Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL serval.unil.ch

Author Manuscript

Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication

This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

Title: Practice-induced functional plasticity in inhibitory control interacts with aging.
Authors: Hartmann L, Wachtl L, de Lucia M, Spierer L
Journal: Brain and cognition
Year: 2019 Feb 22
Issue: 132
Pages: 22-32
DOI: 10.1016/j.bandc.2019.02.004

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.



UNIL | Université de Lausanne Faculty of Biology and Medicine

Practice-induced functional plasticity in inhibitory control interacts with aging

Authors:

Lea Hartmann¹, Laura Wachtl¹, Marzia de Lucia^{2,†}, Lucas Spierer^{1,†,*}

Affiliations:

- 1. Neurology Unit, Medicine Section, Faculty of Sciences and Medicine, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland
- 2. Laboratoire de Recherche en Neuroimagerie (LREN), Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- † Equal contribution

*Corresponding author: Dr Lucas Spierer Neurology Unit, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Science, University of Fribourg, PER 09, Chemin du Musée 5, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland Email: lucas.spierer@unifr.ch

Abstract

Inhibitory control deficits represent a key aspect of the cognitive declines associated with aging. Practicing inhibitory control has thus been advanced as a potential approach to compensate for age-induced neurocognitive impairments. Yet, the functional brain changes associated with practicing inhibitory control tasks in older adults and whether they differ from those observed in young populations remains unresolved.

We compared electrical neuroimaging analyses of ERPs recorded during a Go/NoGo practice session with a Group (Young; Older adults) by Session (Beginning; End of the practice) design to identify whether the practice of an inhibition task in older adults reinforces already implemented compensatory activity or reduce it by enhancing the functioning of the brain networks primarily involved in the tasks.

We observed an equivalent small effect of practice on performance in the two age-groups. The topographic ERP analyses and source estimations revealed qualitatively different effects of the practice over the N2 and P3 ERP components, respectively driven by a decrease in supplementary motor area activity and an increase in left ventrolateral prefrontal activity in the older but not in the young adults with practice.

Our results thus indicate that inhibition task practice in older adults increases age-related divergences in the underlying functional processes.

Keywords:

Inhibitory control; Plasticity; Aging; ERP; Topography; Source estimations

1. Introduction

Deficits in inhibitory control (IC), the ability to suppress irrelevant motor or cognitive processes, constitute one of the major factors accounting for the cognitive declines observed in normal aging (e.g. Nielson, Langenecker, & Garavan, 2002). Cognitive training interventions targeting IC have thus been advanced as potential approaches to slow down the deleterious effects of aging on cognition. However, current functional literature on inhibitory control task practice focused mostly on young adults population (for a review, see Spierer, Chavan, & Manuel, 2013), leaving largely unresolved whether and how aging interacts with training-induced plasticity in IC.

In young adults, behavioral improvements in IC are typically associated with decreases in right ventrolateral prefrontal activity (e.g Berkman et al., 2014; Chavan et al., 2015; Hartmann et al., 2016; Manuel et al., 2010; Spierer et al., 2013), a pattern interpreted as reflecting an enhancement in the functioning of the core task areas via the exclusion of the task-irrelevant neural activity (e.g. Hartmann et al., 2016).

However, because of baseline differences in inhibitory control performance and functional organization between young and older adults populations (e.g. Beason-Held, Kraut, & Resnick, 2008), different practiceinduced plastic modifications can be hypothesized between these two age groups (Noack et al., 2009).

During inhibition tasks, older adults typically show longer response times (e.g. Heilbronner & Münte, 2013; Hong, Sun, Bengson, & Tong, 2014; Nielson et al., 2002; Vallesi, McIntosh, & Stuss, 2011) and more inhibition failures than young adults (e.g. Langenecker & Nielson, 2003; Nielson et al., 2002). Functionally, older adults show i) local decreases in activity within the core inhibitory right VLPFC areas, putatively reflecting less efficient processing with aging; and ii) a recruitment of additional contralateral homotopic left VLPFC areas (Cabeza, 2002; Cappell et al., 2010; Coxon et al., 2016; Hsieh and Fang, 2012) interpreted as compensating for the functional deficits in the areas primarily involved in the inhibition tasks (e.g. Reuter-Lorenz & Park, 2014; Sebastian et al., 2013).

Such baseline differences in neurocognitive states have been shown to influence both the type and amplitude of the plastic changes induced by practicing executive function tasks. For example, Dahlin and colleagues (2008) found larger improvement in performance and striatal function after working memory training in young than older adults and interpreted this pattern as resulting from differences in baseline performance. Noack and colleagues (2009) further suggested that while in young adults training could improve the intrinsic neural capacities of task-related regions, neurobiological 'brakes' in the older adults' capacity to engage such plastic mechanisms may rather lead them to changes their cognitive strategies; in turn, qualitative modulations in the recruited networks would be observed with practice (Park & Bischof, 2013 for discussion). Based on the literature reviewed above, two main hypotheses can be formulated on how aging could interact with the effects of inhibitory control training.

First, practice of IC task in older adults may as in the young adults result in a functional enhancement of the brain areas primarily involved in the tasks. Consequently, compensatory activity would be less required and thus reduced (Anguera et al., 2013; Heinzel et al., 2014). This hypothesis predicts that with task practice the older adults will show a decrease in both primary and compensatory inhibition-related activity (Brehmer et al., 2011; Erickson et al., 2007), i.e. bilaterally within the pre-SMA and ventrolateral cortices (Aron, 2007) during the inhibition initiation and implementation phases at ca. 200-400ms post-stimulus onset (N2/P3 inhibition ERP components; Bokura et al., 2001; Pires et al., 2014).

Second, the practice may increase the compensatory activity adopted by the older adults to cope with inhibition demands, with the practice resulting in an increase in (mostly left) ventrolateral prefrontal cortices during the inhibition phases (e.g. Mozolic, Hayasaka, & Laurienti, 2010; Nyberg et al., 2003).

We tested these two models with electrical neuroimaging analyses of event-related potentials recorded during a practice session with the same inhibitory control task in a group of young adults (19-40 years old) and of older adults (60-76 years old). We focused on the interaction term of a multifactorial Group (Young; Older adults) x Session (Beginning; End) mixed ANOVA design applied on the NoGo trials, allowing us to reveal the plastic modifications specific to the older adults' inhibition processes. We identified whether the observed changes manifested within areas showing baseline age-related change in activity by comparing the ERP to the NoGo stimuli measured at the beginning of the practice between the two age groups.

The electrical neuroimaging analyses of the ERP consisted in applying data-driven, time-frame-wise, robust randomization statistics on the global field power and on the topography of the ERPs. As compared to local analyses of the amplitude of ERP components at specific electrodes, analyses of the strength and shape of the scalp field potentials enable neurophysiological interpretations of the ERP by identifying if the observed modulations follow from change in responses gain and/or change in the configuration of the active brain networks across conditions: differences in ERP topography indeed necessarily follow from changes in the configuration of the underlying neural generators (Lehmann, 1987), while differences in global field power follow from changes in the strength of the same brain generators (Michel and Murray, 2012; Murray et al., 2008; Tzovara et al., 2012). As a second step, distributed source estimations were computed and statistically compared over the period of global ERP modulations to localize the origin of the effects observed at the scalp.

In addition to the confirmatory analyses within the N2/P3 inhibition-related time windows, we report the results of exploratory analyses over earlier ERP latencies. Previous IC training studies indeed suggest that earlier latency processes may likewise be modified by training: An automatization of the task completion may results in

modifications of the processing stages when stimulus-response mapping is implemented (i.e. in parietal areas at 100ms; Manuel et al., 2010) and similarly, a change in task difficulty with practice could modify attention allocation and the processing of stimulus feature (N1 amplitude; Benikos et al., 2013).

2. Materials and Methods

Except the addition of specific questionnaires assessing the integrity of basic cognitive functions in older adults to control potential confounds related to healthy aging, we used in the older adults the same procedure and task as in Hartmann et al. (2016), and the data for the young adult group used in the present paper are those from Hartmann et al. (2016). While the recording of the two groups were separated in time, the same experimenters conducted the study and the same devices were used for the data collection in each group.

2.1. Participants

Forty-one right-handed (Edinburgh Handedness Inventory; Oldfield, 1971) male volunteers were recruited for this study. Our sample size was calculated a priori based on previous ERP literature on inhibitory control training (e.g. Hartmann et al., 2016; Manuel, Bernasconi, & Spierer, 2013; Manuel, Grivel, Bernasconi, Murray, & Spierer, 2010; Paul et al., 2007) reporting medium to large effect size; for a power of 0.8 to detect effect of d=0.8 or f=0.3-0.4 for α =0.5 with one-tailed independent-sample t-tests or a within-between subject interaction, a sample of n=15-20 per group was necessary.

All the participants had normal or corrected to normal vision. None reported any history of neurological or psychiatric disorders. We controlled that all participants had a university degree to minimize between-group differences in education level. We included only male participant to facilitate the comparison with previous studies on the topic and to prevent any sex-related confounds. Older adults' scores were within the limit of the norm for the Mini-Mental State Examination (M.M.S.E.; Folstein, Folstein, & McHugh, 1975), excluding any clinical signs of cognitive impairment (M.M.S.E. mean±SD = 27.95± 0.78 (max = 30)) and within the limit of the norm for the Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB; Dubois, Slachevsky, Litvan, & Pillon, 2000; mean±SD = 17.68±0.48 (max = 18)), indicating no clinically relevant frontal impairments. Three participants were excluded from the analyses due to artifacted EEG recording (n=1); improper calibration phase (n=1; see the "Procedure and task" section); and part of the task performed with the left hand (n=1). A total of 38 participants (mean age±SD for the Young = 25.4±4.8 years (range 19-40 years old); Older adults = 65.9±4.8 years (60-76 years old)) were thus eventually included in the data analyses. All procedures were approved by our local ethics committee.

2.2. Stimuli

Visual stimuli were colored letter (blue, cyan, green, red, white or yellow 'A', 'E', 'M', 'O', 'S' or 'T') presented in the center of a black screen. Each possible combination of the letter and color were used, for a total of 36 different stimuli. In a given block, NoGo stimuli were either all letters of a given color or all colors of a given letter (total 12 different NoGo stimuli); Go trials were all the remaining stimuli. For example, in a block where the letter "M" was the NoGo stimulus, a total of 30 "M" were presented, 5 in each of the 6 possible colors (5 red "M", 5 yellow "M", 5 blue "M", etc.) and the 30 Go stimuli were the 30 remaining color/ letter combinations: 5 "A" (one of each color), 5 "E", 5 "O", etc., so that in a block, the letter M was presented 5 times more than the other letters, while each colors were equally represented (Hartmann et al., 2016; De Pretto et al., in press).

2.3. Procedure and task

Participants were seated in a sound-attenuated booth and completed a visual Go/NoGo task (Fig. 1). They were asked to respond as fast as possible to Go stimuli by pressing a button on a response box with their right index finger, while withholding their responses to NoGo stimuli. The E-Prime 2.0 software (Psychology Software Tools, Inc., Sharpsburg, PA) was used for stimulus presentation and response recording. A total of 12 blocks of 60 trials were completed by each participant, separated by 2 minutes breaks. Each block consisted of 30 Go and 30 NoGo trials presented randomly. The NoGo stimuli (i.e., a given letter or color) were pseudorandomly chosen across participants so that the same NoGo was never used two times and the order of the NoGo used in each block was different for each participant.

Before the beginning of each block, participants were presented with spoken and written instructions on which was the NoGo stimulus for that block. Participants then completed a calibration block of 12 trials (6 Go; 6 NoGo) during which the mean response time (RT) to Go trials was measured. This averaged RT (RT threshold, RTt) was then used as a threshold to provide a feedback on response speed during the subsequent experimental block: if the RT to a Go trial was below 90 % of the mean RTt, a feedback 'Too late!' was presented on the screen at the end of the trial (no feedback was given on performance during the calibration phase and participants were kept naive to the aim of the calibration phase). This procedure enabled maintaining the same level of time pressure across participants and blocks, i.e., independently of any initial inter-individual differences in Go/NoGo performance and on performance improvement with practice (for similar procedures: Manuel et al., 2010; Vocat, Pourtois, & Vuilleumier, 2008). Such pressure on response time was also necessary to increase response prepotency in our task because to control for biases related to a differential exposure to Go and NoGo trial during the practice we had to present the same number of Go and NoGo trials. The feedback on response time thus enabled increasing the tendency to respond when a stimulus was presented and thus the need for inhibition to NoGo trials. Our result for 10-15% rate of commission error as in typical Go/NoGo tasks with 0.3 NoGo

probability, together with the presence of clear N2 and P3 component (see the Result section), confirmed that our paradigm adequately involved inhibition.

Each experimental trial consisted in the presentation of a grey fixation cross during 1500–1900ms, followed by the stimulus (500ms) and a response window (1000ms) terminating as soon as the participant responded, but with a minimal duration of 250ms. Then, a feedback on the performance was given for 500ms: a happy smiley icon after hits (response after a Go stimulus); a feedback "Too late!" replaced the happy smiley after hits with a RT>RTt; a happy smiley after correct rejections (no response after a NoGo stimulus); and an unhappy smiley after misses (no response after a Go stimulus) or false alarms (response after a NoGo trial).





Figure 1. Experimental visual Go/NoGo paradigm. Participants had to respond as fast as possible to the Go stimuli while withholding their response to the NoGo stimuli. A feedback was displayed on response speed and accuracy.

2.4. Behavioral analysis

Response times (RT) to Go stimuli and accuracy to NoGo stimuli (as indexed by the false alarm rate (FA): the percentage of inaccurately responded NoGo trials) were recorded in the young and older groups. Response times were averaged for each block separately after having excluded RT higher or lower than two standard deviations from the individual's mean. In order to enable comparisons between the behavioral and EEG results, RT and FA rate were averaged for the three first blocks (condition "beginning", BEG) and the three last blocks of the session separately (condition "end", END). The RT and FA were statistically compared based on a Group (Young; Older) x Session (BEG; END) mixed ANOVA. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests revealed that the assumption of normality was violated for two variables (FA Older BEG; FA Older END), and Levene's test for homogeneity of variance that the error variance of the dependent variables was unequal between the young and the older adults group for the response times (RT) and the false alarms (FA). To control for the influence of these assumption violations on the parametric ANOVA and for potentially skewed distribution of percentages and response time, we reconducted the same Group x Session analyses but with non-parametric statistics and found that the results did not change (see the Supplementary Table 1 & 2 for the test of parametric ANOVA's assumptions and the p-value of the non-

parametric statistics). We thus report in the results section the parametric statistics. Effect sizes are interpreted according to the Cohen's (1988) guidelines (d (η_p^2) = 0.2 (0.01): small; 0.5 (0.06): medium; and 0.8 (0.14): large effect size).

2.5. EEG recording

The 64-channel electroencephalogram (EEG) was recorded at a sampling rate of 1024 Hz with a Biosemi ActiveTwo system referenced to the common mode sense-driven right leg (CMS-DRL) ground placed on each side of the POz electrode. This circuitry consists of a feedback loop driving the average potential across the montage as close as possible to the amplifier zero (cf. the Biosemi website (http://www.biosemi.com/pics/zero ref1 big.gif for a diagram). For the ERP analyses, offline analyses were performed with the Cartool software (Brunet et al., 2011), and statistical analyses were performed with the open toolboxes RAGU (Koenig et al., 2011) and STEN (http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1164038).

2.6. EEG preprocessing

Second order Butterworth with -12db/octave roll-off; 0.1 Hz high-pass; 40 Hz low-pass; 50 Hz notch filters were applied on the raw EEG data. Then, we extracted and averaged EEG epochs from 100ms pre- to 500ms post-stimulus onset, for the correct Go (Hit) and NoGo stimuli (Correct Rejections, CR), for the first three blocks (Beginning condition, BEG) and the three last blocks of the Go/NoGo practice session (End condition, END) for each participant. Before the event-related potential (ERP) averaging, epochs with at least one electrode with one time frame at ±80 µV were automatically rejected to remove eye blinks and other artifacts. After the ERP averaging (mean 0.3 % interpolated electrodes; Perrin, Pernier, Bertnard, Giard, & Echallier, 1987), and the ERPs recalculated against the average reference and corrected for pre-stimulus baseline. The average number (±standard deviation (SD)) of accepted epochs was for the condition (Young Group CR BEG: 66.2±13.0, HIT END: 72.0±7.9; Older Group CR BEG: 74.2±11.4, CR END: 74.7±9.0; Young Group HIT BEG: 66.2±13.0, HIT END: 68.9±7.4; Older Group HIT BEG: 70.0±12.6, HIT END: 71.1±10.1). These values did not differ statistically on our main Group (Young; Older) x Session (Beg; End) interaction term of interest (CR: p=.453; HIT: p=.710), ensuring that the observed ERP effects were not confounded by differences in signal-to-noise ratio.

2.7. Event-Related Potential Analyses

2.7.1. General event-related potentials (ERPs) analytical strategy

8

We conducted electrophysiological analyses both on local and global measures of the electric field at the scalp. Local electrode analyses refer to the comparison between the experimental conditions at the level of the ERP waveform for each electrode separately. In contrast, global analyses of the ERPs compare the power and topography of the whole electric field at the scalp between conditions. Global analyses of the scalp field potentials have the advantage of being independent on the choice of the reference electrode and, as detailed below, of enabling to differentiate effects following from modulations in the strength of the responses of statistically indistinguishable brain generators (i.e. modulations in global field power but not topography) from alterations in the configuration of these generators (i.e. modulations of the topography of the electric field at the scalp). These methods have been shown to be useful for analyzing EEG data from large electrode sensor arrays and have been extensively detailed elsewhere (Michel & Murray, 2012; Tzovara, Murray, Michel, & De Lucia, 2012 for a method tutorial).

The key ERP analyses were conducted on the NoGo ERP because the main aim of the study was to identify how practice modified the inhibition processes in the young vs the older adults. This question was addressed by the interaction term of a Group (Young; Older) x Session (Beginning (BEG); End of the practice (END)) mixed repeated measure ANOVA on the NoGo ERPs. Yet, we still compared the Go and NoGo ERP as a 'sanity check' to ensure that the key inhibition ERP components were present in our data and to identify the periods of interest for the NoGo analyses (Section 2.7.5). We focused on the time period of the N2 and P3 ERP components for our main confirmatory analyses because our hypotheses for an interaction between aging and the effect of IC practice concerned the inhibition processing phases (e.g. Albert, López-Martín, Hinojosa, & Carretié, 2013; Gajewski & Falkenstein, 2013). However, we still conducted and report exploratory analyses of the remaining period of the ERP epoch, during which other effects could not be excluded.

2.7.2. Local electrode ERP analyses

As a first step, we conducted local electrode analyses by applying the (Young; Older) x Session (Beginning (BEG); End of the practice (END) mixed ANOVA at each peri-stimulus time frame and for each electrode separately. This analysis of the ERP voltage waveform data at the single electrode level aims at identifying the time periods showing the modulation of interest (here the Group*Session interaction). Such local electrode analysis actually corresponds to the canonical ERP analysis approaches comparing voltage amplitudes for specific ERP components of interest (i.e. the ERP voltage at a given electrode and latency), but extended in time to the whole ERP epoch and space to the whole electrode montage. This analysis is important because it allows comparing our results to those of previous studies based on traditional ERP analyses. For example, our approach could reveal an effect on the classical N2 component by showing an interaction on the typical electrodes and latency of the N2: Frontocentral/anterior electrodes between 250 and 350ms.

Yet, while this approach is highly sensitive to detect the timing of ERP modulations, it entails a large number of statistical tests and is thus prone to false positive. To (partially) correct for multiple comparisons and for temporal and spatial autocorrelation, we considered in this analysis only the periods showing the interaction of interest lasting longer than 11TF on more than 10% of the electrodes. This threshold is based on a permutation tests assessing the number of continuous significant data points that would be expected to arise by chance (based on 1000 permutations) in temporally auto-correlated noise data (Guthrie & Buchwald, 1991).

2.7.3. Global ERP analyses

Modulations of the strength of the electric field at the scalp were analyzed using the global field power index (GFP; Koenig et al., 2011; Koenig & Melie-García, 2010; Lehmann & Skrandies, 1980). GFP is calculated as the spatial standard deviation of the electric field (i.e., the root mean square of the difference between two normalized vectors computed across the entire electrode set). Larger GFP amplitudes indicate stronger electric fields; GFP peaks thus indicate highly synchronized neural sources underlying the scalp-recorded activity (Michel and Murray, 2012).

Modulations of the topography of the electric field at the scalp were analyzed using the global map dissimilarity index (GMD; Lehmann & Skrandies, 1980). GMD indexes differences in the configuration between two electric field and is calculated as the root mean square of the difference between the potentials measured at each electrode for the different experimental conditions normalized by instantaneous GFP. Because changes in topography forcibly follow from changes in the configuration of the underlying active sources (Lehmann and Skrandies, 1980), topographic modulations reveal when distinct brain networks are activated across experimental conditions.

Since the GFP is insensitive to spatial (i.e. topographic) change in the ERP, and that GMD is calculated on GFPnormalized data, the GFP and GMD are orthogonal measures and can thus be interpreted separately.

GFP and GMD were compared across experimental conditions at each time frame using non-parametric randomization statistics (Monte Carlo bootstrapping): the differences in GFP and GMD between the experimental conditions were compared with a distribution of the differences derived from permuting 5000 times the conditions' label of the data for each participant (Koenig et al., 2011; Murray, Brunet, & Michel, 2008). The probability of obtaining a GMD and GFP value from the permutations higher than the measured value was then determined. The threshold for statistical significance was set at p<0.05, and to correct for multiple comparison and temporal autocorrelation, differences were only accepted as significant if they were present for >11 continuous time-frames (Guthrie and Buchwald, 1991).

The ERP analyses were used to identify the period of interest showing sustained Group x Session interactions over which the analysis of source estimations was conducted to identify the origin of these modulations in the brain

2.7.4. Electrical source estimations

Brain sources of ERP modulations were estimated using a distributed linear inverse solution model (a minimum norm inverse solution) combined with the local autoregressive average (LAURA) regularization approach, which describes the spatial gradient across neighboring solution points (Grave De Peralta Menendez et al., 2004; Menendez et al., 2001). LAURA enables investigating multiple simultaneously active sources and selects the configuration of active brain networks that better mimics biophysical behavior of neural fields. LAURA uses a realistic head model, and the solution space included 3005 nodes, selected from a grid equally distributed within the gray matter of the Montreal Neurological Institute's average brain. The head model and lead field matrix were generated with the Spherical Model with Anatomical Constraints (SMAC; Spinelli, Gonzalez Andino, Lantz, Seeck, & Michel, 2000). As an output, LAURA provides current density measures; their scalar values were evaluated at each node. Assessments of the localization accuracy of this inverse solution by fundamental and clinical research indicate that the estimations and the results of their statistical analyses can be confidently interpreted at the resolution of the grid size (here 6mm; e.g. Gonzalez Andino, Michel, Thut, Landis, & De Peralta, 2005; Gonzalez Andino, Murray, Foxe, & Menendez, 2005; Grave De Peralta et al., 2001; Michel et al., 2004).

The ERP were averaged for the period of interest determined by the ERP analyses, their source calculated and then submitted to the same 2 x 2 ANOVA with between-subject factor Group (Young; Older) and within-subject factor Session (BEG; END) as the ERPs. To correct for multiple testing and spatial autocorrelation, we applied a spatial-extent correction (Ke) of ≥15 contiguous nodes with a p-value <0.01. This spatial criterion was determined using the AlphaSim program (available at http://afni.nimh.nih.gov) and assuming a spatial smoothing of 6 mm FWHM. This program applies a cluster randomization approach. The 10,000 Monte Carlo permutations performed on our lead field matrix revealed a false positive probability of <0.005 for a cluster greater than 15 nodes.

2.7.5. Identification of the periods of interest for the confirmatory electrical neuroimaging analyses

The periods of the N2 and P3 were determined by the analysis of the Go and NoGo ERP in the Older and Young groups with a cluster-based temporal segmentation approach (supplementary figure 1), which was also used to confirm that our task induced the typical inhibitory ERP components. To identify the periods of the N2 and P3 inhibition-related ERP components, we submitted the group-averaged ERP data of the young and the older adults to hierarchical clustering based on an atomize and agglomerate analysis (Brunet et al., 2011; Murray et al., 2008). This approach is based on evidence that the ERP map topography does not vary randomly across time, but remains quasi-stable over 20-100ms functional microstates -i.e. the ERP components- before rapidly switching to other stable periods (Cacioppo et al., 2014; Lehmann and Skrandies, 1980; Pascual-Marqui et al., 1995). As in

previous literature with the same analysis (e.g. Fargier & Laganaro, 2016; Laganaro, Valente, & Perret, 2012; Maitre et al., 2017), the optimal number of clusters that explained the best the grand-average data sets across conditions was identified using a modified version of the cross-validation criterion combining a cross-validation criterion and the Krzanovski-Lai criterion (Tibshirani & Walther, 2005; see also Murray et al., 2008). This analysis enabled identifying the N2 and P3 ERP component in our data in a data-driven manner; it first confirmed the presence of a N2 and P3 components typically observed in visual Go/NoGo task (with negative fronto-central topography ca. 250-350ms and a positive central topography 350-500ms, respectively, cf e.g. Falkenstein, Hoormann, & Hohnsbein, 1999).

3. Results

3.1. Behavior

There was a main effect of Group for the RT, driven by longer response times (RT) in the older than young adults group ($F_{1,36}$ = 38.95; p<0.01; η_p^2 = .520). There was no main effect of Group for the false alarm (FA) rate ($F_{1,36}$ = 4.05; p=.052; η_p^2 = .101; See Table 1 and Figure 2).

There was no main effect of Session on RT (RT Practice Main Effect, $F_{1,36} = 3.284$; p=.078; $\eta_p^2 = .084$), nor on FA ($F_{1,36} = .770$; p=.386; $\eta_p^2 = .021$).

There was no interaction between Group and the Session for the RT (RT Interaction Effect, $F_{1,36}$ = .307; p=.583; η_p^2 = .008), nor for the FA ($F_{1,36}$ = .627; p=434; η_p^2 = .017).

Given the limitation of the frequentist approach to provide support for the null hypothesis, we further investigated the Group by Session interaction with a Bayes factors (BF) analysis using the free software JASP (JASP Team, 2018, https://jasp-stats.org/), with the default priors (r scale fixed effects = 0.5; and r scale random effects= 1). Bayes factors express the probability of the data given H0 relative to H1 (Dienes, 2011)). We assessed whether the data supported an absence of interaction by comparing the BF10 of the model with the interaction against the BF10 of the model with only the two main effects. This analysis revealed BF10 of 0.36 for the RT interaction and 0.41 for the FA (table 1), indicating substantial evidence against the interaction (the data were ca. 3 times more likely observed under the null hypothesis).

Figure 2. Behavioral Results: Response times and false alarm rate at the beginning and at the end of the GNG practice





Figure 2. Behavioral performance during the Go/NoGo task. Older (A) and Young (B) response times and false alarms rate at the beginning and at the end of the Go/NoGo practice. Individual datapoints, means (cross), medians (horizontal bar) and minimal-maximal value (whiskers) are represented.

	OLD	ER	YOUNG		-		
Mean ±SD	Beginning	End	Beginning	End	Group Main Effect	Session Main Effect	Group x Session Interaction
Go RT (ms)	461.76 ±58.44	455.38 ±63.39	375.75 ±25.20	363.74 ±24.62	p=.000 η _p ² = .520	p=.078 η _p ² = .084	p=.583 η _p ² = .008 BF ₁₀ = 0.36
NoGo FA (%)	9.50 ±6.38	11.78 ±9.07	15.91 ±10.91	16.02 ±9.74	p=.052 η _p ² = .101	p=.386 η _p ² = .021	p=.434 $\eta_p^2 = .017$ $BF_{10}= 0.41$

Table 1. Scores and statistical analyses of the behavioral performance at the beginning and the end of the Go/NoGo task practice.

3.2. Event-related potentials and source estimations

We report in Supplementary Figure 1 the results of the comparison between the Hit and CR condition for the Young and Older groups. These results were used to identify the period of interest (i.e. of typical inhibition process) for the time-frame wise analyses. Importantly, these findings replicate the typical N2 and P3 components and effects found in Go/NoGo task. Together with the behavioral result for ca 10% FA and 400ms RT, these data confirm that our experimental paradigm adequately measured inhibition processes and generated data interpretable in the context of previous literature on inhibitory control.

Confirmatory analyses (i.e. during the N2/P3 components, from 250ms to 500ms) revealed a sustained p<0.05, >11 time frame; >10% of the electrodes) Group X Session interaction from during the N2 component at 299-322ms and the P3 component at 333-401ms (Fig. 3). These modulations followed from modulation in ERP topographic but not strength, indicating changes in the configuration but not response strength of the underlying brain network (Lehmann and Skrandies, 1980; Tzovara et al., 2012).

Statistical analyses of source estimations over this period revealed that this ERP modulation stemmed from a Group X Session interaction (p<0.01, Ke>15) driven by a decrease of a SMA-preSMA network and an increase in the left VLPFC activity in response to NoGo stimuli in the Older but not Young group (Fig. 4).

Although our confirmatory analyses focused on the periods of the N2/P3 ERP components, we conducted exploratory analyses of the whole ERP epoch. These analyses revealed a sustained (Group X Session interaction from 139 to 182ms (Fig. 3). We also find a topographic but not a Global Field Power (GFP) ERP modulation over this time period, indicating that the observed ERP interaction resulted from qualitative change in the underlying brain activity

These modulations were respectively within a left ventrolateral prefrontal area centered on inferior frontal cortex. Follow-up t-tests indicate that this interaction was mainly driven by a decrease in response to NoGo stimuli in the Young group but not Older group within this region with practice (Fig. 4).

Finally our analyses of the baseline difference between the two groups ERP to the NoGo revealed a topographic modulation over the N2 time window and of response strength during the P3 components, respectively driven by lower engagement of a right parieto-occipital network and a higher activity of the pre-SMA and right inferior frontal gyrus in the Older than Young group, and by a larger activity of the left posterior prefrontal, bilateral occipital and right parietal area in the Young than the Older (Supplementary Figure 2).

Figure 3. Electrical Neuroimaging results: Sensor space



A. Exemplar group-averaged NoGo ERP waveforms





Figure 3. Electrical neuroimaging analysis of the interaction between the factors Group (Older; Young) and Session (Beginning; End of the practice). (A) Exemplar group-average event-related potentials (ERP) for NoGo trials at the beginning and at the end of the practice for both group. (B) Electrode-wise statistical analyses of the ERPs. The graph (1) represents the percentage of electrodes showing a significant (p<0.05) Group X Session interaction. Three periods showed a sustained (>10ms for > 10% of the electrodes) interaction. In the topographic maps, red dots represent the electrode sites showing a significant interaction (nasion upward; Old: Older; You; Young). The results of the global map dissimilarity (2) and of the global field power (3) interaction revealed topographic but not strength ERP modulations over the 139-182ms, 299-300ms and 421-500ms time periods.



Figure 4. Electrical Neuroimaging results: Source space

Figure 4. Statistical analysis of the distributed electrical source estimations over the three periods of interest defined in the analyses in the sensor space (p < 0.01; $K_E = 15$). The bar graphs depict the mean current densities in the clusters showing the interaction for the four conditions. Beg: Beginning; Old: Older; You; Young; IIFG: Left inferior frontal gyrus; SMA: Supplementary motor area; IVPLFC: Left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex; *: <0.05; **<0.01

4. Discussion

We examined how aging interacted with practice-induced changes in inhibitory control (IC), and tested two models on the effect of practice on compensatory functional activity (i.e. whether it would reduce or develop compensatory activity in the older adults). The Go/NoGo practice had weak positive effects on performance, and Bayesian inferential analyses indicated that these effects were identical across age groups. In contrast, electrical neuroimaging analyses of the ERP revealed robust differences in the effect of practice between the young and older adults: there was topographic but not global field power Group by Session interactions over the periods of the N2 (ca. 300ms post NoGo onset) and P3 ERP components (450ms); the practice of the inhibitory control task thus resulted in qualitatively (but not quantitatively) different functional effects in the young and older adults. Source estimation analyses indicated that these ERP effects were respectively driven by a decrease in the activity of the bilateral (pre) supplementary motor areas and an increase in left ventrolateral prefrontal activity in the older adults but not young, suggesting differential changes in brain activity between the two age groups at the level of both the preparation and implementation of inhibition processes.

Go/NoGo inhibition practice has small and equivalent behavioral effect in both age groups

Behaviorally, we replicated previous evidence for slower response times during the inhibitory control task in older than young adults, associated with a tendency for less false alarm rate (Godefroy et al., 2010; Woods et al., 2015). This pattern could be accounted for either by a general slowing or sensori-motor processing incidentally resulting in less commission error (e.g. Forstmann et al., 2011; Woods et al., 2015) or by a deliberate choice for more cautious response strategy to favor accuracy over speed in older adults (Kopp et al., 2014; Starns and Ratcliff, 2010). While our data cannot disentangle between these hypotheses, in our task a strong emphasis was put on response speed based on the individually and dynamically adjusted feedback on response time; hence, it appears unlikely that the participants intentionally decreased their response speed.

Regarding the effect of practice on behavioral performance, Bayesian evidence for an absence of Group by Session interaction indicate that the 40 min practice session resulted in the same effect between our two age groups, and the main effect of Session suggests a small improvement in response speed with stable FA rate when both groups were considered together. This pattern is typically observed in IC practice studies (e.g. Chavan et al., 2015; Manuel et al., 2013; Benikos et al., 2013) and interpreted as reflecting faster inhibition processes: if the speed of execution processes improves without resulting in more false alarms, it means that the speed of the 'intercepting' inhibition processes also improved. Yet, there was almost no change at the behavioral level in the older adults, a finding that provides limited support to previous claim that inhibitory control training might be beneficial for older adults (Kühn et al., 2017). The present study however focused on a short practice session, and while such practice durations reliably improve performance in young adults (Benikos et al., 2013; Hartmann et al., 2016; Manuel et al., 2013; Spierer et al., 2013), and has been shown to constitute a good model for longer training intervention because it results in corresponding effects (e.g. Allom et al., 2016), longer practice time may still be needed in older populations to improve performance. However, as suggested by the functional results and by previous studies on IC training (e.g. Beauchamp et al., 2016), task practice can modify how participants perform a task without this change manifesting behaviorally. Further studies with longer practice time are required to determine if IC practice with Go/NoGo task results in measurable behavioral changes.

Inhibitory control practice influences inhibition preparatory processes in older but not young adults

We found a Group x Session topographic but not global field power interaction during the period of the N2 ERP component at 300ms. Because topographic modulations necessarily follow from a change in the configuration of the underlying intracranial generators (Michel and Murray, 2012), this result first indicates that the inhibitory control practice induced qualitatively (and not merely quantitatively) different changes in the functional IC organization between the older and the young adults. The statistical analyses of source estimations revealed that these modulations stemmed from a decrease in the engagement of the bilateral supplementary motor area (SMA) and preSMA in the older adults, with a smaller change in the opposite direction young adults. We interpret this modulation as reflecting the engagement of fewer resources in the preparation and triggering of inhibition in the older adults. The N2 ERP component has indeed been repeatedly associated with response conflict processing (Donkers and van Boxtel, 2004; Enriquez-Geppert et al., 2010; Gajewski and Falkenstein, 2013; Nieuwenhuis et al., 2003; Schmajuk et al., 2006) and the initiation of inhibition processes (Millner et al., 2012). Importantly, the N2 has also been advanced to reflect preparatory processes occurring before response inhibition or execution, such as stimulus-driven attention, detection of response conflict or evaluation of the outcome of inhibition (Albert et al., 2013).

Consistently, the preSMA and SMA have been respectively involved in motor inhibition, as well as in movement planning and preparation. Impaired inhibition has indeed been observed after real (Floden and Stuss, 2006; Picton and Taylor, 2007) or virtual preSMA lesion (Chen et al., 2009), and activity in the preSMA reported during inhibition processes (Chen et al., 2009; Floden and Stuss, 2006; Mostofsky et al., 2003; Ray Li et al., 2006; Rubia et al., 2001; Simmonds et al., 2008; Swick et al., 2011; Xue et al., 2008). Importantly, preSMA activity is a determinant of inhibition performance, with evidence for greater preSMA activity in participants with efficient vs slower inhibition (Ray Li et al., 2006). In contrast, the SMA proper is rather involved in motor execution (Nachev, Kennard, & Husain, 2008; Picard & Strick, 2001; Zhang, Ide, & Li, 2012). Our source estimation approach does not have the spatial resolution to differentiate between the functional patterns in these two adjacent regions, but indicates a globally lower engagement of these motor control functional units in the older adults at

the end of the practice. This finding is in line with previous evidence for larger preSMA activity in older adults during conflict processing and inhibitory control (Turner & Spreng, 2012 for meta-analysis), and the reduction of activity in this region suggests that the practice resulted in a reduction of the compensatory activity related to conflict monitoring and a better coping with task demands (e.g. Anguera et al., 2013; Heinzel et al., 2014).

With practice, older but not young adults recruit additional left ventrolateral prefrontal cortices to support motor inhibition

During the period of the P3 ERP component, we also found a topographic (but not strength) ERP modulation driven by an increase in the left VLPFC responses in the older but not young population.

The P3 ERP component has been typically associated with the implementation of the motor inhibition processes (Albert et al., 2013; Gajewski and Falkenstein, 2013; Smith et al., 2008). During this processing phase, there was an increase in left prefrontal activity in the older but not the young participants. Larger activity in this area during inhibition tasks has been repeatedly reported when comparing older to young populations (Colcombe, Kramer, Erickson, & Scalf, 2005; Langenecker & Nielson, 2003; Nielson et al., 2002; see Cabeza, 2002, for a review), and interpreted as a mechanism to compensate for the deficits of the right homotopic IFG area primarily involved in the task (Park and Reuter-Lorenz, 2009). Accordingly, our results suggest that the practice further developed this coping strategy in the older group. Similar patterns have been observed following the training of other executive functions in older adults; Carlson and colleagues (2009) for instance demonstrated training-induced increases in the activity of prefrontal regions in older adults with high risk of cognitive impairments. A study on the effects of eight weeks of cognitive training program also reported increases in resting blood flow in healthy older adults (Mozolic, Havasaka & Laurienti, 2010).

Our finding however runs counter previous evidence for a decrease in neurocognitive scaffolding with performance improvements (e.g. Nielson et al., 2002; Langenecker & Nielson, 2003). This discrepancy might follow from the fact that our older adult group did not show a larger engagement of the left PFC at baseline; the absence of an initial engagement of compensatory activity in the area showing the interaction may explain why we observed an increase and not a decrease in practice-induced functional scaffolding. Our older participants were possibly too young to already engage important functional compensation of age-related neural deterioration. Alternatively, because of their low temporal resolution, the imaging methods used in previous investigations might have missed the changes during short-lived processing steps in the multiphase inhibition process we have observed here.

Exploratory analyses of ERP modulation during the pre-inhibition processing phases suggest a decrease in older adults' proactive inhibition with practice

While our hypotheses focused on the typical inhibition-related periods of inhibition corresponding to the N2/P3 ERP components, we conducted exploratory analyses on the rest of the peri-stimulus EEG epoch. These analyses revealed a topographic ERP modulation at 150ms post-stimulus onset resulting from a differential engagement of the left IFG. We interpret this effect as reflecting a differential change in proactive inhibition between groups with practice. This latency indeed corresponds to a processing stage overlapping with the phase of retrieval of stimulus-response mapping rules and decision on the response to the stimuli, but preceding the proper implementation of the inhibition command. Current models of the functional architecture of inhibitory control suggest that after the perceptual discrimination of the Go and NoGo stimuli within sensory and associative cortices around 50-150ms post-stimulus onset, whether inhibition must be engaged is determined based on the retrieval of stimulus-response mapping rules in memory at ca. 150-250ms (Manuel et al., 2010; Watanabe et al., 2002). Then, at 250-300ms, when stop stimuli are identified and action cancellation decided, response inhibition is initiated within right inferior frontal a gyrus (rIFG) to eventually stop motor activity via projections to the basal ganglia and thalamus (Chambers, Garavan, & Bellgrove, 2009; Hampshire, Chamberlain, Monti, Duncan, & Owen, 2010; Zhang, Geng, & Lee, 2017). The activity at 150ms thus entails preparatory processes engaged as soon as a task-relevant stimulus was discriminated, before the decision to actually engage inhibition processes (Criaud and Boulinguez, 2013).

Together with the behavioral results suggesting more cautious response strategy in older adults, the timing, location and direction of this modulation suggests that with practice the young tended to rely less on proactive inhibitory control, most likely because of an improvement in their capacity for reactive inhibition (Jaffard et al., 2008, 2007). In contrast, the older adults showed no change in activity in this area. In older adults, the left IFG has been repeatedly reported as being recruited to compensate for deficits in the core rIFG inhibitory area (Langenecker and Nielson, 2003; Nielson et al., 2002). While our data suggest that the practice influenced differently the Young and the Older during this processing phase, the exploratory nature of this result requires further confirmation.

Limitations

As mentioned above, the main limitation of our study was the short duration of the practice session; we cannot rule out that longer practice would lead to different effects. However, a recent review on behavioral effect of inhibitory control training by Allom and colleagues (2016) indicates that there is no direct evidence available at the time that longer practice sessions are more beneficial. Moreover, the functional literature

indicates that short (e.g. Hartmann et al., 2016; Manuel et al., 2013) and long practice regimen (Berkman et al., 2014b; Chavan et al., 2015) result in similar effects.

Conclusions

Our collective results suggest that the practice of inhibitory control tasks induces qualitatively different neurophysiological effects in young and older adults. Aging thus interacts with the mechanisms of practiceinduced inhibitory control functional plasticity, which emphasizes the state-dependency of the functional effect of cognitive practice and suggests that a normalization of age-related executive deficits with practice could unlikely be achieved. Remediation strategies may rather focus on reinforcing the compensatory neurocognitive strategies spontaneously manifesting with aging.

Acknowledgments:

This work was supported by a grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grants #32003B_156854, #316030_144998 and #320030_175469 to LS and EUREKA-Eurostars, Grant Number EI373 9361 Com-Alert to MDL). We thank Dr Michael Mouthon and Dr Michael De Pretto for their help with the EEG analyses. The Cartool software (brainmapping.unige.ch/cartool) has been programmed by Denis Brunet, from the Functional Brain Mapping Laboratory, Geneva, Switzerland, and is supported by the Center for Biomedical Imaging (CIBM) of Geneva and Lausanne. The STEN toolbox (http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1164038) has been programmed by Jean-François Knebel and Michael Notter, from the Laboratory for Investigative Neurophysiology (the LINE), Lausanne, Switzerland, and is supported by the Center for Biomedical Imaging (CIBM) of Geneva and Lausanne and by National Center of Competence in Research project "SYNAPSY – The Synaptic Bases of Mental Disease"; project no. 51AU40_125759.

The authors have no competing interests to declare

References

- Albert, J., López-Martín, S., Hinojosa, J.A., Carretié, L., 2013. Spatiotemporal characterization of response inhibition. Neuroimage 76, 272–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.03.011
- Allom, V., Mullan, B., Hagger, M., 2016. Does inhibitory control practice improve health behaviour? A metaanalysis. Health Psychol. Rev. 10, 168–186. https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2015.1051078
- Anguera, J. a., Boccanfuso, J., Rintoul, J.L., Al-Hashimi, O., Faraji, F., Janowich, J., Kong, E., Larraburo, Y., Rolle, C., Johnston, E., Gazzaley, A., 2013. Video game practice enhances cognitive control in older adults. Nature 501, 97–101. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12486
- Aron, A.R., 2007. The neural basis of inhibition in cognitive control. Neurosci. 13, 214–228. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858407299288
- Beason-Held, L.L., Kraut, M.A., Resnick, S.M., 2008. I. Longitudinal changes in aging brain function. Neurobiol. Aging 29, 483–496. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2006.10.031
- Beauchamp, K.G., Kahn, L.E., Berkman, E.T., 2016. Does inhibitory control practice transfer?: Behavioral and neural effects on an untrained emotion regulation task. Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci. 11, 1374–1382. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsw061
- Benikos, N., Johnstone, S.J., Roodenrys, S.J., 2013. Short-term practice in the Go/Nogo task: Behavioural and neural changes depend on task demands. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 87, 301–312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2012.12.001
- Berkman, E.T., Kahn, L.E., Merchant, J.S., 2014a. Practice-Induced Changes in Inhibitory Control Network Activity. J. Neurosci. 34, 149–157. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3564-13.2014
- Berkman, E.T., Kahn, L.E., Merchant, J.S., 2014b. (R) Practice-Induced Changes in Inhibitory Control Network Activity. J. Neurosci. 34, 149–157. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3564-13.2014
- Bokura, H., Yamaguchi, S., Kobayashi, S., 2001. Electrophysiological correlates for response inhibition in a Go/NoGo task. Clin. Neurophysiol. 112, 2224–2232. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1388-2457(01)00691-5
- Brehmer, Y., Rieckmann, A., Bellander, M., Westerberg, H., Fischer, H., B??ckman, L., 2011. Neural correlates of practice-related working-memory gains in old age. Neuroimage 58, 1110–1120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.06.079

Brunet, D., Murray, M.M., Michel, C.M., 2011. Spatiotemporal analysis of multichannel EEG: CARTOOL.

Comput. Intell. Neurosci. 2011, 813870. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/813870

- Cabeza, R., 2002. Hemispheric asymmetry reduction in older adults: the HAROLD model. Psychol. Aging 17, 85–100. https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.17.1.85
- Cacioppo, S., Weiss, R.M., Runesha, H.B., Cacioppo, J.T., 2014. Dynamic spatiotemporal brain analyses using high performance electrical neuroimaging: Theoretical framework and validation. J. Neurosci. Methods 238, 11–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2014.09.009
- Cappell, K.A., Gmeindl, L., Reuter-Lorenz, P.A., 2010. Age differences in prefontal recruitment during verbal working memory maintenance depend on memory load. Cortex 46, 462–473. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2009.11.009
- Carlson, M.C., Erickson, K.I., Kramer, A.F., Voss, M.W., Bolea, N., Mielke, M., McGill, S., Rebok, G.W., Seeman, T., Fried, L.P., 2009. Evidence for neurocognitive plasticity in at-risk older adults: The experience corps program. Journals Gerontol. - Ser. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 64, 1275–1282. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glp117
- Chambers, C.D., Garavan, H., Bellgrove, M.A., 2009. Insights into the neural basis of response inhibition from cognitive and clinical neuroscience. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 33, 631–646. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.08.016
- Chavan, C.F., Mouthon, M., Draganski, B., van der Zwaag, W., Spierer, L., 2015. Differential patterns of functional and structural plasticity within and between inferior frontal gyri support practice-induced improvements in inhibitory control proficiency. Hum. Brain Mapp. 36, 2527–2543. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22789
- Chen, C.Y., Muggleton, N.G., Tzeng, O.J.L., Hung, D.L., Juan, C.H., 2009. Control of prepotent responses by the superior medial frontal cortex. Neuroimage 44, 537–545. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.09.005
- Colcombe, S.J., Kramer, A.F., Erickson, K.I., Scalf, P., 2005. The implications of cortical recruitment and brain morphology for individual differences in inhibitory function in aging humans. Psychol. Aging 20, 363–375. https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.20.3.363
- Coxon, J.P., Goble, D.J., Leunissen, I., Van Impe, A., Wenderoth, N., Swinnen, S.P., 2016. Functional Brain Activation Associated with Inhibitory Control Deficits in Older Adults. Cereb. Cortex 26, 12–22. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhu165
- Criaud, M., Boulinguez, P., 2013. Have we been asking the right questions when assessing response inhibition in go/no-go tasks with fMRI? A meta-analysis and critical review. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 37, 11–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.11.003
- Dahlin, E., Neely, A.S., Larsson, A., Backman, L., Nyberg, L., 2008. Transfer of Learning After Updating Practice Mediated by the Striatum. Science (80-.). 320, 1510–1512. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1155466
- Dienes, Z., 2011. Bayesian Versus Orthodox Statistics: Which Side Are You On? Perspect. Psychol. Sci. 6, 274–290. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691611406920
- Donkers, F.C.L., van Boxtel, G.J.M., 2004. The N2 in go/no-go tasks reflects conflict monitoring not response inhibition. Brain Cogn., Neurocognitive mechanisms of performance monitoring and inhibitory control 56, 165–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2004.04.005
- Dubois, B., Slachevsky, a, Litvan, I., Pillon, B., 2000. The FAB. Neurology 55, 1621–1626. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.57.3.565
- Enriquez-Geppert, S., Konrad, C., Pantev, C., Huster, R.J., 2010. Conflict and inhibition differentially affect the N200/P300 complex in a combined go/nogo and stop-signal task. Neuroimage 51, 877–887. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.02.043
- Erickson, K.I., Colcombe, S.J., Wadhwa, R., Bherer, L., Peterson, M.S., Scalf, P.E., Kim, J.S., Alvarado, M., Kramer, A.F., 2007. Practice-induced plasticity in older adults: Effects of practice on hemispheric asymmetry. Neurobiol. Aging 28, 272–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2005.12.012

- Falkenstein, M., Hoormann, J., Hohnsbein, J., 1999. ERP components in Go/Nogo tasks and their relation to inhibition. Acta Psychol. (Amst). 101, 267–291. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-6918(99)00008-6
- Fargier, R., Laganaro, M., 2016. Neurophysiological modulations of non-verbal and verbal dual-tasks interference during word planning. PLoS One 11, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168358
- Floden, D., Stuss, D.T., 2006. Inhibitory control is slowed in patients with right superior medial frontal damage. J Cogn Neurosci 18, 1843–1849. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2006.18.11.1843
- Folstein, M.F., Folstein, S.E., McHugh, P.R., 1975. "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J. Psychiatr. Res. 12, 189–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6
- Forstmann, B.U., Tittgemeyer, M., Wagenmakers, E.-J., Derrfuss, J., Imperati, D., Brown, S., 2011. The speedaccuracy tradeoff in the elderly brain: a structural model-based approach. J. Neurosci. 31, 17242–17249. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0309-11.2011
- Gajewski, P.D., Falkenstein, M., 2013. Effects of task complexity on ERP components in Go/Nogo tasks. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 87, 273–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2012.08.007
- Godefroy, O., Roussel, M., Despretz, P., Quaglino, V., Boucart, M., 2010. Age-related slowing: perceptuomotor, decision, or attention decline? Exp Aging Res 36, 169–189. https://doi.org/919684418 [pii] 10.1080/03610731003613615
- Gonzalez Andino, S.L., Michel, C.M., Thut, G., Landis, T., Grave de Peralta Menendez, R., 2005a. Prediction of response speed by anticipatory high-frequency (gamma band) oscillations in the human brain. Hum. Brain Mapp. 24, 50–58. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20056
- Gonzalez Andino, S.L., Murray, M.M., Foxe, J.J., Grave de Peralta Menendez, R., 2005b. How single-trial electrical neuroimaging contributes to multisensory research. Exp. Brain Res. 166, 298–304. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-005-2371-1
- Grave De Peralta Menendez, R., Murray, M.M., Michel, C.M., Martuzzi, R., Gonzalez Andino, S.L., 2004. Electrical neuroimaging based on biophysical constraints. Neuroimage 21, 527–539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.09.051
- Guthrie, D., Buchwald, J.S., 1991. Significance testing of difference potentials. Psychophysiology 28, 240–244.
- Hampshire, A., Chamberlain, S.R., Monti, M.M., Duncan, J., Owen, A.M., 2010. The role of the right inferior frontal gyrus: inhibition and attentional control. Neuroimage 50, 1313–1319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.109
- Hartmann, L., Sallard, E., Spierer, L., 2016. Enhancing frontal top-down inhibitory control with Go/NoGo practice. Brain Struct. Funct. 221, 3835–3842. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-015-1131-7
- Heilbronner, U., Münte, T.F., 2013. Rapid event-related near-infrared spectroscopy detects age-related qualitative changes in the neural correlates of response inhibition. Neuroimage 65, 408–415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.09.066
- Heinzel, S., Lorenz, R.C., Brockhaus, W.-R., Wüstenberg, T., Kathmann, N., Heinz, A., Rapp, M.A., 2014. Working Memory Load-Dependent Brain Response Predicts Behavioral Practice Gains in Older Adults. J. Neurosci. 34, 1224–1233. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2463-13.2014
- Hong, X., Sun, J., Bengson, J.J., Tong, S., 2014. Age-related spatiotemporal reorganization during response inhibition. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 93, 371–380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2014.05.013
- Hsieh, S., Fang, W., 2012. Elderly adults through compensatory responses can be just as capable as young adults in inhibiting the flanker influence. Biol. Psychol. 90, 113–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2012.03.006
- Jaffard, M., Benraiss, A., Longcamp, M., Velay, J.L., Boulinguez, P., 2007. Cueing method biases in visual detection studies. Brain Res. 1179, 106–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2007.08.032
- Jaffard, M., Longcamp, M., Velay, J.L., Anton, J.L., Roth, M., Nazarian, B., Boulinguez, P., 2008. Proactive inhibitory control of movement assessed by event-related fMRI. Neuroimage 42, 1196–1206.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.05.041

- Koenig, T., Kottlow, M., Stein, M., Melie-García, L., 2011. Ragu: A free tool for the analysis of EEG and MEG event-related scalp field data using global randomization statistics. Comput. Intell. Neurosci. 2011, 938925. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/938925
- Koenig, T., Melie-García, L., 2010. A method to determine the presence of averaged event-related fields using randomization tests. Brain Topogr. 23, 233–242. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10548-010-0142-1
- Kopp, B., Lange, F., Howe, J., Wessel, K., 2014. Age-related changes in neural recruitment for cognitive control. Brain Cogn. 85, 209–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2013.12.008
- Kühn, S., Lorenz, R.C., Weichenberger, M., Becker, M., Haesner, M., O'Sullivan, J., Steinert, A., Steinhagen-Thiessen, E., Brandhorst, S., Bremer, T., Gallinat, J., 2017. Taking control! Structural and behavioural plasticity in response to game-based inhibition practice in older adults. Neuroimage 156, 199–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.05.026
- Laganaro, M., Valente, A., Perret, C., 2012. Time course of word production in fast and slow speakers: A high density ERP topographic study. Neuroimage 59, 3881–3888. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.10.082
- Langenecker, S.A., Nielson, K.A., 2003. Frontal recruitment during response inhibition in older adults replicated with fMRI, NeuroImage. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00372-0
- Lehmann, D., 1987. Principles of spatial analysis, in: Gevins, A.S., Remond, A. (Eds.), Handbook of Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology. Methods of Analysis of Brain Electrical and Magnetic Signals. pp. 309–354.
- Lehmann, D., Skrandies, W., 1980. Reference-free identification of components of checkerboard-evoked multichannel potential fields. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 48, 609–621. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(80)90419-8
- Maitre, N.L., Key, A.P., Chorna, O.D., Slaughter, J.C., Matusz, P.J., Wallace, M.T., Murray, M.M., 2017. The Dual Nature of Early-Life Experience on Somatosensory Processing in the Human Infant Brain. Curr. Biol. 27, 1048–1054. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.02.036
- Manuel, A.L., Grivel, J., Bernasconi, F., Murray, M.M., Spierer, L., 2010. Brain Dynamics Underlying Practice-Induced Improvement in Suppressing Inappropriate Action. J. Neurosci. 30, 13670–13678. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2064-10.2010
- Manuel, A.L.L., Bernasconi, F., Spierer, L., 2013. Plastic modifications within inhibitory control networks induced by practicing a stop-signal task: An electrical neuroimaging study. Cortex 49, 1141–1147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2012.12.009
- Manuel, A.L.L.A.L., Grivel, J., Bernasconi, F., Murray, M.M.M., Spierer, L., 2010. Brain Dynamics Underlying Practice-Induced Improvement in Suppressing Inappropriate Action. J. Neurosci. 30, 13670–13678. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2064-10.2010
- Menendez, R.G.D.P., Andino, S.G., Lantz, G., Michel, C.M., Landis, T., 2001. Noninvasive localization of electromagnetic epileptic activity. I. Method descriptions and simulations. Brain Topogr. 14, 131–137. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012944913650
- Michel, C.M., Murray, M.M., 2012. Towards the utilization of EEG as a brain imaging tool. Neuroimage 61, 371–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.12.039
- Michel, C.M., Murray, M.M., Lantz, G., Gonzalez, S., Spinelli, L., Grave De Peralta, R., 2004. EEG source imaging. Clin. Neurophysiol. 115, 2195–2222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2004.06.001
- Millner, A.J., Jaroszewski, A.C., Chamarthi, H., Pizzagalli, D.A., 2012. Behavioral and electrophysiological correlates of practice-induced cognitive control improvements. Neuroimage 63, 742–753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.07.032
- Mostofsky, S.H., Schafer, J.G.B., Abrams, M.T., Goldberg, M.C., Flower, A.A., Boyce, A., Courtney, S.M., Calhoun, V.D., Kraut, M.A., Denckla, M.B., Pekar, J.J., 2003. fMRI evidence that the neural basis of response

inhibition is task-dependent. Cogn. Brain Res. 17, 419–430. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-6410(03)00144-7

- Mozolic, J.L., Hayasaka, S., Laurienti, P.J., 2010. A cognitive practice intervention increases resting cerebral blood flow in healthy older adults. Front Hum.Neurosci. 4, 16. https://doi.org/10.3389/neuro.09.016.2010
- Murray, M.M., Brunet, D., Michel, C.M., 2008. Topographic ERP analyses: a step-by-step tutorial review. Brain Topogr 20, 249–264. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10548-008-0054-5
- Murray, M.M., Brunet, D., Michel, C.M., 2008. Topographic ERP analyses: A step-by-step tutorial review. Brain Topogr. 20, 249–264. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10548-008-0054-5
- Nachev, P., Kennard, C., Husain, M., 2008. Functional role of the supplementary and pre-supplementary motor areas. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 9, 856–869. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2478
- Nielson, K.A., Langenecker, S.A., Garavan, H., 2002. Differences in the functional neuroanatomy of inhibitory control across the adult life span. Psychol Aging 17, 56–71. https://doi.org/10.1037//0882-7974.17.1.56
- Nieuwenhuis, S., Yeung, N., Wildenberg, W. van den, Ridderinkhof, K.R., 2003. Electrophysiological correlates of anterior cingulate function in a go/no-go task: Effects of response conflict and trial type frequency. Cogn. Affect. Behav. Neurosci. 3, 17–26. https://doi.org/10.3758/CABN.3.1.17
- Noack, H., Lovden, M., Schmiedek, F., Lindenberger, U., 2009. Cognitive plasticity in adulthood and old age: Gauging the generality of cognitive intervention effects. Restor. Neurol. Neurosci. 27, 435–453. https://doi.org/10.3233/RNN-2009-0496
- Nyberg, L., Sandblom, J., Jones, S., Neely, A.S., Petersson, K.M., Ingvar, M., Bäckman, L., 2003. Neural correlates of practice-related memory improvement in adulthood and aging. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100, 13728–33. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1735487100
- Oishi, K., Zilles, K., Amunts, K., Faria, A., Jiang, H., Li, X., Akhter, K., Hua, K., Woods, R., Toga, A.W., Pike, G.B., Rosa-Neto, P., Evans, A., Zhang, J., Huang, H., Miller, M.I., van Zijl, P.C.M., Mazziotta, J., Mori, S., 2008. Human brain white matter atlas: identification and assignment of common anatomical structures in superficial white matter. Neuroimage 43, 447–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.07.009
- Oldfield, R.C., 1971. The assessment and analysis of handedness: The Edinburgh Inventory. Neuropsychologia 9, 97–113.
- Park, D.C., Bischof, G.N., 2013. The aging mind: Neuroplasticity in response to cognitive practice. Dialogues Clin. Neurosci. 15, 109–119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-009-9119-9
- Park, D.C., Reuter-Lorenz, P., 2009. The Adaptive Brain: Aging and Neurocognitive Scaffolding. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 60, 173–196. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093656
- Pascual-Marqui, R.D., Michel, C.M., Lehmann, D., 1995. Segmentation of Brain Electrical Activity into Microstates; Model Estimation and Validation. IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 42, 658–665. https://doi.org/10.1109/10.391164
- Paul, I., Gawrilow, C., Zech, F., Gollwitzer, P., Rockstroh, B., Odenthal, G., Kratzer, W., Wienbruch, C., 2007. Ifthen planning modulates the P300 in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Neuroreport 18, 653–657. https://doi.org/10.1097/WNR.0b013e3280bef966
- Perrin, F., Pernier, J., Bertnard, O., Giard, M.H.H., Echallier, J.F.F., 1987. Mapping of scalp potentials by surface spline interpolation. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 66, 75–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(87)90141-6
- Picard, N., Strick, P.L., 2001. Imaging the premotor areas. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 11, 663–672. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(01)00266-5
- Picton, T.W., Taylor, M.J., 2007. Electrophysiological evaluation of human brain development. Dev. Neuropsychol. 31, 249–278. https://doi.org/10.1080/87565640701228732
- Pires, L., Leitão, J., Guerrini, C., Simões, M.R., 2014. Event-Related Brain Potentials in the Study of Inhibition: Cognitive Control, Source Localization and Age-Related Modulations. Neuropsychol. Rev. 24, 461–490.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-014-9275-4

- Ray Li, C. -s., Huang, C., Constable, R.T., Sinha, R., 2006. Imaging Response Inhibition in a Stop-Signal Task: Neural Correlates Independent of Signal Monitoring and Post-Response Processing. J. Neurosci. 26, 186– 192. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3741-05.2006
- Reuter-Lorenz, P.A., Park, D.C., 2014. How Does it STAC Up? Revisiting the Scaffolding Theory of Aging and Cognition. Neuropsychol. Rev. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-014-9270-9
- Rubia, K., Russell, T., Overmeyer, S., Brammer, M.J., Bullmore, E.T., Sharma, T., Simmons, a, Williams, S.C., Giampietro, V., Andrew, C.M., Taylor, E., 2001. Mapping motor inhibition: conjunctive brain activations across different versions of go/no-go and stop tasks. Neuroimage 13, 250–261. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2000.0685
- Schmajuk, M., Liotti, M., Busse, L., Woldorff, M.G., 2006. Electrophysiological activity underlying inhibitory control processes in normal adults. Neuropsychologia 44, 384–395. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2005.06.005
- Sebastian, A., Baldermann, C., Feige, B., Katzev, M., Scheller, E., Hellwig, B., Lieb, K., Weiller, C., Tüscher, O., Klöppel, S., 2013. Differential effects of age on subcomponents of response inhibition. Neurobiol. Aging 34, 2183–2193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.03.013
- Simmonds, D.J., Pekar, J.J., Mostofsky, S.H., 2008. Meta-analysis of Go/No-go tasks demonstrating that fMRI activation associated with response inhibition is task-dependent. Neuropsychologia 46, 224–232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.07.015
- Smith, J.L., Johnstone, S.J., Barry, R.J., 2008. Movement-related potentials in the Go/NoGo task: The P3 reflects both cognitive and motor inhibition. Clin. Neurophysiol. 119, 704–714. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2007.11.042
- Spierer, L., Chavan, C.F.C.F., Manuel, A.L.A.L., 2013. Practice-induced behavioral and brain plasticity in inhibitory control. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 7, 427. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00427
- Spinelli, L., Gonzalez Andino, S.L., Lantz, G., Seeck, M., Michel, C.M., 2000. Electromagnetic inverse solutions in anatomically constrained spherical head models. Brain Topogr. 13, 115–125. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026607118642
- Starns, J.J., Ratcliff, R., 2010. The Effects of aging on the speed–accuracy compromise: Boundary optimality in the diffusion model. Psychol. Aging 25, 377–390. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018022
- Swick, D., Ashley, V., Turken, U., 2011. Are the neural correlates of stopping and not going identical? Quantitative meta-analysis of two response inhibition tasks. Neuroimage 56, 1655–1665. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.02.070
- Tibshirani, R., Walther, G., 2005. Cluster Validation by Prediction Strength. J. Comput. Graph. Stat. 14, 511–528. https://doi.org/10.1198/106186005X59243
- Turner, G.R., Spreng, R.N., 2012. Executive functions and neurocognitive aging: Dissociable patterns of brain activity. Neurobiol. Aging 33, 826.e1-826.e13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2011.06.005
- Tzovara, A., Murray, M.M., Michel, C.M., De Lucia, M., 2012. A Tutorial Review of Electrical Neuroimaging From Group-Average to Single-Trial Event-Related Potentials. Dev. Neuropsychol. 37, 518–544. https://doi.org/10.1080/87565641.2011.636851
- Vallesi, A., McIntosh, A.R., Stuss, D.T., 2011. Overrecruitment in the aging brain as a function of task demands: evidence for a compensatory view. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 23, 801–15. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2010.21490
- Vocat, R., Pourtois, G., Vuilleumier, P., 2008. Unavoidable errors: A spatio-temporal analysis of time-course and neural sources of evoked potentials associated with error processing in a speeded task. Neuropsychologia 46, 2545–2555. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2008.04.006
- Watanabe, T., Náñez, J.E., Koyama, S., Mukai, I., Liederman, J., Sasaki, Y., 2002. Greater plasticity in lower-level than higher-level visual motion processing in a passive perceptual learning task. Nat. Neurosci. 5, 1003–

1009. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn915

- Woods, D.L., Wyma, J.M., Yund, E.W., Herron, T.J., Reed, B., 2015. Factors influencing the latency of simple reaction time. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 9, 131. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2015.00131
- Xue, G., Aron, A.R., Poldrack, R.A., 2008. Common neural substrates for inhibition of spoken and manual responses. Cereb. Cortex 18, 1923–1932. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhm220
- Zhang, R., Geng, X., Lee, T.M.C., 2017. Large-scale functional neural network correlates of response inhibition: an fMRI meta-analysis. Brain Struct. Funct. 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-017-1443-x
- Zhang, S., Ide, J.S., Li, C.S.R., 2012. Resting-state functional connectivity of the medial superior frontal cortex. Cereb. Cortex 22, 99–111. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr088



Supplementary Figure 1. Local Go and NoGo ERP analyses in Elderly and Young: Hit vs CR (beginning)

Supplementary Figure 1. Group averaged event-related potential (ERP) for Hits (Go) and Correct Rejections (NoGo) at the beginning of the practice in young (A) and older (B). The ERP topographies of the identified ERP components for the Go (Hit) and NoGo (Correct rejection) for the components identified with the temporal segmentation analysis, as well as the topography of the difference between the components in the Hit-CR condition are represented together with the group-averaged waveforms at an exemplar electrode (FCz) and the t- and p- values of the Hit vs CR t-tests over time.

1. Exemplar group-averaged ERP waveform (FCz)



Supplementary Figure 2. Young vs Elderly Beginning NoGo ERP and source analyses

Elderly > Young 🚺 Elderly < Young

Supplementary Figure 2. Electrical neuroimaging analysis of the Young vs. Older Beginning NoGo ERP. (1) the percentage of electrodes showing a significant (p<0.05) Young vs. Older NoGo difference. The periods of the N2 and P3 ERP components (as determined by the temporal segmentation analyses, cf Methods Section) are depicted. The results of the global map dissimilarity (2) and of the global field power (3) revealed a topographic modulation over the N2 time window and of response strength during the P3 components. The same statistical contrast applied on the distributed source estimations reveal the location and direction of these effects in the brain space.

TEST OF NORMALITY: Kolmogorov-Smirnov

Condition	Group	Sig.	
Beginning RT	Older	.200	
	Young	.200	
End RT	Older	.200	
	Young	.200	
Beginning FA	Older	.034	
	Young	.053	
End FA	Older	.036	
	Young	.200	

Note. Normality test showed non-normal distribution for 2 variables.

box's rest of Equality of covariance matrices				
	RT	FA		
Box's M	20.609	14.595		
F	6.456	4.572		
df1	3	3		
df2	233280	233280		
Sig.	.000	.003		

Box's Test of Equality of Covariance Matrices

Note. The covariance matrices of the dependent variables are not equal across groups.

Supplementary Table 1.Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of normality and covariance matrices of our dependent variables. Tables show abnormal distribution for the false alarms at the beginning and the end of the practice in older and that the dependent variables are not equal across groups.

Supplementary Table 2. Behavioral results with non-parametric statistics.

	OLDER		YOUNG				
Mean rank ±SEM	Beginning	End	Beginning	End	Group Main Effect	Session Main Effect	Group x Session Interaction
Go	55.84	53.16	25.53	19.47	p=.000	p=.022	p=.361
RT (ms)	±16.21	±18.64	±13.23	±11.95	${\eta_{p}}^{2} = .574$	$\eta_{p}^{2} = .138$	$\eta_{p}^{2} = .023$
NoGo	30.81	34.79	43.55	44.84	p=.074	p=.434	p=.689
FA (%)	±19.49	±22.39	±22.69	±21.97	$\eta_{p}^{2} = .086$	$\eta_{p}^{2} = .017$	$\eta_{p}^{2} = .004$