3	Gender awareness among medical students of the University
_	of Lausanne
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Etudiante
10	Ilire Rrustemi
11	University of Lausanne
12	Tutrice
13	
14 45	Dre Carole Clair, PD-MER clinique
15 16	Policlinique médicale universitaire
	Experte
17 18	Dre Aude Fauvel, MER
10	Institut universitaire d'histoire de la médecine et de la santé
20	publique
21	
21	
22	
23	Lausanne, février 2018
	· ·
24	

25	Carole Clair	[.] , MD, MSc, PD-M	ER ^{a b c} ; Ilire Rrust	emi ^c , student
26	^a Department of Ambulatory Care and Community Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital			
27	Lausanne, Switzerland ^b Policlinique médicale universitaire ^c Faculté de Biologie et			^c Faculté de Biologie et
28		médecine, Unive	ersité de Lausanne	
29				
30	Authors' emails:			
31	Carole Clair: carole.c	lair@hospvd.ch		
32	Ilire Rrustemi: ilire.rr	ustemi@gmail.cor	n	
33				
34	Address for corresponder	ice and reprints		
35				
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Carole Clair, MD, MSc, PD-M Department of Ambulatory Car PMU Policlinique médicale Rue du Bugnon44 CH-1011 Lausanne Switzerla Phone <u>+41 79 556 81 46</u> Fax <u>+ 41 21 314 61 06</u> carole.clair@hospvd.ch www.pmu-lausanne.ch	e and Community M universitaire	1edicine	Ilire Rrustemi Student University of Lausanne
46				
47				
48	Word count abstract: 385	Main text: 7463		
49	Number of tables: 4	Figures: 1	References :	16
50	Journals to be considered	:		
51	- BMC Medical Educa	tion		
52	- Journal of women's l	nealth		
53	- BMJ Open			
00				
54				
6 6				

56 Abstract

57 <u>Background</u>: Gender is an important social determinant, that influences healthcare. The lack 58 of gender awareness leads to gender bias and can contribute to poor patient care. Our 59 objectives were to assess gender sensitivity and the presence of gender stereotypes among 60 medical students.

61 Methods: A valid scale (NGAMS – Nijmegen Gender Awareness in Medicine Scale), with 3 62 sub scores assessing gender sensitivity (GS) and gender stereotypes toward patients (GRIP) 63 and doctors (GRID) (ranging from 1 to 5), was translated in French and was distributed to all 64 the medical students registered at the University of Lausanne in April 2017. In parallel, gender 65 gap in medical knowledge was assessed using a clinical case of a non-gender specific 66 pathology (ankle sprain) and a multiple-choice question about the main cause of mortality in 67 Switzerland. Mean subscales were calculated for male and female students. A linear model 68 with students' sex and age was built. For the clinical vignette and multiple-choice question, 69 percentage of correct answers were compared between male and female using chi² tests.

70 Results: In total, 396 students answered to the N-GAMS questionnaire, their mean age was 71 22 years old, 62.6% of them were women. GS and GRID sub-scores were not significantly 72 different between female and male students (GS 3.62 for women, 3.70 for men, p=0.270, GRID 73 2.10 for women, 2.13 for men, p= 0.758). A significant difference was found with the GRIP 74 subscale, with a mean score of 1.83 for women and 2.07 for men (p < 0.001), which suggests 75 a more stereotyped opinion toward patients among male students. A trend was observed with 76 age, gender sensibility increased (p<0.001) and stereotypes decreased (GRIP p=0.04, GRID p=0.02) with students getting older. The clinical case vignette and multiple-choice 77 78 questionnaire were answered by 607 students (61.2% women). Students choose the same 79 management for female and male clinical case. However, in the multiple-choice question 80 students acknowledged that cardiovascular disease was the main cause of mortality for men 81 in 73% of cases vs. 51.3% of women (p < 0.001).

- 82 <u>Conclusion</u>: Medical students' gender sensitivity seems to improve throughout the medical 83 curriculum and women have less stereotypes toward patient than men do. Gender bias exists 84 in student's knowledge and the implementation of a gender teaching in the medical curriculum 85 could improve students' knowledge, limit gender bias and improve patient care.
- 86 Keywords: Gender, gender's awareness, Switzerland, medicine

87

89 Introduction

90 The gender dimension in medicine plays an important role and is a social determinant, which 91 influences the domain of healthcare. Gender awareness aims toward a better health for men 92 and women[1]. The lack of gender awareness leads to gender stereotypes or bias and can 93 contribute to poor patient care or disparities of patient management [2][3]. Gender bias have 94 been observed in some domains like cardiovascular diseases and mental health issues[3] 95 where the stereotypes of gender are recognized and acknowledged for a long time. 96 Stereotypes and bias were also noticed in specific diseases, such as neck pain, Parkinson's 97 disease and irritated bowel syndrome, where female and male patients are treated differently[3][4]. 98

99 A definition of the gender dimension is however necessary to recognize the patterns that can 100 be improved. Gender is defined as the socially constructed characteristics of women and men 101 – such as norms, roles and relationships of and between groups of women and men. It varies 102 from society to society and over time. Sex is an assigned label based on the biological and 103 genetical characteristics that can be observed after birth, such as chromosomes, hormones 104 and genitals.

105 It is also important to understand the difference between a gender stereotype, which is defined 106 as the assessing of differences between men and women where there are none and gender 107 blindness, which is defined by the inability to recognize differences when they are pertinent[5]. 108 These two patterns come often in the everyday practice, and like for race or culture bias, it is 109 important for physicians to prevent them in order to assure equity in health management.

The disparities that we can observe in healthcare between female and male patients are due to many causes but are difficult to isolate, because many factors affect the relation between the physician and the patient and many facts of medicine are based on the sex determinant, due to the biological differences of women and men's bodies. However, among these factors, it is established that gender stereotypes influence the differential diagnose and decision of

115 management of physicians[5]. Most of the stereotypes concern women and disadvantage them 116 in healthcare. Diagnosis are more often missed and management is less effective than for 117 men[5]. Where do these stereotypes about women come from? First the research in medicine 118 was often conducted with male participants, especially in cardiovascular diseases[6] where the 119 management and treatments are based on the male specifics and presentation of symptoms 120 but are after applied to both sexes. In reality, women present more often with unspecific 121 symptoms of a coronary syndrome and the diagnosis could be missed, because of unspecified 122 guidelines for women[7].

Stereotypes exist however also for men. Diseases like osteoporosis were always associated to the post-menopausal women, without addressing this issue to men. In reality, one third of hip fractures concern men. A study of 2008 stated that 19% of men over 50 suffer from an osteoporosis or osteopenia[8]. Prevention and test exist mostly for women. Although the pathology is associated with female hormones, it is important to consider both sexes to ensure the quality of care.

Another aspect of gender bias is the position of women in society, which is reflected also in healthcare. General suggesting that women are more sensitive and need more psychological support, or that men go to the doctor only when the problem is organic and serious, come directly from the social constructed gender roles defined in our modern society[9][10]. Physicians need to recognize these received ideas and prevent them from influencing their neutral point of view in the relation with patients.

In addition, women have still a weak position and influence in the healthcare, with a patriarchal model dominating in the profession, even if most of medical students are women¹. No strengthening of the position of women in the profession affects the organization of the healthcare system, which is still dominated by a patriarchal philosophy and where gender attributes are strongly reinforced. The same reasoning can be seen in research, where men

¹ In the faculty of medicine in the University of Lausanne, 62.6% of medical students were women in 2016

have a monopole and are less inclined to address the problematic of gender inequalities and
integrate it in clinical research. Only 37% of the first authorship in high medical journals in
2014 were women[11]. All these factors influence the healthcare operation, and have to be
prevented in order to avoid disparities.

144 The awareness of gender in health became official in 1995, with the World health organization 145 creating the department of Women, gender and health. Studies agree that this progress has 146 shown improvement in healthcare[12]. However, the background of doctors influences their 147 practice, such as personal hobby or interests, former education, social status or the family's 148 model that exposes the individuals to the questions of gender even before they are confronted 149 with this problematic in medicine. In a study where gender awareness was compared between 150 Netherlands's medical students and Swedish medical students, the profession of the mother 151 and the position of the women in society played a role in the results. In fact, it is thought that 152 Swedish students had a better awareness because their mothers worked and had a strong 153 position in the society[9].

154 In Switzerland, gender studies were integrated in 2000 in the Faculty of social and political 155 sciences of the University of Lausanne, and in 2005 was the first lecture about gender and 156 medicine presented to the medical students[13]. From 2003, the University of Lausanne 157 realized the importance of gender, along with the Federal office of Public Health in Switzerland, 158 who created a "Gender Health" service in 2001[13]. Actually, the students of the University of 159 Lausanne have only 2 hours of introduction to gender during their first year of studies (MICS 160 1.5), an optional 12h seminar (MICS1.5), and 2 hours course on gender and health during the 4th and 5th year of studies (B1.5, B2.5). There is no integrated structured teaching of the gender 161 162 dimension in medicine, even though some teachers and seminars might include some degree 163 of gender dimension in their programs. The faculty of Biology and Medicine of the University 164 of Lausanne is currently developing a project to implement the teaching of the gender 165 dimension during the pre-graduate education of medical students. This project is based on the 166 national Gender Mainstreaming research and action of the Prof. T.Lagro-Janssen in

167 Netherlands, who succeeded in the implementing of gender perspective in all 8 medical168 faculties in Netherlands during 2002-2005[14].

For this purpose, a commission composed of professors of different disciplines, of students and researchers is developing a strategy of implementation of gender perspective in every step of the pre-graduate education. For now, there was no research conducted in this area in Switzerland. Therefore, we decided to establish a first assessment in Lausanne to start studies in this area and for further comparison.

174 Through this master's work we will try to establish a baseline of gender awareness among 175 students in the University of Lausanne and highlight the evolution of gender awareness 176 throughout the years of the medical education. The comparison of the results between the 177 female and male participants, between age and level of medical education will permit a more 178 targeted implementation of gender dimension in the teaching of medicine. Furthermore, our 179 study will help understand if different believes exist among students about this subject. Besides, the NGAMS questionnaire can be evaluated and validated for further use in 180 Switzerland. In addition, our study will probably awake students' curiosity about this matter. 181

182 Participants and methods

183 Population

We sent the questionnaire to all medical students of the University of Lausanne. During the academic year of 2016-2017, there were 1686 registered students at the faculty of medicine in the University of Lausanne, amongst them are 1056 women, which makes 62.6% of female students². About 40% were first year medical students and their number decrease and stabilize after the 2nd year of medical school. The mean age of participants was 22 years old and most of them live in Lausanne. Table 1 shows the proportion of students in each academic year and the proportion of women registered each year.

² Informations obtained from the Système d'informations et statistiques de l'Université de Lausanne (UNISIS)

191 *Gender measures*

192 To measure student's gender sensitivity we used the NGAMS scale (Nijmegen Gender 193 Awareness Medicine Scale), validated scale for evaluating gender awareness which was used 194 in two major studies in Netherlands and Sweden [5][9]. This scale is based on the two 195 attitudinal aspects of gender-awareness, the gender sensitivity (GS) and the gender role 196 ideology which is assessed towards patients (GRIP) or doctors (GRID). Those 3 subscales 197 contain statements to which the student can agree using a 5 likert point scale (ranges from "not agree at all" to "totally agree"). The GS group has 14 statements, and the more you agree, 198 the highest your score. The GRIP has 11 and GRID has 7, and where a higher score means 199 200 a higher stereotyping way of thinking. Supplementary figure 1 shows all the statements of the 201 NGAMS questionnaire, in French.

202 As there were no validated version of the NGAMS scale in French, we translated it from Dutch to French. The guestionnaire was tested by 3 students of the 5th year and colleagues of the 203 204 department, and we adapted the translation when necessary. We established an anonymous 205 online survey using the Surveygizmo® software, and submitted the NGAMS questionnaire as 206 well as questions on age, sex and year of study to the participants. We also offered the 207 possibility to put comments at the end of the survey, to obtain a qualitative opinion about the 208 questions. The recruitment of participants was conducted through email sent to all the students 209 of the faculty of medicine. Announcements in different Facebook students' groups were also 210 posted. The survey was initially open for a month. We noticed a lack of male participants, and 211 encouraged their participation through a second targeted email.

The second evaluation consisted in proposing a simple multiple-choice question with a medical case of ankle sprain which was randomly presented with a female patient to half of the participants, and a male patient to the other half. The clinical case was identical, the only variable that changed was the patient's sex. The medical case was about a patient consulting his/her general practitioner with a persistent pain in the ankle. He/she already consulted the

217 emergency department 2 days ago, where an examination and an x-ray were performed. The 218 emergency doctor concludes that there were no fractures and the patient was treated with 219 painkillers. We suggested 4 options of management from the least (reassure) to the most 220 interventionist (refer to a specialist). The expected attitude for both sexes was to augment the 221 antalgics, because according to the Ottawa score, the probability of an ankle fracture is low. 222 Supplementary figure 2 contains the two versions of the medical case, with the multiple-choice 223 answers. Each medical case had a picture of a woman or a man expressing pain. We added 224 a multiple-choice question asking the main cause of mortality in men and women in 225 Switzerland, as well as some personal information such as sex, age and year of study.

For the first and fourth year of study, the case was presented just before a lecture of Gender Medicine given by one of the study member. The second year responded before a random lecture and the quiz was presented by a Professor not involved in the study. Concerning the third and fifth year, they were solicited through an online survey. The students of the last year of study answered during a rehearsal lecture and the questionnaire was presented by a student.

232 Exploratory factor analysis was adopted in order to define NGAMS subscales. Scores with 233 loading smaller than a cut-off of 0.4 and cross-loading scores were dropped leading to define 234 three relevant dimensions globally explaining 40% of total variability. The first subscale 235 represented gender sensibility (GS; the higher the score value the higher the sensibility to the 236 gender issue) and was defined by the mean of 10 out of the 14 original scores. Eight of them 237 were reversed since they presented a negative loading in the factor analysis. The second 238 subscale represented stereotypes towards patients, (GRIP: the higher the score value the 239 stronger the stereotypes) and was defined by the mean of 9 out of the 11 original scores. The 240 third subscale represented stereotypes towards doctors, (GRID: the higher the score value the 241 stronger the stereotypes) and was defined by the mean of 4 out of the 7 original scores. 242 Reliability of the three above subscales was assessed calculating the alpha Chronbach 243 coefficient. Mean subscales were calculated for male and female students and compared using

two sample t-tests. A linear model was built with each subscale as a dependent variable and students' sex and age as covariables. Interaction between age and sex were tested. For the clinical vignette and multiple-choice question, percentage of correct answers were compared between male and female patient and students, using chi-squared tests. Two logistic models were built with correct attitude or answer as the dependent variable and students' sex and age and patients' sex as independent variables.

Reliability of the NGAMS subscales was assessed calculating the alpha Chronbach coefficient. Mean subscales were calculated for male and female students. A linear model with students' sex and age was built and interaction between age and sex were tested. For the clinical vignette and multiple-choice question, percentage of correct answers were compared between male and female patient and students, using chi-squared tests. Two logistic models were built with correct attitude or answer as the dependent variable and students' sex and age and patients' sex as independent variables.

The study did not collect medical data and the local ethics committee (CER-VD) confirmed thatthe study was waived from ethics approval.

259 **Results**

260 In total, 560 students participated to the NGAMS inquiry, with 396 students who fully completed the questionnaire. Amongst them 245 were female (61.9%), 150 male (37.1%) and one 261 262 participant categorized as "other", which was excluded. For each academic year, the number of participants was proportional to the total number of students, except for the 1st academic 263 year, where only 14.2% students participated. There were more female participants in every 264 year, except for the 5th academic year, where 58.21 % were male participants. They had also 265 266 the highest rate of participation with 36.2% of fifth year students answering the NGAMS 267 questionnaire. Table 1 resumes the participation rate for each year.

268 Through the exploratory factor analysis of the NGAMS questionnaire results, the first 269 impression is that 2 factors are enough, one for gender sensibility and a second for stereotypes

270	(GRIP and GRID). The reliability of the NGAMS questionnaire and the subscales showed a
271	Chronbach's alpha of 0.79 for the GS subscore, 0.88 for the GRIP and 0.77 for the GRID.
272	The Chronbach's alpha was of 0.79 for the GS subscale, 0.88 for the GRIP and 0.77 for the
273	GRID. As shown in Table 2, GS and GRID sub-scores were not significantly different between
274	female and male students (GS 3.62 for women, 3.70 for men, p=0.270, GRID 2.10 for women,
275	2.13 for men, p= 0.758). A significant difference was found with the GRIP subscale, with a
276	mean score of 1.83 for women and 2.07 for men (p <0.001), which suggests a more
277	stereotyped opinion toward patients among male students. A trend was observed with age
278	(Table 3 and Figure 1): gender sensibility showed a significant quadratic trend with age, with
279	an initial increase followed by a stabilization (both linear and quadratic p<0.001); stereotypes
280	towards patients and doctors decreased linearly with students getting older (GRIP p=0.04,
281	GRID p=0.02). Adjusting for age, student sex was still associated with GRIP subscale, women
282	having less tendency to have stereotyped beliefs (Table 3; coefficient 0.27, p-value < 0.001).
283	The 4 th year male students of Lausanne have the highest GS score in comparison to the other
284	students. There was a significant difference of score in the GRIP statements, where men of
285	the 3rd (p-value = 0.02) and 4th year (p-value=0.01) had a more stereotypical score than
286	women.

We collected 36 comments about the NGAMS questionnaire, with 23 comments from female students. Most of the participants complained about the formulation of the statements, which were too suggestive for some students, and too stereotypical for most of them. Some of them suggested to add also negative stereotyped statements about men, because the questionnaire addressed negative roles for women only. Some students said that their gender awareness has nothing to do with their education in medicine, but comes from their external interests.

For the medical case, there were 607 participants with 61.2% women. The results showed that there were no differences between the management of male and female patients. Most of the students (84% for female students, 87% for male students) chose to refer to a specialist or do

296 again a radiography, for both male and female patients. However, when testing students' 297 knowledge, a significant difference was shown between the answer about the main cause of 298 mortality of women versus men. The results showed that 73% students knew that the first 299 cause of mortality were cardiovascular diseases among men in Switzerland but only 54% knew 300 that cardiovascular diseases were the first cause of mortality among women in Switzerland 301 (p<0.001). No significant difference was found in the management regarding students' sex. A 302 correlation between age and the type of answer was measured, with older patients having a 303 greater probability of knowing the right answer (OR = 1.66 (p<0.001)). Furthermore, older 304 participants tend to choose less interventions possibilities.

305 **Discussion**

306 The different results we obtain from the NGAMS guestionnaire permitted to obtain a general 307 overview of students' gender awareness and identify some patterns, which play a role in the 308 general gender awareness in medicine. First, we can surely declare that female students feel 309 more concerned by the subject than male students, because female students made a better 310 score than male students about stereotypes. Most of the negative stereotypes in medicine 311 concern the female patient, which can be victim of a poor patient care. Therefore, women in 312 general and, in this case, female medical students feel more concerned because it speaks 313 about their own position and their right to a better health care. It would be interesting to create 314 seminars and lectures that are more targeted for male students, but also identify the negative 315 stereotypes that concern men.

Additionally, the weak position of women in the medical profession in general tends to reinforce the stereotypes, seen in our study by the score of students concerning gender role ideology toward doctors (GRID). A reinforcement of women's position in the medical professions would increase the probability of establishing gender dimension in the everyday practice, because, as seen in our study, they are more concerned by the gender issues. Secondly, the equality of chances for both sexes would be ensured and general negative stereotypes about female doctors could be prevented. Also in the field of clinical research, education of women

researchers to aim for the highest positions has to be encouraged, in addition to developingmore research about women's health in general.

The high score of gender stereotypes among 3rd and 4th year students can be associated to 325 326 the absence of gender-focused lectures during those first clinical years. It would be then interesting to put a lecture of one hour again in the 3rd year of Bachelor, in order to ensure a 327 328 critical thinking about all the clinical facts that are learned during this year. This score can also be correlated with the start of the clinical years in the 3rd year, when students learn about 329 statistical facts and how to process in the differential diagnosis. During this process, most of 330 331 the diseases are described with scores and guidelines based on clinical research, which 332 contain often a gender or sex aspect. Students start then to sort out diseases also by gender 333 and sex patterns influences, and develop stereotypes. This aspect could be prevented by an 334 implemented gender dimension in all lectures of all specialties, where the influence of gender 335 is explained more precisely.

336 We also compared our results with the results of the Netherland and Swedish study. The mean 337 GS score of the students of Lausanne were greater than the score of the Swedish (GS of 3.37 338 for women and 3.30 for men) and Dutch (3.43 for both sexes) students. Male students of 339 Lausanne were more stereotyped than male Swedish students (GRIP of 2.07 compared to 340 1.96), according to the GRIP scores, but were less stereotyped about the doctors than the 341 Netherlands Students (GRID of 2.13 for 2.44 among dutch students). Table 4 shows the mean 342 scores of the three countries. These differences can be explained by the influence of culture 343 and social determinants that characterize each country. The social status of women is stronger 344 in Sweden, where equality of sexes is ensured in more aspects than in Switzerland³. It would 345 be then interesting to explore the influence of politics and functioning of a country or a region 346 in gender awareness, with a qualitative study in which students could explain the importance 347 of these patterns.

³ For example, parental leave is ensured in Sweden for both sexes: information taken from the following website <u>https://sweden.se/quickfact/parental-leave/</u> (31.01.2018)

348 There was no difference between the results of the ankle sprain medical case. This medical 349 case was a first prototype about a disease which is rarely used for highlighting stereotyped 350 behavior (in comparison to cardiovascular disease). The propositions were intentionally 351 thought to be opposite. The first hypothesis was that the management of the women patient 352 pain would be less serious than the male patient pain, based on the cultural stereotype that 353 men complain about pain only when it's serious and organic pain. [15][16] The main reasoning 354 of this medical case is to decide if there is a probability of an ankle fracture. According to the 355 Ottawa score, there is no indication to renew the radiography, and the best management for 356 both patients were to increase the antalgics.

357 Multiple hypothesis can explain the answers of the students, who didn't show a stereotyped 358 reasoning for the ankle sprain management. It can be explained firstly by considering that 359 students have no gender stereotype about ankle sprain pain and shows that this pathology is 360 less influenced by gender than other diseases. Secondly, the representation of the situation in 361 real life is difficult for students, who maybe have never seen a person suffering from an ankle 362 sprain pain. Most of the participants were in their first year of study and have never seen an 363 actual patient. Moreover, the formulation of the clinical case didn't permit the generation of the 364 stereotype in their management reasoning. Even if the vignette contained a picture of the 365 patient, the vignette didn't depict enough the influence of the patient's sex as it would probably 366 in the reality.

367 Three years ago, a similar medical case about a thoracic pain was presented to first, fourth 368 and fifth year students, who addressed more easily the male patient to a cardiologic center, 369 and sent the female patient home with pain killers. This difference in the management of male 370 or female patients was probably showing a sign of the stereotypes that students had about 371 cardiovascular diseases and women. The difference of management's answers of these two 372 medical cases can be explain by the hypothesis that ankle sprain is a pathology less influenced 373 by gender than the thoracic pain. Furthermore, the results of the thoracic pain clinical case 374 were showed directly to the students and served as a pedagogic tool.

375 We found an important gender gap in the knowledge of the main cause of mortality. The 376 difference of answers about the main cause of mortality can be explained by 3 mechanisms. 377 First, the patient lambda used in the medical education is often a man, and the male patient is 378 considered as the norm or standard[3]. Most of the statistical facts learned during the 6 years 379 of medicine concern the whole population, but are presented with a male patient. Thus, 380 students associated the main cause of mortality among men as the first cause of mortality of 381 the whole population in Switzerland. When it concerns women, the question is perceived as a 382 more specific question, which concerns a particular part of the population. Secondly, there is 383 still a strong stereotype about cardiovascular diseases and gender. Most of the students get 384 confused about the main cause of mortality of women because they think cardiovascular 385 diseases concern more men than women. Thirdly, the students might have an actual gap of 386 knowledge about gender associated facts. This result can be interpreted as a lack of teaching 387 about women's health and gender differences in epidemiologic facts.

388 Limitations

389 The NGAMS questionnaire has many limits. First, the statements are twisted with complicated 390 formulations, like double negative sentences. Most of the questions contain negative 391 stereotypes for women that are caricatural, as noticed by many of the students and the best 392 answer was clearly suggested. Indeed, many participants didn't finish the questionnaire (136 393 participants, which were excluded from results) because they actually didn't agree with any 394 statement. Furthermore, the use of the words "sex" and "gender", or "female patient", "male 395 patient", "woman" or "man" confused the meaning of the sentence. This brings bias in the 396 scores of students who answered the highest proposition to have a better gender awareness. 397 This was however prevented by the anonymity of the inquiry.

398 Other bias is the method of recruitment which was different for the questionnaire and the 399 medical case. Also, many participants were in the first year of medicine, which doesn't reflect 400 the effect of the gender education in the faculty. Indeed, the first gender lectures start in May

during the second semester and the inquiry was sent in April. For a first and unique tool about
our subject, the NGAMS questionnaire permitted to obtain a general overview of students'
gender awareness but not precise which kind of stereotypes are stronger or weaker. Secondly,
this tool was used in three studies until now and is still in the process of improving.

As for the medical case, the method was not validated and has to be improved. More medical cases of specific diseases should be presented to students, with a quiz about the management of a male or female patient. As a pedagogic tool, the presentation of their answers directly after the quiz confronts them to their biased thinking and draws more easily students' attention about the subject. Besides, other questions about epidemiologic facts can be integrated to identify gender stereotypes and blindness.

The vignette we invented was a first prototype, with 4 answer possibilities and was not based on a real case. Our clinical case about ankle sprain could have been improved by portraying more gender characteristics of the patient and adding a video of an actual patient complaining about his/her pain. More precise and ambiguous management propositions could have helped the students to consider all the characteristics of the patient in their reasoning.

Furthermore, this method should be adapted if applied in other countries or universities, because of the cultural differences that influence gender awareness and healthcare. Our results cannot be generalized for all students in Switzerland, or even for students of Geneva, because of the different organization of the medical education and external social determinants that influence strongly the patterns of gender awareness.

421 Strengths

422 Our study managed to translate the recently developed tool NGAMS in French, which can 423 open possibilities of its application in all French speaking countries. In parallel, we distributed 424 the questionnaire to all 1678 students of the medicine faculty of the University of Lausanne, 425 with a participation rate of 23.4%. This thesis also permitted to statistically evaluate the 426 questionnaire and validate its utilization in Switzerland. By adding a remarks section in the

questionnaire, we gave the possibility to students to assess the statements of NGAMS and toexplain individually their opinion of gender questions.

In comparison to other similar studies, we added a second method to complete our results. In fact, we evaluated additional aspects of gender awareness: gender epidemiologic and general knowledge and the reasoning and management of specific medical cases. Finally, our study is the first of its kind in Switzerland and will serve as a baseline for further research in this area.

433 Conclusion

Our study shows that students of the University of Lausanne present a certain interest about the gender issues in medicine, but a developed and constructed implementation of the gender dimension in the medical curriculum is necessary to prevent stereotypes that still affect the future healthcare providers of Lausanne. In addition, we highlighted the difference of knowledge of students about medical facts of women and men and isolated the patterns that play a role in their gender awareness, such as sex and age.

440 In conclusion, gender awareness is a strong social determinant in medicine and is still poorly 441 integrated in the Swiss literature and education of healthcare. An early sensitization of the 442 future professionals in the Swiss Universities about gender bias and its influence can help the 443 improvement of the relation patient-doctor in general and ensure a healthcare system with 444 equality of care. The implementation of the gender dimension in the curriculum of medicine 445 shows results, but would be more effective if targeted specialties and situations with 446 stereotyped and biased behavior were highlighted during lectures, especially during the first 447 years of clinical learning. Doctors play an important role, but it would be interesting to integrate 448 all the professionals of healthcare, like nurses, physiotherapist, ergotherapists, etc to 449 understand and integrate the actual gender issues of our system.

In the gender equality movements that are happening nowadays, healthcare is implied by being a fundamental institution that builds our society. Education is the key to shaping a more equal society, not only in healthcare but in general. The earlier and the more we talk about

- 453 gender issues, the more we can work to ensure the best quality of care and life not only for
- 454 women, but for the whole population, regardless of their gender, sex, orientation, race or origin.

455 **References**

- 456 [1] L. Doyal, "Sex, gender, and health: the need for a new approach.," *BMJ*, vol. 323, pp. 1061–3,
 457 2001.
- P. Verdonk, Y. W. M. Benschop, H. C. J. M. De Haes, and T. L. M. Lagro-Janssen, "From
 gender bias to gender awareness in medical education," *Adv. Heal. Sci. Educ.*, vol. 14, no. 1,
 pp. 135–152, 2009.
- 461 [3] K. Hamberg, "Gender bias in medicine.," *Womens. Health (Lond. Engl).*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 237–
 462 243, May 2008.
- 463 [4] G. Risberg, K. Hamberg, and E. E. Johansson, "Gender awareness among physicians The
 464 effect of specialty and gender. A study of teachers at a Swedish medical school," *BMC Med.*465 *Educ.*, vol. 3, pp. 1–9, 2003.
- 466 [5] P. Verdonk, Y. W. M. Benschop, H. C. J. M. De Haes, and T. L. M. Lagro-Janssen, "Medical
 467 Students' Gender Awareness," *Sex Roles*, vol. 58, no. 3–4, pp. 222–234, 2008.
- 468 [6] V. Regitz-Zagrosek, "[Gender and cardiovascular diseases : Why we need gender medicine].,"
 469 Internist (Berl)., vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 336–343, Apr. 2017.
- 470 [7] V. Regitz-Zagrosek, "Sex and gender differences in symptoms of myocardial ischaemia,"
 471 *European Heart Journal*, vol. 32, no. 24. pp. 3064–3066, 2011.
- 472 [8] S. Khosla, S. Amin, and E. Orwoll, "Osteoporosis in men," *Endocrine Reviews*, vol. 29, no. 4.
 473 pp. 441–464, 2008.
- J. Andersson, P. Verdonk, E. E. Johansson, T. Lagro-Janssen, and K. Hamberg, "Comparing
 gender awareness in Dutch and Swedish first-year medical students Results from a
 questionaire," *BMC Med. Educ.*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2012.
- 477 [10] R. W. Connell, "Gender. Cambridge: Polity," 2002.
- 478 [11] G. Filardo, B. Da Graca, D. M. Sass, B. D. Pollock, E. B. Smith, and M. A. M. Martinez, "Trends
 479 and comparison of female first authorship in high impact medical journals: Observational study
 480 (1994-2014)," *BMJ (Online)*, vol. 352. 2016.
- 481 [12] L. Doyal, "Sex and Gender: The Challenges for Epidemiologists," *Int. J. Heal. Serv.*, vol. 33, no.
 482 3, pp. 569–579, 2003.
- 483 [13] C. Fussinger, Intégrer le genre dans la formation médicale prégraduée: peut-on transférer
 484 l'expérience néerlandaise sur sol suisse?: étude exploratoire menée au sein de l'École de
 485 médecine de l'Université de Lausanne (FBM/UNIL) pour l'année académique 2009-2010.
 486 Département universitaire de médecine et de santé communautaires, 2011.
- 20

- 487 [14] P. Verdonk, Y. Benschop, H. de Haes, L. Mans, and T. Lagro-Janssen, "Should you turn this
 488 into a complete gender matter? Gender mainstreaming in medical education," *Gend. Educ.*,
 489 vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 703–719, 2009.
- 490 [15] K. Hamberg, G. Risberg, E. E. Johansson, and G. Westman, "Gender Bias in Physicians'
- 491 Management of Neck Pain: A Study of the Answers in a Swedish National Examination," *J.*492 *Womens. Health Gend. Based. Med.*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 653–666, 2002.
- 493 [16] L. LeResche, "Defining gender disparities in pain management," *Clinical Orthopaedics and*494 *Related Research*, vol. 469, no. 7. pp. 1871–1877, 2011.

495

497 Tables et figures

Figure 1: predicted mean subscales according to the linear model, with student's
age and sex

501 **Table 1:** number of participants stratified by gender and years of education, with 502 total number of students registered in Autumn 2016 in the University of Lausanne in 503 parentheses

504

			Total		
	Number of	Number of	number		
	male	female	of		
	participants	participants	participants		Percentage
	(male	(female	(total		of female
Year	students	students	number	Participation	students
of study	in total)	in total)	of students)	rate in %	in total
1	20 (200)	74 (468)	95*(668)	14.20%	70%
2	29 (103)	43 (135)	72 (238)	30.20%	56.70%
3	27 (84)	35 (110)	62 (194)	31.90%	56.70%
4	23 (82)	33 (122)	56 (204)	27.40%	59.80%
5	39 (90)	28 (95)	67 (185)	36.20%	51.40%
6	12(68)	32(121)	44 (189)	23.20%	64%
Total	150 (627)	245 (1051)	396 (1678)	23.60%	62.60%

* Retrait du participant de catégorie "autre"

505 506 507 508 509 510	Table	2: mean	subscores stratif	ied by sex	
511			Women	Men	p of the difference
512		GS	3.62	3.70	0.270
513		GRIP	1.83	2.07	<0.001
514		GRID	2.10	2.13	0.758
515					
516					

518	Table 3: linear model with student sex and age (interactions have been tested but
519	they are not significant)

521		Sex (Men)	Age	Age ²
522			, ge	
	GS	0.005 (0.942)	0.80 (<0.001)	-0.02 (<0.001)
523				
	GRIP	0.27 (<0.001)	-0.03 (0.035)	-
524				
	GRID	0.06 (0.472)	-0.04 (0.024)	-
525				

Table 4: mean subscores for the three universities where NGAMS was distributed

	Radb Unive Nether	ersity ⁴	Unive	neå ersity⁵ eden	Unive	anne ersity erland
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
	students	students	students	students	students	students
	(n=428)	(n=188)	(n=259)	(n=221)	(n=245)	(n=150)
GS	3.43	3.43	3.37	3.30	3.62	3.70
GRIP	2.27	2.52	1.70	1.96	1.83	2.07
GRID	2.43	2.44	2.00	2.07	2.10	2.13

^{4 5} Results exported from the article: J. Andersson, P. Verdonk, E. E. Johansson, T. Lagro-Janssen, and K. Hamberg, "Comparing gender awareness in Dutch and Swedish first-year medical students - Results from a questionaire," *BMC Med. Educ.*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2012.

534

535 Supplementary table 1

536

Nijmegen Gender Awareness in Medicine Scale (N-GAMS)

Translated from the dutch version

GS : Gender sensitivity

GS1	Tenir compte des différences entre homme et femme engendre l'inégalité dans la prise en charge
GS2	La connaissance des différences de genre homme/femme en bonne santé et en situation de maladie améliore pour les médecins la qualité de prise en charge
GS3	Les médecins doivent tenir compte uniquement des différences biologiques entre hommes et femmes
GS4	En ce qui concerne les affections non spécifiques au sexe, le sexe et/ou le genre du patient n'a aucune importance
GS5	Un médecin doit s'en tenir autant que possible aux aspects médicaux des symptômes des hommes et des femmes
GS6	Les médecins n'ont pas besoin de connaître quoi que ce soit de la vie personnelle des hommes et des femmes pour dispenser des soins médicaux
GS7	Les différences entre médecins hommes et médecins femmes sont trop faibles pour avoir de l'importance
GS8	C'est précisément parce que les hommes et les femmes sont différents que les médecins doivent traiter tout le monde de la même façon
GS9	Les médecins qui tiennent compte des différences de genre ne s'occupent pas des problèmes importants
GS10	Dans le cadre de la communication avec les patients, le fait que le patient soit un homme ou une femme n'a aucune importance pour un médecin
GS11	Dans le cadre de la communication avec les patients, peu importe que le médecin traitant soit un homme ou femme
GS12	Les différences entre les patients de sexe masculin et les patients de sexe féminin sont tellement faibles que les médecins peuvent difficilement en tenir compte
GS13	Pour un traitement efficace, les médecins doivent tenir compte des différences de genre en ce qui concerne le déroulement et les conséquences de la maladie
GS14	Il n'est pas nécessaire de considérer les différences de genre des patients lors de la description de leurs plaintes

GRIP: Gender role ideology towards patients

- GRIP1 Les patients de sexe masculin comprennent mieux la façon de travailler des médecins que les patients de sexe féminin
- GRIP2 Les patients de sexe féminin ont des attentes déraisonnables de la part des médecins en comparaison avec les patients de sexe masculin
- GRIP3 Les femmes ont plus tendance que les hommes à aborder des thèmes avec le médecin qui n'ont pas leur place dans un cabinet de consultation
- GRIP4 Les femmes attendent plus de soutien affectif que les hommes de la part des médecins
- GRIP5 Les patients de sexe masculin sont moins exigeants que les patientes de sexe féminin
- GRIP6 Les femmes ont plus recours aux services de santé que nécessaire
- GRIP7 Les hommes ne vont pas consulter un médecin pour des problèmes de santé mineurs
- **GRIP8** Des affections médicalement inexplicables se manifestent chez les femmes parce qu'elles sont trop préoccupées par leur santé
- **GRIP9** Les patientes de sexe féminin se plaignent de leur santé parce qu'elles exigent plus d'attention que les patients de sexe masculin
- GRIP1 Il est plus facile de déceler la cause des symptômes chez les hommes parce qu'ils disent directement de quoi il s'agit
- GRIP1 Les hommes font plus souvent appel aux services de santé pour des problèmes qu'ils auraient pu prévenir

GRID: Gender role ideology toward doctors

GRID1	Les médecins de sexe masculin attachent trop d'importance aux aspects techniques de la médecine en comparaison avec les médecins de sexe féminin
GRID2	Les médecins de sexe féminin consacrent beaucoup trop de temps à leur consultation en comparaison avec les médecins de sexe masculin
GRID3	Les médecins de sexe masculin sont plus efficaces que les médecins de sexe féminin
GRID4	Les médecins de sexe féminin ont plus d'empathie que les médecins de sexe masculin
GRID5	Les médecins de sexe féminin tiennent beaucoup trop compte de la vie personnelle du patient
GRID6	Les médecins de sexe masculin sont plus capables d'assumer leur travail que les médecins de sexe féminin
GRID7	Les médecins de sexe féminin sont trop impliquées émotionnellement avec leurs patients

Supplementary table 2

Clinical case of ankle sprain as presented to students

*via the survey website www.socrative.com

Madame Patricia Aebi est une femme de 49 ans en bonne santé habituelle, conseillère en assurance. Elle vous consulte, car elle présente une douleur au niveau de la cheville droite suite à une chute il y a 2 jours en descendant les escaliers (a raté une marche). Elle a consulté aux urgences dans une permanence de la ville où un examen clinique a été effectué et une radiographie de la cheville qui n'a pas montré de fracture. On lui a dit qu'elle avait une entorse et elle ressort avec un traitement antalgique et des béquilles.

Elle vous reconsulte 48h plus tard en vous disant que les douleurs sont insupportables malgré le traitement de paracétamol (2g/j) et d'AINS (Ibuprofène 400 mg 3x/j).

A l'examen clinique elle arrive à poser le pied mais la marche est douloureuse. Il y a une forte tuméfaction péri-malléolaire externe et une douleur diffuse à la palpation de la région malléolaire externe. La partie postérieure du péroné n'est pas particulièrement sensible à la Monsieur Patrick Aebi est un homme de 49 ans en bonne santé habituelle, conseiller en assurance. Il vous consulte, car il présente une douleur au niveau de la cheville droite suite à une chute il y a 2 jours en descendant les escaliers (a raté une marche). Il a consulté aux urgences dans une permanence de la ville où un examen clinique a été effectué et une radiographie de la cheville qui n'a pas montré de fracture. On lui a dit qu'il avait une entorse et il ressort avec un traitement antalgique et des béquilles.

Il vous reconsulte 48h plus tard en vous disant que les douleurs sont insupportables malgré le traitement de paracétamol (2g/j) et d'AINS (Ibuprofene 400 mg 3x/j).

A l'examen clinique il arrive à poser le pied mais la marche est douloureuse. Il y a une forte tuméfaction péri-malléolaire externe et une douleur diffuse à la palpation de la région malléolaire externe. La partie postérieure du péroné n'est pas particulièrement sensible à la palpation, ni la région naviculaire. Le reste de

palpation, ni la région naviculaire. Le reste de	l'examen est sans particularité.
l'examen est sans particularité.	
	Que faites-vous? (ne cocher qu'une seule
	réponse)
Que faites-vous? (ne cocher qu'une seule	
réponse)	
 Vous refaites une radiographie de la chev permanence sont introuvables). 	ille pour exclure une fracture (les radios faites à la
	uant qu'il faut attendre plusieurs jours pour que les
 Vous êtes inquiet-ète et le.la référez rapio avis. 	lement à votre collègue orthopédiste pour un 2e
 Vous lui suggérez de prendre un peu plus un traitement assez fort. 	d'anti-douleurs, il.elle n'a probablement pas reçu







