New stratigraphic data from the Lower Penninic between the Adula nappe and the Gotthard massif and consequences for the tectonics and the paleogeography of the Central Alps
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ABSTRACT

New stratigraphic data along a profile from the Helvetic Gotthard massif to the remnants of the North Penninic basin in eastern Ticino and Graubünden are presented. The stratigraphic record together with existing geochemical and structural data, motivate a new interpretation of the fossil European distal margin. We introduce a new group of Triassic facies, the North-Penninic-Triassic (NPT), which is characterised by the Ladinian “dolomie bicolori”. The NPT was located in-between the Briançonnais carbonate platform and the Helvetic lands. The observed horizontal transition, coupled with the stratigraphic superposition of a Helvetic Liassic on a Briançonnais Triassic in the Luzzone-Terri nappe, links, prior to Jurassic rifting, the Briançonnais paleogeographic domain at the Helvetic margin, south of the Gotthard. Our observations suggest that the Jurassic rifting separated the Briançonnais domain from the Helvetic margin by complex and protracted extensio.

The syn-rift stratigraphic record in the Adula nappe and surroundings suggests the presence of a diffuse rising area with only moderately subsiding basins above a thinned continental and proto-oceanic crust. Strong subsidence occurred in a second phase following protracted extension and the resulting delamination of the rising area.

The stratigraphic coherency in the Adula’s Mesozoic questions the idea of a lithospheric mélange in the eclogitic Adula nappe, which is more likely to be a coherent alpine tectonic unit. The structural and stratigraphic observations in the Piz Terri-Lunschiana zone suggest the activity of syn-rift detachments. During the alpine collision these faults are reactivated and played a major role in allowing the Adula subduction, the “Penninic Thrust” above it and in creating the structural complexity of the Central Alps.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The Alps, as a mountain belt, are the result of Cretaceous convergence and Tertiary collision between European and African derived plates following the closure of oceanic domains (Dewey et al., 1989). Their history, however, starts long time before Cretaceous convergence and experienced periods of extension (Trümpy, 1958).

The pre-orogenic evolution of the Alpine realm leads to the creation and differentiation of several paleogeographic domains whose remnants have subsequently been stacked in the orogenic belt during Tertiary collision (Schmid et al., 1996; Steck, 2008). From an internal (Upper) toward an external (Lower) position the following domains are generally distinguished: the Adriatic plate sensu lato in South Alpine and Austroalpine position; the remnants of the Liguro-Piemontese ocean in Upper Penninic level; the nappes and klippe issued from the Briançonnais paleogeographic domain stacked in the Middle Penninic; the remnants of the North Penninic basin and its North margin assembled in the Lower Penninic nappes together with the series originated from the Valais Trough; finally the Helvetic sensu lato domain that is distributed in the Ultrahelvetic and Helvetic nappes and in the external masses.

This repartition and its paleogeographic significance are not devoid of discussions. Of particular interest and a matter of passionate debates is the role played by the units currently stacked in a Lower Penninic position.

A portion of the Lower Penninic of the Western Alps (the “zone de Sion-Courmayeur-Tarentaise”, Valais s. str.) is characterised by a typical lithological association: the “Trilogie Valaisanne” of Cretaceous or younger age (Trümpy, 1951; Trümpy, 1954). This group of facies, that belong to the core of the definition of the Valais s. str., has never been found in the Eastern Alps, where in a Lower Penninic position, there is
a monotonous package of calc schists, the so called Bündnerschiefer, that during Jurassic and Cretaceous filled the North Penninic basin s.l. (Probst, 1980; Steinmann, 1994).

Based on the presence of MORB-type metamafics, several workers (e.g. Dürr et al., 1993; Steinmann and Stille, 1999) proposed the presence of oceanic crust in the internal North Penninic. Where radiochronologically dated, the supposed oceanic rocks, are of late Middle–early Upper Jurassic time (~161 Ma, Liati et al., 2005). Concerning the Valais Trough, the birth of an early Cretaceous ocean has been proposed (Frisch, 1979; Stampfli, 1993) but has not yet been proven convincingly by the dating of Cretaceous oceanic crust. Where dated, metabasites in the Valais domain s.str. (i.e. zones characterised by the “Trilogie Valaisanne”) are Paleozoic (Masson et al., 2008). Moreover a Cretaceous age for the supposed Mesozoic ocean is at odds with the Jurassic age reported for the oceanic crust in the North Penninic.

Currently two hypotheses are the most widely accepted to explain the significance of Lower Penninic units and the connection Valais-North Penninic.

The first one considers the North Penninic and the Valais as one domain, located between the Helvetic margin and the Briançonnais domain, that experienced hyper extension during the Jurassic rifting, at the same time that the Liguro-Piemontese ocean opened to the south (Manatschal et al., 2006). The second hypothesis, on the other hand, regards the Valais domain as a Cretaceous transcurrent ocean which opened oblique into the older Liguro-Piemontese ocean to the east and into the European margin to the west, where it separated and isolated the Briançonnais domains from the continent (Stampfli, 1993). In this last scenario the Briançonnais domain is regarded as a far travelled exotic terrane that during the Cretaceous time migrated eastward and duplicated the European margin in the Western and Central Alps (Stampfli et al., 2002).

Fig. 1. a) Tectonic map of the Central Alps. Modified from Spicher (1980). b) Simplified tectonic profile trough the Central Alps, modified after Schmid et al. (1996) and Galli et al. (2012). 1) Piz Terri–Lunschlania zone. 2) Lower and Upper Valser slices. 3) Aul unit 4) Soja unit. 5) Misox zone 6) Chiavenna peridotite. (GMM) “Gotthard-Massivisher-Mesoikum”.
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In this contribution we will investigate the aspect and the distribution of pre-syn- and post-rift facies on the distal European margin in the Central Alps in order to shed light on the tectonostratigraphic evolution of the North Penninic rifting and to understand the Helvetic-Briançonnais relationship. The refinement of the stratigraphic record coupled with structural and metamorphic information is used as a tool to extricate the extremely complex tectonic around the eclogitic Adula nappe.

2. Geological setting and background

The study area is located at the northeastern edge of the Lepontine dome, SW of the Gotthard massif, astride northeastern Ticino and western Graubünden (Figs. 1a and 2a). The tectonic map of the Central Lepontine Alps (Berger and Mercolli, 2006) and its explanatory note (Berger et al., 2007) give a good overview of the geological setting. The Lepontine dome consists of a highly deformed pile of nappes.

Fig. 2. a) Tectonic map of the study area, modified from Berger and Mercolli (2006); Jenny et al. (1923); Wiederkehr et al. (2008) and personal observations. Coordinates are Swiss km grid b) Simplified tectonic profile through the study area, trace of profile in Fig. 2a.
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Table 1

Descriptions of the stratigraphic content of the different units discussed in Section 3. See Section 4 and Fig. 8 for more precise stratigraphic correlations. The "Lias Basis Quartzite" and the "Basale Stgir" are probably Rethian (Triassic), but since its lithological character is even Liassic (Infralias) they are classed in the "Younger than Triassic" row. We limit to the Triassic row only the typical Triassic lithologies (e.g. Melser, Roi, Quarternschiefer).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simano nappe</th>
<th>Soja unit s.str.</th>
<th>Adula nappe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower limb</td>
<td>Upper limb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simano nappe</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Soja unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Hennasadel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Hobbieul</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Younger than Triassic**
  - Tabular and yellow dusty dolomite with intercalations of a granular dolomite (Zellen Dolomite).
  - Coarse-grained arkose with few intercalations of a grey quartzite. The arkose is richer in dolomite and calcite upsection.
  - "Dolomie bicolori". Grey and massif quartzite.
  - The series is cut by the thrust of the Molare-Dangio Bundnerschiefer (Section 2, fig 1 and 2).
  - Sugary white massif dolomite, whose bright and massif aspect vanishes upwards.
  - "Dolomie bicolori". Dark yellow dolomite (5-8m), impure at its base.
  - Alternation (1m) of quartzite, impure marble, calcareous micaschists and impure dolomite.
  - Grey and massif quartzite, it becomes rich in micas upsection.

- **Triassic**
  - "Dolomie bicolori". Pale yellow or off-white dolomite.
  - Carbonate-rich micaschists with few thin intercalations of a sandy and massif calcareous dolomite.
  - Grey quartzite, partly conglomeric at its basis. It becomes rich in impurities upsection.
  - Older than Triassic
    - Old polycyclic para- and orthogneiss.
    - Arkosic sandstones, few conglomeric intercalations (the so-called Soja Verrucano) are present between the arkosic sandstones and the overlying quartzite.
    - Old polycyclic gneiss, locally with lenses of amphibolites.

- **Older than Triassic**
  - "Dolomie bicolori". It starts with a first dark-grey bed.
  - Homogeneous dark-yellow dolomite (2m).
  - Marble (1m), it can be white or blue and it is intimately intercalated with several centimetric dolomitic beds.
  - Quartzitic and calcareous micaschists (<1m).
  - Grey quartzite (<1m), in sharp contact (reversed) with the basement.
  - Old paragneiss.
### Lower Valser slices

**Unknown**

- Alternating series of marls and shales.
  - Sandstones (10m).
- Alternating series (10-20m) of marls, marly limestones and brown weakly calcareous shales.
  - Gneissquartzite: thick (5 to 100m) and heterogeneous detritic level with a weakly calcareous matrix. Several decimetric to metric microconglomeratic beds (rich in quartz and feldspars) alternate with more fine-grained sandstones, quartzites or sandy chlorito-schists (rubefied and iron-rich in its limestones. Few shaly intercalations sealed by a coarse and monomicte dolomitic breccia with a quartzitic matrix. Locally the breccias are deposited even directly above the gneissic basement (figure 4), in which case it is sporadically overlays a dolomitic always an altered phyllite or a green uppermost part). Laterally and upward the terrigenous dolomitic breccias evolve towards a polymictic breccia intercalated with monomineralic dolomitic breccias, and associated at the most internal occurrence of the quartzite there is an encrusted surface (condensation surface) - **Luzzone-Terri nappe**
- **Quartenschiefer** contains few thicker dolomitic beds (Quartenschiefer). Dolomite content of the sanstone decreases upwards and is progressively replaced by a shaly matrix. A black, non-calcareous, level of shale (1m) is omnipresent above the sandy shales. the non-calcareous shales become progressively calcareous upsection. The dolomitic formation ends with an erosion surface that can cut at any level. - Monotonous pale yellow dolomite. - Sequence of off-white dolomites alternating with light grey marly dolomites. Locally the alternating dolomites are more pigmented and it is thus possible to recognize the "dolomie bicolori". - Brown dolomite (1m). - Marble with hints of bioturbation (2-4m). - Brown dolomite. - Fine-grained quartzite with a grey or reddish arkose at the basis.
- Fine-grained conglomerate. Lateral it is diversified by a green schist.
  - Well-bedded arkosic sandstone rich in ankeritic spots and conglomerates.

### Luzzone-Terri nappe

**Terri schists:**
- Core Formation: Thin sole of black non-calcareous shales.
- Inferior Formation: thick sequence of non-calcareous shales in the most internal part of the nappe. The calcareous content increases downsection and towards the front of the nappe (marls to marly limestones). The upper part contains intercalations of carbonate-rich and sandy shists.
- Sign Formation: thick (50-100m) sequence of marls, sandstones and few thin beds of sandstone, limestones or dark quartzites. The alternating series is sealed by a white, weakly calcareous and very fine-grained quartzite (coarser in the frontal part of the nappe, it disappear backwards). Associated at the most internal occurrence of the quartzite there is an encrusted surface (condensation surface).

### Upper Stgir Series:

- Banded and finely laminated ash-grey dolomites. - Blue and fine-grained pure quartzite, partly dolomitized. - White and fine-grained quartzite.

### Lower Stgir Series:

- Dark-brown dolomite (cornicule). - "Dolomite bicolori" (30-40m), the series starts with a first dark-grey and mafic dolomite (8m). Upwards the colour in the grey levels is lighter. In few levels is possible to observe an intense and fine lamination of sedimentary origin.
- Brown dolomite (1-2m). - Blue sandy (10%) marble (2-4m) rich in micas and dolomitomorphous impurities, with hints of bioturbation. It is a reduced and metamorphosed vermiculated limestone.
- Micaeous and dolomitic quartzite. - 10-20 cm gap. - White and fine-grained quartzite.

### Fane Triassic

- Pale yellow dolomites rich in green phyllitic intercalations. - Intraformational dolomitic breccias (1-2m, Gt-lacome Formation). - Banded and finely laminated ash-grey dolomites, weakly calcareous (20m, Champcella Formation). - Vermiculated limestone encased in two dolomitic beds (15-20m, St-Triphon Formation, fig 4). - At the basis there is an alternating series of quartzites, micaschistes and brown dolomite (5m, Bercia member, figure 4a).
- White and fine-grained pure quartzite, partly conglomeratic at its basis.

### Luzzone gneiss:

- Coarse-grained conglomerate (<5m) composed of cm quartz pebbles in a quartzitic matrix.
- Arkosic sandstones (20-100m) scattered with abundant ankeritic spots and rich in conglomeratic intercalations and green micaschistes.

### Gotthard-Alpettas zone

- Alternating series of marls and shales.
- Sandstones (10m).
- Alternating series (10-20m) of marls, marly limestones and brown weakly calcareous shales.
- Gneissquartzite: thick (5 to 100m) and heterogeneous detritic level with a weakly calcareous matrix. Several decimetric to metric microconglomeratic beds (rich in quartz and feldspars) alternate with more fine-grained sandstones, quartzites or sandy chlorito-schists (rubefied and iron-rich in its limestones. Few shaly intercalations sealed by a coarse and monomicte dolomitic breccia with a quartzitic matrix. Locally the breccias are deposited even directly above the gneissic basement (figure 4), in which case it is sporadically overlays a dolomitic always an altered phyllite or a green uppermost part). Laterally and upward the terrigenous dolomitic breccias evolve towards a polymictic breccia intercalated with calcareous sandstones and quartzites. Coarse-grained reconstituted basement is also present and intercalated with monomineralic dolomitic breccias, and associated at the most internal occurrence of the quartzite there is an encrusted surface (condensation surface).
mainly made of Paleozoic or older gneissic basement with remnants of sedimentary cover (Preiswerk et al., 1934). The contrasted tectonic syntheses of Maxelon and Mancktełow (2005) and of Steck (2008) give a measure of the uncertainties that still characterise our present-day understanding of its tectonic structure. During Alpine collision the Lepontine dome suffered severe metamorphic conditions increasing from upper greenschist facies in the north to upper amphibolite in the south (e.g. Fox, 1975; Frey, 1969, 1978; Niggli, 1970; Trommsdorff, 1966; Wenk, 1970). This barrowian metamorphism is preceded by a subduction related high-pressure event, ranging from blueschist to eclogite conditions (e.g. Heinrich, 1982, 1986; Nagel, 2008; Wiederkehr et al., 2008). The metamorphic evolution of the metasediments in the northern part of the Lepontine dome has been recently reviewed and improved by Wiederkehr et al. (2008, 2009, 2011).

Despite deep subduction and strong deformation the nappes usually still preserve more or less complete remnants of their original Mesozoic–Tertiary sedimentary cover (e.g. Bianconi, 1971; Matasci et al., 2011). Moreover between the main nappes it is possible to find thinner zones of allochthonous metasediments, detached from more internal homelands (e.g. Bianconi, 1971; Etter, 1987; Galster et al., 2010; Probst, 1980). The remnants of the former Jurassic distal European margin are now distributed among these different tectonic units, i.e.: the Gotthard massif, the Lucomagno–Leventina nappe, the Simano nappe, the Soja unit, the Piz-Terri Lunschania zone, the Adula nappe and the Misox zone (Fig. 1).

The Gotthard massif is the lowest tectonic element in the study area. It consists of Paleozoic and older gneisses covered by Permian Tertiaria dolomites (Baumber et al., 1961; Brunnschweiler, 1948; Fehr, 1956). The Jurassic to Tertiary cover has been detached and transported further north into the Helvetic nappes (Etter, 1987). The Gotthard massif is overthrusted by the Scopi and the Peidener zones (the so-called “Gotthard Massivschroser Mesozoiukum) (GMM; Spicher, 1980) consisting of Mesozoic sediments of Triassic and Jurassic age. The Scopi zone lays partly overturned but its stratigraphic content is coherent and perfectly recognizable. It is of Helvetic type: above a thin Triassic, three thicker subdivisions of Jurassic age are distinguished: the Stgir, the Inferno and the Coroi Formations (Baumber et al., 1961; Etter, 1987; Frey, 1967; Jung, 1963). The Stgir and the Inferno Formations have also been recognised in the imbricated Peidener zone (Baumber et al., 1961), where the stratigraphic record is slightly different but still of Helvetic type. These series provide an important tool of correlation and are a precious link between the classical Helvetic Liassic of the Glarus nappe (further north; Trümpy, 1949) and the Liassic cover of the Glarus nappe (further north; Trümpy, 1949) and the Liassic cover of the Glarus nappe (further north; Trümpy, 1949). The unit is enclosed tectonically into allochthonous metasediments from bottom to top these are: the Tomül and the Grava nappes (with their basal mélanges), the Aul unit, the Upper Valser and the Lower Valser slices (“Valser Schuppen”) (Wyss and Isler, 2007).

To the north the Grava nappe is folded by the Lunschania antiform (a fold formed during a post-nappe folding phase) below the Adula nappe (Figs. 1b and 2b). Between these two nappes and in the core of the Lunschania antiform there is the Piz-Terri–Lunschania zone consisting of imbricated slices of metasediments (Fig. 2). At the bottom of the zone, near the Luzzone lake, the gneissic substratum of the lowest slice is exposed. The gneiss, mainly derived from Verrucano, was classically considered as the northern continuation of the Soja unit (Egli, 1966; Jenny et al., 1923; Kupferschmid, 1977; Probst, 1980; Wiederkehr et al., 2008). Recently Galster et al. (2010), based on stratigraphic arguments, separated the Verrucano in the Piz-Terri–Lunschania zone from the Soja nappe and proposed an Ultra-Adula origin (i.e. the homeland was located in a more internal position relative to the Adula) for the zone. Currently the Piz-Terri–Lunschania zone is divided in 3 different groups of tectonic elements, from bottom to top: the Luzzone-Terri nappe, the Darlun zone and the Gőuda-Alpettas zone (Galster et al., 2010; Kupferschmid, 1977; Probst, 1980). In this contribution we will add a fourth group of tectonic elements that is located at the bottom of the zone: the Gazrott slices (Fig. 2, see Section 3.5.2).

3. Stratigraphic data

We present 10 synthetic stratigraphic sections from 7 units located in the Lower Penninic between the Adula nappe and the Gotthard massif and consequences for the tectonics and the paleogeography of the Central Alps, Tectonophysics (2012), doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2012.05.029
We introduce a new group of Triassic facies that we name “dolomie bicolori”. This group consists of a characteristic alternation of cm to metric yellow and grey dolomitic beds. The colour of the yellow beds is a pale pastel yellow or locally a more accentuated lemon yellow. The grey in itself is an ash grey, sometimes darker with a drift towards a grey-violet tint. Careful observations in the “dolomie bicolori” reveal that the chromatic alternation is partly enhanced by folding, but it is also an original sedimentary feature. A first dark-grey, locally marly, dolomitic bed marks the beginning of the bichromatic alternation.

The “dolomie bicolori” are also exposed in the Campo Lungo area (Western Simano nappe), where they are described in detail by Bianconi (1971).

3.1. The Simano nappe

Two different groups of facies characterise the sedimentary cover of the Simano nappe: one is observed along the upper and normal flank in the SE of Val Soi (lower limb of the Claro syncline, Figs. 1 and 2), the other outcrops especially around the front in the NW of Val Soi and in the lower limb of the Eastern Simano. The most striking difference is the presence (respectively absence) of the “dolomie bicolori” in one limb (upper) compared to the other (lower).

3.2. Soja unit s.str

The stratigraphic column described for the Soja unit s.str. can be extended to the entire pile of sediments that separates it from the overlying Adula nappe. The unit is complexly folded and shows a core of old polycyclic gneiss. Cover sediments are best developed in the upper part of the unit, above the polycyclic basement, but the presence of arkosic sandstones and conglomerates (the so-called Soja Verrucano) on both sides of the polycyclic gneiss suggests the existence of an anticline folding the Soja unit. The “dolomie bicolori” characterise the Triassic cover (Fig. 3a).

3.3. Adula nappe

Among the several occurrences of the Mesozoic cover of the Adula, we describe the most significant and best-exposed outcrops. These are: 1) the area around the Plattenberg summit (3041 m). The area is characterised by complex folding (Egli, 1966; Jenny et al., 1923; Löw, 1987), but thanks to the high altitude the outcrops are of excellent quality (Fig. 3b). A detailed stratigraphic description of this area is given in Cavargna-Sani et al. (2010b). 2) the Hennesadell cliff (2466 m), where the stratigraphic column is complexly folded (Zulbati, 2008) but still recognizable (e.g. Van der Plas, 1959). 3) the Hohbiel hill (2426 m), where the stratigraphic section lies in an overturned position below the flat hill. See Fig. 2a for outcrops locations.

Noteworthy are the stratigraphic nature of the contact between basement and cover and the presence of the “dolomie bicolori” in the three sections. At the Plattenberg and at the Hennesadell, where the stratigraphic column is more complete, there are several lines of evidences suggesting important sedimentary gap and the presence of syn-sedimentary faults.

3.4. Valser slices

The stratigraphic content of the Lower Valser slice differs from that of the Upper Slice. Triassic lithologies and well-known marker horizons are missing in the Upper Valser slice, thus we focus especially on the Lower Valser slice, where the “dolomie bicolori” are well developed. Table 1 reports the uppermost Triassic occurrence in the Lower Valser slice, directly below the thrust of the Upper Slice (Wissflue cliff, 1 km SE of Vals).

3.5. The Piz Terri–Lünschnia zona and its tectonic substratum

3.5.1. The Luzzone-Terri nappe

The stratigraphy of the Luzzone-Terri nappe has recently been discussed by Galster et al. (2010). These authors highlighted the Briançonnais affinity of the gneissic “basement” (Luzzone gneiss) and the Triassic cover (Fanne Triassic, Fig. 4). On the other hand the Lissac cover, in stratigraphic contact (Fig. 5) with the Briançonnais Triassic, is of clear Helvetic affinity.

The arkosic sandstones in the Luzzone gneiss display clear analogies with the Moosalp Formation (Permain) in the external part of the Briançonnais paleogeographic domain (Genier et al., 2008; Thélèm, 1982). The overlying conglomerate with its quartz pebbles is similar to the Embd Member (upper Permain) of the external Briançonnais (Genier et al., 2008) or more generally to the Verrucano Briançonnais (Trümpy, 1966). The Fanee Triassic displays definite analogies with the Triassic Formations that characterise alpine units classically assigned to the Briançonnais paleogeographic domain elsewhere in the Alps (St-Triphon, Champcella and Clot-la-Cime Formations).

In the Liassic cover we recognise the Helvetic Sigir, Inferno and Coroi Formations that characterise the so-called Gotthard-Massivisher-Mesozoikum in the Lukmanier-Pass area (Baumer et al., 1961) or the cover of the Gotthard massif near the Nufenen-Pass further to the west (Liskay, 1965).

Our mapping suggests that the Verrucano of the Luzzone-Terri nappe, that on its back transport the whole Piz Terri-Lünschnia zona, is only partly folded by the Lünschnia antiform and does not constitute its core at all (Fig. 2b).

Moreover the Verrucano body of the Luzzone-Terri nappe (the former Northern Soja nappe, Galster et al., 2010) which was considered by previous workers as folded in a tight anticline does not show any reversed flank and is simply thrust above several slices of gneiss and dolomites that have previously been erroneously attributed to its original inverse limb (compare our Fig. 2 with Fig. 25 in Probst (1980) and Fig. 3 in Wiederkehr et al. (2008)). Only the originally more advanced part of the nappe developed an inverted limb by fold ramps and has been subsequently refolded by the Lünschnia antiform.

An independent confirmation to our new interpretation arises from the stratigraphy, which is different from that established in the Luzzone-Terri nappe (absence of the Embd member as well as the Champcella and Clot-la-Cime Formations, which are replaced by an analogue of the “dolomie bicolori”). The small river east of “Alp Garzott” is filled with blocks of breccias and conglomerates fallen down from the Garzott slices exposed in the cliff south of the river. Some megaclasts embedded in a pelitic or sandy matrix show a strong hydraulic fracturation or even a cataclastic fabric, features not observed in the surrounding matrix (perfectly preserved). Fracturation, comminution and fluids precipitation occurred prior to the incorporation of the block into the matrix. Moreover in the uppermost part of the Triassic series (which is cut by an erosion surface) it is possible to observe a deformed tectonic breccia preserving former “jigsaw puzzle” structures (Tarasewicz et al., 2005), these are not observed in the overlying sediments.

These observations strongly suggest the presence of a paleofault that controlled the sedimentation in the Garzott slices.
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3.5.3. Güida-Alpettas zone

The Güida-Alpettas zone is divided in two parts. These are the Alpettas zone in the upper limb of the Lunschania antiform and the Güida zone in the lower limb. The two zones are interpreted to merge in only one zone at the hinge of the fold (Kupferschmid, 1977; Probst, 1980).

The Güida zone is characterised by two metric to hectometric quartzitic beds, the so-called Gneissquarzite (Kupferschmid, 1977; Probst, 1980; Uhr, unpublished; Wyss and Isler, 2007). The thickness of the two beds decreases more or less simultaneously towards the SW and both disappear before reaching the Luzzone lake. Our observations reveal that their disappearance is a consequence of folding: the two quartzitic beds are the same stratigraphic level folded around a tight synform which folds the whole Güida zone and ends near the banks of the Luzzone lake. The fold is an anticline reversed by the Lunschania antiform (Fig. 7).

If looked under this perspective, the stratigraphic content of the Güida zone is straightforward; above a remnant of Triassic rocks it is possible to recognise the Stgir (with its Sinemurian ammonites occurring in a limestone bed at the top of the Formation), the inferno and the Coroi Formations.

The described sequence is folded in-between the Gneissquarzite (see above). The contact between the detrital formations and the underlying shales (Coroi Formation) is always sharp (in the original upper limb of the “Güida anticline” the basis of the Gneissquarzite can be erosive below the Coroi Formation). In contrast the upper part of the Gneissquarzite passes in a transitional way into an alternating series of marls and marly limestones.
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On the other side of the Lunschania antiform, in the more internal Alpettas zone the stratigraphic content is similar (Kupferschmid, 1977; Probst, 1980), but a reef limestone (Geyer, 1977) replaces the Ammonite bearing limestone and in general all the facies suggest shallower conditions. The Gneissquarzite can be erosive even below the Inferno Formation and it is in general coarser (quartz grains up to 5 mm).

4. Stratigraphic correlations

For the stratigraphic correlation only few paleontological data are available. However some correlations can be proposed based on a highly variable vertical record, several marker beds and the possibility to compare our sections with similar well-dated stratigraphic sections located elsewhere in the Alps. Therefore we are able to trace some lines of correlation among the different sections (Fig. 8).

The restoration of the different units to their original relative positions in the Mesozoic is a combination of both stratigraphic and structural criteria. With the exception of the Piz Terri–Lunschania zone and the Soja unit positions it does not differ substantially from already published restorations (e.g. Probst, 1980; Schmid et al., 1996; Wiederkehr et al., 2008, 2009).

4.1. Permian and Lower Triassic

The “eotriassic quartzites” are the first well distributed marker bed. Some sections show a thick sedimentary formation already below this level. These sediments can be seen as a “Verrucano” and considered late Paleozoic to early Lower Triassic in age (Dössegger and Trümpy, 1972; Trümpy, 1966).

In the Briançonnais basin the quartzites are Lower Triassic. For the Helvetic realm, in the Mont Blanc area the arkoses are Lower to early
Middle Triassic (Avanzini and Cavin, 2009) and in the Aar massif they are Anisian (Gisler et al., 2007).

4.2. Middle–Upper Triassic

In some sections, there is a clear transition from silicoclastic to carbonate sedimentation, which spans from few centimetres to some metres testifying ephemeral conditions (Dorchaux Member, Fig. 4a) prior to the definitive drift towards carbonate sedimentation.

The progress of the carbonate front can be followed from the Luzzone-Terri nappe, where the Dorchaux Member spans 5 m, to the Adula nappe, where the same horizon is progressively reduced to a few centimetres (we interpret the carbonate-rich micaschists as a reduced Dorchaux Member). The Dorchaux Member in the Briançonnais basin is early Anisian (Baud, 1976, 1987).

The St-Triphon Formation is clearly present in the Luzzone-Terri nappe but it can be followed only in the Garzott slices till the Valser slices, then it is progressively reduced, first to few limestone beds almost intercalated into a more dolomitic formation and finally to dark yellow or brown dolomitic beds located directly above the calcareous micaschists (Dorchaux Member). The St-Triphon Formation is completely absent in the Simano and Lucomagno nappes.

The St-Triphon Formation in the Middle Penninic is dated as Lower to Middle Anisian (Baud & Mégard -Galli, 1975; Mégard -Galli, 1972). After the Carnian crisis the stratigraphic differences between the Briançonnais basin (especially its external part) and the Helvetic one are attenuated. The thick Briançonnais “dolomies blondes” cannot be distinguished from the Helvetic dolomitic formation in the absence of the Middle Triassic part of the sequence, especially in metamorphic terranes.

The arkoses at the base of the external Simano Triassic are partly dolomitic, especially in their upper part and they are directly followed by a thick pale yellow dolomitic formation.

Considered the massif encroachment of the “dolomies blondes” (mor or less the Briançonnais equivalent of the “Haupt Dolomit”, Norian) we suggest that most of the dolomitic deposition in the external Simano and northward is a direct consequence of this phenomenon.

A confirmation to this scenario is suggested by a few thin and extremely rare ash-grey marly dolomites (already reported by Ammann, 1973) intercalated at the basis of the Lucomagno dolomitic sequence (the most external occurrences of an extremely reduced dolomia bicolor).  

4.3. Rethian

The close association of quartzite, sandstones, dolomites, shelly-limestones, micaschists and black, non calcareous, shales is typical of Lower Ladinian (Mégard-Galli & Baud, 1977), we extend this age to the “dolomie bicolori”. This extrapolation seems to be confirmed by Ladinian crinoids in the Campolungo “bicolored dolomites” of the Western Simano nappe (Bianconi, 1965 pag. 574).

The Clot-la-Cime Formation has been recognised only in the Luzzone Terri-nappe. In the Middle Penninic its age spans from the Upper Ladinian to Carnian (Baud & Mégard -Galli, 1975; Mégard -Galli, 1972). After the Carnian crisis the stratigraphic differences between the Briançonnais basin (especially its external part) and the Helvetic one are attenuated.

The Champcella Formation, present in an already reduced form in the Luzzone-Terri nappe is only a far kin of the “dolomie bicolori” of the Garzott slices. Some of its characteristics can still be observed in the Lower Valser slices but then they vanish in the “dolomie bicolori” of the Adula nappe. The age of the Champcella Formation is Upper Anisian to Lower Ladinian (Mégard-Galli & Baud, 1977), we extend this age to the “dolomie bicolori”. This extrapolation seems to be confirmed by Ladinian crinoids in the Campolungo “bicolored dolomites” of the Western Simano nappe (Bianconi, 1965 pag. 574).

The Clot-la-Cime Formation has been recognised only in the Luzzone Terri-nappe. In the Middle Penninic its age spans from the Upper Ladinian to Carnian (Baud & Mégard -Galli, 1975; Mégard -Galli, 1972). After the Carnian crisis the stratigraphic differences between the Briançonnais basin (especially its external part) and the Helvetic one are attenuated. The thick Briançonnais “dolomies blondes” cannot be distinguished from the Helvetic dolomitic formation in the absence of the Middle Triassic part of the sequence, especially in metamorphic terranes.

The arkoses at the base of the external Simano Triassic are partly dolomitic, especially in their upper part and they are directly followed by a thick pale yellow dolomitic formation.

Considered the massif encroachment of the “dolomies blondes” (mor or less the Briançonnais equivalent of the “Haupt Dolomit”, Norian) we suggest that most of the dolomitic deposition in the external Simano and northward is a direct consequence of this phenomenon.

A confirmation to this scenario is suggested by a few thin and extremely rare ash-grey marly dolomites (already reported by Ammann, 1973) intercalated at the basis of the Lucomagno dolomitic sequence (the most external occurrences of an extremely reduced dolomia bicolor).
4.4. Hettangian–Sinemurian

The attribution to the Hettangian and Sinemurian is based on the recognition of the Stgir Formation, which is dated by Gryphea arcuata and Arnioceras sp. directly below and inside the detrital input (Upper Stgir series) (Baumer, 1964; Jung, 1963). The Stgir Formation in the Güida zone, can be dated directly by the Sinemurian Arnioceras sp. found by A. Uhr (Unpublished, courtesy of A. Isler). The reef limestone in the Alpettas zone is dated as Sinemurian (Geyer, 1977; Kupferschmid, 1977; Uhr, unpublished). The paleontological findings allow a good correlation with the most typical Helvetic sections where similar facies are present. A good marker is the Lotharingian (Upper Sinemurian) detrital input (e.g. Loup, 1992).

4.5. Pliensbachian–Toarcian

The Inferno Formation is Post-Sinemurian and correlated with the Pliensbachian–Toarcian Sexmore series in the Glarus Alps (Baumer et al., 1961). In this regard a Pliensbachian age fits well with the presence of a second detrital input that can be correlated with the Domerian detrital event in the Helvetic basins (Loup, 1992).

4.6. Aalenian

The Aalenian Dugny Formation in the French Alps (Epard, 1990) finds a perfect equivalent in the Coroi shales. The same for the Aalenian in the Glarner Alps (Trümpy, 1949) as highlighted by Baumer et al. (1961). Locally in the basin the shaly non-calcareous formation starts already in Toarcian (Epard, 1990; Aubert de la Rue and Weidmann, 1966) and partly replaces the marly formation, it seems to be the same in the internal part of the Luzzone-Terri nappe (Table 1).

4.7. Middle Jurassic

The Gneissquarzite is deposited directly above the Coroi Formation of probable Aalenian age and its detrital content (qtz + fds) suggests the presence of an emerged land subjected to erosion. Since the Inferno and the Coroi Formations in the Güida zone are only weakly detrital we assume that this land emerged during or after the early Middle Jurassic or that this period coincided with a major current reorganisation in the basin.
The Plattenberg Formation and the breccias in the Garzott slices include dolomitic and calcareous pebbles of different type, none of these displays a facies different from Triassic or Lower Jurassic rocks, thus they can be considered Lower Jurassic or younger with a great probability of a Middle Jurassic age (absence of pebbles from the Upper Jurassic limestone).

Moreover the Plattenberg Breccia reworks siderolithic pebbles, contains crinoids and follows a quartzitic microconglomerate above an eroded Triassic dolomite. From this we deduce that its formation is subsequent to an erosion phase and happened during a drowning phase.

The most suitable period for these formations is the Middle Jurassic, a period during which generalised erosion is observed in several domains (e.g. Badoz and Mercanton, 1962; Baud and Masson, 1975; Trümpy, 1945). The Gneissquarzite is considered as deposited during the erosion phase (Aalenian to Bathonian?), the Garzott slices, the Plattenberg breccias and the formations above the Gneissquarzite during the drowning phase (Bathonian to Oxfordian?).

4.8. Upper Jurassic

The thick and extremely pure marble at the Hennasädel recalls the Kimmeridgian–Thithonian marbles in the Quinten Formation (e.g. Anatra, 1986; Kugler, 1987). It is deposited above an eroded surface and lies directly above a sandstone bed locally microconglomeratic at its basis.

This situation is identical to the stratigraphy of the Antabia group, recently established in the Lower Penninic Antigorio nappe (Matasci et al., 2011). We therefore correlate the stratigraphy at the Hennasädel with the Antabia group for which an Upper Jurassic age has been proposed (Matasci et al., 2011).

The sandstones below the “Upper Jurassic” marble can be considered as late Middle Jurassic or even early Upper Jurassic (drowning phase, see above).

5. The Stratigraphic signature in the local context

Prior to discussing the integrated interpretation of the tectonostratigraphic record and its eventual contribution towards a better understanding of both pre-orogenic and orogenic history of the Central Alps we need to highlight some important aspect of some sections.

5.1. The Garzott slices

The discovery of the Garzott slices is important for the regional tectonics of the Piz Terri–Lünschania zone and adds important information even on the pre-orogenic significance of the zone.

The stratigraphic record around the Luzzzone lake indicates the presence of paleo-faults.

In rifted margin the existence of high angle normal faults related to extension is known since the beginning of modern basins analysis (e.g. Vening Meinesz, 1950). More recently several studies highlighted the existence of low angle normal faults in distal rifted margin (e.g. Froitzheim and Eberli, 1990; Whitmarsh et al., 2001), these faults that act as detachments, are an efficient mechanism to thin the continental crust (Lavier & Manatschal, 2006).

Masini et al. (2011) described in detail the evolution of the Samedan basin (Lower Austroalpine, Graubunden), which is convincingly interpreted as a supra-detachment basin. The signature for supradetachment basins as indicated by Masini et al. (loc cit.) corresponds with the tectonostratigraphic record observed in the Garzott slices (Section 3.5.2). The analogies are: 1) the evolution from monomictic (dolomitic) breccias towards coarse-grained reconstituted basement and 2) the interbedding of these two deposits, testifying the simultaneous availability of two completely different sources (Hangingwall vs Footwall); 3) the upwards evolution to polymictic breccias and sandstones (reddish litharenites) reworking pre- and early syn-rift sediments (Triassic and Liassic) and 4) the sealing with a more fine-grained sequence of shales and more mature arkosic beds. The distal turbidites reported by Masini et al. (2011) in the Samedan Basin are not actually recognised in the Garzott slices.

In the light of these analogies we propose that the Garzott fault and the associated sediments testify the presence of a “supra-detachment” basin bordered by allochtones (the Garzott slices and maybe even the biggest Luzzone-Terri nappe).

The Ultra-Adula origin of the Piz Terri–Lünschania zone (Galster et al., 2010; see Sections 2 and 7.1), its rootless character and its close relationship with “ophiolitic” units (Valser slices and Aul unit) is in agreement with this proposed scenario.

5.2. The Güida-Alpettas zone

The Gneissquarzite in the Güida-Alpettas zone has previously been interpreted as derived from the erosion of a southerly located emerged land. Since the proximity to the Adula front, the different authors proposed the Adula as a potential source (Kupferschmid, 1977; Probst, 1980; Wyss and Isler, 2007). The homeland of the Güida-Alpettas zone was probably located south of the Adula, being the zone thrust on top of the Luzzzone-Terri nappe, which in turn has an Ultra-Adula origin (Sections 2 and 7.1). Thus the southerly-located emerged land is probably not the Adula rise. But the interpretation of an existing emerged land is still valid and even reinforced by some coal finding associated with the Gneissquarzite (Galster, 2010).

5.3. The Adula nappe

Curiously the interpretation of an emerged Adula is still valid. In fact the stratigraphic record in the “Internes Mesozoikum” strongly supports the activity of distributed Normal faults allowing the erosion of at least part of the Adula. Emerision is in particular proved by the siderolithic near the Plattenberg and suggested by Triassic erosion followed by drowning under high-energy conditions (crinoids).

Another interesting point outlined by the new stratigraphic results is the coherency of the stratigraphic record in the Northern Adula nappe. This coherency speaks against a lithospheric mélangé created in a subduction channel (e.g. Engi et al., 2001; Trommsdorff, 1990) and suggests that the Northern Adula is a coherent nappe. A possible scenario is that the presence of paleo-faults, systematically associated with the “Internes Mesozoikum”, has played a major role in creating the “Internes Mesozoikum”. During an early phase of subduction the reactivation and inversion of the faults preserved the basement-cover contact by a mechanism where the sediments onto the hanging block are trapped by its inversion above the footwall. A similar scenario has been proposed by Krayenbühl and Steck (2009) in order to explain the complex basement-cover relationship in the Jungfrau syncline (External Massifs).

The proposed mechanism could prevent sediments’ off scraping and allows the preservation of the cover even in highly deformed nappes submitted to eclogitic conditions.

6. Discussion on the preorogenic history

6.1. The North-Penninic-Triassic: the missing link between the Briançonnais and the Helvetic?

The Briançonnais character of the Permo-Triassic in the Luzzone-Terri nappe has been demonstrated recently by Galster et al. (2010). The Helvetic character of the Triassic formations in the Gotthard massif and Lucornago nappe is obvious and already evoked by several workers (Baumer et al., 1961; Brunnschweiler, 1948; Fehr, 1956; Probst, 1980). Which of the two categories of facies represents at best the Triassic characterised by the “dolomie bicolori” that is located in-between? For
the further discussion we will call the Triassic characterised by the “dolomie bicolori” the North-Penninic-Triassic.

The scarcity of limestones and the abundance of dolomites in the North-Penninic-Triassic argue in favour of a Helvetic character. On the other hand, thanks to its sedimentological characteristics, the “dolomie bicolori” evoke the Champcella Formation at Fanee, which in turn is an external equivalent of the Champcella Formation typical for the Briançonnais Triassic.

The Valser and the Garzott slices are instructive in this regard. With the vermiculated limestones, they share the same basis with the Luzzone (Briançonnais) Triassic but continued upward with the “dolomie bicolori”. Then, toward the more external units (Adula and Simano), the St-Triphon Formation is progressively reduced, but the “dolomie bicolori” are still present.

The age of the “dolomie bicolori” is probably Ladinian, as testified by the exceptional fossils founding of Bianconi (1965, pag 574) in the Campolungo area where the “dolomie bicolori” are well expressed in the Simano Triassic (see Bianconi, 1971). Thus the progressive facies transformation and the chronological equivalence support the idea that the “dolomie bicolori” are an external equivalent of the Champcella Formation.

Moreover the following aspects are noteworthy: a) the adjacency of the North-Penninic-Triassic to the Fanee (Briançonnais) Triassic, b) the extremely reduced St-Triphon (Briançonnais) Formation at the basis of the most internal (Valser and Garzott slices) North-Penninic-Triassic and c) the fact that this reduced (or disappeared) equivalent is stratigraphically followed by a family of Ladinian facies that mimic a reduced Champcella (Briançonnais) formation. Therefore several lines of evidences suggest that the North-Penninic-Triassic was deposited on the banks of the great Briançonnais basin. We propose that the units bearing this kind of Triassic represent the external limit of the Briançonnais Triassic paleogeographic domain.

In its most external part, the Simano nappe (which is characterised by the “dolomie bicolori” in its internal part) shares the same Triassic that is normally ascribed to the Helvetic domain. A clear Helvetic Triassic is omnipresent over the immediately adjacent Lucomagno nappe and just to the north in the Gotthard massif (see Section 2).

These observations indicate that, during the Triassic, the Briançonnais paleogeographic domain was located southwards from the Helvetic domain. It has probably been separated from the European plate sensu stricto by Jurassic rifting (see Section 6.3). Therefore, the apparent exotic character of the Briançonnais Jurassic facies (Schardt, 1898) could be explained under this perspective rather than evoking a far travelled origin (e.g. Stampfli, 1993).

6.2. The Jurassic rifting and the associated subsidence: when and where?

An apparent astonishing situation that results from the stratigraphic record in the distal European margin, south of the Gotthard, is the absence of strong subsidence during the Middle Jurassic, a period that corresponds with the onset of magmatic activity in the Penninic oceans (e.g. Hauser and Müntener, 2011; Manatschal et al., 2006). This situation is similar to that already documented for the Briançonnais domain and in the Middle Penninic units (e.g. Badoux & Mercanton, 1962).

In this section first we focus on the timing of rifting and subsidence evolution in the internal Helvetic and Lower Penninic nappes and then we propose some working-hypothesis in order to explain our observations (keeping in mind that, as highlighted by Trümpy (1976, pag. 262), “le rôle des hypothèses de travail n’est pas celui de fournir des solutions, mais avant tout de poser des questions”).

The Jurassic stratigraphic column of the Scopi and Peidener zones in the “Gotthard Massivischer Mesozoikum” finds a natural continuation in that of the Luzzone-Terri nappe (Table 1 and Fig. 8) suggesting that the different units originated from the same Liassic basin. But Helvetic conditions, that during Liassic time extended from the Tavetsch south of the Adula, into the Piz Terri-Lunschania zone, gently disappeared south of the Güida zone, where shallower conditions indicate the presence of the southern border of the Liassic Helvetic basin (Fig. 9).

At the beginning of Middle Jurassic, the area south of the Aar massif was being filled by the Aalenian shales, whose thickness is still remarkable in the Scopi zone (500 m) but then is reduced drastically in the Peidener and the Piz Terri-Lunschania zone. In many sections the reduction is in part an artefact of tectonic off scrapping, but not in the Güida zone, where the Coroi shales never exceed a few metres. If

Fig. 9. Upper Jurassic distribution of the Paleogeographic domains (bold script) and of the tectonic units (regular script) distinguished in the Central Alps. The former Antigorio (Matasci et al., 2011) and Adula high are now submarine rises that separate Helvetic basins from the North Penninic Basin. The former Briançonnais high is now a submarine rise that separates the North Penninic from the Liguro-Piemontese Ocean. The term Lepons indicates the area that originated the future lepontine dome. The term “Valais” indicates the future location of the Valais Trough (see Section 1). The Triassic Substratum is also represented, with large incertitude.
during Sinemurian and Pliensbachian time the deepest part of the basin was located in the Luzzzone-Terri nappe (cf the Upper Stgir series and the Inferno Formation), during the Toarcian it seems to migrate toward the external part of the basin (Gotthard and Tavetsch). The Middle Jurassic of the internal part instead suffered an inversion and emerged, as testified by the Adula and the Güida-Alpettas stratigraphy (Table 1 and Fig. 8).

This wide zone of emerged land is intimately associated with oceanic crust: the Misox zone and the Valser slices are rich in MORB-type metamafic (Dürr et al., 1993; Steinmann and Stille, 1999). For the Misox zone a Jurassic age is testified by U-Pb dating on zircon (~161 Ma, Liati et al., 2005), for the Valser slices it is supported by facies analysis (Steinmann, 1994). It is noteworthy that part of the magmatic activity is recorded in tuffs and other volcanoclastic manifestations (Kupferschmid, 1977).

The combination of our tectonostratigraphic data with existing geochemical data suggests that, in the North Penninic basin, in the most distal part of the European margin, crustal thinning, break-off and magmatic activity were coupled with uplift and only moderate localised subsidence.

Classical rifting models (McKenzie, 1978; Wernicke, 1985) fail to explain our observations since they predict strong and rapid subsidence in response of crustal thinning in the most internal part of rifting. A first hypothesis to account for the observed paleobathymetric evolution is that in the distal European margin the crust was not homogeneously thinned. Several unthinned high (preserved) separated several fault-bounded “deep” basins (mostly subducted) characterised by stretched crust. A second hypothesis is that progressive lithospheric thinning could be compensated by increasing buoyancy, it results in a delayed subsidence even in the most internal part of rifting.

Some recent developments in understanding rifting dynamics seem to support our second hypothesis: Müntener et al. (2010) proposed the creation of a “lithospheric sponge” by trapping in the mantle part of the liquid produced during rift-induced decompression melting in a non-optimal extraction system. The resulting lithospheric mantle is compositionally buoyant and able to inhibit the subsidence of thinned continental crust. Additionally Kaus et al. (2005) and Simon and Podladchikov (2008) highlighted the effect of sub-solidus phase transition (e.g. spinel → plagioclase) on mantle density, which is reduced during thinning and asthenospheric upwelling and calculated some consequences for the uplift vs subsidence of the overlaying crust and sedimentary basins.

6.3. The rising area between the Helvetic distal margin and the Briançonnais

The above-mentioned effects on the evolution of distal margins could explain the generalised presence of emerged lands (or islets) during the Middle Jurassic in the Distal European Margin of the Alps and in the Briançonnais domain. In fact there is evidence for several highs in the distal part of the margin even further to the west of our study area (Fig. 9), where on the same transect we find again the Briançonnais rise, in a Middle Penninic Position (Badoux & Mercanton, 1962), the Monte Leone (Carrupt, 2003) and the Antigorio (Matasci et al., 2011) highs in a Lower Penninic position. In this case “Middle Jurassic” metamafics are present in the Lower Penninic Pizzo del Vallone nappe (Carrupt, 2003). Even more to the west, the Subbriançonnais domain got a bathonian de-trital input (“Quarzporphyr-Geröll”) from the north (Furrer, 1977, 1979), from a potential Valaisan high (Septfontaine, 1983).

The “rising area” in the middle of the Alpine rifting and extending from the distal Helvetic margin to the Briançonnais (already splendidly illustrated by Trümpy (1965, pag. 577), see also Sengör & Bernoulli, 2011 Fig. 6) is the best exposed field example of inhibited or delayed subsidence in future deep-water margins.

In the distal European margin the end of the subsidence inhibition and the beginning of generalised subsidence started probably just before the Upper Jurassic. The omnipresent Upper Jurassic limestone (Quentin Formation) on the proximal and distal Helvetic margin testifies the accomplishment of this event. The recently reported hydrothermal activity at ~162 Ma in the proximal margin and Jura Plateau (Efimenko, 2011) is in agreement and reinforces our scenario.

6.4. The Plattenberg and Garzott breccias: a second rifting or a protracted history?

At the Hennasädel the Adula shows post-Triassic erosion, drowning and subsequent sealing with Upper Jurassic post-rift sediments of South-Helvetic type (Quentin Formation or even better the Antabia group, see Section 4.8). At Plattenberg it is possible to deduce that the beginning of the drowning phase is followed by the activity of scarp faults. Probably this phase lasted until late Jurassic as the breccia follows in time the generalised emersion and since upwards it bears some intercalations of pure marble suggesting synchronism with the Hennasädel Upper Jurassic marble.

The Garzott slices show an analogue scenario. The two units were probably separated by the Valser slices (see later), which contain “ophiolithic” material originated from a depleted mantle source (Dürr et al., 1993; Steinmann, 1994; Steinmann and Stille, 1999).

Even if located further to the south the Güida-Alpettas zone shares some analogies with the Adula-Garzott problem. There the post-Aalenian clastic sequence is separated in two parts by several metres of marls and marly limestones (see Section 3.5.3 and Table 1), in other words a first period of important tectonic activity and emersion is separated by a phase of quiescence from a second period of erosion and resedimentation.

The basaltic manifestation in the North Penninic domain is followed by thousand of metres of mostly Cretaceous calcshists (Pantic & Gannus, 1977; Pantic and Isler, 1978, 1981; Steinmann, 1994 p. 92). It results that in the Central Alps transect, the first evidence of strong subsidence in the most distal European margin and adjacent oceanic domain is not older than the Late Jurassic.

The Middle Jurassic uplift and the late Jurassic generalised subsidence are separated by a spasmodic distensive phase during which submarine breccia (Plattenberg and Garzott), MORB (Misox) and allochtones (Garzott and maybe Luzzzone and Güida-Alpettas) were created.

This particular protracted history is not unique to the studied transect. Noteworthy are the analogies existing between the Niesen Middle Jurassic substratum in the Prealps (Badoux and Homewood, 1978; Ringgerberg et al., 2001) and the Güida-Alpettas “Middle Jurassic” (in both there are two detrital inputs separated by a more fine grained and less detrital level). Moreover, several enigmatic late Middle Jurassic–early Upper Jurassic clastic series and Breccias characterise the Lower and Middle Penninic domains, but these are missing in the more external domains, where breccias are quite older (Trümpy, 1975). As examples of enigmatic Penninic breccias we can quote the Brêches du Télégaphes and the Nielard Breccias (Barbier, 1948), the Schamser breccias (Schmid et al., 1990), the Falknis and the Tasna breccias (Gruner, 1981) and the most famous “Brêche Supérieur” in the Breccia Nappe (Schroeder, 1939), which is separated from the Middle Jurassic “Brêche Inférieur” by the “Sichites Ardoisiers”. The domains interested by these latecomer breccias are also affected by a later tectonic activity as reported by Felber (1984) in the Klippen of Central Switzerland, by Septfontaine (1983) in the Préalpes Méridiennes and more recently by Bertok et al. (2011) in the ligurian Briançonnais.

Thus since the scale involved is larger than the local or regional context, the explanation should be of first order (Fig. 10).

We explain this protracted history as follows (partly inspired by Manatschal et al. (2006, 2007), Mohr et al. (2010) and Péron-Pinvidic et al. (2007), Péron-Pinvidic and Manatschal, 2009 and Péron-Pinvidic et al., 2010):

The early phase of rifting is responsible for delocalised crustal thinning and the formation of the Helvetic basins.
The Lower and Middle Jurassic lithospheric thinning is responsible for the creation of the rising area between the internal South Helvetic and the Briançonnais domains by the mechanism evocated in Section 6.2 (heating, phase's transition and “lithospheric sponge”), the opening of the Liguro-Piemontese ocean and localised magmatic activity in the North Penninic. The Briançonnais ribbon is partly isolated from the Continental Europe by the formation of the North Penninic system of rises and basins. Heating by asthenospheric upwelling and decompression allows mineral phase transition (e.g. Spinel → plagioclase) in the lithospheric mantle leading to a decrease in density. Magmatic fluids trapped in the lithospheric mantle enhance the decrease in density. Late Middle Jurassic–Upper Jurassic: Protracted extension leads to extreme crustal thinning, mantle exhumation and important magmatic activity (pillow lavas) in the North Penninic Basin and spreading in the Liguro-Piemontese Ocean. Thermal relaxation near the continental margin leads to the lost of the buoyant lithosphere (Plagioclase → Spinel). The Briançonnais Microcontinent is definitively separated from the continental Europe by the North Penninic. Ad = Adula; Br = Briançonnais; Gt = Gotthard; L-T = Luzzone-Terri; oc = oceanic crust.

7. Discussion on the orogenic history

Given the complex tectonic situation around the Adula front (Fig. 2), the precise position of the Piz Terri–Lunschmania zone in the tectonostratigraphy of the Central Alps is controversial (cf. Baumer, 1964; Cavagna-Sani et al., 2010b; Egli, 1966; Galster et al., 2010; Jenny et al., 1923; Kupferschmid, 1977; Probst, 1980; Schmid et al., 1996; Wiederkehr et al., 2008).

The Ultra-Adula origin of the zone, suggested by Cavagna-Sani et al. (2010b) and Galster et al. (2010) is confirmed by our results. The discovery of the Garzott slices and the understanding of the original mechanical behaviour of the Piz Terri–Lunschmania zone (fold ramps) clarify the complexity of the zone and give coherency even at the apparently complex tectonic situation.

7.1. The Piz Terri–Lunschmania zone: an internal klippen-belt

The Grava unit is thrusted above the Piz Terri–Lunschmania zone and is rooted in the Misox zone, above the Aul unit, the Valser slices and the Adula nappe. However it is folded below the Adula front for several kilometres. To the north, the Grava unit is thrusted above the External Massifs and the Infra-Helvetic nappes. The part of the unit
folded below the Adula nappe is a portion of the thrusting plane and it is probably not the original front. The rootless units located below this plane could be part of the same tectonic system: an “overriding-complex” that, originated from the North Penninic basin, has been thrust above the distal and the proximal Helvetic margin. The Piz-Terri–Lunschania zone could be part of the “overriding-complex”. In fact the “root-zone” of the Piz Terri–Lunschania zone is apparently sandwiched and smashed between the Adula nappe and the Grava unit above and the overturned Grava unit below, a situation that gives to the Piz Terri–Lunschania zone a rootless character. The pre-rift stratigraphy from the Briançonnais carbonate platform to the Helvetic lands is extremely coherent if an Ultra-Adula origin of the Piz Terri–Lunschania zone is considered but become too complex and partitioned for the quiet Triassic period if the zone is regarded as rooted below the Adula nappe. For paleogeographic coherence the Luzzzone-Terri nappe should be rooted between the Aul unit (where the Triassic comprises several tens of metres of (vermiculated?) limestones) and the Garzott slices, the Garzott slices on their side should be rooted between the Luzzzone-Terri nappe and the Valser slices. The Valser slices are rooted between the Aul unit and the Adula nappe. Thus the tectonic order from below to above is: Adula nappe, Valser slices, Garzott slices, Luzzzone-Terri nappe, Aul unit and Grava unit. The Gòuda-Alpettas zone is tectonically intercalated between the Luzzzone-Terri nappe and the Grava unit. Its precise position, relative to the Aul unit is still unknown.

The Darlun zone consists of several imbricated slices thrust above the Luzzzone-Terri nappe. Northeastward it’s flexured around the Adula front above the overturned internal Alpettas zone (Figs. 2 and 11). The flexuration around the overlying nappe is unusual for an originally underlining body and suggests that the Darlun zone is located above the Adula nappe and that it represents the virtual connection between the Piz Terri–Lunschania zone and its homeland. The former connection has been dismembered and hidden by the advancing Adula nappe. In summary, the Piz Terri–Lunschania zone is considered as a klippe of Ultra-Adula origin that, together with the Grava unit, “climbed over” the Adula nappe during early subduction and then has finally been overtaken by the Adula front during the final uplift of the eclogitic nappe. The Piz Terri–Lunschania zone is thus part of an “Internal Klippen Belt” (Fig. 11) pinched between the External Massif (Gottard) and the eclogitic unit (Adula nappe) by the uplift of the latter.

7.2. The Garzott fault: the escape from deep subduction

The existence of the Luzzzone-Terri fold ramps suggests us the manner in which the Luzzzone-Terri nappe achieves the difference in displacement with the Adula nappe. Fold ramps obviously need a ramp. The latter is in direct contact with the deepest part of the developing thrusting complex. In the case of the Penninic nappes of Ultra-Adula origin, the deepest portion of the complex is represented by the Garzott slices, in an advanced position, and by the Lower Valser slices in a recessed position. Both are slices (Schuppenzonen) and both share the same typology of North-Penninic-Triassic facies (which evolve quickly from internal to external area), thus they can be seen as adjacent during their pre-orogenic history (Triassic) and then genetically linked during the orogenesis (Schuppenzonen). We have suggested a supra-detachment affinity for the Jurassic stratigraphic record in the Garzott slices (Section 5.1), close relationships between oceanic (Jurassic) and continental rocks characterise the Valser slices (Dür et al., 1993; Kupferschmid, 1977; Steinmann and Stille, 1999; Wyss and Isler, 2007), suggesting a transition from the continent to the ocean (OCT). Thus proximity and a genetic link between the Garzott “supa-detachment” and the Valser “OCT” seem to exist even during the Jurassic.

Considered the evolution of the Piz Terri–Lunschania zone and that of the Grava unit (see above) the most suitable scenario is that the Garzott slices together with the Valser slices are part of the ramp that allowed the overriding (from an Ultra-Adula to the current position); they have been “sampled” by the advancing penninic mass. During the pre-orogenic history the Garzott slices are part of a supra-detachment system, thus they are separated from an underlying exhumed basement by a detachment system (of which the Garzott fault is part). Since this basement is not currently present (the peculiarity of the Misox zone, compared to the South Penninic ocean, is the scarcity of preserved exhumed mantle rocks) we speculate that it has been subducted with the Adula nappe below the ramp (the rodingite of Alpe Duria and Cima di Gagnone are good candidates, as the Alpe Arami or Chiavenna peridotite and the mafic and ultramafic in the Southern...
Steep Belt). Thus a logical conclusion is that the Jurassic detachment, which allowed crustal thinning during rifting, acted as a ramp for the “detached” units during the Tertiary collision.

8. Conclusion

In this contribution we reported new tectonostratigraphic information from the Central Alps, along a profile located between the Helvetic External Massifs and the Briançonnais Middle Penninic units. Our results suggest that the Jurassic rifting separated the Briançonnais paleogeographic domains from the Helvetic margin by complex and protracted extension. The future deep-water rifted margin stayed at shallower conditions during rifting paroxysm and the first magmatic activity. The distal margin was characterised by a diffuse rising area with only moderately subsiding basins above a thinned continental and proto-oceanic crust. This apparent contradiction is explained by the isostatic response of the lithosphere to density changes in the mantle by heating, mineral phase transition and liquid trapping and by the fact that extreme thinning occurred in a second protracted step. The resulting system is isostatically buoyant. The lost of the buoyancy forces and the protracted extension are responsible for the delamination of the rising area following by strong subsidence. The hints of this second phase are recorded in the enigmatic “Callovian-Oxfordian” breccias distributed in the Penninic domain all along the chain. The northern border of the rising area was characterised by a Triassic substratum different from the typical Briançonnais Triassic and even from the Helvetic one. This new group of Triassic facies is defined here for the first time and is called the North-Penninic-Triassic. This particular Triassic, characterised by the Ladinian “dolomie bicolori” was deposited on the banks of the Briançonnais basin directly south of the Helvetic domain, thus is likely to have acted as connection between the Briançonnais carbonate platform and the Helvetic lands. The eclogitic Adula nappe is characterised by this particular stratigraphic association, this, together with the coherency of the stratigraphic record in the Northern Adula nappe and surrounding areas, questions the idea of a lithospheric mélangé in the Adula nappe. The great and famous eclogitic nappe is more likely to be a coherent alpine nappe that during Mesozoic time experienced a peculiar geodynamic history in the frame of alpine paleogeography. During Tertiary collision the Adula nappe acted as a single tectonic object in a coherent tectonic context. In this scenario the reactivation of Mesozoic extensional structures played a major role in allowing the Adula subduction, the Penninic overriding this scenario the reactivation of Mesozoic extensional structures played as a single tectonic object in a coherent tectonic context. In alpine paleogeography. During Tertiary collision the Adula nappe spheric mélange in the Adula nappe. The great and famous eclogitic characterised by this particular stratigraphic association, this, together with the coherency of the stratigraphic record in the Northern Adula nappe and surrounding areas, questions the idea of a lithospheric mélangé in the Adula nappe. The great and famous eclogitic nappe is more likely to be a coherent alpine nappe that during Mesozoic time experienced a peculiar geodynamic history in the frame of alpine paleogeography. During Tertiary collision the Adula nappe acted as a single tectonic object in a coherent tectonic context. In this scenario the reactivation of Mesozoic extensional structures played a major role in allowing the Adula subduction, the Penninic overriding above it and in creating the structural complexity of the Central Alps.
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