A system to assess the stability of airborne nanoparticle agglomerates under aerodynamic shear
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Stability of airborne nanoparticle agglomerates is important for occupational exposure and risk assessment in determining particle size distribution of nanomaterials. In this study, we developed an integrated method to test the stability of aerosols created using different types of nanomaterials. An aerosolization method, that resembles an industrial fluidized bed process, was used to aerosolize dry nanopowders. We produced aerosols with stable particle number concentrations and size distributions, which was important for the characterization of the aerosols’ properties. Next, in order to test their potential for deagglomeration, a critical orifice was used to apply a range of shear forces to them. The mean particle size of tested aerosols became smaller, whereas the total number of particles generated grew. The fraction of particles in the lower size range increased, and the fraction in the upper size range decreased. The reproducibility and repeatability of the results were good. Transmission electron microscopy imaging showed that most of the nanoparticles were still agglomerated after passing through the orifice. However, primary particle geometry was very different. These results are encouraging for the use of our system for routine tests of the deagglomeration potential of nanomaterials. Furthermore, the particle concentrations and small quantities of raw materials used suggested that our system might also be able to serve as an alternative method to test dustiness in existing processes.
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1. Introduction

Increasing number of products based on nanotechnology are leading to an increasing potential for human exposure to nanomaterials in the workplace. Workers can be exposed to nanoparticles during manufacturing processes, use of products, transport, storage or waste treatment (Curwin & Bertke, 2011; Koivisto et al., 2012; Kuhlbusch & Fissan, 2006). The inhalation of nanomaterials poses potential health risks (Bourdon et al., 2013; Paur et al., 2011). Particle sizes and their state of agglomeration determine where they deposit in the lung structure (Rissler et al., 2012; Zhang & Kleinstreuer, 2011). The size of agglomerates may also influence toxicological mechanisms (Noël et al., 2012). Furthermore, nanoparticles deposited in lungs could by-pass their defense system and enter the circulatory system, which could adversely affect the
cardiovascular system (Geiser & Kreyling, 2010; Oberdoerster et al., 2004). Information on the particle size distributions of nanomaterials is, therefore, important for assessing the deposition and likelihood of translocation across biological barriers.

The stability of nanomaterial agglomerates is another important material parameter in modeling nanomaterial release and associated human exposure. Most of the industrially important nanomaterials are initially produced in the form of powders. These powders can easily enter the airborne phase as single particles, aggregates, or agglomerates. The size of the agglomerates, however, can often be found in the nano-range and can reach from several hundred nanometers to micrometers in diameter (Gomez, Irusta, Balas, Navascues, & Santamaria, 2014). The mechanisms of particle agglomeration, as summarized by Schneider and Jensen (2009), include physical interlock (rough surface, entangled surface shapes, or chain-like, branched structure), electric forces (Van der Waal, conductive/non-conductive), magnetic forces (ferromagnetic, induced magnetic) and soft bridging (sticky surface, liquid film, organic functional groups). Previous studies reported that the deagglomeration of such submicron clusters is dependent on the energy present in the process from which they are released and the turbulence of their transport in the air (Islam & Cleary, 2012; Yang, Chan, & Chan, 2014). Such processes have also been shown to release primary particles or smaller, nano-sized agglomerates (Froeschke, Kohler, Weber, & Kasper, 2003; Stahlmecke et al., 2009).

Aerosol stability can be studied directly, by measuring the binding force between individual particles, or indirectly, by triggering deagglomeration using external forces such as impaction or shear. Binding energy between primary particles was studied using atomic force microscopy (Blum & Blum, 2009). In the inertial impaction method, nanoparticle (NP) agglomerates collided with a substrate at high velocities (Froeschke et al., 2003). By subsequently analyzing transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the agglomerates, their degree of fragmentation was determined as a function of their impact velocity. The aerosol generation methods in their study included spark discharge generation and flame synthesis. For silver NPs, the degree of fragmentation increased as collision velocity increased, but decreased with smaller primary particle size. Another fragmentation method is the application of shear-forces in the air by forcing the agglomerate aerosol through a critical orifice. Originally, this effect was described for micrometer-sized particles (Fonda et al., 1999). Compact particles were effectively separated from each other in the turbulent airflow conditions created by a large drop in pressure. A recent study described the deagglomeration of nano-sized agglomerates (Stahlmecke et al., 2009). The overpressure used to create different shear forces stayed below or equal to 140 kPa. The mean particle size of the materials tested decreased as the overpressure was increased; this was interpreted as deagglomeration.

Two key components are needed to investigate the stability of NP aerosols with regards to changes in their size and numbers: an aerosolization system, and a means of applying energy to the airborne particles so as to test their stability, as described above. Ideally, the aerosolization system should be able to produce an aerosol with stable particle concentration and size distribution for a reasonably long time. Furthermore, it should only require the use of small amounts of material so that even expensive, novel materials can be tested. Different aerosolization methods exist, such as the continuous drop method (Bach & Schmidt, 2008), the rotating drum method (Breum, 1999; Schneider & Jensen, 2008), the vortex shaker method (Morgeneyer, Le Bihan, Ustache, & Aguerre-Chariot, 2013), the magnetic stirrer setup (Stahlmecke et al., 2009) and the stirred fluidization system (Saleh et al., 2014). These systems can produce different particle number concentrations by controlling such experimental parameters as the feed rate, rotation speed, or shaking frequency. However, these setups also have some disadvantages. Aerosol stability is a key problem, as the few published time-series graphs for these systems attest (Morgeneyer et al., 2013). Furthermore, the amount of material needed for the continuous drop method (500 g), the rotating drum method (35 cm³) (CEN, 2013) and the stirred fluidization method (200 g) makes these tests too expensive to be conducted for some nanomaterials. Recently, a modified rotating drum method based on a downscaled version of EN 15051 was developed, which uses much less powder (6 g) per test (Schneider & Jensen, 2008). Other aerosolization systems which employs relatively lower amount of raw material include the Venturi dustiness testing device (Evans, Turkевич, Roettgers, Deye, & Baron, 2013) and the low-mass dustiness tester that simulates the powder falling process (O'Shaughnessy, Kang, & Ellickson, 2011). The powder quantities used in these two methods are 10 mg and 15 mg. Finally, the friction in the magnetic stirrer setup can create static charges during aerosolization that have the potential to alter an aerosol's state of agglomeration.

To overcome the shortcomings of the traditional systems, we turned to the fluidized bed system—an aerosolization concept commonly used in modern powder technology and known for its simple, easily controlled operational characteristics (Ahmed Mahmoud, Nakazato, Nakajima, Nakagawa, & Kato, 2004). Until now, fluidized bed systems were used mostly with powders composed of micrometer-sized particles. In the present study, a process closely based on the fluidized bed concept was established to create stable aerosols from nanopowders. An orifice-based approach was then used to study the deagglomeration potential of airborne nanomaterials using a wide range of air turbulence levels induced by the pressure drop across the critical orifice. Different types of materials were tested to investigate the influence of such characteristics as their composition, surface coating, primary particle size, and shape.

2. Material and methods

An integrated system was developed, composed of an aerosolization device, transport tubing, a deagglomeration orifice, and a measurement chamber (Fig. 1). A special glass funnel was used to activate dry powders. The relatively thick funnel wall (2–3 mm) was designed to resist pressure differences of up to 400 kPa. Before the start of the test, the funnel was filled with dry powder via the top opening. An airflow is then passed through a nozzle (2.1 mm diameter) at the bottom of the
funnel to aerosolize the material and create a single-jet fluidized bed by which aerosols are carried towards the top of the funnel. Nozzles of different diameters can be used to modify the formation of the air jet. During the aerosolization process, the powder accelerates through the center of the lower part of the funnel and then flows back down along the wall. The rate of airflow is between 0.3 and 1.0 L/min, which creates air speeds from 1.44 to 4.81 m/s at the bottom opening. This airflow generates turbulent movements in the powder and ensures a vigorous aerosolization process. In the top part of the funnel, the airflow becomes laminar, with a Reynolds number from 7.4 (0.3 L/min) to 24.7 (1.0 L/min). In this laminar flow, particles with a settling velocity lower than the vertical upward airflow velocity will eventually escape the funnel through the top opening. The aerodynamic cut-off diameters, calculated for particles made of different materials, ranged from 2 to 5 μm, with the airflows 0.3–1.0 L/min. The calculations were based on the densities of the bulk materials; thus the actual diameters of the particle agglomerates emitted would be slightly larger when effective densities and shape are taken into account. The particles emitted are subsequently transported along carbon-doped conductive tubing (Milian S.A.) into a mixing chamber and are then diluted with particle-free air to adjust the total volume of airflow. Conditioned air, with different relative humidity, can also be added to the aerosol flow during this phase, in order to study how humidity influences aerosol stability.

The air from the aerosolization system is guided to the deagglomeration orifice, through which the aerosols flow into the measurement chamber. An orifice of 400 μm diameter was used to apply shear forces to the particles. The orifice used in the present study features a transition zone in which the airflow gradually accelerates and concentrates toward the center. Due to the restriction of the orifice, upstream pressure is higher than downstream pressure. Once upstream pressure exceeds twice downstream pressure, the system reaches a condition of choked flow during which the volumic flow rate cannot be increased, even if the upstream pressure is increased. Under this condition, the air velocity at the orifice equals that of sound. In the present study, the pressure in the measurement chamber was kept at one atmosphere by a one-way valve for the overflow of air that was not used by the measurement devices. The pressure difference across the orifice is controlled by managing the upstream pressure. The turbulence level of the airflow inside the critical orifice, which can be indicated by the Reynolds number (Re), increases as upstream pressure increases (Hinds, 1982, 2–41). Such a turbulent airflow can already trigger some deagglomeration of loosely bonded agglomerates. Even larger stress is experienced by the aerosol particles when they exit the critical orifice at high velocities, where the turbulent movements and drag forces induced as they encounter the surrounding still air cause further fragmentation of the agglomerates, as reported by Ammar, Dehbi, & Reeks (2012) who had studied these processes in a system consisting of a transporting tube and an expansion zone with micro-sized TiO2 aerosol particles.

The number-size distribution of the aerosols in the measurement chamber was assessed using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, GRIMM, 11.1–1083.3 nm); set to fast mode, each scan takes about 3.5 min. The sampling flow rate was set to 0.3 L/min. An optical particle counter (OPC, GRIMM, 0.25–32 μm) was also used to characterize large particles. The spectrometer scanned once every second and the sampling rate was 1 L/min. Particle morphology was assessed by collecting particles, using a mini-sampler (ECOMESURE, Janvry, France), directly onto TEM grids (200 mesh, copper, Formvar/Carbon) coated with a thin carbon film. The sampling rate was set at 0.3 L/min. Sampling time was 5–15 min, depending on the aerosol particle number concentration. The TEM grids were subsequently analyzed using a transmission electron microscope (TEM, CM100, H.T. 80 kV, Philips, Eindhoven, Netherlands).

The system’s total length of transport tubing was kept as short as possible to avoid particle loss. The tube connecting the funnel to the mixing chamber and on to the measurement chamber was about 1 m, and the horizontal portion of the tube
was less than 50 cm. The SMPS and the OPC/mini-sampler tubes were each 1 m long. The tubes’ inner diameter was 6 mm. The airflow rates used in the tests were 5 L/min or less. The flow Re number at the maximum flow rate was 1172.6, indicating a laminar flow process. Under laminar flow conditions, particle penetration efficiency under gravitational settling calculated for 5 μm, 1 μm, and 0.1 μm diameter SiO2 particles are 94%, 99.7%, and 99.9%, respectively (Kulkarni, Baron, & Willeke, 2011, 6–49, 6–50). For small SiO2 particles, the penetration rates under diffusion loss are 90.9%, 96.1%, 98.7%, and 99.4%, for 10 nm, 20 nm, 50 nm, and 100 nm NPs, respectively (Hinds, 1982). These results are very similar for other materials of a variety of densities.

Our experiments used upstream pressure conditions of 100, 200, 300, and 400 kPa in order to apply different shear force levels to the aerosols. A pressure of 100 kPa was used as the reference condition, and the critical orifice was not installed. At this pressure, the aerosol passed through a normal tube outlet into the measurement chamber. Airflow rates of 0.3–1.0 L/min were used to activate the different dry nano-powders in order to achieve similar aerosolization levels under the reference condition pressure. At high pressures, the volumic flow rate was increased to maintain a constant level of aerosolization. The dilution flow rate was precisely tuned to achieve the required upstream pressure. Prior to tests, filtered clean air (relative humidity < 10%) was used to flush the system until the background particle concentration in the measurement chamber was below 10 p/cm3 (SMPS). Each test used 250 ± 10 mg of powder. The materials tested are listed in Table 1. All the powders were provided by the European Commission's Joint Research Centre in Ispra, Italy, except for SiO2 II, which is a commercially available product (AEROSIL R974). Particles of ZnO II were coated with a layer of triethoxycaprylylsilane; all other powders were uncoated. The powders were stored in sealed glass bottles before use. Powder weights were measured using an analytical balance (type AL-311, Willeke, 2011, 6). For small SiO2 particles, the penetration rates under diffusion loss are 90.9%, 96.1%, 98.7%, and 99.4%, for 10 nm, 20 nm, 50 nm, and 100 nm NPs, respectively (Hinds, 1982). These results are very similar for other materials of a variety of densities.

In order to analyze any changes in size distribution in the aerosols, the relative number size distributions were calculated from the raw SMPS data. This allowed an easier comparison of size spectra with different particle concentration levels. Particle number fractions in different size ranges were calculated to quantify the changes in particle diameter. Particle generation rates under varied pressure conditions were also compared. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed, using Stata software (Stata Corp LP, Texas, USA), to compare the particle size distributions obtained under different pressure conditions.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Aerosolization

Firstly, we tested our system’s capacity to create and maintain aerosols with stable size distributions and number concentrations over some time. Figure 2 (left) shows the evolution through time of total particle number concentration and the individual size channels during a single aerosolization experiment of hydrophobic SiO2 II powder at atmospheric pressure (without using the critical orifice). Airborne particles were detected as soon as the airflow began. The particle number concentration increased gradually at first and then became relatively stable. The same pattern applied to the particle number concentration in each individual size channel. Figure 2 (right) shows that the particle number and size distribution did not change significantly once the aerosol entered a steady state. During this period, the variation in the geometric mean size was within ± 2%. The steady state lasted 0.5–2 h, depending on the type of material and the amount of powder used, and 10 consecutive SMPS scans were selected from this period to calculate the average size and number concentrations. The results show that the system managed to deliver a stable aerosolization process. This stability allows a correct assessment of mean particle sizes from a series of continuous scans, even when the instruments used had relatively long scanning periods, such as the SMPS.
The minimal flow rates required to activate the different types of powders in our experiments ranged from 0.3 L/min to 0.5 L/min. To understand the potential influence of the aerosolization flow rate on the particle concentration and size distribution generated, an additional series of tests was conducted using a larger range of airflow rates to aerosolize the powders at atmospheric pressure. Flow rates were increased step by step, and each step lasted 1 h. A constant dilution flow was maintained throughout the test to help stabilize the aerosol generated. Figure 3 (left) shows the evolution of hydrophobic SiO$_2$ particle numbers under six different aerosolization flow rates. Higher flow rates generated more particles. Particle concentrations reached steady state conditions under all six flow rates. The mode sizes measured from the lowest to highest flows were 142.1 nm, 132.8 nm, 129.7 nm, 116.8 nm, 115.6 nm, and 133.8 nm, respectively, with an average size of 128.5 nm and 10.4 nm (8%) standard deviation. At the highest flow rate, an additional side maximum appeared in the particle size distribution in the micrometer size range. The higher flow rate seemed to cause particles with higher settling velocity to exit the funnel into the rest of the system, whereas under low flow rate conditions, these micron-sized particles are effectively kept back. This phenomenon is also shown in Fig. 3 (right), which compares relative size–number distributions normalized to the total particle number. The shapes of the size distribution spectra were otherwise very similar. These experiments suggested that the system was capable of generating very consistent aerosols at different flow rates, as long as the flow was kept well below the speed that would cause large particles to escape the upper part of the funnel. Within the flow range used in these experiments (0.3–0.9 L/min), particle size distributions were robust, allowing a comparison of data from different tests.

The particle number concentrations generated from the tested materials were compared to those of similar studies, as shown in Fig. 4. These systems include the standard rotating drum method (Tsai et al., 2009), the continuous drop method (Dahmann & Monz, 2011), the modified rotating drum method (Schneider & Jensen, 2008), and the vortex shaker method (Morgeneyer et al., 2013; Ogura, Sakurai, & Gamo, 2009). Lower and upper concentration limits under different experimental parameters in these studies were identified. Different testing conditions were employed in these systems, such as amount of powder used, total airflow and dilution rate and volumes of the different compartments. A comparison of the concentrations obtained for different substances in a given system provides a relative ranking of dustiness. By coincidence, many of these systems show also similar absolute number concentrations for equal substances tested. In all test systems, silica generated high particle number concentrations, followed by cerium oxide, and zinc oxide powders. The same pattern was observed using our system. These results suggest that our approach may also be useful for doing dustiness testing, in particular if only small quantities of novel and costly nanomaterials can be made available for testing purposes.
3.2. Deagglomeration tests

3.2.1. Influence of pressure modifications on mean particle size

The introduction of different pressure conditions had immediate effects on the geometric mean size of the aerosols. The results are shown in Fig. 5 for different materials. Firstly, significant reductions in mean particle size were observed for most of the materials tested as pressures increased. The exception was type II SiO$_2$ with a hydrophobic surface coating. In contrast, all other aerosols, including type I hydrophilic SiO$_2$, showed a drop of 25–40% in their original mean sizes. Secondly, deviations were seen between the same materials with different surface coatings or original primary particle sizes: the two types of SiO$_2$ aerosol exhibited almost a three-fold difference in mean particle size, as the blue curves show. After testing, the deviation still existed. For the two types of Ce(IV)O$_2$, the difference in particle size was smaller but still distinguishable. Averagely 25% difference was recorded between this two materials for the four pressure condition. In comparison, the two ZnO powders generated similar results whether they were coated or uncoated. Thirdly, the effects of the three highest pressures were similar or, in other words, the effect became smaller as the pressure rose. This is shown in the graph by the flatter slopes between 200 and 400 kPa.

Theoretically, the drag force that a moving particle experiences from the surrounding air is proportional to its diameter, meaning smaller particles experience less resistance. This might explain the ineffectiveness of the critical orifice on the hydrophobic SiO$_2$ aerosols and the reduced effects of the highest pressures on the other materials. Moreover, smaller agglomerates are more likely to be composed of tightly packed primary particles, in comparison to large ones that are loosely bonded internally. Hence, it is more difficult to break smaller agglomerates up into smaller particles. The deviation in mean particle size for the same types of material can be due to different primary particle diameters/shapes, surface areas, and coating types. For example, the CeO$_2$ and SiO$_2$ aerosols composed of larger-sized primary particles had larger agglomerate sizes. The two types of ZnO had similar primary particle diameters and their agglomerate sizes are also closely comparable. Additionally, the coating type seemed to contribute to the size difference of the SiO$_2$ aerosols. In the experiments, the hydrophilic powder was much fluffier than its hydrophobic counterpart. It was also more difficult to aerosolize and sometimes required a higher flow rate in order to achieve stable aerosolization. Obvious differences in the appearances of the ZnO powders were not observed, however. The agglomerate size of different materials was also influenced by their elemental composition, as this determines the Hamaker constant in van der Waals interaction (Hamaker, 1937). In summary, these results suggest that different nanomaterials have different lower particle or particle aggregate size limits below which they tend not to deagglomerate further under normal conditions where extreme energy processes are not present.

![Fig. 5. Influence of pressure on geometric mean diameter for different materials (data from the SMPS).](image)
3.2.2. Influence of pressure modifications on particle number size distribution

Following the changes in GMD seen in the aerosols, the number size distribution spectra were compared in order to reveal the potential effects on different size ranges, as shown in Fig. 6 (left) for ZnO I (uncoated) as an example. The mode size was largely shifted to the smaller size range. The particle number fractions in the upper size range decreased, and those in the lower size range increased (shown by the zoom-in windows). Furthermore, the variation in the particle fraction in each size channel was reduced, as indicated by the shortened error bars. The only exception was hydrophobic SiO₂ aerosol which did not experience any noticeable change in the mode size. For other materials with different surface coatings (results not shown), the same patterns of reduced mean particle size and enhanced stability were registered. However, the difference in effect between the three highest pressure levels were not obvious, despite using the ANOVA method to statistically evaluate the significance of those pressure changes on the size spectra. The results were positive for all the materials when comparing the reference and overpressure conditions. The effects of pressure over a wider range of sizes based on the data from the optical particle counter (OPC) were also compared. Generally, the particle number fraction in the lower end of the size range increased as pressure increased. For aerosols with relatively larger particle sizes, such as hydrophilic SiO₂, the OPC provided a good size resolution for presenting potential modifications.

In a similar study (Stahlmecke et al., 2009), the reduction in mean particle size was also observed when pressures up to 240 kPa are applied in the critical orifice. However, in their study, the aerosols had larger mean sizes both before and after testing, as well as broader spectra resulting from a different aerosolization process. Another recent study investigated deagglomeration of micronized lactose particles by using nozzles with different diameters (Sosnowski, Giżyńska, & Żywczek, 2014). The greatest reduction in mean particle size was from 5.6 μm to 3.2 μm. In comparison, the present study worked with smaller particles under higher pressures. These conditions allowed the study of NP behavior in a lower size range and their airborne stability within extreme energy processes.

As the different shear forces applied produced similar results, more closely defined pressure steps were tested in order to understand the point where effects started. The results for coated ZnO II are shown in Fig. 6 (right). It shows the same general pattern of size reduction as pressure rises. At 120 kPa, a well-distributed size spectrum with a narrow peak was already created, as the red curve shows. With continuously increasing pressures, the peak lowered and moved gradually to the left. The particle number fraction below 100 nm continued to grow during this process. Results suggested that the critical orifice can already start to affect certain materials at low-shear force levels, indicating their loosely bonded agglomerate structure (see section on morphological characterization).

3.2.3. Influence of pressure modifications on particle number concentration

The consequences of pressure increases on particle generation rates are shown in Fig. 7. This rate corresponds to the aerosol concentration divided by the total airflow. Particle generation is greatly enhanced under higher pressure conditions. The influences of pressure varied across different types of material. The two ZnO powders and the type II CeO₂ showed the most significant increases, with 70- to 80-fold increases under the three highest pressures. Type I SiO₂ showed only minor augmentation (about six-fold). The generation rates of the ZnO powders under the reference pressure were below 250 units on the graph. The increases in ZnO particle numbers corresponded well to the reductions in their mean particle size and fitted with the idea that the deagglomeration of larger particles might have been due to external forces. The result suggested that potential particle loss in the critical orifice is negligible for the tested powders. Chen et al. investigated the particle loss mechanism inside a critical orifice (Chen et al., 2007) and found that this process mainly occurred downstream of the orifice. They attributed this to large turbulence in the air stream in that region. However, in their study, the critical orifice exit led into another tube, while in our system the critical orifice led directly into the wide measurement chamber. Thus particle losses were minimized by the open space after the orifice.
3.2.4. Influence of pressure modifications on particle number fractions in different size ranges

The influences of the pressure modifications on the particle number fractions of the different materials were also calculated (see Fig. 8) by grouping the particles into three size ranges: $<100$ nm, $100$–$350$ nm, and $>350$ nm. The $100$ nm cut-off represents the diameter of nanoparticles according to the definition of nanoparticles. The aerodynamic behavior of particles changes to being mostly influenced by either inertia or Brownian motion at a size of approximately $350$ nm. Particle numbers in each of the three size ranges were summed and subsequently normalized to the total particle number. At elevated pressures, most of the materials showed increased particle number fractions in the $<100$ nm size range. The results seemed to be powder-dependent. The two ZnO powders showed a seven-fold change in their particle number fractions, from 2% to 14% under the highest pressure. The two CeO$_2$ powders showed a three- to five-fold increase. In contrast, SiO$_2$ aerosols were not much affected. In the $>350$ nm size range, the particle number fractions were reduced under higher pressures. The values were three to five times lower for ZnO and CeO$_2$, but for SiO$_2$ particles only a slight reduction of number counts was seen. For the $100$–$350$ nm size range, most of the materials showed little change in the

Fig. 7. Particle generation rates for different materials under increasing pressures.

Fig. 8. Comparison of pressure influences on particle number fractions for different materials (from SMPS, 10.8–1083.1 nm).
number fraction except for the type I hydrophilic SiO\textsubscript{2}, which saw an increase in numbers. These modifications in particle number fractions suggested that it was mostly the larger agglomerates which broke up into NPs.

3.2.5. Repeatability and reproducibility

Replicate tests were conducted under different shear force conditions for all the materials tested in order to examine the reproducibility of the results. For any single aerosolization, the absolute particle number concentration at steady state could vary by up to several-fold under the same experimental conditions. However, the relative number concentrations (normalized to total particle number) were highly reproducible. The size distribution spectra, measured in replicate tests, overlap tightly. The variations of the mean particle size were within 5\% under different pressure conditions. The \textit{p} values obtained in the statistical analysis (ANOVA for geometric mean sizes in replicate tests) were 0.522, 0.141, and 0.502 for SiO\textsubscript{2} II under 100 kPa, CeO\textsubscript{2} II under 300 kPa and ZnO I under 400 kPa, respectively. These results indicate that the variations in particle concentration in the different experiments did not significantly alter the particle size distribution.

4. Morphology analysis

The airborne samples collected onto TEM-grids were assessed for primary particle shape and agglomerate structure. Figure 9 shows the different agglomerate morphologies of the materials tested. The two types of ZnO were composed of rod-like particles. The CeO\textsubscript{2} II particles appeared as square blocks, whereas the CeO\textsubscript{2} I and the two types of SiO\textsubscript{2} particles had round shapes with smooth edges. The ZnO agglomerates showed porous, loose structures, whereas the other two materials showed denser agglomerations of primary particles. The comparison of TEM images confirmed that average agglomerate sizes were smaller at higher pressure conditions, as shown in Fig. 10. The denser structure of the hydrophobic SiO\textsubscript{2} agglomerates shown on the TEM images may also explain why the mean particle size for this material remained relatively stable despite varied pressure conditions, whereas the porous ZnO agglomerates seemed to rapidly collapse under external forces, which is in agreement with observed reductions in particle size and increases in particle number. Further studies are needed to assess whether this was because of the primary particle shapes or other particle properties.

5. Conclusions

In this article, we describe a robust system for the aerosolization of nanomaterial powders and the subsequent characterization of their agglomerate sizes. We also assess how stable their agglomerates are by varying energy with pressure drops affecting the aerosols across a critical orifice. The system allows us to create aerosols that are very stable over time, which is important for the correct characterization of the aerosols created in this study, but which could also prove useful for other purposes where a well-controlled aerosol environment is needed, for example in toxicological studies. The comparison of the present study’s particle concentrations with published dustiness data for similar powders suggests that the system also has the potential to be used as a dustiness testing system requiring only small quantities of powder.
(200 mg/test in our setup). This could prove to be a useful alternative to the standard rotating drum method (CEN EN15051) and the continuous drop methods, which both require relatively large amounts of powder. Using our system, the pressure drop across the critical orifice, used to test the stability of the aerosol, can be finely controlled. The air in our system is accelerated to sonic speed—the highest possible speed in such a system. These high levels of shear force correspond to the range of energy input that might be expected in most industrial and laboratory processes. The reductions in particle size and the increased particle number counts under elevated pressure-drop conditions, suggest that some of the NP agglomerates break apart in the air. We propose the use of this system for the routine testing of nanomaterials in order to obtain a ranking of their deagglomeration potentials. This will be useful for exposure and risk assessments on nanosafety issues. Moreover, our system not only enables a study of the influence of environmental conditions, such as relative humidity, but also of particles with different surface functionalities.
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