

Masclaux, F.G. ; Hotz, P. ; Gashi, D. ; Savova-Bianchi, D. ; Oppliger, A.
Assessment of airborne virus contamination in wastewater treatment plants.
Environmental Research, 133:260-265, 2014.

Postprint version	Final draft post-refereeing
Journal website	http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00139351
Pubmed link	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24981824
DOI	10.1016/j.envres.2014.06.002

33 **ABSTRACT**

34

35 **Introduction:** Occupational exposure to bioaerosols in wastewater treatment plants (WWTP)

36 and its consequence on workers' health are well documented. Most studies were devoted to

37 enumerating and identifying cultivable bacteria and fungi, as well as measuring

38 concentrations of airborne endotoxins, as these are the main health-related factors found in

39 WWTP. Surprisingly, very few studies have investigated the presence and concentrations of

40 airborne virus in WWTP. However, many enteric viruses are present in wastewater and, due

41 to their small size, they should become aerosolized. Two in particular, the norovirus and the

42 adenovirus, are extremely widespread and are the major causes of infectious gastrointestinal

43 diseases reported around the world. The third one, hepatitis E virus, has an emerging status.

44 **Goal and methods:** This study's objectives were to detect and quantify the presence and

45 concentrations of 3 different viruses (adenovirus, norovirus and the hepatitis E virus) in air

46 samples from 31 WWTP by using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) during two

47 different seasons and two consecutive years. **Results:** Adenovirus was present in 100% of

48 summer WWTP samples and 97% of winter samples. The highest airborne concentration

49 measured was 2.27×10^6 genome equivalent/m³ and, on average, these were higher in

50 summer than in winter. Norovirus was detected in only 3 of the 123 air samples, and the

51 hepatitis E virus was not detected. **Conclusions:** Concentrations of potentially pathogenic

52 viral particles in WWTP air is non-negligible and could partly explain the work-related

53 gastrointestinal symptoms often reported in employees in this sector.

54

55

56

57 *Keywords:* adenovirus; bioaerosol; norovirus; occupational health; sewage; hepatitis E virus

58

59 **Funding**

60 This work was supported by grant EST-09-69 from ANSES (French Agency for Food,
61 Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety), and a grant from the Swiss National
62 Accident Insurance Fund (SUVA).

63

64 1. Introduction

65 Wastewater is a significant transmission route for several viral and bacterial pathogens which
66 are reflected in both the clinical and subclinical infections currently present in the human
67 population (Vaidya et al., 2002). Therefore, wastewater treatment workers are exposed to a lot
68 of biological risks and have been shown to be at a higher risk of developing a large variety of
69 work-related symptoms compared with the general population, including respiratory and
70 gastrointestinal (e.g. diarrhoea) effects (Douwes et al., 2001; Rylander, 1999; Thorn et al.,
71 2002; Thorn and Beijer, 2004). Viruses could be responsible from some of these work-related
72 symptoms observed in WWTP workers. Numerous studies have investigated the presence of
73 airborne cultivable bacteria in WWTP (Oppliger et al., 2005; Rinsoz et al., 2009; Heinonen-
74 Tanski et al. , 2009; Haas et al., 2010; Kaarakainen et al., 2011; Han et al., 2013). However,
75 very few studies have investigated the airborne concentrations of virus particles in WWTP
76 although the health risk for workers is present (Divizia et al., 2008).

77 Among the most common human viral infections found in temperate regions and
78 transmitted by the faecal-oral route, norovirus (NoV) and human adenovirus (AdV) are good
79 candidates for being responsible for the observed symptoms reported in WWTP workers.
80 NoV is recognized as the major source of gastroenteritis outbreaks in adults worldwide. It is
81 presumed that infection mainly occurs by person-to-person transmission and consumption of
82 contaminated food or water, but airborne transmission is likely to occur too (Friesema et al.,
83 2009; Kimura et al., 2011; Kirking et al., 2010; Wikswo et al., 2011; Uhrbrand et al., 2012).
84 AdV is made up of seven groups (A–G), with a total of 57 serotypes associated with a number
85 of clinical syndromes such as gastroenteritis, respiratory diseases and conjunctivitis. They
86 spread primarily via respiratory droplets, however, they can also spread along faecal routes
87 and their presence in wastewater (Carducci et al., 2008; Jiang, 2006) and air has been
88 demonstrated (Echavaria et al., 2000; Carducci et al., 2011; Wan et al., 2012). Another virus

89 which deserves particular attention, because of its emerging status, is the hepatitis E virus
90 (HEV) (Dalton et al., 2008). It is responsible for enterically transmitted viral hepatitis around
91 the world. In industrialized countries, the endemic genotype 3 HEV appears to be more
92 common than previously thought, even if it is rarely virulent. One main route of human
93 transmission of the genotype 3 has been suggested to be via consumption of contaminated
94 pork, but waterborne transmission cannot be excluded in these countries (Renou et al. 2008).
95 HEV has been detected in wastewater in France (Clemente-Casares et al., 2003), Italy (La
96 Rosa et al., 2010), Spain (Clemente-Casares et al., 2009; Rodriguez-Manzano et al., 2010)
97 and, very recently, the presence of HEV (genotype 3) was reported in 50% of water samples
98 from 31 WWTPs in Switzerland (Masclaux et al., 2013).

99 Treatment of wastewater generates aerosols of different sizes and all microorganisms
100 present in wastewater can consequently be aerosolized and deposited on surfaces (Han et al
101 2013). Thus, WWTP workers can be exposed to viral particles either via aerosol
102 inhalation/deglutition or via contact with contaminated surfaces, clothes or tools. Both regular
103 monitoring and accurate estimations of exposure to airborne viral particles are therefore
104 important in the assessment of occupational exposure risks and their prevention. Using
105 quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), this study aimed to investigate the presence of
106 3 different viruses (AdV groups F and E/D, NoV and HEV) in air samples from 31 WWTPs
107 located in the same area as a previous cohort study (Jeggli et al., 2004; Tschopp et al., 2009)
108 during two different seasons.

109

110 **2. Materials and methods**

111

112 2.1. Description of study sites

113 Thirty-one out of the 79 WWTP in the Canton of Zurich, Switzerland (about 1.39 million
114 inhabitants; 1,729 km²), were selected for study in order to represent a broad array of plant

115 sizes (2–117 workers). These included 6 very large (> 50,000 inhabitants or inhabitant-
116 equivalents), 12 large (10,000–50,000 inhabitants or inhabitant-equivalents) and 13 small
117 WWTPs (2,000–10,000 inhabitants or inhabitant-equivalents). The selection was made using
118 the following criteria. First, WWTPs where a seroconversion in workers had been positively
119 ascertained in a recent cohort study on hepatitis E incidence (Tschopp et al., 2009) were
120 included. Second, the WWTP servicing Zurich’s international airport was included because
121 international travel increases the probability of the occurrence of HEV. Third, WWTP where
122 occupational hygiene measurements had been taken in a previous study (Oppliger et al., 2005;
123 Daneshzadeh Tabrizi et al., 2010) were also included. Finally, further WWTPs were selected
124 to represent a well-balanced sample of sizes across the whole canton. All the plants were
125 municipal plants treating household wastewater only, and comprised a cleaning and an
126 activated sludge step (Zurich WWTP website, 2013). The presence of the 3 viruses under
127 investigation (NoV, AdV and HEV) in raw wastewater was known from a previous study
128 (Masclaux et al., 2013).

129

130 *2.2 Air sampling*

131 Each WWTP was visited once in winter (mean temperature 4°C) and once in summer (mean
132 temperature 21°C). At each visit, samples were collected at stationary points, continuously
133 for at least 1 hour during the working day in two different workstations: (i) one sample in the
134 enclosed area, at the water inlet, near the rake that removes big particles from incoming water
135 (termed ‘inside’), and (ii) one sample in the unenclosed area, above the bubbling aeration
136 basin (termed ‘outside’). All samples were collected 1.5 m above the floor or the basins. In
137 each WWTP, the inside and outside samples were taken in parallel during the same day.

138 In total, 123 airborne virus samples were collected onto 3 µm pore size, 25 mm gelatine filters
139 embedded in standard cassettes (SKC, Inc. Eighty Four, USA). Sampling was carried out
140 using a pocket pump (MSA Escort Elf, Mine Safety Appliance Company, Pittsburgh, PA,

141 USA, or SKC pocket pump 210-1002, SKC Inc., PA, USA) calibrated at 4 L min⁻¹. Airflow
142 was calibrated using a pocket calibrator (DryCal DCLite, Bios International, Pompton Plains,
143 NJ, USA), both before and after field sampling.

144 After sampling, filters were immediately immersed in 1 mL of RNAlater (Ambion, Life
145 Technologies, Europe) stabilizing solution. Samples were kept at 4°C until return to the
146 laboratory, where they were stored at -20°C.

147 Given that the 3 investigated viruses are potentially pathogenic, we do not expect to find them
148 in non contaminated ambient air. That is why we did not collect control air samples in other
149 environment.

150

151 *2.3. Virus recovery and nucleic acid extraction*

152 Samples were allowed to liquefy briefly at room temperature and were then kept on ice. An
153 amount of 2×10^6 genome equivalent (GE) copies of the control virus (RYMV, rice yellow
154 mottle virus) was added to each sample. After brief swirling, and 10 min incubation, samples
155 were centrifuged at 1,500 g in a swinging rotor for 5 min (4°C). The supernatant was carefully
156 recovered in a 2 mL centrifuge tube, and centrifuged for 10 min at 16,000 g to pellet
157 precipitated materials. The pellet was treated with 560 µL of AVL (viral lysis buffer) from the
158 QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen AG, Hombrechtikon Switzerland) as per the
159 manufacturer's protocol. The gelatine filter was treated immediately with 560 µL of AVL
160 buffer. During 10 min incubation, both preparations were combined in the same tube and a
161 volume of 120 µL of ethanol was added to the sample. After the incubation period, the
162 samples were extracted as per the manufacturer's protocol. After final elution, an additive
163 ethanol precipitation step was carried out on the samples, using Glycoblue (Ambion, Life
164 Technologies, Europe) as a co-precipitant. Lastly, the nucleic acids were suspended in a final
165 volume of 60 µl of AVE buffer and stored at - 20°C until use.

166

167 *2.4. Reverse transcription*

168 RNA viruses (NoV and VHE) were reverse-transcribed using the SuperScript III First-Strand
169 Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Life Technologies, www.lifetechnologies.com) and a mixture
170 of reverse primers priming toward the particular RNA viruses to be detected (Table 1). A 20
171 μ L reaction mixture was prepared as per the manufacturer's protocol, including RNasin
172 (Promega AG, Wisconsin, USA). The reaction was incubated for 60 min at 50°C, followed by
173 heat-inactivation at 70°C for 15 min. The volume was finally adjusted to 100 μ L with TE
174 (Tris EDTA buffer) 0.1X.

175

176 *2.5. qPCR assay*

177 The qPCR reaction was performed on 5 μ L of nucleic acid solution (either DNA or cDNA)
178 using the qPCR Core kit (No ROX, with dUTP) from Eurogentec (www.eurogentec.com).

179 Three duplex qPCR assays were developed to allow simultaneous detection of viruses: NoV-
180 GGII/RYMV and HEV/RYMV for RNA viruses, and AdV-40/AdV-E/D for DNA viruses.

181 The reaction efficiencies (Table 2) were measured on serial 10-fold dilution mixtures of 2
182 virus amplicons cloned in pGEM-T as described for the monoplex assays. Cross-reactivity
183 between the assays in duplex was evaluated by comparing the amplification of the target in
184 single-plasmid solution and in multiple plasmid solution. The primers used are detailed in
185 Table 1. RYMV was used as a control for the quality of each sample. The sample validation
186 threshold was 4×10^5 GE copies of RYMV. Samples with an amplification of spiked RYMV
187 under the threshold were reanalyzed or not considered, as described in Masclaux et al. (2013).

188 The reactions were run in triplicate on a RotorGene-3000 (Qiagen AG, Hombrechtikon
189 Switzerland) using the following profile: digestion with uracil-N-glycosylase at 50°C for 2
190 min, initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 45 cycles of 15 s denaturation at 95°C, and 30 s

191 annealing at 60°C. No template controls were included in the runs. Good laboratory practices
192 were followed strictly to prevent PCR contamination (separated working areas and different
193 material for each extraction, preparation and amplification of samples). Quantitative data were
194 obtained using RotorGene software, version 6.1.93, and were subsequently analyzed with
195 custom-designed Excel spreadsheets using the standard curve equation as a reference for the
196 quantification. All signals (Cq values) above the limit of detection (LOD) were considered as
197 positive for the detection (Table 2). A standard curve was generated for each virus using ten-
198 fold dilutions of plasmid DNA containing the corresponding PCR product (see details in
199 Masclaux *et al.*, 2013). Concentrations were expressed in genome equivalent (GE) copies/ μ l
200 and converted to GE copies/m³ of air on the basis of the volume of air sampled.

201

202 3. Results

203

204 Airborne AdV-F was detected in all the WWTP (either inside or outside or both) in summer
205 and 97% of WWTPs (30/31) in winter. In total, 84% (104/123) of samples were positive
206 (Table 3). Among these positive samples, 61.5% (64/104) were above the limit of
207 quantification (LOQ) (i.e. 2.72×10^3 GE copies/m³) with a maximum concentration of $2.27 \times$
208 10^6 GE copies/m³. In summer, 93% (58/62) of samples were positive compared to 75%
209 (46/61) in winter. This difference was statistically significant (Pearson Chi-square = 7.74, df
210 =1, p = 0.005). In summer, 75% (44/58) of positive samples were above the LOQ compared
211 to only 20% (20/46) in winter (Pearson Chi-square = 11.36, df =1, p = 0.001), and the mean
212 concentration of airborne AdV of positive samples (samples above the LOQ) was higher in
213 summer than in winter (mean \pm SE, *median*: $95,179 \pm 54,066$ GE copies/m³, $12,492$ GE
214 *copies/m³*, N = 44; versus $31,121 \pm 10,594$ GE copies/m³, $13,884$ GE *copies/m³*, N = 20,
215 respectively; Mann-Whitney U test = 2,652, p < 0.001, N = 64) (Fig 1, and Table 4). There
216 were no differences in AdV occurrence between each WWTP's two sampling sites, with

217 85.4% (53/62) of positive outside samples compared to 83.6% (51/61) of positive inside
218 samples (Pearson Chi-square = 0.08, df = 1, p = 0.7). Median concentrations were slightly
219 lower outside than inside (Fig 1), but these differences were not significant (Mann-Whitney U
220 test, U = 2001.5, p = 0.569). The size of the WWTPs (small, large or very large) had no
221 significant influence on airborne concentrations of AdV (Kruskal-Wallis = 2.474, p = 0.29, N
222 = 31). Group E/D adenoviruses were only detected in winter in 6 air samples (2 inside and 4
223 outside).

224 NoV was detected in 3 of the 123 air samples, and these results were below the LOQ
225 (i.e. $< 6.55 \times 10^2$ GE copies/m³). HEV was not detected in any of the 123 air samples (LOQ =
226 3.34×10^3 GE copies/m³).

227

228 **4. Discussion**

229

230 Of the 3 different pathogenic virus species previously found in influent water at WWTP in the
231 Canton of Zurich, only AdV was very frequently present (84% of 124 samples) in relatively
232 high concentrations (up to 22.76×10^5 viral particles/m³) in WWTP air samples. The HEV
233 was not observed at all, and the NoV was detected in only 2.4% of samples. These results
234 therefore demonstrated that the working environments of WWTP can expose workers to
235 airborne pathogenic viruses. The AdV are known to be more resistant to the effects of UV
236 light than other enteric viruses (Thurston-Enriquez et al., 2003). Moreover, a lot of non-
237 enveloped viruses tend to be more stable in environments with relatively high relative
238 humidity (Yang and Marr, 2012), as is the case in WWTP and they could therefore remain
239 infectious in aerosol for a long time. Once in suspension in the air, AdV can be deposited onto
240 any WWTP surface, and contamination of workers can occur not only via inhalation but also
241 via contaminated hands, objects or surfaces. When AdV are inhaled, respiratory symptoms
242 (fever, pharyngitis, tonsillitis, cough, and sore throat) can occur. When ingested, some

243 serotypes (group F) are known to infect the gastrointestinal tract primarily, with symptoms of
244 diarrhea and gastroenteritis.

245 Numerous studies have reported that WWTP workers have more frequent
246 gastrointestinal symptoms than the general population, though without highlighting clear
247 relationships with occupational exposure to one specific biological agent (Thorn and Kerekes,
248 2001; Khuder et al., 1998; Thorn et al., 2002; Douwes et al., 2001). Rylander (1999) reported
249 prevalence of diarrhea of nearly 45% in Swedish sewage workers (versus 3% in the control
250 population), and assumed that endotoxins are responsible of these symptoms. Exposure to
251 airborne endotoxins was also suspected to be related to the diarrheal symptoms observed in
252 workers from the WWTP investigated in this study (Jeggli et al., 2004), but the authors
253 noticed that other components could also be involved. Therefore, the presence of high
254 airborne quantities of AdV in almost all WWTP, sometimes in association with airborne NoV,
255 could explain the gastrointestinal symptoms often reported in WWTP, yet never elucidated.
256 To the best of our knowledge, this was the first time that the presence of airborne AdV was
257 investigated in WWTP, and such high airborne concentrations were previously reported only
258 in solid-waste processing facilities in Italy, where AdV was found in 25% (8/40) of air
259 samples, with concentrations ranging between 10×10^5 to 98×10^6 viral particles/m³
260 (Carducci et al., 2013). As point of comparison, in Taiwan, a survey in a paediatric
261 emergency room showed that airborne concentrations of AdV were only between < 10 and
262 104 copies/m³ (Wan et al., 2012). The major limit of our study is that the infectivity of these
263 viral particles is unknown, since PCR methods of quantification take into account both
264 infectious and non-infectious viral particles. However, AdV is known to be very resistant
265 (Gordon et al., 1993; Thurston-Enriquez et al., 2003; Enriquez et al., 1995), and it is likely to
266 remain infectious in the environment for a long time. In a recent study (Carducci et al., 2013)
267 in solid-waste processing facilities in Italy, 75% of the surface samples which were positive

268 for AdV using PCR were confirmed as infectious using cell culture. The infectious dose of
269 AdV is estimated at 30 – 1,000 viral particles, thus, with regard to the high airborne virus
270 concentrations found in this study, potential infection via aerosols cannot be excluded. , even
271 if it was not found in the cross-sectional examination of these workers (Steiner et al., 2005).

272 Due to the specificity of the primers used, it can be surmised that airborne AdV
273 isolated in this study belong to group F (type 40-41), i.e. one of those involved in
274 gastrointestinal tropism. The presence of AdVs from the groups E/D, which can be more
275 specifically involved in respiratory tropism, was also identified in 6 samples. The present
276 study also observed that concentrations of AdV viruses were higher in summer than in winter.
277 This is also the case for other microbial aerosols in WWTP (Grisoli et al. 2009; Oppliger et al.
278 2005) and certainly due to the higher temperature measured in summer time,

279 NoV was only detected in 3 air samples and at concentrations too low to be
280 quantifiable. The presence of airborne NoV in WWTP was also detected at a concentration of
281 1,420 viral particle copies/m³ in an air sample in Denmark (Uhrbrand et al., 2011). These two
282 results show that the risk of airborne NoV exposure does indeed exist for wastewater workers.
283 Even though the levels and frequencies of exposure are lower than those to AdV, because the
284 infectious dose of NoV is at an extremely low level (18 viral particles) (Teunis et al., 2008),
285 and because NoV is known to survive very well in adverse environments (Rodriguez-Lazaro
286 et al., 2012), the risk of contracting an infection is non-negligible, and the risk is even greater
287 for immunocompromised patients since more severe symptoms can occur.

288 HEV was not detected in air samples despite its presence in 50% of wastewater
289 samples. This can be explained by the fact that HEV was found in very low concentrations
290 (detectable, but not quantifiable) in wastewater compared to the waterborne concentrations of
291 AdV and NoV, and therefore aerosolisation was less probable. This can also be explained by
292 the fact that we have collected small volume of air which limits the probability of detecting

293 low airborne virus concentrations. Due to the high concentrations of AdV and NoV
294 previously found in the effluent of the WWTP investigated (Masclaux et al., 2013), it is
295 highly likely that influent water is the source of airborne contamination in WWTP.
296 Investigated WWTP are geographically isolated from urban centres and no other potential
297 sources of AdV or NoV were present in the near environment of the WWTP. However, the
298 lack of control air samples collected in other outdoor environments is a limit of our study.
299 Once aerosolised, certain viruses can be disseminated over long distances and several
300 examples of airborne virus transmission exist. For instance, the foot and mouth animal virus,
301 SRAS and the influenza virus have all been reported to travel over very long distances
302 (Gloster et al., 2010; Booth et al., 2005; Ssematimba et al., 2012). The dissemination of viral
303 particles from WWTP into the environment deserves to be further evaluated to assess
304 possible public health risks.

305

306 **5. Conclusion**

307 Pathogenic viral particles responsible of gastrointestinal symptoms are frequently present in
308 WWTP air. Occupational exposure to these airborne micro-organisms could explain part of
309 the work-related gastrointestinal symptoms often reported in this occupational sector,
310 especially during the first months of employment in WWTP. We can infer that workers'
311 repeated exposure to these viruses triggers off a good immune response and consequently a
312 decrease in symptoms after the first year of work. However, because more and more workers
313 (included workers of WWTP) use immunosuppressive drugs (transplant patients, HIV
314 patients, etc.), some can develop severe complications when infected by these viruses.
315 Objective data useful for an adequate risk assessment for these workers are largely lacking,
316 and this subject requires further investigation.

317

318 **Acknowledgements**

319
320 We gratefully thank Dr Jean-Paul Brizard for his gift of RYMV stock. We very much thank the
321 workers and the director of the Canton of Zurich's sewage plants, and Stéphanie Héritier and Denis
322 Thonney from the WWTP Lausanne for their constant support. Thanks to Darren Hart
323 (admin@publish-or-perish.ch) for English editing.

324

325

326

327 **References**

328

329

330 Booth, T. F., Kournikakis, B., Bastien, N., Ho, J., Kobasa, D., Stadnyk, L., Li, Y., Spence,
331 M., Paton, S., Henry, B., Mederski, B., White, D., Low, D. E., McGeer, A., Simor, A.,
332 Vearncombe, M., Downey, J., Jamieson, F. B., Tang, P., Plummer, F., 2005. Detection
333 of airborne severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus and environmental
contamination in SARS outbreak units. *J. Infect. Dis.* 191, 1472-1477.

334 Carducci, A., Federigi, I., Verani, M., 2013. Virus occupational exposure in solid waste
335 processing facilities. *Ann. Occup. Hyg.* 57,1115-1127.

336 Carducci, A., Morici, P., Pizzi, F., Battistini, R., Rovini, E., Verani, M., 2008. Study of the
337 viral removal efficiency in a urban wastewater treatment plant. *Water Sc. Technol.* 58,
338 893-897.

339 Carducci, A., Verani, M., Lombardi, R., Casini, B., Privitera, G., 2011. Environmental survey
340 to assess viral contamination of air and surfaces in hospital settings. *J. Hosp. Infect.*
341 77, 242-247.

342 Clemente-Casares, P., Pina, S., Buti, M., Jardi, R., Martín, M., Bofill-Mas, S., Girones, R.,
343 2003. Hepatitis E virus epidemiology in industrialized countries. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 9,
344 448-454.

345 Clemente-Casares, P., Rodriguez-Manzano, J., Girones, R., 2009. Hepatitis E virus genotype
346 3 and sporadically also genotype 1 circulate in the population of Catalonia, Spain. *J.*
347 *Water Health.* 7, 664-673.

348 Dalton, H.R., Bendall, R., Ijaz, S., Banks, M., 2008. Hepatitis E: an emerging infection in
349 developed countries. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 8, 698-709.

350 Divizia, M., Cencioni, B., Palombi, L., Pana, A., 2008. Sewage workers: risk of acquiring
351 enteric virus infections including Hepatitis A. *New Microbiol.* 31, 337-341.

352 Douwes, J., Manneetje, A., Heederik, D., 2001. Work-related symptoms in sewage treatment
353 workers. *Ann. Agric. Environ. Med.* 8, 39-45.

354 Echavarria, M., Kolavic, S. A., Cersovsky, S., Mitchell, F., Sanchez, J. L., Polyak, C., Innis,
355 B. L., Binn, L. N., 2000. Detection of adenoviruses (AdV) in culture-negative
356 environmental samples by PCR during an AdV-associated respiratory disease
357 outbreak. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 38, 2982-2984.

358 Enriquez, C. E., Hurst, C. J., Gerba, C. P., 1995. Survival of the enteric adenovirus-40 and
359 adenovirus-41 in tap, sea, and waste-water. *Water Res.* 29, 2548-2553.

- 360 Friesema, I. H. M., Vennema, H., Heijne, J. C. M., de Jager, C. M., Morroy, G., van den
 361 Kerkhof, J. H. T. C., de Coster, E. J. M., Wolters, B. A., ter Waarbeek, H. L. G.,
 362 Fanoy, E. B., Teunis, P. F. M., van der Linde, R., van Duynhoven, Y. T. H. P., 2009.
 363 Norovirus outbreaks in nursing homes: the evaluation of infection control measures.
 364 *Epidemiol. Infect.* 137, 1722-1733.
- 365 Gloster, J., Jones, A., Redington, A., Burgin, L., Sorensen, J. H., Turner, R., Dillon, M.,
 366 Hullinger, P., Simpson, M., Astrup, P., Garner, G., Stewart, P., D'Amours, R., Sellers,
 367 R., Paton, D., 2010. Airborne spread of foot-and-mouth disease – Model
 368 intercomparison. *Vet. J.* 183, 278-286.
- 369 Gordon, Y. J., Gordon, R. Y., Romanowski, E., Araulloacruz, T. P., 1993. Prolonged recovery
 370 of desiccated adenoviral serotypes 5, 8, and 19 from plastic and metal-surfaces in-
 371 vitro. *Ophthalmol.* 100, 1835-1840.
- 372 Grisoli, P., Rodolfi, M., Villani, S., Grignani, E., Cottica, D., Berri, A., Picco, A. M., Dacarro,
 373 C., 2009. Assessment of airborne microorganism contamination in an industrial area
 374 characterized by an open composting facility and a wastewater treatment plant.
 375 *Environ. Res.* 109, 135-142.
- 376 Haagsma, J. A., Tariq, L., Heederik, D. J., Havelaar, A. H., 2012. Infectious disease risks
 377 associated with occupational exposure: a systematic review of the literature. *Occup.*
 378 *Environ. Med.* 69, 140-146.
- 379 Haas, D., Unteregger, M., Habib, J., Galler, H., Marth, E., Reinthaler, F. F., 2010. Exposure to
 380 Bioaerosol from Sewage Systems. *Water Air Soil Poll.* 207, 49-56.
- 381 Han, Y. P., Li, L., Liu, J. X., 2013. Characterization of the airborne bacteria community at
 382 different distances from the rotating brushes in a wastewater treatment plant by 16S
 383 rRNA gene clone libraries. *J. Environ. Sci. China.* 25, 5-15.
- 384 Heinonen-Tanski, H., Reponen, T., Koivunen, J., 2009. Airborne enteric coliphages and
 385 bacteria in sewage treatment plants. *Water Res.* 43, 2558-2566.
- 387 Huang, M. L., Nguy, L., Ferrenberg, J., Boeckh, M., Cent, A., Corey, L., 2008. Development
 388 of multiplexed real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay for detecting human
 adenoviruses. *Diag. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 62, 263-271.
- 389 Jeggli, S., Steiner, D., Joller, H., Tschopp, A., Steffen, R., Hotz, P., 2004. Hepatitis E,
 390 *Helicobacter pylori*, and gastrointestinal symptoms in workers exposed to waste water.
 391 *Occup. Environ. Med.* 61, 622-627.
- 392 Jiang, S. C., 2006. Human adenovirus in water: occurrence and health implications: a critical
 393 review. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 7132-7140.
- 394 Jothikumar, N., Lowther, J. A., Henshilwood, K., Lees, D. N., Hill, V. R., Vinje, J., 2005a.
 395 Rapid and sensitive detection of norovirus by using TaqMan-based one-step reverse
 396 transcription-PCR assays and application to naturally contaminated shellfish samples.
 397 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 1870-1875.
- 398 Jothikumar, N., Cromeans, T. L., Hill, V. R., Lu, X. Y., Sobsey, M. D., Erdman, D. D.,
 399 2005b. Quantitative real-time PCR assays for detection of human adenoviruses and
 400 identification of serotypes 40 and 41. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 3131-3136.
- Jothikumar, N., Cromeans, T. L., Robertson, B. H., Meng, X. J., Hill, V. R.,
 402 2006. A broadly reactive one-step real-time RT-PCR assay for rapid and sensitive detection of
 403 hepatitis E virus. *J. Virol. Meth.* 131, 65-71.
- 404 Kaarakainen, P., Rintala, H., Meklin, T., Karkkainen, P., Hyvarinen, A., Nevalainen, A.,
 405 2011. Concentrations and Diversity of Microbes from Four Local Bioaerosol Emission
 406 Sources in Finland. *J. Air Waste Manag. Ass.* 61, 1382-1392.
- 407 Khuder, S. A., Arthur, T., Bisesi, M. S., Schaub, E. A., 1998. Prevalence of infectious
 408 diseases and associated symptoms in wastewater treatment workers. *Am. J. Ind. Med.*
 409 33, 571-577.

- 410 Kimura, H., Nagano, K., Kimura, N., Shimizu, M., Ueno, Y., Morikane, K., Okabe, N., 2011.
411 A norovirus outbreak associated with environmental contamination at a hotel.
412 *Epidemiol. Infect.* 139, 317-325.
- 413 Kirking, H. L., Cortes, J., Burrer, S., Hall, A. J., Cohen, N. J., Lipman, H., Kim, C., Daly, E.
414 R., Fishbein, D. B., 2010. Likely Transmission of Norovirus on an Airplane, October
415 2008. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 50, 1216-1221.
- 416 Kouassi, N.K., N'Guessan, P., Albar, L., Fauquet, C.M., Brugidou, C., 2005. Distribution and
417 Characterization of Rice yellow mottle virus: A Threat to African Farmers. *Plant. Dis.*
418 89, 124–133.
- 419 La Rosa, G., Pourshaban, M., Iaconelli, M., Spuri Vennarucci, V., Muscillo, M., 2010.
420 Molecular Detection of Hepatitis E Virus in Sewage Samples. *Appl. Environ.*
421 *Microbiol.* 76, 5870–5873.
- 422 Masclaux, F. G., Hotz, P., Friedli, D., Savova-Bianchi, D., Oppliger, A., 2013. High
423 occurrence of hepatitis E virus in samples from wastewater treatment plants in
424 Switzerland and comparison with other enteric viruses. *Water Res.* 47, 5101-5109.
- 425 Oppliger, A., Hilfiker, S., Duc, T. V., 2005. Influence of seasons and sampling strategy on
426 assessment of bioaerosols in sewage treatment plants in Switzerland. *Ann. Occup.*
427 *Hyg.* 49, 393-400.
- 429 Renou, C., Moreau, X., Pariente, A., Cadranel, J. F., Maringe, E., Morin, T. Causse, X .
430 Payen, J. L., Izopet, J., Nicand, E., Bourliere, M., Penaranda, G., Hardwigsen, J.,
431 Gerolami, R., Peron, J. M., Pavio, N., 2008. A national survey of acute hepatitis E in
France. *Alim. Pharmacol. Therapeutics.* 11, 1086-1093.
- 432 Rinsoz, T., Hilfiker, S., Oppliger, A., 2009. Quantification of Thermotolerant *Campylobacter*
433 in Swiss Water Treatment Plants, by Real-Time Quantitative Polymerase Chain
434 Reaction. *Water Environ. Res.* 81, 929-933.
- 435 Rodriguez-Lazaro, D., Cook, N., Ruggeri, F. M., Sellwood, J., Nasser, A., Nascimento, M. S.
436 J., D'Agostino, M., Santos, R., Carlos Saiz, J., Rzezutka, A., Bosch, A., Girones, R.,
437 Carducci, A., Muscillo, M., Kovac, K., Diez-Valcarce, M., Vantarakis, A., von
438 Bonsdorff, C.-H., Husman, A. M. d. R., Hernandez, M., van der Poel, W. H. M., 2012.
439 Virus hazards from food, water and other contaminated environments. *Fems*
440 *Microbiol. Rev.* 36, 786-814.
- 441 Rodriguez-Manzano, J., Alonso, J. L., Ferrus, M. A., Moreno, Y., Amoros, I., Calgua, B.,
442 Hundesa, A., Guerrero-Latorre, L., Carratala, A., Rusinol, M., Girones, R., 2012.
443 Standard and new faecal indicators and pathogens in sewage treatment plants,
444 microbiological parameters for improving the control of reclaimed water. *Water Sc.*
445 *Technol.* 66, 2517-2523.
- 446 Rylander, R., 1999. Health effects among workers in sewage treatment plants. *Occup.*
447 *Environ. Med.* 56, 354–357.
- 448 Ssematimba, A., Hagenars, T. J., de Jong, M. C. M., 2012. Modelling the wind-borne spread
449 of highly pathogenic avian influenza virus between farms. *Plos One.* 7, e31114.
- 450 Steiner, D., Jeggli, S., Tschopp, A., Bernard, A., Oppliger, A., Hilfiker, S., Hotz, P., 2005.
451 Clara cell protein and surfactant protein B in garbage collectors and in wastewater
452 workers exposed to bioaerosols. *Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health.* 78, 189-197.
- 453 Teunis, P. F. M., Moe, C. L., Liu, P., Miller, S. E., Lindesmith, L., Baric, R. S., Le Pendu, J.,
454 Calderon, R. L., 2008. Norwalk virus: How infectious is it? *J. Med. Virol.* 80, 1468-
455 1476.
- 456 Thorn, J., Beijer, L., 2004. Work-related symptoms and inflammation among sewage plant
457 operatives. *Int. J. Occup. Environ. Health.* 10, 84-89.
- 458 Thorn, J., Beijer, L., Rylander, R., 2002. Work related symptoms among sewage workers: a
459 nationwide survey in Sweden. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 59, 562-566.

- 460 Thorn, J., Kerekes, E., 2001. Health effects among employees in sewage treatment plants: A
461 literature survey. *Am. J. Ind. Med.* 40, 170-179.
- 462 Thurston-Enriquez, J. A., Haas, C. N., Jacangelo, J., Riley, K., Gerba, C. P., 2003.
463 Inactivation of feline calicivirus and adenovirus type 40 by UV radiation. *App.*
464 *Environ. Microbiol.* 69, 577-582.
- 465 Tschopp, A., Joller, H., Jeggli, S., Widmeier, S., Steffen, R., Hilfiker, S., Hotz, P., 2009.
466 Hepatitis E, *Helicobacter pylori* and peptic ulcers in workers exposed to sewage: a
467 prospective cohort study. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 66, 45-50.
- 468 Uhrbrand, K., Schultz, A. C., Madsen, A. M., 2011. Exposure to Airborne Noroviruses and
469 Other Bioaerosol Components at a Wastewater Treatment Plant in Denmark. *Food*
470 *Environ. Virol.* 3, 130-137.
- 471 Vaidya, S. R., Chitambar, S. D., Arankalle, V. A., 2002. Polymerase chain reaction-based
472 prevalence of hepatitis A, hepatitis E and TT viruses un sewage from an endemic area.
473 *J. Hepatol.* 31, 131-136.
- 474 Wan, G.-H., Huang, C.-G., Huang, Y.-C., Huang, J.-P., Yang, S.-L., Lin, T.-Y., Tsao, K.-C.,
475 2012. Surveillance of Airborne Adenovirus and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in a
476 Hospital Pediatric Department. *Plos One.* 7.
- 477 Wikswo, M. E., Cortes, J., Hall, A. J., Vaughan, G., Howard, C., ; Gregoricus, N., Cramer, E.
478 H., 2011. Disease transmission and passenger behaviours during a high morbidity
479 norovirus outbreak on a cruise ship, January 2009. *Clin. Infec. Dis.* 52,116-1122.
- 480 Yang, W., Marr, L. C., 2012. Mechanisms by Which Ambient Humidity May Affect Viruses
481 in Aerosols. *App. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 6781-6788.
- 482 Zurich WWTP website : available from URL
483 [http://www.awel.zh.ch/internet/audirektion/awel/de/betriebe_anlagen_baustellen/abw](http://www.awel.zh.ch/internet/audirektion/awel/de/betriebe_anlagen_baustellen/abwasserreinigungsanlagen.htm)
484 [asserreinigungsanlagen.htm](http://www.awel.zh.ch/internet/audirektion/awel/de/betriebe_anlagen_baustellen/abwasserreinigungsanlagen.htm)
485
486
487
488