Tension-band wiring of olecranon fractures - Biomechanical analysis of different fixation techniques
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1. **Abstract**

Tension-band wiring is a recognised standard treatment for fixation of olecranon fractures. The classical operation technique is well known and widespread among the orthopaedic surgeons.

Nevertheless complications like K-wire migration or skin perforation and difficult technical as well as anatomical prerequisites require better-adapted operation fixation methods.

In older female patients a cut through of the Kirschner wires with concomitant secondary displacement was observed. We intent to develop a new, better adapted operation technique for olecranon fractures in the old patients, in order to decrease complications and follow-up procedures.

In this study we compare two different K-wire positions: 10 models of the classical AO tension-banding to 10 models with adapted K-wire insertion.

In this group the K-wire passes from the tip of the olecranon to the posterior cortical of the distal fragment of the ulna. We tested maximal failure load, maximal opening angle as well as maximal work to achieve maximal force.

In either technique we were able to determine different variables: a maximal failure load of more than 600N (p = 0.94) for both fixation methods and a maximal opening angle for both techniques of about 10° (p = 0.86). To achieve the maximal force our modified technique required a slightly increased work (p = 0.16).

In this study no statistical significant differences between the two fixation techniques was shown. This leads to the conclusion that the modified version is comparable to the classical operation technique considering the stability, but due to the adaption of the angle in the modified procedure, less lesions of neurovascular structures on the volar side can be expected. To support our findings cadaver studies are needed for further investigations.

2. **Introduction**

Transverse olecranon fractures are one of the most common fractures of the upper extremity: Rommens et al. showed that this kind of fractures account for 10% of all
upper extremity fractures. (1) A surgical intervention is indicated after both, high- and low-energy injury, or when the fracture is displaced. (2–6)

Three main types of injury can cause an olecranon fracture: 1. direct violence, e.g. a fall on the tip of the elbow 2. indirect violence, e.g. a fall on the outstretched arm with the elbow in its flexed position and contracted triceps or 3. a combination of direct and indirect violence. This combination of direct and indirect force is known to cause, in the majority of the cases, a displaced and comminuted fracture. (7,8)

The transverse or oblique fractures involve the semilunar notch, the pull of the triceps muscle on the olecranon defines the spatial separation of the bone fragments. Limited separation of these fragments may be due to the presence of an intact triceps aponeurosis and periosteum of the olecranon, which, in addition to the lateral ligaments and capsule of the elbow joint, resist displacement of the fracture. (7)

Olecranon fractures usually unable the patient to move its elbow and are accompanied by strong pain and discomfort. The skin is swollen, bruised or contused. Additional to primary investigations, radiography is required to assess the degree of the injury: in a lateral view, the fracture line is clearly visible; therefore a lateral radiography is essential to clarify the degree of articular impact, displacement and fragmentation. Complex fractures are often associated with an anterior dislocation of the forearm, a so-called transolecran fracture dislocation, or a posterior type II Monteggia lesion. But even simple transverse or oblique fractures can lead to dislocations. (9)

3. Fracture classification

Many different classifications exist for the olecranon fractures, known are the AO classification, Mayo-clinic classification, Schatzker classification modified by Schmeling, Horne and Tanzer or the Wadsworth system. Here mentioned will be the AO (Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Osteosynthesefragen) classification and the Mayo clinic classification, which are the most widely used classifications in the clinic and in the published articles. The AO classification of proximal radius and ulna fractures is divided into three broad groups. Type A are extra-articular fractures either of the ulna or the radius and type B are intra-articular fractures while the type B1
specifically an intra-articular fracture of the ulna. In addition the type C are intra-articular fractures of the ulna and the radius. (10–13)

After the Mayo classification the olecranon transverse fractures can be classified into different groups. Type I fractures have less than 2 mm of displacement, type II fractures are displaced by more than 2 mm, but the joint is stable, and type III fractures are displaced and associated with concomitant subluxation or dislocation of the elbow joint. Every fracture can furthermore be subdivided into noncomminuted (A) or comminuted (B). (10,12)

![Fig. 1: The Mayo classification](image)

The diagram shows the Mayo classification for olecranon fractures. Type I fractures with less than 2 mm of displacement, type II fractures with displaced by more than 2 mm with a stable joint, type III fracture with displaced and associated concomitant subluxation or dislocation of the elbow joint. Every fracture type is furthermore subdivided into noncomminuted (A) and comminuted (B).


### 4. Fixation methods

Many methods of internal fracture-fixations have been described: on one hand, the treatment depends on the complexity of the fracture on the other hand it depends on the patient’s comorbidities. But at any rate, complete anatomical restoration of the joint is the principal purpose of the definitive treatment. (14) Non-displaced fractures
can be treated with short period immobilization, followed by a gradually increasing range of motion. (15) In old people, with a poor quality of bone making osteosynthesis difficult, conservative treatment gives good functional results and doesn’t reduce the daily activities of the patient. Old people possibly easier accept worse results than people with full level of physical activity; this should be considered when this treatment is chosen. (16,17) But in most instances a prompt surgical intervention is required due to the instability of the bone-structure, the fracture itself and the involvement of the joint. The instability of the fracture can be explained by the displacement of the bone-fragments that, in turn, is caused by the tension of the triceps muscle onto the bony prominences of the (fractured) olecranon. The surgery must be precise since any residuals irregularity of the articular surface causes limited motion, elbow articular disability, residual stiffness, delayed recovery or a posttraumatic osteoarthritis. (7,18)

The fixation should be strong enough to allow a gentle passive and active mobilisation. Exercises should already be started on the first day post-operatively, well before radiograms show evidence for complete union. The reason for such an early mobilisation is the tension-band principle, on which the whole stabilization is based: the aim is to create a gentle dynamic compression across the fracture plane. (9,12,18,19) Its recommended that the active movements should not pass 8 kg weight of loading. (20,21)

In the past many operation techniques were suggested: the double K-wires in combination with a figure of eight tension-band wiring, cancellous screws, cancellous nailing with tension-band wiring, plate fixation, screws, olecranon sleds and partial excision of the olecranon fragment. (22) Some of the experts would even go for combination of different fixation methods, e.g. the medullary fixation combined with a tension-band wiring. (18) But till now none of this operation methods is perfect yet, concerning the side effects and complications. There exists much space to ameliorate and improve the actually used methods.

4.1. Plate fixation

Plate fixation is gaining more and more recognition for treating displaced olecranon fractures. A grand variety of plate fixations has been reported since the first description by Zuelzer in 1951. (23) This fixation is a safe and effective option, with a low rate of hardware removal and which allows an early unrestricted
rehabilisation. (11) The plate fixation requires a longer operation time, but did not lead to an increased complication rate, compared to the tension-band fixation. (24) The non-union rate of this technique is around 10%. The high healing rate of 90% is due to a high static interfragmentary compression and high fixation stability. (25) The biomechanical stability of the fracture after plate fixation is comparable or even slightly superior compared to the tension-band fixation. (26) Plate fixation can be used for every type of olecranon fractures but is most appropriate for severely comminuted fractures, distal fractures involving the coronoid process, oblique fractures distal to the midpoint of the trochlear notch, Monteggia fracture-dislocations of the elbow and nonunions. (15)

4.2. Intramedullary fixation

Another commonly used technique is the intramedullary fixation using screws or nails. (27) Rush and Rush published the first forms of intramedullary treatments with rods in 1937. In 1986 Johnson published the use of the AO cancellous bone screws. (11) Currently, this rigid fixation is an attractive treatment option, because almost every screw system can be used and a low complication rate can be observed. (15,28) The intramedullary screws may also be combined with a tension-band. (29) On one hand, this technique has a superior clinical performance, a better patient outcome and lower health care costs than the plate technique. (30) On the other hand despite having lower primary stability, the screw fixation showed in the clinical outcome comparable results to the tension-band wiring. These results were confirmed in several biomechanical studies. (26,28,29,31–34) Intramedullary nailing has about the same indication than the tension-band wiring and includes simple, non-comminuted transverse fracture patterns. (11)

4.3. Olecranon excision

Olecranon excision and triceps advancement still is a viable treatment option: in this method at about 50% of the articular surface can be excised, which allows the elbow joint to remain stable. This operation is only possible when the medial collateral ligament, the interosseous membrane, the distal radioulnar joint and specially the coronoid are intact; otherwise there is a risk that the joint becomes unstable. (11,35) The outcome after excision of the distal fragment is variable, because frequently the joint loses its stability and a potential triceps power loss may occur. Fragment excision and triceps advancement is appropriate in selected cases
in which open reduction seems unlikely to be successful, such as in osteoporotic elderly patients, with severely comminuted fractures or in low-demand patients. (11,35–37)

**4.4. Polyester or polyethylene tension suture**

Another proposition for olecranon fractures in patients with osteoporotic bones is the polyester or polyethylene tension suture, combined with the classical K-wire positioning. (38–40) Elderly people, or people with osteoporotic bones have weaker muscular forces and weaker bone mass. Therefore tension-band wiring with stainless steel seems to be less appropriate, due to the increased risk of suffering from complications like K-wire migration. (11,12,15,38–41) The results for this alternative tension-band constructs are variable: whilst some studies showed comparable results concerning the fracture stability, others showed less good results compared to the classic tension-band wiring. (38–40,42)

**4.5. Tension-band wiring**

The most commonly used techniques for surgically managing olecranon fractures is the tension-band wiring. In fact, a study referred to this technique as the “gold standard” for treatment of displaced, minimally comminuted olecranon fractures. (43) This operation with an open reduction of a transverse olecranon fracture is the AO technique with a figure of eight loop tension-band wiring. One of the first to describe an operative treatment of a displaced olecranon fracture was Lister in 1883, when he used aseptic conditions and “loop wire” fixation to internally fix the fracture. (44) Pauwels in 1935 and Knight in 1949 made Adjustments of this technique. (11) Afterwards Weber and Vasey originally introduced the figure of eight tension loop technique in 1963. (45) The simple loop, the previous method of choice, is not as satisfactory as the figure of eight loops. (18) The technique consists of two K-wires inserted from the tip of the olecranon through the long axis of the ulna and a tension-band wire loop. (13) In the classical technique only two locking devices were used, which, after Molloy et al. is enough. (27) Other studies showed that the amount of locking devices used, correlates with the prevention of wire-migration. (46)

In our classical model two K-wires were used. They were placed in a parallel, antegrade manner at a distance from the tip of the olecranon to the anterior cortex
of the coronoid process of the ulna, to prevent wire migration. (10,47) The K-wires are obliged to pass as close as possible by the humeroulnar joint, without penetrating into the articulation. (10) It is recommended to introduce the K-wires trans-cortically instead of introducing them intramedullary, thus leading to a decreased complication rate and a five times reduced need to remove the hardware. Other biomechanical fixation-studies confirm that the transcortical method offers an increase in resistance to displacement of the K-wires. (47,48) It is important, to not advance these wires far beyond the cortex, otherwise, the anterior neurovascular bundle is at risk. (49) The tension-band wire has a transosseous anchorage in the distal part of the ulna and reaches a trans-tendinous anchorage proximally at the triceps insertion. One should make sure to grasp the triceps tendon as close as possible to the bone surface of the ulna in order to increase the stability. (12,15) Both wires of the figure of eight loop have to be tightened separately, to produce a symmetrical tension, one twist over the ulna and the other twist over the radius. (26,50)

Fig. 2: Antero-posterior view of the classic AO technique tension band wiring construct.

Fig. 3: Lateral view of the classic AO technique tension band wiring construct.

This particular tension-band wiring construct converts the tensile force, generated by the triceps muscle contraction, into compressive force along the fracture plane with the trochlea functioning as a fulcrum. (12,18,48) By bending the elbow, an intermittent dynamic compression at the fracture gap is achieved, thereby promoting the healing-process. (5,31,32)

Many modifications of the standard tension-band wiring fixation and other alternative fixation methods have been developed in the past. All with regard of the same intention: to improve the stability of fixations of olecranon fractures and to reduce the complications of the operation. (51,52)

**Complications of tension-band wiring**

Unfortunately there are many problems accompanying the fixation of the fractured olecranon by the tension-band wiring technique. The most investigated and problematic step is the cutting-out of the K-wires with subsequent secondary displacement of the fracture.
Fig. 3: Radiographs of a noncomminuted, stable, displaced olecranon fracture of a 75 years old female patient. Classic AO technique.

Fig. 3-A: Antero-posterior view, before treatment.
Fig. 3-B: Lateral view, before treatment.
Fig. 3-C: Antero-posterior view after treatment with the classic AO technique.
Fig. 3-D: Lateral view after treatment with the classic AO technique.
Fig. 3-E: Antero-posterior view after failure.
Fig. 3-F: Lateral view after failure.
Other known complications are delayed union or non-union of the fracture. In addition postoperative hematoma, skin break down with a superficial infection or the prominence of the K-wire at the insertion-site with residual pain can occur. Lesions of the ulnar nerve, the neurovascular bundles on the anterior part of the ulna or the median nerve palsy are other well described complications of open reduction of internal fixations of olecranon fractures. The K-wires don’t even necessarily need to penetrate into the soft tissue of the deep flexor compartment of the forearm to cause such lesions.

To exclude nerve damage caused by the surgical intervention, after every olecranon operation the anterior interosseous nerve has to be tested with respect to its sensory and motor function. If the nerve is lesioned one would be unable to form actively a complete circle using the thumb and the index finger. (49,53) Furthermore heterotopic ossification, post-traumatic osteoarthritis or impaired forearm rotation can be observed after the operation. (5,8,13,15,43,44,47,54–59)

K-wire migration with olecranon fragment dislocation is a frequent mechanical complication. The instability of K-wires can lead to opening of the fracture gap, with the risk of bony non-union. (13) Furthermore, the K-wire migration often leads to skin irritation and wound-healing problems.

This grave complication can probably be eliminated by the proper fixation-technique and by keeping in mind the following rules and findings: (44) 1. If the figure-of-eight loop insertion in the ulna is too distal, an opening of the fracture in the focus centre can be observed. 2. If the transosseous passage of the wires is too superficial, the bone bridge can break and secondary instability occurs. 3. If the anchorage of the wires in the triceps tendon is insufficient, a posterior displacement of the olecranon can occur. If the K-wires are cutting out, a secondary surgical intervention is indicated. (1,54,56)

Helm et al. reported that 82% of the patients in his study population needed hardware removal after tension-band wiring and in the study of Romero et al. up to 70% needed this second intervention. (56,59) Hume and Wiss performed a randomised prospective trial with 41 patients suffering from displaced olecranon fractures. (24) They were treated either with tension-band wiring or plating. Eight patients in the tension-band wiring group (42%) complained of hardware problems, compared to one patient in the plating group. Hardware removal is not necessarily
negatively influencing the fracture’s stability. Van der Linden et al. and other studies (Rommens) showed a remarkable better long-term outcome and a better range of motion after hardware removal compared to patients with hardware remaining in place. (1,13) Therefore it is even recommended to remove the osteosynthetic material after fracture healing.

Tension-band wiring however is not recommended for unstable, oblique fractures, due to the higher incidence of loss of fixation and subsequent mal-union or non-union. These fractures require different treatment options. (9) Variable other publications state that with supplementary added Kirschner wires for the control of rotational stability, an AO technique can even be applied to treat comminuted olecranon fractures. (48,60)

5. **Aim of the study**

Using a biomechanical model we compared the stability of fixation for two different tension-band wiring techniques for olecranon fractures. The different tension-band wirings were compared to the traditional AO tension-band wiring technique, the gold standard for olecranon fracture fixations. (33) We hypothesize that the new technique provides equal or better stability compared to the traditional tension-band fixation and lower risk of secondary displacement and K-wire cut through. A modified K-wire insertion angle is compared with the classical insertion technique as gold standard.

6. **Materials and methods**

During the study different models were elaborated to allow the assessment of stability of fixation and the risk of cut through.

6.1. **First model**

The first model tested was the AO tension-band wiring on an artificial ulna model: the ulna models (Synbone, Malans, Switzerland) reflect the exact proportions and anatomical dimensions of the human ulna. In the human anatomy, the humerus is the thrust bearing in the elbow joint. To replace the humerus, we used a thrust bearing out of medical high viscosity low-heat cement, which was perfectly adapted
to the synbone ulna. (Copal spacem, Heraeus, Wehrheim, Deutschland)

A small medial transverse arthrotomy on the olecranon articulation was introduced to ensure a complete reduction of the osteotomy. A sagittal saw was used to create an osteotomy in the mid-portion of the semilunar notch to simulate an olecranon fracture.

The osteotomy was reduced with two Kirschner wires 1.6 mm in diameter. They were inserted from the tip of the olecranon to the anterior ulnar cortex, where they penetrate the cortex distal to the coronoid process. A precise positioning of the drill holes can be achieved by stabilizing the drill through a guidance rail. (14) So every K-wire was placed identically. Perioperative control radiographies have to be executed to ensure the correct position of the K-wires. In addition to the long drill holes a 2mm transverse drill hole was placed 3,5 cm distal to the fracture site in the posterior cortex of the distal fragment. One stainless steel wire (SS316L, Synthes, Switzerland) is inserted in the drill hole, whilst another wire surrounds the humerus and penetrates the triceps tendon. For the creation a figure of eight tension-band, the ends of the stainless steel wire that pas the drill hole is have to be twirled with an end of the wires that penetrates the triceps tendon opposite side. (picture) They are tightened by a two-knot technique by placing twists in each knot.

In the modified version we changed the angle of insertion of the Kirschner wires. The Kirschner wires perforate the posterior cortical of the distal fragment of the ulna, distal to the coronoid process. They were introduced at the tip of the olecranon. The tension-band wire was placed identical to the traditional osteosynthesis.
The ulna specimens were fixed in a cement rail with a metal clamp. The thrust bearing part, in the human anatomy corresponding to the trochlea of the humerus, was directly integrated into the rail. A screw was drilled into the tip of the olecranon, where in the human body the insertion point of the triceps tendon is located. With an Instron servohydraulic material testing machine (Zwick 1475, Ulm, Germany) an orthogonal pressure was applied on the screw. The pressure thereby simulated an active pull of the tendon in a flexed elbow.

We were faced with several problems linked to the artificial synbone model we used: The K-wire didn’t cut through in the synbone like we expected, because the
synbone was too dense and solid. We were therefore unable to imitate a cut through of the K-wires and measurements showed only elastic deformation of the hardware.

Fig. 6: Radiographs of a noncomminuted, stable, displaced olecranon fracture of a 86 years old patient. Modified technique.
Fig. 6-A: Lateral view, before treatment.
Fig. 6-B: Antero-posterior view, before treatment.
Fig. 6-C: Lateral view, after treatment with the modified technique.
Fig. 6-D: Antero-posterior view, after treatment with the modified technique.
6.2. Second model

In the second model we thought to increase the stiffness of the K-wires by increasing its diameter up to 2 mm. But even with this revised experiment protocol with the increase of the K-wire’s diameter we couldn’t observe a cut-out of the Kirschner wires. Horne et al. already described this problem in a study with eighty-eight olecranon fractures. They were unable to show a relationship between the placement of the tension-band wiring and the thickness of the Kirschner wires. (4)

6.3. Third Model

In the third model we replaced the synbone by a polyurethane bone, which offers more similar mechanical characteristics compared to a human ulna. But unfortunately it was impossible to construct an anatomically correct ulnar model out of polyurethane material, so we had to revise and adapt our model again.

The aim of the study is to reveal a difference in biomechanical stability of two distinct tension-band models. Therefore we created a new, theoretically correct, but anatomically different model: the olecranon bone was replaced by a cubic polyurethane block (LAST-A-FOAM® FR-6715, General Plastics Manufacturing Company, Tacoma, WA 98409, USA) and the humerus by a thrust block out of aluminium. The polyurethane foam density is 240 kg/m$^3$.

The fixation method of the traditional AO tension-band wiring model and the modified fixation model with the different angle in the K-wire fixation remains unchanged like for all models used. As described in Molony et al. we used specialised jig guidance for passing the tension-band wiring into the polyurethane olecranon cube. By applying the jig guide, a better result is expected. (14) The thrust bearing was a perfectly shape-adapted aluminium reel. In this model, the K-wires will cut-out in the polyurethane cube, because the olecranon part is as strong as described in a physiological model in the human body. But in both models the cerclage cut in the edge of the polyurethane cube.
6.4. Fourth model

In the fourth model we decided to adapt our model and replace the figure of eight tension-band wiring with Dall-Miles, because we were unable to measure the tension on the wire loop. (1.6mm SS Dall-Miles™, Stryker, USA) The figure of eight cerclage miles were tightened with a force measurement device. This clamping set. This clamping set also measures the tension on the cable, to achieve equal tensions on both sides of the osteotomy and to provide consistency of fixation between specimens. Kozin et al. declared that there wasn’t any statistically significant increased stability between multifilament cable and normal cerclage wires. (61) Contrary, a cadaveric study by Prayson et al. showed in their fixation
study that a braided cable produces a more stable construct compared to a monofilament wire. (48) In our model we realized, that the Dall-Miles were too rigid and that the screw, on which we exerted the orthogonal force, cut out. We got away from the screw load transmission and constructed a disc on the upper side of the olecranon cube, on which we applied the force to simulate the triceps tension. With this disc, we disposed of an increased load transmission zone without cutting-out of the screw.

Another problem we observed was that the Dall-Miles cut in the edge of the polyurethane cube, because the compression on the edge was too high. Even additional aluminium coverage couldn’t solve this problem.

Fig. 9: Modified technique with Dall-Miles wiring with additional aluminium coverage.

6.5. Final model

In the fifth model we maintained the polyurethane cubic olecranon part, the aluminium ulna and the K-wires and came back to the traditional stain-less steel tension-band wiring. The figure of eight tension-band was correctly applicable, even when the olecranon fragment material was different from the anatomical correct structure. We couldn’t observe any cutting-in in the edge of the polyurethane cube. Nevertheless we kept the aluminium coverage in the modified model and omitted the coverage in the traditional AO model because there was no loading between cerclage and polyurethane cube. In this final model we couldn’t detect any cutting-in of the K-wires and the aluminium plate on the cubic olecranon didn’t sink in.
The same operator performed all instrumentations and operations, to minimize the interpersonal differences.

**Fig. 10-A**
Fig. 10-A: The figure shows the classic AO technique after the force application.

**Fig. 10-B**
Fig. 10-B: Theoretical model of the classic AO technique.

**Fig. 11-A**
Fig. 11-A: The figure shows the modified technique after the force application.

**Fig. 11-B**
Fig. 11-B: Theoretical model of the modified technique.
7. Biomechanical testing

In the current study the tension-band wiring was exposed to a static single cycle load-to-failure test, after the testing model of Molloy et al. or Koslovsky et al. (27,33)

Each ulna was mounted in a uniaxial Zwick 1475 testing machine (Ulm, Germany) for mechanical load testing. The ulnar shaft was kept fixed in a horizontal position and stable with supporting devices. The humerus was in vertical position thus 90° to the ulna. The aluminium reinforcements wasn't fixed, only kept and stabilized by the vertical force on itself. Triceps muscle tension was simulated via application of a vertical force on the proximal tip of the olecranon process. Thus a three-point bending test was performed. We started with a preload of 0 N, the testing speed was 2mm/minute and the force was measured.

A linear variable displacement transducer (WI/5mm-T, HBM, Darmstadt, Deutschland) was attached on the posterior part of the aluminium ulna model and pointed on a pad, fixed proximal to the osteotomy with supporting devices.

![Image](image.jpg)

**Fig. 12: The linear displacement transducer**

The linear variable displacement transducer (WI/5mm-T) fixed on the posterior part of the ulna and measured the distance to a pad, fixed on the olecranon part.

The osteotomy side will open first on the posterior part, due to the thrust bearing, which inhibits the opening on the anterior side. The opening of the osteotomy was measured with a precision of 0.001 mm. Maximum load at failure was defined as the
maximum load recorded up to the point of fixation failure. The fixation failure was defined as a fracture gap of 2 mm of the proximal fragment relative to the shaft of the ulna or if 600 N was achieved before. This definition was chosen because more than 2 mm of intraarticular step-off was shown by Murphy et al. to be associated with a higher incidence of posttraumatic osteoarthritis. (32)

We applied a force on the aluminium disc on the olecranon part and measured the displacement at the osteotomy according to the applied force. After the test, we examined the polyurethane cube, to detect any possible cutting-in and the K-wire to detect any hardware failure.

8. Results

We compared 10 models of the standard classical AO tension-band wiring fixation against 10 models with modified technique, as described in the point 6.5. In our study we couldn’t detect any significant difference between the two techniques, neither for maximal failure load, maximal opening angle nor for the maximal work for maximal force. The maximal failure load of either technique was more than 600 N (p = 0.94). The maximal opening angle for both techniques is about 10° (p = 0.86). The modified technique showed that more maximal work is required to achieve the maximal force, but again, no significant difference (p = 0.16) was detected.

To achieve a divergence of 2 mm at the osteotomy site, the standard AO technique needed a mean force of 100 N, the modified technique a force of about 120N. But in the AO technique much more important variation of the values was observable, but a significant difference wasn’t detectable. (p = 0.479)

A 1-way-Anova test was used for statistical comparison, and p < 0.05 considered as the statistical significance level.

In both groups, no fracture of the K-wires and no cut through of the K-wires could be revealed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AO technique</th>
<th>Modified technique</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximal failure load</td>
<td>&gt; 600 N</td>
<td>&gt; 600 N</td>
<td>p = 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal opening angle</td>
<td>10°</td>
<td>10°</td>
<td>p = 0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal work for maximal force</td>
<td>0.9 J</td>
<td>1.1 J</td>
<td>p = 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force to 2 mm</td>
<td>100 N</td>
<td>120 N</td>
<td>p = 0.479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 1: Comparison of the two techniques**

Maximal failure load, maximal opening angle, maximal work for maximal force and force to 2 mm in the two different techniques.

**Fig. 13: Evaluation graphs**

Maximal failure load, maximal opening angle, maximal work for maximal force and force to 2 mm in the two different techniques.
9. Discussion

Tension-band wiring is successful for internal fixations a valuable option for ORIF of transverse olecranon fractures. Alternatives however, can be olecranon fractures may be treated with excision, screw, pin, or plate fixation. The AO tension-band wiring technique is the most popular method and remains the gold standard for the treatment of transverse non-comminuted olecranon fractures. (3,4,6,32,36,43,44) For classic tension-band wiring, Villanueva et al. reported good and excellent results in 86% of the patients and Karlsson et al. reported in a long-term study good and excellent results in 96% of the interventions. (55,62) Through the intermittent dynamic compression, which is achieved by bending the elbow, the healing process can be promoted. (5,31,32)

Our study compared the classical AO tension-band wiring with stainless steel wire and a modified tension-band wiring with modified angle of K-wire insertion. Our aim was to achieve a better stability of the fixation and lower risk of cut through of the K-wires. Both of the models (classical AO tension-band wiring and modified technique) showed the same results concerning the maximal failure load and the maximal opening angle. A small difference between the two models is shown for the work that needs to be applied for the fracture gap opening. In many studies, the force was measured at a fracture gap of 2 mm, because more than 2 mm of intraarticular step is associated with a higher incidence of posttraumatic osteoarthritis. (27,32,42) In our study, both models needed about the same force to open up the fracture gap to more than 2mm. The relatively small number of models tested in each group and the materials we used may explain the absence of a significant difference between the two models. Because the material we used isn’t human bone and so a stability difference is possible as well as the physical properties. The used artificial material (polyurethane) was probably too strong to make differences visible.

Bending and cut through of the K-wires lead in both techniques to opening at the fracture plane and thus to failure. The different angle of K-wire insertion in the two set-ups leads also to a different angle of the cerclage wiring. This modification can possibly influence the tightness of the fixation, the tension on the fracture gap and the capacity of resistance of the cerclage. In the modified technique we have a longer passage of the K-wires in the olecranon fragment, there a higher tension is attained until the K-wires break in the polyurethane fragment. To achieve a better
stability, it is important to pass the K-wires as close as possible to the articular surface. To exclude any lesion of the joint, the elbow should be manipulated before closing; this to ensure that there is no impingement of flexion/extension or supination/pronation. (10)

With help of the completely different K-wire angle in the new method, we succeeded in decreasing the classical complications, which is an enormous advantage for the clinical outcome of such a surgical intervention. The K-wires penetrate the olecranon and the triceps tendon more proximal; this results in less prominent wires under the surface of the skin and therefore less skin irritation. Other complications, like neurovascular damage, can be avoided by penetrating the distal end of the ulna on the posterior side, as applied in our new study model: palsy of the ulnar or the medial nerve can be prevented, lesion of the ulnar artery or the brachial artery on the anterior side of the ulna can be eliminated. (49,57). Like this, any vascular injury and sensitive or motor loss can be excluded.

This study has shown that there exist many other operation methods with an easier access to the operation site. If we can insert the K-wire from the tip of the olecranon to the posterior site of the ulna, the access of the two ends is much easier. We don’t penetrate nor injure the extensor muscles of the hand, which results in decreased complications at the operations side and faster convalescence.

The potential limitations of our study model are largely associated to the use of a model with different materials. We worked with a very theoretical model designed to fulfil dimensional criterias with regard of the normal anatomical structures. Nevertheless we have to keep in mind that a synbone-fracture model does not represent all qualities of human bone.

Additionally, we were working with aluminium covering to stabilize and secure the polyurethane cube and to avoid cut-in of the cerclage. The extended force was only applied on the site of the triceps insertion - the surrounding tissue, skin as well as the supination force have been neglected, which could influence the operation side. Because it is an artificial model, we cannot simulate the healing process or the follow-up of the patient. (46) Furthermore we are not able to observe or test any long time complications or outcomes of the operation.

A next step would be a paired cadaveric test under simulated loading conditions, as
this is the standard for biomechanical analysis of fracture fixation devices. Comparison of our data to other biomechanical studies should be done carefully because many characteristics of our model could account for any discrepancies in results.

An advantage of our study is that every comorbidity can be excluded and we could work independently of patient’s collaboration.

However, blinding of the operator was not possible and all the results are reliant on the operator’s performance. All procedures are on the same level of technical skills and for all tests we worked with the same technical standard operation equipment. All the technical handles and fixations were performed by the same operator.

10. Conclusion

Tension-band wiring is an excellent technique for fixation of olecranon fractures. A high success rate can generally be achieved, but there are many complications known. Our new developed model is comparable to the classic model with regard of its stability of fixation, combined with a decreased complication rate with respect to neurovascular injury to the wire perforation at the volar side of the ulna.
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