

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Function of BriC peptide in the pneumococcal competence and virulence portfolio

Surya D. Aggarwal¹, Rory Eutsey¹, Jacob West-Roberts¹, Arnau Domenech², Wenjie Xu¹, Iman Tajer Abdullah^{3,4}, Aaron P. Mitchell¹, Jan-Willem Veening², Hasan Yesilkaya³, N. Luisa Hiller^{1,5*}

1 Department of Biological Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America, **2** Department of Fundamental Microbiology, Faculty of Biology and Medicine, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland, **3** Department of Infection, Immunity & Inflammation, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom, **4** Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Kirkuk, Kirkuk, Iraq, **5** Center of Excellence in Biofilm Research, Allegheny Health Network, Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America

* lhiller@andrew.cmu.edu



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Aggarwal SD, Eutsey R, West-Roberts J, Domenech A, Xu W, Abdullah IT, et al. (2018) Function of BriC peptide in the pneumococcal competence and virulence portfolio. *PLoS Pathog* 14(10): e1007328. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007328>

Editor: Timothy J. Mitchell, University of Birmingham, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: July 6, 2018

Accepted: September 10, 2018

Published: October 11, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Aggarwal et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by NIH grant R00-DC-011322 to NLH, Stupakoff Scientific Achievement Award to SDA, as well as support from the Department of Biological Sciences at Carnegie Mellon University. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Abstract

Streptococcus pneumoniae (pneumococcus) is an opportunistic pathogen that causes otitis media, sinusitis, pneumonia, meningitis and sepsis. The progression to this pathogenic lifestyle is preceded by asymptomatic colonization of the nasopharynx. This colonization is associated with biofilm formation; the competence pathway influences the structure and stability of biofilms. However, the molecules that link the competence pathway to biofilm formation are unknown. Here, we describe a new competence-induced gene, called *briC*, and demonstrate that its product promotes biofilm development and stimulates colonization in a murine model. We show that expression of *briC* is induced by the master regulator of competence, ComE. Whereas *briC* does not substantially influence early biofilm development on abiotic surfaces, it significantly impacts later stages of biofilm development. Specifically, *briC* expression leads to increases in biofilm biomass and thickness at 72h. Consistent with the role of biofilms in colonization, *briC* promotes nasopharyngeal colonization in the murine model. The function of BriC appears to be conserved across pneumococci, as comparative genomics reveal that *briC* is widespread across isolates. Surprisingly, many isolates, including strains from clinically important PMEN1 and PMEN14 lineages, which are widely associated with colonization, encode a long *briC* promoter. This long form captures an instance of genomic plasticity and functions as a competence-independent expression enhancer that may serve as a precocious point of entry into this otherwise competence-regulated pathway. Moreover, overexpression of *briC* by the long promoter fully rescues the *comE*-deletion induced biofilm defect *in vitro*, and partially *in vivo*. These findings indicate that BriC may bypass the influence of competence in biofilm development and that such a pathway may be active in a subset of pneumococcal lineages. In conclusion, BriC is a part of the complex molecular network that connects signaling of the competence pathway to biofilm development and colonization.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Author summary

Pneumococcal biofilms occur in chronic otitis media, chronic rhinosinusitis, and nasopharyngeal colonization. These biofilms are an important component of pneumococcal epidemiology, particularly in influencing transmission, maintenance of asymptomatic colonization, and development of disease. The transcriptional program initiated via signaling of the competence pathway is critical for productive biofilm formation and is a strong contributor of pneumococcal infection and adaptation. In this study, we have identified BriC, a previously uncharacterized peptide that serves as a bridge between the competence pathway and biofilm development. We show that *briC* is induced by ComE, the master regulator of competence, and promotes biofilm development. Moreover, our studies in the murine model demonstrate that BriC is a novel colonization enhancer. Our studies of *briC* regulation capture an instance of genomic plasticity, where natural variation in the *briC* promoter sequence reveals the existence of an additional competence-independent regulatory unit. This natural variation may be able to modify the extent to which competence contributes to biofilm development and to nasopharyngeal colonization across different pneumococcal lineages. In summary, this study introduces a colonization factor and reveals a molecular link between competence and biofilm development.

Introduction

Bacteria form sessile communities termed biofilms, where they interact with each other to engage in collaborative and/or competitive behaviors [1]. In *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (pneumococcus), these cell-cell interactions are commonly mediated by secreted peptides that interact with both producing and neighboring cells of the same species, and induce changes in gene regulation that result in altered phenotypes [2]. These dynamic pneumococcal biofilms occur in chronic otitis media, chronic rhinosinusitis and nasopharyngeal colonization [3–8].

The ability to form biofilms is a critical component of pneumococcal disease [9]. Biofilms serve as reservoirs for acute infections [10]. In the middle ear, cells released from a biofilm are thought to be responsible for recurrent episodes of infection [4]. Bacterial cells released from nasopharyngeal biofilms can seed pneumococcal transmission between individuals by being incorporated into nasal shedding. Alternatively, these cells can disseminate to tissues causing mild to severe diseases, such as otitis media, pneumonia, and sepsis [10]. Pneumococcal cells released from biofilms display increased virulence relative to their planktonic or biofilm counterparts, suggesting that chronic biofilms set the stage for the stimulation of a virulence program activated upon the dispersal of cells [11]. Moreover, pneumococci in a biofilm display decreased susceptibility to antibiotics, and are recalcitrant to treatment [6]. Thus, biofilms are an important component of pneumococcal epidemiology in transmission, maintenance of asymptomatic colonization, and development of disease.

The transcriptional program required for the initiation and the growth of pneumococcal biofilms has been the subject of numerous investigations. It is clear that at least two quorum sensing (QS) signal transduction pathways are critical for biofilm development: competence and Lux [7], [12–15]. The competence pathway has been the subject of intense investigation for decades [16–28]. Competence is activated by a classic two-component system (TCS) where the extracellular competence stimulating peptide (CSP, encoded by *comC*) binds to the surface exposed ComD histidine kinase receptor, inducing its autophosphorylation and the subsequent transfer of the phosphate group to its cognate regulator, ComE [23], [29]. Activation of the competence pathway leads to increased expression of 5–10% of the pneumococcal genome

in two main waves of gene expression [21], [26]. The first wave of induction is carried out directly by ComE; it upregulates a subset of competence genes (early genes) that include *comAB*, *comCDE*, as well as the alternative sigma factor, *comX*. The second wave of competence induction is regulated by ComX; it leads to an increase in the levels of at least 80 genes (late genes), that subsequently modulate important phenotypes such as transformation, metabolism, fratricide and biofilm formation [16], [26], [30]. This competence program is upregulated during biofilm mode of growth *in vitro*, during interactions with human epithelial cells, and in lungs and brain after intranasal and intracranial challenges respectively in murine infection models [7], [12], [31]. Importantly, in cell culture models, *comC* is required for biofilm development [12], [14]. Thus, activation of the competence pathway is important for productive biofilm formation and critical for pneumococcal infection and adaptation.

The Lux QS system also plays a role in biofilm formation. In this system, Lux QS is controlled by the AI-2 autoinducer, which is secreted and sensed by both Gram-positive and Gram-negative species. LuxS is a node in the regulation of competence, fratricide, and biofilm development [15], [32]. Lux upregulates competence via ComD and ComX [13]. It contributes to bactericidal activity via upregulation of the choline binding murein hydrolase (CbpD). Through lysis, this bactericidal activity increases the levels of extracellular DNA, which is a key ingredient in the extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) that makes up the biofilm. Thus, the competence and Lux systems provide the molecular framework to coordinate multi-cellular bacterial communities to form and develop robust biofilms during infection.

Whereas the role of competence signaling in biofilm development is well established, the molecules that connect competence to biofilms are poorly understood [3], [7], [15], [33]. In this study, we identify one such molecule that links competence and biofilms. We characterize the gene encoding BriC (**B**iofilm **r**egulating peptide **i**nduced by **C**ompetence), a novel colonization factor in the competence pathway. Levels of *briC* are regulated by ComE, and *briC* promotes biofilm development and nasopharyngeal colonization. Further, genomic analysis of *briC* reveals polymorphisms in its promoter, where a subset of strains encode a RUP (for **r**epeat **u**nit of **p**neumococcus) sequence, which leads to additional and CSP-independent expression of *briC*.

Results

Identification of a competence-regulated Gly-Gly peptide

We have identified the gene encoding a putative secreted peptide that is co-regulated with competence (*spd_0391* (D39); *spr_0388* (R6); *sp_0429* (TIGR4)). Based on the results presented in this manuscript, we have termed it **B**iofilm **r**egulating peptide **i**nduced by **C**ompetence (BriC). BriC was identified in our previously described *in silico* screen designed to capture cell-cell communication peptides in the pneumococcal genome [34]. The known double glycine (Gly-Gly) streptococcal peptides are exported and proteolytically processed by dedicated ABC transporters that recognize N-terminal sequences with the Gly-Gly leader peptide (LSXXELX-XIXGG)[23]. In our previous work, we identified novel secreted pneumococcal peptides using computational analysis to search for proteins with N-termini that contain a Gly-Gly leader. Our input set consisted of the alleles of two exported Gly-Gly peptides, the signaling molecule CSP and the bacteriocin BIP [23], [35]. Our output consisted of a position dependent probability matrix that captures the length and positional variability at each residue of the Gly-Gly motif. When we searched for this motif in a database of sixty streptococcal genomes, we defined a predicted secretome consisting of twenty-five sequence clusters, one of which corresponds to BriC [34].

To identify genes co-regulated with *briC*, we performed transcriptional studies using a NanoString probe set that reports on the abundance of the *briC* transcript as well as transcripts encoding a subset of pneumococcal regulators and cell wall proteins. We assessed the levels of *briC* transcript *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *In vitro* expression was measured by screening RNA extracted from mid-log planktonic cultures of a laboratory strain (R6-derivative (R6D)). *In vivo* expression was evaluated by analysis of middle-ear effusions recovered from chinchillas infected with a clinical PMEN1 strain. The mRNA levels of *briC* were positively associated with *comC* and *comE* *in vitro* (strain R6D: $R^2 = 0.61$ and 0.79 , respectively) and *in vivo* (strain PN4595-T23: $R^2 = 0.92$ and 0.88 , respectively). It is noteworthy that changes in the expression of genes in this locus were observed in the studies that first documented the competence regulon [21], [26]. Specifically, Peterson and colleagues observed changes in *briC* levels, however the association between *briC* and CSP was below the statistical threshold [26]. Further, Dagkessamanskaia and colleagues observed an upregulation in the gene downstream of *briC*, predicted to be in the same operon [21]. Given that in strains R6, R6D, and D39, this downstream gene is truncated, this study does not explore the function of the downstream gene. In summary, our gene expression analysis suggests that *briC* is induced by competence.

To directly test whether *briC* is a competence-regulated peptide, we employed a fusion of the *briC* promoter to the *lacZ* reporter (R6 *PbriC-lacZ*). Stimulation of the signal transduction system that initiates competence by addition of CSP1 led to an induction of the β -galactosidase activity by about twenty-five-fold (Fig 1). Induction of the *briC* promoter was specific to the CSP phenotype encoded by strain R6. The β -galactosidase activity was observed upon addition of CSP1, the CSP phenotype from strain R6, but not upon addition of the non-cognate CSP2 phenotype (Fig 1). Thus, we conclude that *briC* is a competence-responsive gene.




Fig 1. Expression of *briC* is induced by cognate CSP. β -galactosidase assay measuring *PbriC-LacZ* activity in pneumococcal R6 cells grown to exponential phase in Columbia Broth at pH 6.6 followed by no treatment or treatment with CSP1 or CSP2 for 30 minutes. Y-axis denotes *PbriC-lacZ* expression levels in Miller Units. Activity is expressed in nmol p-nitrophenol/min/ml. Error bars represent standard error of the mean for biological replicates (at least $n = 3$); “ns” denotes non-significant, **** $p < 0.0001$ using ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007328.g001>

Levels of *briC* transcripts are directly regulated by ComE

Our *in silico* analysis of the *briC* promoter in strains R6 and R6D revealed the presence of a ComE-binding site. ComE binds a well-defined sequence consisting of two imperfect direct repeats of nine nucleotides separated by a gap of twelve or thirteen base pairs [36]. Our analysis of the putative *briC* promoter across pneumococcal strains revealed an excellent match to the ComE-binding box (Fig 2A). To further investigate the association between ComE and *briC*, we tested whether CSP-induction of *briC* requires ComE. We compared the CSP-induction of *briC* in a wild-type (R6D WT) strain to that of an isogenic *comE*-deletion mutant (R6D Δ *comE*), using qRT-PCR analysis. In WT cells, the addition of CSP triggered a significant increase in levels of *briC* at 10 minutes post-addition, with levels slowly decreasing by 15 minutes (Fig 2B). This trend follows the temporal pattern observed for the levels of *comE* that has been associated with genes under direct controls of ComE [21], [26]. In contrast, the transcript levels of *briC* were unaffected by CSP addition in the Δ *comE* strain, indicating that the expression of *briC* requires ComE (Fig 2B). These results strongly suggest that *briC* is directly regulated by ComE. In addition, ComE plays a critical role in controlling transformation, thus we investigated whether *briC* impacts transformation efficiency (S1 Result and S1 Fig). We find that absence of *briC* leads to only a minor decrease in transformation efficiency.

BriC plays a key role in biofilm development

To investigate whether expression of *briC* plays a role in biofilm development, we compared biofilm formation across WT (R6D WT), *briC* deletion mutant (R6D Δ *briC*), and *briC* complemented (R6D Δ *briC*::*briC*) strains grown on an abiotic surface at 24h and 72h post-seeding. No difference was observed in biofilm biomass and thickness at 24h post-seeding, suggesting that expression of *briC* does not contribute to early stages of biofilm formation (Fig 3A and 3B). In contrast, at 72h post-seeding, Δ *briC* biofilms displayed significantly reduced biomass and thickness when compared to WT (Fig 4A and 4B). Further, biofilms with Δ *briC*::*briC* cells restored the WT phenotype at this time-point (Fig 4A and 4B). The indistinguishable biofilm parameters of WT and Δ *briC* cells at 24h post-seeding suggests that there is no fitness-related growth difference between the strains and indicates that the biofilm defect is biologically relevant. These findings suggest that *briC* contributes to late biofilm development.

briC is widely distributed across pneumococcal strains

To investigate the prevalence of *briC*, we investigated its distribution across the genomes of pneumococcus and related streptococci. To place the distribution in the context of phylogeny, we used a published species tree generated from a set of fifty-five genomes [34], [38] (S1 Table). The genomes encompass thirty-five pneumococcal genomes that span twenty-nine multi-locus sequence types as well as eighteen serotypes and nontypeable strains; eighteen genomes from related streptococcal species that also colonize the human upper respiratory tract, namely *S. pseudopneumoniae*, *S. mitis*, and *S. oralis*; and finally, two distantly related *S. infantis* strains as an outgroup. In this set, all the pneumococcal genomes encode *briC*, and there are two highly similar alleles (labeled allele 1A and 1B, Figs 5 and 6). Further, we identified four additional alleles in the related streptococci (Fig 5, S1 File). Next, we extended this analysis to a set of 4,034 pneumococcal genomes available in the pubMLST database (these correspond to all the genomes with at least 2Mb of sequence) [39]. In total, 98.5% (3,976 out of 4,034) of these genomes encode a *briC* allele, suggesting *briC* is highly prevalent across pneumococcal strains. We find that alleles 1A and 1B are prominent in this larger set, with 1,824 and 1,187 representatives respectively. After manual curation, we retrieved nineteen distinct *briC* alleles across these pneumococcal genomes (Fig 6). Six of the polymorphic residues are




Fig 2. CSP-induction of *briC* is ComE-dependent. (A) Genomic organization of the *briC* locus, displaying a ComE-binding box. Green: ComE-binding box within the *briC* promoter region. The expanded region denotes a logo of ComE-binding box generated from thirty-four pneumococcal genomes represented in Fig 5. This consensus is aligned with the published ComE-binding box consensus sequence [36]. The putative -10 region, the transcription start site (TSS) as determined by Cappable-Seq [37], the ribosome binding site (RBS) and the transcriptional terminator are labeled. The downstream gene is predicted to be a pseudogene in R6D, R6 and D39. In TIGR4, this region encodes two coding sequences (SP_0430 and SP_0431). The R6D sequence corresponds to the C-terminal of SP_0430. (B) mRNA transcript levels of *briC* (solid black) and *comE* (dashed black lines) as measured by qRT-PCR in R6D WT & R6D $\Delta comE$ cells. Cells were grown in Columbia broth at pH 6.6 to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.3, and then treated with CSP1 for either 0', 10' or 15'. Data was normalized to 16S rRNA levels. Y-axis denotes normalized concentrations of mRNA levels in arbitrary fluorescence units as calculated from LinRegPCR. Error bars represent standard error of the mean calculated for biological replicates ($n = 3$); "ns" denotes non-

significant, * $p < 0.05$ using ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-test relative to the respective 0' CSP treatment. Further, *briC* levels are also significantly higher in WT relative to $\Delta comE$ cells for the same time points post-CSP treatment ($p < 0.05$).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007328.g002>

located in the putative leader sequence. The conserved region of the leader sequences corresponds to the amino acids preceding the Gly-Gly [23], [34], thus the polymorphisms at the N-terminal end of the BriC sequence are not predicted to influence export. One polymorphic residue replaces the glycine in the Gly-Gly motif with a serine; it seems probable that this variation may influence processing and/or export. In addition, position -2 from the C-terminus encodes either an alanine or a threonine. This variation is at the C-terminus, predicted to be the functional end of the molecule, such that the difference in size and polarity at this residue is likely to impact structure or binding of BriC to its targets. The *briC* gene is induced by competence, so we investigated whether there is a correlation between CSP phenotypes and alleles encoding BriC. However, we did not find these to be associated (S2 Table). Finally, to investigate the distribution of *briC* in other species and genera, we used BLASTp to search the non-redundant database [40]. We find that BriC homologs are present in strains of related streptococci, *S. pseudopneumoniae*, *S. mitis* and *S. oralis*, but we did not identify homologues in more distant species. The phylogenetic distribution of *briC* supports a conserved role across pneumococci and a subset of related streptococcal species.

Inter-strain differences in the *briC* promoter are associated with diverse regulation of *briC* in clinically important lineages

Our analysis of the promoter region of *briC* in our curated set of genomes reveals that a subset of strains encode for a 107bp insertion within the region upstream of *briC* (Fig 5). The




Fig 3. BriC does not influence early biofilm development. (A) Representative confocal microscopy images showing top view of the reconstructed biofilm stacks of WT, $\Delta briC$ and $\Delta briC::briC$ cells of strain R6D stained with SYTO59 dye at 24h. Images are pseudo-colored according to depth (scales shown). (B) COMSTAT2 quantification of 24h biofilm images. Y-axis denotes units of measurement: $\mu m^3/\mu m^2$ for biomass, and μm for maximum thickness and average thickness over biomass. Error bars represent standard error of the mean calculated for biological replicates ($n = 3$); "ns" denotes non-significant comparisons, **** $p < 0.0001$ using ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-test.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007328.g003>




Fig 4. BriC stimulates late biofilm development. (A) Representative confocal microscopy images showing top view of the reconstructed biofilm stacks of WT, $\Delta briC$ and $\Delta briC::briC$ cells of strain R6D stained with SYTO59 dye at 72h. Images are pseudo-colored according to depth (scales shown). (B) COMSTAT2 quantification of 72h biofilm images. Y-axis denotes units of measurement: $\mu m^3/\mu m^2$ for biomass, and μm for maximum thickness and average thickness over biomass. Error bars represent standard error of the mean calculated for biological replicates ($n = 3$); “ns” denotes non-significant comparisons, **** $p < 0.0001$ using ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007328.g004>

additional nucleotides are located after the ComE-binding site and before the transcriptional start site, and correspond to a repeat unit in pneumococcus (RUP) sequence [41], [42]. RUP is an insertion sequence derivative with two clear variants, which may still be mobile [42]. The RUP sequence upstream of *briC* corresponds to RUPB1.

In our curated genomes, the long RUP-encoding promoter is present in multiple strains, including those from the clinically important PMEN1 and PMEN14 lineages (Fig 5). Using our expanded database of 4,034 pneumococcal genomes, we determined that the vast majority of the PMEN1 and PMEN14 genomes encode a long promoter. Specifically, of the manually curated subset, 99.4% of PMEN1 strains and 100% of PMEN14 strains encode the long promoter. The high prevalence of the long promoter in these lineages suggests that this form was present in the ancestral strains from these lineages and/or provides a fitness advantage in these genomic backgrounds.

To investigate how this genomic difference influences *briC* expression, we generated a LacZ reporter strain. The 263bp upstream of *briC* from the PMEN1 strain, PN4595-T23, were fused to *lacZ* to produce the *PbriC_{long}-lacZ* reporter, and its reporter activity was compared to that of the *PbriC-lacZ* generated with the fusion of 159bp upstream of *briC* obtained from strain R6. To control for the possibility that the influence of the RUP sequence might be strain-dependent, we tested these reporter constructs in the R6 and the PMEN1 backgrounds, in both the absence and presence of CSP treatment (Fig 7A and 7B). The presence of RUP dramatically increased the basal levels of *briC* in the absence of CSP, and this increase was observed in both R6 and PMEN1. Furthermore, both constructs were induced upon addition of the cognate CSP. These findings suggest that the RUP sequence serves as an expression enhancer; it




Fig 5. Distribution of the genomic region encoding BriC across streptococcal strains. Distribution of *briC* alleles in fifty-five streptococcal genomes. The *briC* alleles are visualized against a maximum likelihood tree of streptococcal genomes generated from the core genome, where the numbers on the branches represent bootstrap values. Different species in the tree are color-coded as follows: *S. pneumoniae* (blue), *S. pseudopneumoniae* (pink), *S. mitis* (green), *S. oralis* (beige), and *S. infantis* (grey). The shapes at the tip of the branches illustrate *briC* alleles. Types 1A and 1B represent variants of the alleles widespread across pneumococcal strains; types 3–5 denotes alleles outside the species. The red tick denotes strains that have a long *briC* promoter due to a RUP insertion. In PMEN1 strains, this variant leads to an increase in basal levels of *briC* in a CSP-independent manner.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007328.g005>

increases the levels of *briC* transcripts and this increase is CSP-independent. Thus, in some lineages, *briC* appears to be under the control of both CSP-dependent and CSP-independent regulation.

Expression of *briC* driven by the long promoter bypasses the impact of competence induction in biofilm development

Next, we investigated the biological impact of the natural variations in the *briC* promoter on biofilm development. It has been well established that competence promotes biofilm development. Specifically, deletion of the *comC* (encodes CSP) and *comD* (encodes histidine kinase of competence TCS) genes lead to a reduction in *in vitro* biofilms in strains D39 and TIGR4 [7], [12]. In this study, we have established that *briC* also promotes biofilm development (Fig 4A and 4B), and that the RUP-containing long promoter serves as an expression enhancer, increasing basal expression of *briC* to levels higher than the CSP-dependent induction observed with the short promoter (Fig 7). Thus, we hypothesized that expression of *briC* from the long promoter may bypass the impact of competence in biofilm development.

In concurrence with previous work, we observed that a strain with a *comE* deletion (R6DΔ*comE*) displays a reduction in biofilm biomass and thickness relative to the WT strain (Fig 8A and 8B). ComE influences the expression of numerous genes. To determine whether the biofilm defects were primarily due to its impact on *briC* induction, we tested a construct with a disruption of the ComE-binding box in the *briC* promoter (R6DΔ*briC*::P*briC*_{Shuffled}^{ComE-box}-*briC*). This strain displays a significant reduction in biofilm biomass and thickness relative to the WT strain (Fig 8A and 8B). Moreover, no difference was observed in the biofilm parameters for both of these mutants, suggesting that the absence of *briC* expression is a contributor to the *in vitro* biofilm defect in the *comE* deletion mutant. Next, we determined that a strain with increased basal levels of *briC* driven by the RUP-containing long promoter



Fig 6. Alignment of pneumococcal BriC alleles. Alignment of 19 BriC alleles identified in the database of 4,034 pneumococcal genomes. Alleles are labeled 1A–1S followed by the number of representatives in the database (total 3,976). Sequences are colored based on percent identity to highlight the variability between alleles. Black arrow denotes the predicted cleavage site.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007328.g006>




Fig 7. Long *briC* promoter is associated with an increase in the basal levels of *briC*. β -galactosidase assay comparing the LacZ activity of the R6 (short promoter, *PbriC-lacZ*) and PN4595-T23 (long promoter with RUP, *PbriC_{long}-lacZ*) promoters. Both promoter activities were tested in (A) strain R6 and (B) strain PN4595-T23. Cells were grown in Columbia broth at pH 6.6 until mid-log phase, followed by either no treatment or treatment with CSP for 30 minutes. Y-axis denotes promoter activity in Miller Units expressed in nmol p-nitrophenol/min/ml. Error bars represent standard error of the mean for biological replicates ($n = 3$); ** $p < 0.01$, & **** $p < 0.0001$ using ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-test.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007328.g007>

(R6D Δ *comE*::*PbriC_{long}-briC*) fully rescued the biofilm defect observed in R6D Δ *comE* (Fig 8A and 8B). In addition, increased expression of *briC* in the wild type background (R6D WT::*PbriC_{long}-briC*) did not lead to a significant increase in biofilm biomass and thickness relative to the wild type (Fig 8A and 8B). Together, these data strongly suggest that *briC* is a critical molecular link between competence and biofilm formation, and that natural variations in the *briC* promoter are physiologically relevant.

ComAB plays a role in secretion of the protein encoded by *briC*

Since BriC is associated with the competence pathway and is able to rescue the biofilm defects associated with competence signaling, we investigated whether competence associated transporters play a role in exporting BriC. In pneumococcus, the ComAB and BlpAB C39-peptidase transporters export peptides with a Gly-Gly leader [43–45]. These transporters recognize the N-terminal leader of target sequences, and cleave these sequences at the Gly-Gly motif [45], [46]. In strains R6 and R6D, BlpAB is not functional due to a frameshift mutation that leads to an early stop codon [47]. Thus, we hypothesized that as a Gly-Gly peptide co-expressed with genes of the competence pathway, BriC may be exported via the ComAB transporter. We tested this hypothesis in two ways. First, we measured whether deletion of *comAB* influences the ability of a strain with competence-independent expression of *briC* to rescue the Δ *comE*-




Fig 8. BriC plays a pivotal role in regulating biofilm development. (A) Representative confocal microscopy images showing top view of the reconstructed biofilm stacks of WT, $\Delta comE$, $\Delta briC::PbriC_{Shuffled\ ComE-box}-briC$, $\Delta comE::PbriC_{long}-briC$ and WT::PbriC_{long}-briC cells of strain R6D stained with SYTO59 dye at 72h. Images are pseudo-colored according to depth (scale shown). (B) COMSTAT2 quantification of 72h biofilm images. Y-axis denotes units of measurement: $\mu m^3/\mu m^2$ for biomass, and μm for maximum thickness and average thickness over biomass. Error bars represent standard error of the mean calculated for biological replicates (at least $n = 3$); “ns” denotes non-significant comparisons, and **** $p < 0.0001$ using ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007328.g008>

biofilm defect. Second, we compared secretion of a BriC reporter construct in a WT strain with that in a *comAB* deletion mutant.

Our biofilm data suggests that ComAB plays a role in transporting BriC. At 72h post-seeding, a *comE/comAB*-double deletion mutant strain expressing *briC* from the RUP-encoding long promoter (R6D $\Delta comE\Delta comAB::PbriC_{long}-briC$) displayed a biofilm with reduced biomass and thickness when compared to the equivalent construct in only a *comE*-deletion background (R6D $\Delta comE::PbriC_{long}-briC$) (Fig 9A and 9B). However, the biofilm levels were not reduced to the levels observed in the $\Delta comE$ strain. These results suggest that under these conditions, ComAB may not be the only transporter that contributes to the export of BriC.

To further elucidate the role of ComAB in the export of BriC, we employed the HiBiT tag detection system, which was recently used to detect secretion of BlnI [44]. The HiBiT tag corresponds to an 11-residue peptide. The assay works by addition of an inactive form of luciferase (LgBit) to the extracellular milieu. When both LgBit and HiBiT combine, they generate bioluminescence [48], [49]. To study BriC transport, we fused the putative BriC leader sequence to the HiBiT tag and expressed this reporter under the control of the native (short)




Fig 9. ComAB plays a role in the export of BriC. (A) Representative confocal microscopy images showing top view of the reconstructed biofilm stacks of WT, $\Delta comE$, $\Delta comE::PbriC_{long}-briC$ and $\Delta comE \Delta comAB::PbriC_{long}-briC$ cells of strain R6D stained with SYTO59 dye at 72h. Images are pseudo-colored according to depth (scale shown). (B) COMSTAT2 quantification of 72h biofilm images. Y-axis denotes units of measurement: $\mu m^3/\mu m^2$ for biomass, and μm for maximum thickness and average thickness over biomass. Error bars represent standard error of the mean calculated for biological replicates (at least $n = 3$). (C) Extracellular Nano-Glo HiBiT activity of the BriC reporter produced by WT and $\Delta comAB$ cells (whole cells). The HiBiT activity was measured by recording luminescence with an integration time of 2000 milliseconds. Error bars represent standard deviation calculated for biological replicates ($n = 3$); “ns” denotes non-significant comparisons, *** $p < 0.001$, and **** $p < 0.0001$ using ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007328.g009>

briC promoter in WT and $\Delta comAB$ R6-strains. We measured the extracellular bioluminescence produced by this reporter both in the presence and absence of CSP (Fig 9C, S3 Table). In the absence of CSP, the levels of secreted HiBiT resembled that of background (WT cells without HiBiT), consistent with very low expression of N-terminal BriC-HiBiT as well as low expression of the ComAB transporter. In the WT background, upon addition of CSP, N-terminal BriC-HiBiT is induced and the extracellular level of HiBiT is significantly increased, consistent with HiBiT export. In the $\Delta comAB$ background, upon addition of CSP, N-terminal BriC-HiBiT is induced and the extracellular levels of HiBiT also increase. However, the increase in the level of extracellular HiBiT observed in $\Delta comAB$ strain is significantly less than that in the WT strain, consistent with a severe reduction in HiBiT export in the absence of

comAB. Combined, these results strongly suggest that ComAB serves as a major transporter for BriC.

BriC is important for *in vivo* colonization

During nasopharyngeal colonization, pneumococci form biofilms and upregulate the competence pathway. Thus, we investigated the role of *briC* in nasopharyngeal colonization using an experimental murine colonization model. Our *in vitro* investigations have been performed using strain R6D, which is defective in colonization due to the absence of a capsule [50]. Thus, we performed colonization experiments with the serotype 2 strain, D39, which is the ancestor of strain R6 [51]. Mice were colonized with D39 WT, the *briC*-deletion mutant (D39 Δ *briC*) or the *briC*-complemented (D39 Δ *briC*::*briC*) strains. Comparison of the number of bacteria in nasal lavages immediately after inoculation revealed that mice in the three cohorts received the same number of bacteria. In contrast, nasal lavages at three and seven days post-inoculation revealed decreased levels of D39 Δ *briC* relative to WT in the nasal wash (Fig 10A). Furthermore, the WT levels were restored in the complemented strain (Fig 10A). These findings indicate that *briC* encodes a novel colonization factor.

In *in vitro* biofilms, *briC* links competence to biofilms. First, disruption of the ComE-binding box in the *briC* promoter led to a biofilm defect similar to that observed in a Δ *comE* strain. Second, overexpression of *briC* driven by the long version of the promoter was found to restore the competence-dependent defect in *in vitro* biofilm development. Thus, we investigated the behavior of these strains in the pneumococcus colonization model. We found that the strain with a disruption of the ComE-binding box (D39 Δ *briC*::*PbriC*_{Shuffled ComE-box}-*briC*) within the *briC* promoter was defective for colonization, the decreased bacterial counts resembled those in the D39 Δ *comE* strain (Fig 10B). These findings suggest that *briC* is a substantial mediator of the role of ComE on colonization. Further, addition of this long *briC* promoter to Δ *comE* cells (D39 Δ *comE*::*PbriC*_{long}-*briC*) partially rescues the colonization defect of the D39 Δ *comE* strain. That is, the numbers of bacterial cells of strain D39 Δ *comE*::*PbriC*_{long}-*briC* recovered from the nasal lavages at both three and seven days post-inoculation were significantly higher than the numbers of D39 Δ *comE* cells recovered, but less than that of the D39 WT (Fig 10B). Finally, the overexpression of *briC* in the WT background (D39 WT::*PbriC*_{long}-*briC*) does not impact colonization. Thus, we conclude that BriC is a contributor to the competence-induced stimulation of nasopharyngeal colonization observed in strain D39. Further, natural variations leading to a long *briC* promoter appear to dampen the impact of competence in colonization.

Discussion

An important component of pneumococcal pathogenesis is its ability to form complex biofilm structures. Pneumococci in a biofilm mode of growth display decreased sensitivity to antibiotics and increased resistance to host immune responses [6]. These properties make the bacteria recalcitrant to treatment and highlight the need to better understand the molecular mechanisms that drive biofilm development. Activation of the competence pathway is critical for biofilm development. Previous *in vitro* studies have demonstrated that while cell-adherence and early biofilm formation are competence-independent, an intact competence system is required in the later stages of biofilm development. It was shown that the competence pathway positively influences structure and stability of late stage biofilms [12]. However, the molecules downstream of competence activation by ComDE that regulate biofilm development remain poorly understood. In this study, we present BriC, a previously uncharacterized peptide, that




Fig 10. BriC contributes to pneumococcal colonization of the mouse nasopharynx. CD1 mice were infected intranasally with 20 μ l PBS containing approximately 1×10^5 CFU of (A) WT (grey circles), Δ *briC* (orange squares), and Δ *briC::briC* (black triangles) (B) WT (grey circles), Δ *comE* (blue diamonds), and Δ *comE::PbriC_{long}-briC* (black crosses), Δ *briC::PbriC_{shuffled} ComE-box-briC* (yellow triangles), and WT::*PbriC_{long}-briC* (green circles) cells of the pneumococcal strain D39. At predetermined time points (0, 3 & 7 days post-infection), at least five mice were culled, and the pneumococcal counts in the nasopharyngeal washes were enumerated by plating on blood agar. Y-axis represents Log₁₀ counts of CFU recovered from nasal washes. X-axis represents days post-inoculation. Each data point represents the mean of data from at least five mice. Error bars show the standard error of the mean. **** $p < 0.0001$ relative to the WT strain, and # $p < 0.0001$ relative to the Δ *comE* strain, calculated using ANOVA and Tukey post-test.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007328.g010>

we show is regulated by competence and plays a role in promoting biofilm development and nasopharyngeal colonization.

We have presented extensive evidence that *briC* is a competence regulated gene. We have shown that induction of *briC* is triggered by addition of CSP and requires ComE. Further, we have also shown that the *briC* promoter encodes the consensus ComE-binding box, and that *briC* expression follows the temporal pattern described for genes directly regulated by ComE. Previous studies have used microarray analysis to identify pneumococcal genes differentially regulated upon CSP stimulation [21], [26] and have categorized these genes into two main groups—early genes regulated by ComE or late genes regulated by the alternative sigma factor, ComX. In the study by Peterson and colleagues, *briC* was found to be upregulated in a pattern consistent with early genes [26]. However, the upregulation was not found to be statistically significant, and this study is the first validation of *briC* as a competence-regulated peptide.

We have provided evidence that *briC* stimulates biofilm development on abiotic surfaces and promotes nasopharyngeal colonization in a murine model. These findings are consistent with studies that show that pneumococcal biofilms contribute to colonization. Colonization of the upper respiratory tract is a requisite for pneumococcal dissemination to distant anatomical sites and subsequent disease [10]. These sessile communities serve as a source of pneumococcal cells with an activated virulence-associated transcription program. That is, when compared to cells originating from a planktonic mode of growth, those originating from a biofilm mode of growth are more likely to cause disease upon infecting other tissues [11]. In this manner, increased biofilm development likely heightens the risk of disease. Biofilms and competence are also associated with transformation efficiency. We have observed a mild but significant decrease in the transformation efficiency of *briC*-deletion mutants relative to WT R6D cells (S1 Fig). Finally, colonization of the upper respiratory tract is also a reservoir for pneumococcal transmission. Transmission occurs when cells migrate from the nasopharynx of one host to that of another. Thus, BriC's contribution to colonization may influence both disease severity and transmission.

While it has been established that CSP contributes to biofilm development, the competence-dependent genes that regulate biofilm development are not well understood [7], [12]. Our finding that increased levels of *briC* can fully rescue biofilm defects from a *comE* deletion mutant *in vitro*, and partially rescue its colonization defects *in vivo* suggests that *briC* expression may bypass the requirement for competence in biofilm development. ComE is a key regulator of competence whose activity is required to regulate approximately 5–10% of the genome, and as such deletion of *comE* is expected to have substantial global consequences [21], [26]. In this context, it is noteworthy that overexpression of one gene (*briC*) in the *comE*-deletion mutant was able to improve colonization in the murine model. These findings strongly suggested that BriC is a molecular link between competence, biofilm development, and colonization.

Our data suggests that many strains have multiple inputs to the regulation of *briC*. Shared across all strains is the regulation by ComE, the key regulator of the competence pathway. Competence is responsive to environmental cues, such as changes in cell density, pH,

mutational burden in cells, and exposure to antibiotics [16], [52–54]. Conversely, competence is inhibited by the degradation of CSP via the activity of the CiaHR TCS and the serine protease, HtrA [55], [56]. Factors altering competence will also alter *briC* levels due to its competence-dependent induction. Our comparative genomics suggest that a subset of pneumococcal lineages may encode an additional *briC*-regulatory element. Specifically, the *briC* promoter differs across strains, in that a subset of lineages encodes a long promoter with a RUP sequence (*PbriC_{long}*) and has higher basal levels of *briC* expression. This long promoter is constitutively active, even when competence is off.

The long promoter is encoded in the vast majority of strains from the PMEN1 lineage (Spanish-USA) and the PMEN14 (Taiwan-19F) lineages. These lineages are prominent in the clinical setting; they are multi-drug resistant and pandemic [57–59]. This additional competence-independent regulation of the long promoter may provide promoter-binding sites for additional regulators or reflect consequences of positional differences for the existing promoter binding sites. Our biofilm and colonization experiments suggest that encoding the long *briC* promoter has functional consequences. We conclude that the response of *briC* to competence is ubiquitous, but that additional lineage-specific factors influence *briC* regulation and downstream phenotypic consequences.

We propose a model where *briC* encodes a signaling molecule with a role in biofilm development and colonization. First, the transcription of *briC* is induced by ComE through competence signal transduction pathway in all lineages, and possibly by additional regulator(s) in a subset of lineages. Once this Gly-Gly peptide is produced, we propose that it is exported through ABC transporters, a process in which ComAB plays a prominent role. Based on a bioinformatic comparison with other Gly-Gly peptides we suggest that BriC is cleaved into its active form (BRIC) during export. It is tempting to speculate that BRIC is a new member of the expanding set of pneumococcal secreted peptides that signal to neighboring cells promoting population-level behaviors. In this era of emerging antibiotic resistance, it is imperative that we test the potential of alternative strategies to inhibit bacterial carriage and disease. One such strategy is to specifically target bacterial communities and population-level behaviors. In that regard, molecules such as BriC present promising alternatives to be used as targets for discovery of novel drugs and therapeutic interventions.

Materials & methods

Bacterial strains & growth conditions

Four wild-type (WT) *Streptococcus pneumoniae* strains were used for this experimental work. The majority of studies were performed on a penicillin-resistant derivative of R6 (R6D); this strain was generated from a cross where parental strain R6 was recombined with Hungary19A and the recombinant was selected for penicillin resistance [60]. The *briC* allele in R6D is identical to the allele present in the parental R6. This laboratory strain is non-encapsulated and does not colonize mice, thus mice colonization experiments were performed with the serotype 2 D39 strains (GenBank CP000410)[61]. The D39 strain contains the same *briC* allele as is present in the R6D strain, which has been used for most of the work in this study. Finally, for a representative of PMEN1, we used the carriage isolate, PN4595-T23 (GenBank ABXO01) graciously provided by Drs. Alexander Tomasz and Herminia deLancastre [62].

Colonies were grown from frozen stocks by streaking on TSA-II agar plates supplemented with 5% sheep blood (BD BBL, New Jersey, USA). Colonies were then used to inoculate fresh Columbia broth (Remel Microbiology Products, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ without shaking. When noted, colonies were inoculated into acidic

Columbia broth prepared by adjusting the pH of Columbia broth to 6.6 using 1M HCl. Acidic pH was used to inhibit the endogenous activation of competence.

Construction of mutants

The mutant strains (R6D Δ *briC* and PN4595 Δ *briC*) were constructed by using site-directed homologous recombination to replace the region of interest with erythromycin-resistance gene (*ermB*) or kanamycin-resistance gene (*kan*), respectively (S4 Table). The *kan* and spectinomycin-resistance gene (*aad9*) were used to construct Δ *comE* strains in R6D and PN4595-T23 respectively. Briefly, the transformation construct was generated by assembling the amplified flanking regions and antibiotic resistance cassettes. ~2kb of flanking regions upstream and downstream of the gene of interest was amplified from parental strains by PCR using Q5 2x Master Mix (New England Biolabs, USA). The antibiotic resistance genes, *kan* and *aad9* were amplified from *kan-rpsL* Janus Cassette and pR412, respectively (provided by Dr. Donald A. Morrison), and *ermB* was amplified from *S. pneumoniae* SV35-T23. SV35-T23 is resistant to erythromycin because of the insertion of a mobile element containing *ermB* [62]. These PCR fragments were then assembled together by sticky-end ligation of restriction enzyme-cut PCR products. The deletion mutant in R6D is an overexpressor of the downstream peptide (*spr_0389*).

The *briC* complement and overexpressor strains were generated using constructs containing the CDS of *briC* along with either its entire native promoter region or overexpressing promoter respectively, ligated at its 3' end with a kanamycin resistance cassette. The promoters used to overexpress *briC* included either the constitutive *amiA* promoter, or *PbriC_{long}*. These were assembled with the amplified flanking regions by Gibson Assembly using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit. The construct was introduced in the genome of R6D downstream of the *bga* region (without modifying *bga*), a commonly employed site [63]. Primers used to generate the constructs are listed in S5 Table. Like R6D Δ *briC*, R6D Δ *briC*::*briC* is also an overexpressor of the downstream peptide (*spr_0389*), which is annotated as a pseudogene in strains R6D, R6 and D39 (Fig 2A). The expression of *spr_0389* remains unchanged in the mutant and the complement (expression of *spr_0389* was induced by 5-6-fold in both the mutant and the complement relative to WT).

The R6D Δ *comE*::*PbriC_{long}*-*briC* strain was constructed by replacing *comE* with spectinomycin resistant cassette in the R6D *PbriC_{long}*-*briC* strain. The *comAB*-deletion mutant in a *briC* overexpressor R6D genomic background strain (R6D Δ *comAB*::*PbriC_{long}*-*briC*) was constructed by transforming the R6D::*PbriC_{long}*-*briC* strain with the genomic DNA of ADP226. ADP226 is a strain from the D39 genomic background with *comAB* replaced by erythromycin resistance cassette. To make the construct, the flanking regions and erythromycin resistance cassette were amplified, and then assembled together by sticky-end ligation of restriction enzyme-cut PCR products. The construct was then transformed into D39 ADP225 and selected on Columbia blood agar supplemented with 0.25 μ g mL⁻¹ erythromycin (S4 Table).

The *briC* promoter region was modified by shuffling the ComE-binding box (R6D Δ *briC*::*PbriC_{Shuffled ComE-box}*-*briC*). The ComE-binding box was shuffled using PCR by amplifying from R6D Δ *briC*::*briC* and introducing the shuffled sequence (CAGACCAGTTAGTCTAGGATAGAGCTTAAG) into the primers. The resulting amplicons were assembled using Gibson Assembly. The modified construct was transformed into R6D Δ *briC* strain in the region downstream of the *bgaA* gene.

The D39 *briC* deletion mutant (D39 Δ *briC*), *briC* complemented (D39 Δ *briC*::*briC*), *comE* deletion mutant (D39 Δ *comE*), *briC* overexpressor in *comE* deletion background (D39 Δ *comE*::*PbriC_{long}*-*briC*), and *briC* expressed from a promoter with a shuffled ComE-binding

box (R6D Δ briC::PbriC_{Shuffled} ComE-box-briC) strains were generated by transformation with the corresponding constructs amplified from R6D into strain D39.

Construction of *lacZ* fusions

Chromosomal transcriptional *lacZ*-fusions to the target promoters were generated to assay promoter activity. These *lacZ*-fusions were generated via double crossover homologous recombination event in the *bgaA* gene using modified integration plasmid pPP2. pPP2 was modified by introducing *kan* in the multiple cloning site, in a direction opposite to *lacZ*. The modified pPP2 was transformed into *E. coli* TOP10. The putative *briC* promoter regions were amplified from R6 and PN4595-T23 strains, and modified to contain KpnI and XbaI restriction sites, which were then assembled in the modified pPP2 plasmid by sticky-end ligation of the enzyme digested products. These plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* TOP10 strain, and selected on LB (Miller's modification, Alfa Aesar, USA) plates, supplemented with ampicillin (100 μ g/ml). These plasmids were then purified by using E.Z.N.A. Plasmid DNA Mini Kit II (OMEGA bio-tek, USA), and transformed into pneumococcal strains R6 and PN4595-T23 and selected on Columbia agar plates supplemented with kanamycin (150 μ g/ml).

Bacterial transformations

For all bacterial transformations to generate mutants, target strains (R6D or D39) were grown in acidic Columbia broth, and 1 μ g of transforming DNA along with 125 μ g/mL of CSP1 (sequence: EMRLSKFFRDFILQRKK; purchased from GenScript, NJ, USA) was added to them when the cultures reached an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05, followed by incubation at 37°C. After 2 hours, the treated cultures were plated on Columbia agar plates containing the appropriate antibiotic; erythromycin (2 μ g/ml), or kanamycin (150 μ g/ml). Resistant colonies were cultured in selective media, and the colonies confirmed using PCR. Bacterial strains generated in this study are listed in [S4 Table](#).

For transformation efficiency experiments, R6D strain was grown in acidic Columbia broth until it reached an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05. At this point, number of viable cells was counted by plating serial dilutions on TSA-blood agar plates. Transformations were carried out by adding either 100ng or 500ng of transforming DNA in the media supplemented with 125 μ g/mL of CSP1 and incubated at 37°C for 30mins. For transforming DNA, we used either genomic DNA or PCR products. The donor DNA contained spectinomycin-resistance gene (*aad9*) in the inert genomic region between *spr_0515* and *spr_0516*. This construct was generated in PN4595-T23, spec^R, followed by its amplification and transformation into R6D and Taiwan-19F strains (Sp3063-00). The genomic DNA was extracted from Taiwan-19F, spec^R strain. The purified linear DNA was an amplicon of the region from R6D. After 30 minutes, the cultures were plated on Columbia agar plates containing spectinomycin (100 μ g/ml), incubated overnight, and colonies were counted the next day.

RNA extraction

RNA extraction consists of sample collection, pneumococcal cell lysis, and purification of RNA. For qRT-PCR analysis, the strains (R6D and R6D Δ comE) were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.3 in acidic Columbia broth, followed by CSP1 treatment for 0, 10, or 15 minutes. For *in vitro* transcriptomic analysis using NanoString Technology, the R6D strain was grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.1 in Columbia broth (in one experimental set, the samples were grown in sub-lethal concentration of penicillin (0.8 μ g/ml) for an hour). RNA was collected in RNALater (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) to preserve RNA quality and pelleted. For the *in vivo* experiments, the RNA was extracted from middle-ear chinchilla effusions infected with PN4595-T23 and

PN4595-T23 Δ *comE* strains and preserved by flash freezing the effusion. In all the samples, the pneumococcal cell lysis was performed by re-suspending the cell pellet in an enzyme cocktail (2mg/ml proteinase K, 10mg/ml lysozyme, and 20 μ g/ml mutanolysin), followed by bead beating with glass beads (0.5mm Zirconia/Silica) in FastPrep-24 Instrument (MP Biomedicals, USA). Finally, RNA was isolated using the RNeasy kit (Genesee Scientific, USA) following manufacturer's instructions. For analysis with the NanoString, which does not require pure DNA, the output from the RNeasy kit was loaded on the machine without further processing. For analysis using qRT-PCR, contaminant DNA was removed by treating with DNase (2U/ μ L) at 37°C for at least 45 mins. The RNA concentration was measured by NanoDrop 2000c spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and its integrity was confirmed on gel electrophoresis. The purity of the RNA samples was confirmed by the absence of a DNA band on an agarose gel obtained upon running the PCR products for the samples amplified for *gapdh*.

NanoString Technology for transcriptional analysis

nCounter Analysis System from NanoString Technology provides a highly sensitive platform to measure gene expression both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, as previously described [64]. Probes used in this study were custom-designed by NanoString Technology, and included housekeeping genes *gyrB* and *metG*, which were used as normalization controls. 5 μ L of extracted RNA samples were hybridized onto the nCounter chip following manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration ranged from 80-200ng/ μ L for *in vivo* samples, and between 60-70ng total RNA for *in vitro* samples. A freely available software from manufacturers, nSolver, was used for quality assessment of the data, and normalization. The RNA counts were normalized against the geometric mean of *gyrB* and *metG* [65], [66]. The 16S rRNA gene is not optimal for normalization in the NanoString, as the high abundance of this transcript packs the field of view. Pearson's Correlation Coefficient was used to estimate correlation in the expression levels of different genes.

qRT-PCR for transcriptional analysis

High quality RNA was used as a template for first-strand cDNA synthesis SuperScript VILO synthesis kit (Invitrogen). The resulting product was then directly used for qRT-PCR using PerfeCTa SYBR Green SuperMix (Quantabio, USA) in an Applied Biosystems 7300 Instrument (Applied Biosystems, USA). 16S rRNA counts were used for normalization. The raw data was then run through LinregPCR for expression data analysis, where the output expression data is displayed in arbitrary fluorescence units (N_0) that represent the starting RNA amount for the test gene in that sample [67], [68]. Fold-change relative to WT was then calculated for each individual experiment.

β -galactosidase assay

β -galactosidase assays were performed as previously described [69] using cells that were grown in acidic Columbia broth to exponential phase. Cells were either left untreated, or independently treated with CSP1 (EMRLSKFFRDFILQRKK) or CSP2 (EMRISRIILDFLFLRKK) (Genescript, USA) for 30 minutes and processed for analysis.

Biofilm formation assay

Pneumococcal cultures grown in Columbia broth were used to seed biofilms on abiotic surfaces. When the cultures reached an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05, each bacterial strain was seeded on 35MM glass bottom culture dishes (MatTek Corporation, USA). To promote biofilm growth, the

plates were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Every 24 hours, the supernatant was carefully aspirated, followed by addition of the same volume of pre-warmed Columbia broth at one-fifth concentration. The biofilm samples were fixed at two time-points: 24 and 72h. For fixing, the supernatants were carefully aspirated, and biofilms were washed thrice with PBS to remove non-adherent and/or weakly adherent bacteria. Subsequently, biofilms were fixed with 4% PFA (Electron Microscopy Sciences), washed three times with PBS, and prepared for confocal microscopy.

Confocal microscopy & quantification of biofilms

Fixed biofilms were stained with SYTO59 Nucleic Acid Stain (Life Technologies, USA) for 30 minutes, washed three times, and preserved in PBS buffer for imaging. Confocal microscopy was performed on the stage of Carl Zeiss LSM-880 META FCS, using 561nm laser line for SYTO59 dye. Stack were captured every 0.46 μm, imaged from the bottom to the top of the stack until cells were visible, and reconstructed in Carl Zeiss black edition and ImageJ. The different biofilm parameters (biomass, maximum thickness, and average thickness over biomass) were quantified using COMSTAT2 plug-in available for ImageJ [70]. For depiction of representative reconstructed Z-stacks, empty slices were added to the images so the total number of slices across all the samples were the same. These reconstructed stacks were pseudo-colored according to depth using Carl Zeiss black edition. The color levels of the images being used for representation purposes were adjusted using GNU Image Manipulation Program (GIMP).

Testing peptide secretion using the Nano-Glo HiBiT extracellular detection system

HiBiT constructs were designed by fusing the C-terminus of the region of interest with the 11-amino acid HiBiT peptide using a 10-amino acid linker. The region of interest was the putative secretion signal (until the double glycine) of the *briC* gene. The expression of these constructs was designed to be controlled by the *briC* promoter region. The construct was introduced in the genome of R6D and R6DΔ*comAB* strains downstream of the *bgaA* gene (without modifying *bgaA*).

R6D strains containing HiBiT constructs were started from overnight blood agar plates into acidic Columbia broth (pH 6.6) and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ without shaking. Cultures were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.2. Cultures were either left untreated or treated with 125 μg/mL of CSP1 for 30 minutes, followed by measuring optical density at 600nm. Cells were pelleted by centrifuging the cultures for 5 minutes at 3700×g. The resulting supernatants were removed and filtered through 0.2 μm syringe filters. The cell pellets were resuspended in equal volume of PBS. To obtain cell lysate, triton X-100 was added to 1ml of the resuspended cells to a final concentration of 1%. Additionally, to minimize non-specific binding, triton X-100 was also added to 1ml of the filtered supernatant to a final concentration of 1%. 75 μl of the supernatant, whole cells, lysates, were added to a Costar96 well flat white tissue culture treated plates and mixed with an equal volume of the Nano-Glo Extracellular Detection System reagent as specified in the manufacturer's instructions. Additionally, media and PBS samples were used as controls. Reactions were incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes followed by measuring luminescence on a Tecan Spark with an integration time of 2000 milliseconds.

In vivo transcriptomic analysis using chinchilla OM model

All chinchilla experiments were conducted with the approval of Allegheny-Singer Research Institute (ASRI) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) A3693-01/1000. Research grade young adult chinchillas (*Chinchilla lanigera*) weighing 400-600g were acquired

from R and R Chinchilla Inc., Ohio. Chinchillas were maintained in BSL2 facilities and experiments were done under subcutaneously injected ketamine-xylazine anesthesia (1.7mg/kg animal weight for each). Chinchillas were infected with 100 CFUs in 100 μ L of *S. pneumoniae* PN4595-T23 by transbullar inoculation within each middle ear. For RNA extraction, chinchillas were euthanized 48h post-inoculation of pneumococcus, and a small opening was generated through the bulla to access the middle ear cavity. Effusions were siphoned out from the middle ear and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen to preserve the bacterial RNA. Animals were euthanized by administering an intra-cardiac injection of 1 mL potassium chloride after regular sedation.

Murine colonization model

The role of *briC* in experimental pneumococcal colonization was assessed as previously described [71], [72]. For this, 10 weeks old female CD1 mice (Charles River), weighing approximately 30-35g were anesthetized with 2.5% isoflurane over oxygen (1.5 to 2 liter/min), and administered intranasally with approximately 1×10^5 CFU/mouse in 20 μ L PBS. At predetermined time intervals, a group of 5 mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, and the nasopharyngeal lavage of each animal was obtained using 500 μ L PBS. The pneumococci in nasopharyngeal wash were enumerated by plating the serial dilutions onto blood agar plates.

Statistical tests

The statistical differences among different groups were calculated by performing ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-test, unless stated otherwise. p-values of less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Distribution of *briC* across streptococcal strains

To identify *briC* homologs we used tBLASTn with default parameters on the RAST database to search the genome sequences of all fifty-five strains. Predicted protein sequences were downloaded as well as nucleotide sequences for the *briC* homolog and 1500-bp flanking regions surrounding the *briC* homolog. Predicted protein sequences for BriC were aligned using NCBI Cobalt [73] and visualized using Jalview [74]. One sequence (CDC3059-06) appeared to have a frame-shift after a string of guanines. Given that sequencing technologies are often inaccurate after a string of identical bases, we curated this sequence in the dataset. The sequences were translated in Jalview and organized based on polymorphisms in the translated sequences.

The *briC* alleles were then organized in the context of the species tree. For this we used a published phylogenetic tree [34], [38]. As previously described, the whole genome sequence (WGS) for these strains were aligned using MAUVE [75], [76], the core region was extracted and aligned using MAFFT (FFT-NS-2) [77]. Model selection was performed using MODELTEST [78], and the phylogenetic tree was built with PhyML 3.0 [79], model GTR+I(0.63) using maximum likelihood and 100 bootstrap replicates. On the visualization, each allelic type is shape-coded, and the visualization was generated using the Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) [80].

Next, we expanded the search to a set of 4,034 genomes. These correspond to the genomes within pubMLST, with at least 2Mb of genomic data (Genome IDs are listed in [S6 Table](#)). We used BLASTn to search for genomes that encode sequences that are at least 70% identical over 70% of their length to *briC* alleles 1A or 1B. The 3,976 hits were organized to parse out and enumerate the unique sequences using Python. Next, the hits were visualized and further annotated using Jalview [74]. As in the smaller genomic set, one allele representative appeared to have a frame-shift after a string of guanines and was curated in the dataset. Next the DNA

sequences were translated, and the predicted protein sequences were organized to display the unique alleles. The resulting 19 coding sequence were colored in Jalview based on percent identity to highlight the variability (Fig 6). To search for *briC* in related species, we performed a BLASTp analysis in NCBI. We used alleles 1A and 1B as query sequences, default parameters, and the non-redundant database excluding *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (taxid: 1313).

Analysis of *briC* promoter region

In order to examine the structure of the promoter region upstream of the *briC* gene, a 1500-bp flanking region on both sides of the *briC* gene was pulled from the RAST database [81]. Sequences were aligned using Kalign [82] and then visualized with Jalview [74]. The alignment revealed two clear groups within the dataset: those with the RUP insertion and those without. We also noted that CDC1087-00 may have an additional mobile element inserted within the RUP. However, given that the RUP and this mobile element exist in multiple places in the genome, we cannot determine whether this is real or an artifact of assembly without the isolate. Thus, we opted not to use the promoter sequence for the consensus in Fig 2A, and we did not mark this genome as having a long promoter in Fig 5. We marked the species tree with allelic variants that contain the RUP insertion. We observed that RUP was present in the representative isolates from two clinically important lineages PMEN1 and PMEN14. To check the distribution of the long promoter in a larger set strains, we used PubMLST [39] to inspect 4,034 sequences with complete genomes (sequence IDs for these 4,034 sequences are listed in S6 Table). This set includes 198 ST81 (PMEN1), as well as 104 ST236 (PMEN14) and 15 ST320 (PMEN14) strains. In the PMEN1 strains (ST81), one genome encodes the short promoter and 178 genomes encode the long promoter. In the PMEN14 strains, none of the strains encode the short promoter, and 71 out of 104 ST236 strains and 14 out of 15 ST320 strains encode the long promoter. Manual curation of selected genomes from the remaining set did not reveal a third promoter. Instead, we captured contig breaks and likely issues with assembly that we deduce are linked to repetitive nature of the RUP. Thus, we conclude that the long promoter is present in the vast majority of the PMEN1 and PMEN14 isolates. For analysis of the ComE-binding box, the ComE consensus sequence was extracted from the promoter regions of the pneumococcal strains and aligned with Jalview. The logo was generated using WebLogo [83].

Ethics statement

Mouse experiments were performed at the University of Leicester under appropriate project (permit no. P7B01C07A) and personal licenses according to the United Kingdom Home Office guidelines under the Animals Scientific Procedures Act 1986, and the University of Leicester ethics committee approval. The protocol was agreed by both the U.K. Home Office and the University of Leicester ethics committee. Where specified, the procedures were carried out under anesthetic with isoflurane. Animals were housed in individually ventilated cages in a controlled environment and were frequently monitored after infection to minimize suffering. Chinchilla experiments were performed at the Allegheny-Singer Research Institute (ASRI) under the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) permit A3693-01/1000. Chinchillas were maintained in BSL2 facilities, and all experiments with chinchillas were done under subcutaneously injected ketamine-xylazine anesthesia (1.7mg/kg animal weight for each). All chinchillas were maintained in accordance with the applicable portions of the Animal Welfare Act, and the guidelines published in the DHHS publication, Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Supporting information

S1 Result. Examining the role of *briC* in transformation.

(DOCX)

S1 Fig. BriC impacts transformation efficiency. (A) Transformation efficiency for WT, $\Delta briC$ and $\Delta briC::briC$ cells in strain R6D using exogenous (i) genomic DNA, and (ii) linear DNA. X-axis denotes amount of exogenous DNA added in cells. (B) Transformation efficiency for WT, $\Delta briC$, $\Delta briC::briC$, and $\Delta briC::briC$ -OE cells in strain R6D using 500ng of exogenous linear DNA. Y-axis denotes the fold change in transformation efficiency relative to WT cells. Cells were grown in Columbia broth at pH 6.6 to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05, and were treated with CSP1 along with spec^R exogenous DNA for 30 minutes followed by plating on Columbia agar plates supplemented with spectinomycin (100µg/ml). No colonies were observed for samples treated with exogenous DNA in the absence of CSP1. Error bars represent standard error of the mean calculated for at least three biological replicates; ‘ns’ denotes not significant,* $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$ using ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test. (PPTX)

S1 Table. Strains used in genomic comparisons and phylogenetic tree.

(XLSX)

S2 Table. CSP phenotypes and *briC* alleles for pneumococcal genomes in [Fig 4A](#).

(XLSX)

S3 Table. HiBiT assay measuring bioluminescence of the construct containing BriC leader fused with HiBiT, both in the absence and presence of CSP in supernatants, whole cell surfaces and lysates.

(XLSX)

S4 Table. Strains used in this experimental work.

(XLSX)

S5 Table. Primers used in this study.

(XLSX)

S6 Table. List of the 4,034 isolates used in this study. These numbers correspond to isolate IDs of strains in pubMLST.

(XLSX)

S1 File. Representative *briC* alleles from *Streptococcus* sp.

(TXT)

S2 File. Representative sequences of the short and long *briC* promoter regions with the RUP highlighted in bold.

(DOC)

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Alexander Tomasz and Herminia deLancastre for the PMEN1 strain PN4595-T23 and the R6D strain used in this study. We would also like to thank Dr. Donald A. Morrison for *kan-rpsL* Janus cassette and the plasmid pR412. We thank Dr. Anagha Kadam for help on data analysis, Rolando A. Cuevas for help analyzing biofilm images, and Emilio I. Rodriguez for his support with experiments. We would also like to acknowledge Patricia Siguier for

her insight into RUP. We truly appreciate the insight provided by Dr. Suzanne Dawid and Charles Wang regarding the HiBiT assay to test the role of ComAB in BriC export.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Surya D. Aggarwal, N. Luisa Hiller.

Data curation: Surya D. Aggarwal, Rory Eutsey, Jacob West-Roberts, N. Luisa Hiller.

Formal analysis: Surya D. Aggarwal, Rory Eutsey, Arnau Domenech, Wenjie Xu, Iman Tajer Abdullah, Hasan Yesilkaya, N. Luisa Hiller.

Funding acquisition: Surya D. Aggarwal, N. Luisa Hiller.

Investigation: Surya D. Aggarwal, Rory Eutsey, Jacob West-Roberts, Arnau Domenech, Wenjie Xu, Iman Tajer Abdullah, Jan-Willem Veening, Hasan Yesilkaya, N. Luisa Hiller.

Methodology: Surya D. Aggarwal, Hasan Yesilkaya.

Project administration: N. Luisa Hiller.

Resources: Arnau Domenech, Aaron P. Mitchell, Jan-Willem Veening, Hasan Yesilkaya, N. Luisa Hiller.

Supervision: Jan-Willem Veening, Hasan Yesilkaya, N. Luisa Hiller.

Validation: Surya D. Aggarwal, Rory Eutsey.

Visualization: Surya D. Aggarwal, Arnau Domenech.

Writing – original draft: Surya D. Aggarwal, Aaron P. Mitchell, N. Luisa Hiller.

Writing – review & editing: Surya D. Aggarwal, Rory Eutsey, Jacob West-Roberts, Arnau Domenech, Wenjie Xu, Iman Tajer Abdullah, Aaron P. Mitchell, Jan-Willem Veening, Hasan Yesilkaya, N. Luisa Hiller.

References

- Hall-Stoodley L., Costerton J. W., and Stoodley P., "Bacterial biofilms: from the Natural environment to infectious diseases," *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 95–108, Feb. 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro821> PMID: 15040259
- Shanker E. and Federle M. J., "Quorum Sensing Regulation of Competence and Bacteriocins in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and mutants," *Genes (Basel)*, vol. 8, no. 1, Jan. 2017.
- Blanchette-Cain K., Hinojosa C. A., Akula Suresh Babu R., Lizcano A., Gonzalez-Juarbe N., Munoz-Almagro C., Sanchez C. J., Bergman M. A., and Orihuela C. J., "Streptococcus pneumoniae biofilm formation is strain dependent, multifactorial, and associated with reduced invasiveness and immunoreactivity during colonization," *MBio*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. e00745–00713, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00745-13> PMID: 24129258
- Hall-Stoodley L., Hu F. Z., Gieseke A., Nistico L., Nguyen D., Hayes J., Forbes M., Greenberg D. P., Dice B., Burrows A., Wackym P. A., Stoodley P., Post J. C., Ehrlich G. D., and Kerschner J. E., "Direct Detection of Bacterial Biofilms on the Middle-Ear Mucosa of Children With Chronic Otitis Media," *JAMA*, vol. 296, no. 2, pp. 202–211, Jul. 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.296.2.202> PMID: 16835426
- Hoang M., Syamal M., Sachdeva L., Berk R., and Cotichia J., "Demonstration of nasopharyngeal and middle ear mucosal biofilms in an animal model of acute otitis media," *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol.*, vol. 118, no. 4, pp. 292–298, Apr. 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000348940911800410> PMID: 19462851
- Marks L. R., Parameswaran G. I., and Hakansson A. P., "Pneumococcal interactions with epithelial cells are crucial for optimal biofilm formation and colonization in vitro and in vivo," *Infect. Immun.*, vol. 80, no. 8, pp. 2744–2760, Aug. 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00488-12> PMID: 22645283
- Oggioni M. R., Trappetti C., Kadioglu A., Cassone M., Iannelli F., Ricci S., Andrew P. W., and Pozzi G., "Switch from planktonic to sessile life: A major event in pneumococcal pathogenesis," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 1196–1210, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05310.x> PMID: 16925554

8. Sanderson A. R., Leid J. G., and Hunsaker D., "Bacterial biofilms on the sinus mucosa of human subjects with chronic rhinosinusitis," *Laryngoscope*, vol. 116, no. 7, pp. 1121–1126, Jul. 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mlg.0000221954.05467.54> PMID: 16826045
9. Chao Y., Marks L. R., Pettigrew M. M., and Hakansson A. P., "Streptococcus pneumoniae biofilm formation and dispersion during colonization and disease," *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.*, vol. 4, Jan. 2015.
10. Bogaert D., de Groot R., and Hermans P., "Streptococcus pneumoniae colonisation: the key to pneumococcal disease," *Lancet Infect. Dis.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 144–154, Mar. 2004. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(04\)00938-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(04)00938-7) PMID: 14998500
11. Marks L. R., Davidson B. A., Knight P. R., and Hakansson A. P., "Interkingdom signaling induces Streptococcus pneumoniae biofilm dispersion and transition from asymptomatic colonization to disease," *MBio*, vol. 4, no. 4, 2013.
12. Trappetti C., Gualdi L., Di Meola L., Jain P., Korir C. C., Edmonds P., Iannelli F., Ricci S., Pozzi G., and Oggioni M. R., "The impact of the competence quorum sensing system on Streptococcus pneumoniae biofilms varies depending on the experimental model," *BMC Microbiol.* vol. 11, no. 1, p. 75, Apr. 2011.
13. Trappetti C., Potter A. J., Paton A. W., Oggioni M. R., and Paton J. C., "LuxS mediates iron-dependent biofilm formation, competence, and fratricide in Streptococcus pneumoniae," *Infect. Immun.*, vol. 79, no. 11, pp. 4550–4558, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.05644-11> PMID: 21875962
14. Vidal J. E., Howery K. E., Ludewick H. P., Nava P., and Klugman K. P., "Quorum-sensing systems LuxS/Autoinducer 2 and com regulate Streptococcus pneumoniae biofilms in a bioreactor with living cultures of human respiratory cells," *Infect. Immun.*, vol. 81, no. 4, pp. 1341–1353, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01096-12> PMID: 23403556
15. Vidal J. E., Ludewick H. P., Kunkel R. M., Zähler D., and Klugman K. P., "The LuxS-Dependent Quorum-Sensing System Regulates Early Biofilm Formation by Streptococcus pneumoniae Strain D39 ∇ ," *Infect. Immun.*, vol. 79, no. 10, pp. 4050–4060, Oct. 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.05186-11> PMID: 21825061
16. Claverys J. P., Prudhomme M., and Martin B., "Induction of Competence Regulons as a General Response to Stress in Gram-Positive Bacteria," *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 451–475, 2006.
17. Claverys J. P., Martin B., and Havarstein L. S., "Competence-induced fratricide in streptococci," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 1423–1433, Jun. 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05757.x> PMID: 17555432
18. Tomasz A., "Control of the Competent State in Pneumococcus by a Hormone-Like Cell Product: An Example for a New Type of Regulatory Mechanism in Bacteria," *Nature*, vol. 208, no. 5006, pp. 155–159, Oct. 1965. PMID: 5884251
19. Tovpekoa Y. and Morrison D. A., "Competence for Genetic Transformation in Streptococcus pneumoniae: Mutations in σ A Bypass the comW Requirement," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 196, no. August, pp. 3724–3734, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01933-14> PMID: 25112479
20. Veening J. W. and Blokesch M., "Interbacterial predation as a strategy for DNA acquisition in naturally competent bacteria," *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, vol. 15, no. 10, p. 629, Oct. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.89> PMID: 28736449
21. Dagkessamanskaia A., Moscoso M., Hénard V., Guiral S., Overweg K., Reuter M., Martin B., Wells J., and Claverys J.-P., "Interconnection of competence, stress and CiaR regulons in Streptococcus pneumoniae: competence triggers stationary phase autolysis of ciaR mutant cells," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 1071–1086, 2004. PMID: 14763981
22. Guiral S., Mitchell T. J., Martin B., and Claverys J.-P., "Competence-programmed predation of noncompetent cells in the human pathogen Streptococcus pneumoniae: genetic requirements," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 102, no. 24, pp. 8710–8715, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0500879102> PMID: 15928084
23. Havarstein L. S., Coomaraswamy G., and Morrison D. A., "An unmodified heptadecapeptide pheromone induces competence for genetic transformation in Streptococcus pneumoniae," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 92, no. 24, pp. 11140–11144, Nov. 1995. PMID: 7479953
24. Kowalko J. E. and Seibert M. E., "The Streptococcus pneumoniae competence regulatory system influences respiratory tract colonization," *Infect. Immun.*, vol. 76, no. 7, pp. 3131–3140, Jul. 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01696-07> PMID: 18443092
25. Marx P., Nuhn M., Kovács M., Hakenbeck R., and Brückner R., "Identification of genes for small non-coding RNAs that belong to the regulon of the two-component regulatory system CiaRH in Streptococcus," *BMC Genomics*, vol. 11, p. 661, Nov. 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-661> PMID: 21106082
26. Peterson S. N., Sung C. K., Cline R., Desai B. V., Snesrud E. C., Luo P., Walling J., Li H., Mintz M., Tsegaye G., Burr P. C., Do Y., Ahn S., Gilbert J., Fleischmann R. D., and Morrison D. A., "Identification of

- competence pheromone responsive genes in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* by use of DNA microarrays," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 1051–1070, 2004. PMID: [14763980](#)
27. Steinmoen H., Knutsen E., and Håvarstein L. S., "Induction of natural competence in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* triggers lysis and DNA release from a subfraction of the cell population.," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 99, no. 11, pp. 7681–7686, 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.112464599> PMID: [12032343](#)
 28. Stevens K. E., Chang D., Zwack E. E., and Sebert M. E., "Competence in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Is Regulated by the Rate of Ribosomal Decoding Errors," *MBio*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. e00071–11, Nov. 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00071-11> PMID: [21933920](#)
 29. Pestova E. V., Håvarstein L. S., and Morrison D. A., "Regulation of competence for genetic transformation in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* by an auto-induced peptide pheromone and a two-component regulatory system," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 853–862, Aug. 1996. PMID: [8878046](#)
 30. Martin B., Granadel C., Campo N., Hénard V., Prudhomme M., and Claverys J.-P., "Expression and maintenance of ComD-ComE, the two-component signal-transduction system that controls competence of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 75, no. 6, pp. 1513–1528, Mar. 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07071.x> PMID: [20180906](#)
 31. Aprianto R., Slager J., Holsappel S., and Veening J.-W., "Time-resolved dual RNA-seq reveals extensive rewiring of lung epithelial and pneumococcal transcriptomes during early infection," *Genome Biol.*, vol. 17, p. 198, Sep. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-1054-5> PMID: [27678244](#)
 32. Armbruster C. E., Hong W., Pang B., Weimer K. E. D., Juneau R. A., Turner J., and Swords W. E., "Indirect Pathogenicity of *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Moraxella catarrhalis* in Polymicrobial Otitis Media Occurs via Interspecies Quorum Signaling," *MBio*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. e00102–10, Aug. 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00102-10> PMID: [20802829](#)
 33. Marks L. R., Reddinger R. M., and Hakansson A. P., "High Levels of Genetic Recombination during Nasopharyngeal Carriage and Biofilm Formation in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*," *MBio*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. e00200–12, Nov. 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00200-12> PMID: [23015736](#)
 34. Cuevas R. A., Eutsey R., Kadam A., West-Roberts J. A., Woolford C. A., Mitchell A. P., Mason K. M., and Hiller N. L., "A novel streptococcal cell-cell communication peptide promotes pneumococcal virulence and biofilm formation," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 105, no. 4, pp. 554–571, Aug. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13721> PMID: [28557053](#)
 35. de Saizieu A., Gardès C., Flint N., Wagner C., Kamber M., Mitchell T. J., Keck W., Amrein K. E., and Lange R., "Microarray-based identification of a novel *Streptococcus pneumoniae* regulon controlled by an autoinduced peptide," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 182, no. 17, pp. 4696–4703, Sep. 2000. PMID: [10940007](#)
 36. Ween O., Gaustad P., and Håvarstein L. S., "Identification of DNA binding sites for ComE, a key regulator of natural competence in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 817–827, Aug. 1999. PMID: [10447890](#)
 37. Slager J., Aprianto R., and Veening J.-W., "Deep genome annotation of the opportunistic human pathogen *Streptococcus pneumoniae* D39," *bioRxiv*, p. 283663, Mar. 2018.
 38. Kadam A., Eutsey R. A., Rosch J., Miao X., Longwell M., Xu W., Woolford C. A., Hillman T., Motib A. S., Yesilkaya H., Mitchell A. P., and Hiller N. L., "Promiscuous signaling by a regulatory system unique to the pandemic PMEN1 pneumococcal lineage," *PLoS Pathog.*, vol. 13, no. 5, p. e1006339, May 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006339> PMID: [28542565](#)
 39. Jolley K. A. and Maiden M. C., "BIGSdb: Scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation at the population level," *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 11, p. 595, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-595> PMID: [21143983](#)
 40. Ye J., McGinnis S., and Madden T. L., "BLAST: improvements for better sequence analysis," *Nucleic Acids Res.*, vol. 34, no. Web Server issue, pp. W6–9, Jul. 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl164> PMID: [16845079](#)
 41. Claverys J. P. and Martin B., "Competence regulons, genomics and streptococci," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 1126–1127, 1998. PMID: [9767580](#)
 42. Oggioni M. R. and Claverys J.-P., "Repeated extragenic sequences in prokaryotic genomes: a proposal for the origin and dynamics of the RUP element in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*," *Microbiology*, vol. 145, no. 10, pp. 2647–2653, 1999.
 43. Kjos M., Miller E., Slager J., Lake F. B., Gericke O., Roberts I. S., Rozen D. E., and Veening J.-W., "Expression of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Bacteriocins Is Induced by Antibiotics via Regulatory Interplay with the Competence System," *PLoS Pathog.*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. e1005422, Feb. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005422> PMID: [26840404](#)
 44. Wang C. Y., Patel N., Wholey W.-Y., and Dawid S., "ABC transporter content diversity in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* impacts competence regulation and bacteriocin production," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, p. 201804668, May 2018.

45. Wholey W. Y., Kochan T. J., Storck D. N., and Dawid S., "Coordinated Bacteriocin Expression and Competence in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Contributes to Genetic Adaptation through Neighbor Predation," *PLoS Pathog.*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. e1005413, Feb. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005413> PMID: 26840124
46. Havarstein LS, Diep DB, and Nes IF, "A family of bacteriocin ABC transporters carry out proteolytic processing of their substrates concomitant with export," *Mol. Microbiol.*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 229–240, Apr. 1995. PMID: 7565085
47. Son M. R., Shchepetov M., Adrian P. V., Madhi S. A., de Gouveia L., von Gottberg A., Klugman K. P., Weiser J. N., and Dawid S., "Conserved mutations in the pneumococcal bacteriocin transporter gene, *blpA*, result in a complex population consisting of producers and cheaters," *MBio*, vol. 2, no. 5, 2011.
48. Dixon A. S., Schwinn M. K., Hall M. P., Zimmerman K., Otto P., Lubben T. H., Butler B. L., Binkowski B. F., Machleidt T., Kirkland T. A., Wood M. G., Eggers C. T., Encell L. P., and Wood K. V., "NanoLuc Complementation Reporter Optimized for Accurate Measurement of Protein Interactions in Cells," *ACS Chem. Biol.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 400–408, Feb. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscchembio.5b00753> PMID: 26569370
49. Schwinn M. K., Machleidt T., Zimmerman K., Eggers C. T., Dixon A. S., Hurst R., Hall M. P., Encell L. P., Binkowski B. F., and Wood K. V., "CRISPR-Mediated Tagging of Endogenous Proteins with a Luminescent Peptide," *ACS Chem. Biol.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 467–474, Feb. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscchembio.7b00549> PMID: 28892606
50. Magee A. D. and Yother J., "Requirement for capsule in colonization by *Streptococcus pneumoniae*," *Infect. Immun.*, vol. 69, no. 6, pp. 3755–3761, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.69.6.3755-3761.2001> PMID: 11349040
51. Lanie J. A., Ng W.-L., Kazmierczak K. M., Andrzejewski T. M., Davidsen T. M., Wayne K. J., Tettelin H., Glass J. I., and Winkler M. E., "Genome sequence of Avery's virulent serotype 2 strain D39 of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and comparison with that of unencapsulated laboratory strain R6," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 189, no. 1, pp. 38–51, Jan. 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01148-06> PMID: 17041037
52. Gagne A. L., Stevens K. E., Cassone M., Pujari A., Abiola O. E., Chang D. J., and Sebert M. E., "Competence in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Is a Response to an Increasing Mutational Burden," *PLoS One*, vol. 8, no. 8, p. e72613, Aug. 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072613> PMID: 23967325
53. Hakenbeck R. and Chhatwal S., *Molecular Biology of Streptococci*. Horizon Scientific Press, 2007.
54. Moreno-Gámez S., Sorg R. A., Domenech A., Kjos M., Weissing F. J., Doorn G. S., and Veening J.-W., "Quorum sensing integrates environmental cues, cell density and cell history to control bacterial competence," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 854, Oct. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00903-y> PMID: 29021534
55. Mascher T., Zähner D., Merai M., Balmelle N., de Saizieu A. B., and Hakenbeck R., "The *Streptococcus pneumoniae* *cia* regulon: *CiaR* target sites and transcription profile analysis," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 185, no. 1, pp. 60–70, Jan. 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.1.60-70.2003> PMID: 12486041
56. Sebert M. E., Patel K. P., Plotnick M., and Weiser J. N., "Pneumococcal HtrA protease mediates inhibition of competence by the *CiaRH* two-component signaling system," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 187, no. 12, pp. 3969–3979, Jun. 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.12.3969-3979.2005> PMID: 15937159
57. Croucher N. J., Harris S. R., Fraser C., Quail M. A., Burton J., van der Linden M., McGee L., von Gottberg A., Song J. H., Ko K. S., Pichon B., Baker S., Parry C. M., Lambertsen L. M., Shahnas D., Pillai D. R., Mitchell T. J., Dougan G., Tomasz A., Klugman K. P., Parkhill J., Hanage W. P., and Bentley S. D., "Rapid pneumococcal evolution in response to clinical interventions," *Science (80-)*, vol. 331, no. 6016, pp. 430–434, 2011.
58. Croucher N. J., Chewapreecha C., Hanage W. P., Harris S. R., McGee L., van der Linden M., Song J.-H., Ko K. S., de Lencastre H., Turner C., Yang F., Sá-Leão R., Beall B., Klugman K. P., Parkhill J., Turner P., and Bentley S. D., "Evidence for soft selective sweeps in the evolution of pneumococcal multidrug resistance and vaccine escape," *Genome Biol. Evol.*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 1589–1602, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evu120> PMID: 24916661
59. Wyres K. L., Lambertsen L. M., Croucher N. J., McGee L., von Gottberg A., Liñares J., Jacobs M. R., Kristinsson K. G., Beall B. W., Klugman K. P., Parkhill J., Hakenbeck R., Bentley S. D., and Brueggemann A. B., "The multidrug-resistant PMEN1 pneumococcus is a paradigm for genetic success," *Genome Biol.*, vol. 13, no. 11, p. R103, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2012-13-11-r103> PMID: 23158461
60. Severin A., Figueiredo A. M., and Tomasz A., "Separation of abnormal cell wall composition from penicillin resistance through genetic transformation of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 178, no. 7, pp. 1788–1792, Apr. 1996. PMID: 8606149
61. Paixão L., Oliveira J., Veríssimo A., Vinga S., Lourenço E. C., Ventura M. R., Kjos M., Veening J.-W., Fernandes V. E., Andrew P. W., Yesilkaya H., and Neves A. R., "Host glycan sugar-specific pathways

- in Streptococcus pneumoniae: galactose as a key sugar in colonisation and infection," *PLoS One*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. e0121042, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121042> PMID: 25826206
62. Hiller N. L., Eutsey R. A., Powell E., Earl J. P., Janto B., Martin D. P., Dawid S., Ahmed A., Longwell M. J., Dahlgren M. E., Ezzo S., Tettelin H., Daugherty S. C., Mitchell T. J., Hillman T. A., Buchinsky F. J., Tomasz A., de Lencastre H., Sá-Leão R., Post J. C., Hu F. Z., and Ehrlich G. D., "Differences in Genotype and Virulence among Four Multidrug-Resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae Isolates Belonging to the PMEN1 Clone," *PLoS One*, vol. 6, no. 12, p. e28850, Dec. 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028850> PMID: 22205975
 63. Zähler D. and Hakenbeck R., "The Streptococcus pneumoniae Beta-Galactosidase Is a Surface Protein," *J. Bacteriol.*, vol. 182, no. 20, pp. 5919–5921, Oct. 2000. PMID: 11004197
 64. Geiss G. K., Bumgarner R. E., Birditt B., Dahl T., Dowidar N., Dunaway D. L., Fell H. P., Ferree S., George R. D., Grogan T., James J. J., Maysuria M., Mitton J. D., Oliveri P., Osborn J. L., Peng T., Ratcliffe A. L., Webster P. J., Davidson E. H., Hood L., and Dimitrov K., "Direct multiplexed measurement of gene expression with color-coded probe pairs," *Nat. Biotechnol.*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 317–325, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1385> PMID: 18278033
 65. Carvalho S. M., Kloosterman T. G., Kuipers O. P., and Neves A. R., "CcpA Ensures Optimal Metabolic Fitness of Streptococcus pneumoniae," *PLoS One*, vol. 6, no. 10, p. e26707, Oct. 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026707> PMID: 22039538
 66. Kim W., Park H. K., Hwang W.-J., and Shin H.-S., "Simultaneous Detection of Streptococcus pneumoniae, S. mitis, and S. oralis by a Novel Multiplex PCR Assay Targeting the gyrB Gene," *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 835–840, Mar. 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02920-12> PMID: 23269740
 67. Ramakers C., Ruijter J. M., Deprez R. H. L., and Moorman A. F. M., "Assumption-free analysis of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) data," *Neurosci. Lett.*, vol. 339, no. 1, pp. 62–66, Mar. 2003. PMID: 12618301
 68. Ruijter J. M., Lorenz P., Tuomi J. M., Hecker M., and van den Hoff M. J. B., "Fluorescent-increase kinetics of different fluorescent reporters used for qPCR depend on monitoring chemistry, targeted sequence, type of DNA input and PCR efficiency," *Mikrochim. Acta*, vol. 181, no. 13–14, pp. 1689–1696, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-013-1155-8> PMID: 25253910
 69. "Assay of b-galactosidase.," in *Experiments in Molecular Genetics*, Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Laboratory Press, 1972, pp. 352–355.
 70. Heydorn A., Nielsen A. T., Hentzer M., Sternberg C., Givskov M., Ersbøll B. K., and Molin S., "Quantification of biofilm structures by the novel computer program COMSTAT," *Microbiology*, vol. 146 (Pt 1), pp. 2395–2407, Oct. 2000.
 71. Al-Bayati F. A. Y., Kahya H. F. H., Damianou A., Shafeeq S., Kuipers O. P., Andrew P. W., and Yesilkaya H., "Pneumococcal galactose catabolism is controlled by multiple regulators acting on pyruvate formate lyase," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 7, p. 43587, Feb. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43587> PMID: 28240278
 72. Kahya H. F., Andrew P. W., and Yesilkaya H., "Deacetylation of sialic acid by esterases potentiates pneumococcal neuraminidase activity for mucin utilization, colonization and virulence," *PLoS Pathog.*, vol. 13, no. 3, p. e1006263, Mar. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006263> PMID: 28257499
 73. Papadopoulos J. S. and Agarwala R., "COBAL: constraint-based alignment tool for multiple protein sequences," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 1073–1079, May 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm076> PMID: 17332019
 74. Waterhouse A. M., Procter J. B., Martin D. M. A., Clamp M., and Barton G. J., "Jalview Version 2—a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 1189–1191, May 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp033> PMID: 19151095
 75. Darling A. C. E., Mau B., Blattner F. R., and Perna N. T., "Mauve: Multiple Alignment of Conserved Genomic Sequence With Rearrangements," *Genome Res.*, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 1394–1403, Jul. 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.2289704> PMID: 15231754
 76. Darling A. E., Mau B., and Perna N. T., "progressiveMauve: Multiple Genome Alignment with Gene Gain, Loss and Rearrangement," *PLoS One*, vol. 5, no. 6, p. e11147, Jun. 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011147> PMID: 20593022
 77. Katoh K., Misawa K., Kuma K., and Miyata T., "MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform," *Nucleic Acids Res.*, vol. 30, no. 14, pp. 3059–3066, Jul. 2002. PMID: 12136088
 78. Posada D. and Crandall K. A., "MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 817–818, 1998. PMID: 9918953
 79. Guindon S., Dufayard J.-F., Lefort V., Anisimova M., Hordijk W., and Gascuel O., "New Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the Performance of PhyML 3.0,"

Syst. Biol., vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 307–321, May 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq010> PMID: 20525638

80. Letunic I. and Bork P., “Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees,” *Nucleic Acids Res.*, vol. 44, no. W1, pp. W242–245, Jul. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw290> PMID: 27095192
81. Overbeek R., Olson R., Pusch G. D., Olsen G. J., Davis J. J., Disz T., Edwards R. A., Gerdes S., Parrello B., Shukla M., Vonstein V., Wattam A. R., Xia F., and Stevens R., “The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST),” *Nucleic Acids Res.*, vol. 42, no. D1, pp. D206–D214, Jan. 2014.
82. Lassmann T. and Sonnhammer E. L., “Kalign—an accurate and fast multiple sequence alignment algorithm,” *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 6, p. 298, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-6-298> PMID: 16343337
83. Crooks G. E., Hon G., Chandonia J.-M., and Brenner S. E., “WebLogo: a sequence logo generator,” *Genome Res.*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1188–1190, Jun. 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.849004> PMID: 15173120