50. The infectious suspension was always prepared on the day when the flies
- 193 were to be infected.

194 Prior to infection flies were first starved for 2 hours in empty vials to increase their

- 195 consumption of bacteria. For the infection treatment, the flies were transferred to vials with a
- 196 filter paper disc soaked with 100 µl of bacterial mix placed on top of agarose and left there for
- 197 20 hours. Subsequently, they were transferred to vials with food and monitored for survival
- until 72 h from the onset of infection. Based on previous studies [40, 41, 45], comparing
- survival at 72 h post-infection offers good resolution of differences between treatments in
- 200 resistance to *P. entomophila*. For the sham treatment, sires were manipulated in the same way
- as sires in the infection treatment except that the paper disk was infused with 100 μ l of 50:50
- 202 mixture of 0.9 % NaCl and 5 % sucrose.
- 203

204 (d) Infection and the ability to mate

In order to verify if our infection treatment impaired males' ability to mate in the absence of 205 male-male competition or mate choice, in a separate experiment we performed mating trials 206 that excluded these factors. Virgin males (raised and handled as in the main experiment 207 208 except not being dusted with colour powder) were either infected with P. entomophila or 209 sham-treated as described above. Thereafter a single male and a virgin female were placed on opposite sides of a vial divided by a partition, as in mating contests described above and left 210 to habituate overnight. The next day, the partition was removed and the mating trial started 211 and we scored whether mating occurred within the 2 h period. Replicates in which the male 212 213 was dead or immobile before the trial were discarded, leaving 29 males in the infection 214 treatment and 50 in the sham treatment.

215

216 (e) Statistical analysis

217 All statistical analyses were performed using R (version 3.5.1) and the RStudio plugin (version 1.1.463). Colour of the powder used to mark males had no detectable effect on their 218 probability of winning (p = 0.37, binomial test), in agreement with our previous unpublished 219 220 results. We focused on offspring resistance in terms of the likelihood of surviving 72 h postinfection. Using survival until 48 h post-infection led to the same conclusions; statistics for 221 222 both time points are reported in Supplementary Table S1. With offspring survival as the 223 binary response variable, we used the glmer function of R package *lme4* to fit generalized mixed models with logit link and binomial error distribution. Mating outcome (winner or 224 225 loser), treatment (infection or sham) and offspring sex (where both sexes were analysed 226 together) were the fixed effects. The main unit of replication – winner-loser duo – was 227 included as a random explanatory variable; block was also treated as a random variable (an alternative analysis with block treated as a fixed factor resulted in the same conclusions). To 228

7

test directly if survival odds ratios differed between sons and daughters of sires of the two 229 treatments, we also fitted generalized mixed models separately for infected and sham-treated 230 231 sires and tested for the interaction between contest outcome and offspring sex with the likelihood ratio test. Marginal means were estimated with *emmeans*; pairwise contrasts were 232 performed with pairs function of the emmeans package. A further analysis was performed 233 234 with father's success in the mating contest and father survival (as a binary variable: the fathers were either dead or alive after 72 hours) as fixed factors, only including data from the infected 235 treatment. Because the infectious dose used for female offspring in blocks 2 and 3 was 236 reduced compared to block 1 (see above), we repeated all analyses involving female offspring 237 238 with data from blocks 2 and 3 only. None of the conclusions were affected; thus, we only 239 report the analysis including all the blocks.

240

241 **3. Results**

242 (a) Father's sexual success predicts sons' resistance

The relationship between a sire's winning versus losing the mating contest and resistance of his offspring to *P. entomophila* depended on offspring sex (contest outcome × sire infection treatment × offspring sex interaction: $\chi^{2}_{1} = 7.4$, p = 0.0067, likelihood ratio test, GLMM on probability of surviving 72 h post-infection; for detailed analysis see Supplementary Table S1a). This justified splitting the analysis by offspring sex.

The relationship between father's success and pathogen resistance of his male offspring had 248 opposite signs depending on whether or not the contest took place after pathogen exposure 249 (contest outcome \times sire infection treatment interaction: $\chi^2_1 = 38.6$, p < 0.0001, Supplementary 250 Table S1b). When the fathers were infected prior to the contest, the odds of surviving 72 h 251 post-infection were five times greater for sons of winners than for sons of losers (Figure 2a,c; 252 odds ratio 5.1, z = 5.83, p < 0.0001). The opposite was the case for sham-treated sires – here 253 254 the winners' sons were half as likely to survive infection than losers' sons (Figure 2a,c; odds 255 ratio 0.49, z = 2.6, p = 0.007). These differences were consistent among three experimental

256 blocks performed weeks apart, despite considerable variation among blocks in overall

- 257 mortality (Supplementary Figure S2a).
- 258 In contrast to sons, we did not detect any relationship between the father's winning versus
- losing the mating contest and his daughters' survival upon infection (contest outcome χ^{2}_{1} =
- 260 0.02, p = 0.89; contest outcome × sire infection $\chi^2_1 = 3.0$, p = 0.083). The pattern of
- survivorship differences did resemble that for sons (Fig 2b), but was not consistent among
- blocks (Supplementary Figure S2b); odds ratio for daughters of winners versus losers was
- 263 1.44 for infected sires (z = 1.14, p = 0.25) and 0.65 for sham-treated sires (z = 1.35, p = 0.18).
- 264 Daughters suffered higher mortality than sons ($\chi^{2}_{1} = 303.5, p < 0.0001$), and this was
- consistent across the three experimental blocks (Supplementary Figure 2), despite daughters
- in blocks 2 and 3 being infected with a reduced dose of the pathogen (see Methods).

267 To compare these survival odds ratios for daughters with those for sons, we tested for an

- 268 interaction between mating outcome and offspring sex separately for infected and sham-
- treated sires. Although this test was not significant for sham-treated sires ($\chi^{2}_{1} = 0.5, p = 0.48$),



Figure 2. The relationship between the father's sexual success and offspring resistance to *P*. *entomophila*. (a) Post-infection survival curves of sons and (b) of daughters of sires that won versus lost a mating contest, depending on whether the sires were themselves exposed to the pathogen prior to the mating contest (inf.) or not (sham). (c) The distribution of pairwise differences in the proportion of sons' surviving 72 h post infection for each winner/loser sire duo, depending on the sire's treatment. (d) The proportion of offspring of each sex surviving 72 h post infection broken down by sire's winner/loser status and his own survival 72 h post-infection (only for sires subject to the infection treatment prior to mating contest). Symbols in (a), (b) and (d) are means \pm SE.

it was for infected sires ($\chi^{2}_{1} = 9.8$, p = 0.0017). Thus, even if daughters of infected winners

271 might have been somewhat more resistant than daughters of infected losers, father's success

272 made less difference to their odds of surviving the infection than it did to that of the sons.

273 We monitored the survival of sires after the mating contest. Only four out of 50 sham-treated 274 sires died within 72 h. As expected, mortality was higher among infected sires. Infected winners had a higher likelihood than losers of surviving until 30 h after the end of the contest 275 (i.e., 72 h post-infection). Among all replicates in which contest between infected sires was 276 resolved, 26 out of 32 winners and 11 out of 32 losers survived (p = 0.0003, Fisher's exact 277 test); for the subset of sires whose offspring resistance was assayed, 13 out of 15 winners and 278 7 out of 15 losers survived (p = 0.05). This demonstrates that, unsurprisingly, fathers that 279 were phenotypically more resistant in terms of mortality were more likely to win the mating 280 contest. However, when father's survival 72 h post-infection was added to the statistical 281 model as a binary explanatory variable, it was not associated with differences in sons' survival 282 upon infection ($\chi^{2}_{1} = 1.2, p = 0.26$; Supplementary Table 2). In other words, both among 283

winners and among losers, sires that died had sons as susceptible as the sons of sires that

Joye and Kawecki

survived the infection (Figure 2d). This shows that sons' survival upon infection was better
predicted by the father's success in the mating contest than by the father's own survival.

287

288 (b) Infection does not impair the ability to mate

289 While the above results are consistent with the "specific resistance" hypothesis, how confident 290 can one be that they are mediated by sexual selection, in particular in the case of infected males? The mating contests took place 40 h after the onset of infection (Figure 1), when 291 292 mortality had already started to occur; about 40% of replicates set up for the mating contests 293 were discarded because at least one of the two males was dead or inactive. One could thus 294 question whether the winner/loser outcome for infected males reflects male-male competition 295 or female choice rather than the losers simply being too morbid to court and mate. Based on 296 qualitative observations, all males involved in the mating contests were active and courted at least some of the time. Furthermore, if a substantial number of infected males had indeed been 297 298 unable to mate, we should have seen more cases of mating failure during the contest between infected than between sham-treated males. This was not the case; in both treatments about 299 25% of contests did not produce mating within the 2 h of contest duration (11/43 between 300 301 infected versus 17/71 between sham-treated, p = 1.0, Fisher's exact test). As a further test of the infected males' ability to mate, we performed a separate experiment in 302

- which a single infected or sham-treated male was allowed to interact with two virgin females for 2 h, in the same time frame as in the mating contests. In this setting, the proportion of males that failed to mate was not significantly different between treatments (6/29 = 21% for infected, 16/50 = 32% for sham treated; p = 0.31, Fisher's exact test). These results show that, in spite of pathogen virulence, our infection treatment did not impair the males' ability to mate within the time frame of the mating contests. Thus, the outcome of the mating contests can be attributed to the relative sexual competitiveness/attractiveness of the males.
- 310

311 4. Discussion

312 We found that fathers that are more successful in a mating contest sire sons that are more 313 resistant to P. entomophila – if the contest takes place after the fathers have been exposed to the pathogen. In contrast, males that win the contest in the absence of P. entomophila 314 315 exposure sire sons that are less resistant to the pathogen. These differences in resistance are 316 manifested, respectively, as five- and two-fold differences in odds of surviving 72 h postinfection. The experimental design allowed us to exclude non-genetic paternal effects of 317 318 winning versus losing or of pathogen exposure (such as transgenerational immune priming 319 [47]) on offspring resistance. Thus, our results are most parsimoniously interpreted as mediated by additive effects of genes passed on by the sires, as postulated under the "good 320 genes" hypothesis. 321

322 These results demonstrate that the relationship between male traits under sexual selection and

323 the males' breeding value ("genetic quality") for resistance to a pathogen can depend strongly

on the epidemiological context under which competition for mates and mate choice take

325 place. They support the scenario envisioned by Hamilton and Zuk [4] and Adamo and Spiteri

- 326 [37], under which male sexual traits reflect health as determined by their interactions with the
- 327 pathogen, and thus can only reveal the male's breeding value for resistance if the male has
- been exposed to the pathogen. They do not support the "general immunocompetence"
- 329 scenarios, which postulate a positive genetic correlation between sexual success and
- 330 resistance to pathogens irrespective of pathogen exposure, mediated by shared condition-
- dependence of sexual traits and immunocompetence [6, 9, 31, 33].
- 332 This conclusion is consistent with findings in ecological genetics of pathogen resistance in
- 333 Drosophila. If variation in pathogen resistance were mainly mediated by a condition-
- dependent general immunocompetence, resistance to different pathogens should be highly
- 335 positively correlated. Yet, in *Drosophila* natural genetic variation in resistance seems largely
- uncorrelated across different pathogens [48, 49]. Even variation in resistance to the same
- 337 pathogen may have different genetic bases depending on the route of infection: experimental
- populations that evolved high resistance to oral infection with *P. entomophila* showed no
- changes in resistance to systemic infection and vice versa [49]. Furthermore, flies raised on a
- nutrient-poor larval diet show similar resistance to *P. entomophila* as flies raised on standard
- diet, despite being only half the normal body weight [41], suggesting that resistance to thispathogen is largely condition-independent.
- Without prior exposure to the pathogen, males that sired more resistant sons were less 343 successful in the mating contests, although the magnitude of the difference was smaller than 344 345 between the offspring of infected winner and loser males. This is interesting because two 346 independent experimental evolution studies failed to detect any costs of improved P. entomophila resistance in terms of larval fitness traits, larval competitive ability, stress 347 resistance or reproductive output [50, 51]. This suggests that traits under sexual selection are 348 349 more sensitive to subtle trade-offs of resistance than life history traits under natural selection. 350 Interestingly, the success of an infected father in the mating contest predicted his sons' 351 resistance better than the father's own post-infection survival. Both of these findings are 352 consistent with the notion that sexually selected traits are particularly sensitive to heritable differences in the physiological condition of the organism [8, 32, 33] – with the twist that in 353
- the absence of pathogens the resistant individuals may actually be in lower condition because
- 355 of physiological trade-offs of resistance.

An unexpected aspect of our results is the apparent sex-specificity of the relationship between 356 father's sexual success and offspring resistance. Although the effects on daughters tended in 357 the same direction as those on sons, they were not significant; the mating outcome \times offspring 358 sex interaction indicates that they were significantly smaller (in terms of odds ratio) than on 359 sons. Although not generally the case for *P. entomophila* infections in *D. melanogaster* [52], 360 in our study females showed a substantially lower post-infection survival than males. Halving 361 362 the bacterial concentration used to infect daughters (in the last two experimental blocks, see 363 Methods) did little to change this. Possibly, the effect of genes passed on by winner versus 364 loser fathers on offspring resistance vanishes as the overall virulence of the infection increases, which could explain the absence of detectable effects on daughters' survival. 365 Alternatively, alleles that differentiate winners from losers may have truly sex-specific effects 366 367 on offspring resistance. This possibility is supported by increasing evidence that natural

368 genetic variation may affect pathogen resistance in sex-specific or even sexually antagonistic

Joye and Kawecki

way [53, 54]. Under this interpretation, the indirect genetic benefits of sexual selection interms of pathogen resistance could be largely limited to male offspring.

371 This study demonstrates that consequences of sexual selection for offspring pathogen

372 resistance can be large and strongly context-dependent. It implies that sexual selection will

promote the evolution of pathogen resistance when the pathogen is prevalent in the

- population, but will oppose it when the pathogen is absent. Females that mate with successful
- 375 males will benefit in terms of offspring fitness if both generations are exposed to the pathogen
- 376 (because their offspring will be more resistant) or if both experience no pathogen pressure
- (because the offspring will be genetically less resistant and thus avoid paying the pleiotropic
 costs of resistance). However, "good genes" may become "bad genes" if the epidemiological
- 379 situation changes radically between the generations, as inherent in the Hamilton-Zuk [4, 34]
- and Adamo-Spiteri [5, 37] models. It remains to be tested to what degree sexual selection in
- the presence of *P. entomophila* affects offspring resistance to other pathogens and vice versa.
- 382 Nonetheless, it is clear that in this system and under the type of mating competition
- implemented here, male sexual success is not an unconditional predictor of offspring
- resistance. The hypothesis that sexually selected traits reveal the breeding value for general
- immunocompetence independently of pathogen exposure may well still apply to other species
- and other pathogens. However, our results support the call for a greater experimental effort to
- test hypotheses assuming that the link between heritable pathogen resistance and sexual traits
- is generated by interactions of males with specific pathogens [10, 11].
- 389

390 Data accessibility

391 Data are available as electronic supplementary material.

392 Authors' contribution

TJK and PJ designed the study, PJ carried out the experiments, both authors analysed the dataand wrote the manuscript.

395 Funding

396 This research was supported by funding from the University of Lausanne.

397 Competing interests

- 398 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 399 Acknowledgements
- 400 We thank B. Erkosar and R. Vijendravarma for advice, and B. Hollis, M. Kapun and D.
- 401 Shuker for discussions and comments on an earlier version of the paper.
- 402

403 **References**

- 404 [1] Kokko, H., Brooks, R., Jennions, M.D. & Morley, J. 2003 The evolution of mate choice and
- 405 mating biases. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 270, 653-664. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.2235).

- 406 [2] Hunt, J., Bussiere, L.F., Jennions, M.D. & Brooks, R. 2004 What is genetic quality? *Trends Ecol.*
- 407 Evol. 19, 329-333. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2004.03.035).
- 408 [3] Prokop, Z.M., Michalczyk, L., Drobniak, S.M., Herdegen, M. & Radwan, J. 2012 Meta-analysis

suggests choosy females get sexy sons more than "good genes". *Evolution* **66**, 2665-2673.

- 410 (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01654.x).
- 411 [4] Hamilton, W.D. & Zuk, M. 1982 Heritable true fitness and bright birds a role for parasites.
- 412 Science 218, 384-387. (doi:10.1126/science.7123238).
- [5] Adamo, S.A. & Spiteri, R.J. 2005 Female choice for male immunocompetence: when is it worth it? *Behav. Ecol.* 16, 871-879. (doi:10.1093/beheco/ari068).
- 415 [6] Roberts, M.L., Buchanan, K.L. & Evans, M.R. 2004 Testing the immunocompetence handicap
- 416 hypothesis: a review of the evidence. *Anim. Behav.* 68, 227-239. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2004.05.001).
- 417 [7] Koch, R.E., Josefson, C.C. & Hill, G.E. 2017 Mitochondrial function, ornamentation, and
- 418 immunocompetence. *Biological Reviews* **92**, 1459-1474. (doi:doi:10.1111/brv.12291).
- [8] Tomkins, J.L., Radwan, J., Kotiaho, J.S. & Tregenza, T. 2004 Genic capture and resolving the lek
 paradox. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 19, 323-328. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2004.03.029).
- 421 [9] Birkhead, T.R., Pellatt, E.J., Matthews, I.M., Roddis, N.J., Hunter, F.M., McPhie, F. & Castillo-
- 422 Juarez, H. 2006 Genic capture and the genetic basis of sexually selected traits in the zebra finch.
- 423 *Evolution* **60**, 2389-2398.
- [10] Balenger, S.L. & Zuk, M. 2014 Testing the Hamilton–Zuk hypothesis: past, present, and future.
 Integrative and Comparative Biology 54, 601–613.
- 426 [11] Zuk, M. & Wedell, N. 2014 Parasites and pathogens in sexual selection. In Evolution of Insect
- 427 Mating Systems (eds. D.M. Shuker & L.W. Simmons), pp. 242-260.
- 428 [12] Hughes, A.L. 2015 Sexual selection and mate choice: insights from neutralist perspectives. *Evol*.
- 429 *Biol.* 42, 366-378. (doi:10.1007/s11692-015-9315-x).
- [13] Barber, I., Arnott, S.A., Braithwaite, V.A., Andrew, J. & Huntingford, F.A. 2001 Indirect fitness
 consequences of mate choice in sticklebacks: offspring of brighter males grow slowly but resist
- 432 parasitic infections. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **268**, 71-76. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2000.1331).
- 433 [14] Guncay, A., Balasubramaniam, T., Plagens, K., Weadge, J. & Long, T.A.F. 2017 Cross-
- 434 generational effects of male reproductive success and offspring immunocompetence in Drosophila
 435 melanogaster. *Facets* 2. (doi:10.1139/facets-2015-0007).
- 436 [15] Raveh, S., Sutalo, S., Thonhauser, K.E., Thoss, M., Hettyey, A., Winkelser, F. & Penn, D.J. 2014
- Female partner preferences enhance offspring ability to survive an infection. *Bmc Evolutionary Biology* 14. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-14-14).
- 439 [16] Ilmonen, P., Penn, D.J., Damjanovich, K., Morrison, L., Ghotbi, L. & Potts, W.K. 2007 Major
- 440 histocompatibility complex heterozygosity reduces fitness in experimentally infected mice. *Genetics*
- 441 **176**, 2501-2508. (doi:10.1534/genetics.107.074815).
- 442 [17] Tregenza, T. & Wedell, N. 2000 Genetic compatibility, mate choice and patterns of parentage:
- 443 Invited review. *Mol. Ecol.* **9**, 1013-1027. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.00964.x).
- 444 [18] Jacob, A., Evanno, G., von Siebenthal, B.A., Grossen, C. & Wedekind, C. 2010 Effects of
- different mating scenarios on embryo viability in brown trout. *Mol. Ecol.* **19**, 5296-5307.
- 446 (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04884.x).
- 447 [19] Kurtz, J. 2007 The correlation between immunocompetence and an ornament trait changes over
- 448 lifetime in Panorpa vulgaris scorpionflies. *Zoology* **110**, 336-343. (doi:10.1016/j.zool.2007.07.001).
- 449 [20] Kurtz, J. & Sauer, K.P. 1999 The immunocompetence handicap hypothesis: testing the genetic 450 predictions. *Proc. P. Soc. P.* **266**, 2515, 2522 (doi:10.1008/sph.1000.0054)
- 450 predictions. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **266**, 2515-2522. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1999.0954).
- 451 [21] Bonato, M., Evans, M.R., Hasselquist, D., Sherley, R.B., Cloete, S.W.P. & Cherry, M.I. 2013
- 452 Ostrich chick humoral immune responses and growth rate are predicted by parental immune responses
- 453 and paternal colouration. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **67**, 1891-1901. (doi:10.1007/s00265-013-1597-3).
- 454 [22] Simmons, L.W., Tinghitella, R.M. & Zuk, M. 2010 Quantitative genetic variation in courtship
- song and its covariation with immune function and sperm quality in the field cricket Teleogryllus
 oceanicus. *Behav. Ecol.* 21, 1330-1336. (doi:10.1093/beheco/arq154).
- 457 [23] Svensson, E.I., McAdam, A.G. & Sinervo, B. 2009 Intralocus sexual conflict over immune
- 458 defense, gender load, and sex-specific signaling in a natural lizard population. Evolution 63, 3124-
- 459 3135. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00782.x).

- 460 [24] Milinski, M. 2006 The major histocompatibility complex, sexual selection, and mate choice.
- 461 Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 37, 159-186. (doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110242).
- 462 [25] Rantala, M.J., Moore, F.R., Skrinda, I., Krama, T., Kivleniece, I., Kecko, S. & Krams, I. 2012
- 463 Evidence for the stress-linked immunocompetence handicap hypothesis in humans. *Nature Comm.* 3.
 464 (doi:10.1038/ncomms1696).
- 465 [26] Lawniczak, M.K.N., Barnes, A.I., Linklater, J.R., Boone, J.M., Wigby, S. & Chapman, T. 2007
- 466 Mating and immunity in invertebrates. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 22, 48-55. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2006.09.012).
- 467 [27] Rolff, J. & Kraaijeveld, A.R. 2003 Selection for parasitoid resistance alters mating success in
- 468 Drosophila. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **270**, S154-S155. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2003.0024).
- 469 [28] McKean, K.A. & Nunney, L. 2008 Sexual selection and immune function in Drosophila
- 470 melanogaster. *Evolution* **62**, 386-400. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00286.x).
- 471 [29] Hangartner, S., Michalczyk, L., Gage, M.J.G. & Martin, O.Y. 2015 Experimental removal of
- sexual selection leads to decreased investment in an immune component in female Tribolium
- 473 castaneum. *Infection Genetics and Evolution* **33**, 212-218. (doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2015.05.005).
- 474 [30] Hangartner, S., Sbilordo, S.H., Michalczyk, L., Gage, M.J.G. & Martin, O.Y. 2013 Are there
- genetic trade-offs between immune and reproductive investments in Tribolium castaneum? *Infection Genetics and Evolution* 19, 45-50. (doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2013.06.007).
- 477 [31] Folstad, I. & Karter, A.J. 1992 Parasites, bright males, and the immunocompetence handicap. Am.
- 478 Nat. 139, 603-622. (doi:10.1086/285346).
- 479 [32] Rowe, L. & Houle, D. 1996 The lek paradox and the capture of genetic variance by condition
- 480 dependent traits. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 263, 1415-1421.
- 481 [33] Hill, G.E. 2011 Condition-dependent traits as signals of the functionality of vital cellular
- 482 processes. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 625-634. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01622.x).
- 483 [34] Eshel, I. & Hamilton, W.D. 1984 Parent-offspring correlation in fitness under fluctuating
- 484 selection. *Proceedings of the Royal Society Series B-Biological Sciences* 222, 1-14.
- 485 (doi:10.1098/rspb.1984.0046).
- 486 [35] Charlesworth, B. 1988 The evolution of mate choice in a fluctuating environment. J. Theor. Biol.
 487 130, 191-204. (doi:10.1016/s0022-5193(88)80094-8).
- [36] Howard, R.S. & Lively, C.M. 2004 Good vs complementary genes for parasite resistance and the
 evolution of mate choice. *Bmc Evolutionary Biology* 4. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-4-48).
- 490 [37] Adamo, S.A. & Spiteri, R.J. 2009 He's healthy, but will he survive the plague? Possible
- 491 constraints on mate choice for disease resistance. Anim. Behav. 77, 67-78.
- 492 (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2008.09.011).
- 493 [38] Westneat, D.F. & Birkhead, T.R. 1998 Alternative hypotheses linking the immune system and 494 mate choice for good genes. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 265, 1065-1073. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1998.0400).
- 495 [39] Hunt, J., Breuker, C.J., Sadowski, J.A. & Moore, A.J. 2009 Male-male competition, female mate
- 496 choice and their interaction: determining total sexual selection. J. Evol. Biol. 22, 13-26.
- 497 (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008.01633.x).
- 498 [40] Sleiman, M.S.B., Osman, D., Massouras, A., Hoffmann, A.A., Lemaitre, B. & Deplancke, B.
- 2015 Genetic, molecular and physiological basis of variation in Drosophila gut immunocompetence.
 Nature Comm. 6. (doi:10.1038/ncomms8829).
- 501 [41] Vijendravarma, R.K., Narasimha, S., Chakrabarti, S., Babin, A., Kolly, S., Lemaitre, B. &
- 502 Kawecki, T.J. 2015 Gut physiology mediates a trade-off between adaptation to malnutrition and
- 503 susceptibility to food-borne pathogens. *Ecol. Lett.* **18**, 1078–1086. (doi:doi: 10.1111/ele.12490).
- 504 [42] Babin, A., Kolly, S., Schneider, F., Dolivo, V., Zini, M. & Kawecki, T.J. 2014 Fruit flies learn to
- avoid odours associated with virulent infection. *Biology Letters* **10**, 20140048.
- 506 (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2014.0048).
- 507 [43] Baxter, C., Mentlik, J., Shams, I. & Dukas, R. 2018 Mating success in fruit flies: courtship
- 508 interference versus female choice. Anim. Behav. 138, 101-108. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2018.02.010).
- 509 [44] Falconer, D.S. & Mackay, T.F.C. 1996 *Introduction to quantitative genetics, 4th edn.* Harlow,
 510 Longman.
- 511 [45] Vodovar, N., Vinals, M., Liehl, P., Basset, A., Degrouard, J., Spellman, P., Boccard, F. &
- 512 Lemaitre, B. 2005 Drosophila host defense after oral infection by an entomopathogenic *Pseudomonas*
- 513 species. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 11414-11419.

- 514 [46] Rondon, M.R., August, P.R., Bettermann, A.D., Brady, S.F., Grossman, T.H., Liles, M.R.,
- 515 Loiacono, K.A., Lynch, B.A., MacNeil, I.A., Minor, C., et al. 2000 Cloning the Soil Metagenome: a
- 516 Strategy for Accessing the Genetic and Functional Diversity of Uncultured Microorganisms. *Applied*
- 517 *and Environmental Microbiology* **66**, 2541-2547. (doi:10.1128/aem.66.6.2541-2547.2000).
- 518 [47] Roth, O., Joop, G., Eggert, H., Hilbert, J., Daniel, J., Schmid-Hempel, P. & Kurtz, J. 2010
- 519 Paternally derived immune priming for offspring in the red flour beetle, Tribolium castaneum. J.
- 520 Anim. Ecol. 79, 403-413. (doi:doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2009.01617.x).
- 521 [48] Lazzaro, B.P., Sackton, T.B. & Clark, A.G. 2006 Genetic variation in Drosophila melanogaster
- resistance to infection: A comparison across bacteria. *Genetics* **174**, 1539-1554.
- 523 (doi:10.1534/genetics.105.054593).
- 524 [49] Martins, N.E., Faria, V.G., Teixeira, L., Magalhaes, S. & Sucena, E. 2013 Host adaptation is
- 525 contingent upon the infection route taken by pathogens. *PLoS Pathog.* **9**, e1003601.
- 526 (doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003601).
- 527 [50] Faria, V.G., Martins, N.E., Paulo, T., Teixeira, L., Sucena, E. & Magalhaes, S. 2015 Evolution of
- 528 Drosophila resistance against different pathogens and infection routes entails no detectable 529 maintenance costs. *Evolution* **69**, 2799-2809. (doi:10.1111/evo.12782).
- 530 [51] Gupta, V., Venkatesan, S., Chatterjee, M., Syed, Z.A., Nivsarkar, V. & Prasad, N.G. 2016 No
- apparent cost of evolved immune response in Drosophila melanogaster. *Evolution* 70, 934-943.
 (doi:10.1111/evo.12896).
- 533 [52] Siva-Jothy, J.A., Prakash, A., Vasanthakrishnan, R.B., Monteith, K.M. & Vale, P.F. 2018 Oral
- Bacterial Infection and Shedding in Drosophila melanogaster. *Jove-Journal of Visualized Experiments*.
 (doi:10.3791/57676).
- [53] Vincent, C.M. & Sharp, N.P. 2014 Sexual antagonism for resistance and tolerance to infection in
 Drosophila melanogaster. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 281. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.0987).
- 538 [54] Roved, J., Westerdahl, H. & Hasselquist, D. 2017 Sex differences in immune responses:
- 539 Hormonal effects, antagonistic selection, and evolutionary consequences. Hormones and Behavior 88,
- 540 95-105. (doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2016.11.017).

Sexual selection favours good or bad genes for pathogen resistance depending on males' pathogen exposure

Patrick Joye and Tadeusz J. Kawecki

Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Switzerland

Proceedings of the Royal Society B, DOI:10.1098/rspb.2019-0226

Supplementary material

Supplementary Figures S1 and S2. Supplementary Tables S1 and S2.



Supplementary Figure 1. A scheme of the vial used for mating contests. Flies of the two sexes are placed on opposite sides of a cardboard divider and let acclimatize. The assay is initiated when the separator is removed through the slit in the plug.



Supplementary Figure S2. Post-infection survival curves of offspring of winner and loser fathers (the same data as in figure 2a,b) split by experimental block. (a) sons and (b) daughters. Symbols are means \pm SE.

Supplementary Table 1. The results of likelihood ratio tests of factors included in the generalized mixed model fitted to offspring survival until 48 h and until 72 h from the beginning of infection.

(a) Joint analysis of offspring of both sexes

Factor	48 h j	post-infection	72 h post-infection		
Factor	χ^{2} 1	р	χ^{2} 1	р	
Mating outcome (winner/loser)	2.8	0.091	2.1	0.14	
Treatment (infection/sham)	0.3	0.58	0.02	0.89	
Mating outcome × treatment	17.2	< 0.0001	28.2	< 0.0001	
Offspring sex	230.3	< 0.0001	303.5	< 0.0001	
Mating outcome × offspring sex	3.0	0.081	3.0	0.081	
Treatment × offspring sex	0.1	0.74	0.1	0.81	
Mating outcome \times treatment \times offspring sex	8.0	0.0046	7.4	0.0067	
Block (random)	22.9	< 0.0001	15.7	< 0.0001	
Winner-loser duo (random)	3.8	0.051	10.1	0.0015	

(b) Separate analysis for offspring of each sex

Factor –	Sons	Sons 48 h		Daughters 48 h		Sons 72 h		Daughters 72 h	
	χ^{2} 1	р	χ^{2} 1	р	$\chi^{2}{}_{1}$	р	χ^{2} 1	р	
Mating outcome (winner/loser)	5.3	0.021	0.00	0.98	5.6	0.018	0.02	0.89	
Treatment (infection/sham)	0.3	0.59	0.03	0.86	0.01	0.92	0.01	0.96	
Mating outcome × treatment	22.9	< 0.0001	0.9	0.33	38.6	< 0.0001	3.0	0.083	
Block (random)	1.2	0.27	22.9	< 0.0001	4.2	0.041	10.2	0.0014	
Winner-loser duo (random)	6.3	0.012	1.7	0.19	15.4	< 0.0001	10.8	0.0010	

	Sons	Daughters		
Factor	$\chi^2_1 p$	χ^{2} 1 p		
Mating outcome (winner/loser)	33.9 < 0.0001	0.1 0.75		
Father's survival (dead/alive)	1.2 0.26	2.6 0.10		
Mating outcome × father's survival	1.8 0.18	0.0 0.98		
Block (random)	4.5 0.033	15.3 < 0.0001		

Supplementary Table 2. Likelihood ratio test of father's success versus father's postinfection survival as predictors of offspring survival 72 h post-infection (GLMM with binomial error distribution and logit link). Only sires subject to the infection treatment are included.