**Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL serval.unil.ch**

# **Author Manuscript Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication**

**This paper has been peer-reviewed but dos not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.**

Published in final edited form as:

```
Title: The past, present and future of renin-angiotensin aldosterone 
system inhibition.
Authors: Mentz RJ, Bakris GL, Waeber B, McMurray JJ, Gheorghiade 
M, Ruilope LM, Maggioni AP, Swedberg K, Piña IL, Fiuzat M, O'Connor 
CM, Zannad F, Pitt B
Journal: International journal of cardiology
Year: 2013 Sep 1
Volume: 167
Issue: 5
Pages: 1677-87
DOI: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.10.007
```
**In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.**



**UNIL** | Université de Lausanne Faculté de biologie et de médecine



## NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript**

*Int J Cardiol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 September 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Int J Cardiol*. 2013 September 1; 167(5): 1677–1687. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.10.007.

## **The past, present and future of renin–angiotensin aldosterone system inhibition**☆

**Robert J. Mentz**a,\* , **George L. Bakris**b, **Bernard Waeber**<sup>c</sup> , **John J.V. McMurray**d, **Mihai Gheorghiade**e, **Luis M. Ruilope**<sup>f</sup> , **Aldo P. Maggioni**g, **Karl Swedberg**h, **Ileana L. Piña**<sup>i</sup> , **Mona Fiuzat**a, **Christopher M. O'Connor**a, **Faiez Zannad**<sup>j</sup> , and **Bertram Pitt**<sup>k</sup>

aDuke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA <sup>b</sup>The University of Chicago Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA <sup>c</sup>CHUV and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland <sup>d</sup>BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK <sup>e</sup>Center for Cardiovascular Innovation, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA <sup>f</sup>Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain <sup>g</sup>Associazione Nazionale Medici Cardioligi Ospedalieri Research Center, Florence, Italy hUniversity of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden Montefiore-Einstein Medical Center, New York, NY, USA <sup>j</sup>Centre d'Investigations Cliniques, Nancy, France <sup>k</sup>University Hospital, University of Michigan School of Medicine, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

## **Abstract**

The renin–angiotensin aldosterone system (RAAS) is central to the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease. RAAS inhibition can reduce blood pressure, prevent target organ damage in hypertension and diabetes, and improve outcomes in patients with heart failure and/or myocardial infarction. This review presents the history of RAAS inhibition including a summary of key heart failure, myocardial infarction, hypertension and atrial fibrillation trials. Recent developments in RAAS inhibition are discussed including implementation and optimization of current drug therapies. Finally, ongoing clinical trials, opportunities for future trials and issues related to the barriers and approvability of novel RAAS inhibitors are highlighted.

<sup>☆</sup>All authors take responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation.

<sup>© 2012</sup> Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author at: Duke University Medical Center, 2301 Erwin Road, Durham, NC 27710, USA. Tel.: +1 919 681 6195; fax: +1 919 681 7755. robert.mentz@duke.edu. .

**Conflicts of interest** G.L.B. is a consultant to Takeda, Abbott, Novartis, Fibrogen, and Diachi Sankyo; and investigator initiated funding from Medtronic, Relapsya, Forest, and Takeda. B.W. received lectures fees and/or serves as a member of speakers' bureaus of Servier, Menarini, Novartis, Pfizer, and AstraZeneca. J.J.V.M. received lecture fees from AstraZeneca and has received research support from Amgen and Johnson & Johnson/Scios. M.G. is a consultant for Abbott, Astellas, AstraZeneca, Bayer Schering, Cardiorentis, CorThera, Cytokinetics, CytoPherx, DebioPharm S.A., Errekappa Terapeutici, GlaxoSmithKline, Ikaria, Intersection Medical, JNJ, Medtronic, Merck, Novartis, Ono Parmaceuticals, Otsuka, Palatin Technologies, Pericor Therapeutics, Protein Design Laboratories, Sanofi-Aventis, Sigma Tau, Solvay, Sticares InterACT, Takeda Pharmaceuticals and Trevena Therapeutics. A.P.M. has consulted for Novartis and has received honoraria from Otsuka. K.S. receives research grants from AstraZeneca, Servier, and Amgen; honoraria from AstraZeneca, Otsuka, Servier, and Amgen; and is a consultant for Cytokinetics, Servier, and Novartis. I.L.P. receives research grants from NIH, serves as a member of speakers' bureaus of Novartis, Otsuka, and as a consultant to the US Food and Drug Administration, GE Healthcare, Novartis, and advisory board for BG-Medicine. F.Z. has received honoraria from and served on advisory boards for Pfizer. B.P. is a consultant to Pfizer, Merck, Novartis, Takeda, Astra Zeneca, Bayer, Lilly, BMS, Cytopherx, Amorcyte, Relypsa, BG-Medicine, Aurasense, and GE-Health Care; stocks options in Relypsa, BG-Medicine, and Aurasense; and grants from Novartis, Forrest Laboratories, and Medtronic. The other authors report no relevant conflicts of interest related to this work.

## **Keywords**

Renin–angiotensin aldosterone system; Hypertension; Heart failure; Myocardial infarction; Clinical trials

## **1. Introduction**

The renin–angiotensin aldosterone system (RAAS) is central to the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease through vascular inflammation, an increase in reactive oxygen species, endothelial dysfunction, and atherosclerosis with subsequent complications such as myocardial infarction (MI), chronic heart failure (HF) and renal disease [1]. Medications inhibiting the RAAS such as angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE-Is), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs) are several of the most significant advances in cardiovascular medicine [2,3]. Since the CONSENSUS trial over 20 years ago [2], the field has seen multiple strategies of RAAS inhibition with varying success from single drug optimization to combination therapies. We provide an overview of the history of RAAS inhibition, discuss recent RAAS developments and present practical ways to overcome the challenges of drug optimization. Finally, ongoing clinical trials, opportunities for future trials and issues related to the barriers and approvability of novel RAAS inhibitors are highlighted.

## **2. RAAS background**

RAAS is the hormone system that regulates intravascular volume, blood pressure and tissue repair via inflammatory and proliferative mechanisms (Fig. 1). While protective during an acute stress response, chronic stimulation has detrimental effects including vasoconstriction, vascular smooth muscle proliferation, endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, fibrosis, and thrombosis [4]. The RAAS cascade begins when renal juxtaglomerular cells secrete renin in response to renal hypoperfusion, decreased sodium delivery, and sympathetic activation [5]. Plasma renin converts hepatically produced angiotensinogen to inactive angiotensin I. ACE cleaves angiotensin I to generate angiotensin II (AII). Only approximately 10% of ACE circulates in the plasma and controls acute hemodynamic modulation, whereas tissuespecific RAAS uses local angiotensin I to form AII. Independent of ACE activity, serine proteases are also capable of converting angiotensin I to AII. Although the peripheral or circulating RAAS may be involved in cardiovascular remodeling and restructuring, it is the autocrine or paracrine production of AII that may be most important in promoting these changes [6,7]. AII is responsible for vasoconstrictive, proliferative and pro-inflammatory effects while the actions of angiotensin- $(1-7)$  mainly oppose those of angiotensin II [8]. ACE hydrolyzes angiotensin-(1–7) into its inactive form, such that ACE-Is result in greater availability of angiotensin-(1–7) with its vasodilatory and antiproliferative actions. AII stimulates adrenal cortex secretion of aldosterone and posterior pituitary secretion of arginine vasopressin with resultant volume expansion. Aldosterone is also regulated through non-AII pathways and is involved in sodium and potassium homeostasis. Above and beyond their renal actions, AII and aldosterone exert synergistic and independent systemic and autocrine/paracrine pleiotropic effects that result in myocardial and vascular remodeling

[5,9]. AII promotes atherogenesis through effects on smooth muscle cell growth and migration, macrophage activation and vascular invasion, inhibition of apoptosis, increased oxidative stress and stimulation of thrombosis [10]. RAAS inhibition has been shown to positively impact disease progression via these mechanisms [10]. Given the impact of the RAAS on metabolic signaling, oxidative stress, and endothelial dysfunction, a role for RAAS inhibitors has been supported to prevent or delay the development of type 2 diabetes via effects on insulin sensitivity and signal transduction [11]. Pleiotropic effects of aldosterone include an increase in reactive oxygen species, endothelial dysfunction, apoptosis, inflammatory cytokine activation, and collagen formation [12,13]. The association between genetic variants of the RAAS and blood pressure response to RAAS inhibitors and clinical outcomes has been inconsistent [14]. Recent data suggesting that polymorphisms of the RAAS may be associated with hypertension and reduced systolic function require further evaluation and confirmation [15].

## **3. Contemporary RAAS inhibitors**

The three main classes of RAAS inhibitors currently used in clinical practice are ACE-Is, ARBs and MRAs with a fourth class of agents – the direct renin inhibitors (DRIs) – under active investigation (Fig. 2). ACE-Is decrease the formation of angiotensin II and inhibit the breakdown of bradykinin with the formation of nitric oxide and other vasodilators [16]. For patients intolerant of ACE-Is, ARBs may represent an alternative. ARBs bind competitively to and dissociate slowly from  $AT_1$  receptors [17]. The observed differences in lipid solubility, plasma protein binding, bioavailability, plasma half-life, and systemic elimination for these agents influence their onset, duration of action, and efficacy in blocking tissue RAAS which impacts their cardiovascular protection profiles [18]. The difference between the dose requirement to reduce blood pressure and that needed to achieve cardiovascular protection varies based on the pharmacokinetic profiles of RAAS inhibitors even within the same general therapeutic class [19]. MRAs reduce the number of available epithelial sodium channels in the distal renal tubule through competitive inhibition of the mineralocorticoid receptor. Eplerenone is a more selective mineralocorticoid receptor blocker with lower affinity for progesterone and androgen receptors than spironolactone [20]. Conventional agents that block RAAS induce a reflex increase in renin which may lead to RAAS 'escape'. DRIs inhibit the RAAS at the most proximal, specific, and rate-limiting step [21]. Aliskiren is the only currently available DRI with approval for use in hypertension.

## **4. Heart failure**

Since the CONSENSUS and SOLVD trials first demonstrated the effectiveness of ACE-Is in chronic HF with left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD) over 20 years ago (Table 1) [2,22], multiple trials have demonstrated the beneficial effects of ACE-Is on clinical status, neurohormonal activation, quality of life, HF hospitalization and survival [23]. ACE-Is reduce the risk of death due to HF, sudden cardiac death, and MI in a broad range of patients with LVSD [23]. Conversely, the PEP-CHF trial investigating the use of ACE-Is in patients with HF and a preserved left ventricular ejection fraction (HFpEF) did not show improved outcomes [24].

With regard to ARBs, the CHARM-Alternative study demonstrated that candesartan use in symptomatic HF patients with LVSD reduced cardiovascular mortality and HF hospitalization [25]. The HEAAL study (n=3846) evaluated the effects of high-dose versus low-dose losartan on clinical outcomes in patients with HF with ejection fraction (EF) 40% [26]. High-dose ARB significantly reduced the rate of death or admission for HF, supporting the value of dose up-titration to maximize benefit. Adverse events such as renal impairment, hypotension and hyperkalemia occurred more commonly in the high-dose ARB arm, but did not lead to excess treatment discontinuation. In head-to-head comparison of ACE-Is and ARBs, ELITE II failed to demonstrate ARB superiority [27]. Similar to the data on ACE-Is, two randomized trials investigating the use of ARBs in HFpEF were neutral with respect to mortality [28,29].

For HF patients who remain symptomatic on an ACE-I, the addition of an ARB has the potential to more completely inhibit RAAS. The Valsartan in Heart Failure Trial of >5000 HF patients showed that valsartan, when added to an ACE-I, had no effect on mortality but reduced HF hospitalizations [30]. CHARM-Added supported this finding where dual RAAS blockade with candesartan+ACE-I was associated with a reduction in cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization [31]. However, these combinations have been associated with more adverse events. Therefore, in clinical practice, dual blockade with an ACE-I and an ARB is not commonly employed.

Despite treatment with ACE-Is/ARBs, patients with HF may demonstrate elevated aldosterone levels [32]. The RALES trial in patients with systolic HF and severe symptoms (NYHA classes III–IV) demonstrated a reduction in all-cause mortality with spironolactone [3]. Spironolactone use was also associated with reduced HF hospitalization. The EMPHASIS-HF trial supported the benefits of eplerenone in chronic systolic HF and mild symptoms (NYHA class II), where there was a reduction in the primary endpoint of cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization as well as a significant reduction in mortality and hospitalizations [33]. Future studies will determine whether these benefits extend to HF patients who are asymptomatic or have HFpEF [34].

The American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA) as well as European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines recommend that ACE-Is (or an ARB, if ACE-Is are not tolerated) should be started and continued indefinitely in HF patients with LVSD unless contraindicated [35,36]. LVSD patients with symptomatic HF receiving an ACE-I and a beta-blocker should also receive an MRA, except when moderate to severe renal failure or hyperkalemia is present.

## **5. Myocardial infarction**

Long-term trials of ACE-Is in high-risk patients with LVSD or HF after an MI demonstrated a 20% risk reduction in mortality (~5–8% absolute risk reduction) as well as reduced stroke, cardiovascular death, sudden cardiac death, recurrent MI, progression to severe HF and HF hospitalization<sup>[37–39]</sup>. In acute MI complicated by LVSD, HF or both, the VALIANT trial demonstrated similar benefits for captopril and valsartan on mortality and cardiovascular events [40]. Combination therapy with an ACE-I+ARB increased the adverse event rate

without improved survival. However, in the OPTIMAAL trial of captopril versus lostartan in acute MI patients with HF, there was a non-significant difference in mortality in favor of captopril [41]. In both trials, ACE-I and ARB use had similar effects on recurrent MI and rehospitalization [40,41]. ARBs were better tolerated with fewer patients discontinuing therapy compared to ACE-Is in OPTIMAAL. In contrast, short-term post-MI trials of ACE-Is (i.e. 6 weeks) that enrolled a broader population demonstrated a more modest mortality reduction, with the greatest benefit seen in high-risk subgroups [42–44].

Supporting the role of MRAs post-MI, the EPHESUS trial in acute MI complicated by LVSD and HF (or diabetes) found that eplerenone was associated with a reduction in mortality, cardiovascular death/hospitalization and sudden death [45]. Two ongoing trials, ALBATROSS [46] and REMINDER ([Clinicaltrials.gov](http://Clinicaltrials.gov): NCT01176968), are investigating whether early use of a MRA will improve outcomes in patients with an acute MI but without HF.

The most recent ACC/AHA guidelines recommend that ACE-Is (or, if not tolerated, an ARB) should be started and continued indefinitely in all ST-elevation MI (STEMI) patients except those at lower risk (normal EF in whom cardiovascular risk factors are well controlled and revascularization has been performed) and in those with non-STEMI with clinical HF, LVSD, hypertension or diabetes, unless contraindicated [47,48]. The ESC guidelines recommend ACE-I use in all post-STEMI patients (regardless of baseline risk) to reduce the risk of recurrent MI (and other vascular events) [49]. MRAs have a class I indication for STEMI and non-STEMI patients who are receiving therapeutic doses of ACE-Is and beta-blockers, have an EF 40%, and have either symptomatic HF or diabetes without renal failure or hyperkalemia.

## **6. Hypertensive patients and others at increased cardiovascular risk**

The benefits of blood pressure control on the risk of stroke, coronary heart disease and HF have been established [50]. However, it has not been firmly established whether RAAS inhibitors have a greater impact on events than alternative agents that produce similar reductions in blood pressure.

#### **6.1. Hypertension and stroke: ACE-Is and ARBs**

The ALLHAT study showed no differences in coronary heart disease or mortality when chlorthalidone, amlodipine, and lisinopril were compared [51]. However, the decrease in blood pressure was not the same with the three agents, and many of the patients did not have clinical disease. Similar findings were observed in the VALUE trial comparing valsartan and amlodipine in 15,245 patients with hypertension [52]. In contrast, the ANBP-2 study found that in older patients with hypertension, ACE-Is were superior to diuretics at reducing cardiovascular events or deaths [53]. The SCOPE and PROGRESS trials in those with hypertension and a history of stroke or transient ischemic attack, respectively, found that ARB/ACE-I use was associated with a reduction in stroke compared to placebo [54,55]. The LIFE study of losartan versus beta-blockers in hypertension patients found a risk reduction in death, MI, or stroke in the losartan group [56]. The most recent recommendations from the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) indicate that for patients

<55 years, an ACE-inhibitor or a low cost ARB should be prescribed as first line based on randomized controlled trial and cost-effectiveness evidence [57].

#### **6.2. Arterial disease and others at increased cardiovascular risk: ACE-Is and ARBs**

In the PEACE trial, there was no significant difference in the risk of cardiovascular death, MI, or coronary revascularization among low-risk patients with stable CAD randomized to ACE-Is [58]. In contrast, the HOPE study in a large population of patients with vascular disease or diabetes in addition to 1 other cardiovascular risk factor found that ACE-I treatment was associated with a reduction in cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI or stroke [59]. Similar but smaller benefits were reported in the EUROPA study [60]. However, the recently published TRANSCEND trial failed to show a benefit of telmisartan in patients intolerant to ACE-Is who had similar entry criteria to the HOPE trial [61]. The interpretation of this negative finding, inconsistent with those of previous RAAS trials in at risk patients, is unclear. This negative trial should not divert from the robust evidence collected so far.

#### **6.3. Diabetic nephropathy: ACE-Is and ARBs**

In patients with diabetic nephropathy, data from randomized trials have demonstrated the benefits of ACE-Is/ARBs on renal disease progression [62,63] and cardiovascular events [64–66]. However, not all studies have supported this reduction in cardiovascular events [66,67]. For instance, olmesartan use in the ROADMAP trial prevented new onset microalbuminuria, but was associated with an unexpected higher cardiovascular mortality compared to placebo [68]. However, ROADMAP was underpowered for a mortality evaluation. These findings question the value of proteinuria as a surrogate for nephroprotection and demonstrate the need for additional outcome trials. The ongoing VA NEPHRON-D trial will help determine whether RAAS combinations may slow nephropathy progression in advanced diabetic nephropathy [69].

#### **6.4. Hypertension and renal disease: aldosterone antagonists**

Aldosterone levels correlate with incident and resistant hypertension [70]. Several studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of MRAs in lowering blood pressure as monotherapy as well as add-on therapy [71–73]. In essential hypertension, eplerenone as monotherapy has been shown to reduce blood pressure and left ventricular hypertrophy at least as well as an ACE-I/ARB, with the combination superior to either agent alone [74–76]. Aldosterone has also been implicated in the development and progression of renal disease in hypertensive and diabetic patients [77,78]. MRAs have been shown to reduce albuminuria in these patient populations [79,80]. The addition of spironolactone is an evidence-based strategy for the management of resistant hypertension related to obesity, sleep apnea, and secondary hyperaldosteronism [57,81]. However, randomized studies are needed to further investigate MRAs in patients with hypertension and renal disease to establish whether these medications impact outcomes and not just surrogate endpoints.

#### **6.5. Hypertension and renal disease: direct renin inhibitors**

Aliskiren has been shown to reduce BP and proteinuria in diabetics when added to losartan [82–84]. Several clinical trials based on these pilot studies were designed to investigate the

impact of aliskiren on cardiovascular outcomes. The ALTITUDE study (estimated n=8600) investigating whether aliskiren added to an ACE-I/ARB reduces cardiovascular and renal morbidity and mortality in high-risk diabetics [85] was stopped early by the Data Monitoring Board given increased stroke, renal complications, hyperkalemia, and hypotension with aliskiren. After the European Medicines Agency reviewed the data, they recommended that in those with an indication for aliskiren (i.e. hypertension), it should not be used in combination with an ARB or ACE-I if the patient also has diabetes or an estimated glomerular filtration rate of <60 mL/min [86]. The Food and Drug Administration indicated that aliskiren is contraindicated in combination with ACE-Is/ARBs for patients with diabetes [88]. The APOLLO trial (estimated  $n=11,000$ ) investigating the role of aliskiren in preventing cardiovascular death, MI, stroke and HF in patients with hypertension was also stopped early [\(ClinicalTrials.gov:](http://ClinicalTrials.gov) NCT01259297).

## **7. Atrial fibrillation**

There is evidence that RAAS inhibition may have an antiarrhythmic effect on AF. For primary prevention of AF, post-hoc analyses of trials in patients with LVSD have shown an association between ACE-I use and a reduced risk for incident AF [89,90]. Several small, prospective studies of ACE-I/ARB use for secondary AF prevention demonstrated a significant reduction in the risk of further AF and hospitalizations [91,92]. In 2005, a metaanalysis of 11 randomized trials evaluating ACE-Is/ARBs for the prevention of AF (primary and secondary) found that these agents reduced the risk of AF with greatest benefit in patients with HF or left ventricular hypertrophy [93]. A more recent meta-analysis found similar results [94]. While the mechanisms for such benefit are unclear, hypotheses include an effect on atrial electrical remodeling [95]. However, the prospective GISSI-AF trial of 1442 patients in normal rhythm with a history of AF randomized to valsartan or placebo did not demonstrate a reduction in AF recurrence with ARBs [96]. In terms of AF treatment, the ACTIVE-I trial (n=9016) of irbesartan in patients with a history of AF was neutral with respect to stroke/MI/death from vascular causes and this composite+HF hospitalization [97]. Regarding MRA use and AF, eplerenone was associated with a significant reduction in the incidence of new onset AF in the EMPHASIS-HF trial [98]. Thus, these data support the role for RAAS inhibition in primary prevention and potentially secondary prevention of AF, but given continued controversy [99–101], widespread application will depend on definitive, adequately powered randomized trials.

## **8. Adverse RAAS inhibitor effects**

Dry cough, related to increased bradykinin levels, occurs in 5% to 15% of patients taking ACE-Is, but not those taking ARBs [5]. Angioedema, a potentially life-threatening complication occurs in a small percentage of patients receiving ACE-Is (estimated at 0.1% to 0.5%). Given the potential for cross reactivity of angioedema with ARBs [102], patients with history of ACE-I related angioedema should be prescribed ARBs only for clear indications and under close monitoring [103].

Serious hyperkalemia, defined as a serum potassium 6.0 mmol/L, can occur with all RAAS inhibitors especially in patients with renal insufficiency, diabetes or those taking potassium-

sparing diuretics or potassium supplements. With patient education and close monitoring, hyperkalemia from RAAS inhibitors can be predicted and averted in many cases [104]. Novel approaches such as the use of potassium binding resins are currently under investigation. The PEARL-HF trial (n=105) evaluated the efficacy and safety of a potassium binder (RLY 5016) in patients with chronic HF and prior hyperkalemia or chronic kidney disease receiving spironolactone [105]. Potassium binder use was associated with significantly lower potassium levels, a lower incidence of hyperkalemia and a higher proportion of patients on higher spironolactone doses compared with placebo. The long term efficacy and safety of this binder remains to be determined.

Progressive renal dysfunction can occur with ACE-Is/ARBs in the setting of hypovolemia or renovascular hypertension. Although this complication is more common in HF patients, and probably inherent to the pharmacological effect on renal hemodynamics, worsening renal function is usually mild and reversible. It is unfortunately one of the main reasons for the use of suboptimal doses. ACE-Is/ARBs and aliskiren are contraindicated during pregnancy. Regarding spironolactone use, other adverse effects, particularly in men, include gynecomastia and breast pain. Newer, more selective aldosterone receptor antagonists such as eplerenone as well as others in development have improved sexual side effect profiles.

## **9. Monitoring and dose adjustment**

In clinical practice, renal function and potassium levels should be monitored similar to the manner used in clinical trials. In general, a metabolic panel should be obtained within 72 h of initiation, after 4 weeks of therapy and every 3–4 months routinely. After dose adjustments or pertinent changes in clinical status, laboratories should be obtained. Medication doses should be decreased for a serum potassium >5.5 mmol/L and held for a serum potassium >6.0 mmol/L. Since the initiation of ACE-inhibitors and ARBs can be associated with an increase in serum creatinine, dose adjustment for renal function should be individualized with intensification of monitoring in the setting of dynamic renal function changes. Recommendations are to avoid aldosterone antagonists with a potassium 5.0 mEq/L or a creatinine  $>2.5$  mg/dL in men or  $>2.0$  mg/dL in women and to use them cautiously in patients with more modest renal insufficiency [35,36].

## **10. Optimization of RAAS inhibition**

RAAS inhibitors proven to improve outcomes are frequently underutilized or prescribed at inadequate doses [106]. For example, in chronic HF, physicians are often reluctant to aggressively titrate therapies in apparently stable patients. Data support that higher doses of RAAS inhibition result in improved outcomes compared to lower doses [26,107]. Evidencebased RAAS inhibitors should be titrated to doses attained in clinical trials or to the maximally tolerated dose [35,36].

Those patients most likely to benefit from combined RAAS blockade, and specifically which combination, are currently unknown. In trials of HF patients, an ARB+ACE-I did not further reduce mortality, but combination therapy reduces HF hospitalizations and composite endpoints including cardiovascular death [30,31,40]. However, the combination is associated with more symptomatic hypotension and renal dysfunction [108]. Similarly, the

combination of aliskiren with an ACE-I/ARB in high-risk patients increased adverse events such that regulatory agencies have recommended against such combinations [86]. In the EMPHASIS-HF trial of MRAs in patients with HF and LVSD, 94% of patients receiving eplerenone were taking an ACE-I/ARB and there was a reduction in cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization [45]. Similarly, in essential hypertension, combination therapy with an MRA and an ACE-I/ARB appears superior to either agent alone [75]. Therefore, future studies will need to explore the optimal balance of RAAS blockade in different patient groups.

## **11. New RAAS inhibitors**

While DRIs are not currently approved in HF, pilot studies have demonstrated the benefits of aliskiren on surrogate endpoints (e.g. natriuretic peptides) [109] and trials with aliskiren in both acute [110] and chronic [111] HF are ongoing. Despite concerns about aliskiren therapy in combination with ACE-Is/ARBS, particularly in diabetics and those with renal dysfunction, the importance of completion of these ongoing aliskiren trials in HF with heightened safety monitoring has been reviewed [112]. The ASPIRE trial in 820 patients with LVSD after MI found that a DRI added to standard therapy did not result in further attenuation of LV end-systolic volume, and was associated with more adverse effects [113].

Novel ARBs such as azilsartan medoxomil have been compared with traditional ARBs and appear to exhibit superior blood pressure reduction, yet data on cardiovascular morbidity or mortality are lacking [114]. High-dose azilsartan was superior to high-dose valsartan or olmesartan in lowering blood pressure in short-term studies [115–117]. Azilsartan reduces blood pressure by 12–15 mm Hg/7–8 mm Hg, with additional reduction expected in combination with a diuretic such as chlorthalidone [114].

Given the undesirable effects of spironolactone (e.g. gynecomastia, mastodynia, menstrual disturbance) due to its poor selectivity for the mineralocorticoid receptor and the renal tubular effects (i.e. hyperkalemia) with both spironolactone and eplerenone, third- and fourth-generation MRAs are currently in development [118]. These non-steroidal agents will aim for the potency of spironolactone and selectivity of eplerenone along with tubulesparing properties. The aims of the ongoing ARTS trial [\(ClinicalTrials.gov:](http://ClinicalTrials.gov) NCT01345656) are to evaluate a novel, non-steroidal, MRA with greater selectivity than spironolactone and stronger mineralocorticoid receptor binding affinity than eplerenone in patients with HF. Given eplerenone's effect on lowering the burden of atherosclerosis [119], MRAs may also have pleiotropic effects on cardiovascular and inflammatory diseases.

Another mechanism to antagonize aldosterone is to inhibit its formation by aldosterone synthase. The novel aldosterone synthase inhibitor, LCI-699, was shown to suppress serum aldosterone levels in a small proof-of-concept study in primary aldosteronism [120]. In a randomized trial in primary hypertension, this agent was shown to significantly lower blood pressure, but 20% of subjects developed blunted release of cortisol [121]. Therefore, the development of LCI-699 was stopped in favor of developing more specific inhibitors.

In addition to ACE, there are other metallopeptidases such as neutral endopeptidase/ neprilysin (NEP) that convert vasoactive substances and could be a therapeutic target.

Research on the dual NEP/ACE-I omapatrilat provides the basis for these novel agents. Trials supported the benefits of omapatrilat in hypertension and HF [87,122]. However, given that these peptidases are the major pathways responsible for bradykinin degradation, dual blockade resulted in a higher incidence of angioedema. Therefore, substitution of an ARB for the ACE-I in this combination, a so-called  $AT_1R/NEP$  antagonist (ARNI) could prove more favorable. The first in class ARNI, LCZ696, reduced blood pressure without an increase in angioedema in one study of 1328 hypertensive patients [123]. Since ARNIs also increase natriuretic peptide levels, these agents may prove beneficial in HF patients [124]. The PARAMOUNT phase 2 trial demonstrated that LCZ696 significantly reduced NTproBNP levels compared with valsartan at 12 weeks in HFpEF patients [125]. The PARADIGM-HF trial is evaluating the efficacy and safety of LCZ696 on morbidity and mortality in patients with HF and LVSD ([ClinicalTrials.gov](http://ClinicalTrials.gov): NCT01035255).

Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a recently recognized ACE homolog that appears protective in HF [126]. Recent studies have shown that the increased levels of the soluble form of the peptide-correlate with worsening HF symptoms, lower EF, higher natriuretic peptide levels and adverse clinical events [127,128]. These results suggest that a cardioprotective arm of the RAAS is active in HF and could be a target of future HF therapies.

## **12. Developmental challenges and the approvability of new RAAS inhibitors**

Multiple recent reviews have summarized issues related to the development and approvability of novel RAAS inhibitors [124,129]. In brief, the key issues include the complexities of trial design and background therapies, side effects and costs. The investigation of novel agents in an existing field like RAAS inhibition means that drugs are commonly evaluated as add-on therapy with current agents or as alternative therapy in those intolerant to current therapies. Given that these compounds target the same axis, there are questions about the magnitude of blood pressure or HF efficacy that remains for new agents. Logistically, it is important that the background therapies in these trials occur at the maximum tolerated doses or defined optimal doses. These considerations are particularly relevant since even in the setting of controlled trials, dosing is frequently not optimized due to clinical inertia and intolerance (real or perceived). Furthermore, as has been seen in multiple trials of add-on RAAS therapy, the side effect profile may become less favorable with the combination of multiple agents [108]. The potential side effects of these agents are also ill-defined. How is hypotension defined and does it need to be symptomatic? What is the clinical relevance of a small decrease in estimated glomerular filtration rate with combination RAAS inhibition? As the therapeutic window narrows with combination RAAS inhibition, it will likely become increasingly difficult to demonstrate efficacy in a broad population. Trials may need to increasingly focus on patient subgroups with specific cardiovascular phenotypes most likely to benefit from novel therapies without adverse events.

The RAAS is central to the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease. Contemporary RAAS inhibition includes monotherapy and various combination regimens with ACE-Is, ARBs and MRAs. We highlighted key trials of RAAS inhibition in various at-risk populations including those with HF, MI and hypertension. Optimization of RAAS inhibition can be obtained through medication uptitration and combination with close monitoring for side effects. Pilot studies of novel RAAS inhibitors as well as ongoing morbidity and mortality trials with DRIs, novel MRAs and ARBs, aldosterone synthase inhibitors and ARNIs demonstrate the ever-changing landscape of this field. The development of these agents is challenged by complex trial designs and background therapies, side effect profiles and high costs. The history of RAAS inhibition demonstrates how the field has evolved from the early days where ACE-Is resulted in striking mortality benefits to one where complex polypharmacy and individualized regimens are increasingly the norm.

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors of this manuscript have certified that they comply with the Principles of Ethical Publishing in the International Journal of Cardiology.

**Funding support** None.

## **References**

- [1]. Ferrario CM, Strawn WB. Role of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system and proinflammatory mediators in cardiovascular disease. Am J Cardiol. 2006; 98:121–8. [PubMed: 16784934]
- [2]. The CONSENSUS Trial Study Group. Effects of enalapril on mortality in severe congestive heart failure. Results of the Cooperative North Scandinavian Enalapril Survival Study (CONSENSUS). N Eng J Med. 1987; 316:1429–35.
- [3]. Pitt B, Zannad F, Remme WJ, et al. The effect of spironolactone on morbidity and mortality in patients with severe heart failure. Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study Investigators. N Eng J Med. 1999; 341:709–17.
- [4]. Gradman AH. Evolving understanding of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system: pathophysiology and targets for therapeutic intervention. Am Heart J. 2009; 157:S1–6. [PubMed: 19450719]
- [5]. Givertz MM. Manipulation of the renin–angiotensin system. Circulation. 2001; 104:E14–8. [PubMed: 11479264]
- [6]. Gibbons GH, Dzau VJ. The emerging concept of vascular remodeling. N Eng J Med. 1994; 330:1431–8.
- [7]. Dzau VJ. Tissue renin–angiotensin system in myocardial hypertrophy and failure. Arch Intern Med. 1993; 153:937–42. [PubMed: 8386920]
- [8]. Zitnay C, Siragy HM. Action of angiotensin receptor subtypes on the renal tubules and vasculature: implications for volume homeostasis and atherosclerosis. Miner Electrolyte Metab. 1998; 24:362–70. [PubMed: 9930375]
- [9]. Leopold JA. Aldosterone, mineralocorticoid receptor activation, and cardiovascular remodeling. Circulation. 2011; 124:e466–8. [PubMed: 22042931]
- [10]. Weir MR, Dzau VJ. The renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system: a specific target for hypertension management. Am J Hypertens. 1999; 12:205S–13S. [PubMed: 10619573]
- [11]. Hayden MR, Sowers JR. Treating hypertension while protecting the vulnerable islet in the cardiometabolic syndrome. J Am Soc Hypertens. 2008; 2:239–66. [PubMed: 20409906]

- [12]. Takeda Y. Pleiotropic actions of aldosterone and the effects of eplerenone, a selective mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist. Hypertension Research: Official Journal of the Japanese Society of Hypertension. 2004; 27:781–9. [PubMed: 15824460]
- [13]. Brown NJ. Eplerenone: cardiovascular protection. Circulation. 2003; 107:2512–8. [PubMed: 12756192]
- [14]. Konoshita T. Do genetic variants of the renin–angiotensin system predict blood pressure response to renin–angiotensin system-blocking drugs?: a systematic review of pharmacogenomics in the renin–angiotensin system. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2011; 13:356–61. [PubMed: 21562941]
- [15]. Patel SK, Wai B, Ord M, et al. Association of ACE2 genetic variants with blood pressure, left ventricular mass, and cardiac function in Caucasians with type 2 diabetes. Am J Hypertens. 2012; 25:216–22. [PubMed: 21993363]
- [16]. Brown NJ, Vaughan DE. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. Circulation. 1998; 97:1411– 20. [PubMed: 9577953]
- [17]. Burnier M, Brunner HR. Angiotensin II receptor antagonists. Lancet. 2000; 355:637–45. [PubMed: 10696996]
- [18]. Israili ZH. Clinical pharmacokinetics of angiotensin II (AT1) receptor blockers in hypertension. J Hum Hypertens. 2000; 14(Suppl. 1):S73–86. [PubMed: 10854085]
- [19]. Munger MA. Use of angiotensin receptor blockers in cardiovascular protection: current evidence and future directions. P T. 2011; 36:22–40. [PubMed: 21386934]
- [20]. de Gasparo M, Joss U, Ramjoue HP, et al. Three new epoxy-spirolactone derivatives: characterization in vivo and in vitro. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1987; 240:650–6. [PubMed: 2949071]
- [21]. Gradman AH, Kad R. Renin inhibition in hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 51:519–28. [PubMed: 18237679]
- [22]. Effect of enalapril on survival in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fractions and congestive heart failure. The SOLVD Investigators. N Eng J Med. 1991; 325:293–302.
- [23]. Garg R, Yusuf S. Overview of randomized trials of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors on mortality and morbidity in patients with heart failure. Collaborative Group on ACE Inhibitor Trials. JAMA. 1995; 273:1450–6. [PubMed: 7654275]
- [24]. Cleland JG, Tendera M, Adamus J, Freemantle N, Polonski L, Taylor J. The perindopril in elderly people with chronic heart failure (PEP-CHF) study. Eur Heart J. 2006; 27:2338–45. [PubMed: 16963472]
- [25]. Granger CB, McMurray JJ, Yusuf S, et al. Effects of candesartan in patients with chronic heart failure and reduced left-ventricular systolic function intolerant to angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors: the CHARM-Alternative trial. Lancet. 2003; 362:772–6. [PubMed: 13678870]
- [26]. Konstam MA, Neaton JD, Dickstein K, et al. Effects of high-dose versus low-dose losartan on clinical outcomes in patients with heart failure (HEAAL study): a randomised, double-blind trial. Lancet. 2009; 374:1840–8. [PubMed: 19922995]
- [27]. Pitt B, Poole-Wilson PA, Segal R, et al. Effect of losartan compared with captopril on mortality in patients with symptomatic heart failure: randomised trial—the Losartan Heart Failure Survival Study ELITE II. Lancet. 2000; 355:1582–7. [PubMed: 10821361]
- [28]. Yusuf S, Pfeffer MA, Swedberg K, et al. Effects of candesartan in patients with chronic heart failure and preserved left-ventricular ejection fraction: the CHARM-Preserved Trial. Lancet. 2003; 362:777–81. [PubMed: 13678871]
- [29]. Massie BM, Carson PE, McMurray JJ, et al. Irbesartan in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. N Eng J Med. 2008; 359:2456–67.
- [30]. Cohn JN, Tognoni G. A randomized trial of the angiotensin-receptor blocker valsartan in chronic heart failure. N Eng J Med. 2001; 345:1667–75.
- [31]. McMurray JJ, Ostergren J, Swedberg K, et al. Effects of candesartan in patients with chronic heart failure and reduced left-ventricular systolic function taking angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors: the CHARM-Added trial. Lancet. 2003; 362:767–71. [PubMed: 13678869]
- [32]. Struthers AD. Aldosterone escape during angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor therapy in chronic heart failure. J Card Fail. 1996; 2:47–54. [PubMed: 8798105]

- [33]. Zannad F, McMurray JJ, Krum H, et al. Eplerenone in patients with systolic heart failure and mild symptoms. N Engl J Med. 2011; 364:11–21. [PubMed: 21073363]
- [34]. Desai AS, Lewis EF, Li R, et al. Rationale and design of the treatment of preserved cardiac function heart failure with an aldosterone antagonist trial: a randomized, controlled study of spironolactone in patients with symptomatic heart failure and preserved ejection fraction. Am Heart J. 2011; 162:966–72. e10. [PubMed: 22137068]
- [35]. Hunt SA, Abraham WT, Chin MH, et al. 2009 focused update incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2005 guidelines for the diagnosis and management of heart failure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: developed in collaboration with the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. Circulation. 2009; 119:e391–479. [PubMed: 19324966]
- [36]. McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, et al. ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012: the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2012 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur Heart J Fail. 2012; 14:803–69.
- [37]. Pfeffer MA, Braunwald E, Moye LA, Basta L, Brown EJ Jr, Cuddy TE, et al. Effect of captopril on mortality and morbidity in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. Results of the survival and ventricular enlargement trial. The SAVE Investigators. N Eng J Med. 1992; 327:669–77.
- [38]. The Acute Infarction Ramipril Efficacy (AIRE) Study Investigators. Effect of ramipril on mortality and morbidity of survivors of acute myocardial infarction with clinical evidence of heart failure. Lancet. 1993; 342:821–8. [PubMed: 8104270]
- [39]. Kober L, Torp-Pedersen C, Carlsen JE, et al. A clinical trial of the angiotensin-convertingenzyme inhibitor trandolapril in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. Trandolapril Cardiac Evaluation (TRACE) Study Group. N Eng J Med. 1995; 333:1670–6.
- [40]. Pfeffer MA, McMurray JJ, Velazquez EJ, et al. Valsartan, captopril, or both in myocardial infarction complicated by heart failure, left ventricular dysfunction, or both. N Eng J Med. 2003; 349:1893–906.
- [41]. Dickstein K, Kjekshus J. Effects of losartan and captopril on mortality and morbidity in high-risk patients after acute myocardial infarction: the OPTIMAAL randomised trial. Optimal Trial in Myocardial Infarction with Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan. Lancet. 2002; 360:752–60. [PubMed: 12241832]
- [42]. Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'infarto Miocardico. GISSI-3: effects of lisinopril and transdermal glyceryl trinitrate singly and together on 6-week mortality and ventricular function after acute myocardial infarction. Lancet. 1994; 343:1115–22. [PubMed: 7910229]
- [43]. ISIS-4 (Fourth International Study of Infarct Survival) Collaborative Group. ISIS-4: a randomised factorial trial assessing early oral captopril, oral mononitrate, and intravenous magnesium sulphate in 58,050 patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction. Lancet. 1995; 345:669–85. [PubMed: 7661937]
- [44]. Ambrosioni E, Borghi C, Magnani B. The effect of the angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor zofenopril on mortality and morbidity after anterior myocardial infarction. The Survival of Myocardial Infarction Long-Term Evaluation (SMILE) Study Investigators. N Eng J Med. 1995; 332:80–5.
- [45]. Pitt B, Remme W, Zannad F, et al. Eplerenone, a selective aldosterone blocker, in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. N Eng J Med. 2003; 348:1309–21.
- [46]. Beygui F, Vicaut E, Ecollan P, et al. Rationale for an early aldosterone blockade in acute myocardial infarction and design of the ALBATROSS trial. Am Heart J. 2010; 160:642–8. [PubMed: 20934557]
- [47]. Antman EM, Hand M, Armstrong PW, et al. 2007 focused update of the ACC/AHA 2004 guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 51:210–47. [PubMed: 18191746]

- [48]. Wright RS, Anderson JL, Adams CD, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA focused update incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2007 guidelines for the management of patients with unstable angina/non-STelevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines developed in collaboration with the American Academy of Family Physicians, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011; 57:e215–367. [PubMed: 21545940]
- [49]. Van de Werf F, Bax J, Betriu A, et al. Management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with persistent ST-segment elevation: the Task Force on the Management of ST-Segment Elevation Acute Myocardial Infarction of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2008; 29:2909–45. [PubMed: 19004841]
- [50]. Law MR, Morris JK, Wald NJ. Use of blood pressure lowering drugs in the prevention of cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of 147 randomised trials in the context of expectations from prospective epidemiological studies. BMJ. 2009; 338:b1665. [PubMed: 19454737]
- [51]. Major outcomes in high-risk hypertensive patients randomized to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or calcium channel blocker vs diuretic: The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). JAMA. 2002; 288:2981–97. [PubMed: 12479763]
- [52]. Julius S, Kjeldsen SE, Weber M, et al. Outcomes in hypertensive patients at high cardiovascular risk treated with regimens based on valsartan or amlodipine: the VALUE randomised trial. Lancet. 2004; 363:2022–31. [PubMed: 15207952]
- [53]. Wing LM, Reid CM, Ryan P, et al. A comparison of outcomes with angiotensin-convertingenzyme inhibitors and diuretics for hypertension in the elderly. N Eng J Med. 2003; 348:583–92.
- [54]. Lithell H, Hansson L, Skoog I, et al. The Study on Cognition and Prognosis in the Elderly (SCOPE): principal results of a randomized double-blind intervention trial. J Hypertens. 2003; 21:875–86. [PubMed: 12714861]
- [55]. Randomised trial of a perindopril-based blood-pressure-lowering regimen among 6,105 individuals with previous stroke or transient ischaemic attack. Lancet. 2001; 358:1033–41. [PubMed: 11589932]
- [56]. Dahlof B, Devereux RB, Kjeldsen SE, et al. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension study (LIFE): a randomised trial against atenolol. Lancet. 2002; 359:995–1003. [PubMed: 11937178]
- [57]. Krause T, Lovibond K, Caulfield M, McCormack T, Williams B. Management of hypertension: summary of NICE guidance. BMJ. 2011; 343:d4891. [PubMed: 21868454]
- [58]. Braunwald E, Domanski MJ, Fowler SE, et al. Angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition in stable coronary artery disease. N Eng J Med. 2004; 351:2058–68.
- [59]. Yusuf S, Sleight P, Pogue J, Bosch J, Davies R, Dagenais G. Effects of an angiotensinconverting-enzyme inhibitor, ramipril, on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients. The Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. N Eng J Med. 2000; 342:145–53.
- [60]. Fox KM. Efficacy of perindopril in reduction of cardiovascular events among patients with stable coronary artery disease: randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial (the EUROPA study). Lancet. 2003; 362:782–8. [PubMed: 13678872]
- [61]. Yusuf S, Teo K, Anderson C, et al. Effects of the angiotensin-receptor blocker telmisartan on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients intolerant to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2008; 372:1174–83. [PubMed: 18757085]
- [62]. Brenner BM, Cooper ME, de Zeeuw D, et al. Effects of losartan on renal and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. N Eng J Med. 2001; 345:861–9.
- [63]. Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, et al. Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Eng J Med. 2001; 345:851–60.
- [64]. Estacio RO, Jeffers BW, Hiatt WR, Biggerstaff SL, Gifford N, Schrier RW. The effect of nisoldipine as compared with enalapril on cardiovascular outcomes in patients with non-insulindependent diabetes and hypertension. N Eng J Med. 1998; 338:645–52.

- [65]. Tatti P, Pahor M, Byington RP, et al. Outcome results of the Fosinopril Versus Amlodipine Cardiovascular Events Randomized Trial (FACET) in patients with hypertension and NIDDM. Diabetes Care. 1998; 21:597–603. [PubMed: 9571349]
- [66]. Pahor M, Psaty BM, Alderman MH, Applegate WB, Williamson JD, Furberg CD. Therapeutic benefits of ACE inhibitors and other antihypertensive drugs in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2000; 23:888–92. [PubMed: 10895836]
- [67]. UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Efficacy of atenolol and captopril in reducing risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 39. BMJ. 1998; 317:713–20. [PubMed: 9732338]
- [68]. Haller H, Ito S, Izzo JL Jr, et al. Olmesartan for the delay or prevention of microalbuminuria in type 2 diabetes. N Eng J Med. 2011; 364:907–17.
- [69]. Fried LF, Duckworth W, Zhang JH, et al. Design of combination angiotensin receptor blocker and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor for treatment of diabetic nephropathy (VA NEPHRON-D). Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009; 4:361–8. [PubMed: 19118120]
- [70]. Vasan RS, Evans JC, Larson MG, et al. Serum aldosterone and the incidence of hypertension in nonhypertensive persons. N Eng J Med. 2004; 351:33–41.
- [71]. Chapman N, Dobson J, Wilson S, et al. Effect of spironolactone on blood pressure in subjects with resistant hypertension. Hypertension. 2007; 49:839–45. [PubMed: 17309946]
- [72]. de Souza F, Muxfeldt E, Fiszman R, Salles G. Efficacy of spironolactone therapy in patients with true resistant hypertension. Hypertension. 2010; 55:147–52. [PubMed: 19858405]
- [73]. Vaclavik J, Sedlak R, Plachy M, et al. Addition of spironolactone in patients with resistant arterial hypertension (ASPIRANT): a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Hypertension. 2011; 57:1069–75. [PubMed: 21536989]
- [74]. Funder JW, Carey RM, Fardella C, et al. Case detection, diagnosis, and treatment of patients with primary aldosteronism: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2008; 93:3266–81. [PubMed: 18552288]
- [75]. Pitt B, Reichek N, Willenbrock R, et al. Effects of eplerenone, enalapril, and eplerenone/enalapril in patients with essential hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy: the 4E-left ventricular hypertrophy study. Circulation. 2003; 108:1831–8. [PubMed: 14517164]
- [76]. Flack JM, Oparil S, Pratt JH, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of eplerenone and losartan in hypertensive black and white patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003; 41:1148–55. [PubMed: 12679215]
- [77]. Bertocchio JP, Warnock DG, Jaisser F. Mineralocorticoid receptor activation and blockade: an emerging paradigm in chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int. 2011; 79:1051–60. [PubMed: 21412221]
- [78]. Hollenberg NK. Aldosterone in the development and progression of renal injury. Kidney Int. 2004; 66:1–9. [PubMed: 15200407]
- [79]. Epstein M, Williams GH, Weinberger M, et al. Selective aldosterone blockade with eplerenone reduces albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006; 1:940–51. [PubMed: 17699311]
- [80]. Navaneethan SD, Nigwekar SU, Sehgal AR, Strippoli GF. Aldosterone antagonists for preventing the progression of chronic kidney disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009; 3:1– 39.
- [81]. Viera AJ. Resistant hypertension. J Am Board Fam Med. 2012; 25:487–95. [PubMed: 22773717]
- [82]. Brown MJ, McInnes GT, Papst CC, Zhang J, MacDonald TM. Aliskiren and the calcium channel blocker amlodipine combination as an initial treatment strategy for hypertension control (ACCELERATE): a randomised, parallel-group trial. Lancet. 2011; 377:312–20. [PubMed: 21236483]
- [83]. Parving HH, Persson F, Lewis JB, Lewis EJ, Hollenberg NK. Aliskiren combined with losartan in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. N Eng J Med. 2008; 358:2433–46.
- [84]. Solomon SD, Appelbaum E, Manning WJ, et al. Effect of the direct renin inhibitor aliskiren, the angiotensin receptor blocker losartan, or both on left ventricular mass in patients with hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy. Circulation. 2009; 119:530–7. [PubMed: 19153265]

- [85]. Parving HH, Brenner BM, McMurray JJ, et al. Aliskiren Trial in Type 2 Diabetes Using Cardio-Renal Endpoints (ALTITUDE): rationale and study design. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2009; 24:1663–71. [PubMed: 19145003]
- [86]. Medicines Agency European. [March 3, 2012] European Medicines Agency recommends new contraindications and warnings for aliskiren-containing medicines. Feb 16. 2012 [Accessed online at] [http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/](http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/public_health_alerts/2012/02/human_pha_detail_000055.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d126#) [public\\_health\\_alerts/2012/02/human\\_pha\\_detail\\_000055.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d126#](http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/public_health_alerts/2012/02/human_pha_detail_000055.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d126#)
- [87]. Kostis JB, Packer M, Black HR, Schmieder R, Henry D, Levy E. Omapatrilat and enalapril in patients with hypertension: the Omapatrilat Cardiovascular Treatment vs. Enalapril (OCTAVE) trial. Am J Hypertens. 2004; 17:103–11. [PubMed: 14751650]
- [88]. FDA US Food and Drug Administration. Aliskiren-containing medications: drug safety comunication — new warning and contraindication. Apr 20. 2012 [http://www.fda.gov/Safety/](http://www.fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ucm301120.htm) [MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ucm301120.htm](http://www.fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ucm301120.htm); 2012
- [89]. Pedersen OD, Bagger H, Kober L, Torp-Pedersen C. Trandolapril reduces the incidence of atrial fibrillation after acute myocardial infarction in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. Circulation. 1999; 100:376–80. [PubMed: 10421597]
- [90]. Vermes E, Tardif JC, Bourassa MG, et al. Enalapril decreases the incidence of atrial fibrillation in patients with left ventricular dysfunction: insight from the Studies Of Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD) trials. Circulation. 2003; 107:2926–31. [PubMed: 12771010]
- [91]. Zaman AG, Kearney MT, Schecter C, Worthley SG, Nolan J. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors as adjunctive therapy in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation. Am Heart J. 2004; 147:823–7. [PubMed: 15131537]
- [92]. Madrid AH, Bueno MG, Rebollo JM, et al. Use of irbesartan to maintain sinus rhythm in patients with long-lasting persistent atrial fibrillation: a prospective and randomized study. Circulation. 2002; 106:331–6. [PubMed: 12119249]
- [93]. Healey JS, Baranchuk A, Crystal E, et al. Prevention of atrial fibrillation with angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers: a meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005; 45:1832–9. [PubMed: 15936615]
- [94]. Schneider MP, Hua TA, Bohm M, Wachtell K, Kjeldsen SE, Schmieder RE. Prevention of atrial fibrillation by renin–angiotensin system inhibition a meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010; 55:2299–307. [PubMed: 20488299]
- [95]. Nakashima H, Kumagai K, Urata H, Gondo N, Ideishi M, Arakawa K. Angiotensin II antagonist prevents electrical remodeling in atrial fibrillation. Circulation. 2000; 101:2612–7. [PubMed: 10840013]
- [96]. Disertori M, Latini R, Barlera S, et al. Valsartan for prevention of recurrent atrial fibrillation. N Eng J Med. 2009; 360:1606–17.
- [97]. Yusuf S, Healey JS, Pogue J, et al. Irbesartan in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Eng J Med. 2011; 364:928–38.
- [98]. Swedberg K, Zannad F, McMurray JJ, et al. Eplerenone and atrial fibrillation in mild systolic heart failure: results from the EMPHASIS-HF (Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization And SurvIval Study in Heart Failure) study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012; 59:1598–603. [PubMed: 22538330]
- [99]. Disertori M, Barlera S, Staszewsky L, Latini R, Quintarelli S, Franzosi MG. Systematic review and meta-analysis: renin-Angiotensin system inhibitors in the prevention of atrial fibrillation recurrences: An unfulfilled hope. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther. 2012; 26:47–54. [PubMed: 22009136]
- [100]. Ducharme A, Schiffrin EL. Reviewing the future of renin–angiotensin system blockade: the role of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers in the prevention of atrial fibrillation. Can J Cardiol. 2010; 26(Suppl. E):21E–3E.
- [101]. Savelieva I, Kakouros N, Kourliouros A, Camm AJ. Upstream therapies for management of atrial fibrillation: review of clinical evidence and implications for European Society of Cardiology guidelines. Part II: secondary prevention. Europace. 2011; 13:610–25. [PubMed: 21515595]

- [102]. Chiu AG, Krowiak EJ, Deeb ZE. Angioedema associated with angiotensin II receptor antagonists: challenging our knowledge of angioedema and its etiology. Laryngoscope. 2001; 111:1729–31. [PubMed: 11801934]
- [103]. Beavers CJ, Dunn SP, Macaulay TE. The role of angiotensin receptor blockers in patients with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor-induced angioedema. Ann Pharmacother. 2011; 45:520–4. [PubMed: 21427294]
- [104]. Khosla N, Kalaitzidis R, Bakris GL. Predictors of hyperkalemia risk following hypertension control with aldosterone blockade. Am J Nephrol. 2009; 30:418–24. [PubMed: 19738369]
- [105]. Pitt B, Anker SD, Bushinsky DA, Kitzman DW, Zannad F, Huang IZ. Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of RLY5016, a polymeric potassium binder, in a double-blind, placebo-controlled study in patients with chronic heart failure (the PEARL-HF) trial. Eur Heart J. 2011; 32:820–8. [PubMed: 21208974]
- [106]. Stafford RS, Radley DC. The underutilization of cardiac medications of proven benefit, 1990 to 2002. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003; 41:56–61. [PubMed: 12570945]
- [107]. Packer M, Poole-Wilson PA, Armstrong PW, et al. Comparative effects of low and high doses of the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, lisinopril, on morbidity and mortality in chronic heart failure. ATLAS Study Group. Circulation. 1999; 100:2312–8. [PubMed: 10587334]
- [108]. Yusuf S, Teo KK, Pogue J, et al. Telmisartan, ramipril, or both in patients at high risk for vascular events. N Eng J Med. 2008; 358:1547–59.
- [109]. McMurray JJ, Pitt B, Latini R, et al. Effects of the oral direct renin inhibitor aliskiren in patients with symptomatic heart failure. Circ Heart Fail. 2008; 1:17–24. [PubMed: 19808266]
- [110]. Gheorghiade M, Albaghdadi M, Zannad F, et al. Rationale and design of the multicentre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled Aliskiren Trial on Acute Heart Failure Outcomes (ASTRONAUT). Eur J Heart Fail. 2011; 13:100–6. [PubMed: 21123186]
- [111]. Krum H, Massie B, Abraham WT, et al. Direct renin inhibition in addition to or as an alternative to angiotensin converting enzyme inhibition in patients with chronic systolic heart failure: rationale and design of the Aliskiren Trial to Minimize OutcomeS in Patients with HEart failuRE (ATMOSPHERE) study. Eur J Heart Fail. 2011; 13:107–14. [PubMed: 21169387]
- [112]. McMurray JJ, Abraham WT, Dickstein K, Kober L, Massie BM, Krum H. Aliskiren, ALTITUDE, and the implications for ATMOSPHERE. Eur J Heart Fail. 2012; 14:341–3. [PubMed: 22431404]
- [113]. Solomon SD, Shin SH, Shah A, et al. Effect of the direct renin inhibitor aliskiren on left ventricular remodelling following myocardial infarction with systolic dysfunction. Eur Heart J. 2011; 32:1227–34. [PubMed: 21317148]
- [114]. Lam S. Azilsartan: a newly approved angiotensin II receptor blocker. Cardiol Rev. 2011; 19:300–4. [PubMed: 21983318]
- [115]. White WB, Weber MA, Sica D, et al. Effects of the angiotensin receptor blocker azilsartan medoxomil versus olmesartan and valsartan on ambulatory and clinic blood pressure in patients with stages 1 and 2 hypertension. Hypertension. 2011; 57:413–20. [PubMed: 21282560]
- [116]. Sica D, White WB, Weber MA, et al. Comparison of the novel angiotensin II receptor blocker azilsartan medoxomil vs valsartan by ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2011; 13:467–72. [PubMed: 21762358]
- [117]. Bakris GL, Sica D, Weber M, et al. The comparative effects of azilsartan medoxomil and olmesartan on ambulatory and clinic blood pressure. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2011; 13:81– 8. [PubMed: 21272195]
- [118]. Funder JW. Aldosterone and mineralocorticoid receptors in the cardiovascular system. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2010; 52:393–400. [PubMed: 20226957]
- [119]. Takai S, Jin D, Muramatsu M, Kirimura K, Sakonjo H, Miyazaki M. Eplerenone inhibits atherosclerosis in nonhuman primates. Hypertension. 2005; 46:1135–9. [PubMed: 16203870]
- [120]. Amar L, Azizi M, Menard J, Peyrard S, Watson C, Plouin PF. Aldosterone synthase inhibition with LCI699: a proof-of-concept study in patients with primary aldosteronism. Hypertension. 2010; 56:831–8. [PubMed: 20837883]

- [121]. Calhoun DA, White WB, Krum H, et al. Effects of a novel aldosterone synthase inhibitor for treatment of primary hypertension: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo- and activecontrolled phase 2 trial. Circulation. 2011; 124:1945–55. [PubMed: 21986283]
- [122]. Packer M, Califf RM, Konstam MA, et al. Comparison of omapatrilat and enalapril in patients with chronic heart failure: the Omapatrilat Versus Enalapril Randomized Trial of Utility in Reducing Events (OVERTURE). Circulation. 2002; 106:920–6. [PubMed: 12186794]
- [123]. Ruilope LM, Dukat A, Bohm M, Lacourciere Y, Gong J, Lefkowitz MP. Blood-pressure reduction with LCZ696, a novel dual-acting inhibitor of the angiotensin II receptor and neprilysin: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, active comparator study. Lancet. 2010; 375:1255–66. [PubMed: 20236700]
- [124]. Unger T, Paulis L, Sica DA. Therapeutic perspectives in hypertension: novel means for renin– angiotensin–aldosterone system modulation and emerging device-based approaches. Eur Heart J. 2011; 32:2739–47. [PubMed: 21951628]
- [125]. Solomon SD, Zile M, Pieske B, et al. The angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor LCZ696 in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a phase 2 double-blind randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2012; 380:1387–95. [PubMed: 22932717]
- [126]. Der Sarkissian S, Huentelman MJ, Stewart J, Katovich MJ, Raizada MK. ACE2: a novel therapeutic target for cardiovascular diseases. Prog Biophys Mol Biol. 2006; 91:163–98. [PubMed: 16009403]
- [127]. Epelman S, Tang WH, Chen SY, Van Lente F, Francis GS, Sen S. Detection of soluble angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 in heart failure: insights into the endogenous counter-regulatory pathway of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 52:750–4. [PubMed: 18718423]
- [128]. Epelman S, Shrestha K, Troughton RW, et al. Soluble angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 in human heart failure: relation with myocardial function and clinical outcomes. J Card Fail. 2009; 15:565–71. [PubMed: 19700132]
- [129]. Paulis L, Unger T. Novel therapeutic targets for hypertension. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2010; 7:431–41. [PubMed: 20567239]



#### **Fig. 1.**

Biochemical mechanisms for the production of angiotensin peptides. Illustrated are the recognized enzymatic pathways leading to the formation and metabolism of products derived from angiotensinogen. ACE cleaves angiotensin I to generate angiotensin II (angiotensin-[1–8]), while neutral endopeptidases (NEP) cleave angiotensin I to produce angiotensin-(1–7). ACE hydrolyzes the heptapeptide into biologically inactive angiotensin- (1–5). ACE-2 catalyzes the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin-(1–9) and converts angiotensin II into angiotensin- $(1-7)$ . The proinflammatory actions of angiotensin II are mediated primarily through the  $AT_1$  receptor, whereas the anti-inflammatory actions of angiotensin-(1–7) are exerted through receptors that include a mas oncogene-encoded G protein-coupled receptor.  $AT-R =$  angiotensin type receptor and mas- $R =$  mas receptor. Reprinted from Am J Cardiol, Vol 98, Ferrario CM et al., Role of the renin–angiotensin– aldosterone system and proinflammatory mediators in cardiovascular disease, pages 121-8, Copyright (2006) [1] with permission from Elsevier.



#### **Fig. 2.**

Pharmacological agents (open boxes) used to manipulate the RAAS (shaded boxes). Dashed lines signify inhibitory pathways. EDHF indicates endothelium-derived hyper-polarizing factor and SNS, sympathetic nervous system.

Reproduced with permission from Givertz, Circulation 2001 [5].

#### **Table 1**

Pivotal trials of renin angiotensin aldosterone inhibitors.







*Arterial disease and others at increased cardiovascular risk*





Abbreviations: NYHA = New York Heart Association, HF = heart failure, EF = ejection fraction, HR = hazard ratio, ARR = absolute risk reduction, RR = relative risk, CI = confidence interval, CV = cardiovascular, MI = myocardial infarction, AF = atrial fibrillation, LVSD = left ventricular systolic dysfunction, BID = twice daily, and TID = three times daily.