



# **Pressure Overload and Right Ventricular Failure: From Pathophysiology to Treatment**

Nicolas Dayer <sup>1,\*</sup>, Zied Ltaief <sup>2</sup>, Lucas Liaudet <sup>2</sup>, Benoit Lechartier <sup>3</sup>, John-David Aubert <sup>3</sup> and Patrick Yerly <sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Cardiology, Lausanne University Hospital and Lausanne University, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland; patrick.yerly@chuv.ch
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Adult Intensive Care Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital and Lausanne University, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland; zied.ltaief@chuv.ch (Z.L.); lucas.liaudet@chuv.ch (L.L.)
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Respiratory Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital and Lausanne University,
- 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland; benoit.lechartier@chuv.ch (B.L.); john-david.aubert@chuv.ch (J.-D.A.)
- Correspondence: nicolas.dayer@chuv.ch

Abstract: Right ventricular failure (RVF) is often caused by increased afterload and disrupted coupling between the right ventricle (RV) and the pulmonary arteries (PAs). After a phase of adaptive hypertrophy, pressure-overloaded RVs evolve towards maladaptive hypertrophy and finally ventricular dilatation, with reduced stroke volume and systemic congestion. In this article, we review the concept of RV-PA coupling, which depicts the interaction between RV contractility and afterload, as well as the invasive and non-invasive techniques for its assessment. The current principles of RVF management based on pathophysiology and underlying etiology are subsequently discussed. Treatment strategies remain a challenge and range from fluid management and afterload reduction in moderate RVF to vasopressor therapy, inotropic support and, occasionally, mechanical circulatory support in severe RVF.

**Keywords:** right ventricular failure; right heart failure; adaptive hypertrophy; maladaptive hypertrophy; right ventricular–pulmonary artery coupling; pressure–volume loops; hemodynamics; echocardiography; therapy

# 1. Introduction

The function of the right ventricle (RV) remained enigmatic for medicine and science for centuries. In 1628, Sir William Harvey wondered why nature provided human bodies with a ventricle dedicated to the sole purpose of nourishing the lungs, whereas all other organs receive blood from the left ventricle (LV). The conundrum persisted during the first half of the 20th century after experiments in dogs showed almost unchanged blood pressure and cardiac output after complete RV-free wall cauterization [1]. In addition, RV bypass with direct connection of the superior and inferior venae cavae to the pulmonary arteries, designated as the Fontan procedure, improves survival in patients with tricuspid atresia or single-ventricle anatomy [2].

Although hidden at rest, RV functionality reveals itself during exercise. Despite offering long-term palliation for specific congenital heart diseases, patients with Fontan physiology also have 35–60% less maximal aerobic capacity than healthy subjects and a markedly reduced maximal systemic venous return [3,4]. Indeed, the necessary increase in cardiac output required during exercise cannot take place without increasing transpulmonary driving pressure and, hence, central venous pressure with Fontan circulation. In turn, the pressure gradient between mean systemic filling pressure and central venous pressure decreases along with venous return. From this observation, it can be inferred that the RV's main task is to increase both pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) and flow without letting the right atrial pressure (RAP) rise in situations of increased venous return [4–7].



Citation: Dayer, N.; Ltaief, Z.; Liaudet, L.; Lechartier, B.; Aubert, J.-D.; Yerly, P. Pressure Overload and Right Ventricular Failure: From Pathophysiology to Treatment. *J. Clin. Med.* 2023, *12*, 4722. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/jcm12144722

Academic Editor: Agata Bielecka-Dąbrowa

Received: 5 June 2023 Revised: 1 July 2023 Accepted: 13 July 2023 Published: 17 July 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Under physiologic conditions, the resistance opposed by pulmonary vessels to flow is very low, and mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) rises <3 mmHg with cardiac output increasing by 1 L/min in subjects < 60 years old [8]. Consequently, the RV does not need as much capacity as the LV to generate pressure, which is reflected in many aspects of its anatomy and function. With its triangular geometry in the long axis and crescentic shape in the short axis, the RV cannot efficiently concentrate its force vectors towards the middle of its cavity like the LV. Furthermore, its walls are thin and have less muscularity, with only two layers of muscles instead of three in the LV. It also contracts sequentially in a kind of peristaltic movement, with the infundibulum already relaxing when the outflow tract is still contracting [7,9,10].

With this background, it is not surprising that RV failure mainly occurs in the setting of increased RV afterload and, hence, in the context of pulmonary hypertension (PH) [11,12]. Moreover, in pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) and chronic thrombo-embolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH), patients' outcomes are predominantly determined by the response of the RV to increased afterload, hence by the RV systolic function [13,14]. Nevertheless, despite the RV's utmost prognostic role in all PH conditions, there is so far no validated intervention targeted at preserving its function, and all therapeutic strategies proposed in PH essentially focus on afterload reduction.

The aim of this article is to review the pathophysiological mechanisms leading to RV failure, to understand how RV adaptation/maladaptation to its afterload can be assessed at bedside and to present the principles of RV failure therapy.

# 2. Determinants of RV Systolic Function and Coupling

During ejection, the RV reduces its volume by contracting its free wall towards the interventricular septum and by shortening its long axis [15], allowing blood transfer towards the pulmonary arterial tree with minimal backward regurgitation if the tricuspid valve is held closed. The ensuing stroke volume depends on the intrinsic ability of the RV muscle to generate force (contractility) and on preload and afterload as extrinsic factors. Of note, all these factors can be assessed on pressure–volume loops [7].

Preload defines the amount of stroke volume recruited by the myocardial stretch before contraction according to the Frank–Starling law of the heart. It essentially accounts for the end-diastolic ventricular volume as a surrogate of fiber elongation and is mainly determined by the systemic venous return. Provided that contractility and afterload remain constant, an acute reduction in preload is matched by a predictable stroke volume decrease (Figure 1) [16].

During systole, highly contractile ventricles generate more pressure and reduce their volume more extensively than poorly contractile ventricles. Consequently, contractility correlates well with the maximal pressure/volume ratio, or maximal elastance (E), that occurs at the end of ejection ( $E_{es}$ ). On a single beat,  $E_{es}$ , however, also depends on the actual preload and afterload conditions and cannot be considered an independent surrogate of contractility. Nevertheless, as  $E_{es}$  is the only elastance value to be shared by multiple ejecting beats at variable preloads and fixed afterloads, linear regression connecting these pressure–volume points (designated as the end-systolic pressure–volume relationship, or ESPVR) can be performed to assess contractility as a relatively load-independent measurement. ESPVR can thus be measured over multiple beats (PV loops family) recorded during slow balloon inflation in the inferior vena cava or during a progressive Valsalva maneuver (Figure 1) [17–21].

In the right-sided circulation, the resistive and pulsatile components of the hydraulic load opposed by the pulmonary circulation to RV ejection can be represented by a single factor: effective arterial elastance ( $E_a$ ).  $E_a$  accounts for net vascular stiffness, is independent of preload and contractility, and can be approximated by the ratio between the end-systolic pressure and stroke volume on pressure–volume loops (Figure 2). This simple afterload measurement has been validated through comparisons with input impedance spectra and arterial compliance [22,23]. By sharing the same units with  $E_{es}$  (mmHg/mL),  $E_a$  offers the

possibility to assess how RV contractility may be adapted or not to afterload. Indeed, the ratio of elastances ( $E_{es}/E_a$ ), referred to as RV-PA coupling, can be seen as the matching of the heart with its arterial circuit. Optimal  $E_{es}/E_a$  values related to optimal energy transfer from the RV to the arterial tree lie between 1.5 and 2.0. In PH, RV volume increases when  $E_{es}/E_a$  is <0.8, and RV-PA coupling is a main determinant of outcome [13].



**Figure 1.** RV pressure–volume loops generated during progressive preload reduction (progressive occlusion of the inferior vena cava (IVC) with a balloon). The blue points indicate the maximal pressure/volume ratio (=end-systolic elastance, or  $E_{es}$ ) of each loop, and the green line shows the linear regression of the relationship between volume and pressure at all  $E_{es}$  (end-systolic pressure–volume relationship) to assess contractility. Adapted with permission from Tello et al., BJP 2019, John Wiley and Sons (Hoboken, NJ, USA). [16] Copyright © 2019, John Wiley and Sons.



**Figure 2.** (A). RV pressure–volume loops model demonstrating stroke volume reduction with the increase in arterial elastance ( $E_a$ ) ( $E_a1 > E_a2 > E_a3$ ) at constant preload (unchanged end-diastolic volume) and constant contractility (linear relationship between all  $E_{es}$ ); RV-PA coupling decreases

from E<sub>a</sub>1 to E<sub>a</sub>3. (**B**). RV pressure–volume loops model with increasing contractility ( $E_{es}1 > E_{es}2 > E_{es}3$ ) in response to increasing arterial elastance ( $E_a1 > E_a2 > E_a3$ ). In this model, stroke volume increases, indicating better coupling with  $E_{es}3/Ea3$  than with  $E_{es}1/E_a1$ .  $E_a$  = arterial elastance; EDV = end-diastolic volume;  $E_{es}$  = end-systolic elastance.

# 3. RV under Pressure: Homeometric Adaptation

According to the PV loop family model, the RV is extremely sensitive to hydraulic load, and a non-counteracted increase in RV afterload (= $E_a$  increase) results in a proportionate decrease in stroke volume [7] (Figure 2A). Indeed, experiments in dogs have shown that an acute increase in mPAP from 10 to 30 mmHg caused by pulmonary artery constriction reduces stroke volume by ~30%, whereas a rise in mean aortic pressure from 100 to 140 mmHg caused by aorta constriction only reduces LV stroke volume by ~10% [24]. Such a severe RV-PA uncoupling with highly depressed stroke volume does, however, not occur in most clinical conditions associated with chronic PH, at least at the onset of the disease. The increase in hydraulic load is usually progressive enough to let the RV adapt by increasing  $E_{es}$ , which may preserve stroke volume and keep the  $E_{es}/E_a$  ratio between 1.5 and 2.0 for some time (Figure 2B).  $E_{es}$  rise can, however, usually not exceed four to five times its basal value [25]. This first-line RV adaptation to afterload is called homeometric adaptation or Anrep mechanism. Of importance, it may fail in situations where pulmonary pressure rises very rapidly, like in massive pulmonary embolism, which may rapidly lead to low cardiac output, cardiogenic shock and, eventually, death [26].

# 3.1. Adaptive Mechanisms to Increased Afterload

In the context of progressively rising vascular load, RV  $E_{es}$  mostly increases through concentric muscle hypertrophy. By adding supplementary sarcomeres to existing ones in parallel, concentric hypertrophy enhances RV contractility and wall thickness while minimizing RV cavity dilatation at the same time. By raising the mass-to-volume ratio, the benefit of this response is to limit the increase in wall stress and associated  $O_2$  demand [25,27]. Of note, adaptive concentric hypertrophy occurring in pressure-overloaded RVs does never completely and successfully control wall stress, as opposed to the LV, which can usually normalize wall stress by sufficient concentric mass growth when it faces pressure overload, like in aortic valve stenosis [28].

Adaptive RV hypertrophy implies deep changes in all components of the RV myocardium, namely cells, extracellular matrix (ECM) and microvasculature. In cardiomyocytes, protein synthesis pathways are activated by two distinct processes: (1) local stress sensing by integrins, stretch-activated ion channels and titin [29] and (2) the up-regulation of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) [27,30–32]. Integrins are membrane-crossing heterodimers, attached to the ECM at one extremity and to the cardiomyocyte cytoskeleton at the other. They change conformation with increased wall tension and transduce mechanical stress to the nucleus through various signaling pathways (focal adhesion kinases and small GTPases) [33]. Titin is a giant protein that stabilizes myosin heavy chains in the sarcomeres and connects them to the Z-disc, conferring thereby most of the passive elasticity properties to cardiomyocytes. According to mechanical stress, titin may also uncover binding regions for signaling molecules that modulate its elasticity and interact with hypertrophy signaling [34].

In PAH, RAAS activation occurs both locally through increased wall stress and systemically through renal hypoperfusion [30], whereas SNS activity is enhanced through mechano-receptors located mainly in the aorta and the carotid arteries [35]. RAAS- and SNS-induced hypertrophy have been mostly studied in the LV. With the RAAS, signaling occurs through the activation of the angiotensin 2 type 1 receptor, a Gq protein-coupled receptor with downstream stimulation of multiple pathways including Ca<sup>++</sup> mobilization, activation of protein kinase C, MAP kinases, tyrosine kinases and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidases (NOX), all of which regulate transcription factors associated with cardiac hypertrophy [36]. On the other hand, chronic catecholamines binding to  $\beta_1$ -adrenergic receptors induce cardiac hypertrophy through the activation of nuclear protein kinase A and subsequent phosphorylation of nuclear targets that further enhance gene transcription [37]. In addition, cytoplasmic PKA activation by  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptor stimulation up-regulates inotropy through the phosphorylation of key molecules that increase either Ca<sup>++</sup> availability or Ca<sup>++</sup> responsiveness of the contractile system [38]. This mechanism plays a major role in enhancing RV contractility in cases of acute PAP increases.

In adaptive RV hypertrophy, little expansion of the ECM also occurs to enhance mechanical resistance to increased wall stress and to scaffold new vessels growing around hypertrophied cardiomyocytes, but fibrosis is minimal [39]. New vessel growth is also necessary to provide enough O<sub>2</sub> supply to larger cardiomyocytes and occurs through the effects of the vascular endothelium growth factor (VEGF) and angiopoietin-1 secreted by cardiomyocytes [40].

# 3.2. Transition from Adaptive to Maladaptive Hypertrophy

Although adaptive hypertrophy may preserve cardiac output and limit exercise intolerance in the early phase of most PH conditions, a chronic increase in RV afterload almost inevitably leads to RV failure [41]. This evolutive pattern may either reflect the progressive nature of pulmonary vascular lung disease, which may indefinitely increase RV afterload and finally overcome RV adaptation capacity, or, in most situations, reflect the inability of the RV to sustain long-term pressure overload and hence transition from adaptive to maladaptive hypertrophy. Although the exact mechanisms underlying this transition are not completely understood, both disease-specific aspects and mechanisms common to all PH conditions play a role [27,31].

Among the disease-specific factors, it is worth mentioning that *BMPR2* mutation carriers and scleroderma patients with PAH display reduced afterload-adjusted RV ejection fraction [42] and depressed sarcomeric function [43], respectively, compared to patients with idiopathic PAH, whereas patients with Eisenmenger syndrome have higher RV contractility and less diastolic stiffness in comparison to those with idiopathic PAH [44]. These mechanisms may account for much of the very different survival observed between these three etiologies in PAH registries (85% 3-year survival with PAH associated with Eisenmenger syndrome, 63% 3-year survival with idiopathic PAH and 52% 3-year survival with scleroderma-associated PAH in the ASPIRE registry) [45].

Factors generally associated with the transition from adaptive to maladaptive hypertrophy include deep metabolic perturbations and fibrosis, but also ischemia and excessive neurohormonal chronic overactivation, whose involvement is important regarding RV failure therapeutic options.

Whereas normal RVs derive the majority of their energy production from lipid oxidation, pressure-overloaded RVs evolving towards failure increasingly rely on glucose metabolism [46], as evidenced by their elevated <sup>18</sup>F-FDG uptake on positron emission tomography imaging [47]. However, instead of entering the oxidative pathway in mitochondria, glucose metabolites rather follow the glycolytic pathway, with final transformation into lactate and reduced ATP production [48]. As the glycolytic metabolism is insufficient to fulfill hypertrophic RV O<sub>2</sub> demand, RV function may decline as a result of energy starvation. Interestingly, the therapeutic restoration of a better coupling between glycolysis and the mitochondrial oxidative pathway improved RV contractility in several animal models of PH [49].

The increasingly apparent fibrosis in failing RVs may be another important factor for systolic dysfunction [50]. With the deposit of ECM between muscular bundles (interstitial fibrosis), fibrosis creates zones of slow electrical conduction and prolonged action potential that may account for regional contraction inhomogeneity, intraventricular dyssynchrony and, ultimately, depressed RV contractility [51]. In addition, the high collagen content of interstitial fibrosis lessens RV myocardium elasticity and transforms an initially highly compliant organ into a stiff one [52], eventually contributing to elevated venous pressure

and systemic congestion. At the cellular level, excess ECM production is the result of the excessive differentiation of myocardial fibroblasts into myofibroblasts due to prolonged wall stress and prolonged neurohormonal myofibroblast stimulation [39].

In addition, ischemia seems to play a major role in the reduction in RV contractility with time. As discussed above, enhanced RV wall stress is paralleled by increased myocardial  $O_2$  demand, but concurrent  $O_2$  supply may not fulfill  $O_2$  demand. First, all PH conditions lessen flow in the right coronary artery during systole by decreasing the pressure gradient between the aorta and the RV, and this may further worsen in cases of systemic hypotension [53]. Moreover, a mismatch between cardiomyocytes and capillary growth occurs with time in hypertrophic RV due to the downregulation of VEGF expression [54]. Indeed, VEGF is usually enhanced by hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1), but it may also be turned off if oxidative stress in mitochondria is high enough to deactivate HIF-1, which is the case in maladaptive hypertrophy [48]. In addition, hypoxemia induced by the disease leading to PH, decreased  $O_2$  venous saturation on low cardiac output and the re-opening of the foramen ovale may also concur with ischemia. Besides reducing RV contractility, ischemia may also be a major contributing factor to fibrosis and apoptosis in failing RVs.

Finally, chronic SNS overstimulation leads to the downregulation of sarcolemmal  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptors, with loss of stimulation of the hypertrophic pathways, loss of inotropic stimulation, impaired RV relaxation and increased mortality in PAH [49]. Consequently, PAH patients with RV failure have an impaired chronotropic response during exercise [55] and an impaired inotropic response to  $\beta$ -adrenergic receptor agonists that seem to be restricted to the RV [46,47,56]. In addition, the activity of key proteins coupling excitation and contraction is reduced in maladaptive RV hypertrophy, which further depresses inotropy. This is typically the case of the ryanodine receptor 2, which controls Ca<sup>++</sup> effusion from the sarcoplasmic reticulum after cell membrane depolarization and appears polynitrosylated by excessive oxidative stress in failing RVs [27].

# 3.3. RV under Pressure: Heterometric Adaptation and RV Failure

If RV-PA coupling decreases, the RV may still dilate, attempting to preserve cardiac output through the Frank–Starling mechanism, also known as heterometric adaptation. Of note, the  $E_{es}/E_a$  threshold at which decoupling occurs is unknown, but values between 0.65 and 0.8 have been associated with a worse prognosis in clinical studies [13,57–59]. Nevertheless, RV enlargement leads at the same time to profound maladaptive processes that will ultimately result in RV failure and death (Figure 3). In PAH, an increased RV end-diastolic volume, assessed by cardiac magnetic resonance, is indeed a very strong predictor of mortality [53].

The pathophysiologic consequences of RV dilatation are numerous. First, the eccentric remodeling of the RV is always accompanied by increased filling pressure due to the stiffening of fibrotic, hypertrophied, maladapted RVs, with a backward increase in RAP and systemic congestion [60]. By definition, this state of RV inability to adequately perfuse the pulmonary circulation (cardiac index < 2.5 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>) without increasing RAP (>8 mmHg) characterizes RV failure [61]. In the setting of left ventricular failure, RAP is the hemodynamic index with the best correlation with end-organ dysfunction, like worsening renal function [62]. In PAH, both decreased cardiac index and increased RAP are independent determinants of worsening renal function over time [63]. Renal congestion resulting from the backward transmission of elevated RAP decreases renal perfusion pressure and the glomerular filtration rate, but it may also compress the tubules, further worsening kidney function [64]. Although most renal failure occurring during acute congestion is functional and reversible, chronic congestion activates the venous endothelium and stimulates local inflammation, in turn triggering definitive glomerular and interstitial structural damage [65].



**Figure 3.** Pathophysiology of RV failure with pressure overload. Red frames indicate adverse events leading to RV failure. Green frames indicate adaptive events improving hemodynamics. Orange frames indicate maladaptive events leading to compensated RV failure. Red labels with white script indicate treatment targets in RV failure. SV, stroke volume; CO, cardiac output; RV, right ventricle; LV, left ventricle.

Functional tricuspid regurgitation (TR) may also occur as a consequence of both RV and RA remodeling, with tricuspid annular dilation, valve tenting and normal leaflets. Besides worsening congestion and RAP, TR also decreases cardiac output. In left heart disease, TR is actually a major determinant of stroke volume [66]. By creating a window towards a vascular circuit with lower resistance and higher compliance than the pulmonary arterial tree, even small orifices may result in an important regurgitation fraction and hence reduced stroke volume in all PH conditions. In PAH, severe TR is quite rare (prevalence < 20%), but it is associated with poor outcomes [67,68].

During heterometric adaptation, RV dilatation may still become significant enough to induce pericardial constraint. Competition for space is then triggered between both ventricles, a phenomenon called right–left ventricular interaction. As both cavities share the interventricular septum in addition to the pericardial space, LV transmural pressure may decrease during filling or even invert if RAP is high enough. This causes a mechanical septal leftward shift, leading to LV underfilling and low cardiac output [64]. Indeed, the LV filling rate is well predicted by the leftward interventricular septal curvature, and in turn, stroke volume is much better correlated with LV end-diastolic volume than with RV end-diastolic volume in PAH [69].

Finally, ischemia can severely worsen during heterometric adaptation by enhancing the already existing mismatch between RV myocardial  $O_2$  demand and delivery, with a

further decrease in RV contractility and cardiac output. Indeed, RV dilatation raises parietal tension and reduces wall thickness at the same time, which adversely affects wall stress and O<sub>2</sub> demand. Simultaneously, the increased RV filling pressure reduces the gradient between aortic diastolic pressure and RV end-diastolic pressure, which may compromise diastolic flow in the right coronary artery and O<sub>2</sub> delivery. In addition, the already reduced cardiac output and blood pressure may generally jeopardize coronary perfusion in both ventricles [12].

# 4. Assessment of RV-PA Coupling at Bedside

In ambulatory facilities, emergency departments or intensive care units, RV hemodynamics, size and systolic function are usually similarly evaluated by echocardiography at bedside [15]. PH can be assessed with good sensitivity and specificity by the trans-tricuspid velocity gradient converted to pressure according to the simplified Bernoulli equation and by the diameter and respiratory collapse of the inferior vena cava [70]. Many echocardiographic indices are also helpful to estimate RV and RA enlargement as well as RV/LV interference [71]. Unlike LV, RV systolic function can, however, not be estimated by the assessment of the ejection fraction because of its too complex 3-D morphology, but many surrogates like fractional area change (FAC), tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), S' velocity of the tricuspid annulus and longitudinal deformation imaging have been developed to overcome this hurdle [15,71]. Of note, all these indices have some flaws, and none of them can be considered to represent contractility or coupling. In recent years, considerable efforts have thus been made to enable a better assessment of coupling, which is undoubtedly the most meaningful parameter to evaluate the severity of RV failure and assess the impact of therapy.

In addition to non-invasive imaging, right heart catheterization can be needed to assess important hemodynamic aspects addressing RV function like RAP, cardiac output, stroke volume and venous  $O_2$  saturation. They are all critical prognostic factors in PAH and CTEPH [72,73], and they can help to guide therapy in complex situations, particularly in patients with cardiogenic shock unresponsive to initial treatment [74]. Furthermore, invasive hemodynamics is essential to confirm PH, which is now defined as an mPAP > 20 mmHg at rest, and to discriminate between an elevated mPAP due to left heart disease (with PA wedge pressure > 15 mmHg), pulmonary vascular disease (pulmonary vascular resistance > 2 WU) or a hyperdynamic state with an increased pulmonary blood flow [11].

#### 4.1. Pressure–Volume Loops

The generation of pressure–volume loops is considered the gold standard method to assess RV-PA coupling. They are best created by the simultaneous high-resolution recording of both parameters with conductance catheters inserted in the right ventricle through the jugular or femoral vein [60]. ESPVR can either be assessed by the generation of a PV loop family during a balloon inflation in the vena cava or during a Valsalva maneuver, or alternatively by the so-called single-beat method. From the pressure curves obtained during the isovolumetric contraction and relaxation phases, this technique first extrapolates the maximal theoretical pressure the RV would produce during a non-ejecting beat with the pulmonary valve hypothetically closed. Then, ESPVR is derived from two pressure–volume points: the  $E_{es}$  of the considered single beat and the maximal theoretical  $E_{es}$  [17], with good agreement as compared with the gold standard PV loops family model [58].  $E_a$  is then calculated by the ratio between end-systolic pressure and stroke volume, and coupling is finally obtained by the ESPVR/ $E_a$  ratio.

Both methods were found useful to determine clinical worsening in populations with PAH and CTEPH with an  $E_{es}/E_a$  cut-off between 0.65 and 0.7 [59,75], but as they remain invasive, expensive and inconvenient at bedside, non-invasive surrogates of  $E_{es}/E_a$  have been recently developed.

# 4.2. Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is considered the gold standard noninvasive imaging modality for RV volume evaluation [53]. It can also be used to evaluate flow in the PAs, tricuspid regurgitation and tissue characterization by using different acquisition times and techniques (T1, T2, late gadolinium enhancement), but pressure cannot be directly measured. Nevertheless, the  $E_{es}/E_a$  ratio can be simplified for pressure and expressed as a stroke volume/end-systolic volume ratio if the theoretical volume of the unloaded RV is assumed to be null [76], which is actually not the case [77]. In spite of these limitations, volumetric coupling was shown to correlate quite well with  $E_{es}/E_a$ assessed by the pressure–volume curve family model [58] and to predict outcomes better than right ventricular ejection fraction in patients referred for PH investigations (cut-off value at 0.5). Initial RV stroke volume/end-systolic volume <0.53 and decreasing stroke volume/end-systolic volume over time were independently associated with transplant-free survival, but initial RV ejection fraction <0.32 also predicted outcomes [78].

Cardiac MRI remains, however, costly, not broadly available and quite cumbersome in regard to the long time needed to acquire all sequences (about 45 min). Echocardiographic surrogates of  $E_{es}/E_a$  have thus also been developed.

# 4.3. Echocardiography

The ratio of the tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) to the estimated systolic PAP (sPAP) is certainly the more investigated surrogate of  $E_{es}/E_a$  [60,79]. Described as an index of long axis shortening versus generated force [80], the assumption that TAPSE holds for contractility and sPAP for afterload is incorrect. Nevertheless, the TAPSE/sPAP index has been found to correlate well enough with  $E_{es}/E_a$  (r = 0.498; *p* = 0.001) to be implemented in clinical practice [58].

The clinical relevance of the TAPSE/sPAP index was first investigated in patients with left heart disease. In the setting of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, lower TAPSE/sPAP ratios were associated with worse hemodynamics, worse aerobic maximal capacity and higher NT-proBNP levels [81]. Furthermore, TAPSE/sPAP emerged as the strongest independent predictor of major cardiac events after adjustment for meaningful variables like peak  $VO_2$ ,  $VE/VCO_2$  slope or LV ejection fraction in a mixed population of patients with heart failure and both preserved and reduced ejection fraction [82]. Later, the TAPSE/sPAP ratio was also described as a significant prognostic factor in patients with PAH [83]. Although it displayed a moderate correlation with  $E_{es}/E_a$  in these patients (r = 0.44; p = 0.002), a cut-off value <0.31 mm/mmHg identified  $E_{es}/E_a$  <0.805 with quite good predictive values (sensitivity 87.5%, specificity 75.9) and independently predicted a worse outcome [84]. Interestingly, the TAPSE/sPAP ratio combined with the 6 min walking distance also appeared to predict survival with improved accuracy in PAH patients initially classified as intermediate risk by using the NYHA class, 6 min walking distance and NT-proBNP level. Finally, the TAPSE/sPAP ratio was also investigated in the setting of intensive care medicine, where it outperformed conventionally used left-sided cardiac echocardiographic indices to predict successful veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation weaning [85].

Of note, numerous other indices like RV fractional area change/mPAP, RV fractional area change/RV end-systolic area and RV longitudinal strain/sPAP were also suggested to represent  $E_{es}/E_a$ , but they have been so far less validated than TAPSE/sPAP [15,60,79].

# 5. Medical Management of Pressure-Overloaded RV Failure

The management of pressure-overloaded RV failure relies on preload optimization, afterload reduction, maintenance of coronary perfusion and inotrope therapy (Table 1). Acute mechanical circulatory support may be further considered if medical management fails, but only as a bridge to RV failure recovery or lung transplantation.

**Table 1.** Hemodynamic effect of right ventricular failure therapies.  $\uparrow$  indicates an increase, and  $\downarrow$  indicates a decrease. PVR: pulmonary vascular resistance. SVR: systemic vascular resistance. RV-PA: right ventricle–pulmonary artery. PDE3: phosphodiesterase 3. LV: left ventricle. RAP: right atrial pressure.

	Cardiac Index	PVR	SVR	RV/PA Coupling	RV Ischemia	Remarks
Volume Depletion	(↑)	-	-	(†)	(↓)	Improves end-organ function, RV/LV interference and tricuspid regurgitation Target RAP 8–12 mmHg
Vasopressors						
Norepinephrine	$\uparrow$	$\uparrow$	$\uparrow\uparrow$	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$	Often used as first-line therapy
Low-Dose Vasopressin	1	$\downarrow$	$\uparrow\uparrow$	1	$\downarrow$	PA vasodilator at low dose
Inotropes						
Dobutamine	(↑)	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	1	↑	Triggers arrythmias and favors hypotension
PDE3 Inhibitors	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	1	`↑	Hypotension
Levosimendan	1	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow$	1	Ļ	Hypotension

## 5.1. Preload and Volume Management

There has been a quite recent change in paradigm regarding preload management in RV failure, emphasizing the importance of not overfilling the patient [12,86]. In acute RV failure, early clinical assessment of volume status is central to adequate management, and invasive monitoring of the RAP should be undertaken if volume status is unclear or if the patient fails to respond to therapy [87]. As RV failure is mostly associated with congestion, diuretics are often considered in the initial steps of management, but it may be acceptable to consider a small intravenous fluid bolus in cases of hypotension. As discussed above, excess preload and filling pressures have the potential to deteriorate end-organ function, worsen tricuspid regurgitation, deteriorate RV-LV interference, worsen ischemia and, finally, reduce cardiac output. They must therefore be aggressively countered. In cases of bi-ventricular failure, diuretics should also aim at reducing left atrial pressure in order to decrease RV pulsatile load [88,89].

In patients monitored invasively, there are no specific guidelines on the RAP to target, but values > 8–12 mmHg likely suggest a benefit from decongestion [90]. Fluid removal with intravenous furosemide is initially performed with boluses and continuous infusions at increasing dosage until natriuresis exceeds 50–70 mmol/L and diuresis reaches 100–150 mL/h by analogy with acute left heart failure management [91]. In subjects developing resistance to high-dose loop diuretics, adding a thiazide may augment natriuresis, and the association of carbonic anhydrase inhibitors with loop diuretics was recently shown to improve decongestion in decompensated left heart failure [92]. In volume-overloaded patients with hypotension, diuretics should not be withheld but associated with vasopressors to improve decongestion [86]. Finally, extracorporeal ultrafiltration may be an option to treat patients still resistant to multiple diuretic therapies, but evidence of its benefit on outcome remains as scarce in RV as in LV failure [93].

Preload and volume management are also important in chronic progressive RV failure occurring in ambulatory patients, and treatment mostly relies on oral diuretics that must be adjusted according to congestion clinical signs and renal function. As compared to furosemide, torasemide has a more predictable bioavailability and is usually the preferred loop diuretic. Combination therapy with thiazides is sometimes also necessary if resistance to loop diuretics develops [86].

#### 5.2. Afterload Reduction

The management of RV afterload depends on the underlying cause of RV failure, and evidence-based guidelines are available for many scenarios, such as pulmonary embolism, PAH, CTEPH and left heart disease. On the other hand, management of RV afterload in the setting of critically ill patients in intensive care units may reflect expert opinions more closely. Patients with acute pulmonary embolism and hemodynamic instability (high-risk patients) should undergo immediate reperfusion therapy, in most cases via systemic thrombolysis, whereas still normotensive patients with signs of RV dysfunction on echocardiography or CT scan (intermediate–high risk) should be monitored for eventual worsening and anticoagulated [94].

Occasionally, patients with PAH and CTEPH may be diagnosed with acute RV failure. Parenteral prostanoids should be promptly started and up-titrated in PAH in addition to oral endothelin receptor antagonists, phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors or soluble guanylate cyclase stimulators [11]. Of note, previously stable PAH patients with acute RV failure often present treatable precipitating factors like sepsis, supraventricular arrythmias or anemia that should be rapidly diagnosed and treated. In CTEPH, surgical removal of the fibrotic clot residues that characterize the disease should be offered to all patients deemed operable, irrespective of the severity of right heart failure [95]. Indeed, even if NYHA class IV and signs of right heart failure are associated with increased risk and less favorable peri-operative and long-term outcomes [96], the prognosis of operable patients is certainly worse without surgery. On the other hand, patients judged inoperable should be offered balloon pulmonary angioplasty or, alternatively, medical therapy [11].

PH associated with chronic lung disease and/or hypoxia is usually mild to moderate and is rarely at the origin of RV failure [45], except during acute respiratory failure. The therapeutic approaches aimed at reducing afterload include supplementary oxygen and non-invasive ventilation. On the other hand, acute lung injury followed by acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) may be complicated by 25–50% acute RV failure [97,98], and elevated PAP is of poor prognosis in these patients [99]. In ARDS, RV-PA uncoupling may be consecutive to disease-related factors but also to the afterload increase induced by mechanical ventilation [100]. Among the factors related to ARDS severity, sepsis can impair myocardial contractility [101], and hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction typically increases RV afterload. Hypoxic PA vasoconstriction occurs in response to decreased partial alveolar O<sub>2</sub> pressure, but with more intensity if hypercapnia, acidemia and low mixed venous saturation are also present [102,103].

Interventions aiming at relieving RV afterload should ideally correct blood gas and pH imbalance, but these goals may be at odds with the current ventilatory approach in ARDS patients, who benefit at the same time from low-volume ventilation and permissive hypercapnia [104]. In addition to adapted ventilation strategies, inhaled nitric oxide (NO) is also widely used to decrease RV afterload in critically ill patients with RV failure because of its prompt onset of action, short half-time and beneficial effects on arterial and mixed venous O<sub>2</sub> saturation as well as on RV ejection fraction [105,106]. Nevertheless, NO has not been proven to improve outcomes in ARDS [107].

Finally, in patients presenting with RV failure in addition to LV failure, guidelines regarding acute heart failure therapy should be applied [108]. Drugs approved for PAH are generally neither recommended in PH associated with left heart disease nor in PH associated with chronic lung diseases and may be harmful [11].

#### 5.3. Vasopressor Therapy

Fighting RV ischemia is a mainstay of RV failure therapy. Vasopressors are often considered to restore blood pressure and improve blood flow in the right coronary artery. To that end, the ideal vasoactive agent should enhance systemic arterial pressure and at the same time decrease pulmonary vascular resistance, or at least keep it unchanged.

Norepinephrine binds to  $\alpha_1$  receptors with higher affinity than to  $\beta_1$  and exerts a vasoconstricting effect at low doses with weak cardiac inotropy [109]. It is often used as a first-line drug in hypotensive patients with RV failure, but with low grade of evidence [12,86]. In dogs with acute increases in RV afterload, systemic hemodynamics, RV-LV interactions and coronary perfusion gradient can be improved by low-dose norepinephrine without changing pulmonary vascular resistance [110]. However, PA also contains  $\alpha_1$  receptors, and in patients with sepsis and RV failure, norepinephrine was associated with

increased pulmonary vascular resistance and no change in RV ejection fraction despite improvement in RV myocardial O<sub>2</sub> delivery [111].

Low-dose arginine vasopressin (0.01–0.03 U/min) may appear as the most appealing vasopressor in RV failure as it exerts simultaneous systemic vasoconstriction and pulmonary vasodilation (via NO release by endothelial cells) through V1 receptor stimulation [112], thereby decreasing the pulmonary vascular resistance/systemic vascular resistance ratio [113]. At a higher dose (>0.4 U/min), arginine-vasopressin is, however, detrimental in RV failure because it may induce coronary artery vasoconstriction and decrease coronary flow, RV contractility and cardiac output [114]. Currently, the use of arginine-vasopressin is more and more considered for the treatment of persistent pulmonary hypertension in newborns [115], but evidence of its benefit is still scarce in adults with RV failure.

Importantly, phenylephrine and dopamine are potent constrictors in both pulmonary and systemic circulations, and they are not considered for the treatment of acute RV failure [12,86].

# 5.4. Inotrope Therapy

Inotropic agents can be used in the management of unstable patients with RV failure when there is evidence of persistent inadequate  $O_2$  delivery despite the optimalization of RV preload and afterload and after the initiation of vasopressors [102]. Of note, a great disparity was observed with regard to the preferred inotropic drug used in RV failure associated with PAH in a recent survey. Whereas 50.4% of PAH centers favor first-line dobutamine, 49.6% prefer milrinone, dopamine or other compounds, highlighting the lack of evidence in the field [116].

In anesthetized dogs with acute PA constriction, dobutamine  $(5-10 \mu g/kg/min)$ , an agonist of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  receptors, decreased PA resistance and increased RV contractility and RV-PA coupling [117]. However, given the important  $\beta_1$  receptor downregulation occurring in chronic RV pressure overload, these observations could not be repeated in chronic RV failure. In experiments performed on rat models with persistent PH induced by various methods, dobutamine-recruitable RV contractile reserve and cAMP concentrations in RV cardiomyocytes were actually severely reduced as compared to controls [118]. On the other hand, clinical data on the hemodynamic effect of  $\beta$  stimulation in RV failure are scarce. In a series of patients with mild-to-moderate PH and end-stage lung disease awaiting lung transplant, Vizza et al. found that dobutamine decreased PVR (through PA  $\beta_2$  receptor stimulation [109]) and increased cardiac output [119]. Similar results were also observed in patients with mild PH undergoing orthotopic liver transplantation [120], but their transposition to severe RV failure and severe PH cannot be assumed. Given the high mortality (46%) observed in PAH patients with RV failure treated with catecholamines,  $\beta$ -stimulation may be of little relevance in critical situations [56]. In addition to being relatively inefficient,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  stimulation may still be harmful as they worsen myocardial O<sub>2</sub> demand and ischemia and trigger arrythmias and hypotension.

Phosphodiesterase-3 (PDE3) inhibitors like milrinone may appear as a more attractive alternative. Milrinone works by inhibiting the intracellular breakdown of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), which promotes Ca<sup>++</sup> release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum and finally enhances inotropy without  $\beta$ -receptor stimulation [121–123]. In PA smooth muscle cells, cAMP is a potent relaxant [124] that is mainly converted from adenosine triphosphate through the stimulation of the prostacyclin pathway and broken down by phosphodiesterase type 3 [125]. By combining RV inotropy with pulmonary vasodilatation, milrinone is thus particularly favorable for restoring RV-PA coupling [126,127]. However, PDE3 inhibitors should be carefully considered and individualized, as they can also cause hypotension and increase the need for concurrent vasopressor support [12]. Although less likely than dobutamine to cause tachycardia [102], PDE3 inhibitors still trigger arrhythmias. Of note, the superiority of milrinone over dobutamine could not be established in the setting of cardiogenic shock occurring from LV failure [128].

Belonging to the family of "myofilament Ca<sup>++</sup> sensitizers", levosimendan may also be an interesting alternative to dobutamine. Unlike  $\beta$ -adrenoreceptor agonists and PDE3 inhibitors, myofilament Ca<sup>++</sup> sensitizers do not increase cAMP and Ca<sup>++</sup> cytosolic concentrations, but they enhance cardiac Troponin C (TnC) sensitivity to Ca<sup>++</sup> [129]. During systole, levosimendan selectively binds to Ca<sup>++</sup>-associated cardiac TnC and stabilizes the cation–protein link, which triggers more cross-bridging between actin and myosin and generates more force. With this drug, cardiomyocytes need less O<sub>2</sub> to increase their contractility than with  $\beta$ -adrenoreceptor agonists and PDE3 inhibitors because there is no cytosolic Ca<sup>++</sup> excess to be actively pumped back into the sarcoplasmic reticulum during diastole, which is a real advantage.

Besides targeting the heart, levosimendan opens ATP-sensitive K<sup>+</sup> channels in arterial and venous smooth muscle cells, inducing membrane hyperpolarization and cell relaxation, including in the lung vessels. In various animal models of acute and chronic RV failure and PH, levosimendan was thus consistently shown to restore RV-PA coupling by simultaneously improving RV contractility and reducing RV afterload [130–135]. Given that part of levosimendan is converted to a long-lasting active metabolite (OR-1896), these benefits may last for several days after infusion interruption [136].

Although clinical data regarding levosimendan accumulate in the fields of septic shock, post-cardiopulmonary bypass low output syndrome and advanced heart failure, there is currently no high-quality randomized controlled trial available for PH-associated RHF. However, numerous small studies reported promising results. In the context of PH associated with left heart disease, levosimendan was consistently associated with reductions in PAP and pulmonary vascular resistance and with the improvement in echocardiographic indices of RV function [137–139]. In PAH with severe RV failure, a single infusion of levosimendan improved 6 min walking distance and reduced NTproBNP levels [140]. In a cohort of 87 patients with PAH associated with connective tissue disease, levosimendan ( $0.1 \mu g/kg/min$  during 24 h) resulted in an improvement in mixed venous oxygen saturation, higher TAPSE and lower levels of NT-proBNP compared to enoximone given at  $0.5 \mu g/kg/min$  [140].

Regarding safety, levosimendan was mostly studied in the setting of acute and chronic advanced heart failure. In the landmark SURVIVE and REVIVE trials, systolic and diastolic blood pressure declined significantly more with levosimendan than with comparators (dobutamine or a placebo), which was partly due to a no longer recommended high-dose bolus preceding the 24 h infusions [141,142]. Hypotension was milder when boluses and large doses of loop diuretics were avoided and attention was paid to correcting volume depletion before starting the drug [143]. Nevertheless, systemic vasodilation and hypotension appear to be the major drawbacks of levosimendan use in patients with RV failure. With respect to arrythmias, atrial fibrillation was more frequent with levosimendan than with dobutamine or a placebo in SURVIVE and REVIVE, and a higher rate of ventricular tachycardia was also observed with levosimendan in REVIVE. Of note, repeated levosimendan infusion in chronic advanced heart failure was not associated with more adverse events than a placebo [144,145].

# 5.5. Is There a Place for Mechanical Support in RV Failure?

Mechanical circulatory support (MCS) can be considered if cardiac output remains insufficient to match body  $O_2$  demand on medical therapy and only as a bridge to recovery or transplantation. Indeed, there is currently no validated option for long-term MCS and destination therapy in RV failure [86,146,147].

In cases of significant pulmonary vascular disease, veno-arterial (VA) extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) is usually the only possible MCS option [86,146,147]. VA ECMO can be implanted percutaneously or surgically from a peripheral vascular access (groin, neck, sub-clavicular space) at bedside, or alternatively from open chest surgery. Basically, a venous canula is positioned in the right atrium to drain all O<sub>2</sub>-desaturated blood to an oxygenator, and an arterial canula is inserted in the ascending or descending

aorta to restitute oxygenated blood to all organs. The benefits of ECMO are numerous: quick installation in emergent situations, rapid correction of hypoxemia and capacity to

quick installation in emergent situations, rapid correction of hypoxemia and capacity to address both isolated RV failure and bi-ventricular failure if necessary. Whereas devices that increase trans-pulmonary flow (Impella RP, Levitronix...) can be considered in pure RV pump failure (after RV infarction, after left ventricular assist device implantation, after heart transplantation...), they are not contemplated in cases of pulmonary vascular disease because PA pressure may excessively increase and induce vascular lesions and lung hemorrhage [86,146,147].

Typical VA-ECMO complications include thrombus formation around canulae, arterial embolism, limb hypoperfusion and local infections that occur increasingly with support duration. In a recent multicentric retrospective evaluation of the outcome of patients treated with VA-ECMO in Germany (43.4% implanted in the context of cardiopulmonary resuscitation), the overall complication rate was 18.4% for bleeding, 0.9% for stroke, 6.6% for abdominal ischemia and 7.6% for limb ischemia [148].

# 6. Perspectives and Conclusions

In recent years, clinicians and scientists have regained interest in RV pathophysiology, and a great deal of effort has been placed on understanding its function in health and disease. Invasive methods were developed to accurately assess its function and adaptation, but the non-invasive evaluation of its coupling to the pulmonary circulation at bedside remains challenging. In addition, therapeutic options aimed at the preservation or improvement in its function principally target pulmonary vessels and not the myocardium. Nevertheless, promising results were also obtained with modulators of metabolism and reactive oxygen species production, as well as with microRNA and long non-coding RNA in animal models of RV failure [149]. In addition, factors identified in the transition from adaptive to maladaptive hypertrophy like altered energy substate metabolism, capillary rarefaction or fibrosis are becoming better understood, as is the tight regulation of gene expression that drives these events. This regulation is typically controlled by multiple types of non-coding RNAs, which may also serve as therapeutic agents or targets to treat RV failure in the future [149,150].

**Author Contributions:** N.D. and P.Y. drafted the manuscript. Z.L., L.L., B.L. and J.-D.A. revised the work, contributed to critical appraisal and enriched the literature search. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Starr, I.; Jeffers, W.A.; Meade, R.H. The Absence of Conspicuous Increments of Venous Pressure after Severe Damage to the Right Ventricle of the Dog, with a Discussion of the Relation between Clinical Congestive Failure and Heart Disease. *Am. Heart J.* 1943, 26, 291–301. [CrossRef]
- Downing, T.E.; Allen, K.Y.; Glatz, A.C.; Rogers, L.S.; Ravishankar, C.; Rychik, J.; Faerber, J.A.; Fuller, S.; Montenegro, L.M.; Steven, J.M.; et al. Long-Term Survival after the Fontan Operation: Twenty Years of Experience at a Single Center. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 2017, 154, 243–253.e2. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Miranda, W.R.; Jain, C.C.; Borlaug, B.A.; Jaffe, A.S.; Connolly, H.M.; Burchill, L.J.; Egbe, A.C. Exercise Capacity, NT-ProBNP, and Exercise Hemodynamics in Adults Post-Fontan. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2023**, *81*, 1590–1600. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Brassard, P.; Bédard, É.; Jobin, J.; Rodés-Cabau, J.; Poirier, P. Exercise Capacity and Impact of Exercise Training in Patients after a Fontan Procedure: A Review. Can. J. Cardiol. 2006, 22, 489–495. [CrossRef]

- La Gerche, A.; MacIsaac, A.I.; Burns, A.T.; Mooney, D.J.; Inder, W.J.; Voigt, J.-U.; Heidbüchel, H.; Prior, D.L. Pulmonary Transit of Agitated Contrast Is Associated with Enhanced Pulmonary Vascular Reserve and Right Ventricular Function during Exercise. J. Appl. Physiol. 2010, 109, 1307–1317. [CrossRef]
- 6. Lalande, S.; Yerly, P.; Faoro, V.; Naeije, R. Pulmonary Vascular Distensibility Predicts Aerobic Capacity in Healthy Individuals: Pulmonary Vascular Reserve and Aerobic Exercise Capacity. *J. Physiol.* **2012**, *590*, 4279–4288. [CrossRef]
- 7. Pinsky, M.R. The Right Ventricle: Interaction with the Pulmonary Circulation. Crit. Care 2016, 20, 266. [CrossRef]
- Zeder, K.; Banfi, C.; Steinrisser-Allex, G.; Maron, B.A.; Humbert, M.; Lewis, G.D.; Berghold, A.; Olschewski, H.; Kovacs, G. Diagnostic, Prognostic and Differential-Diagnostic Relevance of Pulmonary Haemodynamic Parameters during Exercise: A Systematic Review. *Eur. Respir. J.* 2022, *60*, 2103181. [CrossRef]
- 9. Berlin, D.A.; Bakker, J. Understanding Venous Return. Intensive Care Med. 2014, 40, 1564–1566. [CrossRef]
- 10. Markel, T.A.; Wairiuko, G.M.; Lahm, T.; Crisostomo, P.R.; Wang, M.; Herring, C.M.; Meldrum, D.R. The Right Heart and Its Distinct Mechanisms of Development, Function, and Failure. *J. Surg. Res.* **2008**, *146*, 304–313. [CrossRef]
- Humbert, M.; Kovacs, G.; Hoeper, M.M.; Badagliacca, R.; Berger, R.M.F.; Brida, M.; Carlsen, J.; Coats, A.J.S.; Escribano-Subias, P.; Ferrari, P.; et al. 2022 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pulmonary Hypertension. *Eur. Heart J.* 2022, 43, 3618–3731. [CrossRef]
- Harjola, V.-P.; Mebazaa, A.; Čelutkienė, J.; Bettex, D.; Bueno, H.; Chioncel, O.; Crespo-Leiro, M.G.; Falk, V.; Filippatos, G.; Gibbs, S.; et al. Contemporary Management of Acute Right Ventricular Failure: A Statement from the Heart Failure Association and the Working Group on Pulmonary Circulation and Right Ventricular Function of the European Society of Cardiology: Contemporary Management of Acute RV Failure. *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 2016, *18*, 226–241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vanderpool, R.R.; Pinsky, M.R.; Naeije, R.; Deible, C.; Kosaraju, V.; Bunner, C.; Mathier, M.A.; Lacomis, J.; Champion, H.C.; Simon, M.A. RV-Pulmonary Arterial Coupling Predicts Outcome in Patients Referred for Pulmonary Hypertension. *Heart* 2015, 101, 37–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Benza, R.L.; Miller, D.P.; Gomberg-Maitland, M.; Frantz, R.P.; Foreman, A.J.; Coffey, C.S.; Frost, A.; Barst, R.J.; Badesch, D.B.; Elliott, C.G.; et al. Predicting Survival in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension: Insights From the Registry to Evaluate Early and Long-Term Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension Disease Management (REVEAL). *Circulation* 2010, 122, 164–172. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Brugger, N.; Lichtblau, M.; Maeder, M.T.; Müller, H.; Pellaton, C.; Yerly, P. Two-Dimensional Transthoracic Echocardiography at Rest for the Diagnosis, Screening and Management of Pulmonary Hypertension. *Swiss Med. Wkly.* **2021**, *151*, w20486. [CrossRef]
- Tello, K.; Seeger, W.; Naeije, R.; Vanderpool, R.; Ghofrani, H.A.; Richter, M.; Tedford, R.J.; Bogaard, H.J. Right Heart Failure in Pulmonary Hypertension: Diagnosis and New Perspectives on Vascular and Direct Right Ventricular Treatment. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2021, 178, 90–107. [CrossRef]
- 17. Brimioulle, S.; Wauthy, P.; Ewalenko, P.; Rondelet, B.; Vermeulen, F.; Kerbaul, F.; Naeije, R. Single-Beat Estimation of Right Ventricular End-Systolic Pressure-Volume Relationship. *Am. J. Physiol.-Heart Circ. Physiol.* **2003**, *284*, H1625–H1630. [CrossRef]
- 18. Suga, H.; Sagawa, K.; Shoukas, A.A. Load Independence of the Instantaneous Pressure-Volume Ratio of the Canine Left Ventricle and Effects of Epinephrine and Heart Rate on the Ratio. *Circ. Res.* **1973**, *32*, 314–322. [CrossRef]
- Tedford, R.J.; Mudd, J.O.; Girgis, R.E.; Mathai, S.C.; Zaiman, A.L.; Housten-Harris, T.; Boyce, D.; Kelemen, B.W.; Bacher, A.C.; Shah, A.A.; et al. Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Systemic Sclerosis–Associated Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Circ. Heart Fail.* 2013, *6*, 953–963. [CrossRef]
- 20. Burkhoff, D.; Mirsky, I.; Suga, H. Assessment of Systolic and Diastolic Ventricular Properties via Pressure-Volume Analysis: A Guide for Clinical, Translational, and Basic Researchers. *Am. J. Physiol.-Heart Circ. Physiol.* **2005**, 289, H501–H512. [CrossRef]
- 21. Sunagawa, K.; Yamada, A.; Senda, Y.; Kikuchi, Y.; Nakamura, M.; Shibahara, T.; Nose, Y. Estimation of the Hydromotive Source Pressure from Ejecting Beats of the Left Ventricle. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* **1980**, *BME*-27, 299–305. [CrossRef]
- Morimont, P.; Lambermont, B.; Ghuysen, A.; Gerard, P.; Kolh, P.; Lancellotti, P.; Tchana-Sato, V.; Desaive, T.; D'Orio, V. Effective Arterial Elastance as an Index of Pulmonary Vascular Load. *Am. J. Physiol.-Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2008, 294, H2736–H2742. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ghuysen, A.; Lambermont, B.; Kolh, P.; Tchana-Sato, V.; Magis, D.; Gerard, P.; Mommens, V.; Janssen, N.; Desaive, T.; D'Orio, V. Alteration of Right Ventricular-Pulmonary Vascular Coupling in A Porcine Model of Progressive Pressure Overloading. *Shock* 2008, 29, 197–204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- MacNee, W. Pathophysiology of Cor Pulmonale in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Part One. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 1994, 150, 833–852. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Vonk Noordegraaf, A.; Westerhof, B.E.; Westerhof, N. The Relationship Between the Right Ventricle and Its Load in Pulmonary Hypertension. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2017**, *69*, 236–243. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vieillard-Baron, A.; Naeije, R.; Haddad, F.; Bogaard, H.J.; Bull, T.M.; Fletcher, N.; Lahm, T.; Magder, S.; Orde, S.; Schmidt, G.; et al. Diagnostic Workup, Etiologies and Management of Acute Right Ventricle Failure: A State-of-the-Art Paper. *Intensive Care Med.* 2018, 44, 774–790. [CrossRef]
- Bogaard, H.J.; Abe, K.; Vonk Noordegraaf, A.; Voelkel, N.F. The Right Ventricle Under Pressure. *Chest* 2009, 135, 794–804. [CrossRef]
- 28. Hess, O.M.; Villari, B.; Krayenbuehl, H.P. Diastolic Dysfunction in Aortic Stenosis. Circulation 1993, 87, IV73–IV76.
- Lyon, R.C.; Zanella, F.; Omens, J.H.; Sheikh, F. Mechanotransduction in Cardiac Hypertrophy and Failure. *Circ. Res.* 2015, 116, 1462–1476. [CrossRef]

- De Man, F.S.; Tu, L.; Handoko, M.L.; Rain, S.; Ruiter, G.; François, C.; Schalij, I.; Dorfmüller, P.; Simonneau, G.; Fadel, E.; et al. Dysregulated Renin–Angiotensin–Aldosterone System Contributes to Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 2012, *186*, 780–789. [CrossRef]
- van der Bruggen, C.E.E.; Tedford, R.J.; Handoko, M.L.; van der Velden, J.; de Man, F.S. RV Pressure Overload: From Hypertrophy to Failure. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 2017, 113, 1423–1432. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mak, S.; Witte, K.K.; Al-Hesayen, A.; Granton, J.J.; Parker, J.D. Cardiac Sympathetic Activation in Patients with Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 2012, 302, R1153–R1157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Katsumi, A.; Orr, A.W.; Tzima, E.; Schwartz, M.A. Integrins in Mechanotransduction. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 12001–12004. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Radke, M.H.; Peng, J.; Wu, Y.; McNabb, M.; Nelson, O.L.; Granzier, H.; Gotthardt, M. Targeted Deletion of Titin N2B Region Leads to Diastolic Dysfunction and Cardiac Atrophy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2007**, *104*, 3444–3449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Velez-Roa, S.; Ciarka, A.; Najem, B.; Vachiery, J.-L.; Naeije, R.; Van De Borne, P. Increased Sympathetic Nerve Activity in Pulmonary Artery Hypertension. *Circulation* **2004**, *110*, 1308–1312. [CrossRef]
- Bhullar, S.K.; Dhalla, N.S. Angiotensin II-Induced Signal Transduction Mechanisms for Cardiac Hypertrophy. *Cells* 2022, 11, 3336. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Y.; Chen, J.; Fontes, S.K.; Bautista, E.N.; Cheng, Z. Physiological and Pathological Roles of Protein Kinase A in the Heart. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 2022, 118, 386–398. [CrossRef]
- Fu, Y.; Westenbroek, R.E.; Scheuer, T.; Catterall, W.A. Basal and β-Adrenergic Regulation of the Cardiac Calcium Channel Ca V 1.2 Requires Phosphorylation of Serine 1700. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2014, 111, 16598–16603. [CrossRef]
- Bekedam, F.T.; Goumans, M.J.; Bogaard, H.J.; De Man, F.S.; Llucià-Valldeperas, A. Molecular Mechanisms and Targets of Right Ventricular Fibrosis in Pulmonary Hypertension. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 2023, 244, 108389. [CrossRef]
- 40. Oka, T.; Akazawa, H.; Naito, A.T.; Komuro, I. Angiogenesis and Cardiac Hypertrophy: Maintenance of Cardiac Function and Causative Roles in Heart Failure. *Circ. Res.* 2014, *114*, 565–571. [CrossRef]
- 41. Vonk Noordegraaf, A.; Galie, N. The Role of the Right Ventricle in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Eur. Respir. Rev.* 2011, 20, 243–253. [CrossRef]
- 42. Van Der Bruggen, C.E.; Happé, C.M.; Dorfmüller, P.; Trip, P.; Spruijt, O.A.; Rol, N.; Hoevenaars, F.P.; Houweling, A.C.; Girerd, B.; Marcus, J.T.; et al. Bone Morphogenetic Protein Receptor Type 2 Mutation in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension: A View on the Right Ventricle. *Circulation* **2016**, *133*, 1747–1760. [CrossRef]
- Hsu, S.; Kokkonen-Simon, K.M.; Kirk, J.A.; Kolb, T.M.; Damico, R.L.; Mathai, S.C.; Mukherjee, M.; Shah, A.A.; Wigley, F.M.; Margulies, K.B.; et al. Right Ventricular Myofilament Functional Differences in Humans With Systemic Sclerosis–Associated Versus Idiopathic Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Circulation* 2018, 137, 2360–2370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gomez-Arroyo, J.; Santos-Martinez, L.E.; Aranda, A.; Pulido, T.; Beltran, M.; Muñoz-Castellanos, L.; Dominguez-Cano, E.; Sonnino, C.; Voelkel, N.F.; Sandoval, J. Differences in Right Ventricular Remodeling Secondary to Pressure Overload in Patients with Pulmonary Hypertension. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 2014, 189, 603–606. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hurdman, J.; Condliffe, R.; Elliot, C.A.; Davies, C.; Hill, C.; Wild, J.M.; Capener, D.; Sephton, P.; Hamilton, N.; Armstrong, I.J.; et al. ASPIRE Registry: Assessing the Spectrum of Pulmonary Hypertension Identified at a REferral Centre. *Eur. Respir. J.* 2012, 39, 945–955. [CrossRef]
- 46. Ohira, H.; deKemp, R.; Pena, E.; Davies, R.A.; Stewart, D.J.; Chandy, G.; Contreras-Dominguez, V.; Dennie, C.; Mc Ardle, B.; Mc Klein, R.; et al. Shifts in Myocardial Fatty Acid and Glucose Metabolism in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension: A Potential Mechanism for a Maladaptive Right Ventricular Response. *Eur. Heart J. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2016, 17, 1424–1431. [CrossRef]
- Wang, L.; Li, W.; Yang, Y.; Wu, W.; Cai, Q.; Ma, X.; Xiong, C.; He, J.; Fang, W. Quantitative Assessment of Right Ventricular Glucose Metabolism in Idiopathic Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension Patients: A Longitudinal Study. *Eur. Heart J.-Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2016, 17, 1161–1168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Archer, S.L.; Fang, Y.; Ryan, J.J.; Piao, L. Metabolism and Bioenergetics in the Right Ventricle and Pulmonary Vasculature in Pulmonary Hypertension. *Pulm. Circ.* **2013**, *3*, 144–152. [CrossRef]
- Ryan, J.J.; Huston, J.; Kutty, S.; Hatton, N.D.; Bowman, L.; Tian, L.; Herr, J.E.; Johri, A.M.; Archer, S.L. Right Ventricular Adaptation and Failure in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Can. J. Cardiol.* 2015, 31, 391–406. [CrossRef]
- Rain, S.; Handoko, M.L.; Trip, P.; Gan, C.T.-J.; Westerhof, N.; Stienen, G.J.; Paulus, W.J.; Ottenheijm, C.A.C.; Marcus, J.T.; Dorfmüller, P.; et al. Right Ventricular Diastolic Impairment in Patients With Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Circulation* 2013, 128, 2016–2025. [CrossRef]
- Kusakari, Y.; Urashima, T.; Shimura, D.; Amemiya, E.; Miyasaka, G.; Yokota, S.; Fujimoto, Y.; Akaike, T.; Inoue, T.; Minamisawa, S. Impairment of Excitation-Contraction Coupling in Right Ventricular Hypertrophied Muscle with Fibrosis Induced by Pulmonary Artery Banding. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0169564. [CrossRef]
- Rain, S.; Andersen, S.; Najafi, A.; Gammelgaard Schultz, J.; Da Silva Gonçalves Bós, D.; Handoko, M.L.; Bogaard, H.-J.; Vonk-Noordegraaf, A.; Andersen, A.; Van Der Velden, J.; et al. Right Ventricular Myocardial Stiffness in Experimental Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension: Relative Contribution of Fibrosis and Myofibril Stiffness. *Circ. Heart Fail.* 2016, 9, e002636. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Van Wolferen, S.A.; Marcus, J.T.; Westerhof, N.; Spreeuwenberg, M.D.; Marques, K.M.J.; Bronzwaer, J.G.F.; Henkens, I.R.; Gan, C.T.-J.; Boonstra, A.; Postmus, P.E.; et al. Right Coronary Artery Flow Impairment in Patients with Pulmonary Hypertension. *Eur. Heart J.* 2007, 29, 120–127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ruiter, G.; Ying Wong, Y.; De Man, F.S.; Louis Handoko, M.; Jaspers, R.T.; Postmus, P.E.; Westerhof, N.; Niessen, H.W.M.; Van Der Laarse, W.J.; Vonk-Noordegraaf, A. Right Ventricular Oxygen Supply Parameters Are Decreased in Human and Experimental Pulmonary Hypertension. J. Heart Lung Transplant. 2013, 32, 231–240. [CrossRef]
- 55. Nootens, M.; Kaufmann, E.; Rector, T.; Toher, C.; Judd, D.; Francis, G.S.; Rich, S. Neurohormonal Activation in Patients with Right Ventricular Failure from Pulmonary Hypertension: Relation to Hemodynamic Variables and Endothelin Levels. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **1995**, *26*, 1581–1585. [CrossRef]
- Campo, A.; Mathai, S.C.; Le Pavec, J.; Zaiman, A.L.; Hummers, L.K.; Boyce, D.; Housten, T.; Lechtzin, N.; Chami, H.; Girgis, R.E.; et al. Outcomes of Hospitalisation for Right Heart Failure in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Eur. Respir. J.* 2011, 38, 359–367. [CrossRef]
- 57. Schmeißer, A.; Rauwolf, T.; Groscheck, T.; Fischbach, K.; Kropf, S.; Luani, B.; Tanev, I.; Hansen, M.; Meißler, S.; Schäfer, K.; et al. Predictors and Prognosis of Right Ventricular Function in Pulmonary Hypertension Due to Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction. ESC Heart Fail. 2021, 8, 2968–2981. [CrossRef]
- Richter, M.J.; Peters, D.; Ghofrani, H.A.; Naeije, R.; Roller, F.; Sommer, N.; Gall, H.; Grimminger, F.; Seeger, W.; Tello, K. Evaluation and Prognostic Relevance of Right Ventricular–Arterial Coupling in Pulmonary Hypertension. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 2020, 201, 116–119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hsu, S.; Simpson, C.E.; Houston, B.A.; Wand, A.; Sato, T.; Kolb, T.M.; Mathai, S.C.; Kass, D.A.; Hassoun, P.M.; Damico, R.L.; et al. Multi-Beat Right Ventricular-Arterial Coupling Predicts Clinical Worsening in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *J. Am. Heart* Assoc. 2020, 9, e016031. [CrossRef]
- Rako, Z.A.; Kremer, N.; Yogeswaran, A.; Richter, M.J.; Tello, K. Adaptive versus Maladaptive Right Ventricular Remodelling. ESC Heart Fail. 2023, 10, 762–775. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vonk-Noordegraaf, A.; Haddad, F.; Chin, K.M.; Forfia, P.R.; Kawut, S.M.; Lumens, J.; Naeije, R.; Newman, J.; Oudiz, R.J.; Provencher, S.; et al. Right Heart Adaptation to Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2013, 62, D22–D33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mullens, W.; Abrahams, Z.; Francis, G.S.; Sokos, G.; Taylor, D.O.; Starling, R.C.; Young, J.B.; Tang, W.H.W. Importance of Venous Congestion for Worsening of Renal Function in Advanced Decompensated Heart Failure. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2009, 53, 589–596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Bitker, L.; Sens, F.; Payet, C.; Turquier, S.; Duclos, A.; Cottin, V.; Juillard, L. Presence of Kidney Disease as an Outcome Predictor in Patients with Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Am. J. Nephrol.* **2018**, *47*, 134–143. [CrossRef]
- 64. Rosenkranz, S.; Howard, L.S.; Gomberg-Maitland, M.; Hoeper, M.M. Systemic Consequences of Pulmonary Hypertension and Right-Sided Heart Failure. *Circulation* **2020**, *141*, 678–693. [CrossRef]
- 65. Schefold, J.C.; Filippatos, G.; Hasenfuss, G.; Anker, S.D.; Von Haehling, S. Heart Failure and Kidney Dysfunction: Epidemiology, Mechanisms and Management. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* **2016**, *12*, 610–623. [CrossRef]
- 66. Rommel, K.-P.; Besler, C.; Noack, T.; Blazek, S.; Von Roeder, M.; Fengler, K.; Ender, J.; Gutberlet, M.; Desch, S.; Borger, M.A.; et al. Physiological and Clinical Consequences of Right Ventricular Volume Overload Reduction After Transcatheter Treatment for Tricuspid Regurgitation. *JACC Cardiovasc. Interv.* 2019, *12*, 1423–1434. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Chen, X.; Zhai, Z.; Huang, K.; Xie, W.; Wan, J.; Wang, C. Bosentan Therapy for Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension and Chronic Thromboembolic Pulmonary Hypertension: A Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Clin. Respir. J.* 2018, 12, 2065–2074. [CrossRef]
- Medvedofsky, D.; Mor-Avi, V.; Amzulescu, M.; Fernández-Golfín, C.; Hinojar, R.; Monaghan, M.J.; Otani, K.; Reiken, J.; Takeuchi, M.; Tsang, W.; et al. Three-Dimensional Echocardiographic Quantification of the Left-Heart Chambers Using an Automated Adaptive Analytics Algorithm: Multicentre Validation Study. *Eur. Heart J. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2018, 19, 47–58. [CrossRef]
- Tji-Joong Gan, C.; Lankhaar, J.-W.; Marcus, J.T.; Westerhof, N.; Marques, K.M.; Bronzwaer, J.G.F.; Boonstra, A.; Postmus, P.E.; Vonk-Noordegraaf, A. Impaired Left Ventricular Filling Due to Right-to-Left Ventricular Interaction in Patients with Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. Am. J. Physiol.-Heart Circ. Physiol. 2006, 290, H1528–H1533. [CrossRef]
- Greiner, S.; Jud, A.; Aurich, M.; Hess, A.; Hilbel, T.; Hardt, S.; Katus, H.A.; Mereles, D. Reliability of Noninvasive Assessment of Systolic Pulmonary Artery Pressure by Doppler Echocardiography Compared to Right Heart Catheterization: Analysis in a Large Patient Population. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 2014, 3, e001103. [CrossRef]
- Lang, R.M.; Badano, L.P.; Mor-Avi, V.; Afilalo, J.; Armstrong, A.; Ernande, L.; Flachskampf, F.A.; Foster, E.; Goldstein, S.A.; Kuznetsova, T.; et al. Recommendations for Cardiac Chamber Quantification by Echocardiography in Adults: An Update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J. Am. Soc. Echocardiogr.* 2015, *28*, 1–39.e14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Weatherald, J.; Boucly, A.; Chemla, D.; Savale, L.; Peng, M.; Jevnikar, M.; Jaïs, X.; Taniguchi, Y.; O'Connell, C.; Parent, F.; et al. Prognostic Value of Follow-Up Hemodynamic Variables After Initial Management in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Circulation* 2018, 137, 693–704. [CrossRef]
- Nickel, N.; Golpon, H.; Greer, M.; Knudsen, L.; Olsson, K.; Westerkamp, V.; Welte, T.; Hoeper, M.M. The Prognostic Impact of Follow-up Assessments in Patients with Idiopathic Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Eur. Respir. J.* 2012, *39*, 589–596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 74. Van Diepen, S.; Katz, J.N.; Albert, N.M.; Henry, T.D.; Jacobs, A.K.; Kapur, N.K.; Kilic, A.; Menon, V.; Ohman, E.M.; Sweitzer, N.K.; et al. Contemporary Management of Cardiogenic Shock: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2017, 136, e232–e268. [CrossRef]
- Tello, K.; Richter, M.J.; Yogeswaran, A.; Ghofrani, H.A.; Naeije, R.; Vanderpool, R.; Gall, H.; Tedford, R.J.; Seeger, W.; Lahm, T. Sex Differences in Right Ventricular–Pulmonary Arterial Coupling in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 2020, 202, 1042–1046. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Sanz, J.; Conroy, J.; Narula, J. Imaging of the Right Ventricle. Cardiol. Clin. 2012, 30, 189–203. [CrossRef]
- Trip, P.; Kind, T.; van de Veerdonk, M.C.; Marcus, J.T.; de Man, F.S.; Westerhof, N.; Vonk-Noordegraaf, A. Accurate Assessment of Load-Independent Right Ventricular Systolic Function in Patients with Pulmonary Hypertension. *J. Heart Lung Transplant.* 2013, 32, 50–55. [CrossRef]
- Brewis, M.J.; Bellofiore, A.; Vanderpool, R.R.; Chesler, N.C.; Johnson, M.K.; Naeije, R.; Peacock, A.J. Imaging Right Ventricular Function to Predict Outcome in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2016, 218, 206–211. [CrossRef]
- 79. He, Q.; Lin, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Gao, L.; Ji, M.; Zhang, L.; Xie, M.; Li, Y. Clinical Usefulness of Right Ventricle–Pulmonary Artery Coupling in Cardiovascular Disease. J. Clin. Med. 2023, 12, 2526. [CrossRef]
- Guazzi, M.; Bandera, F.; Pelissero, G.; Castelvecchio, S.; Menicanti, L.; Ghio, S.; Temporelli, P.L.; Arena, R. Tricuspid Annular Plane Systolic Excursion and Pulmonary Arterial Systolic Pressure Relationship in Heart Failure: An Index of Right Ventricular Contractile Function and Prognosis. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2013, 305, H1373–H1381. [CrossRef]
- Guazzi, M.; Dixon, D.; Labate, V.; Beussink-Nelson, L.; Bandera, F.; Cuttica, M.J.; Shah, S.J. RV Contractile Function and Its Coupling to Pulmonary Circulation in Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2017, 10, 1211–1221. [CrossRef]
- Guazzi, M.; Naeije, R.; Arena, R.; Corrà, U.; Ghio, S.; Forfia, P.; Rossi, A.; Cahalin, L.P.; Bandera, F.; Temporelli, P. Echocardiography of Right Ventriculoarterial Coupling Combined With Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing to Predict Outcome in Heart Failure. *Chest* 2015, 148, 226–234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Tello, K.; Axmann, J.; Ghofrani, H.A.; Naeije, R.; Narcin, N.; Rieth, A.; Seeger, W.; Gall, H.; Richter, M.J. Relevance of the TAPSE/PASP Ratio in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension. *Int. J. Cardiol.* **2018**, *266*, 229–235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tello, K.; Wan, J.; Dalmer, A.; Vanderpool, R.; Ghofrani, H.A.; Naeije, R.; Roller, F.; Mohajerani, E.; Seeger, W.; Herberg, U.; et al. Validation of the Tricuspid Annular Plane Systolic Excursion/Systolic Pulmonary Artery Pressure Ratio for the Assessment of Right Ventricular-Arterial Coupling in Severe Pulmonary Hypertension. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2019, 12, e009047. [CrossRef]
- 85. Kim, D.; Jang, W.J.; Park, T.K.; Cho, Y.H.; Choi, J.-O.; Jeon, E.-S.; Yang, J.H. Echocardiographic Predictors of Successful Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Weaning After Refractory Cardiogenic Shock. *J. Am. Soc. Echocardiogr.* 2021, 34, 414–422.e4. [CrossRef]
- Konstam, M.A.; Kiernan, M.S.; Bernstein, D.; Bozkurt, B.; Jacob, M.; Kapur, N.K.; Kociol, R.D.; Lewis, E.F.; Mehra, M.R.; Pagani, F.D.; et al. Evaluation and Management of Right-Sided Heart Failure: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2018, 137, e578–e622. [CrossRef]
- 87. Campbell, P.; Drazner, M.H.; Kato, M.; Lakdawala, N.; Palardy, M.; Nohria, A.; Stevenson, L.W. Mismatch of Right- and Left-Sided Filling Pressures in Chronic Heart Failure. *J. Card. Fail.* **2011**, *17*, 561–568. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ltaief, Z.; Yerly, P.; Liaudet, L. Pulmonary Hypertension in Left Heart Diseases: Pathophysiology, Hemodynamic Assessment and Therapeutic Management. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 9971. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 89. Zorzi, M.F.; Cancelli, E.; Rusca, M.; Kirsch, M.; Yerly, P.; Liaudet, L. The Prognostic Value of Pulmonary Artery Compliance in Cardiogenic Shock. *Pulm. Circ.* 2019, *9*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 90. Marenzi, G.; Lauri, G.; Grazi, M.; Assanelli, E.; Campodonico, J.; Agostoni, P. Circulatory Response to Fluid Overload Removal by Extracorporeal Ultrafiltration in Refractory Congestive Heart Failure. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2001**, *38*, 963–968. [CrossRef]
- Mullens, W.; Damman, K.; Harjola, V.-P.; Mebazaa, A.; Brunner-La Rocca, H.-P.; Martens, P.; Testani, J.M.; Tang, W.H.W.; Orso, F.; Rossignol, P.; et al. The Use of Diuretics in Heart Failure with Congestion—A Position Statement from the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology: Diuretics in Heart Failure. *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 2019, 21, 137–155. [CrossRef]
- Mullens, W.; Dauw, J.; Martens, P.; Verbrugge, F.H.; Nijst, P.; Meekers, E.; Tartaglia, K.; Chenot, F.; Moubayed, S.; Dierckx, R.; et al. Acetazolamide in Acute Decompensated Heart Failure with Volume Overload. N. Engl. J. Med. 2022, 387, 1185–1195. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 93. Costanzo, M.R. Ultrafiltration in Acute Heart Failure. Card. Fail. Rev. 2019, 5, 9–18. [CrossRef]
- 94. Konstantinides, S.V.; Meyer, G.; Becattini, C.; Bueno, H.; Geersing, G.-J.; Harjola, V.-P.; Huisman, M.V.; Humbert, M.; Jennings, C.S.; Jiménez, D.; et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Acute Pulmonary Embolism Developed in Collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS). *Eur. Heart J.* 2020, *41*, 543–603. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Madani, M.M. Surgical Treatment of Chronic Thromboembolic Pulmonary Hypertension: Pulmonary Thromboendarterectomy. Methodist DeBakey Cardiovasc. J. 2016, 12, 213. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Verbelen, T.; Godinas, L.; Maleux, G.; Coolen, J.; Claessen, G.; Belge, C.; Meyns, B.; Delcroix, M. Chronic Thromboembolic Pulmonary Hypertension: Diagnosis, Operability Assessment and Patient Selection for Pulmonary Endarterectomy. *Ann. Cardiothorac. Surg.* 2022, *11*, 82–97. [CrossRef]
- 97. Vieillard-Baron, A.; Price, L.C.; Matthay, M.A. Acute Cor Pulmonale in ARDS. Intensive Care Med. 2013, 39, 1836–1838. [CrossRef]

- Vieillard-Baron, A.; Schmitt, J.-M.; Augarde, R.; Fellahi, J.L.; Prin, S.; Page, B.; Beauchet, A.; Jardin, F. Acute Cor Pulmonale in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Submitted to Protective Ventilation: Incidence, Clinical Implications, and Prognosis. *Crit. Care Med.* 2001, 29, 1551–1555. [CrossRef]
- Bull, T.M.; Clark, B.; McFann, K.; Moss, M. National Institutes of Health/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute ARDS Network Pulmonary Vascular Dysfunction Is Associated with Poor Outcomes in Patients with Acute Lung Injury. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 2010, 182, 1123–1128. [CrossRef]
- 100. Lhéritier, G.; Legras, A.; Caille, A.; Lherm, T.; Mathonnet, A.; Frat, J.-P.; Courte, A.; Martin-Lefèvre, L.; Gouëllo, J.-P.; Amiel, J.-B.; et al. Prevalence and Prognostic Value of Acute Cor Pulmonale and Patent Foramen Ovale in Ventilated Patients with Early Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: A Multicenter Study. *Intensive Care Med.* 2013, *39*, 1734–1742. [CrossRef]
- 101. L'Heureux, M.; Sternberg, M.; Brath, L.; Turlington, J.; Kashiouris, M.G. Sepsis-Induced Cardiomyopathy: A Comprehensive Review. *Curr. Cardiol. Rep.* 2020, 22, 35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ventetuolo, C.E.; Klinger, J.R. Management of Acute Right Ventricular Failure in the Intensive Care Unit. Ann. Am. Thorac. Soc. 2014, 11, 811–822. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rudolph, A.M.; Yuan, S. Response of the Pulmonary Vasculature to Hypoxia and H+ Ion Concentration Changes. J. Clin. Investig. 1966, 45, 399–411. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. The Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. Ventilation with Lower Tidal Volumes as Compared with Traditional Tidal Volumes for Acute Lung Injury and the Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 2000, 342, 1301–1308. [CrossRef]
- 105. Bhorade, S.; Christenson, J.; O'connor, M.; Lavoie, A.; Pohlman, A.; Hall, J.B. Response to Inhaled Nitric Oxide in Patients with Acute Right Heart Syndrome. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **1999**, *159*, 571–579. [CrossRef]
- 106. Rossaint, R.; Slama, K.; Steudel, W.; Gerlach, H.; Pappert, D.; Veit, S.; Falke, K. Effects of Inhaled Nitric Oxide on Right Ventricular Function in Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *Intensive Care Med.* 1995, 21, 197–203. [CrossRef]
- Muzaffar, S.; Shukla, N.; Angelini, G.D.; Jeremy, J.Y. Inhaled Prostacyclin Is Safe, Effective, and Affordable in Patients with Pulmonary Hypertension, Right-Heart Dysfunction, and Refractory Hypoxemia after Cardiothoracic Surgery. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 2004, 128, 949–950. [CrossRef]
- McDonagh, T.A.; Metra, M.; Adamo, M.; Gardner, R.S.; Baumbach, A.; Böhm, M.; Burri, H.; Butler, J.; Čelutkienė, J.; Chioncel, O.; et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure. *Eur. Heart J.* 2021, 42, 3599–3726. [CrossRef]
- 109. Hollenberg, S.M. Vasoactive Drugs in Circulatory Shock. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 2011, 183, 847–855. [CrossRef]
- 110. Ghignone, M.; Girling, L.; Prewitt, R.M. Volume Expansion versus Norepinephrine in Treatment of a Low Cardiac Output Complicating an Acute Increase in Right Ventricular Afterload in Dogs. *Anesthesiology* **1984**, *60*, 132–135. [CrossRef]
- Schreuder, W.O.; Schneider, A.J.; Groeneveld, A.B.J.; Thijs, L.G. The Influence of Catecholamines on Right Ventricular Function in Septic Shock. *Intensive Care Med.* 1988, 14, 492–495. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Evora, P.R.; Pearson, P.J.; Schaff, H.V. Arginine Vasopressin Induces Endothelium-Dependent Vasodilatation of the Pulmonary Artery. V1-Receptor-Mediated Production of Nitric Oxide. *Chest* 1993, 103, 1241–1245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sarkar, J.; Golden, P.J.; Kajiura, L.N.; Murata, L.-A.M.; Uyehara, C.F.T. Vasopressin Decreases Pulmonary-to-Systemic Vascular Resistance Ratio in a Porcine Model of Severe Hemorrhagic Shock. *Shock Augusta Ga* 2015, 43, 475–482. [CrossRef]
- Leather, H.A.; Segers, P.; Berends, N.; Vandermeersch, E.; Wouters, P.F. Effects of Vasopressin on Right Ventricular Function in an Experimental Model of Acute Pulmonary Hypertension\*. *Crit. Care Med.* 2002, 30, 2548. [CrossRef]
- Joshi, S.; Quinones Cardona, V.; Menkiti, O.R. Use of Vasopressin in Persistent Pulmonary Hypertension of the Newborn: A Case Series. SAGE Open Med. Case Rep. 2022, 10, 2050313X221102289. [CrossRef]
- 116. Ryan, J.J.; Archer, S.L. The Right Ventricle in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension: Disorders of Metabolism, Angiogenesis and Adrenergic Signaling in Right Ventricular Failure. *Circ. Res.* **2014**, *115*, 176–188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 117. Kerbaul, F.; Rondelet, B.; Motte, S.; Fesler, P.; Hubloue, I.; Ewalenko, P.; Naeije, R.; Brimioulle, S. Effects of Norepinephrine and Dobutamine on Pressure Load-Induced Right Ventricular Failure\*. *Crit. Care Med.* **2004**, *32*, 1035. [CrossRef]
- 118. Piao, L.; Fang, Y.-H.; Parikh, K.S.; Ryan, J.J.; D'Souza, K.M.; Theccanat, T.; Toth, P.T.; Pogoriler, J.; Paul, J.; Blaxall, B.C.; et al. GRK2-Mediated Inhibition of Adrenergic and Dopaminergic Signaling in Right Ventricular Hypertrophy: Therapeutic Implications in Pulmonary Hypertension. *Circulation* 2012, 126, 2859–2869. [CrossRef]
- 119. Vizza, C.D.; Rocca, G.D.; Roma, A.D.; Iacoboni, C.; Pierconti, F.; Venuta, F.; Rendina, E.; Schmid, G.; Pietropaoli, P.; Fedele, F. Acute Hemodynamic Effects of Inhaled Nitric Oxide, Dobutamine and a Combination of the Two in Patients with Mild to Moderate Secondary Pulmonary Hypertension. *Crit. Care Lond. Engl.* 2001, *5*, 355–361. [CrossRef]
- Acosta, F.; Sansano, T.; Palenciano, C.G.; Falcon, L.; Domenech, P.; Robles, R.; Bueno, F.S.; Ramirez, P.; Parrilla, P. Effects of Dobutamine on Right Ventricular Function and Pulmonary Circulation in Pulmonary Hypertension During Liver Transplantation. *Transplant. Proc.* 2005, *37*, 3869–3870. [CrossRef]
- Farah, A.E.; Frangakis, C.J. Studies on the Mechanism of Action of the Bipyridine Milrinone on the Heart. *Basic Res. Cardiol.* 1989, 84 (Suppl. 1), 85–103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 122. Honerjäger, P. Pharmacology of Bipyridine Phosphodiesterase III Inhibitors. *Am. Heart J.* **1991**, 121, 1939–1944. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 123. Alousi, A.A.; Johnson, D.C. Pharmacology of the Bipyridines: Amrinone and Milrinone. Circulation 1986, 73, III10-24.

- 124. Alfranca, A.; Iñiguez, M.A.; Fresno, M.; Redondo, J.M. Prostanoid Signal Transduction and Gene Expression in the Endothelium: Role in Cardiovascular Diseases. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2006**, *70*, 446–456. [CrossRef]
- 125. Rabe, K.F.; Tenor, H.; Dent, G.; Schudt, C.; Nakashima, M.; Magnussen, H. Identification of PDE Isozymes in Human Pulmonary Artery and Effect of Selective PDE Inhibitors. *Am. J. Physiol.-Lung Cell Mol. Physiol.* **1994**, 266, L536–L543. [CrossRef]
- 126. Eichhorn, E.J.; Konstam, M.A.; Weiland, D.S.; Roberts, D.J.; Martin, T.T.; Stransky, N.B.; Salem, D.N. Differential Effects of Milrinone and Dobutamine on Right Ventricular Preload, Afterload and Systolic Performance in Congestive Heart Failure Secondary to Ischemic or Idiopathic Dilated Cardiomyopathy. Am. J. Cardiol. 1987, 60, 1329–1333. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 127. Chen, E.P.; Bittner, H.B.; Davis, R.D.; Van Trigt, P. Milrinone Improves Pulmonary Hemodynamics and Right Ventricular Function in Chronic Pulmonary Hypertension. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* **1997**, *63*, 814–821. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 128. Mathew, R.; Di Santo, P.; Jung, R.G.; Marbach, J.A.; Hutson, J.; Simard, T.; Ramirez, F.D.; Harnett, D.T.; Merdad, A.; Almufleh, A.; et al. Milrinone as Compared with Dobutamine in the Treatment of Cardiogenic Shock. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2021**, *385*, 516–525. [CrossRef]
- 129. Toller, W.G.; Stranz, C.; Warltier, D.C. Levosimendan, a New Inotropic and Vasodilator Agent. *Anesthesiology* **2006**, *104*, 556–569. [CrossRef]
- Vildbrad, M.D.; Andersen, A.; Holmboe, S.; Ringgaard, S.; Nielsen, J.M.; Nielsen-Kudsk, J.E. Acute Effects of Levosimendan in Experimental Models of Right Ventricular Hypertrophy and Failure. *Pulm. Circ.* 2014, 4, 511–519. [CrossRef]
- 131. Kerbaul, F.; Rondelet, B.; Demester, J.-P.; Fesler, P.; Huez, S.; Naeije, R.; Brimioulle, S. Effects of Levosimendan versus Dobutamine on Pressure Load-Induced Right Ventricular Failure. *Crit. Care Med.* **2006**, *34*, 2814–2819. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 132. Kerbaul, F.; Gariboldi, V.; Giorgi, R.; Mekkaoui, C.; Guieu, R.; Fesler, P.; Gouin, F.; Brimioulle, S.; Collart, F. Effects of Levosimendan on Acute Pulmonary Embolism-Induced Right Ventricular Failure. *Crit. Care Med.* 2007, 35, 1948–1954. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 133. Schwarte, L.A.; Schwartges, I.; Thomas, K.; Schober, P.; Picker, O. The Effects of Levosimendan and Glibenclamide on Circulatory and Metabolic Variables in a Canine Model of Acute Hypoxia. *Intensive Care Med.* **2011**, *37*, 701–710. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wiklund, A.; Kylhammar, D.; Rådegran, G. Levosimendan Attenuates Hypoxia-Induced Pulmonary Hypertension in a Porcine Model. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 2012, 59, 441–449. [CrossRef]
- Revermann, M.; Schloss, M.; Mieth, A.; Babelova, A.; Schröder, K.; Neofitidou, S.; Buerkl, J.; Kirschning, T.; Schermuly, R.T.; Hofstetter, C.; et al. Levosimendan Attenuates Pulmonary Vascular Remodeling. *Intensive Care Med.* 2011, 37, 1368–1377. [CrossRef]
- 136. Antila, S.; Sundberg, S.; Lehtonen, L.A. Clinical Pharmacology of Levosimendan. *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* 2007, 46, 535–552. [CrossRef]
- Masarone, D.; Melillo, E.; Errigo, V.; Martucci, M.L.; Pacileo, R.; Pollesello, P.; Petraio, A.; Pacileo, G. Hemodynamic Effects of Levosimendan in Outpatients With Advanced Heart Failure: An Echocardiographic Pilot Study. J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. 2022, 79, e36–e40. [CrossRef]
- Slawsky, M.T.; Colucci, W.S.; Gottlieb, S.S.; Greenberg, B.H.; Haeusslein, E.; Hare, J.; Hutchins, S.; Leier, C.V.; LeJemtel, T.H.; Loh, E.; et al. Acute Hemodynamic and Clinical Effects of Levosimendan in Patients With Severe Heart Failure. *Circulation* 2000, 102, 2222–2227. [CrossRef]
- Duygu, H.; Ozerkan, F.; Zoghi, M.; Nalbantgil, S.; Yildiz, A.; Akilli, A.; Akin, M.; Nazli, C.; Ergene, O. Effect of Levosimendan on Right Ventricular Systolic and Diastolic Functions in Patients with Ischaemic Heart Failure: Effect of Levosimendan on Right Ventricular Functions. Int. J. Clin. Pract. 2007, 62, 228–233. [CrossRef]
- 140. Jiang, R.; Zhao, Q.; Wu, W.; Zhang, R.; Yuan, P.; Gong, S.; He, J.; Luo, C.; Qiu, H.; Wang, L.; et al. Efficacy and Safety of a Calcium Sensitizer, Levosimendan, in Patients with Right Heart Failure Due to Pulmonary Hypertension. *Clin. Respir. J.* 2018, 12, 1518–1525. [CrossRef]
- 141. Packer, M.; Colucci, W.; Fisher, L.; Massie, B.M.; Teerlink, J.R.; Young, J.; Padley, R.J.; Thakkar, R.; Delgado-Herrera, L.; Salon, J.; et al. Effect of Levosimendan on the Short-Term Clinical Course of Patients With Acutely Decompensated Heart Failure. *JACC Heart Fail.* 2013, 1, 103–111. [CrossRef]
- Mebazaa, A.; Nieminen, M.S.; Packer, M.; Cohen-Solal, A.; Kleber, F.X.; Pocock, S.J.; Thakkar, R.; Padley, R.J.; Põder, P.; Kivikko, M.; et al. Levosimendan vs Dobutamine for Patients With Acute Decompensated Heart Failure: The SURVIVE Randomized Trial. *JAMA* 2007, 297, 1883. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 143. Husebye, T.; Eritsland, J.; Müller, C.; Sandvik, L.; Arnesen, H.; Seljeflot, I.; Mangschau, A.; Bjørnerheim, R.; Andersen, G.Ø. Levosimendan in Acute Heart Failure Following Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention-treated Acute ST-elevation Myocardial Infarction. Results from the LEAF Trial: A Randomized, Placebo-controlled Study. *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 2013, *15*, 565–572. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Comín-Colet, J.; Manito, N.; Segovia-Cubero, J.; Delgado, J.; García Pinilla, J.M.; Almenar, L.; Crespo-Leiro, M.G.; Sionis, A.; Blasco, T.; Pascual-Figal, D.; et al. Efficacy and Safety of Intermittent Intravenous Outpatient Administration of Levosimendan in Patients with Advanced Heart Failure: The LION-HEART Multicentre Randomised Trial. *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 2018, 20, 1128–1136. [CrossRef]
- 145. Altenberger, J.; Parissis, J.T.; Costard-Jaeckle, A.; Winter, A.; Ebner, C.; Karavidas, A.; Sihorsch, K.; Avgeropoulou, E.; Weber, T.; Dimopoulos, L.; et al. Efficacy and Safety of the Pulsed Infusions of Levosimendan in Outpatients with Advanced Heart Failure (LevoRep) Study: A Multicentre Randomized Trial. *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 2014, *16*, 898–906. [CrossRef]
- 146. Houston, B.A.; Brittain, E.L.; Tedford, R.J. Right Ventricular Failure. N. Engl. J. Med. 2023, 388, 1111–1125. [CrossRef]

- 147. Grignola, J.C.; Domingo, E. Acute Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Intensive Care Unit. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2017, 2017, 8217105. [CrossRef]
- 148. Becher, P.M.; Goßling, A.; Schrage, B.; Twerenbold, R.; Fluschnik, N.; Seiffert, M.; Bernhardt, A.M.; Reichenspurner, H.; Blankenberg, S.; Westermann, D. Procedural Volume and Outcomes in Patients Undergoing VA-ECMO Support. *Crit. Care* 2020, 24, 291. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Klinke, A.; Schubert, T.; Müller, M.; Legchenko, E.; Zelt, J.G.E.; Shimauchi, T.; Napp, L.C.; Rothman, A.M.K.; Bonnet, S.; Stewart, D.J.; et al. Emerging Therapies for Right Ventricular Dysfunction and Failure. *Cardiovasc. Diagn. Ther.* 2020, 10, 1735–1767. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 150. Das, S.; Shah, R.; Dimmeler, S.; Freedman, J.E.; Holley, C.; Lee, J.-M.; Moore, K.; Musunuru, K.; Wang, D.-Z.; Xiao, J.; et al. Noncoding RNAs in Cardiovascular Disease: Current Knowledge, Tools and Technologies for Investigation, and Future Directions: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circ. Genomic Precis. Med.* 2020, 13, e000062. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.