



Research review

The emerging importance of the SPX domain-containing proteins in phosphate homeostasis

Author for correspondence: David Secco Tel: +61 8 6488 44 09 Email: david.secco@uwa.edu.au

Received: 25 September 2011 Accepted: 10 November 2011

David Secco^{1*}, Chuang Wang^{2*}, Bulak A. Arpat³, Zhiye Wang², Yves Poirier³, Stephen D. Tyerman⁴, Ping Wu^{2,5}, Huixia Shou^{2,5} and James Whelan^{1,5}

¹Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence in Plant Energy Biology, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia; ²State Key Laboratory of Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang University, 310058 Hangzhou, China; ³Département de Biologie Moléculaire Végétale, Biophore, Université de Lausanne, CH–1015 Lausanne, Switzerland; ⁴Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence in Plant Energy Biology, School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, Waite Research Institute, University of Adelaide, PMB1, Glen Osmond, SA 5064, Australia; ⁵Joint Research Laboratory in Genomics and Nutriomics, College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang University, 310058 Hangzhou, China

Summary

New Phytologist (2012) **doi**: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.04002.x

Key words: Arabidopsis, phosphate, phosphate homeostasis, phosphate signaling, rice, SPX domain, yeast. Plant growth and development are strongly influenced by the availability of nutrients in the soil solution. Among them, phosphorus (P) is one of the most essential and most limiting macro-elements for plants. In the environment, plants are often confronted with P starvation as a result of extremely low concentrations of soluble inorganic phosphate (Pi) in the soil. To cope with these conditions, plants have developed a wide spectrum of mechanisms aimed at increasing P use efficiency. At the molecular level, recent studies have shown that several proteins carrying the SPX domain are essential for maintaining Pi homeostasis in plants. The SPX domain is found in numerous eukaryotic proteins, including several proteins from the yeast PHO regulon, involved in maintaining Pi homeostasis. In plants, proteins harboring the SPX domain are classified into four families based on the presence of additional domains in their structure, namely the SPX, SPX-EXS, SPX-MFS and SPX-RING families. In this review, we highlight the recent findings regarding the key roles of the proteins containing the SPX domain in phosphate signaling, as well as providing further research directions in order to improve our knowledge on P nutrition in plants, thus enabling the generation of plants with better P use efficiency.

Involvement of the SPX domain in inorganic phosphate (Pi) signaling

It is well established that plant growth and development are highly dependent on the nutrient availability in soil. Inorganic phosphate (Pi), the main source of phosphorus (P) for plants, is present in soluble form at very low concentrations in most soils, as it is often bound to organic and inorganic compounds, thus creating insoluble complexes (Poirier & Bucher, 2002). As a result, Pi deficiency has become a major problem in many agricultural ecosystems, limiting plant growth and yield. For a long time, the application of fertilizer was chosen to overcome these problems. However, the side effects associated with heavy fertilization, such as the eutrophication of lakes, concomitant with the expected

phosphate rock shortage in the coming decades, indicate that, in the long term, this solution is neither economically nor ecologically sustainable. Therefore, several scientific programs aimed at improving nutrient use efficiency have been carried out in recent years in order to maximize plant growth on soils with low nutrient availability. To date, our knowledge of the molecular response of plants to Pi starvation has greatly improved with the identification of several key players involved in Pi signaling, and has been well reviewed in recent years (Nilsson et al., 2010; Rouached et al., 2010; Chiou & Lin, 2011; Peret et al., 2011). Among the many and diverse proteins involved in the plant response to Pi starvation, proteins containing the SPX domain are key players controlling a set of processes involved in the maintenance of an internal steady state of phosphate ions at the level of the cell, defined as Pi homeostasis (Hamburger et al., 2002; Duan et al., 2008; C. Wang et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2010; Secco

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.



et al., 2010; Kant *et al.*, 2011). The SPX domain (Pfam PF03105) (Fig. 1a) is named after the Suppressor of Yeast *gpa1* (Syg1), the yeast Phosphatase 81 (Pho81) and the human Xenotropic and Polytropic Retrovirus receptor 1 (Xpr1). This hydrophilic domain is found at the N-termini of various proteins in all major eukaryotes, from *Caenorhabditis elegans* and Drosophila to mammals (Stefanovic *et al.*, 2011). Although the organization of the SPX domain is variable, it can be subdivided into three well-conserved sub-domains of 30–40 amino acids each (Fig. 1a–c). In addition, despite having an average length of ~165 amino acids, the SPX domain is found in stretches of 135–380 amino acids, with the three sub-domains being separated from each other by regions of low similarity. In yeast, the working model

for nutrient homeostasis in eukaryotes, several proteins belonging to the PHO regulon, involved in the maintenance of Pi homeostasis, possess the SPX domain. Despite the lack of such a regulon in plants, many plant proteins harboring the SPX domain have been shown to be involved in Pi signaling (Table 1; Figs 2, 3). Recent studies in yeast and Arabidopsis have also suggested that the SPX domain itself could be involved in the fine tuning of Pi transport and signaling through mechanisms such as physical interactions with other proteins (Duan *et al.*, 2008; Hurlimann *et al.*, 2009; Zhou & Ni, 2010). In most plants, proteins harboring the SPX domain can be divided into four groups depending on the presence of extra domains: proteins that exclusively contain the SPX domain (Class 1), and proteins that, in addition,



Fig. 1 Characteristics of the SPX domain in plants and yeast. (a) Schematic representation of the SPX domain-containing proteins. The N-terminal SPX domain (gray) is subdivided into three well-conserved sub-domains (sub-domains 1–3), separated from each other by low similarity regions. The dotted line symbolizes the variation in amino acid (aa) length between sub-domains as well as the variation in total protein length. (b) Graphical sequence logo representation of the amino acid conservation of the three SPX sub-domains. (c) Alignment of the three SPX sub-domains of the rice, Arabidopsis and yeast SPX domain-containing proteins.

Table 1 List of the Arabidopsis and rice SPX domain-containing proteins and their characteristics

| Class | Organism | Gene name | Locus identifier | Function | Mutant phenotype | Molecular regulators | Induction by Pi starvation | Subcellular localization | References |
|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | | AtSPX1 | At5g20150 | Positive regulator of plant adaptation to Pi | KO – Unaltered; OE – Increased expression of PSI genes KO – Unaltered | AtPHR1 (+); AtSIZ1 (+) | + | Nucleus | Duan et al. (2008) |
| | | AtSPX2 | At2g26660 | Starvation | | AtPHR1 (+); | + | Nucleus | Duan <i>et al.</i> (2008) |
| | Arabidopsis | AtSPX3 | At2g45130 | Positive regulator of plant adaptation to Pi starvation. Negative regulator of some PSI genes | KD – High shoot Pi content and increased PSI gene expression levels | AtSIZ1 (+) AtPHR1 (+); AtSIZ1 (+) | + | Cytoplasm speckles | Duan <i>et al</i> . (2008) |
| | | AtSPX4 | At5g15330 | Portes | KO – Unaltered | AtPHR1 (+); AtSIZ1 (+) | = | Cytoplasmic membrane | Duan <i>et al.</i> (2008) |
| Class 1 (SPX) | | OsSPX1 | Os06g40120 | Optimize growth under Pi-deficient conditions. Negative regulator of <i>OsPHR2</i> . Involved in resistance to cold stress | KD – Reduced growth. High shoot Pi content, increased PSI gene expression levels; OE – Reduced growth. Suppress the induction of PSI genes under –Pi | OsPHR2 (+); OsPHO2 (–) | + | Nucleus | C. Wang <i>et al.</i> (2009); Zhao <i>et al.</i> (2009); Liu <i>et al.</i> (2010) |
| | Rice | OsSPX2 OsSPX3 | Os02g10780 Os10g25310 | Negative regulator of some PSI genes, involved in tolerance to Pi starvation, but not in Pi accumulation | OE – Reduced growth under +Pi and –Pi conditions | OsSPX1 (+) OsSPX1 (+ in shoot; – in root) | + + | Nucleus Cytoplasm speckles | Z. Wang et al. (2009) |
| | | | | | | | ог, т | | 2. Wang et al. (2005) |
| | | OsSPX4 | Os03g61200 | | | | = | Cytoplasmic | Z. Wang et al. (2009) |
| | | OsSPX5 | Os03g29250 | | | OsSPX1 (– in root | t) + | membrane | Z. Wang et al. (2009) |
| | | OsSPX6 | Os07g42330 | | | | + | | Z. Wang et al. (2009) |
| | | AtPHO1 | At3g23430 | Involved in Pi transfer form root to shoot, via export of Pi out of the cells of the stele and into the xylem vessel apoplast. Involved in Pi signaling | KO – Reduced shoot growth, low shoot Pi content; KD – Normal growth but low shoot Pi content; OE – Reduced shoot growth, high shoot Pi content | AtWRKY6 (–); AtWRKY42 (–) | ÷ | | Poirier et al. (1991); Hamburger et al. (2002); Wang et al. (2004); Stefanovic et al. (2007); Ribot et al. (2008); Chen et al. (2009); Rouached et al. (2010); Stefanovic et al. (2011); Rouached et al. (2011) |
| | | AtPHO1;H1 | At1g68740 | Involved in Pi transfer from root to shoot | KO – Unaltered | AtPHR1 (+) | + | | Wang et al. (2004); Stefanovic et al. (2007) |
| | Arabidopsis | AtPHO1;H2 | At2g03260 | | | | = | | Wang <i>et al.</i> (2004) |
| | | AtPHO1;H4/ AtSHB1 | At4g25350 | Involved in cryptochrome signaling, seed development, photoperiodic and autonomous flowering | KO – Short hypocotyl under blue light. Delayed flowering; OE – Long hypocotyl under red, far-red and blue light | | = | Nucleus | Wang <i>et al.</i> (2004) Wang <i>et al.</i> (2004); Kang & Ni (2006); Zhou <i>et al.</i> (2009); Zhou & Ni (2009, 2010) |
| | | AtPHO1;H5 | At2g03240 | | 5 | | - | | Wang et al. (2004) |
| | | AtphO1;h6 AtphO1;h7 | At2g03250 At1g26730 | | | | = | | Wang et al. (2004) Wang et al. (2004) |
| | | AtPHO1;H8 | At1g35350 | | | | = | | Wang et al. (2004) |
| | | AtPHO1;H10 | At1g69480 | Involved in different biotic and abiotic stress signaling pathways | | AtCOI1 (+); AtABI5 (+) | = | | Wang et al., 2004) Wang et al., 2004 Ribot et al., 2008 |
| Class 2 — (SPX-EXS) | Rice | OsPHO1;1 OsPHO1;2 | Os01g02000 Os02g56510 | Involved in Pi transfer form root to shoot | KO – Unaltered KO – Reduced shoot growth, low shoot Pi | | = = | | Secco <i>et al.</i> (2010) Secco <i>et al.</i> (2010) |
| | | OsPHO1;3 | Os06g29790 | | content | | + | | Secco <i>et al.</i> (2010) |
| | | , | ~ | | | | | | |

Table 1 (Continued)

| Class | Organism | Gene name | Locus identifier | Function | Mutant phenotype | Molecular regulators | Induction by Pi starvation | Subcellular localization | References |
|-------------------------|-------------|---|--|---|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | Arabidopsis | AtSPX-MFS1 AtSPX-MFS2 AtSPX-MFS3 | At4g22990 At4g11810 At1g63010 | | | | - + = | Tonoplast | Dunkley <i>et al.</i> (2006) |
| Class 3 – (SPX-MFS) | | OsSPX-MFS1 | Os04g48390 | Pi transport and remobilization in leaves | KD – Increased Pi content in the leaves and altered Pi re-mobilization from old to young leaves | osa-miR827 (OsPHR2 (–) | –); – | | Lin <i>et al.</i> (2010) |
| | Rice | OsSPX-MFS2 OsSPX-MFS3 OsSPX-MFS4 ^a | Os02g45520 Os06g03860 Os09g34990 | | | osa-miR827 (OsPHR2 (–) | -); + - = | | Lin <i>et al.</i> (2010) |
| | Arabidopsis | AtNLA/AtBAH1 AtNLA2 | At1g02860 At2g38920 | Regulates Pi homeostasis under N limitation condition. Involved in regulation of anthocyanin synthesis | KO – High levels of Pi in the shoot, lack of anthocyanin accumulation in leaves under low N | ath-miR827 (- | -) - | Nuclear speckles | Peng et al. (2007, 2008); Yaeno & Iba (2008); Hsieh et al. (2009); Kant et al. (2011) |
| Class 4 – (SPX-RING) | Rice | OsNLA1 OsNLA2 | Os07g47590 Os03g44810 | | | | | | |

The column 'Induction by Pi starvation' represents transcript regulation under Pi starvation.

'+', '-', '=', induction, suppression or unaltered transcript expression, respectively.

OsSPX-MFS4^a shows that OsSPX-MFS4 is considered as a pseudogene.

KD, knock-down; KO, knock-out; OE, overexpression; PSI genes, Phosphate Starvation Inducible genes.

harbor at the C-terminus an EXS domain (Class 2), an MFS domain (Class 3) or a RING domain (Class 4) (Fig. 2; Table 1) (Chiou & Lin, 2011). Here, we summarize and highlight recent advances and future challenges in understanding the important roles of the different SPX domain-containing protein families in the regulation of phosphate homeostasis in yeast, Arabidopsis and rice.

Function of the SPX domain-containing proteins in yeast

The first characterized proteins possessing the SPX domain were discovered in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, with the identification of Pho81 and Syg1 (Schneider et al., 1994; Spain et al., 1995). Since then, strong links between the presence of the SPX domain in proteins and Pi homeostasis have been demonstrated (Fisher et al., 2005; Hurlimann et al., 2007, 2009; Lee et al., 2007; Hothorn et al., 2009; Ghillebert et al., 2011). Among the 10 proteins harboring the SPX domain in yeast, eight are closely linked to phosphate metabolism, namely the three lowaffinity Pi transporters, Pho87, Pho90 and Pho91, three of the four yeast polyphosphate synthase subunits, Vacuolar Transporter Chaperone 2 (Vtc2), Vtc3 and Vtc4, the Cyclin-Dependent Kinase inhibitor Pho81 and the glycerophosphocholine phosphodiesterase 1 (Gde1) (Fisher et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2007; Hothorn et al., 2009; Hurlimann et al., 2009; Ghillebert et al., 2011).

In addition to mediating phosphate uptake, the three lowaffinity transporters are involved in the sensing of external Pi and the regulation of Pi signaling (Ghillebert *et al.*, 2011). Although Pho87 and Pho90 are localized to the plasma membrane, Pho91 is localized to the vacuolar membrane and is involved in the export of Pi from the vacuole to the cytosol (Hurlimann *et al.*, 2007). Under low-Pi conditions, Pho87 and Pho90 are repressed by Spl2, a negative regulator, which interacts directly with both transporters via the SPX domain. Therefore, the SPX domain of Pho87 and Pho90 can act as an auto-inhibitory domain involved in the regulation of phosphate accumulation in yeast cells (Hurlimann *et al.*, 2009). In addition, on phosphate, nitrogen and carbon source deficiencies, it has been shown that Pho87 and Pho90 can be inactivated via vacuolar targeting, and that this mechanism requires the SPX domain (Ghillebert *et al.*, 2011).

On Pi starvation, Pho81, in association with inositol heptakisphosphate, inactivates the main regulator of the PHO pathway, thus resulting in increased levels of expression of the phosphate starvation genes (Lee *et al.*, 2007).

The vacuolar localized Vtc proteins have recently been shown to synthesize polyphosphate, using ATP as a substrate, before translocating the phosphate polymers to the vacuolar lumen (Hothorn *et al.*, 2009). A truncated form of Vtc4, devoid of the SPX domain, is still active in polyphosphate synthesis, indicating that the SPX domain is not essential for catalytic activity (Hothorn *et al.*, 2009).

Gde1, encoding the only characterized glycerophosphodiester phosphodiesterase in yeast, is responsible for the hydrolysis of glycerophosphocholine in the cell, and thus the scavenging of phosphate from glycerophosphodiesters under low-Pi conditions (Fisher *et al.*, 2005).

The SPX family in plants

In plants, proteins exclusively harboring the SPX domain are referred to as SPX proteins. In Arabidopsis and rice, the SPX family consists of four and six members, respectively (Fig. 2; Table 1) (Duan et al., 2008; C. Wang et al., 2009; Z. Wang et al., 2009). These relatively small proteins (~280 amino acids) were named as AtSPX1-AtSPX4 in Arabidopsis and OsSPX1-OsSPX6 in rice. Localization studies revealed a broad range of expression for the members of the SPX family, ranging from roots, leaves, cotyledons, stems and pollen grains. Transcript and histochemical analyses showed that all the SPX genes, with the exception of AtSPX4 and OsSPX4, were highly induced on Pi starvation in roots and/or in shoots (Supporting Information Fig. S1) (Duan et al., 2008; C. Wang et al., 2009; Z. Wang et al., 2009). In addition, studies in Arabidopsis showed that these responses were under the control of AtPHR1 and its closest family member AtPHL1 (Fig. S1).

Individual mutant analysis of AtSPX1, AtSPX2 and AtSPX4 knock-outs did not show any obvious phenotypes, under either Pi-sufficient or Pi-deficient conditions (Duan *et al.*, 2008). However, the overexpression of AtSPX1 increased the expression levels of some of the Phosphate Starvation Inducible (PSI) genes, such as ACP5, PAP2 and RNS1, independent of Pi status, suggesting a potential transcriptional regulation role of AtSPX1 on Pi starvation (Fig. 3). In addition, repression of *AtSPX3* by RNAi altered the response to Pi starvation at both the phenotypic and gene expression level, resulting in plants with increased Pi concentration in the shoots, and decreased Pi concentration in the roots, suggesting that *AtSPX3* can act as a negative regulator of Pi starvation signaling (Duan *et al.*, 2008).

In rice, OsSPX1 has been shown to be specifically induced by Pi starvation and to be preferentially expressed in the roots (C. Wang et al., 2009). Suppression of OsSPX1 by RNAi reduced plant growth and increased Pi accumulation in the shoots, as observed for well-characterized plants overaccumulating Pi, such as Ospho2 mutants or OsPHR2-overexpressing plants (C. Wang et al., 2009). Detailed analysis showed that the increase in shoot Pi concentration in the OsSPX1 RNAi lines correlated with increased expression of some PSI genes, such as the Pi transporters OsPT2 and OsPT8 (C. Wang et al., 2009). By contrast, although growth was still impaired, overexpression of OsSPX1 suppressed the induction of the PSI genes, suggesting that OsSPX1, similar to AtSPX3, is involved in a negative feedback loop to adjust the expression of several PSI genes under Pi-limited conditions (C. Wang et al., 2009). Recently, Liu et al. (2010), using plants simultaneously overexpressing OsSPX1 and OsPHR2, demonstrated that OsSPX1 could counteract the function of OsPHR2 in inducing the expression of OsPT2, which plays a major role in Pi translocation and accumulation, thus



Fig. 2 Phylogenetic relationships and structural characteristics of the Arabidopsis and rice SPX domaincontaining proteins. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. At, *Arabidopsis thaliana*; Os, *Oryza sativa*. Right panel shows the schematic representation of the structure of the different classes of SPX domain-containing proteins. For each class of SPX domain-containing protein, the respective domains and the average protein length are shown. aa, amino acid. demonstrating that OsSPX1 acts as a negative regulator of OsPHR2 (Fig. 3) (Liu et al., 2010).

The SPX proteins have a broad range of subcellular localization. AtSPX1, AtSPX2, OsSPX1 and OsSPX2 are exclusively localized to the nucleus, AtSPX3 and OsSPX4 are localized to some unidentified cytoplasmic speckles, and AtSPX4 and OsSPX4 are localized to the plasma membrane (Duan et al., 2008; Z. Wang et al., 2009). Yet, the subcellular localization of the rice SPX proteins was only monitored in a heterologous system, using onion epidermal cells (Z. Wang et al., 2009). Recent studies have shown that OsSPX1 could regulate the transcription of OsSPX2, 3 and 5 (Z. Wang et al., 2009). However, in this study, the authors did not rule out the possibility that the changes observed in gene expression for OsSPX2, 3 and 5 could be a result of increased Pi concentration in the OsSPX1-overexpressing plants, instead of direct regulation via OsSPX1.

Another feature of the rice SPX family has been demonstrated in the response to cold stress. Constitutive overexpression of OsSPX1 in tobacco plants resulted in decreased total leaf Pi concentration and the accumulation of free proline and sucrose, providing improved cold tolerance compared with the wild-type (WT) (Zhao et al., 2009). It is noteworthy that both cold stress and Pi starvation induce sugar accumulation in plants. A link between low Pi, cold acclimatization and freezing tolerance has also been noted using the Arabidopsis pho1 and pho2 mutants (Hurry et al., 2000). The importance of sugars and, more specifically, sucrose in Pi homeostasis is well documented (Hammond & White, 2011; Lei et al., 2011). Therefore, the deciphering of the cross-talk between phosphate starvation and sugar signaling, as well as the involvement of SPX domain-containing proteins in these pathways, requires further investigation.

The SPX-EXS family in plants

The PHO1 family members are the only proteins in eukaryotes that contain both the SPX and EXS domains (Fig. 2) (Wang et al., 2004). The EXS domain is embedded in a hydrophobic region and has an unknown function. It was named after the yeast ERD1, involved in the localization of endogenous endoplasmic reticulum proteins, the human XPR1 and the yeast SYG1 (Pfam entry PF03124). Unlike other SPX-containing protein families, the SPX domain in the PHO1 gene family is found as a tripartite domain, interspersed with large insertions. Homologs of PHO1 are found in a wide spectrum of eukaryotes, from yeast, C. elegans, Drosophila, mammals and plants (Secco et al., 2010). Interestingly, no PHO1 homologs are found in prokaryotes or in the unicellular alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, but PHO1 homologs are found in bryophytes, monocotyledons and dicotyledons (Wang et al., 2008; Secco et al., 2010).

The pho1 mutant was first isolated in Arabidopsis as a Pideficient mutant with low leaf Pi concentration, reduced shoot growth and increased Pi concentration in the roots (Table 1) (Poirier et al., 1991). Consistent with its function in Pi loading into the xylem, AtPHO1 is expressed in the cells of the root vascular system and the lower part of the hypocotyl (Hamburger et al., 2002). Recent studies have demonstrated that AtPHO1 is capable

(Fig. 2; Table 1). Although the majority of these genes are predominantly expressed in the vascular cylinder of the root and/or the shoot, some genes are more broadly expressed, such as in the trichomes, pollen grains and in response to hormones, implying a broader role for AtPHO1 proteins than simply the long-distance transfer of Pi (Wang et al., 2004; Rouached et al., 2010). To date, four members of the family, AtPHO1, AtPHO1;H1, AtPHO1;H4 and AtPHO1;H10, have been studied and characterized, with only AtPHO1 and AtPHO1;H1 being involved in Pi homeostasis. Genetic complementation studies aimed at rescuing the growth defect phenotype as well as the low shoot Pi concentration of the Atpho1 mutant, with all the members of the AtPHO1 gene family, with the exception of AtPHO1;H10, showed that only AtPHO1 and AtPHO1;H1 were involved in long-distance Pi transfer (Stefanovic et al., 2007). Despite having a similar biological role, and being induced by Pi starvation, AtPHO1 and AtPHO1;H1 are differentially regulated (Fig. 3; Table 1). Although the induction of AtPHO1;H1 on Pi starvation is controlled by AtPHR1, the main transcription factor controlling Pi homeostasis, and can be suppressed by the nonmetabolizable phosphate analog, phosphite, the increase in AtPHO1 expression is independent of AtPHR1 and is not influenced by phosphite (Stefanovic et al., 2007). Analysis of the expression profile of AtPHO1 and AtPHO1;H1 on sucrose and phytohormone treatments revealed further differences in their mode of regulation (Ribot et al., 2008). Recent studies have demonstrated that AtPHO1 is regulated by two WRKY transcription factors, WRKY6 and WRKY 42 (Chen et al., 2009). Both transcription factors negatively regulate the expression of PHO1 by binding to two W-box motifs present in the AtPHO1 promoter, in a Pidependent manner (Chen et al., 2009). Taken together, it appears that AtPHO1 and AtPHO1;H1 are regulated by distinct signal transduction pathways.

AtPHO1;H4, also named Short Hypocotyl under Blue Light (SHB1), and AtPHO1;H10 have, to date, no direct links with phosphate homeostasis, being involved in the control of hypocotyl elongation under blue light and in response to numerous biotic and abiotic stresses, respectively (Table 1) (Ribot et al., 2008; Zhou & Ni, 2010).

In rice, the PHO1 family consists of only three genes, namely OsPHO1;1-OsPHO1;3. Interestingly, all the rice PHO1 proteins clustered with AtPHO1 and AtPHO1;H1, the only two Arabidopsis members involved in long-distance Pi transfer (Secco et al.,



Fig. 3 Overview of the involvement of the rice and Arabidopsis SPX domain-containing proteins in the phosphate starvation signaling pathways. For each of the four class of SPX domain-containing proteins, members with known function are represented with regard to the inorganic phosphate (Pi) starvation signaling pathway, in Arabidopsis (light gray shading) and rice (dark gray shading). Arrowheads show direct or indirect positive regulation; flat-ended lines show negative regulation. SPX domain-containing transcripts in red indicate an increase in transcript abundance under Pi starvation, whereas those in blue indicate a decrease in transcript abundance. ABA, abscisic acid; At, *Arabidopsis thaliana*; CK, cytokinin; Os, *Oryza sativa*.

2010). Mutant analysis revealed that OsPHO1;2, the closest homolog of AtPHO1, was required to transfer Pi from the roots to the shoots (Fig. 3). A key difference between the Arabidopsis and rice PHO1 families is the presence of cis-Natural Antisense Transcripts (NATs) for all three members of the rice PHO1 family (Secco et al., 2010). Despite the unknown function of these NATs, their expression pattern, as well as data from the literature, suggest that they could be implicated in regulating the expression of the sense transcript. The function of the other members of the family is still unknown, but could be involved in the maintenance of Pi homeostasis in other tissues, such as flowers, as suggested by their expression profiles, or be functionally redundant with OsPHO1;2. Moreover, phylogenetic analyses of PHO1 homologs of different mono- and dicotyledonous plants revealed the emergence of a divergent clade of PHO1 proteins in dicotyledons, which include members that have not yet been involved in Pi homeostasis, such as AtPHO1;H4 (SHB1) (Secco et al., 2010). However, the functionality of this PHO1 dicotyledon-specific clade is still unclear.

The SPX-MFS family in plants

The Major Facilitator Superfamily (MFS) represents the largest group of transport carriers in all organisms, which are often coupled to the movement of another ion. Proteins of this family can function as uniporters, symporters or antiporters, and have a diverse range of substrates, such as ions, sugars, nucleosides, amino acids and peptides. Based on the properties of the SPX and MFS domains, it has been hypothesized that proteins harboring these two domains could be involved in both transport and signaling (Lin *et al.*, 2010).

In rice, although there are four putative genes for this family, namely *SPX-MFS1–SPX-MFS4*, the latter has no reported full-length cDNA or expressed sequence tag (EST) sequence, suggesting that it may be a pseudogene (Fig. 2; Table 1). Transcript analysis of the rice *SPX-MFS* genes showed that they were preferentially expressed in the shoots, and that both *OsSPX-MFS1* and *OsSPX-MFS3* were suppressed by Pi starvation, whereas *OsSPX-MFS2* was induced by Pi deficiency (Fig. 3) (Lin *et al.*, 2010).

OsSPX-MFS1 and OsSPX-MFS2 have been shown to be specifically regulated by a Pi starvation-induced microRNA, osamiR827 (Lin et al., 2010). In situ hybridization revealed that OsSPX-MFS1 and OsSPX-MFS2 were preferentially expressed in the leaf mesophyll and parenchyma cells surrounding the xylem, similar to osa-miR827 (Lin et al., 2010). Analysis of the knock-out T-DNA lines of OsSPX-MFS1 and OsSPX-MFS2 and overexpressing plants of osa-miR827 revealed that both OsSPX-MFS1 and OsSPX-MFS2 were negatively regulated by osa-miR827 abundance, despite their different responses to external Pi status. Although Lin et al. (2010) did not find any obvious phenotype in osa-miR827-suppressing or -overexpressing lines, a recent study has shown that overexpression of osa-miR827 or reduced expression of OsSPX-MFS1 increases Pi concentration in the leaves, and reduces Pire-mobilization from old to young leaves (C. Wang et al., unpublished). Moreover, using OsPHR2-overexpressing plants, it has also been shown that the osa-miR827/OsSPX-MFS1/2 pathway is under the control of OsPHR2 (Lin et al., 2010).

Interestingly, in most of the monocotyledons, the preferential cell type for leaf Pi storage is the mesophyll cell, in contrast with Arabidopsis, where Pi is mainly stored in the epidermal and bundle sheath cells (Conn & Gilliham, 2010; Conn *et al.*, 2011). The compartmentalization of nutrient storage in specific cell types aims at reducing the creation of insoluble complexes. Thus, in rice, specific phosphate transporters should be required to concentrate Pi in mesophyll cells. Hence, it is tempting to hypothesize that some members of the SPX-MFS family, as a result of their localization and function, could perform such a function.

The SPX-RING family in plants

The Really Interesting New Gene (RING) finger domain, a specialized type of zinc finger domain, is involved in the mediation of protein–protein interactions. The presence of a RING finger domain is a characteristic of RING-class E3 ubiquitin protein ligases, which are capable of transferring ubiquitin from an E2 enzyme to a substrate protein. In Arabidopsis, despite the RING domain being present in more than 450 proteins, only two proteins in both rice and Arabidopsis possess the RING and SPX domains (Fig. 2; Table 1).

To date, the only characterized member of the SPX-RING family is the Arabidopsis Nitrogen Limitation Adaptation (*NLA*) gene (Peng *et al.*, 2007), also called benzoic acid hypersensitive 1 (*BAH1*), for its role in the immune response (Yaeno & Iba, 2008). The *Atnla* mutant was first identified for its altered growth response on nitrogen (N) starvation, being unable to accumulate anthocyanins, resulting in an early senescence phenotype (Peng *et al.*, 2007, 2008). A recent study has demonstrated the involvement of *AtNLA* in phosphate homeostasis (Kant *et al.*, 2011). Phosphate analysis revealed that the *Atnla* mutant showed increased Pi uptake and Pi content, especially under low-nitrate and high-phosphate availability, relative to WT plants. The phosphate uptake capacity and Pi content of the *Atnla* mutant were similar to those of the well-characterized Pi overaccumulator *Atpho2* mutant. The early senescence phenotype detected in the

Atnla mutant under low nitrate appeared to be a consequence of shoot Pi toxicity, as observed in Atpho2 mutants. Phosphate overaccumulation was drastically increased under low-nitrate conditions, for both Atnla and Atpho2 mutants, suggesting that nitrate and phosphate levels have an antagonistic interaction (Kant et al., 2011). The Arabidopsis and rice PHO2, encoding an E2 conjugase, are modulated by the Pi starvation-induced miR399 (Rouached et al., 2010; Chiou & Lin, 2011). PHO2 is a key player in Pi homeostasis, regulating a subset of the PSI genes, such as some Pi transporters. Surprisingly, AtNLA has also been shown to be regulated by an miRNA, miR827. Under Pi starvation, miR827, similar to miR399, is specifically up-regulated and targets the degradation of NLA mRNA, thus activating Pi uptake and root to shoot translocation (Fig. 3). Consequently, both AtPHO2 and AtNLA act as negative regulators of Pi uptake, and are regulated by miRNAs, in order to avoid Pi overaccumulation and thus leaf Pi toxicity. Moreover, AtPHO2, an E2 conjugase, and AtNLA, an E3 ligase, are both part of the ubiquitination pathway, targeting proteins for degradation via the ubiquitin-26S proteasome, and could thus interact together. A yeast two-hybrid screen has already demonstrated that AtNLA could interact with the ubiquitin E2 conjugase UBC8 via the RING domain (Peng et al., 2007).

Additional evidence of the involvement of the Arabidopsis *AtNLA* in Pi homeostasis was provided by the identification of two suppressors of the *Atnla* mutation recovering the WT phenotype, namely the Phosphate Transporter Traffic Facilitator1 (*AtPHFI*) and Phosphate Transporter 1.1 (*AtPHT1;1*) (Kant *et al.*, 2011). In addition, *AtPHF1* and *AtPHT1;1* are probably direct or indirect targets of both *AtNLA* and *AtPHT02*, as mutation of *Atphf1* and *Atpht1;1* in the *Atnla* and *Atpho2* mutant backgrounds restored the Pi concentration to WT levels. Thus, in the future, it will be interesting to gain further details of the cross-talk between *AtNLA* and *AtPHO2*, and their role in controlling nitrate-dependent phosphate homeostasis.

Conclusion and perspectives

In recent years, the role and importance of the SPX domaincontaining proteins in the regulation of Pi homeostasis have become increasingly clear. The recent discovery of the involvement of NLA and some members of the SPX-MSF family in the control of Pi homeostasis shows that all families of proteins harboring the SPX domain have some members involved in Pi signaling and/or transport in plants. The function of these families is well conserved between Arabidopsis and rice, with the exception of the SPX-RING and SPX-MFS families that 'overlap'. In the future, a key challenge to improve our knowledge on the function of the plant SPX domain-containing protein, and thus Pi homeostasis, will be to determine whether the SPX domain is involved in protein interaction, as observed in yeast, and, if so, to identify the different molecular players. Indeed, several SPX domaincontaining proteins have been shown to localize to the nucleus, and thus may interact with transcription factors. It is also interesting to note that several yeast and plant proteins possessing the SPX domain have been shown to negatively regulate Pi

signaling and/or transport. Whether such a negative feedback regulatory function can be generalized to other proteins harboring the SPX domain has yet to be determined. Gaining further information on the localization of the SPX domain-containing proteins will be a key process in the identification of their function. Ultimately, the identification of the role of the SPX domain, and SPX domain-containing proteins, will greatly improve our knowledge of Pi signaling and transport in plants, assisting efforts to breed plants with better P use efficiency.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council Super Science Fellowship (FS100100022), the Key Basic Research Special Foundation of China (2011CB100303), the Science and Technology Cooperation Project of China and Australia (20080242) and the Swiss National Fund (3100A0-122493 and 31003A-138339).

References

Chen YF, Li LQ, Xu Q, Kong YH, Wang H, Wu WH. 2009. The WRKY6 transcription factor modulates PHOSPHATE1 expression in response to low Pi stress in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* 21: 3554–3566.

Chiou T-J, Lin S-I. 2011. Signaling network in sensing phosphate availability in plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology 62: 185–206.

Conn S, Gilliham M. 2010. Comparative physiology of elemental distributions in plants. *Annals of Botany* 105: 1081–1102.

Conn SJ, Gilliham M, Athman A, Schreiber AW, Baumann U, Moller I, Cheng NH, Stancombe MA, Hirschi KD, Webb AA et al. 2011. Cell-specific vacuolar calcium storage mediated by CAX1 regulates apoplastic calcium concentration, gas exchange, and plant productivity in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* 23: 240–257.

Duan K, Yi K, Dang L, Huang H, Wu W, Wu P. 2008. Characterization of a sub-family of Arabidopsis genes with the SPX domain reveals their diverse functions in plant tolerance to phosphorus starvation. *Plant Journal* 54: 965–975.

Dunkley TP, Hester S, Shadforth IP, Runions J, Weimar T, Hanton SL, Griffin JL, Bessant C, Brandizzi F, Hawes C, Watson RB, Dupree P, Lilley KS. 2006. Mapping the Arabidopsis organelle proteome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 103: 6518–6523.

Fisher E, Almaguer C, Holic R, Griac P, Patton-Vogt J. 2005. Glycerophosphocholine-dependent growth requires Gde1p (YPL110c) and Git1p in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **280**: 36110–36117.

Ghillebert R, Swinnen E, De Snijder P, Smets B, Winderickx J. 2011. Differential roles for the low-affinity phosphate transporters Pho87 and Pho90 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biochemical Journal* **434**: 243–251.

Hamburger D, Rezzonico E, MacDonald-Comber Petetot J, Somerville C, Poirier Y. 2002. Identification and characterization of the Arabidopsis PHO1 gene involved in phosphate loading to the xylem. *The Plant Cell* 14: 889–902.

Hammond JP, White PJ. 2011. Sugar signaling in root responses to low phosphorus availability. *Plant Physiology* **156**: 1033–1040.

Hothorn M, Neumann H, Lenherr ED, Wehner M, Rybin V, Hassa PO, Uttenweiler A, Reinhardt M, Schmidt A, Seiler J *et al.* 2009. Catalytic core of a membrane-associated eukaryotic polyphosphate polymerase. *Science* 324: 513–516.

Hsieh LC, Lin SI, Shih AC, Chen JW, Lin WY, Tseng CY, Li WH, Chiou TJ. 2009. Uncovering small RNA-mediated responses to phosphate deficiency in Arabidopsis by deep sequencing. *Plant Physiology* 151: 2120–2132. Hurlimann BC, Stadler-Waibel M, Werner TP, Freimoser FM. 2007. Pho91 is a vacuolar phosphate transporter that regulates phosphate and polyphosphate metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 18: 4438–4445.

Hurry V, Strand A, Furbank R, Stitt M. 2000. The role of inorganic phosphate in the development of freezing tolerance and the acclimatization of photosynthesis to low temperature is revealed by the *pho* mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana. The Plant Journal* 24: 383–396.

Kang X, Ni M. 2006. Arabidopsis SHORT HYPOCOTYL UNDER BLUE1 contains SPX and EXS domains and acts in cryptochrome signaling. *The Plant Cell* 18: 921–934.

Kant S, Peng M, Rothstein SJ. 2011. Genetic regulation by NLA and MicroRNA827 for maintaining nitrate-dependent phosphate homeostasis in Arabidopsis. *PLoS Genetics* 7: e1002021.

Lee YS, Mulugu S, York JD, O'Shea EK. 2007. Regulation of a cyclin– CDK–CDK inhibitor complex by inositol pyrophosphates. *Science* 316: 109–112.

Lei M, Liu Y, Zhang B, Zhao Y, Wang X, Zhou Y, Raghothama KG, Liu D. 2011. Genetic and genomic evidence that sucrose is a global regulator of plant responses to phosphate starvation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* 156: 1116–1130.

Lin SI, Santi C, Jobet E, Lacut E, El Kholti N, Karlowski WM, Verdeil JL, Breitler JC, Perin C, Ko SS *et al.* 2010. Complex regulation of two target genes encoding SPX-MFS proteins by rice miR827 in response to phosphate starvation. *Plant Cell & Physiology* 51: 2119–2131.

Liu F, Wang Z, Ren H, Shen C, Li Y, Ling HQ, Wu C, Lian X, Wu P. 2010. OsSPX1 suppresses the function of OsPHR2 in the regulation of expression of OsPT2 and phosphate homeostasis in shoots of rice. *Plant Journal* 62: 508–517.

Nilsson L, Muller R, Nielsen TH. 2010. Dissecting the plant transcriptome and the regulatory responses to phosphate deprivation. *Physiologia Plantarum* 139: 129–143.

Peng M, Hannam C, Gu H, Bi YM, Rothstein SJ. 2007. A mutation in NLA, which encodes a RING-type ubiquitin ligase, disrupts the adaptability of Arabidopsis to nitrogen limitation. *Plant Journal* 50: 320–337.

Peng M, Hudson D, Schofield A, Tsao R, Yang R, Gu H, Bi YM, Rothstein SJ. 2008. Adaptation of Arabidopsis to nitrogen limitation involves induction of anthocyanin synthesis which is controlled by the NLA gene. Journal of Experimental Botany 59: 2933–2944.

Peret B, Clement M, Nussaume L, Desnos T. 2011. Root developmental adaptation to phosphate starvation: better safe than sorry. *Trends in Plant Science* 16: 442–450.

Poirier Y, Bucher M. 2002. Phosphate transport and homeostasis in *Arabidopsis*. In: Somerville CR, Meyerowitz EM, eds. *The Arabidopsis Book*. Rockville, MD, USA: American Society of Plant Biologists, 1–35.

Poirier Y, Thoma S, Somerville C, Schiefelbein J. 1991. Mutant of Arabidopsis deficient in xylem loading of phosphate. *Plant Physiology* 97: 1087–1093.

Ribot C, Zimmerli C, Farmer E, Reymond P, Poirier Y. 2008. Induction of the Arabidopsis *PHO1;H10* gene by 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid but not jasmonic acid via a CORONATINE INSENSITIVE1-dependent pathway. *Plant Physiology* 147: 696–706.

Rouached H, Arpat AB, Poirier Y. 2010. Regulation of phosphate starvation responses in plants: signaling players and cross-talks. *Molecular Plant* 3: 288–299.

Rouached H, Stefanovic A, Secco D, Bulak Arpat A, Gout E, Bligny R, Poirier Y. 2011. Uncoupling phosphate deficiency from its major effects on growth and transcriptome via *PHO1* expression in Arabidopsis. *Plant Journal* 65: 557–570.

Schneider KR, Smith RL, O'Shea EK. 1994. Phosphate-regulated inactivation of the kinase PHO80-PHO85 by the CDK inhibitor PHO81. *Science* 266: 122–126.

Secco D, Baumann A, Poirier Y. 2010. Characterization of the rice PHO1 gene family reveals a key role for OsPHO1;2 in phosphate homeostasis and the evolution of a distinct clade in dicotyledons. Plant Physiology 152: 1693–1704. Spain BH, Koo D, Ramakrishnan M, Dzudzor B, Colicelli J. 1995. Truncated forms of a novel yeast protein suppress the lethality of a G protein alpha subunit deficiency by interacting with the beta subunit. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 270: 25435–25444.

Stefanovic A, Bulak Arpat A, Bligny R, Gout E, Vidoudez C, Bensimon M, Poirier Y. 2011. Overexpression of *PHO1* in Arabidopsis leaves reveals its role in mediating phosphate efflux. *Plant Journal* 66: 689–699.

Stefanovic A, Ribot C, Rouached H, Wang Y, Chong J, Belbahri L, Delessert S, Poirier Y. 2007. Members of the *PHO1* gene family show limited functional redundancy in phosphate transfer to the shoot, and are regulated by phosphate deficiency via distinct pathways. *Plant Journal* 50: 982–994.

Wang C, Ying S, Huang H, Li K, Wu P, Shou H. 2009. Involvement of OsSPX1 in phosphate homeostasis in rice. *Plant Journal* 57: 895–904.

Wang Y, Ribot C, Rezzonico E, Poirier Y. 2004. Structure and expression profile of the Arabidopsis *PHO1* gene family indicates a broad role in inorganic phosphate homeostasis. *Plant Physiology* 135: 400–411.

Wang Y, Secco D, Poirier Y. 2008. Characterization of the PHO1 gene family and the responses to phosphate deficiency of *Physcomitrella patens*. *Plant Physiology* 146: 646–656.

Wang Z, Hu H, Huang H, Duan K, Wu Z, Wu P. 2009. Regulation of OsSPX1 and OsSPX3 on expression of OsSPX domain genes and Pi-starvation signaling in rice. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 51: 663–674.

Yaeno T, Iba K. 2008. BAH1/NLA, a RING-type ubiquitin E3 ligase, regulates the accumulation of salicylic acid and immune responses to *Pseudomonas* syringae DC3000. *Plant Physiology* 148: 1032–1041.

- Zhao L, Liu F, Xu W, Di C, Zhou S, Xue Y, Yu J, Su Z. 2009. Increased expression of *OsSPX1* enhances cold/subfreezing tolerance in tobacco and *Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Biotechnology Journal* 7: 550–561.
- Zhou Y, Ni M. 2009. SHB1 plays dual roles in photoperiodic and autonomous flowering. *Developmental Biology* **331**: 50–57.
- Zhou Y, Ni M. 2010. SHORT HYPOCOTYL UNDER BLUE1 truncations and mutations alter its association with a signaling protein complex in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* 22: 703–715.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Fig. S1 Overview of the regulation of the rice and Arabidopsis SPX domain-containing transcripts on inorganic phosphate (Pi) starvation.

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.