

Highlighting the Dystonic Phenotype Related to GNAO1

Thomas Wirth, MD,^{1,2,3*}  Giacomo Garone, MD,^{4,5}
 Manju A. Kurian, PhD,⁶  Amélie Piton, PhD,^{2,3,7}
 Francisca Millan, MD,⁸ Aida Telegrafi, MS,⁸
 Nathalie Drouot, Msc,³ Gabrielle Rudolf, PhD,^{1,2,3}
 Jamel Chelly, MD,^{2,3,7} Warren Marks, MD,⁹
 Lydie Burglen, MD,¹⁰ Diane Demailly, MD,¹¹
 Phillipe Coubes, MD,¹¹ Mayte Castro-Jimenez, MD,¹²
 Sylvie Joriot, MD,¹³ Jamal Ghomid, MD,¹⁴
 Jérémie Belin, MD,¹⁵ Jean-Marc Faucheux, MD,¹⁶
 Lubov Blumkin, MD,¹⁷ Mariam Hull, MD,¹⁸ 
 Mered Parnes, MD,¹⁸  Claudia Ravelli, MD,¹⁹
 Gaëtan Poulen, MD,¹¹ Nadège Calmels, PharmD,^{2,3,7}
 Andrea H. Nemeth, MD,²⁰ Martin Smith, MD,²⁰
 Angela Barnicoat, MD,²¹ Claire Ewencyk, MD,^{22,23}
 Aurélie Méneret, MD,^{22,23}  Emmanuel Roze, MD,^{22,23}
 Boris Keren, MD,^{22,23} Cyril Mignot, MD,^{22,23}
 Christophe Beroud, MD,²⁴ Fernando Acosta, Jr, MD,⁹
 Catherine Nowak, MD,²⁵ William G. Wilson, MD,²⁶
 Dora Steel, BMBCh,⁶ Alessandro Capuano, MD,⁵
 Marie Vidailhet, MD,^{22,23} Jean-Pierre Lin, MD,²⁷ 
 Christine Tranchant, MD,^{1,2,3} Laura Cif, MD,¹¹ 
 Diane Doummar, MD,¹⁹ and Mathieu Anheim, MD,^{1,2,3}

¹Département de Neurologie, Hôpital de Haute-pierre, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg ²Fédération de Médecine Translationnelle de Strasbourg (FMTS), Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France ³Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, Illkirch, France ⁴University Hospital Pediatric Department, IRCCS Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy ⁵Movement Disorders Clinic, Department of Neurosciences, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Rome, Italy ⁶Molecular Neurosciences, Developmental Neurosciences, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London, United Kingdom ⁷Laboratoire de diagnostic génétique,

Nouvel Hôpital Civil, Hôpitaux universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France ⁸GeneDx, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA ⁹Cook Children's Medical Centre, Fort Worth, Texas, USA ¹⁰Centre de Référence des Malformations et Maladies Congénitales du Cervelet, Département de Génétique et Embryologie Médicale, APHP, Hôpital Trousseau, Paris, France ¹¹Département de Neurochirurgie, Unité des Pathologies Cérébrales Résistantes, Unité de Recherche sur les Comportements et Mouvements Anormaux, Hôpital Gui de Chauliac, Centre Hospitalier Régional Montpellier, Montpellier, France ¹²Service de Neurologie, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV) and University of Lausanne (UNIL), Lausanne, Switzerland ¹³Department of Paediatric Neurology, University Hospital of Lille, Lille, France ¹⁴Univ. Lille, ULR7364 RADEME, CHU Lille, Clinique de Génétique Guy Fontaine, Lille, France ¹⁵Service de neurologie, CHU Tours, Tours, France ¹⁶Service de neurologie, Hôpital d'Agen, Agen, France ¹⁷Pediatric Movement Disorders Clinic, Pediatric Neurology Unit, Wolfson Medical Center, Holon, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel ¹⁸Pediatric Movement Disorders Clinic, Blue Bird Circle Clinic for Pediatric Neurology, Section of Pediatric Neurology and Developmental Neuroscience, Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA ¹⁹Sorbonne Université, Service de Neuropédiatrie-Pathologie du développement, centre de référence neurogénétique, Hôpital Trousseau AP-HP.SU, FHU I2D2, Paris, France ²⁰Oxford University Hospitals National Health Service Foundation Trust and University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom ²¹Department of Clinical Genetics, Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, United Kingdom ²²Sorbonne Université/Inserm U1127/CNRS UMR 7225/Institut du Cerveau, Paris, France ²³Service de neurologie, Hôpital la Pitié Salpêtrière, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France ²⁴Aix Marseille Université, INSERM, MMG, Bioinformatics & Genetics, Marseille, France ²⁵The Feingold Center for Children, Division of Genetics and Genomics, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ²⁶Department of Pediatrics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA ²⁷Children's Neurosciences Department, Evelina London Children's Hospital, Guy's and St Thomas NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Correspondence to: Dr. Thomas Wirth, Département de Neurologie, Hôpital de Haute-pierre, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, 1, avenue Molière, 67098 Strasbourg, France; E-mail: thomas.wirth@etu.unistra.fr

Thomas Wirth and Giacomo Garone contributed equally to this work and should be considered as co-first authors.

Laura Cif, Diane Doummar, and Mathieu Anheim contributed equally to this work and should be considered as co-last authors.

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: None.

Funding agencies: T.W. was funded by a grant from the Revue Neurologique for this work. The study was partly supported by a grant provided by France Parkinson.

Received: 26 January 2022; **Revised:** 2 May 2022; **Accepted:** 5 May 2022

Published online 20 June 2022 in Wiley Online Library ([wileyonlinelibrary.com](https://www.wileyonlinelibrary.com)). DOI: 10.1002/mds.29074

ABSTRACT: Background: Most reported patients carrying GNAO1 mutations showed a severe phenotype characterized by early-onset epileptic encephalopathy and/or chorea.

Objective: The aim was to characterize the clinical and genetic features of patients with mild GNAO1-related phenotype with prominent movement disorders.

Methods: We included patients diagnosed with GNAO1-related movement disorders of delayed onset (>2 years). Patients experiencing either severe or profound intellectual disability or early-onset epileptic encephalopathy were excluded.

Results: Twenty-four patients and 1 asymptomatic subject were included. All patients showed dystonia as prominent movement disorder. Dystonia was focal in 1, segmental in 6, multifocal in 4, and generalized in 13. Six patients showed adolescence or adulthood-onset dystonia. Seven patients presented with parkinsonism and 3 with myoclonus. Dysarthria was observed in 19 patients. Mild and moderate ID were present in 10 and 2 patients, respectively.

Conclusion: We highlighted a mild *GNAO1*-related phenotype, including adolescent-onset dystonia, broadening the clinical spectrum of this condition. © 2022 The Authors. *Movement Disorders* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society

Key Words: dystonia; *GNAO1*; phenotypes; mutation

GNAO1 mutations have been associated with two phenotypes: a severe, early-infantile epileptic encephalopathy with burst-suppression (EIEE17, OMIM 615473¹) and a neurodevelopmental disorder with involuntary movements (NEDIM, OMIM 617493²⁻⁴), with or without seizures. *GNAO1* encodes the α -subunit of a heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide-binding protein ($G_{\alpha o}$), which is widely expressed in the central nervous system, playing an important role in signal transduction through AMPc metabolism in the striatum.^{2,5,6} As the number of reports increased, it became evident that *GNAO1*-related encephalopathies encompass a continuous spectrum of neurological syndromes featuring variable association of movement disorders, psychomotor delay, intellectual disability (ID), and different types of epilepsy.^{2,7} *GNAO1*-related movement disorder usually starts in infancy. Choreoathetosis is usually described with spontaneous or trigger-induced exacerbations, potentially leading to *status dystonicus*, as a hallmark of the disease.² Most patients reported so far showed a severe phenotype, with recurrent exacerbations and significant disability. However, in a few atypical, milder cases, with movement disorder onset in late childhood or adolescence, no acute exacerbation and less-severe disability have been identified using next-generation sequencing techniques.⁸⁻¹⁰ In this study, we characterized the clinical and genetic features of a cohort of patients with mild *GNAO1*-related phenotype characterized by prominent movement disorders, further expanding the spectrum of this condition.

Patients and Methods

Patients

Patients carrying causative heterozygous variants in *GNAO1* and exhibiting mild phenotypes were included from 18 neurology and neuropediatric movement disorders reference centers from the United States, France, Israel, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, and Italy. Mild phenotype was defined by (1) lack of severe or profound ID, (2) lack of early-onset epileptic encephalopathy, (3) late-onset (ie, after age 2 years) appearance of movement disorders, and (4) acquisition of walk. Patients were

recruited through an international collaboration mediated by the online platform Genematcher.¹¹ All patients were assessed by neurologists or neuro-pediatricians with an expertise in movement disorders. Patients' phenotypes from family 6 and family 4, which were previously reported elsewhere, were added in the cohort as further clinical data were obtained.

Genetic Analysis

CGH-array, gene panel, exome, and genome sequencing were performed as previously reported.^{9,10,12-15} Detailed procedures of the sequencing, including library preparation and bioinformatic analysis, are available in [Supplementary Data](#). Variants were considered as causative if they fulfilled the following criteria: (1) known disease mutation reported in ClinVar; (2) loss-of-function variant, including protein truncating variants, frameshift indel, large deletion, and splice site changes predicted to cause aberrant splicing; or (3) missense variant with a CADD score >20, absent in GnomAD and predicted to be deleterious by at least two additional algorithms (Polyphen-2, SIFT and Mutation taster). In addition, variant class of pathogenicity was reported according to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) guidelines.¹⁶

Ethics

All patients and relatives provided written informed consent before genetic analysis. Strasbourg University Hospital review board gave approval for the exome sequencing of families 4 and 6 that was performed in a research framework. Genetic analysis for other families was performed for diagnostic purposes.

Results

We included 24 patients (15 women) and 1 asymptomatic carrier from 20 different families. Patients' clinical characteristics are provided in [Table 1](#). Mean age at inclusion was 23.8 years (range: 5–66), mean age at disease onset was 6.6 years (range: 0.25–47), and mean age of dystonia onset was 10.1 years (range: 2–47). Initial manifestations included dystonia in 10 (41%), myoclonus or seizure in 1, developmental delay in 13, language delay in 4, motor delay in 9, and hypotonia in 4 patients. Seven patients were from three unrelated families showing autosomal dominant inheritance, while all others were sporadic cases due to de novo mutations. Pedigrees of these three families and videos of patients are available in [Supplementary Data](#).

Dystonia was the main movement disorder in all patients, prominently affecting multiple segments of the upper body part in 21 patients or being limited to the cervical segment in 1 patient. Dystonia was isolated, namely not associated to any other symptom, in

TABLE 1 Clinical and genetic features of GNAO1 mutation carriers

Patient ID	Ancestry	Gender	Age at last assessment	Age at first symptoms	Dystonia										GNAO1 variant					
					First symptom	Dystonia age of onset	Topography	Progression	Acute exacerbations	Parkinsonism	Myoclonus	Chorea	Hypotonia	Intellectual disability		Seizures	Speech	Other	Treatment response	
Family 1 Case A	North African	Female	28 y	4 y	Seizure	12 y	Segmental: face, neck, upper limbs	No	No	Akathetic-rigid syndrome	No	No	Mild	Yes	Normal	None	Mild response to levodopa, moderate improvement with trihexyphenidyl	[NM_020988.3]: c.68 T > C; p.L23P, hz		
Family 2 Case A	North African	Female	5 y	By 1 y	Developmental delay (motor delay)	2 y	Generalized: oromandibular, trunk. Dystonic gait	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Dysarthria	None	None	NA	[NM_020988.3]: c.137A > G; p.K46R, hz		
Family 3 Case A	European	Male	19 y	3 mo	Developmental delay (motor delay, hypotonia)	12 y	Generalized: left upper limb, cervical and axial dystonia. BEMDRS: 16	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Mild	Yes	Dysarthria	None	No response to levodopa and tetrabenazine, minimal improvement with gabapentin and trihexyphenidyl	[NM_020988.3]: c.535A > G; p.R179G, hz		
Family 4 Case A	European	Male	24 y	15 y	Dystonia	15 y	Segmental: oromandibular and cervical dystonia	No	No	No	No	No	No	Dysarthria	None	None	No response to levodopa and tetrabenazine, mild worsening by Gpi-DBS	[NM_020988.3]: c.617G > A; p.R206Q, hz		
Family 4 Case B	European	Female	53 y	47 y	Dystonia	47 y	Focal: cervical	No	No	No	No	No	No	Normal	None	NA				
Family 4 Case C	European	Female	57 y	30 y	Dystonia	30 y	Multifocal: upper and lower limbs, laryngeal dystonia with dysarthria	No	No	No	No	No	No	Dysarthria	None	None	NA			
Family 5 Case A	European	Female	5 y 11 mo	3 mo	Developmental delay (motor delay, hypotonia)	5 y	Multifocal: four limbs	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Dysarthria language delay	None	None	NA	[NM_020988.3]: c.622G > C; p.E208N, hz		
Family 6 Case A	European	Male	31 y	3 y	Dystonia	3 y	Generalized: left lower limb dystonia, bilateral upper limbs dystonia, laryngeal dystonia, abnormal axial posture. BEMDRS: 24.5	Yes	No	No	Yes	Mild	No	Dysarthria	None	None	Mild response to levodopa, mild response to trihexyphenidyl	[NM_020988.3]: c.644G > A; p.C215Y, hz		
Family 6 Case B	European	Female	66 y	5 y	Dystonia	5 y	Generalized: laryngeal dystonia, facial dystonia, axial dystonia, abnormal posture of the left hand and bilateral pes valgus. BEMDRS: 23.5	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Dysarthria	Pyramidal syndrome	Subjective response to levodopa				
Family 7 Case A	European	Male	48 y	6 y	Dystonia	6 y	Generalized: oromandibular, cervical, trunk, upper limbs, left foot	Yes	No	No	No	No	Mild	Dysarthria	None	None	Subjective response to levodopa, no effect of trihexyphenidyl			
Family 8 Case A	European	Female	16 y	6 y	Dystonia	6 y	Segmental: oropharyngeal, neck and trapezius	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Mild	Severe dysarthria	MDD	Mild improvement with gabapentin, no benefit with trihexyphenidyl				

(Continues)

TABLE 1 *Continued*

Patient ID	Ancestry	Gender	Age at last assessment	Age at first symptoms	Dystonia											GMAOI variant			
					Dystonia		Acute exacerbations		Parkinsonism	Myoclonus	Chorea	Hypotonia	Intellectual disability	Seizures	Speech		Other	Treatment response	
					age of onset	Topography	Progression	exacerbations											
Family 9 Case A	African American	Male	13 y	7 mo	Developmental delay (language delay)	5 y	Generalized: initially axial (opisthotonic involvement) with neck secondary limbs and oromandibular involvement	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Moderate	No	Dysarthria	None	No response to levodopa and trihexyphenidyl; rash with clonazepam; minimal improvement with bupropion	[NM_020988.3]: c.724-8G > A, h1z
Family 10 Case A	Moroccan Syrian Jewish	Female	15 y	1 y	Developmental delay (motor delay)	5 y	Generalized dystonia. BFMDRS: 48.5	No	No	No	No	No	Mild	No	Dysarthria	Exaggerated startle reflex	No response to levodopa		
Family 11 Case A	European	Female	18 y 6 mo	By 1 y	Developmental delay (motor delay)	7 y	Generalized: cervical extension, dystonic forward trunk lean. BFMDRS: 85	Yes	No	No	No	No	Mild	No	Anarthria	None	No response to levodopa, trihexyphenidyl, and bupropion; sustained response to bilateral Gpi-DBS		
Family 12 Case A	European	Female	21 y	By 1 y	Developmental delay (motor delay)	7 y	Generalized dystonia with severe cervical neck extension and oromandibular dystonia. BFMDRS: 64.5	Yes	No	No	No	No	Moderate	No	Normal	None	No response to levodopa, carbamazepine, trihexyphenidyl, and bupropion; good response to Gpi-DBS		
Family 13 Case A	Caucasian	Male	20 y 2 mo	By 1 y	Developmental delay (motor delay, language delay)	11 y	Generalized: bilateral upper limb, axial, trunk, cervical, oro-linguo-pharyngolarynx dystonia with speech and swallowing impairment; dystonic gait; BFMDRS: 45.5	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Severe dysarthria	ADHD	No response to amantadine and levodopa; moderate and transient response to methylphenidate and trihexyphenidyl; good response to Gpi-DBS		
Family 14 Case A	Chinese European	Male	13 y	3 y	Developmental delay (language delay) myoclonus	11 y	Segmental: bilateral upper-limb dystonia	No	No	No	Yes (upper limbs)	No	Mild	No	Normal	ASD	No response to acetazolamide and amantadine; improvement in dystonia with trihexyphenidyl		
Family 15 Case A	Mixed European	Female	11 y 8 mo	10 mo	Developmental delay	2 y	Generalized: upper and lower limbs, axial, dystonia gait	Yes	No	No	Yes (upper limbs)	Yes (left sided)	No	No	Dysarthria	ADHD	Moderate response to tetraabenazine on chorea, good response to trihexyphenidyl		
Family 16 Case A	Northern European	Female	5 y 6 mo	3 mo	Developmental delay (motor delay, hypotonia)	5 y	Segmental: dystonic posturing of fingers and hands	No	No	No	No	No	Mild	No	Dysarthria	None	Good response to levodopa		
Family 17 Case A	Caucasian	Male	18 y	2 y	Developmental delay (language delay)	2 y	Segmental: laryngeal, right upper limb (handwriting) and cervical	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Dysarthria	None	Response to anticholinergic and levodopa	[NM_020988.3]: c.725A > C; p.N242I, h1z	
Family 18 Case A	European	Female	20 y 4 mo	6 y	Dystonia	6 y	Generalized dystonia. BFMDRS: 67.5	Yes	Yes	Bradykinesia-akinesia	No	Yes generalized	Mild	No	Anarthria	None	No response to haloperidol, tetraabenazine, and trihexyphenidyl;	[NM_020988.3]: c.737A > T; p.E246V, h1z	

(Continues)

TABLE 1 Continued

Patient ID	Ancestry	Gender	Age at last assessment	Age at first symptoms	First symptom	Dystonia					Treatment response	GNAOI variant						
						Dystonia age of onset	Topography	Progression	Acute exacerbations	Parkinsonism			Myoclonus	Chorea	Hypotonia	Intellectual disability	Seizures	Speech
Family 19 Case A	European	Female	13 y	6 y	Dystonia	6 y	Multifocal: bilateral upper limb, cervical, and oromandibular dystonia	No	No	Mild akinetetic rigid syndrome	No	No	No	Yes	Dysarthria	None	NA	[NM_020988.3]: c.765dupT; p.N256A, htz
Family 19 Case B	European	Female	39 y	16 y	Dystonia	16 y	Multifocal: bilateral upper limb, cervical, and oromandibular dystonia	No	No	Mild akinetetic rigid syndrome	No	No	No	No	Dysarthria	None	No response to clonazepam; sustained response to Gpi-DBS	
Family 20 Case A	Caucasian	Male	9 y	By 1 y	Developmental delay (motor delay, hypotonia)	9 y	Generalized: upper-limb and trunk dystonia, dystonic gait	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Normal	None	No response to levodopa, clonazepam, or bupropion	Heterozygous deletion in 10q12.2 (273–375 kb) encompassing GNAOI
Summary		Female 15 Male 9	Mean age at last assessment: 23.8 y	Mean age at disease onset: 6.6 y	Developmental delay: 13 Motor delay: 9 Language delay: 4 Dystonia: 10 Hypotonia: 4 Seizure: 1 Myoclonus: 1	Mean age at dystonia onset: 10.1 y	Focal: 1 Segmental: 6 Multifocal: 4 Generalized: 13	Progression: 13	Exacerbation: 3	Parkinsonism: 7	Myoclonus: 3	Chorea: 2	Hypotonia: 11	Intellectual disability: 12	Seizures: 3	Dysarthria: 19	Pyramidal	syndrome: 1 MDD: 1 ADHD: 2 ASD: 1 Exaggerated startle reflex: 1

Abbreviations: htz: heterozygous, NA, not available; BFMDRS: Burke Fahn Marsden Dystonia Rating Scale, dystonia score; Gpi-DBS: globus pallidus internal deep brain stimulation; MDD, major depressive disorder; ADHD, attention deficit with hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; ID, intellectual disability.

7 patients (29%). Dystonia was the only movement disorder in 14 patients and was combined with other movement disorders in 10, namely myoclonus in 3, chorea in 2, and parkinsonism in 7 (with 2 patients combining three movement disorders). Only 3 patients presented an acute exacerbation of dystonia. Dystonia course was non-progressive for 11 patients. Dystonia topography was generalized in 13 patients (54%), multifocal in 4 patients, segmental in 6 patients, and focal in 1 patient. Dystonia was associated with dysarthria/anarthria in 19 patients. Early-onset hypotonia preceded dystonia in 11 patients. Dystonia was associated with ID in 12 patients (mild for 10 and moderate for 2). Seizures occurred in 3 patients between age 4 and 19 years. Magnetic resonance imaging was unremarkable for all patients.

Movement disorders response to medication, including anticholinergic drugs, levodopa, tetrabenazine, amantadine, clonazepam, or methylphenidate, was variable. Six patients received pallidal deep brain stimulation (DBS), with significant improvement for 5 of them. Detailed treatment outcomes are available in [Supplementary Data](#).

Mutations carried by the patients are presented in [Table 1](#). Details regarding pathogenicity assessment are available in [Supplementary Data](#). Apart from the p.R206Q, all variants were classified as pathogenic (class V) according to the ACMG criteria. In family 4, we identified 3 patients with the R206Q variant, which was classified as a variant of uncertain significance due to the presence of an unaffected carrier, 4-D, son of 4-C, despite meeting other criteria of pathogenicity (absent from GnomAD, unanimously predicted damaging by in silico tools, affecting a highly conserved residue located in a hot spot without benign variation) (criteria PM1, PM2, PP2, and PP3). A recurring splicing variant (c.724-8G > A), previously reported in ClinVar, was identified in 8 patients (33%) showing late-onset and/or segmental dystonia. Previous report showed this variant to damage the natural splice acceptor site and create a stronger cryptic splice acceptor site in intron 6, resulting in the insertion of two amino acids leading to protein mislocation.¹⁷ Two patients were carrying a nonsense variant (p.N256*), and one was carrying a




FIG. 1. Impact of the mutations on the protein. **(A)** Position of the variant sites on the heterotrimeric complex containing the G α subunit. The heterotrimer is depicted in the resting state (GDP-bound, PDBcode 1GG2). Subunits α , β , and γ are colored in green, cyan, and gray, respectively. Affected residues in this cohort are in red, and their position is indicated both on the human G α o1 and on rat G α i1 (UniProtKB ID P10824, between brackets). GDP-binding residues are colored in yellow. Previously reported GNAO1 variants are in blue. On the right, a focused view of the GDP-binding site is shown. **(B)** Cartoon model of the heterotrimeric α - β - γ G-protein coupled-receptor on the synaptic cleft. **(C)** Schematic representation of the disease-causing variants on GNAO1 transcript (NM_020988.3) and protein (UniProtKB ID P09471-1). The amino acids impacted by the mutations identified in this work are in red, whereas previously reported variants are in black. The blue bar on the transcript indicates the translated region. The blue segments in the protein sequence indicate the G-motifs (containing the nucleotide binding residues)—numbered from 1 to 5. Molecular graphics are realized with UCSF Chimera (<http://www.rbvi.ucsf.edu/chimera>), developed by the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco, with support from NIH P41-GM103311. The cartoon has been created with BioRender.com. aa, amino acids; nt, nucleotides; UTR, untranslated region. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

large deletion encompassing *GNAO1*. Other mutations (p.L23P; p.K46R; p.R179G; p.R206Q; p.E208N; p.C215Y; and p.N242T and p.E246V) were all missense variants absent from GnomAD. The previously reported pathogenic p.C215Y variant⁸ was found in three unrelated families. All variants were close to known mutational hot spots (Fig. 1).

Discussion

Here, we report a large cohort of patients with mild *GNAO1*-related phenotypes, experiencing prominent movement disorders without severe chronic encephalopathy. The typical phenotype was a nonprogressive generalized or focal/segmental upper-body dystonia appearing beyond infancy, associated with dysarthria. Acute exacerbation occurred only in 3 patients, and 29% of patients showed isolated dystonia without additional neurological manifestation. Our inclusion criteria were able to identify these phenotypes that were in contrast with most of the previously reported patients with *GNAO1*-related movement disorders, who showed severe hyperkinetic encephalopathy with recurrent dystonic exacerbations,^{18,19} and profound developmental delay^{3,7} with or without epilepsy in the first year of life.^{1,7}

Dystonia distribution was segmental or focal in 7 patients, and clinical course was nonprogressive in 11 patients, while most of the previously reported patients with *GNAO1*-related movement disorders had generalized and rapidly progressive dystonia.² Dystonia topography revealed prominent upper-body distribution in most of our patients, reminiscent of the clinical pictures associated with other dystonia-related genes, such as *GNAL*^{20,21} or *ANO3*.^{22,23} Seven patients also exhibited mild parkinsonism, which is consistent with the role of $G_{\alpha o}$ in the signal transduction within the striatal projection neurons downstream of the dopamine receptors.^{6,24}

We identified 3 autosomal dominant families where multiple symptomatic relatives carried heterozygous variants, which was in contrast with all the previously reported patients who showed de novo mutations.² One p.R206Q carrier did not present any clinical sign evocative of *GNAO1*-related disorders. The similarities between this family's phenotype and the other cases—all showing upper-body distribution—argue for the implication of the variant, while no other class IV to V variant in a dystonia-related gene was identified. In addition, a family member carrying this variant had disease onset in his 40s, meaning the 30-year-old asymptomatic carrier could be potentially presymptomatic. Future identification of autosomal dominant family with *GNAO1*-related dystonia and follow-up of this patient might confirm whether incomplete penetrance is possible in *GNAO1*-related disorders.

Response to medication was variable in our cohort. No significant response to levodopa was identified in our cohort, but 3 patients had partial response to anticholinergic drugs, which was in accordance with previous findings from the literature.^{2,4,25} Conversely, the outcome was good in 5 of 6 patients who received DBS, further confirming its efficacy in *GNAO1*-related dystonia.²⁶

Most of the variants identified in the present work were not reported among previously published cases showing severe phenotype, and two variants recurred in multiple families, suggesting that mild phenotypes could be related to specific mutations. However, the variants we identified were close to previously reported hot spots (Fig. 1), leading to amino-acid substitution in the same functional domains. Further studies are needed to elucidate if these different variants have a milder impact on protein function. In addition, we identified two putative loss-of-function variants (a nonsense variant and a whole-gene deletion), presumably affecting protein expression and possibly causing *GNAO1* haploinsufficiency. All 3 carriers were presenting late-childhood/adolescence onset dystonia without ID. Thus far, no report described the phenotype of patients harboring *GNAO1*-nonsense variants. A few patients with chromosome 16q deletions encompassing *GNAO1* have been described, all harboring significantly larger deletions compared to our case and showing variable associations of dysmorphisms, microcephaly, seizures, and developmental delay.²⁷ Although previous research suggested that loss-of-function variants were mainly responsible for epileptic encephalopathy while gain-of-function mutations were mostly associated with a movement disorders prominent phenotype,⁵ recent evidence suggests that pathogenic variants exert their effect through a combination of dominant-negative and loss-of-function mechanisms, and each mutation likely produces circuit-selective effects through a peculiar mechanism of signaling disruption.²⁸ The expanding spectrum of associated phenotypes and disease-causing variants provides further evidence that genotype-phenotype correlations are nuanced, and *GNAO1*-related disorders shape a continuous spectrum of overlapping phenotypes rather than distinct entities.²⁸ Our study carries some limitations, including the retrospective design and the lack of formal assessment in several cases. Here, we highlighted the milder *GNAO1*-related phenotypes, broadening this condition-clinical spectrum. *GNAO1* mutations should be considered as a cause of adolescent or adult-onset nonprogressive dystonia, particularly in the presence of a speech involvement even in the absence of seizures or ID. ■

Acknowledgments: We thank the patients and their families for participation in this study and Bernard Jost from the IGBMC Microarray and Sequencing Platform, the France Parkinson organization, and the *Revue Neurologique* for their support. Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Data Availability Statement

Anonymized data pertaining to the research presented will be made available upon reasonable request from external investigators.

References

- Nakamura K, Kodera H, Akita T, Shiina M, Kato M, Hoshino H, et al. De novo mutations in GNAO1, encoding a G α subunit of heterotrimeric G proteins, cause epileptic encephalopathy. *Am J Hum Genet* 2013;93(3):496–505.
- Schirinzi T, Garone G, Travaglini L, Vasco G, Galosi S, Rios L, et al. Phenomenology and clinical course of movement disorder in GNAO1 variants: results from an analytical review. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2019;61:19–25.
- Kulkarni N, Tang S, Bhardwaj R, Bernes S, Grebe TA. Progressive movement disorder in brothers carrying a GNAO1 mutation responsive to deep brain stimulation. *J Child Neurol* 2016;31(2):211–214.
- Danti FR, Galosi S, Romani M, Montomoli M, Carss KJ, Raymond FL, et al. GNAO1 encephalopathy: broadening the phenotype and evaluating treatment and outcome. *Neurol Genet* 2017;3(2):e143
- Feng H, Khalil S, Neubig RR, Sidiropoulos C. A mechanistic review on GNAO1-associated movement disorder. *Neurobiol Dis* 2018; 116:131–141.
- Delorme C, Giron C, Bendetowicz D, Méneret A, Mariani L-L, Roze E. Current challenges in the pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment of paroxysmal movement disorders. *Expert Rev Neurother* 2021;21(1):81–97.
- Kelly M, Park M, Mihalek I, Rochtus A, Gramm M, Pérez-Palma E, et al. Spectrum of neurodevelopmental disease associated with the GNAO1 guanosine triphosphate-binding region. *Epilepsia* 2019; 60(3):406–418.
- Carecchio M, Invernizzi F, González-Latapi P, Panteghini C, Zorzi G, Romito L, et al. Frequency and phenotypic spectrum of KMT2B dystonia in childhood: a single-center cohort study. *Mov Disord* 2019;34(10):1516–1527.
- Graziola F, Garone G, Stregapede F, Bosco L, Vigevano F, Curatolo P, et al. Diagnostic yield of a targeted next-generation sequencing gene panel for pediatric-onset movement disorders: a 3-year cohort study. *Front Genet* 2019;10:1026
- Wirth T, Tranchant C, Drouot N, Keren B, Mignot C, Cif L, et al. Increased diagnostic yield in complex dystonia through exome sequencing. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2020;74:50–56.
- Sobreira N, Schiettecatte F, Valle D, Hamosh A. GeneMatcher: a matching tool for connecting investigators with an interest in the same gene. *Hum Mutat* 2015;36(10):928–930.
- Guillen Sacoto MJ, Tchasovnikarova IA, Torti E, Forster C, Andrew EH, Anselm I, et al. De novo variants in the ATPase module of MORC2 cause a neurodevelopmental disorder with growth retardation and variable craniofacial Dysmorphism. *Am J Hum Genet* 2020;107(2):352–363.
- Valence S, Cochet E, Rougeot C, Garel C, Chantot-Bastaraud S, Lainey E, et al. Exome sequencing in congenital ataxia identifies two new candidate genes and highlights a pathophysiological link between some congenital ataxias and early infantile epileptic encephalopathies. *Genet Med Off J Am Coll Med Genet* 2019;21(3): 553–563.
- Cif L, Demailly D, Lin J-P, Barwick KE, Sa M, Abela L, et al. KMT2B-related disorders: expansion of the phenotypic spectrum and long-term efficacy of deep brain stimulation. *Brain J Neurol* 2020;143(11):3242–3261.
- Smol T, Thuillier C, Boudry-Labis E, Dieux-Coeslier A, Duban-Bedu B, Caumes R, et al. Neurodevelopmental phenotype associated with CHD8-SUPT16H duplication. *Neurogenetics* 2020;21(1):67–72.
- Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med Off J Am Coll Med Genet* 2015;17(5):405–424.
- Miyamoto S, Nakashima M, Fukumura S, Kumada S, Saitsu H. An intronic GNAO1 variant leading to in-frame insertion cause movement disorder controlled by deep brain stimulation. *Neurogenetics* 2022;23(2):129–35.
- Ananth AL, Robichaux-Viehoever A, Kim Y-M, Hanson-Kahn A, Cox R, Enns GM, et al. Clinical course of six children with GNAO1 mutations causing a severe and distinctive movement disorder. *Pediatr Neurol* 2016;59:81–84.
- Honey CM, Malhotra AK, Tarailo-Graovac M, van Karnebeek CDM, Horvath G, Sulistyanto A. GNAO1 mutation-induced pediatric dystonic storm rescue with Pallidal deep brain stimulation. *J Child Neurol* 2018;33(6):413–416.
- Fuchs T, Saunders-Pullman R, Masuho I, Luciano MS, Raymond D, Factor S, et al. Mutations in GNAL cause primary torsion dystonia. *Nat Genet* 2013;45(1):88–92.
- Geoghegan AR, Al Hussona M, Beauchamp NJ, Hutchinson M, Sean O’Riordan MB, Lynch T, et al. A novel GNAL mutation in familial dystonia presenting with childhood tremor and myoclonus. *Mov Disord Off J Mov Disord Soc* 2019;34(6):923–924.
- Charlesworth G, Plagnol V, Holmström KM, Bras J, Sheerin U-M, Preza E, et al. Mutations in ANO3 cause dominant Craniocervical dystonia: Ion Channel implicated in pathogenesis. *Am J Hum Genet* 2012;91(6):1041–1050.
- Lange LM, Junker J, Loens S, Baumann H, Olschewski L, Schaake S, et al. Genotype-phenotype relations for isolated dystonia genes: MDSGene systematic review. *Mov Disord Off J Mov Disord Soc* 2021;36(5):1086–1103.
- Corvol J-C, Muriel M-P, Valjent E, Féger J, Hanoun N, Girault J-A, et al. Persistent increase in olfactory type G-protein alpha subunit levels may underlie D1 receptor functional hypersensitivity in Parkinson disease. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 2004;24(31): 7007–7014.
- Yamashita Y, Ogawa T, Ogaki K, Kamo H, Sukigara T, Kitahara E, et al. Neuroimaging evaluation and successful treatment by using directional deep brain stimulation and levodopa in a patient with GNAO1-associated movement disorder: a case report. *J Neurol Sci* 2020;411:116710
- Koy A, Cirak S, Gonzalez V, Becker K, Roujeau T, Milesi C, et al. Deep brain stimulation is effective in pediatric patients with GNAO1 associated severe hyperkinesia. *J Neurol Sci* 2018;15(391):31–39.
- Apuzzo D, Cappuccio G, Vaisanen T, Alagia M, Pignataro P, Genesio R, et al. Two cases of 16q12.1q21 deletions and refinement of the critical region. *Eur J Med Genet* 2020;63(6):103878
- Muntean BS, Masuho I, Dao M, Sutton LP, Zucca S, Iwamoto H, et al. G α is a major determinant of cAMP signaling in the pathophysiology of movement disorders. *Cell Rep* 2021;34(5):108718

Supporting Data

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s web-site.