

8 | Virology | Research Article

Comprehensive proteomic analysis of JC polyomavirus-infected human astrocytes and their extracellular vesicles

Larise Oberholster, ^{[1](#page-23-0)} Amandine Mathias, ¹ Sylvain Perriot, ¹ Emma Blaser, ¹ Mathieu Canales, ¹ Samuel Jones, ¹ Lucas Culebras, ^{1,2} Marie **Gimenez,[1](#page-23-0) G. Campbell Kaynor,[3](#page-23-0) Alexey Sapozhnik,[4](#page-23-0) Kevin Richetin,[2](#page-23-0) Susan Goelz,3,5 Renaud Du Pasquier1,6**

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS See affiliation list on p. [24.](#page-23-0)

ABSTRACT JC polyomavirus (JCPyV) is an opportunistic virus that remains in a latent state in the kidneys and lymphoid organs of more than half of the human adult population. In rare cases of severe immune suppression, the virus is able to establish a lytic infection of glial cells in the brain, resulting in a debilitating, demyelinating disease known as progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML). Because of the exceptional species and tissue specificity of the virus, appropriate models of JCPyV infection in the brain are lacking, thus hampering progress toward the development of novel antiviral strategies and biomarkers of disease activity. While PML has traditionally been characterized as a lytic infection of oligodendrocytes, more recent findings suggest an important role for astrocytes during the initial stages of disease. Here, using humaninduced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC)-derived astrocytes coupled with a multiparametric approach, we show that (i) JCPyV readily infects and replicates in astrocytes, (ii) JCPyV strongly dysregulates the cell biology, and (iii) these results adequately reflect *ex vivo* findings. We perform an in-depth characterization of the effect of JCPyV on the cell proteome over time, demonstrating a strong dysregulation of the cell cycle and activation of the DNA damage response. Furthermore, we show that the proteomic signature observed for infected astrocytes is extended to extracellular vesicles, underlining their potential as a resource to gain valuable insights into JCPyV infection in the brain.

IMPORTANCE Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy is a crimpling demyelinating disease of the central nervous system caused by JC polyomavirus (JCPyV). Much about JCPyV propagation in the brain remains obscure because of a lack of proper animal models to study the virus in the context of the disease, thus hampering efforts toward the development of new antiviral strategies. Here, having established a robust and representative model of JCPyV infection in human-induced pluripotent stem cell-derived astrocytes, we are able to fully characterize the effect of JCPyV on the biology of the cells and show that the proteomic signature observed for JCPyV-infected astrocytes is extended to extracellular vesicles (EVs). These data suggest that astrocyte-derived EVs found in body fluids might serve as a rich source of information relevant to JCPyV infection in the brain, opening avenues toward better understanding the pathogenesis of the virus and, ultimately, the identification of new antiviral targets.

KEYWORDS hiPSC, astrocytes, model, JCPyV, extracellular vesicles, PML

P rogressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) is a devastating demyelinating disease of the central nervous system (CNS) caused by JC polyomavirus (JCPyV) [\(1\)](#page-24-0). JCPyV is an opportunistic virus that normally resides in a benign state in the kidneys and lymphoid organs of more than 50% of the human adult population [\(2\)](#page-24-0). However, in rare cases of severe, or selective, immune suppression, the virus is able to

Editor Clinton J. Jones, Oklahoma State University College of Veterinary Medicine, Stillwater, Oklahoma, USA

Address correspondence to Renaud Du Pasquier, Renaud.Du-Pasquier@chuv.ch.

L.O., A.M., S.P., E.B., M.C., S.J., L.C., M.G., G.C.K., A.S., and K.R. have nothing to declare. S.G. has served as a consultant for Biogen, nothing related to this work. R.D.P. reports that the Lausanne University Hospital received speaker honoraria and travel grants for his activities with Biogen, Genzyme, Merck, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi. None of them were related to this work.

[See the funding table on p. 24.](#page-23-0)

Received 14 July 2023 **Accepted** 24 August 2023 **Published** 10 October 2023

Copyright © 2023 Oberholster et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of [the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license.

reactivate and establish a lytic infection of glial cells in the brain, resulting in severe and irreversible neurological damage. There are still no antiviral strategies against JCPyV and the only means to halt disease progression is to reconstitute an adequate immune response in the brain [\(3\)](#page-24-0). The CNS target cells of JCPyV are oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, and to a lesser extent, granule cell neurons of the cerebellum [\(4–6\)](#page-24-0). While the lytic infection of oligodendrocytes is what causes demyelination and, therefore, the hallmark of the disease, the role of astrocyte infection in JCPyV propagation remains unclear. In PML brain lesions, JCPyV-infected astrocytes exhibit a bizarre morphology and do not appear to undergo apoptosis [\(7,](#page-24-0) 8). Our limited understanding of JCPyV biology can be attributed to a lack of proper animal models to study the virus in the context of the disease: intracranial inoculation of JCPyV in mice and other species results in tumorigenesis, rather than demyelination, a feature that is not seen in humans [\(9\)](#page-24-0). To better characterize JCPyV infection of astrocytes, *in vitro* human glial cell models from diverse origins [primary and transformed glial cells, fetal glial progenitor-derived astrocytes, and induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC)-derived glial cells] have been developed [\(10–15\)](#page-24-0). Interestingly, findings from both *in vitro* experiments and mice engrafted with human glial progenitor cells (GPCs) suggest astrocytes to enable JCPyV replication earlier than oligodendrocytes, pointing toward an important role for this cell type in the initial stages of PML pathogenesis. Indeed, Kondo et al. propose astrocytes and GPCs to be key perpetuators of JCPyV propagation in the brain and demyelination to be a secondary occurrence [\(16\)](#page-25-0). This notion challenges the initial conceptions of PML being primarily a disease of oligodendrocytes and leaves many unanswered questions as to whether the same observations could be expected in the brains of PML patients.

Here, building on previous findings from our laboratory [\(17\)](#page-25-0), we set out to establish a reliable *in vitro* human model of JCPyV infection based on astrocytes differentiated from hiPSCs to (i) characterize the effect of JCPyV infection on astrocytes on a cellular level and (ii) perform for the first time an in-depth and comprehensive analysis of the effect of JCPyV on the cell proteome by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Indeed, understanding how the virus influences the host proteome over time is key to defining virus-host interactions. Additionally, we characterize the profile of extracellular vesicles (EVs) from JCPyV-infected cells to verify the relevance of using astrocyte-derived EVs found in the periphery as a window into the brain. EVs are membrane-bound structures secreted by all cell types and found in all body fluids as well as in conditioned media from cell cultures [\(18\)](#page-25-0). Since EVs reflect the state of their cell-of-origin, they can serve as a rich source of information that can be leveraged for understanding molecular mechanisms at play *in vivo* in an inaccessible organ, such as the brain [\(19,](#page-25-0) 20). With this in-depth characterization of JCPyV-infected astrocytes and secreted vesicles, we move closer to defining the role of astrocytes in JCPyV propagation and open new avenues toward the development of antiviral strategies for patients with PML.

RESULTS

Establishment of hiPSC-derived astrocytes as an *in vitro* **model of early JCPyV infection in the brain**

To gain more insights into JCPyV-infected astrocytes in the brain, we developed a new reproducible model based on hiPSC-derived astrocytes from two healthy donors [\(17\)](#page-25-0). Astrocyte differentiation profile was determined by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) and immunofluorescence (IFA), revealing the expression of astrocytic markers and loss of hiPSC markers following differentiation (Fig. S1). The cells were infected with a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.07 JCPyV Mad-1 and analyzed for their ability to support viral propagation using a multiparametric approach. The MOI used in this paper refers to the number of focus-forming units (FFUs) (as described by the focus-forming assay in the Materials and Methods section) per target cell.

Quantitative PCR analysis was performed on the cell pellets and corresponding supernatants of JCPyV-infected and mock-infected cells at 3, 7, 14, and 21 days

post-infection (d.p.i.). For the cell pellets, a viral titer of 2.8 \times 10⁹ genome equivalents (GE)/ μ g cellular DNA was observed at 3 d.p.i. that increased to around 5.2 \times 10¹¹ GE/ μ g cellular DNA at 21 d.p.i., indicative of active viral DNA replication (Fig. 1A). At 3 d.p.i., 7 \times 10⁷ GE/mL of culture supernatant was detected that increased rapidly to 3.2 \times 10¹⁰ GE/mL of culture supernatant at 7 d.p.i., suggesting release of virus particles or JCPyV genomic DNA into the culture supernatant. This was then followed by a steady increase until 6.8 \times 10¹¹ GE/mL of culture supernatant at 21 d.p.i. (Fig. 1B). We also assessed JCPyV DNA replication on a cellular level by performing fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) with JCPyV-specific probes on infected- and mock-infected cells at 3, 5, 7, 10, 14, and 21 d.p.i. (Fig. 1C and D). JCPyV DNA was restricted to the cell nucleus (Fig. 1C), with the percentage of cells positive for viral DNA modestly increasing from 3 to 10 d.p.i. (4%–11%), followed by a statistically significant increase to 35% and 46% at 14 and 21 d.p.i., respectively (Fig. 1D).

The expression of JCPyV VP1 capsid protein was confirmed at day 7 post-infection and shown to co-localize with astrocytic marker, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) by IFA (Fig. 2A). Next, we examined the proportion of cells expressing the early gene region (Large-T antigen, LT), and/or late gene region (VP1) (Fig. 2B). Cellular staining of LT and VP1 was mostly restricted to cell nuclei that were typically enlarged and comprised a bizarre morphology (Fig. 2A and B). The expression kinetics of JCPyV early and late genes were examined by determining the percentage of LT- and VP1-postitive cells at 3, 5, 7, 10, 14, and 21 d.p.i. (Fig. 2C and D). JCPyV LT was detected at 3 d.p.i. in 7% of all quantified cells (Fig. 2C), whereas VP1 was only detected at the subsequent timepoint (5 d.p.i), comprising 5% of all quantified cells (Fig. 2D). The percentage of LT- (~12%) and VP1- (~5%) expressing cells showed little alterations from 5 d.p.i. to 10 d.p.i. but then increased rapidly to 39% and 17% at day 14 post-infection, respectively. This number then remained constant until 21 d.p.i. when significant cell death (>50%) was observed in the culture as shown by flow cytometry analysis (Fig. 2E). Cells expressing both the early, LT(+), and late, VP1(+), proteins, comprised only 13% of the culture at the latest timepoint of infection (21 d.p.i.). On the other hand, LT(+)VP1(−) cells made up the biggest percentage of the culture at any timepoint analyzed, representing 22% of all quantified cells at 21 d.p.i. For LT(−)VP1(+) cells, a gradual increase from 0% to 7% from 3 to 21 d.p.i. was observed. All LT(−)VP1(+) cells bore morphologies that resembled dead cells with nuclei that were significantly smaller than that of cells positive for both proteins (results not shown). Since the percentage of LT(+)VP1(−) cells always outnumbered those expressing VP1, it suggests that not all infected cells supported expression of the viral late genes, or that within these cells, the infection was delayed. To go a step further, a focus-forming assay was performed to determine the number of infectious virus particles (or FFUs) present in the supernatants of JCPyV-infected astrocytes at 3, 7, 14, and 21 d.p.i. (Fig. 2F). The number of FFU/mL supernatant (Fig. S2) was shown to increase with time from an average of 40 FFU/mL at 3 d.p.i. to 20,000 FFU/mL at 21 d.p.i. Interestingly, the viral titer determined by qPCR, in terms of the GE/mL culture supernatant or GE/µg cellular DNA, far exceeded the number of infectious virus particles determined using the focus-forming assay at each timepoint analyzed. This suggests that the vast majority of viral genomes detected in the supernatant are not associated with an infectious virus particle, i.e., are not encapsulated within a virus particle or comprise defects that do not allow for JCPyV replication.

To assess whether hiPSC-derived astrocytes were able to support JCPyV particle formation, infected- and mock-infected cells were analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Fig. 3). JCPyV enters the cell through clathrin-mediated endocytosis whereafter the virus particles are transported to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) through retrograde trafficking. Once in the ER, JCPyV undergoes conformational changes, allowing its transport to the nucleus where transcription of the virus early genes is initiated [\(21\)](#page-25-0). Within JCPyV-infected conditions, some cells were found to contain clusters of virions (~45 nm) associated with the ER cisternae. These cells consisted of intact plasma membranes and cytosols with no obvious indications of JCPyV particles within the nucleus, thereby representing an early stage of the virus life cycle before viral replication (Fig. 3A). Cells that were at a later stage of infection within the same culture were identified by densely packed virus particles and tubular structures within the nucleus that coincided with a broken cell membrane and vacuolized cytoplasm (Fig. 3B). These infected cells underwent a productive viral infection and were identified less frequently than cells showing signs of the early steps of the virus life cycle, i.e., clusters of virions associated with the ER. These findings mirror the results obtained by immune fluorescence analysis and suggest that not all infected cells underwent a productive viral infection, i.e., formation of virus particles or that the infection was significantly delayed.

Proteomic signature of JCPyV-infected human astrocytes

Having established our *in vitro* model of JCPyV-infected human astrocytes, we sought to gain deeper insights into the cellular cascades induced upon infection. We, therefore, set

FIG 2 Human iPSC-derived astrocytes support JCPyV early and late gene expression and secretion of infectious virus particles. Cells were infected with JCPyV or mock-infected as described in Fig. 1. At days 3, 5, 7, 10, 14, and 21, cells were analyzed for the presence of viral proteins, cell viability, and secretion of infectious virus particles. (A) Representative image demonstrating the co-localization of JCPyV capsid protein, VP1 (green), with astrocyte marker, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP, red), at day 7 post-infection in hiPSC-derived astrocytes as shown by IFA (scale bar = 50 µm). Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). (B) Representative images confirming the expression of JCPyV early (LT, red) and late (VP1, green) proteins at day 7 post-infection as shown by IFA (scale bar = 50 µm). Cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). (C, D) Percentage of cells expressing JCPyV LT and/or VP1 was determined by IFA over the course of infection (a dot representing the mean of *n* $=$ 10 images per $n = 3$ experiments). (E) The cell viability was assessed by flow cytometry analysis in JCPyV-infected and mock-infected cells. (F) The number of infectious JCPyV particles was determined in the supernatant of infected hiPSC-derived astrocytes at different timepoints of infection using a focus-forming unit (FFU) assay (see Materials and Methods section for details). All panels: *n* = 3 experiments performed per readout, with each dot on the graph representing an individual experiment (in red: JCPyV; in gray: mock) and the line links the median value of each condition. Panel C–E: The effect of infection over time (day 3 post-infection vs other timepoints) and/or as compared to mock-infected conditions was tested using a two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's multiple comparison test. Panel F: A Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric repeated measures ANOVA was used to test the overall effect of JCPyV infection over time. If the effect of the JCPyV infection reached an overall significance, Dunn's multiple comparison tests were performed comparing day 3 post-infection to subsequent timepoints. Statistical significance of data: ***P* < 0.01; ****P* < 0.001; *****P* < 0.0001.

out to perform an in-depth characterization of the cell proteome by LC-MS/MS (Fig. 4). Pairwise comparisons were done to identify proteins that were significantly (FDR ≤0.05) up- or downregulated in infected conditions as compared to the mock-infected control (Fig. 4A). As was observed for the viral proteins by IFA, JCPyV LT and small T-antigen (ST) (early gene region) were detected at 3 d.p.i., whereas VP1 and VP2 (late gene region) were only detected at the subsequent timepoint, starting at 7 d.p.i. The expression levels of both ST and LT increased roughly five-fold from 3 to 21 d.p.i., whereas the expression levels of the viral structural proteins, VP1 and VP2, increased four-fold and two-fold, respectively, from 7 to 21 d.p.i. (Fig. S4).

Regarding the host proteins, among the 7,200 proteins detected for all timepoints and conditions analyzed, as many as 783 host proteins were significantly upregulated and 700 proteins significantly downregulated at day 21 post-infection (Fig. 4B). To gain a better understanding of the types of proteins that were dysregulated by JCPyV, how they behaved over time, and in which cellular pathways they were implicated, the proteins were scored using the product of $\vert -\log_{10}(P\text{-value})$ * log₂(fold-change)| for each protein at the latest timepoint of infection (21 d.p.i.; Fig. S5). The hundred highest-ranked proteins, all of which were upregulated, were subjected to protein-protein interaction (PPI) analysis using the STRING database. The network generated consisted of 99 nodes and 1,727 edges with an average local clustering coefficient of 0.749, indicative of a highly interactive network. The network was further analyzed using Cytoscape, to include a visual representation of the fold-change (Fig. 5A). The five proteins with the highest fold-change included the following: TOP2A; CKAP2, CCNB1; KIF11; BLM, all of which play a role in the mitotic cell cycle process.

A two-sided unsupervised cluster heatmap was constructed of the hundred highestranking proteins to analyze and compare the expression levels of each protein in JCPyV-infected and mock-infected cells over time (Fig. 5B). The unsupervised heatmap highlighted that our samples clustered strongly by culture conditions, e.g., infected vs mock, and timepoints. First, mock-infected cells at 14 d.p.i and 21 d.p.i clustered further from any other cluster, including early timepoints of mock-infected cells, suggesting changes in cellular biology as a result of the time spent in culture. This contrasted sharply with the profile of JCPyV-infected astrocytes at 21 d.p.i. that showed a strong

FIG 3 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of JCPyV-infected astrocytes. Representative images, taken at day 14 post-infection, are depicted confirming the presence of virus particles. (A) Infected cell depicting an early stage of the virus life cycle as evidenced by JCPyV particles (yellow arrows) associated with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) cisternae. (B) A late-stage infected cell is depicted, revealing the presence of JCPyV particles (yellow arrow) and tubular structures (red arrow) distributed throughout the cell nucleus. This coincided with a loss of the cell membrane and cytoplasm, indicative of a later stage of infection (scale $bar = 1 \mu m$). See Fig. S3 for the mock-infected control.

upregulation of the same set of proteins that were downregulated in the mock-infected cells, thereby demonstrating the prominent effect of JCPyV on the biology of the cells themselves. Second, mock-infected astrocytes at early timepoints (0, 3, and 7 d.p.i.) clustered together, and away from JCPyV-infected cells at 3, 7, and 14 d.p.i., again highlighting the impact of JCPyV infection on astrocytes even as early as day 3 of infection. When considering the intensity of expression of the proteins in the heatmap, in addition to the general tendency of most of the proteins to be gradually upregulated by JCPyV infection, we were able to recognize specific patterns of expression. A first set of proteins with the highest Z-scores at 21 d.p.i. in JCPyV-infected conditions, including viral proteins, LT, ST, VP1, and VP2 (Fig. 5B, green dot) and host proteins FANCG; CENPU, NCAPD3; BMP1; RFC3 and TOP2B, were shown to evolve together (Fig. 5B, red bar). The level of expression of these proteins remained relatively stable in all mock-infected conditions over the entire time course; however, the level of these proteins gradually increased in the JCPyV conditions, pointing toward a group of proteins upregulated by JCPyV but not affected by the culture time. Interestingly, a second set of proteins (Fig. 5B, purple bar: KIF23, PLK1, NDC80, CEP55, CKAP2L, NUSAP1, KIF15, IQGAP3, PCNA, KNSTRN, NCAPH, GINS2, ZWILCH, TACC3; RAD18: UBE2C, and UHRF1) were found with lower Z-scores in JCPyV-infected astrocytes at 21 d.p.i. than at 3 d.p.i., suggesting they might play an important role during the early stages of infection.

FIG 4 Proteomic analysis of JCPyV-infected astrocytes over time. Cells were infected with JCPyV or mock-infected as described in Fig. 1. At days 3, 7, 14, and 21, the cell lysates were collected and analyzed by LS-MS/MS using a TMT labeling approach. (A) Scatter plots representing the pairwise comparison of quantified proteins in JCPyV-infected cells as compared to the mock-infected control. For each timepoint (D3, D7, D14, D21) the log₂(fold-change) of each quantified protein is represented on the x-axis (JCPyV/Mock) and the corresponding -log₁₀(*P*-value) on the y-axis. Significantly, (FDR ≤ 0.05) dysregulated proteins are shown in color, with upregulated proteins shown in red and downregulated proteins shown in blue. JCPyV proteins are indicated with a green cross. (B) Venn diagram representing the overlap of significantly up- (red and green, panel A) or downregulated proteins (blue, panel A) at different timepoints post-infection. Results obtained from *n* = 3 individual infections.

B.

C.

 \dot{o} 10 20 30 40 70

60 50

FIG 5 (Continued)

notably grouped proteins with colored bars (see text for details). Results obtained from *n* = 3 experimental infections. (C) A bubble chart representing GO enrichment analysis of the hundred highest-ranked proteins. The biological processes (BPs) are indicated on the y-axis and the corresponding enrichment scores, in terms of the −log₁₀(FDR value), are indicated on the x-axis. The size of the bubble indicates the number of proteins within the corresponding pathway. Results obtained from *n* = 3 individual infections.

To identify cellular pathways that were perturbed by JCPyV, we performed GO enrichment analysis of the hundred highest-ranked proteins (Fig. 5C). As such, we were able to define an important enrichment of proteins involved in the cell cycle, which comprised 79% of the hundred highest-ranking proteins and thereby showed a high degree of redundancy with other GO terms (Table S1). Proteins associated with the S and G2/M phases of the cell cycle were especially enriched, including cyclin proteins, CCNA2, CCNB1, CCNB2, and cyclin-dependent kinases, CDK1 and CDK2. Other enriched GO terms included those involved in mitotic processes, such as chromosome condensation, regulation of sister chromatid segregation, mitotic spindle assembly, kinetochore organization, attachment of spindle microtubules to kinetochores and the meiosis I cell cycle process. Taken together, these data suggest that JCPyV facilitates cell cycle progression and arrest at the G2/M phase as has been extensively shown for JCPyV [\(11,](#page-24-0) 22[–24\)](#page-25-0) and other polyomaviruses such as BK virus [\(25\)](#page-25-0) and SV40 [\(26\)](#page-25-0). It was reported that JCPyV-infected human neuroblastoma IMR-32 cells accumulate in the G2 phase of the cell cycle by activating ATM- and ATR-mediated checkpoint pathways that form part of the cell's DNA damage response (DDR) [\(24\)](#page-25-0). Interestingly, from our own data, the three GO terms—DNA damage checkpoints, nucleotide excision repair via DNA gap filling, and double-strand break (DSB) repair via break-induced replication—were also among the top 10 most significantly enriched pathways in JCPyV conditions and represented 23% of the hundred most significantly upregulated proteins in JCPyV-infected astrocytes.

Since all polyomaviruses, including JCPyV, rely on the DDR pathways for productive viral infection, we next wanted to confirm the activation of these pathways on a cellular level in infected astrocytes [\(25\)](#page-25-0). Once DNA damage occurs, a cellular cascade is activated that allows for the recruitment of multiple repair factors to the site of the lesion. This includes the DNA damage checkpoint protein, ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) that, once activated, is able to phosphorylate histone variant H2AX at serine 139 (yH2AX) and protein kinase CHEK2 that serve as a signal for the recruitment of DNA repair proteins, including 53BPI, BRCA1, and FANCD2, resulting in the formation of nuclear foci [\(27,](#page-25-0) 28). From our proteomic data, we confirmed a temporal increase in the relative abundance of proteins involved in the aforementioned processes that mostly reached significance from 14 d.p.i. and onward (Fig. 6A). The formation of nuclear foci (yH2AX; p53BPI; FANCD2; BRCA1) and the upregulation of DNA damage checkpoint proteins (ATM, CHEK2, PLK) were then further confirmed on a cellular level by IFA in JCPyV-infected cells (Fig. 6B) but were not observed in the mock-infected control (Fig. S6). This indicates that in our astrocytes, JCPyV induces the activation of DDR pathways similar to what has been reported in primary human astrocytes infected with JCPyV and PML brain lesions [\(23\)](#page-25-0).

To conclude, this in-depth characterization of the effects of JCPyV on the proteome of astrocytes confirms the current knowledge on the biology of JCPyV and other polyomaviruses, i.e., host-pathogen interactions involved in the cell cycle and DDR pathways. Since our *in vitro* hiPSC-derived model appeared to encapsulate what has been observed for the virus both in primary human astrocytes in culture and in PML brain lesions, we used it to further explore JCPyV biology in astrocytes and its effects on EVs released from infected cells.

Proteomic analysis of EVs from JCPyV-infected astrocytes

The ability of brain-derived EVs to cross the blood-brain barrier has piqued the interest of neuroscientists for their potential to serve as rich sources of information pertaining to

FIG 6 Activation of the DNA damage response (DDR) in JCPyV-infected astrocytes. (A) Min-max score of the log₂(relative abundance) of DDR proteins quantified over time by proteomic analyses in JCPyV-infected (red) and mock-infected (gray) astrocytes. A minimum of *n* = 3 experiments were performed, with each dot on the graph representing an individual infection and the line that links the median value of each condition (JCPyV vs mock). The asterisks (*) represent significant differences between infected and mock conditions for each protein using a two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's multiple comparison test. Statistical significance of data: **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01; ****P* < 0.001; *****P* < 0.0001. (B) Representative images taken at 7 d.p.i. showing the activation of the DDR through upregulation of DNA damage checkpoint proteins (ATM, CHEK2, PLK) and the formation of nuclear foci (yH2AX, p53BP1, BRCA1, and FANCD2) in infected astrocytes expressing either JCPyV LT (red) or VP1 (green) (scale bar = 50 µm). See Fig. S6 for the mock-infected control.

inaccessible organs, such as the brain, particularly in the context of disease [\(20\)](#page-25-0). Having demonstrated that the proteomic signature of our *in vitro* model of JCPyV infection in the brain accurately reflects features observed for infected astrocytes *in vivo*, supernatants were collected from JCPyV-infected and mock-infected astrocytes at days 7 and 14 post-infection. These timepoints were selected to avoid significant cell death (refer to Fig. 2E), in the culture that would risk contamination of the EV preparations. EVs were isolated using the classical method of differential ultracentrifugation, whereafter the EV-enriched fractions were analyzed by LC-MS/MS (Fig. 7A). To confirm that EVs from JCPyV-infected astrocytes constituted a proteomic signature specific to viral infection and distinct from inflammatory conditions, EVs were also collected from astrocytes stimulated with proinflammatory cytokines, TNF-α, and IL-1b, as well as unstimulated, resting controls. These two cytokines have been shown to be key players in neuroinflammatory diseases [\(17,](#page-25-0) 29). To assess the purity of the EV-enriched fractions, the relative abundance of proteins normally found to associate with EVs was compared to that of potential contaminants, according to MISEV2018 specifications, for each sample [\(30\)](#page-25-0) (Fig.

FIG 7 Proteomic signature of extracellular vesicles (EVs) from JCPyV-infected or cytokine-stimulated astrocytes. Human iPSC-derived astrocytes were infected with an MOI of 0.07 of JCPyV Mad-1 or stimulated with 10 ng/mL TNFα and 10 ng/mL IL-1β. As the negative control for each condition, the cells were either mock-infected or left resting, respectively. Results were obtained from *n* = 4–5 independent experiments. (A) At days 7 and 14, EVs were collected from the culture supernatant of the different conditions and subjected to increasing speeds of centrifugation to remove cells, dead cells, debris, and large vesicles, e.g., microvesicles (MVs) and apoptotic bodies. The final pellet from the 100 K × *g* centrifugation step, comprising small EVs, was collected and analyzed by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LS-MS/MS) using a label-free quantification method. (B) Scatter plots showing quantified proteins in JCPyV conditions as compared to mock-infected control. For each timepoint (D7 and D14), the log₂(fold-change) of the quantified proteins is represented on the x-axis (JCPyV/ Mock) and the corresponding −log10(*P*-value) on the y-axis. Significantly (FDR ≤ 0.05) dysregulated proteins are shown in color, with upregulated proteins shown in red and downregulated proteins shown in blue. JCPyV proteins are indicated with a green cross. (C) Scatter plots showing quantified proteins from TNFα+IL-1β-stimulated astrocytes as compared to resting (i.e., unstimulated) astrocytes, similarly as described in B. Upregulated proteins are shown in red and downregulated proteins in blue. (D, E) Venn diagrams showing the overlap of significantly upregulated (D) or downregulated (E) proteins in JCPyV-infected or TNFα+IL-1β-stimulated astrocytes at day 14 post-infection or post-stimulation, respectively. (F, G) Unsupervised cluster heatmaps showing the time course of the most significantly dysregulated proteins (taken from day 14) in JCPyV-infected (F) or TNFα+IL-1β-stimulated (G) conditions as compared to the mock-infected or resting controls, respectively.

S7). EV-associated proteins (from categories 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B) were significantly enriched across all samples analyzed as compared to contaminant proteins (from categories 3A, 3B), suggesting that a good level of purity was reached. Notably, EVs generated under infected conditions contained a high abundance of ribosomal proteins from category 3B as compared to EV generated under stimulated or resting conditions. Since all EV isolations were done using the same procedure, we suspected the association of ribosomal proteins with EVs to be specific to viral infection.

Pairwise comparisons of the EV-enriched fraction proteomic data were done for all timepoints to identify proteins that were significantly (FDR \leq 0.05; s0 = 1) dysregulated in infected (Fig. 7B) or cytokines-stimulated (Fig. 7C) conditions as compared to the mock-infected or resting controls, respectively. In infected conditions, JCPyV early (LT and ST) and late (VP1 and VP3) proteins were detected in the EV-enriched samples of all the timepoints analyzed. Due to the overlapping size distribution of JCPyV particles (~45 nm) and small EVs (30–150 nm), there is a possibility of co-sedimentation during high-speed ultracentrifugation. To investigate this, we introduced an additional step in the EV purification process, specifically density gradient ultracentrifugation (DGUC; Fig. S8). Western blot analysis of the collected fraction revealed the presence of JCPyV VP1 capsid protein in the same fraction as that of EV marker, CD81. This shows that VP1 is indeed encompassed in EVs and are in line with previous reports indicating the ability of JCPyV to spread through EVs, as demonstrated in SVG-A [\(3131,](#page-25-0) 32[31,](#page-25-0) 32) and choroid plexus epithelial cells [\(33\)](#page-25-0). Furthermore, JCPyV VP1 and viral DNA have been detected in EVs derived from the plasma of HIV patients [\(34\)](#page-25-0).

Of main interest, was the remarkable dysregulation of host proteins induced by the virus. The number of significantly upregulated (*n* = 291) proteins starkly outnumbered those that were significantly downregulated $(n = 12)$ at day 14 post-infection and sharply contrasted with the proteins identified in cytokine-stimulated conditions at both timepoints analyzed. Of the 291 significantly upregulated proteins in JCPyV conditions, only 12 proteins (4%) were shown to overlap with the 155 significantly upregulated proteins in cytokine-stimulated conditions (Fig. 7D). These proteins included ILF3, SRSF1, U2AF2, ISG15, DDX17, HNRNPAB, ELAVL1, HNRNPL, HNRNPM, HNRNPH3, TM9SF4, and DDX5 that mostly have functions associated with mRNA splicing. Correspondingly, of the 12 significantly downregulated proteins at 14 d.p.i. in JCPyV conditions, only SLC38A3 formed part of the 96 significantly downregulated proteins in cytokine-stimulated conditions (Fig. 7E). We next constructed an unsupervised cluster heatmap to compare the relative abundances of significantly dysregulated proteins (taken from day 14) over time for JCPyV-infected or cytokine-stimulated conditions as compared to the mock-infected or resting control, respectively (Fig. 7F and G). JCPyV conditions clustered separately from that of the mock-infected control, with no specific clustering based on the timepoint analyzed (Fig. 7F). This suggested that the time course from 7 to 14 d.p.i. had little to no effect on the protein levels. In a similar way, column-clustering resulted in the separate grouping of cytokine-stimulated conditions from the resting controls and showed no apparent effect of time (Fig. 7G).

To gain a better understanding of the cellular pathways in which these proteins were implicated, we performed PPI analysis and GO enrichment analysis of the hundred most dysregulated proteins from each condition according to the product of |−log10(*P*-value) * log2(fold-change)| (Fig. S9). For infected conditions, the generated STRING network consisted of 100 nodes and 500 edges with an average local clustering coefficient of 0.502, whereas the network for cytokine-stimulated conditions consisted of 99 nodes and 194 edges with an average local cluster coefficient of 0.479. Both networks were further analyzed using Cytoscape to incorporate visualization of the fold-change. For JCPyV conditions, the five proteins with the highest fold-change included TOP2A, SMC4, MCM3, KIF11, and FEN1 thereby comprising a proteomic signature highly representative of what was observed for the virus on a cellular level (Fig. 8A). DNA topoisomerase II alpha (TOP2A) was shown to be the most highly upregulated host protein in both the JCPyV-infected cells and the EV-enriched fraction, with a fold-change of 5 and

130, respectively, at 14 d.p.i. Interestingly, the same protein was mostly absent in the EV fraction generated from astrocytes under the other tested conditions (mock-infected, cytokine-stimulated, resting). The proteomic signature of the EV-enriched fraction from cytokine-stimulated conditions was starkly different from that of the EV-enriched fraction generated under infection conditions. Under cytokines-stimulated conditions, the top five proteins with the highest fold-change in the EV-enriched fractions included MX1, GGT5, BST2, DDX58, and IFIT1. Intriguingly, besides GGT5, these proteins all play a role in the defense response against viruses and were completely absent from the EV fraction generated under infection conditions (Fig. 8B).

We next performed GO enrichment analysis on the same set of top-ranked proteins from either JCPyV-infected (Table S2) or cytokine-stimulated (Table S3) conditions as compared to the mock-infected or resting control, respectively. In the EV-enriched fraction from JCPyV-infected cells, proteins involved in mRNA splicing via spliceosomes and the cell cycle were highly enriched and to a lesser extent, proteins involved in pre-replicative complex assembly and mitotic processes, e.g., chromosome condensation, regulation of sister chromatid segregation, and chromatin organization, thereby reflecting what was observed for the virus on a cellular level (Fig. 8C). When considering all the significantly upregulated proteins in the EV-enriched fraction from JCPyV-infected cells, roughly 25% were shared with those significantly upregulated in infected astrocytes. These proteins were primarily implicated in the cell cycle, while the remaining 75% found only in the EV-enriched fraction were primarily implicated in

FIG 8 PPI analysis and GO enrichment analysis of EVs from JCPyV-infected or TNFα+IL-1β-stimulated astrocytes. Significantly dysregulated proteins at day 14 of infection or stimulation were ranked according to the product of |−log10(*P*-value) * log2(fold-change)| of which the highest-ranked host proteins were selected for analysis. (A, B) PPI networks generated using the STRING database for the JCPyV (A) and TNFα+IL-1β (B) conditions were further analyzed using Cytoscape. Upregulated proteins are shown in red and downregulated proteins in blue, with the fold-change represented by the color intensity, the darkest being the most dysregulated. (C, D) GO enrichment analysis was performed on the hundred highest-ranking proteins of JCPyV (C) and TNFα+IL-1β-stimulated (D) conditions. The BPs are indicated on the y-axis and the corresponding enrichment scores, in terms of the −log₁₀(FDR value), are indicated on the x-axis. The size of the bubble correlates with the number of genes within the corresponding pathway.

RNA processing. Once again, these observations were highly different from that of the EV-enriched fractions from cytokine-stimulated cells, of which the five GO terms with the highest scores included cytokine-mediated signaling pathways, followed by antigen processing and presentation via MHC class I molecules, regulation of mRNA metabolic processes, regulation of type I interferon production, and response to lipids (Fig. 8D). Taken together, these data show that EVs from JCPyV-infected astrocytes have a very distinct proteomic signature from those generated under inflammatory conditions. The proteomic content of these EV-enriched fractions somewhat reflects the dysregulation brought on by the virus on a cellular level, but also indicates selective packaging of some proteins into EVs. This feature opens interesting avenues regarding cellular communication in the context of JCPyV infection and highlights the potential of EVs as a tool to gain better insights into JCPyV biology in the brain of PML patients.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we first set out to establish a reliable human *in vitro* model of JCPyV infection in astrocytes. Using a variety of techniques, we show that hiPSC-derived astrocytes are susceptible to JCPyV infection, confirming findings from others, in particular, Shimbo and co-workers [\(15,](#page-24-0) 22, 23). However, taking it a step further, we conduct a comprehensive analysis of this model, encompassing the examination of the infection kinetics of JCPyV in hiPSC-derived astrocytes, the assessment of viral protein expression, and the impact on cellular responses. To closely replicate physiological conditions and investigate various stages of the virus life cycle, we infected cells with a low MOI of 0.07, based on the number of FFUs per cell. As such, temporal analysis of the percentage of cells positive for JCPyV DNA or viral proteins during the first 10 days of infection remained relatively low and rapidly increased during the later stages of infection, e.g., 14 d.p.i and onward, corresponding with multiple rounds of infection. Our findings confirmed that JCPyV exhibits characteristics of a slow virus. While 7% of cells expressed JCPyV LT at 3 d.p.i., VP1 was only detected at the subsequent timepoint (5 d.p.i.) in 5% of cells, suggesting a delayed progression of viral replication and protein expression in our experimental system. These results were corroborated by the proteomic analysis of infected cells where JCPyV LT and ST were detected at 3.d.p.i., and VP1 and VP3 only at the subsequent timepoint (7 d.p.i.). It has been reported that the JCPyV infectious cycle in primary human astrocytes is delayed as compared to that of SVG-A cells in which the presence of SV40 LT accelerates the production of the viral late genes [\(22\)](#page-25-0). While SVG-A cells are widely used to study JCPyV biology [\(35\)](#page-25-0), the constitutive expression of SV40 LT represents a barrier to bridge *in vitro* findings to what can be expected *in vivo*. Conversely, we found that the number of LT-expressing cells always outnumbered those expressing VP1, even during the later stages of infection.

To go a step further in determining whether hiPSC-derived astrocytes support efficient *de novo* production of infectious particles, we conducted a focus-forming assay using culture supernatants at different timepoints postinfection and compared these results to viral titers as determined by qPCR. Strikingly, genomic viral titers outnumbered infectious virus particles by up to $2 \times 10e6$ fold. This discrepancy implies that the majority of viral genomes detected in the supernatant were not linked to infectious virus particles, either lacking encapsulation within a virus particle or consisting of defective variants unable to support JCPyV replication. Nevertheless, we demonstrate that indeed infectious particle can be gradually produced by JCPyV-infected astrocytes without excessive cell death.

Concomitantly, TEM analysis of JCPyV-infected astrocytes revealed a higher frequency of infected cells devoid of signs of JCPyV replication (virion clusters associated with the ER but absent in the nucleus) as compared to newly produced JCPyV virions (virus assembly within the nucleus). Similar observations were made in PML brain lesions [\(6\)](#page-24-0). These findings suggest that not all infected astrocytes support a productive viral infection or that in some infected cells, the expression of the virus late genes, and formation of virus particles, is delayed. A productive viral infection was discernible in

cells, which we suspected to be dead or dying, having nuclei filled with virus particles and tubular structures that coincided with a broken plasma membrane and vacuolized cytoplasm. Supporting these findings, Seth et al. found that human glial progenitorderived astrocytes that were permissively infected with JCPyV eventually led to nonapoptotic cell death that bore striking similarities to necrosis, e.g., vacuolized cytoplasm and dissolution of organelles [\(12\)](#page-24-0). Mazlo et al. made similar observations in PML brain biopsies [\(6\)](#page-24-0). As such, our model appears to faithfully recapitulate not only features of JCPyV infection *in vitro* but all characteristics known for the infected astrocytes in PML brain lesions.

To further our investigation into the biology of JCPyV-infected astrocytes, we performed an in-depth characterization of the cell proteome by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LS-MS/MS). We found that JCPyV had a remarkable impact on the biology of astrocytes. Notably, proteins involved in the cell cycle and cell division were significantly upregulated in the JCPyV condition and the level of these proteins correlated with the time course of infection. Proteins involved in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle were especially enriched, suggesting that the virus was able to facilitate cell cycle progression as has been reported for JCPyV [\(11,](#page-24-0) 22) and other polyomaviruses [\(26,](#page-25-0) 36). We also show that proteins involved in the DDR pathway are highly enriched. JCPyV LT was shown by others to mediate cell cycle arrest at the G2/M phase in a human neuroblastoma cell line through the activation of DDR checkpoint proteins, ATM, and ATR [\(24\)](#page-25-0). Furthermore, DDR proteins have previously been reported to be upregulated in primary human astrocytes infected with JCPyV *in vitro* and in bizarre astrocytes from PML brain lesions [\(23\)](#page-25-0). In a more recent study, DDR proteins were described to be localized to sites of JCPyV DNA replication within the nuclei of primary human astrocytes [\(37\)](#page-26-0). The involvement of the DDR in the propagation of other polyomaviruses, including BK virus [\(25\)](#page-25-0), Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCPyV) [\(38\)](#page-26-0), SV40 [\(39\)](#page-26-0), and murine polyomavirus (MPyV) [\(40\)](#page-26-0) has also been well characterized. Our data show that proteins involved in DNA damage checkpoints, including ATM, CHEK1, CHEK2, BRCA1, FANCD2, and PLK, are upregulated in JCPyV-infected cells and form nuclear foci, which along with the phosphorylation of H2AX indicate activation of DDR pathways. Overall, our systematic analysis of JCPyV-infected astrocytes not only accurately depicts features of the virus life cycle, as discussed above, but also the altered biological state observed for JCPyV-infected primary human astrocytes *in vitro* and *ex vivo*, thus highlighting the relevance of our model to gain further insights into JCPyV pathophysiology in the brain [\(11,](#page-24-0) 23, 24, 37).

In addition to characterizing the effect of JCPyV on the cell proteome, we wanted to establish whether the same signature was extended to EVs from infected astrocytes. In the absence of proper animal models to study JCPyV in the context of PML, brain-derived EVs found in the blood or cerebrospinal fluid would provide a valuable resource to bridge *in vitro* findings to what can be expected *in vivo*. Given that our model proved to be highly reproducible and representative of what could be expected for infected astrocytes in PML brain lesions, we investigated whether astrocyte-derived EVs would reflect the state of infection and provide insights into the cellular mechanisms at play. Toward this aim, EVs were isolated from JCPyV-infected and mock-infected astrocytes and analyzed by LC-MS/MS. We observed the presence of JCPyV viral proteins (LT, ST, VP1, and VP3) in association with EVs. However, due to the potential co-sedimentation of free virus particles with EVs during high-speed ultracentrifugation, we introduced an additional step in the EV purification process, namely DGUC, which enables the separation of EVs from free virus particles based on their distinct density characteristics. As such, we were able to confirm the presence of JCV VP1 capsid protein in the very same fraction as that of EV marker, CD81. It has recently been demonstrated that JCPyV can propagate through EVs to infect neighboring cells in SVG-A and choroid plexus epithelial cell cultures [\(31–33\)](#page-25-0). Similarly, JCPyV VP1 and viral DNA have been associated with plasma-derived EVs from HIV patients [\(34\)](#page-25-0). Our findings suggest that EVs may play a role in JCPyV dissemination.

Regarding the effect of the virus on the proteomic landscape of EVs from hiPSCderived astrocytes, we found that JCPyV had a prominent impact that partly mirrored the observations made on a cellular level. Proteins involved in cellular processes such as mRNA splicing via spliceosomes, cell cycle, and mitotic processes were particularly enriched. Nevertheless, only about 25% of the proteins from the EV fraction was shared between the cellular fraction and the EV-enriched fraction. The remaining 75% delineated a signature specific to the EVs that was not found in the cells. This might be the result of active packaging of some proteins over others in the context of JCPyV infection. Of particular significance was the finding that the proteomic signature of the EV-enriched fraction from JCPyV-infected astrocytes was starkly different from that of the EV-enriched fraction generated under pro-inflammatory cytokine-stimulated conditions. The latter comprised a proteomic signature relating to cytokine-mediated signaling pathways, antigen processing and presentation and type I interferon production. Interestingly, these pathways were not implicated in JCPyV conditions, suggesting that the virus was able to mitigate the cell's innate immune response as was recently reported for JCPyV in SVG-A cells [\(41\)](#page-26-0) and BK virus in primary renal proximal tubule epithelial cells [\(36\)](#page-26-0).

To conclude, while PML has traditionally been characterized as a demyelinating disease associated with the lytic infection of oligodendrocytes, our study emphasizes the potential role of astrocytes in JCPyV propagation. We have demonstrated that our model can serve as an excellent tool to address some of the unanswered questions surrounding JCPyV pathophysiology, thereby paving the way toward the development of antiviral strategies. Moreover, we have taken a step further by demonstrating that EVs released by infected astrocytes mirror the ongoing processes within these cells. This finding underscores the prospect of utilizing astrocyte-derived EVs from body fluids as a means to gain insights into the CNS and unravel the mysteries surrounding JCPyV infection in the brain. Ultimately, our study provides a solid foundation for exploring the potential of brain-derived EVs present in the cerebrospinal fluid or bloodstream as a highly promising avenue for the development of diagnostic and prognostic tools for PML.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Differentiation of human-induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC)-derived astrocytes

Human iPSCs were generated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells of two healthy donors (age/sex: HC2: 50/M; HC3: 49/F) as previously described [\(17,](#page-25-0) 42). The differentiation into astrocytes has been detailed in another publication [\(43\)](#page-26-0). Briefly, hiPSCs were first differentiated into neural progenitor cells (NPCs) as per our detailed protocol. Then NPCs were seeded on Matrigel-coated flasks at 50,000 cells/cm² and kept in Astrocyte Induction medium [DMEM/F-12 supplemented with 1x N2 supplement, 1x B27 supplement, 10 ng/mL FGF-2 (PeproTech), and 10 ng/mL EGF (Miltenyi)] for 2 wk. The cells were thereafter cultured in astrocyte medium supplemented with 20 ng/mL CNTF (PeproTech) for 4 wk. Astrocyte differentiation was analyzed by RT-qPCR and IFA (see sections below). When mature astrocytes were obtained, the cells were then supplied with Astrocyte medium without CNTF. All donors gave their written informed consent according to institutional review board guidelines. This study was accepted by our institution's review board (2018_01622). Human iPSC-derived astrocytes were then seeded at (15,000–30,000) cells/cm² a day before the experiment to be performed.

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR

The cell pellets of hiPSC-derived astrocytes from two healthy donors (HC2 and HC3) were lysed in RLT Plus Buffer (Qiagen) and the RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen). Following reverse transcription using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen), the gene expression of 10 iPSC and astrocytic markers (see Fig. S1 for

details) was assessed by quantitative PCR (qPCR). The reactions were performed in a final volume of 20 µL that consisted of 6.8 µL of selected primers (Table S4) (200 nM of forward and reverse) from the DELTAgene Assays (Fluidigm), 10 µL PowerUp SYBER Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 1.2 µL H20 and 2 µL cDNA. The real-time qPCR reactions were performed using the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems), of which the parameters were set to 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Results were normalized to the endogenous control, GAPDH, and expressed using the ΔCT method.

Infection of hiPSC-derived astrocytes with JCPyV Mad-1

JCPyV Mad-1 (Biogen) was produced as previously described [\(44\)](#page-26-0). Human iPSC-derived astrocytes, seeded the previous day, were infected with JCPyV Mad-1 (MOI, 0.07) or mock-infected as a control. Following a 24-h incubation period at 37°C, the cells were washed with 1x phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to remove excess viral particles. Fresh culture media was added to the cells, which were left to incubate at 37°C in a $CO₂$ incubator.

Stimulation of hiPSC-derived astrocytes with cytokines

A day after seeding, hiPSC-derived astrocytes were supplied with medium containing 10 ng/mL TNFα (R&D Systems) and 10 ng/mL IL-1β (Miltenyi Biotec), or unsupplemented medium as a control. Following a 7-d incubation period, the culture medium of the cells was collected and replaced with fresh TNFα- and IL-1β-supplemented medium for a further 7 d.

Quantification of JCPyV by qPCR

At defined timepoints post-infection (days 3, 7, 14, and 21), JCPyV-infected and mockinfected hiPSC-derived astrocytes and supernatants for each corresponding timepoint were collected and stored at −20°C. Astrocytes were washed with 1x PBS and detached using TrypLE (Gibco). The cells were collected by centrifugation at 600 \times *g* for 10 min and the dry cell pellets were stored at −20°C until further analysis. DNA isolation was performed on the cell pellets and 200 µL of the corresponding supernatants using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For the cell pellets, purified DNA samples were standardized according to DNA concentration, as determined by NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A 78-bp amplicon, located on the large T antigen coding region of JCPyV Mad-1, was amplified by specific primers: 5′-AGAGTGTTGGGATCCTGTGTTTT-3′ (nt 4298 to 4320) and 5′-GAGAAGTGGGAT GAAGACCTGTTT-3′ (nt 4375 to 4352) and detected with a fluorogenic probe 5′FAM-TC ATCACTGGCAAACATTTCTTCATGGC-TAMRA3′ (nt 4323 to 4350) [\(45\)](#page-26-0). The reactions were performed in a final volume of 25 µL consisting of 12.5 µL 2x TaqMan Universal PCR Mix (Applied Biosystem), 400 nM of each primer, 100 nM of the probe, and either 10 ng DNA (cell pellet) or 10 µL DNA solution (supernatant). The real-time qPCR reactions were performed using the QuantStudio 6 Flex real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems), of which the parameters were set to 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. For the preparation of the standard curve, a 10-fold serial dilution in H₂O was prepared of a commercially available bacterial plasmid (pBR322) containing the JCPyV Mad-1 full sequence (gift from Peter Howley, Addgene plasmid #25626) [\(46\)](#page-26-0). The final standard curve ranged from 1 to 10^6 copies/well. For the cell pellets, the results were calculated as copy number per µg total DNA and for the supernatants as copy number per mL culture supernatant. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9 software (Version 9.1.0). A Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric repeated measures ANOVA was used to test the overall effect of JCPyV infection over time. If the effect of the JCPyV infection reached an overall significance, Dunn's multiple comparison tests were performed comparing day 3 to subsequent timepoints. A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered as significant for adjusted *P* values.

Fluorescent *in situ* **hybridization**

At defined timepoints of infection (days 3, 7, 10, 14, and 21), JCPyV-infected and mock-infected astrocytes were detached using TrypLE (Gibco) and replated at 30,000 cells/cm^2 on 8-well Milicell EZ glass chamber slides (Millipore). The next day, cells were fixed with 4% PFA (Electron Microscopy Sciences) in 1x PBS and stored in 70% ethanol at 4°C. Cells were sequentially dehydrated with 90% and 100% ethanol, for 2 min each, whereafter the hybridization solution, consisting of 1 µg/mL of JC virus BIO-PROBE (Enzo Life Sciences) in 1x *In Situ* Hybridization buffer (Enzo Life Sciences), was added dropwise to the cells. Coverslips were placed over the slides and sealed with Fixogum (Fisher Scientific), taking care not to form air bubbles. The slides were then placed on a heating block for 5 min at 90°C, to allow DNA denaturation to occur. This was followed by hybridization at 37°C overnight in a humidity chamber. The next day, the coverslips were removed, and the slides were washed with 1x PBS, followed by *In Situ* Hybridization Wash Buffer (Enzo Life Sciences) for 15 min at 37°C. The slides were washed with 1x PBS (2x) and incubated with 1% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min at room temperature. The cells were then incubated with 5 µg/mL Peroxidase Streptavidin (Jackson Immuno Research) in 1% BSA for 30 min at room temperature. The slides were washed again with 1x PBS (2x), whereafter the revelation solution, consisting of 1x Alexa Fluor 568 Tyramide Reagent (Invitrogen) and 1/2,000 dilution of H_2O_2 (Sigma-Aldrich) in 50 mM Tris buffer at pH 7.4, was added dropwise to the cells and left for 2 min at room temperature. The slides were washed with 1x PBS (2x) followed by nuclear staining with 1.67 μ g/mL DAPI (Invitrogen) in 1x PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Fluoromount-G (Invitrogen) was used to mount coverslips (Vetrini Coprioggetto) on the slides that were left to dry in a cool, dark place. The cells were analyzed using the DMi1 Inverted microscope (Leica), whereafter the images were processed using the LAS X software (Leica) and quantified using CellProfiler (v4.2.1). A total of 30 images (~1,500 cells), across three experiments, were analyzed for each timepoint and condition using a global thresholding strategy with the Otsu method. Graphical representations and statistical analysis were performed on GraphPad Prism 9 software (Version 9.1.0). A two-way non-parametric repeated measures ANOVA was used to test the overall effect of JCPyV infection over time. If the effect of the JCPyV infection reached an overall significance, post hoc Sidak's multiple comparison tests were performed at multiple timepoints. A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered as significant for adjusted *P* values.

Immunofluorescence assay

At defined timepoints of infection (days 3, 7, 10, 14, and 21), JCPyV-infected and mock-infected astrocytes were detached using TrypLE (Gibco) and replated at 30,000 cells/cm² on 8-well Milicell EZ glass chamber slides (Millipore). Following a 24-h incubation period, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (Electron Microscopy Sciences) and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. The cells were then washed with 1x PBS and stored in 70% ethanol in H₂O at 4°C until further analysis. Once the samples from all the timepoints were collected, the cells were washed with 1x PBS and blocked/permeabilized with an IFA solution containing 0.2% Tween-20 (Sigma-Aldrich) and 5% normal goat serum (NGS) (Jackson ImmunoResearch) in 1x PBS for 1 h at room temperature. This was followed by incubation at room temperature for 1 h with the primary antibody in IFA solution (refer to Table 1 for details). The cells were thoroughly washed (2x) with 1x PBS, followed by incubation for 30 min at room temperature with goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (1/200; Invitrogen) or donkey anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 546 (1/200, Invitrogen) in IFA buffer. The cellular nuclei were then stained with 1.67 µg/mL DAPI (Invitrogen) in IFA buffer. After the final washing step, a coverslip (Vetrini Coprioggetto) was mounted onto the slide using Fluoromount-G (Invitrogen), whereafter the mounted slides were left to dry in a cool, dark place. Cells were analyzed using the LSM 880 confocal with Airyscan microscope (Zeiss) whereafter images were processed using the Zen (blue edition, v3.6) microscopy software (Zeiss) and quantified using CellProfiler (v4.2.1). A total of 30 images (~1,500 cells), across three

TABLE 1 Antibody list used for immunofluorescence assay

experiments, were analyzed for each timepoint and condition using a global thresholding strategy with the Otsu method. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9 software (Version 9.1.0). A two-way non-parametric repeated measures ANOVA was used to test the overall effect of JCPyV infection over time. If the effect of the JCPyV infection reached an overall significance, post hoc Sidak's multiple comparison tests were performed at multiple timepoints. A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered as significant for adjusted *P* values.

Focus-forming assay

Viral titers were determined using a focus-forming assay as described [\(47\)](#page-26-0) with modifications. Briefly, SVG-A cells were plated the previous day at 8,000 cells/well in a 96-well plate and incubated with 0.1 mL of a ten-fold or two-fold limiting dilution series in DMEM, containing 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS), of JCPyV Mad-1 [\(44\)](#page-26-0) or supernatants collected from JCPyV-infected cells, respectively. Following a 24-h incubation period at 37°C, the cells were washed with 1x PBS to remove excess viral particles. The cells were supplied with DMEM containing 10% FBS and left to incubate at 37 \degree C in a CO₂ incubator. On day 5, the cells were fixed with 4% PFA and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. The cells were then washed with 1x PBS and stored in 70% ethanol in H₂O at 4°C until further analysis. As with IFA, the cells were washed with 1x PBS and blocked/ permeabilized with an IFA solution containing 0.2% Tween-20 (Sigma-Aldrich) and 5% NGS (Jackson ImmunoResearch) in 1x PBS for 1 h at room temperature, followed by 1 h with mouse anti-T antigen (1/800; PAB2003, Biogen). The cells were thoroughly washed (2x) with 1x PBS, followed by incubation for 30 min at room temperature with donkey anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (1/200; Invitrogen) in IFA buffer. The cellular nuclei were then stained with 1.67 µg/mL DAPI (Invitrogen) in IFA buffer. The number of FFUs was counted whereafter the FFU per mL was calculated. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9 software (Version 9.1.0). A Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric repeated measures ANOVA was used to test the overall effect of JCPyV infection over time. If the effect of the JCPyV infection reached an overall significance, Dunn's multiple comparison tests were performed comparing day 3 post-infection to subsequent timepoints. A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered as significant for adjusted *P* values.

Flow cytometry analysis

JCPyV-infected and mock-infected astrocytes were detached using TrypLE (Gibco) and labeled with aqua blue amine dye (Life Technologies) for 25 min at 4°C. Cells were washed (2x) with 1x PBS and stored in 4% PFA (Electron Microscopy Sciences) until analysis with LSRII flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and FlowJo software (v10.7.1, Treestar). Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9 software (Version 9.1.0). A two-way non-parametric repeated measures ANOVA was used to test the overall effect of JCPyV infection over time. If the effect of the JCPyV infection reached an overall significance, post hoc Sidak's multiple comparison tests were performed at multiple timepoints. A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered as significant for adjusted *P* values.

Transmission electron microscopy

JCPyV-infected and mock-infected astrocytes were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1x PBS and sent to the Electron Microscopy Facility at the University of Lausanne for sample preparation and TEM analysis. The cells were incubated with a fresh mixture of osmium tetroxide 1% (EMS) and 1.5% of potassium ferrocyanide (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1x PBS for 1 h at room temperature. The samples were washed (3x) with distilled H₂O and spun down in low melting 2% agarose (Sigma-Aldrich) in H₂O and left to solidify on ice. The samples were then cut into 1 mm^3 cubes and dehydrated in acetone (Sigma-Aldrich) solution at graded concentrations (30% for 40 min, 50% for 40 min, 70% for 40 min, and 100% for 1–2 h). This was followed by infiltration in Epon (Sigma-Aldrich) at graded concentrations (Epon 1/3 acetone for 2 h, Epon 3/1 acetone for 2 h, Epon 1/1 for 4 h, Epon 1/1 for 12 h) and finally polymerized for 48 h at 60°C in the oven. Ultrathin sections of 50 nm were cut on a Leica Ultracut (Leica) and transferred onto a copper slot grid $(2 \times 1 \text{ mm})$; EMS) coated with a polystyrene film (Sigma-Aldrich). Sections were post-stained with 2% uranyl acetate (Sigma-Aldrich) in H₂O for 10 min, followed by rinsing with H₂O. The sections were stained with Reynolds's lead citrate (Sigma-Aldrich) in H₂O for 10 min and rinsed for a final time with H₂O. Micrographs were taken with a transmission electron microscope Philips CM100 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at an acceleration voltage of 80 kV with a TVIPS TemCam-F416 digital camera (TVIPS).

Analysis of JCPyV-infected astrocytes by LC-MS/MS using a tandem mass tag

Protein digestion of whole-cell lysate

At different timepoints of infection, JCPyV-infected and mock-infected cells were lysed with 5 M Guanidine in 50-mM HEPES, pH 8.5. The protein concentrations were determined by using the Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific) and following the manufacturer's instructions. Aliquots containing 20 µg total protein were prepared and sent to the proteomic core facility (PCF) of EPFL for sample preparation and LC-MS/MS analysis. The samples were digested using the filter-aided sample preparation protocol with minor modifications [\(48\)](#page-26-0). Protein samples were resuspended in 8 M urea solution in 100 mM Tris-HCl and deposited on top of Microcon−30K devices (Merck). Samples were centrifuged at $9,400 \times q$, at 20° C for 30 min. All subsequent centrifugation steps were performed using the same conditions. Reduction was performed using 10 mM Tris(2-carboxy)phosphine (TCEP) in 8 M urea solution. This was followed by the alkylation step using 40 mM chloroacetamide (CAA) in 8 M urea solution and incubation at 37°C for 45 min in the dark. The alkylation solution was removed by centrifugation followed by washing with 8 M urea. Proteolytic digestion was performed overnight at 37°C using a combined solution of Endoproteinase Lys-C and Trypsin Gold in an enzyme/protein ratio of 1:50 (wt/wt) supplemented with 10 mM CaCl2. The resulting peptides were recovered by centrifugation and desalted on SDB-RPS StageTips and dried by vacuum centrifugation. A mixture of each biological sample was spiked as one channel and used as a bridge channel in all the three individual tandem mass tag (TMT) sets.

TMT labeling and fractionation

For TMT labeling, dried peptides were first reconstituted in 8 µL of 100 mM HEPES, pH 8 to which 4 µL of TMT solution (25 µg/µL pure acetonitrile) was then added. TMT labeling was performed with the TMT10plex isobaric Mass Tagging Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at room temperature for 90 min, whereafter reactions were quenched with hydroxylamine to a final concentration of 0.4% (vol/vol) for 15 min. TMT-labeled samples

were then pooled at a 1:1 ratio across all samples. A single shot LC-MS control run was performed to ensure similar peptide mixing across each TMT channel to avoid the need of further excessive normalization. The quantities of each TMT-labeled sample were adjusted according to the control run. The combined samples were then desalted using a 100-mg Sep-Pak C18 cartridge (Waters) and vacuum centrifuged. Pooled samples were fractionated into 12 fractions using an Agilent OFF-Gel 3100 system following the manufacturer's instructions. Resulting fractions were dried by vacuum centrifugation and again desalted on SDB-RPS StageTips.

LC-MS/MS

Each individual fraction was resuspended in 2% acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid, whereafter nano-flow separations were performed on a Dionex Ultimate 3000 RSLC nano UPLC system on-line connected with a Lumos Fusion Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer. A capillary pre-column (Acclaim Pepmap C18; 3-µm 100 Å; 2 cm \times 75 µM ID) was used for sample trapping and cleaning. Analytical separations were performed at 250 nL/min over a 150-min biphasic gradient on a 50-cm long in-house packed capillary column (75 µm ID; ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 1.9 µm silica beads; Dr. Maisch). Acquisitions were performed through top speed data-dependent acquisition mode using 3 s cycle time. First MS scans were acquired at a resolution of 120,000 (at 200 m/z) and the most intense parent ions were selected and fragmented by high-energy collision dissociation (HCD) with a normalized collision energy (NCE) of 37.5% using an isolation window of 0.7 m/z. Fragmented ion scans were acquired with a resolution of 50,000 (at 200 m/z), and selected ions were then excluded for the following 120 s.

Isolation of extracellular vesicles by differential ultracentrifugation

Extracellular vesicles were isolated from the cell supernatant by differential ultracentrifugation. Briefly, culture medium collected from cells was subjected to centrifugation at 300 \times *g* for 10 min, 2,000 \times *g* for 10 min, and 10, 000 \times *g* for 30 min at 4°C, to clear the conditioned media from cells, cellular debris, and large vesicles, respectively. This was followed by ultracentrifugation at 100, 000 \times *g* for 2 h at 4^oC, whereafter the pellet was resuspended in cold 1x PBS and subjected to another round of high-speed centrifugation at 100,000 \times *g* for 2 h at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded, and the final 100 K EV pellet was resuspended in 100 µL cold 1x PBS. All ultracentrifugation steps were performed using Beckman ultracentrifuge with a SW32Ti rotor. The protein concentrations of the EV isolates were determined using the Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 10 µg aliquots were prepared and stored at −80°C until further analysis.

Purification of extracellular vesicles by DGUC

EV pellets isolated by differential ultracentrifugation were resuspended in 400 µL 2 mL Optiprep (Sigma-Aldrich) (60% iodixanol in water, density of 1.32 g/mL), and overlayed with 2 mL of decreasing concentrations (45%, 35%, 25%, and 15%) of the iodixanol density medium. The density gradient underwent ultracentrifugation at 124,000 \times *g* for 18 h whereafter 1 mL fractions were collected and separately subjected to 100,000 \times *g* for 2 h. The purified EV pellets were resuspended in 100 µL 1x PBS and stored at −20°C until future analysis.

Western blot analysis

Fractions collected from DGUC, containing purified EVs, were subjected to treatment with 1x Laemmli Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad) containing 0.05% β-mercaptoethanol at 98°C for 10 min. The denatured samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE on a 10% polyacrylamide gel at 120 V, along with the PageRuler Plus Prestained Protein Ladder (Thermo Scientific). Blot transfer onto a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad) was done at 200 mA for 1 h. The membrane was blocked with 5% skimmed milk in 1x PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20

(Sigma-Aldrich) at room temperature for 1 h. The membrane was incubated with the primary antibody (1/500 dilution in 1/10 diluted blocking buffer of mouse anti-human polyomavirus JCV capsid protein VP1 [8E8], abcam; 1/1,000 dilution in 1/10 diluted blocking buffer of mouse anti-CD81 [D-4], Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The membrane was washed with 0.05% Tween-20 in 1x PBS for 5 min (3x), followed by incubation with the secondary antibody (1/3,000 dilution in blocking buffer of horse anti-mouse IgG HRP-linked antibody, Cell-Signaling Technology) at room temperature for 1 h. The membrane underwent a final round of washing (3x), whereafter proteins of interest were visualized using the Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Fusion FX device (Vilber Lourmat).

Analysis of EVs by LC-MS/MS using a label-free quantification method

Protein digestion

EV aliquots (10 µg) were lysed at a 1:1 ratio with 5 M Guanidine in 50 mM HEPES, pH 8.5 and sent to the PCF of EPFL for sample preparation and LC-MS/MS analysis. Reduction of the samples was done using 10 mM TCEP, followed by alkylation of the cysteines using 40 mM CAA for 45 min at 37°C. Samples were diluted with 200 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, to 1 M guanidine, followed by digestion at room temperature for 2 h with Endoproteinase Lys-C at a 1:50 protease/protein ratio. Samples were further diluted with 200 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, to 0.5 M guanidine. Trypsin Gold was added at a 1:50 protease/protein ratio, followed by overnight incubation at 37°C. The reaction was quenched with 5% formic acid (FA). Peptides were desalted on SDB-RPS StageTips and dried by vacuum centrifugation.

LC-MS/MS

Each individual fraction was resuspended in 2% acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid, and nano-flow separations were performed on a Dionex Ultimate 3000 RSLC nano UPLC system on-line connected with a Lumos Fusion Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer. A capillary pre-column (Acclaim Pepmap C18; 3-µm 100 Å; 2 cm \times 75 µM ID) was used for sample trapping and cleaning. Analytical separations were performed at 250 nL/min over a 150-min biphasic gradient on a 50-cm long in-house packed capillary column (75 µm ID; ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 1.9 µm silica beads; Dr. Maisch). Acquisitions were performed through top speed data-dependent acquisition mode using 1 s cycle time. The first MS scans were acquired at a resolution of 240,000 (at 200 m/z) and the most intense parent ions were selected and fragmented by high energy collision dissociation (HCD) with a normalized collision energy (NCE) of 30% using an isolation window of 0.7 m/z. Fragmented ion scans were acquired in the ion trap using a fix maximum injection time of 20 ms, and selected ions were then excluded for the following 20 s.

Statistical analysis of LC-MS/MS proteomic data

TMT data analysis

Raw data were processed using SEQUEST, Mascot, MS Amanda, and MS Fragger in Proteome Discoverer v.2.4 against a concatenated database consisting of the Uniprot Homo Sapiens Reference proteome database (75,776 protein sequences Release2021_01) and the Uniprot JC Polyomavirus Reference proteome database (8 protein sequences Release2021_01). Enzyme specificity was set to trypsin, and a minimum of six amino acids were required for peptide identification. Up to two missed cleavages were allowed and a 1% false discovery rate (FDR) cut-off was applied both at peptide and protein identification levels. For the database search, carbamidomethylation (C), TMT tags (K and peptide N termini) were set as fixed modifications, whereas oxidation (M) was considered as a variable one. Resulting text files were processed through in-house written R scripts (v3.6.3). Assuming that the total protein abundances were equal across the TMT channels, the reporter ion intensities of all spectra were summed, and each channel was scaled according to this sum, so that the sum of reporter

ion signals per channel equaled the average of the signals across the samples. The multiplexing design of the experiment required a second normalization step to correct variations between the two TMT experiments. Internal reference scaling (IRS) process was here applied. A Trimmed M-Mean normalization step was also applied using the package EdgeR (v3.26.8). Differential protein expression analysis was performed using R bioconductor package limma (v3.40.6) followed by the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. False discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Label-free data analysis

Raw data were processed using MaxQuant (v1.6.10.43) against a concatenated database consisting of the Uniprot Homo Sapiens Reference proteome database (77,027 protein sequences Release2021_02) and the Uniprot JC Polyomavirus Reference proteome database (8 protein sequences Release2021_01), Carbamidomethylation was set as fixed modification, whereas oxidation (M), phosphorylation (S, T, Y), acetylation (Protein N-term), and glutamine to pyroglutamate were considered as variable modifications. A maximum of two missed cleavages were allowed and "Match between runs" option was enabled. A minimum of two peptides were required for protein identification and the FDR cutoff was set to 0.01 for both peptides and proteins. Label-free quantification and normalization was performed by Maxquant using the MaxLFQ algorithm, with the standard settings. The statistical analyses of the label-free data were performed using Perseus (v1.6.15.0) from the MaxQuant tool suite. Reverse proteins, potential contaminants, and proteins only identified by sites were filtered out. Protein groups containing at least 60% or three valid values in at least one group were conserved for further analysis. Empty values were imputed with random numbers from a normal distribution. A two-sample *t*-test with permutation-based FDR statistics (250 permutations, FDR = 0.5; $s0 = 1$) was performed to determine significant differentially abundant candidates.

EV quality check

Rstudio (v4.02) was used to generate a custom R script to annotate proteins considered contaminant proteins versus non-contaminant proteins according to the MISEV2018 specifications [\(30\)](#page-25-0). Proteins belonging to the MISEV18 protein content-based EV characterization: 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, were labeled and the sum of the proteins riBAQ in each category was recovered to specify the relative abundance of that category. Note that the proteins categorized with a "*" in the study were manually extended using the Gene Ontology website (Table S5). Category 1 included transmembrane or GPI-anchored proteins associated with the plasma membrane and/or endosomes that were either non-cell/tissue specific (1A) or cell/tissue specific (1B). Category 2 comprised cytosolic proteins with lipid or membrane protein-binding ability (2A), and other cytosolic proteins promiscuously associated with EVs (2B). Category 3 served as a purity check that comprised contaminant proteins that might be co-isolated with EV preparations, including lipoproteins and serum-derived materials (3A) or proteins/nucleic acid aggregates and ribosomal proteins (3B). The enrichment of non-contaminant EV-enriched categories 1 (A, B) and 2 (A, B) was compared to that of contaminant categories 3 (A, B) using a non-parametric paired Wilcoxon test.

Graphical representation

Proteomic data were analyzed using Python (v.3.9.7). Volcano plots were generated using the matplotlib (v3.5.1) for the representation of significantly (FDR \leq 0.05) dysregulated proteins in the infected or stimulated condition as compared to the mock-infected or resting conditions, respectively. The overlap of significantly dysregulated proteins at different timepoints analyzed were represented by Venn diagrams using the Venn package (v0.1.3). The proteins were ranked according to the product of $|-log_{10}(P) *$ log₂(FC)|, of which the hundred highest-ranked host proteins were used to generate cluster heatmaps. For this, the seaborn package (v0.11.2) was implemented with the

seaborn clustermap function that included an average linkage method with a Euclidean metric. The gene list of the hundred highest-ranking proteins was subjected for protein-protein interaction (PPI) interaction analysis using the SRTING (v11.5) database with the minimum interaction score set to 0.07 (high confidence). Further analysis of the network was done on Cytoscape (v3.9.1), including GO enrichment analysis using the STRING enrichment plugin. For the category, GO Biological Process was selected with redundancy cut-off of 0.15. All other plots and statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 9 software (Version 9.1.0).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Romain Hamelin, Dr. Florence Armand, and Dr. Maria Pavlou from the Proteomics Core Facility at EPFL for the proteomic analysis, which included all the sample preparations and LC-MS/MS analyses along with the statistical analyses of the different data sets. We would also like to acknowledge and thank Jean Daraspe, Antonio Mucciolo, and Dr. Christel Genoud from the electron microscopy facility at the University of Lausanne for their help with the TEM analyses and Dr. Jessica Sordet-Dessimoz from the Histology Core Facility at EPFL for the FISH experiments. Many thanks to Dr. Tim Beltraminelli from the De Palma group at EPFL for his insights into characterization of extracellular vesicles. A great thanks to Benjamin Peter for all the assistance with the RT-qPCR analysis in characterizing the hiPSC-derived astrocytes. We also thank Giovanni Di Liberto for stimulating discussions while revising this manuscript. Confocal images were acquired at the Cellular Imaging Facility at the University of Lausanne.

This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (320030_179531), an unrestricted grant from Biogen, and a grant from the Myelin Repair Foundation. The funders had no role in the design of the study, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Laboratory of Neuroimmunology, Neuroscience Research Centre, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV) and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

²Department of Psychiatry, Center for Psychiatric Neurosciences, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV) and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

³MS&SI, Biogen, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

4 Laboratory for Ultrafast Microscopy and Electron Scattering (LUMES), Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland

⁵Department of Neurology, Oregon Health and Sciences University, Portland, Oregon, USA

6 Service of Neurology, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV) and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

AUTHOR ORCIDs

Amandine Mathias **http://orcid.org/0009-0005-3604-5096** Renaud Du Pasquier **b** http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2786-3434

FUNDING

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Larise Oberholster, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft | Amandine Mathias, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review and editing | Sylvain Perriot, Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review and editing | Emma Blaser, Investigation, Writing – review and editing | Mathieu Canales, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review and editing | Samuel Jones, Writing – review and editing | Lucas Culebras, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing – review and editing | Marie Gimenez, Investigation, Writing – review and editing | G. Campbell Kaynor, Methodology, Resources, Writing – review and editing | Alexey Sapozhnik, Formal analysis, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review and editing | Kevin Richetin, Conceptualization, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review and editing | Susan Goelz, Conceptualization, Writing – review and editing | Renaud Du Pasquier, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review and editing

DATA AVAILABILITY

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the data set identifier [PXD044144](https://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org/cgi/GetDataset?ID=PXD044144) for the cellular proteomic data and [PXD044784](https://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org/cgi/GetDataset?ID=PXD044784) for the EV proteomic data.

ADDITIONAL FILES

The following material is available [online.](https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.02751-23)

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material (230713_Oberholster_et_al_2023_Supplemental Material FOR Publication_new.docx). List of abbreviations, Fig. S1 to S9, and Tables S1 to S5.

REFERENCES

- 1. Padgett BL, Walker DL, ZuRhein GM, Eckroade RJ, Dessel BH. 1971. Cultivation of papova-like virus from human brain with progressive [multifocal leucoencephalopathy. Lancet](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(71)91777-6) 1:1257–1260. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/s0140-6736(71)91777-6
- 2. Viscidi RP, Rollison DE, Sondak VK, Silver B, Messina JL, Giuliano AR, Fulp W, Ajidahun A, Rivanera D. 2011. Age-specific seroprevalence of merkel cell polyomavirus, BK virus, and JC virus. Clin Vaccine Immunol 18:1737– 1743.<https://doi.org/10.1128/CVI.05175-11>
- 3. Bernard-Valnet R, Koralnik IJ, Du Pasquier R. 2021. Advances in treatment of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. Ann Neurol 90:865–873. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.26198>
- 4. Aksamit AJ, Major EO, Ghatak NR, Sidhu GS, Parisi JE, Guccion JG. 1987. Diagnosis of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy by brain biopsy with biotin labeled DNA. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 46:556–566. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005072-198709000-00005>
- 5. Du Pasquier RA, Corey S, Margolin DH, Williams K, Pfister L-A, De Girolami U, Mac Key JJ, Wüthrich C, Joseph JT, Koralnik IJ. 2003. Productive infection of cerebellar granule cell neurons by JC virus in an HIV+ individual. Neurology [61:775–782. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000081306.86961.33) 0000081306.86961.33
- 6. Mázló M, Tariska I. 1982. Are astrocytes infected in progressive multifocal [leukoencephalopathy \(PML\)? Acta Neuropathol](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00691181) 56:45–51. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF00691181
- 7. Richardson-Burns SM, Kleinschmidt-DeMasters BK, DeBiasi RL, Tyler KL. 2002. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy and apoptosis of infected oligodendrocytes in the central nervous system of patients with and without AIDS. Arch Neurol [59:1930–1936. https://doi.org/10.1001/](https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.59.12.1930) archneur.59.12.1930
- 8. Berger JR, Aksamit AJ, Clifford DB, Davis L, Koralnik IJ, Sejvar JJ, Bartt R, Major EO, Nath A. 2013. PML diagnostic criteria: consensus statement from the AAN neuroinfectious disease section. Neurology 80:1430–1438. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31828c2fa1>
- 9. Delbue S, Comar M, Ferrante P. 2017. Review on the role of the human polyomavirus JC in the development of tumors. Infect Agents Cancer 12:10–10.<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13027-017-0122-0>
- 10. Padgett BL, Rogers CM, Walker DL. 1977. JC virus, a human polyomavirus associated with progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy: additional biological characteristics and antigenic relationships. Infect Immun 15:656–662.<https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.15.2.656-662.1977>
- 11. Radhakrishnan S, Otte J, Enam S, Del Valle L, Khalili K, Gordon J. 2003. JC virus-induced changes in cellular gene expression in primary human astrocytes. J Virol [77:10638–10644. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.77.19.](https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.77.19.10638-10644.2003) 10638-10644.2003
- 12. Seth P, Diaz F, Tao-Cheng J-H, Major EO. 2004. JC virus induces nonapoptotic cell death of human central nervous system progenitor cell-derived astrocytes. J Virol [78:4884–4891. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.](https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.78.9.4884-4891.2004) 78.9.4884-4891.2004
- 13. Major EO, Miller AE, Mourrain P, Traub RG, de Widt E, Sever J. 1985. Establishment of a line of human fetal glial cells that supports JC virus [multiplication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.82.4.1257) 82:1257–1261. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.82.4.1257
- 14. Barreras P, Pamies D, Monaco MC, Muñoz LS, Zhong X, Major EO, Hogberg HT, Hartung T, Pardo CA. 2022. A human-derived 3D brain [organoid model to study JC virus infection. J Neurovirol](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13365-022-01062-7) 28:17-26. https:/ /doi.org/10.1007/s13365-022-01062-7
- 15. Shimbo E, Nukuzuma S, Tagawa Y-I. 2020. Human iPS cell-derived astrocytes support efficient replication of progressive multifocal

leukoencephalopathy-type JC polyomavirus. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 533:983–987.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.09.117>

- 16. Kondo Y, Windrem MS, Zou L, Chandler-Militello D, Schanz SJ, Auvergne RM, Betstadt SJ, Harrington AR, Johnson M, Kazarov A, Gorelik L, Goldman SA. 2014. Human glial chimeric mice reveal astrocytic [dependence of JC virus infection. J Clin Invest](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI76629) 124:5323-5336. https:// doi.org/10.1172/JCI76629
- 17. Perriot S, Mathias A, Perriard G, Canales M, Jonkmans N, Merienne N, Meunier C, El Kassar L, Perrier AL, Laplaud D-A, Schluep M, Déglon N, Du Pasquier R. 2018. Human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived astrocytes are differentially activated by multiple sclerosis-associated cytokines. Stem Cell Rep [11:1199–1210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.09.015) 2018.09.015
- 18. Cheng L, Hill AF. 2022. Therapeutically harnessing extracellular vesicles. Nat Rev Drug Discov [21:379–399. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-022-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-022-00410-w) 00410-w
- 19. Mitra P, Gupta S, Sharma P. 2023. Extracellular vesicles (EVs) as "A window to the brain": potential, challenges and future perspectives. Indian J Clin Biochem [38:1–3. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12291-023-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12291-023-01111-w) 01111-w
- 20. Hill AF. 2019. Extracellular vesicles and neurodegenerative diseases. J Neurosci [39:9269–9273. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0147-18.](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0147-18.2019) 2019
- 21. Maginnis MS, Nelson CDS, Atwood WJ. 2015. JC polyomavirus attachment, entry, and trafficking: unlocking the keys to a fatal infection. J Neurovirol 21:601–613.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13365-014-0272-4>
- 22. Wilczek MP, DuShane JK, Armstrong FJ, Maginnis MS. 2020. JC polyomavirus infection reveals delayed progression of the infectious [cycle in normal human astrocytes J Virol](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00174-20) 94:e00174-20. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/JVI.00174-20
- 23. Darbinyan A, White MK, Akan S, Radhakrishnan S, Del Valle L, Amini S, Khalili K. 2007. Alterations of DNA damage repair pathways resulting from JCV infection. Virology [364:73–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2007.02.015) 2007.02.015
- 24. Orba Y, Suzuki T, Makino Y, Kubota K, Tanaka S, Kimura T, Sawa H. 2010. Large T antigen promotes JC virus replication in G2-arrested cells by inducing ATM- and ATR-mediated G2 checkpoint signaling. J Biol Chem 285:1544–1554.<https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.064311>
- Justice JL, Needham JM, Thompson SR. 2019. BK polyomavirus activates the DNA damage response to prolong S phase. J Virol 93:e00130-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00130-19>
- 26. Lehman JM, Laffin J, Friedrich TD. 2000. Simian virus 40 induces multiple S phases with the majority of viral DNA replication in the G2 and second S phase in CV-1 cells. Exp Cell Res [258:215–222. https://doi.org/10.1006/](https://doi.org/10.1006/excr.2000.4927) excr.2000.4927
- 27. Di Domenico EG, Romano E, Del Porto P, Ascenzioni F. 2014. Multifunctional role of ATM/Tel1 kinase in genome stability: from the DNA damage response to telomere maintenance. Biomed Res Int 2014:787404.<https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/787404>
- 28. Sharma A A, Singh K K, Almasan A A. 2012. DNA repair protocols, p 613– 626. In Bjergbæk L (ed), Histone H2Ax Phosphorylation: A marker for DNA damage. Totowa, NJ.<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-998-3>
- 29. Becher B, Spath S, Goverman J. 2017. Cytokine networks in neuroinflammation. Nat Rev Immunol [17:49–59. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2016.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2016.123) 123
- 30. Théry C, Witwer KW, Aikawa E, Alcaraz MJ, Anderson JD, Andriantsitohaina R, Antoniou A, Arab T, Archer F, Atkin-Smith GK, Ayre DC, Bach J-M, Bachurski D, Baharvand H, Balaj L, Baldacchino S, Bauer NN, Baxter AA, Bebawy M, Beckham C, Bedina Zavec A, Benmoussa A, Berardi AC, Bergese P, Bielska E, Blenkiron C, Bobis-Wozowicz S, Boilard E, Boireau W, Bongiovanni A, Borràs FE, Bosch S, Boulanger CM, Breakefield X, Breglio AM, Brennan MÁ, Brigstock DR, Brisson A, Broekman ML, Bromberg JF, Bryl-Górecka P, Buch S, Buck AH, Burger D, Busatto S, Buschmann D, Bussolati B, Buzás EI, Byrd JB, Camussi G, Carter DR, Caruso S, Chamley LW, Chang Y-T, Chen C, Chen S, Cheng L, Chin AR, Clayton A, Clerici SP, Cocks A, Cocucci E, Coffey RJ, Cordeiro-da-Silva A, Couch Y, Coumans FA, Coyle B, Crescitelli R, Criado MF, D'Souza-Schorey C, Das S, Datta Chaudhuri A, de Candia P, De Santana EF, De Wever O, Del Portillo HA, Demaret T, Deville S, Devitt A, Dhondt B, Di Vizio D, Dieterich LC, Dolo V, Dominguez Rubio AP, Dominici M, Dourado MR, Driedonks TA, Duarte FV, Duncan HM, Eichenberger RM, Ekström K, El Andaloussi S, Elie-Caille

C, Erdbrügger U, Falcón-Pérez JM, Fatima F, Fish JE, Flores-Bellver M, Försönits A, Frelet-Barrand A, Fricke F, Fuhrmann G, Gabrielsson S, Gámez-Valero A, Gardiner C, Gärtner K, Gaudin R, Gho YS, Giebel B, Gilbert C, Gimona M, Giusti I, Goberdhan DC, Görgens A, Gorski SM, Greening DW, Gross JC, Gualerzi A, Gupta GN, Gustafson D, Handberg A, Haraszti RA, Harrison P, Hegyesi H, Hendrix A, Hill AF, Hochberg FH, Hoffmann KF, Holder B, Holthofer H, Hosseinkhani B, Hu G, Huang Y, Huber V, Hunt S, Ibrahim AG-E, Ikezu T, Inal JM, Isin M, Ivanova A, Jackson HK, Jacobsen S, Jay SM, Jayachandran M, Jenster G, Jiang L, Johnson SM, Jones JC, Jong A, Jovanovic-Talisman T, Jung S, Kalluri R, Kano S-I, Kaur S, Kawamura Y, Keller ET, Khamari D, Khomyakova E, Khvorova A, Kierulf P, Kim KP, Kislinger T, Klingeborn M, Klinke DJ, Kornek M, Kosanović MM, Kovács ÁF, Krämer-Albers E-M, Krasemann S, Krause M, Kurochkin IV, Kusuma GD, Kuypers S, Laitinen S, Langevin SM, Languino LR, Lannigan J, Lässer C, Laurent LC, Lavieu G, Lázaro-Ibáñez E, Le Lay S, Lee M-S, Lee YXF, Lemos DS, Lenassi M, Leszczynska A, Li IT, Liao K, Libregts SF, Ligeti E, Lim R, Lim SK, Linē A, Linnemannstöns K, Llorente A, Lombard CA, Lorenowicz MJ, Lörincz ÁM, Lötvall J, Lovett J, Lowry MC, Loyer X, Lu Q, Lukomska B, Lunavat TR, Maas SL, Malhi H, Marcilla A, Mariani J, Mariscal J, Martens-Uzunova ES, Martin-Jaular L, Martinez MC, Martins VR, Mathieu M, Mathivanan S, Maugeri M, McGinnis LK, McVey MJ, Meckes DG, Meehan KL, Mertens I, Minciacchi VR, Möller A, Møller Jørgensen M, Morales-Kastresana A, Morhayim J, Mullier F, Muraca M, Musante L, Mussack V, Muth DC, Myburgh KH, Najrana T, Nawaz M, Nazarenko I, Nejsum P, Neri C, Neri T, Nieuwland R, Nimrichter L, Nolan JP, Nolte-'t Hoen EN, Noren Hooten N, O'Driscoll L, O'Grady T, O'Loghlen A, Ochiya T, Olivier M, Ortiz A, Ortiz LA, Osteikoetxea X, Østergaard O, Ostrowski M, Park J, Pegtel DM, Peinado H, Perut F, Pfaffl MW, Phinney DG, Pieters BC, Pink RC, Pisetsky DS, Pogge von Strandmann E, Polakovicova I, Poon IK, Powell BH, Prada I, Pulliam L, Quesenberry P, Radeghieri A, Raffai RL, Raimondo S, Rak J, Ramirez MI, Raposo G, Rayyan MS, Regev-Rudzki N, Ricklefs FL, Robbins PD, Roberts DD, Rodrigues SC, Rohde E, Rome S, Rouschop KM, Rughetti A, Russell AE, Saá P, Sahoo S, Salas-Huenuleo E, Sánchez C, Saugstad JA, Saul MJ, Schiffelers RM, Schneider R, Schøyen TH, Scott A, Shahaj E, Sharma S, Shatnyeva O, Shekari F, Shelke GV, Shetty AK, Shiba K, Siljander PR-M, Silva AM, Skowronek A, Snyder OL, Soares RP, Sódar BW, Soekmadji C, Sotillo J, Stahl PD, Stoorvogel W, Stott SL, Strasser EF, Swift S, Tahara H, Tewari M, Timms K, Tiwari S, Tixeira R, Tkach M, Toh WS, Tomasini R, Torrecilhas AC, Tosar JP, Toxavidis V, Urbanelli L, Vader P, van Balkom BW, van der Grein SG, Van Deun J, van Herwijnen MJ, Van Keuren-Jensen K, van Niel G, van Royen ME, van Wijnen AJ, Vasconcelos MH, Vechetti IJ, Veit TD, Vella LJ, Velot É, Verweij FJ, Vestad B, Viñas JL, Visnovitz T, Vukman KV, Wahlgren J, Watson DC, Wauben MH, Weaver A, Webber JP, Weber V, Wehman AM, Weiss DJ, Welsh JA, Wendt S, Wheelock AM, Wiener Z, Witte L, Wolfram J, Xagorari A, Xander P, Xu J, Yan X, Yáñez-Mó M, Yin H, Yuana Y, Zappulli V, Zarubova J, Žėkas V, Zhang J-Y, Zhao Z, Zheng L, Zheutlin AR, Zickler AM, Zimmermann P, Zivkovic AM, Zocco D, Zuba-Surma EK. 2018. Journal of extracellular vesicles minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the international society for extracellular vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines. J Extracell Vesicles 7:1535750. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750>

- 31. Morris-Love J, Gee GV, O'Hara BA, Assetta B, Atkinson AL, Dugan AS, Haley SA, Atwood WJ. 2019. JC polyomavirus uses extracellular vesicles to infect target cells. mBio [10:e00379-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00379-19) 00379-19
- 32. Morris-Love J, O'Hara BA, Gee GV, Dugan AS, O'Rourke RS, Armstead BE, Assetta B, Haley SA, Atwood WJ. 2022. Biogenesis of JC polyomavirus [associated extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Biol](https://doi.org/10.1002/jex2.43) 1:e43. https://doi.org/10. 1002/jex2.43
- 33. O'Hara BA, Morris-Love J, Gee GV, Haley SA, Atwood WJ. 2020. JC virus infected choroid plexus epithelial cells produce extracellular vesicles that infect glial cells independently of the virus attachment receptor. PLoS Pathog 16:e1008371.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008371>
- 34. Scribano S, Guerrini M, Arvia R, Guasti D, Nardini P, Romagnoli P, Giannecchini S. 2020. Archetype JC polyomavirus DNA associated with extracellular vesicles circulates in human plasma samples. J Clin Virol 128:104435.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104435>
- 35. Major EO, Miller AE, Mourrain P, Traub RG, de Widt E, Sever J. 1985. Establishment of a line of human fetal glial cells that supports JC virus [multiplication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.82.4.1257) 82:1257–1261. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.82.4.1257
- 36. Caller LG, Davies CTR, Antrobus R, Lehner PJ, Weekes MP, Crump CM. 2019. Temporal proteomic analysis of BK polyomavirus infection reveals virus-induced G(2) arrest and highly effective evasion of innate immune sensing. J Virol 93:e00595-19.<https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00595-19>
- 37. Erickson KD, Garcea RL. 2019. Viral replication centers and the DNA [damage response in JC virus-infected cells. Virology](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2018.12.014) 528:198–206. https:/ /doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2018.12.014
- 38. Wu JH, Narayanan D, Limmer AL, Simonette RA, Rady PL, Tyring SK. 2019. Merkel cell polyomavirus small T antigen induces DNA damage response. Intervirology 62:96–100.<https://doi.org/10.1159/000501419>
- 39. Boichuk S, Hu L, Hein J, Gjoerup OV. 2010. Multiple DNA damage signaling and repair pathways deregulated by simian virus 40 large T antigen. J Virol 84:8007–8020.<https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00334-10>
- 40. Heiser K, Nicholas C, Garcea RL. 2016. Activation of DNA damage repair [pathways by murine polyomavirus. Virology](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2016.07.028) 497:346-356. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.virol.2016.07.028
- 41. Chiang C, Dvorkin S, Chiang JJ, Potter RB, Gack MU, Horner SM. 2021. The small t antigen of JC virus antagonizes RIG-I-mediated innate immunity by inhibiting TRIM25's RNA binding ability. mBio 12:mBio <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00620-21>
- 42. Perriot S, Canales M, Mathias A, Du Pasquier R. 2022. Generation of transgene-free human induced pluripotent stem cells from erythroblasts [in feeder-free conditions. STAR Protoc](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xpro.2022.101620) 3:101620. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.xpro.2022.101620
- 43. Perriot S, Canales M, Mathias A, Du Pasquier R. 2021. Differentiation of functional astrocytes from human-induced pluripotent stem cells in [chemically defined media. STAR Protoc](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xpro.2021.100902) 2:100902. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.xpro.2021.100902
- 44. Chou YY, Krupp A, Kaynor C, Gaudin R, Ma M, Cahir-McFarland E, Kirchhausen T. 2016. Inhibition of JCPyV infection mediated by targeted [viral genome editing using CRISPR/Cas9. Sci Rep](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36921) 6:36921. https://doi. org/10.1038/srep36921
- 45. Lima MA, Marzocchetti A, Autissier P, Tompkins T, Chen Y, Gordon J, Clifford DB, Gandhi RT, Venna N, Berger JR, Koralnik IJ. 2007. Frequency and phenotype of JC virus-specific CD8+ T lymphocytes in the peripheral blood of patients with progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy . J Virol 81:3361–3368.<https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01809-06>
- 46. Howley PM, Rentier-Delrue F, Heilman CA, Law MF, Chowdhury K, Israel MA, Takemoto KK. 1980. Cloned human polyomavirus JC DNA can [transform human amnion cells. J Virol](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.36.3.878-882.1980) 36:878–882. https://doi.org/10. 1128/JVI.36.3.878-882.1980
- 47. Jiang M, Abend JR, Tsai B, Imperiale MJ. 2009. Early events during BK [virus entry and disassembly. J Virol](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02169-08) 83:1350–1358. https://doi.org/10. 1128/JVI.02169-08
- 48. Wiśniewski JR, Zougman A, Nagaraj N, Mann M. 2009. Universal sample preparation method for proteome analysis. Nat Methods 6:359–362. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1322>