

1 **Connected by sea, disconnected by tuna? Challenges to regionalism in**
2 **the Southwest Indian Ocean**

3 Andriamahefazafy, M.*, Kull, C.A.*, Campling, L.**

4 **Institute of Geography and Sustainability, University of Lausanne, Lausanne,*
5 *Switzerland*

6 *** School of Business and Management, Queen Mary University of London, London,*
7 *United Kingdom*

8 Corresponding author: Mialy Andriamahefazafy, Institute of Geography and
9 Sustainability, Route de la Mouline, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland,
10 mialyzanah.andriamahefazafy@unil.ch

11
12 **Abstract**

13 Madagascar, Mauritius and Seychelles are at the center of industrial tuna extraction in the
14 southwest Indian Ocean. Tuna, a migratory species, travel through the national waters of
15 multiple countries as well as the high seas. This tuna fishery attracts distant water fleets
16 from Spain, France, Japan, and Korea. This paper investigates how the southwest Indian
17 Ocean tuna fishery intersects with regionalism, defined as both the construction of a
18 regional identity and collaboration between countries. We show that while a discourse of
19 regionalism between the three islands is prominent in initiatives such as the Indian Ocean
20 Commission’s promotion of an ‘Indianoceanic identity’, the possibilities of regionalism
21 cooperation face deep challenges in relation to the regional tuna industry. We argue that
22 this is due to three factors. First, local perceptions, especially amongst those working in
23 and on the tuna industry in the three islands, are in disconnection with an ‘Indianoceania’
24 vision. Second, the geopolitics between coastal states and distant water fishing nations
25 create various entanglements including through fishing access revenue and foreign aid.
26 Finally, the materiality of tuna and its migration patterns can at times create competition
27 as countries seek to individually maximize benefits from the industry. While tuna is
28 considered to be the region’s “blue gold”, we argue that the active reinforcement of
29 regional identity and collaboration around this resource among the three islands is
30 necessary to sustain local benefits into the future and ensure the development of a
31 regional vision for the fishery.

32 **Key words:** tuna, regional political ecology, fisheries, Indianoceania, regional
33 cooperation, Madagascar, Mauritius, Seychelles/.

34 This is an authors’ pre-print version of this paper. The final version of record is published by Journal of the Indian Ocean Region and is available at <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/19480881.2018.1561240> or via the DOI permalink: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19480881.2018.1561240>.

The citation of the paper (to be updated with final volume, issue, and page numbers) is
Andriamahefazafy, M, CA Kull & L Campling (2019) Connected by sea, disconnected by tuna? Challenges to regionalism in the Southwest Indian Ocean. Journal of the Indian Ocean Region. doi: 10.1080/19480881.2018.1561240.

35 **1. Introduction**

36 The countries of the Southwest Indian Ocean (SWIO) are involved in various forms of
37 regional collaborations, including through the Indian Ocean Commission (IOC). A
38 stated objective of the IOC is to contribute to the building of an ‘Indianoceanian’
39 identity, promoted as a “regional consciousness of belonging to a common space and a
40 community of island destiny” (IOC, 2013 p. 94). To this end, the IOC, as the
41 coordinating agency in the region, has established four strategic pillars – diplomacy,
42 security, environment and identity building – around which countries can mutually
43 contribute to the sustainable development of the region.

44 Tuna resources are one of the shared assets of the ‘Indianoceanian’¹, producing
45 around 10% of the global catch of commercial tuna species and considered one of the
46 pillars of blue economy in the region (Doyle, 2018). The catch of yellowfin and
47 skipjack was 540,000 tonnes in 2011, out of a global catch of 4.6 million tons
48 (POSEIDON et al. 2014). Several species of tuna are present in the region, migrating
49 between the different Economic Exclusive Zones (EEZs) of the SWIO countries and the
50 high seas. These include the highly commercial species such as albacore, bigeye,
51 skipjack and yellowfin, as well as coastal tuna such as bonitos and frigate tuna that are
52 mainly caught by small-scale fishers and as bycatch in industrial fishing (POSEIDON et
53 al., 2014; van der Elst and Everett, 2015). In the ‘Indeanoceanian’ region and especially
54 the three islands studied, tuna is an important source of trade, employment and foreign
55 revenue. Distant Water Fishing Nations (DWFNs), such as those of the European
56 Union, Japan and Korea, play a major role in the SWIO region. Local tuna fishing,
57 artisanal and semi-industrial, is also an important source of livelihoods and food
58 security, but with catches that are less substantial in quantity (no more than 1,000
59 Mt/year) than in other countries of the Indian Ocean such as Indonesia, Iran or Sri
60 Lanka.² However, in contrast to the Western Central Pacific region where tuna fisheries

¹ Other highly migratory species such as marlin, sailfish and swordfish are also an important component of fisheries management in the SWIO region, as referred to Article 64 of the Convention on the Law of the Sea on regional cooperation for conservation and optimum utilization of highly migratory species. However, these species are beyond the scope of this paper as in the three countries studied, their catches are much lower than those of tuna species – less than 10% in volume of catches within the EEZs (GoMu 2017a; SFA 2016; USTA 2017).

² The three countries studied also have an active sport fishing of tuna and billfishes but this fishery has been less studied and catches are believed to be insignificant in volume compared to catches from industrial purse seine and longline fleets.

61 have been a key driver for cooperation and a catalyst for a shared regional identity
62 between the island countries (Hanich et al., 2010; Miller et al., 2014; Tarte, 2014),
63 regional integration through tuna fisheries in the SWIO has been limited to a few
64 fisheries projects, including attempts to establish the joint exploitation of the fisheries
65 that did not go beyond trial phases (Association thonière 1990; Kasprzyk 1996). For
66 example, the Western Indian Ocean Tuna Organisation (WIOTO) was formed in 1991
67 to counter the dominance of French and Spanish vessel owners over the WIO purse
68 seine fishery (WIOTO 1991).³ It committed members to the regional harmonisation of
69 fisheries policies; collaboration in members' relations with distant water fishing nations
70 to increase benefits from tuna resources; cooperation in fisheries surveillance and
71 enforcement; and mutual access to the EEZs of WIOTO members (Marashi 1996;
72 Michaud 1992). Several coastal state observers saw genuine benefits from the WIOTO
73 (Campling 2012b), but it was a still-born institution. Only a handful of members
74 remained by the mid-1990s (Seychelles, Mauritius, Comoros and India), other parties to
75 the organisation did not appear to take it seriously (Marashi 1996; WIOTC 1991), and
76 France undermined the organization because of the explicit challenge to its tuna fleet
77 (Campling 2012b).

78 We argue in this paper that while sub-regional initiatives have helped the
79 management of tuna fisheries, they have not contributed to the construction of a
80 regional identity nor built a strong tuna-related collaboration. Due to their socio-
81 economic interests, their historical entanglements with DWFNs, and the current highly
82 capitalized model of resource extraction, the countries of the region are struggling to
83 create a unified voice and vision regarding tuna fisheries. The paper proceeds in stages:
84 after a description of the methods we present the historical background of SWIO
85 regionalism. We then discuss regional realities at three scales: locally through local
86 fishers and tuna workers, then at national and regional scales. Finally, we investigate the
87 role of the biophysical dynamics of tuna and the sea in shaping regional interactions.
88 We conclude with some pathways towards the construction of the 'Indianoceania'
89 regionalism through tuna fisheries.

³ Signatories to the Convention establishing the WIOTO were Comoros, India, Kenya, Madagascar, Maldives, Mauritius, Mozambique, Seychelles, Sri Lanka and Tanzania.

90 2. **Conceptual approach and methods**

91 By regionalism we refer to regional collaboration and cooperation between countries as
92 well as the social construction of a regional identity through contextualized practices
93 and narratives (Paasi, 2003; Tarte, 2014). To explore these dynamics, we draw on three
94 sets of conceptual tools. First, we use insights from regional political ecology to unravel
95 the complexity of regionalism in the SWIO and its manifestation through tuna fisheries.
96 Political ecology has been mainly used as an approach to understand the role of political
97 economy dynamics in environmental change and in turn how the latter conditions the
98 realms of possibility of the former (Blaikie & Brookfield, 1987; Peet et al., 2011;
99 Robbins 2012). It can also involve adopting a post-structuralist outlook to analyze how
100 discourses, identities, and policy narratives shape practices, including natural resource
101 management (Agrawal, 2005; Forsyth, 2003; Gautier & Benjaminsen 2012). Regional
102 Political Ecology (RPE), as a variation, has been interested in the idea of the region
103 through a range of conceptual lenses. Originally established to integrate the local use of
104 resources with broader structural processes and environmental conditions (Blaikie &
105 Brookfield 1987), it has evolved to include discussions of how scalar dynamics
106 politicize environmental problems at the regional level (Rangan & Kull 2009) and
107 investigations of the discursive construction of regions and regional classifications
108 (Galt, 2016; Simon, 2016). As Simon puts it “regions are performative and capable of
109 doing work analytically, discursively and materially” (Simon, 2016, p. 199). Through
110 examples drawn from tuna fisheries, we assess the SWIO as a region, using socio-
111 economic and environmental dimensions, and reveal connections across multiple scales.
112 The use of regional political ecology is particular relevant to our account of regionalism
113 through tuna fisheries as we pay particular attention to ‘natural’ resource use and
114 politics in the making of a region.⁴

115 Second, we attempt to place national decision-making regarding tuna in a more
116 structural and historical context. We draw from insights and inputs regarding the
117 political economy of tuna fisheries (Guillotreau & Le Roy 2001; Barclay and
118 Cartwright 2007; Guillotreau et al. 2008; Havice and Campling 2010; Barnes and
119 Mfodwo 2012; Campling 2012a; Campling and Havice 2018) and place tuna extraction
120 in the broader context of natural resource industries where competitive interactions

⁴ For a theorisation of the role of ‘natural’ resource industries in the global economy, see Baglioni and Campling (2017).

121 among actors can lead to unsustainable use of resources (Campling and Havice 2014;
122 Longo and Clausen 2011; McWhinnie 2009; Baglioni and Campling 2017). We also
123 explore the role of geopolitical and economic factors in influencing regional dynamics
124 and the implications for cooperation among the three countries studied. In this process
125 we aim to “comprehend the role of large geopolitical institutions and environmental
126 change” (Bigger & Neimark, 2017, p. 20). In particular, we analyze the role of extra-
127 regional institutions such as the EU in shaping access to tuna and regional cooperation
128 in the region (see also, Campling 2017).

129 Third, we explore the role of ecological processes in shaping interactions
130 between countries and the implications for regionalism in the SWIO. The materiality of
131 non-human actors like tuna and the ocean “play an important political role in
132 explanation” (Robbins, 2003, p. 643). This reflects a ‘material turn’ in political ecology
133 (Bennett, 2010; Walker, 2005), which explores the active dynamics of non-humans and
134 highlights the importance of biophysical ecology in socio-environmental research.
135 Specifically, we discuss the role of tuna behavior and the physical geography of the sea
136 in shaping interactions between countries involved in tuna fisheries.

137 The results presented here are based on interviews, analysis of official reports,
138 and observations in Madagascar, Seychelles, and Mauritius, as the principal
139 independent tuna economies with active tuna ports and canneries (Comoros, Mayotte
140 and Reunion have a less important role in the industrial tuna fishery). Semi-structured
141 interviews were used to gather perspectives of stakeholders regarding regional
142 collaboration in tuna fisheries at different levels. Stakeholders were identified by
143 contacting the national fisheries agency as well as through spending 90 days in each
144 country in well-known fishing villages and at the fishing ports. The interviews took
145 place in 2017 and the first quarter of 2018. Stakeholders included 35 small-scale fishers
146 and industrial tuna boat crew, 18 government officials including staff of fisheries
147 departments, monitoring and surveillance officers and statistic officers, 5 tuna
148 processing company staff members and 4 NGO representatives involved in fisheries
149 management (Table 1). We also collected information regarding regional projects linked
150 to tuna fisheries through the analysis of reports from governmental archives and the
151 Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC), as well as online content found on the IOC
152 and EU websites. We triangulated these sources and captured the interactions between
153 countries of the SWIO and DWFNs through observations of two regional meetings: the

154 22nd session of the IOTC in May 2018 and the Eighth Session of the Southwest Indian
 155 Ocean Fisheries Commission (SWIOFC) in March 2017.

156

Stakeholder interviewed	Type of questions asked
Small scale fishers	Interaction with other fishers and knowledge about other fishers in the SWIO region
Industrial tuna boat crew	Knowledge about other crew and fishers working in the SWIO and location of fishing activity and landing ports
Government officials	Stakes regarding tuna fisheries and interests and obstacles to a regional collaboration in tuna fisheries
Processing company staff	Knowledge about and interaction with other cannery staff from the SWIO region
NGO representatives	Drivers and obstacles to regional collaboration in tuna fisheries in the SWIO

157 Table 1: Types of stakeholders interviewed and content of interview questions

158 **3. SWIO regionalism and the case study countries**

159 The Indian Ocean is typified as “a space of trade” (Steinberg, 2001). It has long
 160 experienced exchanges of goods, people, animals and plants both before and after the
 161 colonial period (Beaujard, 2005; Boivin et al., 2013; Fuma, 2013). The Southwest part
 162 of the Indian Ocean is a discrete – and somewhat more marginal – region in these
 163 historical networks of exchange around the rim of the ocean (Beaujard, 2005; Moorthy,
 164 2010), with Seychelles and the Mascarene islands thought to be uninhabited before
 165 European colonialism. Commonalities between the countries of the region include their
 166 insular characteristic, their strong colonial history and the different waves of settlements
 167 creating from Africa, south and south-east Asia (Horeau 2013; IOC, 2013). As a result
 168 of these commonalities, it might be expected that “indianoceanic” space be cultivated
 169 among people in the region, linking shared history, identity, cultural heritage, and
 170 development aspirations among the islands of Comoros, Madagascar, Mauritius,
 171 Mayotte, Reunion, Rodrigues, and Seychelles. However, such an identity is not yet
 172 strong. Indeed, “indianoceanic” identity is mainly promoted by the Indian Ocean

173 Commission (IOC) through various projects and collaborations between the member
174 countries ranging from diplomacy to external trade and economic development, and
175 from environmental protection to regional cultural interactions. Established in 1984, the
176 IOC originally consisted of the five island countries: Madagascar, Seychelles,
177 Mauritius, Comoros, and France (for Reunion). A formative objective of the IOC is to
178 improve relations and cooperation between these countries. Funded by diverse sources
179 including the member countries and especially major donors such as the EU and the
180 World Bank, the IOC has carried out several tuna-related projects, including
181 SMARTFISH, “des thons et des hommes” and the Regional Fisheries Monitoring
182 Program (PRSP, or Programme régional de surveillance des pêches).

183 Madagascar, Mauritius and Seychelles are at the center of the SWIO tuna fishery – each
184 having an active industrial fishing port and tuna canneries. However, they have
185 distinctive socio-economic contexts and different levels and types of articulations with
186 the tuna resources and the industry (Table 2). Socio-economically, Madagascar is much
187 poorer than its two neighbors, with around 25 million people, it is ranked at 154 (out of
188 188 countries) in the Human Development Index ranking, while Seychelles and
189 Mauritius have much smaller populations and are classified as countries with high
190 human development, ranked respectively at 63 and 64 (UNDP 2016). Tuna fisheries
191 play a central role in Seychelles’ economy compared to in Mauritius, while the latter’s
192 GDP is almost 10 times higher than Seychelles (Sellström 2015, UNDP 2016).
193 Madagascar’s economy is focused on agricultural cash crops and has an under-
194 developed national tuna fishery mostly composed of small-scale coastal fishing and a
195 handful of semi-industrial boats. In Mauritius, has a more developed small-scale tuna
196 fishery and a semi-industrial fleet. Mauritius also hosts the main transshipment port for
197 foreign longliners operating in regional waters. In Seychelles, tuna fisheries are at the
198 center of the economy. In 2011, the tuna industry contributed to more than 90% of
199 exports (Marsac et al. 2014). Seychelles has an important national semi-industrial tuna
200 fleet and a small scale fishery that also catches tuna, without targeting it. Seychelles
201 plays a key role in the tuna fisheries of the SWIO, having the most important port for
202 the purse seine vessels to land and transship, largely by virtue of being in the middle of
203 tuna fishing grounds (Campling 2012a). The three countries all host industrial fishing
204 by European and Asian fleets. Data available within the three countries are more
205 comprehensive regarding purse seine, which land at the three ports, compared to

206 longliners which either land their fish in Mauritius or transship at sea.

	Madagascar	Mauritius	Seychelles
Approximate yearly catch within the EEZ	15,000 MT	4,500 MT	80,000 MT
Landing for canneries from purse seiners	13,295 MT in 2016	53,256 MT in 2014	65,500 MT in 2016
Transshipment/ landing at port	5,823 MT in 2016	~140,000 MT/year	262,798 in 2016
Employment generated from the industrial sector [direct and indirect]	7,500 in 2017	7,207 in 2015	7,000 in 2009

207 **Table 2:** Key articulations with tuna extraction in the three islands.

208 Sources: For Madagascar: Breuil, C. & Grima, D. 2014; OEPA 2017; USTA 2017;

209 For Mauritius: COFREPECHE et al. 2016; Gillet 2011; GoMU 2017a; World Bank
210 2017, World Bank 2017, World Bank 2017 (Appendix 2);

211 For Seychelles: Campling 2012b; Gillet 2011; IOTC 2017; IOTC 2018

212

213 **4. Regionalism among tuna fishers and laborers**

214 In this section we investigate the perceptions of local people working in tuna fisheries
215 on the region. We unfold discursive representations of the region as seen by those
216 working on tuna. We argue that the proposed idea of ‘Indianoceanian’, strongly built on
217 the shared French heritage and language , supplemented by shared elements of creole
218 culture for the Mascarenes and Seychelles, as well as common history, overlooks the
219 opaque connections between local people working in tuna fisheries. This creates a
220 region where stakeholders involved in tuna are disassociated with regional identity. We
221 illustrate this argument with two examples.

222 **4.1. Tuna workers and an ‘Indianoceanian’ identity?**

223 In a press release in 2016 on regional monitoring, the IOC emphasized the importance
224 of tuna fisheries for the region as a common natural capital (IOC, 2016). Yet,
225 discussions with local stakeholders involved in the tuna fishery on regional identity
226 shows a more nuanced picture.

227 Interviews with fishers in the three countries studied build a picture of an
228 ‘Indianoceanica’ region with disconnected people when it comes to tuna fisheries.
229 Amongst 35 fishers interviewed, only five had knowledge of interactions in tuna
230 fisheries: two fishers in Madagascar knew there were other Malagasies working in the
231 Seychelles cannery, one in Mauritius knew that some Seychellois were also fishing tuna
232 in the waters of Mauritius, and two fishers in Seychelles had contacts with Malagasy
233 and Mauritian fishers. Very few knew about the potential in the different countries of
234 tangible collaboration in tuna fisheries. Crews of semi-industrial boats in Seychelles for
235 example are mainly Sri Lankan. When asked about working with other fishers from the
236 region, 20 fishers in Seychelles could only mention Sri-Lankans. While the latter have
237 built skills in longline fishing over decades (Hewamanage, 2010; Pajot, 1978), regional
238 initiatives have not succeeded in linking tuna fishers within the region who could
239 benefit from each other’s strengths, such as tuna fishers from Madagascar, or local
240 fishing vessels in the Seychelles, or fishes to use for bait from Mauritius.

241 Involvement of local people in the tuna industry occurs more frequently through
242 work at the canneries. For instance, Malagasy laborers work in Mauritius and
243 Seychelles. One might assume that such working relations build regional identity
244 through working on a shared resource. However, the reality depicts a common picture
245 of work migration for higher wages without local integration (de Haas, 2010; Craig,
246 2015). For instance, Malagasy tuna workers in Seychelles feel marginalized. a
247 Malagasy worker based in Seychelles for three years stated “they do not really like us
248 here, they think we are only poor and low level workers for the cannery” (Personal
249 Communication (PC) 01, cannery worker). While migrant workers recognize the
250 improved social and economic conditions they are experiencing in Seychelles compared
251 to Madagascar, the tuna workers do not feel integrated nor part of an ‘Indianoceanica’
252 community (PC 02 and 03, cannery workers in Seychelles). The geographical proximity
253 of ‘Indianoceanica’ countries has allowed the practice of work migration, yet everyday
254 practices and experiences of the workers do not appear to have led to the development
255 of a regional identity.

256 These two illustrations show that at the local level, tuna fishers and cannery
257 workers see themselves more as individuals than part of a regional community linked by
258 the fishery. Despite the region being advertised as ‘islands, close and united’ (IOC,
259 2013), local livelihoods are detached from regionalism.

260 *4.2. Bringing regional artisanal fishers together*

261 To address the previous lack of connections between the Indianoceanic people, the IOC,
262 under its SMARTFISH program, mainly funded by the EU, supported in 2015 the
263 development of a federation of professional associations of small-scale fishers of the
264 Indian Ocean (FPAOI for Fédération des pêcheurs artisans de l’océan Indien). By 2017,
265 the FPAOI included 18 professional organizations and associations representing
266 artisanal fishers in the five member countries (FPAOI, 2017). The aim of the federation,
267 according to a press release, is “to allow an efficient and informed participation of
268 fishers to decision-making processes regarding the management of fisheries in the
269 Southwest Indian Ocean” (IOC, 2015a). While the activities of the Federation are aimed
270 at fisheries in general, it is also involved in activities linked to tuna fisheries. The
271 Federation has brought members together for workshops (for instance on fish handling),
272 and for retreats to develop a regional management plan for coastal tuna fisheries (IOC,
273 2018). It has also undertaken advocacy at the IOTC for better tuna management, such as
274 reducing the use of fish aggregating devices or criticizing the historical catch approach
275 of distant water fishing nations in discussions of allocations as impeding the
276 management efforts of coastal states (FPAOI, 2017; Personal observation). When
277 interviewed about involvement at IOTC, one member of the FPAOI declared “the
278 presence of small-scale fishers at the IOTC has helped the adoption of more
279 management measures since 2016, we have expressed the high stake that the fisheries
280 represent for us for livelihood and food security” (PC 04, fisher member of FPAOI).
281 These activities of the FPAOI effectively bring fisher representatives together and
282 involves them in policy-making.

283 An important point here is that the principal source of funding for FPAOI
284 activities to-date is the EU through the IOC; the ability of FPAOI members, the fishers,
285 to undertake activities is dependent on this funding. This may be problematic as the EU
286 is the same actor that fiercely negotiates within the IOTC to adopt measures that are less
287 beneficial to the local fishers of the region (Hussain, 2018) or increase its fishing
288 opportunities in countries’ EEZs at a questionable price (Standing, 2016). This indirect
289 dependency on an actor with sometimes conflicting interests represents a paradox for
290 the Federation’s viability in the longer term.

291 Here we can see that the FPAOI represents an important opportunity in building
292 the identity of a region of tuna fishers through connecting small-scale fishers and

293 building a regional voice in policy making. However, the current dependency of the
294 Federation upon external funding could jeopardize its success if the it does not develop
295 independent mechanisms to sustain itself in the future.

296 **5. Geopolitics, dependency, and regional cooperation**

297 A second factor that intervenes with regionalism in the SWIO is the geopolitical
298 economy (Bigger and Neimark, 2017; Glassman, 2017) of tuna fisheries. Here we
299 investigate larger-scale institutional and power-laden processes at work in the
300 production of region-defining resources like tuna, recognizing that “geopolitics are
301 always being expressed spatially and socio-spatial relations always being expressed in
302 part through forms of geopolitical power” (Glassman, 2017, p.411). In the context of
303 tuna fisheries in the Indian and Pacific Oceans, Havice and Campling have shown how
304 the development of tuna fisheries over the past few decades has intertwined global
305 market regimes, commodity demands, technological and organizational innovation, and
306 the ecology of the resource, leading to dependency by coastal states on distant fishing
307 powers but also building leverage for local claims to resource sovereignty (Havice and
308 Campling 2010; Campling, 2012a; Campling & Havice, 2014; Havice & Campling,
309 2017; Havice, 2018).

310 Drawing on these insights, we make the point that SWIO countries and
311 especially the three countries studied have been entangled historically and economically
312 with distant water fishing nations (DWFNs) which influences oscillations in their
313 positions when it comes to negotiating about tuna fisheries. We provide three examples
314 of problematic regional integration: catch allocation discussions, surveillance and
315 monitoring, and bilateral fishing access agreements.

316 **5.1. Catch allocation dilemmas**

317 Negotiations over catch allocation were initiated within the IOTC in 2011 and continue
318 to this day (IOTC, 2011; IOTC, 2018). The three countries studied and the DWFNs
319 accessing tuna resources in SWIO waters are member parties of the IOTC and actively
320 involved in this discussion. At the meeting we observed in 2018 there was a substantial
321 divide between the members of the IOTC regarding catch allocations. On one side is a
322 group of DWFNs, particularly Japan, Korea, China, France and Spain (the latter two
323 represented by the EU), who dominate the industrial extraction of tuna in the Indian

324 Ocean. On the other side, there are the 21 coastal states of the Indian Ocean, including
325 the three islands studied, known as the G16 group (named after Article XVI of the
326 IOTC agreement, acknowledging the sovereign rights of coastal states over living
327 resources in their EEZs) (IOTC, 1993). The two sides have put forward distinctive
328 proposals. The DWFNs, led by the EU, propose to allocate 85% of the catch based on
329 historical catch in the Indian Ocean (IOTC, 2018), which would give DWFNs effective
330 rights over the vast majority of future catches. Whereas a proposal from the G16, led by
331 Maldives, seeks to allocate catch based on more criteria: a baseline for all coastal states,
332 historical catch, and supplementary allocations for catch on the high seas and for small
333 island states and developing coastal states (IOTC, 2018).

334 Madagascar, Mauritius and Seychelles had three very different positions
335 regarding the G16 proposal: Seychelles was a strong co-sponsor of the proposal;
336 Madagascar and Mauritius were not co-sponsors. The delegation of Seychelles for
337 example pressured the Commission to make progress on the allocation issue, whereas
338 DWFNs wanted a more cautionary approach of looking in more detail at the proposals
339 through simulations (IOTC, 2018; pers. obs.). The Seychelles position can be explained
340 by the increasing development of its national tuna fisheries (Seychelles-flagged boats)
341 as well as a long-standing active engagement in seeking to capture greater gains from
342 the SWIO tuna industry (e.g. Campling 2012b). Mauritius used the IOTC fora to make
343 sovereignty claims over the Chagos Archipelagos (administered by the UK as the
344 British Indian Ocean Territory), repeatedly asking that the UK does not received any
345 allocation due to its illegitimate presence in the Indian Ocean, despite the UK not
346 having expressed support for any of the two proposals (IOTC, 2018; pers. obs.).
347 Madagascar kept largely silent, only intervening to ask for a collaborative approach and
348 recognition of the rights of the coastal states (Pers. obs.). When asked about this
349 position, one delegate declared “we have to see how things unfold, we support the G16
350 but this is a very sensitive issue for us” (PC 05, government official).

351 These interactions demonstrate an absence of ‘Indianoceanian’ regional
352 collaboration and cooperation. The three countries studied have distinctive priorities and
353 are not acting as a harmonized region. In effect, coastal countries are using tuna
354 discussions to defend territorial sovereignty, illustrating Havice’s (2018) ‘more than
355 territorial’ way of reclaiming state power. Geopolitical struggles between the UK and
356 Mauritius prevented the latter from joining the G16 position, instead prioritizing its

357 sovereignty claims. Seychelles shows itself as a geopolitical leader amongst the coastal
358 countries and therefore carries a position in favor of the G16 proposal. Madagascar,
359 more reliant on foreign aid for the development of its fisheries, adopted a more
360 cautionary approach.

361 ***5.2. Foreign aid dependency and regional competition***

362 A second example of how geopolitical and economic entanglements contribute to
363 limited regional integration is the aid dependency of coastal countries on DWFNs.
364 Valuable tuna fisheries are an important dimension for these entanglements. The
365 European Union, Japan, and China are major donors of development aid and fisheries
366 aid. The EU is for example engaged in a national development aid program amounting
367 to €518m in Madagascar covering governance, infrastructure and rural development
368 (European Union, 2016a). In 2017, Japan invested €370m in the extension of the Port
369 of Toamasina in Madagascar (Hanazaki, 2017). In Mauritius and Seychelles, where the
370 level of economic development is higher, there are also contributions from those
371 DWFNs. Under the 11th European Development Fund for example, there is a €9.9m
372 program for Mauritius (European Union, 2016b) and a €2.2m program for Seychelles
373 (European Union, 2014a). Aid contributions influence how coastal states interact with
374 DWFNs and with each other, as seen in the Pacific Islands region for example (Tarte,
375 1997).

376 Fishing access agreements play a key role in the making of foreign aid in the
377 SWIO region. The EU is the most prominent example, where revenue from access
378 agreements includes sectoral support dedicated to the improvement of fisheries and
379 fisheries policy in the host countries. In the three countries studied, this sectoral support
380 has over the years contributed to the construction of core infrastructure such as fisheries
381 agency buildings and ports. It has also served to fund different projects within fisheries
382 departments including the registration of small-scale fishers in Madagascar, the
383 improvement of port infrastructure used by small-scale fishers in Seychelles, and the
384 improvement of patrolling capacity in Mauritius (European Union, 2014b; GoS 2011,
385 2013; COFREPECHE et al., 2015). Such contributions, which date back to the
386 beginning of SWIO industrial fisheries in the 1980s, have created a strong relation
387 between the independent island countries involved in fishing access agreements with
388 DWFNs. These interactions can constitute drivers of different positions taken by
389 governments at regional tuna meetings such as the IOTC (PC 06, government official;

390 Tarte, 1997). This appears to be a contributing factor preventing countries like
391 Madagascar and Mauritius from joining or strongly supporting the G16 proposal
392 discussed above.

393 Another example of the role of broader political economy dynamics on the
394 relationship between coastal states is how it fosters competition more than cooperation.
395 The three countries studied all have landing ports, canneries and fishing grounds with
396 different levels of productivity, as illustrated in Table 2 (Kaplan et al., 2014;
397 POSEIDON et al., 2014). The three canneries were originally built in collaboration with
398 foreign private companies. Mauritius saw its cannery built in the 1970s with funding
399 from Japanese companies (Campling, 2012b, p. 434), Seychelles in 1987 in
400 collaboration with French and Spanish companies (Campling, 2012a; Marsac et al.
401 2014, p. 222), and Madagascar in 1990 with French companies (Gilbert &
402 Rabenomanana, 1996). While those infrastructures all brought economic development
403 to the countries, they were not built with a regional vision. Fishing operators land at the
404 most economically efficient port, mainly Port Victoria, Seychelles and at as a last resort
405 in Antsiranana, Madagascar. Industrial tuna fisheries in the SWIO have been driven by
406 a continuous need to satisfy demands of commodity production and by capitalist logics
407 of extraction (Campling, 2012a). This unequal dynamic of extraction is not uncommon
408 in marine fisheries and especially in tuna fisheries where mainly foreign fishing fleets
409 use the resources to maximize their profitability at the expense of host countries,
410 themselves often constrained by the need for revenue, leading to unsustainable levels of
411 catch (Campling and Havice 2014; Longo and Clark 2012, Schurman 1998).
412 Governments seeking to sustain economic benefits from the fishery are favorable to
413 access agreements and try to improve port infrastructure to encourage the landing of
414 tuna in their country. Regional integration in tuna fisheries is thus challenged by the
415 global economy of tuna production that puts coastal and island countries in competition
416 among each other, undermining their capacity to harmonize their actions.

417 ***5.3. Successful stories with grey areas***

418 The region does exhibit examples of successful regional collaboration. These are the
419 regional monitoring program and the bilateral fishing access agreements between
420 Mauritius and Seychelles. Their success, however, is tainted by geopolitical
421 interventions, notably by the influential role played by DWFNs in these initiatives.

422 The most successful example of regional integration is the Regional Fisheries
423 Monitoring Program or PRSP (“Programme Regional de Surveillance des Pêches”), an
424 intergovernmental project led by the IOC. The program started in 2007, mainly funded
425 by the EU. It encompasses a system of satellite data sharing as well as joint and
426 collaborative surveillance in the EEZs of IOC members. Each member sends patrolling
427 agents from their respective countries as well as patrol vessels that are jointly used for
428 surveillance in the SWIO region (IOC, 2015b). In the past ten years, the program has
429 been considered as having drastically diminished illegal fishing in the region through
430 “45 regional patrols, 930 hours of air surveillance and more than 70 offences recorded”
431 (IOC, 2016). When asked about the program, officials in the three countries
432 acknowledged the improvement that the program has brought to the fight against illegal,
433 unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing in the waters of the IOC countries. In 2016,
434 the EU committed another €1.5 million to support the program (IOC, 2016) and in
435 2017, a new declaration was made to confirm the interest of countries in pursuing the
436 program and the fight against IUU (IOC, 2017). The PRSP is therefore a good
437 illustration where common interests bring countries together. The program could thus
438 be an important catalyst for regional identity. As expressed by an interviewee in
439 Madagascar, “protection of the resources and fight against IUU bring cohesion in the
440 region” (PC 07, patrolling inspector).

441 A point worth exploring is the involvement of the EU in the funding of the
442 program and by extension its funding of IOC activities. The contribution of the EU
443 serves its own interests in that the French and Spanish boats dominate the regional purse
444 seine fishery (Campling 2012a) and this puts into question the argument that this is an
445 example of regional interests coalescing. With its flagged vessels operating in the
446 waters of IOC members, funding the PRSP largely benefits EU fishing operators, whose
447 catches are protected from other non-EU entities fishing illegally in the region. One
448 interviewee expressed that “countries have to be aware that the EU also gives us money
449 to protect its own interest, they benefit from their own investment in the region” (PC 08,
450 government official).

451 Another successful collaboration within the SWIO region is the reciprocal
452 fishing access agreements between Mauritius and the Seychelles since the 1990s. These
453 agreements allow reciprocity in terms of fishing grounds: specifically licensed boats
454 from each country have access to both EEZs. For the agreement signed in 2017 for two

455 years, 25 fishing boats (purse seiners/longliners) from both countries were to operate in
456 the EEZs of the two countries at a fee of \$110,000/\$30,000 per boat per year to be paid
457 by Mauritius vessels and \$30,000/\$24,000 per boat per year to be paid by Seychelles
458 vessels (Seychelles Nation, 2017). Considering that neither of the two countries have
459 national industrial purse seiners and that they both have a limited number of national
460 longliners (GoS, 2016; GoMU, 2017b; pers. obs.), it can be concluded that the
461 agreement covers boats that are foreign-owned but flagged in one or the other country.
462 Foreign operators from Spain, France and Taiwan flag their boats from Mauritius or
463 Seychelles against a flagging fee. In the Seychelles for example, 13 purse seiners and 45
464 longliners used the Seychelles flag in 2015 (GoS 2016). Flagging can be seen as
465 beneficial for both parties: it adds to the national fleet of the coastal countries, provides
466 a flagging revenue, and effectively allows foreign fishing companies to use more
467 vessels than those authorized under a bilateral agreement. Such strategies are however
468 questionable. They increase fishing capacity in the region at a time where the IOTC is
469 trying to implement measures to rebuild the tuna stock (IOTC, 2017). The fishing
470 activities of those vessels also present other challenges, such as the difficulty of obtain
471 accurate statistics of catch and effort, or the fact that they may fall under dubious tax
472 regulations and ambiguous labor standards (Campling & Colás 2017).

473 This section has shown that cooperation regarding tuna fisheries at the regional
474 level is paved with socio-economic and geopolitical obstacles. Existing successes
475 remain dependent on external actors that ultimately benefit from both the collaboration
476 and lack of regional agreement.

477 **6. The role of tuna and the sea**

478 Our third entry point to discussing challenges to regionalism in the SWIO is the role of
479 biophysical and geographical aspects of tuna resources and the sea in the making of the
480 region. We look at ways how relationships, interactions and associations between
481 entities – humans and non-humans – stabilize or disrupt a particular socio-political
482 order (Haraway, 2003; Robbins, 2012; Whatmore, 2002). Campling (2012a), for
483 example, showed that the diversity of tuna species, their biological characteristics but
484 also their migration patterns influence conditions of production, requiring fishing
485 operators to use technology and specific fishing methods.

486 There are various species of tuna, from the five main commercial species
487 including albacore, bigeye, bluefin, skipjack, and yellowfin, to coastal species such as
488 bullet tuna, frigate tuna and kawakawa. They are present at different depths, distances
489 from the coast and at different times of the year (Dagorn, 1994; Nikolic & Bourjea,
490 2013; Reygondeau et al. 2012). Tuna in the SWIO move between the countries' EEZs
491 as well as across invisible lines dividing the EEZs and the high seas. Skipjack, for
492 example, are highly mobile and undertake long distance movements. They can be found
493 in the Mozambique channel and the waters of Seychelles between March and June then
494 move towards the northwest Indian Ocean until around November (Campling 2012a;
495 Fonteneau 2014). Other species can be found all year long in different countries' waters
496 or undertaking a circular journey. Yellowfin and bigeye tuna for example are found in
497 the waters of Madagascar, Mauritius and Seychelles between April and December and
498 further offshore between November and March. Coastal tuna are mostly present all year
499 in the coastal waters of the countries (Kaplan et al. 2014, Sabarros et al. 2017, analysis
500 of the authors). Under the Law of the Sea, Article 62, tuna fall under the sovereign
501 rights of states while in their EEZs and foreign fleets must negotiate fishing access
502 agreements, while Article 64 requires regional cooperation. In the West and Central
503 Pacific Ocean, purse seine access arrangements operate at a sub-regional scale, with
504 Pacific island countries (PICs) cooperating effectively as a group in their Vessel Day
505 Scheme (VDS) negotiations with DWFNs (Havice 2013; Fry & Tarte, 2015). The
506 underpinning advantage that PICs enjoy is that tuna populations targeted in the purse
507 seine fishery – skipjack and juvenile yellowfin – tend to migrate for the majority of their
508 lives through the interlocking EEZs of these countries. With the result that access to the
509 fish at most points requires cooperation with at least one PIC and thus, to survive
510 commercially, DWFNs must cooperate with the VDS. The limits of this approach
511 appear to be found in the PIC attempt to apply a VDS to the longline fishery, where
512 target species – bigeye and adult yellowfin – tend to exist for considerable periods
513 *outside* of EEZs, with the effect that some DWFNs have so-far been able to avoid
514 participation and concentrate effort in the high seas (Campling et al. 2017).

515 In the SWIO, Madagascar, Mauritius and Seychelles each have individual access
516 agreements with the EU and/or Asian fishing associations, a situation that has not
517 changed since the 1980s (Gagern & van den Bergh, 2013; Le Manach et al., 2013). This
518 difficulty of applying the approach used by PICs is that the catch of industrial fishing

519 and especially purse seiners in the Indian Ocean is mostly in the high seas. Individual
520 negotiations put each country in the position of negotiating with more powerful and
521 organized entities with access to better information (Standing, 2016). Furthermore, it
522 incentivizes countries to get the most benefit out of the tuna resource while it is in their
523 waters. One interviewee when asked about fishing access agreements made the
524 comment that “tuna moves, if they are not caught in our waters, it will be caught in the
525 waters of the other islands around so it is a loss of revenue for the country to not have
526 those agreements” (PC 09, government official). Without working actively on a regional
527 access strategy, the movement of tuna populations can disrupt the regionalism that
528 countries are working towards and isolates countries in the negotiation sphere.

529 In addition to the movement of tuna, the geography of the sea itself plays an
530 important role. The productivity of the Indian Ocean is not homogenous and depends on
531 factors such as the summer monsoon winds, the periodic upwelling and the productivity
532 of local ecosystems (Kaplan et al., 2014; POSEIDON et al. 2014). Seychelles is blessed
533 with the most productive waters, especially for the commercial tuna species. Catches in
534 Madagascar’s EEZ rely essentially on the Mozambique Channel’s productivity for
535 species like yellowfin and skipjack and on the Southern Ocean for albacore, bigeye and
536 bluefin tuna, while Mauritius’s waters are the least productive of the region (Fonteneau,
537 2010; Gillet, 2011). This variability in productivity implies that countries have different
538 levels of leverage in their access agreements negotiations, again undermining a common
539 approach to the access. Such differentiation ultimately mitigates against attempt at
540 regionalizing the tuna fishery or its governance.

541 It is worth elaborating on the jurisdictional reach of the island nations and its
542 relationship to control over resource access. The three case study countries all border
543 the high seas which means that tuna comes in and out of EEZs and is followed into the
544 high seas by industrial vessels. More than 50% of the catches by Seychelles’ flagged
545 vessels (purse seiners and longliners) are made in the high seas (GoS, 2016). Similarly,
546 in 2014, 51% of the catches from EU purse seiners took place in the high seas
547 (POSEIDON et al. 2014, p. 84). Because the SWIO region is adjacent to large high
548 sea areas (in contrast to the PICs and the purse seine tuna species), countries do not
549 have control over the extraction of tuna resources beyond their EEZs. A 2018 study
550 using satellite data from fishing vessels showed that a high number of suspicious
551 transshipment activities were taking place at the border of EEZs (Miller et al., 2018). In

552 the current lack of control over fishing in the high seas, regional initiatives towards
553 management and access to the resources by SWIO countries will have limited success
554 without institutionally complex and politically risky innovations, that may well require
555 brinkmanship and the short-term loss of tuna-related revenues. Further, while the IOTC
556 has management authority over tuna fishing activities in the high seas, the adoption of
557 management measures within the IOTC is dependent on the political will of its
558 members who often have a challenging time to reach consensus on effective resolutions.

559 We have seen that tuna populations and the sea are important dimensions that
560 influence extractive strategies and limit the scope of political will. While tuna could be
561 a unifying resource requiring a regional vision, the current geopolitics and economics of
562 the fishery in the region make the materiality of the resources a barrier to collaboration.
563 Moreover, as a highly migratory resource, the state of the resources in national waters
564 will ultimately be affected by fishing activities in the high seas, which fall under the
565 management remit of the IOTC but which depends on the political will of IOTC
566 members for the implementation of measures.

567 7. Conclusion

568 The ‘Indianoceanica’ vision clearly has some way to go before it takes hold within tuna
569 fisheries. Efforts have been made but those have limitations that need to be addressed.
570 A regional political ecology approach has enlightened our understanding on three
571 fronts. First, it showed that in tuna fisheries, a regional identity is largely absent at the
572 local level despite regional work migration and policy-related collaborations. Second, it
573 highlighted the operation of political-economic dependencies in geopolitical relations
574 and national decision-making regarding tuna management. Finally, it pointed to the role
575 of tuna populations and the socio-spatial Indian Ocean as crucial influences in access
576 struggles. In an attempt to contribute to transformative political research, the following
577 points are provided as pathways for the SWIO region to advance its regional identity
578 and integration in tuna fisheries.

579 A first action is an improved transparency on the role of foreign aid in tuna
580 access. As DWFNs and coastal countries are entangled in relations that include foreign
581 aid, trade and market access, and geopolitics, the lack of explicit mention of access to
582 resources, and tuna in particular, in foreign aid policy puts coastal states in an
583 intrinsically weak position when they are negotiating access to resources. It also needs

584 to be recognized that DWFNs are non-homogenous entities. The EU is a good
585 illustration with its visible contradictions in tuna fisheries in the Indian Ocean. While its
586 ‘foreign aid’ arm is funding key projects to improve regional identity, collaboration and
587 capacity building, its ‘commercial’ arm undermines this potential either at the IOTC or
588 in fishing access negotiations. This demands caution from countries of the SWIO and
589 the IOC when receiving funding for regional projects and reflection on the real interests
590 of donors involved in tuna fisheries. As for the East Asian interventions in the region,
591 the limited knowledge on the subject needs to be addressed. Very little is known on
592 negotiations of access to tuna by East Asian DWFNs in the region and even less on the
593 link between East Asian foreign aid and access to tuna in the countries studied.
594 Increased transparency on this issue is a necessary but not sufficient step to benefit the
595 region and improve the current leverage that SWIO island countries are seeking to
596 build.

597 Second, we can say from our findings that the current situation will not really
598 contribute to regional identity, beyond a few EU funded projects. Programs aiming to
599 foster regionalism need to recognize that countries of the SWIO have as many
600 differences as they do commonalities. Resource extraction in itself, especially within a
601 capitalist logic, is a deeply challenging forum for fostering collaboration between
602 actors. An ‘Indianoceanica’ vision and ultimately a regional tuna fishery is only possible
603 if the interests of all parties are considered and individual socio-economic contexts are
604 taken into consideration, including antagonisms. This difficult endeavor requires a
605 differentiated approach looking at the needs of each country that could be fulfilled by
606 collaboration with the others. Fishers could work together at the level of tuna fisheries,
607 by sourcing bait from each other and/or exchanging skills and knowledge. An improved
608 regional approach with a coordinated management across EEZs and building a more
609 shared identity might be useful to break the current relations of dependency on DWFNs.
610 Addressing issues in the high seas remains an important component. Ongoing
611 international negotiations for a new international treaty for the high seas could represent
612 a venue to provide some answers to this issue (Gjerde et al. 2018; ICTSD 2018).

613 Finally, it is necessary to decolonize interactions between coastal countries and
614 DWFNs. The historical and colonial past of coastal countries including the three
615 countries studied requires a change of paradigm from DWFNs, especially those of the
616 EU. The EU’s speaks of ‘sustainable partnerships’ in setting up access agreements; but

617 this rhetoric should also be applied in negotiation practices – especially at the IOTC
618 such as in its proposal for catch allocation. DWFNs have long claimed to have
619 supported the capacity and development of coastal countries, but they need to better
620 recognize that coastal countries now want greater endorsement of their sovereign rights
621 over fisheries resources. Keeping as a core principle that highly migratory fisheries
622 require cooperation for their sustainable conservation and utilization, it is only through
623 equity and an agreement over what is ‘sustainability’ that parties will move forward and
624 so might the ‘Indianoceanica’ region.

625

626

627 **References**

- 628 Agrawal, A. (2005). Environmentalism: community, intimate government, and the
629 making of environmental subjects in Kumaon, India. *Current Anthropology*,
630 46(2), 161-190.
- 631 Association thoniere. (1990). *Projet Thonier Régional Numéro I. Rapport de Synthèse*.
632 Archive document retrieved from the library of the Ministry of fisheries in
633 Antananarivo, Madagascar.
- 634 Baglioni, E. and L. Campling (2017), ‘Natural resource industries as global value
635 chains: Frontiers, fetishism, labour and the state’, *Environment and Planning A*,
636 49(11): 2437–2456
- 637 Barclay, K., & Cartright, I. (2007). *Capturing wealth from tuna: Case studies from the*
638 *Pacific*. Canberra: Asia Pacific Press.
- 639 Barclay, K. & Cartwright, I. (2007). Governance of tuna industries: The key to
640 economic viability and sustainability in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean.
641 *Marine Policy* 31(3): 348-358.
- 642 Barnes, C. & Mfodwo, K. (2012). *A market price valuation of tuna resources in the*
643 *western indian ocean - an indicative regional and country/eez perspective*. A
644 WWF report. February 2012. 100 p.
- 645 Bear, C. (2012). Assembling the sea: materiality, movement and regulatory practices in
646 the Cardigan Bay scallop fishery. *Cultural geographies*, 20(1), 21-41.
- 647 Beaujard, P. (2005) The Indian Ocean in Eurasian and African World-Systems before
648 the Sixteenth Century. *Journal of World History*, 16(4), 411-465.
- 649 Bennett, J. (2010). *Vibrant Matter: A Political Ecology of Things*. Durham, NC: Duke
650 University Press.
- 651 Bigger, P., & Neimark, B. D. (2017). Weaponizing nature: The geopolitical ecology of
652 the US Navy’s biofuel program. *Political Geography*, 60, 13-22.

- 653 Blaikie, P.M., Brookfield, H., Eds. (1987) *Land degradation and society*. London and
654 New York: Methuen.
- 655 Boivin, N., Crowther, A., Helm, R., Fuller, D.Q. (2013). East Africa and Madagascar in
656 the Indian Ocean world. *Journal of World Prehistory*, 26, 213-281.
- 657 Breuil, C. & Grima, D. (2014). *Baseline Report Madagascar*. SmartFish Programme of
658 the Indian Ocean Commission, Fisheries Management FAO component, Ebene,
659 Mauritius. 35 pp.
- 660 Campling, L. (2012a). The Tuna ‘Commodity Frontier’- Business Strategies and
661 Environment in the Industrial Tuna Fisheries of the Western Indian Ocean.
662 *Journal of Agrarian change*, 12(2), 252-278.
- 663 Campling, L. (2012b). *The EU-Centered Commodity chain in Canned tuna and*
664 *upgrading in Seychelles*. (Doctoral dissertation.). University of London, London.
665 Retrieved from <https://eprints.soas.ac.uk/>
- 666 Campling, L. (2017). ‘Stratégies d’accumulation impérialiste de la pêche européenne du
667 thon’, *Alternatives Sud*, 24(1): 133-157
- 668 Campling, L., & Colás, A. (2017). Capitalism and the sea: Sovereignty, territory and
669 appropriation in the global ocean. *Environment and Planning D: Society and*
670 *Space*, 3(4), 776-794.
- 671 Campling, L., & Havice, E. (2014). The problem of property in industrial fisheries. *The*
672 *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 41(5), 707-727.
- 673 Campling, L., & Havice, E. (2018). The Global Environmental Politics and Political
674 Economy of Seafood Systems, *Global Environmental Politics*, 18(2), 72-92
- 675 Campling, L., Lewis, A., & McCoy, M. (2017), *The Tuna Longline Industry in the*
676 *Western and Central Pacific Ocean and its Market Dynamics*, Honiara: Pacific
677 Islands Forum Fisheries Agency.
- 678 COFREPECHE, NFDS, MRAG & POSEIDON. (2016). *Ex post and ex ante evaluation*
679 *of the protocol to the Fisheries Partnership Agreement between the EU and the*
680 *Republic of Mauritius* (Framework contract MARE/2011/01 – Lot 3, specific
681 contract 16). Brussels, 141 p.
- 682 Craig, G. (2015). *Migration and integration, A local and experiential perspective*.
683 Institute for Research into Superdiversity (IRiS) University of Birmingham.
684 (Paper IRiS WP 7-2015)
- 685 Dagorn, L. (1994). *Le comportement des thons tropicaux modélisé selon les principes*
686 *de la vie artificielle*. (Doctoral Dissertation). École Nationale Supérieure
687 Agronomique de Rennes, Montpellier. Retrieved from
688 <http://www.documentation.ird.fr/>
- 689 de Haas, H. (2010). Migration and development: a theoretical perspective. *International*
690 *Migration Review*, 44 (2010), 227-264.

- 691 Doyle, T. (2018). Blue Economy and the Indian Ocean Rim. *Journal of the Indian*
692 *Ocean Region 14* (1):1-6.
- 693 European Union. (2014a). *The National indicative Programme for the Republic of*
694 *Seychelles*. 11th European Development Fund. Retrieved from the EU website:
695 [https://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/national-indicative-programme-seychelles-2014-](https://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/national-indicative-programme-seychelles-2014-2020_en)
696 [2020_en](https://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/national-indicative-programme-seychelles-2014-2020_en)
- 697 European Union. (2014b). *Protocol setting out the fishing opportunities and the*
698 *financial contribution provided for by the Fisheries Partnership Agreement*
699 *between the Republic of Madagascar and the European Community*. Retrieved
700 from the EU website:
701 <https://ec.europa.eu/fisheries/cfp/international/agreements/madagascar>
- 702 European Union. (2016a). *Les relations Madagascar Union Européenne. Service*
703 *Européen des relations extérieures*. Retrieved from the EU website:
704 [https://eeas.europa.eu/delegations/madagascar/1467/madagascar-et-](https://eeas.europa.eu/delegations/madagascar/1467/madagascar-et-l%E2%80%99ue_fr)
705 [l%E2%80%99ue_fr](https://eeas.europa.eu/delegations/madagascar/1467/madagascar-et-l%E2%80%99ue_fr)
- 706 European Union. (2016b). *The National indicative Programme for the Republic of*
707 *Mauritius*. 11th European Development Fund. Retrieved from the EU website:
708 https://eeas.europa.eu/sites/eeas/files/nip-mauritius-edf11-2016_en.pdf
- 709 Fonteneau, A. (2010). *Atlas of Indian Ocean Tuna Fisheries*. IRD Éditions
- 710 Fonteneau, A. (2014). *On the movements and stock structure of skipjack (Katsuwonus*
711 *pelamis)*. Document submitted to the IOTC. IOTC 2014-WPTT-16-36
- 712 FPAOI. (2017). *Position Statement of FPAOI for the 21st Annual Session of The Indian*
713 *Ocean Tuna Commission*. Provided by the FPAOI during interview with two
714 members in Victoria, Seychelles in June 2017.
- 715 Fry, G., & Tarte, S. (2015). *The New Pacific Diplomacy*. Australia: Australian National
716 University Press.
- 717 Forsyth, T. (2003). *Critical political ecology. The politics of environmental science*.
718 London: Routledge.
- 719 Fuma, S. (2013). La Route de l'esclave et de l'engagé dans les îles et pays du Sud-Ouest
720 de l'océan Indien, *Études Océan Indien* [Online], 49-50.
- 721 Gagern, A., & van den Bergh, J. (2013). A critical review of fishing agreements with
722 tropical developing countries. *Marine Policy*, 38, 375-386.
- 723 Galt, R. E. (2016). The relevance of regional political ecology for agriculture and food
724 systems. *Journal of Political Ecology*, 23(2016), 126-133.
- 725 Gautier, D. et Benjaminsen, T.A. eds.(2012). *Environnement, discours et pouvoir.*
726 *L'approche Political ecology*. Paris: Éditions Quæ, 2012.

- 727 Gilbert, F., & Rabenomanana, L. D. (1996). *Aperçu de la pêche thonière à Madagascar*.
728 Retrieved from the library of the Ministry of fisheries in Antananarivo,
729 Madagascar.
- 730 Gillet, R. (2011). *Tuna for tomorrow*. Working paper 011 prepared for the IOC in
731 collaboration with the IOTC. SF/2013/011. 88p.
- 732 Gjerde, K., Boteler, B., Durussel, C., Rochette, J., Unger, S., Wright, G. (2018).
733 *Conservation and Sustainable Use of Marine Biodiversity in Areas Beyond*
734 *National Jurisdiction: Options for Underpinning a Strong Global BBNJ*
735 *Agreement through Regional and Sectoral Governance*, STRONG High Seas
736 Project. 24 p.
- 737 Glassman, J. (2017). Geopolitical economies of development and democratization in
738 East Asia: Themes, concepts, and geographies. *Environment and Planning A:*
739 *Economy and Space*, 50(2), 407-415.
- 740 Government of Mauritius (GoMu). (2017a). *Compilation of tuna data provided by the*
741 *Ministry of Ocean Economy during fieldwork in April 2017*.
- 742 Government of Mauritius (GoMU). (2017b). *Annual Report on Performance - Fiscal*
743 *Year 2016/17*. Section 2.2. Status on implementation of budget measures for
744 financial year 2016/2017. pp. 19-20. Retrieved from the Ministry of Ocean
745 Economy's website:
746 [http://oceanconomy.govmu.org/English/Publication/Annual%20Report/Pages/d](http://oceanconomy.govmu.org/English/Publication/Annual%20Report/Pages/default.aspx)
747 [efault.aspx](http://oceanconomy.govmu.org/English/Publication/Annual%20Report/Pages/default.aspx)
- 748 Government of Seychelles (GoS). (2011). *2011 Annual Report*, Seychelles Fishing
749 Authority (SFA). Section 9. International cooperation. pp. 68-69. Retrieved from
750 the SFA website: <http://www.sfa.sc/index.php/publications>
- 751 Government of Seychelles (GoS). (2013). *2013 Annual Report*, Seychelles Fishing
752 Authority (SFA). Chapter 10. International cooperation. pp. 82-84. Retrieved
753 from the SFA website: <http://www.sfa.sc/index.php/publications>
- 754 Government of Seychelles (GoS). (2016). *2016 Fisheries Statistical Report*, Seychelles
755 Fishing Authority (SFA). pp. 6-8. Retrieved from the SFA Library.
- 756 Guillotreau, P. & Le Roy, F. (2001), 'Raising Rivals' Costs in the Tuna Industry', in,
757 Microbehavior and Macroresults: Proceedings of the Tenth Biennial Conference
758 of the International Institute of Fisheries Economics and Trade, July 10-14,
759 2000, Corvallis, Oregon, USA. Edited by Richard S. Johnston and compiled by
760 Ann L. Shriver. International Institute of Fisheries Economics and Trade
761 (IIFET), Corvallis, OR, 2001.
- 762 Guillotreau, P., Mongruel, R., & Jiménez-Toribio, R. (2008), 'Market Power and the
763 European Tuna Oligopsony: Implications for Fisheries and Trade', in,
764 Proceedings of the Fourteenth Biennial Conference of the International Institute
765 of Fisheries Economics & Trade, July 22-25, 2008, Nha Trang, Vietnam:
766 Achieving a Sustainable Future: Managing Aquaculture, Fishing, Trade and
767 Development. Compiled by Ann L. Shriver. International Institute of Fisheries
768 Economics & Trade, Corvallis, Oregon, USA, 2008.

- 769 Hanazaki, M. (2017). L'OFCE du Japon rénove les installations des pêcheurs pour
770 l'Association TAZARA à Toamasina, Madagascar. Press release. Retrieved
771 from the Japanese Embassy's website: [https://www.mg.emb-](https://www.mg.emb-japan.go.jp/files/000228251.pdf)
772 [japan.go.jp/files/000228251.pdf](https://www.mg.emb-japan.go.jp/files/000228251.pdf)
- 773 Hanich, Q. A., Parris, H. & Tsamenyi, B. M. (2010). Sovereignty and cooperation in
774 regional Pacific tuna fisheries management: Politics, economics, conservation
775 and the vessel day scheme. *Australian Journal of Maritime and Ocean Affairs*, 2
776 (1), 2-15.
- 777 Haraway, D. (2003). *The Companion Species Manifesto: Dogs, People, and Significant*
778 *Otherness*. Chicago: Prickly Paradigm.
- 779 Havice, E. (2013). Rights-based management in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean
780 tuna fishery: Economic and environmental change under the Vessel Day
781 Scheme. *Marine Policy*, 42 (February): 259-67.
- 782 Havice, E. (2018). Unsettled Sovereignty and the Sea: Mobilities and More-Than-
783 Territorial Configurations of State Power. *Annals of the American Association of*
784 *Geographers*, 1-18.
- 785 Havice, E., & Campling, L. (2010). Shifting tides in the Western Central Pacific Ocean
786 tuna fishery: The political economy of regulation and industry responses, *Global*
787 *Environmental Politics*, 9(1): 89-114
- 788 Havice, E., & Campling, L. (2017). Where Chain Governance and Environmental
789 Governance Meet: Interfirm Strategies in the Canned Tuna Global Value Chain.
790 *Economic Geography*, 93(3), 292-313.
- 791 Hewamanage, L. A. K. (2010). *Economic efficiency of the deep sea fishing fleet of Sri*
792 *Lanka*. United Nations University Fisheries Training Programme, Iceland.
793 Available at <http://www.unuftp.is/static/fellows/document/lalith09prf.pdf>.
794 Accessed July 31 2018
- 795 Hoarau, P. (2013) Un nouvel espace géopolitique se dessine: l'Indianocéanie, *Études*
796 *Océan Indien* [Online], 49-50.
- 797 Hussain, S. (2018). *Colonialism and imperialism still strong in one of world's largest*
798 *tuna fisheries regions*. Indian Ocean Observatory. News entry. Diplomacy
799 section. Retrieved online on July 24th, 2018
- 800 ICTSD. (2018). Formal Negotiations on UN High Seas Biodiversity Pact Get
801 Underway. *Bridges*.22(30), 20 September 2018.
- 802 IOC. (2013). *Rapport annuel 2013 - Indianocéanie*. Indian Ocean Commission.
803 Retrieved from the IOC website
- 804 IOC. (2015a). Les pêcheurs artisans de l'océan Indien se fédèrent pour parler d'une
805 seule voix [Press release]. Indian Ocean Commission.

- 806 IOC. (2015b). A propos du PRSP. PRSP Webpage on the IOC website. Retrieved from
807 [http://commissionoceanindien.org/activites/programme-regional-de-](http://commissionoceanindien.org/activites/programme-regional-de-surveillance-des-peches/a-propos/)
808 [surveillance-des-peches/a-propos/](http://commissionoceanindien.org/activites/programme-regional-de-surveillance-des-peches/a-propos/)
- 809 IOC. (2016). Surveillance régionale des pêches dans le Sud-Ouest de l'océan Indien -
810 2,5 millions d'euros mobilisés [Press release]. Indian Ocean Commission.
- 811 IOC. (2017). Le plan régional de surveillance des pêches au coeur d'une conférence
812 ministérielle de la COI [Press release]. Indian Ocean Commission.
- 813 IOC. (2018). Premier plan d'action régional sur la pêche thonière côtière pour le Sud-
814 Ouest de l'océan Indien [Press release]. Indian Ocean Commission.
- 815 IOTC. (1993). Agreement for the Establishment of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission.
816 Available on the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission Webpage.
- 817 IOTC. (2011). Report of the 15th Session of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission.
818 Colombo, Sri Lanka, 18-22 March 2011. IOTC-2011-S15-R[E]: 110 p.
- 819 IOTC. (2017). *Report of the 20th Session of IOTC Scientific Committee*. Mahe,
820 Seychelles, 30 Nov-4 December 2017. IOTC-2017-SC20-R. 232 p.
- 821 IOTC. (2018). Report of the 22nd Session of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission.
822 Bangkok, Thailand, 21-25. May 2018. IOTC-2018-S22-R[E]: 114 p.
- 823 IOTC. (2018). *Seychelles National Report to the Scientific Committee of the Indian*
824 *Ocean Tuna Commission, 2018*. IOTC-2018-SC21-NR22. p.6.
- 825 Kaplan, D. M., Chassot, E., Amandé, J. M., Dueri, S., Demarcq, H., Dagorn, L., &
826 Fonteneau, A. (2014). Spatial management of Indian Ocean tropical tuna
827 fisheries: potential and perspectives. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 71(7),
828 1728-1749.
- 829 Kasprzyk, Z. (1996). *Étude d'impacts des activités thonières industrielles sur l'économie*
830 *des états-membres de la Commission de l'Océan Indien*. Archive retrieved from
831 the library of the Ministry of fisheries in Antananarivo, Madagascar.
- 832 Le Manach, F., Chaboud, C., Copeland, D., Cury, P., Gascuel, D., Kleisner, K. M., . . .
833 Pauly, D. (2013). European Union's public fishing access agreements in
834 developing countries. *PLoS One*, 8(11), e79899.
- 835 Longo, S. B. & Clausen, R. (2011). The Tragedy of the Commodity: The
836 Overexploitation of the Mediterranean Bluefin Tuna Fishery. *Organization &*
837 *Environment* 20(10): 1-17.
- 838 Longo, S. & Clark, B. (2012). The Commodification of Bluefin Tuna: The Historical
839 Transformation of the Mediterranean Fishery. *Journal of Agrarian Change*, 12
840 (2-3): 204-26.
- 841 Marashi, S.M. (1996), *Summary information on the Role of International Fishery and*
842 *other bodies with regard to the Conservation and Management of Living*
843 *Resources of the High Seas*, FAO Fisheries Circular - C908, Rome: FAO

- 844 Marsac, F., Fonteneau, A., & Michaud, P. (2014). *L'or bleu des Seychelles: Histoire de*
845 *la pêche industrielle au thon dans l'Océan Indien*. Marseille: IRD Editions.
- 846 McWhinnie, S. F. (2009). The tragedy of the commons in international fisheries: An
847 empirical examination. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*
848 *57(3): 321-333*.
- 849 Michaud, P. (1992). *The Complementarity between the Western Indian Ocean Tuna*
850 *Commission (WIOTC) and the Proposed Indian Ocean Tuna Commission*
851 *(IOTC)*. Paper prepared for the Conference for the Adoption of a Draft
852 Agreement on the Establishment of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, Rome,
853 22-26 June 1992
- 854 Miller, A. M. M., Bush, S. R., & van Zwieten, P. A. M. (2014). Sub-regionalisation of
855 fisheries governance: The case of the Western and Central Pacific Ocean tuna
856 fisheries. *Maritime Studies, 13(1)*, 1–20.
- 857 Miller, N.A., Roan, A., Hochberg, T., Amos, J. and Kroodsman, D.A.
858 (2018). Identifying global patterns of transshipment behavior. *Front. Mar.*
859 *Sci. 10.3389/fmars.2018.00240*.
- 860 Moorthy, S. & Jamal, A. (2010). New Conjunctures in Maritime Imaginaries, in *Indian*
861 *Ocean Studies: Cultural, Social, and Political Perspectives*. London: Routledge,
862 pp. 1-31.
- 863 Nikolic, N., & Bourjea, J. (2013). Differentiation of albacore stock: review by oceanic
864 regions. *SCRS, 126*.
- 865 OEPA. (2017). *Présentation des impacts socioéconomiques de la pêche thonière*
866 *industrielle à Madagascar par l'Observatoire Economique de la Pêche et de*
867 *l'Aquaculture*. Evènement de lancement de la campagne thonière de Mars 2017.
- 868 Paasi, A. (2003) Region and place: regional identity in question. *Progress in Human*
869 *Geography, 2003, 27, 4*, pp. 475-485
- 870 Pajot, G. (1978). Fishing gear and methods for off-shore fishing in Sri Lanka. Online
871 resources on Aquatic commons. Available at
872 http://aquaticcommons.org/17252/1/NARA28_059.pdf. Accessed July 31 2018.
- 873 Peet, R., Robbins, P., and Watts, M.J. (2011). Global nature. In Peet, R., Robbins, P.,
874 and Watts, M.J. (eds.) *Global political ecology*. London: Routledge. pp. 01-48.
- 875 POSEIDON, NFDS & COFREPECHE. (2014). *Review of tuna fisheries in the western*
876 *Indian Ocean*. (Framework contract MARE/2011/01 – Lot 3, specific contract 7).
877 Brussels, 165 p. Retrieved from EU website:
878 [https://ec.europa.eu/fisheries/sites/fisheries/files/docs/body/report-tuna-fisheries-](https://ec.europa.eu/fisheries/sites/fisheries/files/docs/body/report-tuna-fisheries-western-indian-ocean_en.pdf)
879 [western-indian-ocean_en.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/fisheries/sites/fisheries/files/docs/body/report-tuna-fisheries-western-indian-ocean_en.pdf).
- 880 Rangan, H. and Kull, C. (2009). What makes ecology 'political'? Rethinking 'scale' in
881 political ecology. *Progress in Human Geography 33*: 28–45.

- 882 Reygondeau, G., Maury, O., Beaugrand, G., Fromentin, J.M., Fonteneau, A. and Cury,
883 P. (2012) Biogeography of tuna and billfish communities. *J Biogeogr* 39:114–
884 129.
- 885 Robbins, P. (2003). Political ecology in political geography. *Political Geography*,
886 22(6), 641-645.
- 887 Robbins, P. (2012). *Political ecology: A critical introduction*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- 888 Sellström, T. (2015). *Africa in the Indian Ocean: Islands in Ebb and Flow*, Leiden:
889 Koninklijke Brill NV.
- 890 Sabarros, P. S., Romanov, E. V. and Bach, P. (2017). *Movements and behaviour of*
891 *yellowfin and bigeye tuna associated to oceanic structures in the western Indian*
892 *Ocean*. Document submitted to the IOTC. IOTC–2017–WPTT19–25
- 893 Seychelles Nation. (2017). Seychelles signs new fisheries agreement with Mauritius.
894 Newspaper article in Seychelles Nation of 27-February-2017.
- 895 Schurman, R. A. (1998). Tuna Dreams: Resource Nationalism and the Pacific Islands'
896 Tuna Industry. *Development and Change* 19: 107-136.
- 897 Simon, G. (2016). How regions do work, and the work we do: a constructive critique of
898 regions in political ecology. *Journal of Political Ecology*, 23(1), 197.
- 899 Standing, A. (2016). *Are The EU's Fisheries Agreements Helping To Develop African*
900 *Fisheries?* Coalition for Fair Fisheries Agreements (CFFA-CAPE). Retrieved
901 from the CFFA-CAPE website.
- 902 Steinberg, P. E. (2001). Ocean-space in non-modern societies, in *Social construction of*
903 *the ocean*. Cambridge University Press. pp. 38-67
- 904 Sundberg, J. (2011). Diabolic Caminos in the Desert and Cat Fights on the Río: A
905 Posthumanist Political Ecology of Boundary Enforcement in the United States–
906 Mexico Borderlands. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*,
907 101(2), 318-336.
- 908 Tarte, S. (1997). *Diplomatic Strategies: The Pacific Islands and Japan*, Pacific
909 Economic Paper No. 269, Canberra, Australia, Australia-Japan Research Centre.
- 910 Tarte, S. (2014). Regionalism and Changing Regional Order in the Pacific Islands. *Asia*
911 *and the Pacific Policy Studies* 1(2), 312–324.
- 912 UNDP 2016. Human Development Report 2016. Human Development for Everyone.
913 New York. ISBN: 978-92-1-126413-5. pp. 212-214
- 914 USTA. (2017). *Bulletin statistique thonier 2017 de l'Unité Statistique Thoniere*
915 *d'Antsirana*. Consulted at the USTA in October 2018. 75 p.
- 916 van der Elst, R. & Everett, B. eds. (2015). *Offshore fisheries of the Southwest Indian*
917 *Ocean: their status and the impact on vulnerable species*. Oceanographic
918 Research Institute, Special Publication, 10.

- 919 Walker, P. A. (2005). Political ecology: where is the ecology? *Progress in Human*
920 *Geography*, 29(1), 73-82.
- 921 Whatmore, S. (2002). *Hybrid Geographies: Natures, Cultures, Spaces*. London: Sage.
- 922 WIOTO (1991), Western Indian Ocean Tuna Organisation Convention, Mahé,
923 Seychelles, June. Available at
924 [https://www.jus.uio.no/english/services/library/treaties/08/8-02/west-indian-](https://www.jus.uio.no/english/services/library/treaties/08/8-02/west-indian-ocean-tuna.xml)
925 [ocean-tuna.xml](https://www.jus.uio.no/english/services/library/treaties/08/8-02/west-indian-ocean-tuna.xml) [Consulted December 10th, 2018]
- 926 World Bank. (2017). *The Ocean Economy in Mauritius Making it happen, making it*
927 *last*, p.16, with Appendix 2. p.56.