Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL serval.unil.ch

Author Manuscript

Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication

This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

Title: Population impact of the 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines compared with

the 2013 ESH/ESC guidelines for hypertension management.

Authors: Vaucher J, Marques-Vidal P, Waeber G, Vollenweider P

Journal: European journal of preventive cardiology

Year: 2018 Jan 1

Pages: 2047487318768938

DOI: 10.1177/2047487318768938

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.





Short report - Revision 2

Population impact of the 2017 American guidelines compared with 2013 European guidelines for hypertension management

Julien Vaucher^{1*}, Pedro Marques-Vidal¹, Gérard Waeber¹, and Peter Vollenweider¹

Service of Internal Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland

Accepted for publication in the European Journal of Preventive Cardiology 15 March 2018

Funding

The CoLaus study was and is supported by research grants from GlaxoSmithKline, the Faculty of Biology and Medicine of Lausanne, and the Swiss National Science Foundation (grants 33CSCO-122661, 33CS30-139468 and 33CS30-148401).

Disclosures

GW and PV received an unrestricted grant from GSK to build the CoLaus study. No other conflict of interest.

*Corresponding author: Total word count (incl. title, author names/affiliation,

Julien Vaucher, MD abstract, keywords, table legend and references): 1'075 (max.

BH10.670

Rue du Bugnon 46 1050 with 1 table)

CHUV Number of tables: 1

Number of references: 8

T 0041 21 314 81 31 F 0041 21 314 09 28

CH-1011 Lausanne

julien.vaucher@chuv.ch

Keywords: hypertension, guidelines, prevention.

Abstract

Background

The 2017 American guidelines on hypertension management recommend introduction of antihypertensive treatment for patients with new stage 1 hypertension thresholds (130-139/80-89 mm Hg) and with a cardiovascular disease or related condition. We compared the Swiss population and economic impact of antihypertensive treatment of the 2017 American guidelines with the 2013 European guidelines.

Methods

Analyses were based on 4,438 participants (aged 45-85 years; 2,448 women) of the CoLaus | PsyCoLaus study. Participants eligible to antihypertensive treatment according to the guidelines were sex- and age-standardized using the Swiss population for year 2016. In addition, we estimated the population-wide annual costs of antihypertensive treatment.

Results

Individuals eligible to an antihypertensive treatment were 40.3% (95% CI, 38.5 to 42.1) and 31.3% (29.7 to 32.9) according to the American and European guidelines, respectively. That difference would translate into ~250,000 additional individuals eligible to an antihypertensive treatment, corresponding to an additional annual cost of 72.5 million CHF (63.0 million Euros).

Conclusion

The 2017 American guidelines on management of hypertension substantially increase the number of individuals eligible to an antihypertensive treatment compared to the European guidelines. While implementation of the 2017 American guidelines is expected to lead to costs reduction by

preventing cardiovascular diseases, that reduction might be mitigated by the costs incurred by antihypertensive treatments in a larger proportion of the population.

Introduction

The American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA) released in 2017 guidelines for hypertension management, defining stage 1 hypertension based on lower thresholds (130-139/80-89 mm Hg). Antihypertensive treatment is recommended for patients above those thresholds with previous cardiovascular disease (CVD) or related risk.

Conversely, the 2013 European Society of Hypertension and European Society of Cardiology (ESH/ESC) guidelines for hypertension management define stage 1 hypertension, and the threshold to introduce an antihypertensive medication, based on a SBP of 140-159 mm Hg or a DBP of 90-99 mm Hg. From a public health perspective, there exists an interest in managing a larger number of individuals to prevent the deleterious effects of hypertension. However, aggressive BP treatment is also associated with adverse events and higher costs. Hence, using data from the population-based CoLaus PsyCoLaus study, we contrasted the population impact of the 2017 ACC/AHA and 2013 ESH/ESC guidelines.

Methods

Data were collected between 2014 and 2017 in 4,438 participants (2,448 women) aged 45-85 years.⁵ Participants eligible to antihypertensive treatments were selected according to guidelines. Participants on BP-lowering treatment had their SBP and DBP levels increased by 10 and 5 mm Hg, respectively.⁶ For the 2017 ACC/AHA approach, we stratified individuals according to their ten-year CVD risk, using original SBP and DBP values.⁷ For the 2013 ESH/ESC approach, we considered that an antihypertensive drug would be introduced for all participants with stage 1 hypertension, assuming several months of lifestyle changes. Assuming full compliance with the guidelines, results from the CoLaus|PsyCoLaus study were extrapolated to the Swiss population of 2016, aged 45-85 years (same age group), as reported by the Swiss Federal Statistical Office

(www.ofs.ch). Furthermore, we performed sex- and age-standardization. Population-wide annual cost of antihypertensive treatment was estimated based on an annual cost of CHF 281.- per hypertensive patient (EUR 242.-, exchange rate valid as of March 5 2018) as computed by the Swiss Health Observatory (Obsan Rapport 50, www.obsan.admin.ch).

Results

Individuals eligible to an antihypertensive treatment were 40.3% (95% CI, 38.5 to 42.1) and 31.3% (29.7 to 32.9) according to 2017 ACC/AHA and 2013 ESH/ESC guidelines, respectively (**Table 1**). For those already taking antihypertensive drugs, 53.8% and 38.2% should intensify their treatment to meet the 2017 ACC/AHA and 2013 ESH/ESC guidelines, respectively. After extrapolation to the Swiss population, implementation of the 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines would translate into ~250,000 additional individuals eligible to an antihypertensive treatment, leading to an additional cost of 72.5 million CHF (62.2 million Euros).

Discussion

The 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines on hypertension management substantially increase the number of individuals eligible to an antihypertensive treatment compared to the prevailing European guidelines, especially for individuals >65 years, in both sexes, and despite the selection of high-risk individuals. Other consequences related to implementation of the 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines (e.g. expected reduction of CVD; potential increased risk of CVD in treated individuals with DBP \leq 70 mm Hg and/or pulse pressure \geq 60 mm Hg^{8, 9}) were not considered and may influence global costs. We thus recommend a careful evaluation of the cost-effectiveness of the 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines before any implementation.

Authorship

JV and PMV contributed to the conception or design of the work. JV, PMV and PV contributed to the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work. JV drafted the manuscript. All authors critically revised the manuscript. All gave final approval and agree to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy."

References

- Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017
 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention,
 Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults. *Hypertension* 2017.
 doi: 10.1161/HYP.00000000000000065.
- 2. Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K, et al. 2013 ESH/ESC guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J* 2013;34:2159-219.
- 3. Stringhini S, Carmeli C, Jokela M, et al. Socioeconomic status and the 25 x 25 risk factors as determinants of premature mortality: a multicohort study and meta-analysis of 1.7 million men and women. *Lancet* 2017;389:1229-37.
- Cushman WC, Evans GW, Byington RP, et al. Effects of intensive blood-pressure control in type
 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 2010;362:1575-85.
- 5. Firmann M, Mayor V, Vidal PM, et al. The CoLaus study: a population-based study to investigate the epidemiology and genetic determinants of cardiovascular risk factors and metabolic syndrome. *BMC cardiovascular disorders* 2008;8:6. doi: 10.1186/1471-2261-8-6.
- Tobin MD, Sheehan NA, Scurrah KJ, Burton PR. Adjusting for treatment effects in studies of quantitative traits: antihypertensive therapy and systolic blood pressure. *Stat Med* 2005;24:2911-35.
- Goff DC, Jr., Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;63:2935-59.
- 8. McEvoy JW, Chen Y, Rawlings A, et al. Diastolic Blood Pressure, Subclinical Myocardial Damage, and Cardiac Events: Implications for Blood Pressure Control. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;68:1713-22.

| 9. Park JH, Ovbiagele B. Post-stroke diastolic blood pressure and risk of recurrent va- | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | events. Eur J Neurol 2017;24:1416-23. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 1 Population impact and annual costs of blood pressure-lowering treatments according to 2013 ESH/ESC and 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines

| | 2016 Swiss population | | | Population eligible to BP-lowering treatment (%) | | | Annual cost of | |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|--|------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | Total | Men | Women | Total | Men | Women | treatment (in mio Euros) | |
| 2013 ESH/ES | C guidelines | | | | | | | |
| Overall | 3,681,718 | 1,797,002 | 1,884,716 | 1,151,993 (31.3 %) | 657,131 (36.6 %) | 509,814 (27.0 %) | 277.8 | |
| Age groups | | | | | | | | |
| 45-55 | 1,313,720 | 663,466 | 650,254 | 221,864 (16.9 %) | 144,548 (21.8 %) | 78,112 (12.0 %) | 53.5 | |
| 55-65 | 1,056,520 | 528,645 | 527,875 | 309,133 (29.3 %) | 193,646 (36.6 %) | 120,617 (22.8 %) | 74.5 | |
| 65-75 | 814,608 | 390,285 | 424,323 | 347,927 (42.7 %) | 196,818 (50.4 %) | 159,646 (37.6 %) | 83.9 | |
| 75-85 | 496,870 | 214,606 | 282,264 | 273,070 (55.0 %) | 122,119 (56.9 %) | 151,439 (53.7 %) | 65.8 | |
| 2017 ACC/AH | A guidelines | | | | | | | |
| Overall | 3,681,718 | 1,797,002 | 1,884,716 | 1,409,912 (38.3 %) | 834,440 (46.4 %) | 604,082 (32.1 %) | 340.0 | |
| Age groups | | | | | | | | |
| 45-55 | 1,313,720 | 663,466 | 650,254 | 232,135 (17.7 %) | 150,788 (22.7 %) | 82,169 (12.6 %) | 56.0 | |
| 55-65 | 1,056,520 | 528,645 | 527,875 | 335,717 (31.8 %) | 219,792 (41.6 %) | 122,745 (23.3 %) | 80.9 | |
| 65-75 | 814,608 | 390,285 | 424,323 | 460,431 (56.5 %) | 291,457 (74.7 %) | 189,055 (44.6 %) | 111.0 | |
| 75-85 | 496,870 | 214,606 | 282,264 | 381,630 (76.8 %) | 172,403 (80.3 %) | 210,112 (74.4 %) | 92.0 | |

Estimates of the Swiss population eligible to a BP-lowering treatment are derived from CoLaus|PsyCoLaus data (i.e. percentage). Overall percentages were derived after sex- and age-standardization. Annual costs were first computed in Swiss francs and then converted into Euros.

ACC, American College of Cardiology; AHA, American Heart Association; ESH, European Society of Hypertension; ESC, European Society of Cardiology.