

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

# Association between obesity indices and cardiovascular risk factors in late adolescence in the Seychelles

Pascal Bovet<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Tiphaine Arlabosse<sup>1</sup>, Bharathi Viswanathan<sup>2</sup> and Gary Myers<sup>3</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** The ability of different obesity indices to predict cardiovascular risk is still debated in youth and few data are available in sub Saharan Africa. We compared the associations between several indices of obesity and cardiovascular risk factors (CVRFs) in late adolescence in the Seychelles.

**Methods:** We measured body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, waist/hip ratio (WHR), waist/height ratio (WHtR) and percent fat mass (by bioimpedance) and 6 CVRFs (blood pressure, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, fasting blood glucose and uric acid) in 423 youths aged 19–20 years from the general population.

**Results:** The prevalence of overweight/obesity and several CVRFs was high, with substantial sex differences. Except for glucose in males and LDL-cholesterol in females, all obesity indices were associated with CVRFs. BMI consistently predicted CVRFs at least as well as the other indices. Linear regression on BMI had standardized regression coefficients of 0.25–0.36 for most CVRFs ( $p<0.01$ ) and ROC analysis had an AUC between 60%–75% for most CVRFs. BMI also predicted well various combinations of CVRFs: 36% of male and 16% of female lean subjects (BMI <P50) had  $\geq 2$  CVRFs compared to 74% of male and 46% of female overweight subjects (BMI >P90).

**Conclusion:** There was an elevated prevalence of obesity and of several CVRFs in youths in Seychelles. BMI predicted single or combined CVRFs at least as well as other simple obesity indices.

**Keywords:** Obesity, Body mass index (BMI), Cardiovascular risk factors, Screening, Youth, Adolescents, Young adults, Africa

## Background

Obesity in youth has important short term and long term impact on health [1], including increased cardiovascular mortality and morbidity in middle age [2–4]. The detrimental impact of obesity on the incidence of subsequent cardiovascular disease (CVD) is partly mediated by increased levels of cardiovascular risk factors (CVRF), in particular hypertension, dyslipidemia and diabetes [4].

The comparative value of different indices of obesity for the prediction of cardiovascular outcomes is still debated. This question is differently framed whether the

emphasis is to predict: i) the actual adiposity status, which relies on the comparison of indices of obesity with objective measurements of general/central fat, ii) subsequent cardiovascular outcomes, which relies on cohort studies [2,4], or iii) the presence of concomitant CVRFs [5,6], which can be assessed with cross-sectional studies. In this paper, the focus is on the performance of indices of obesity to predict the presence of concomitant CVRFs in young adults.

Several studies and reviews have examined the performance of different obesity indices to predict the concomitant presence of abnormal levels of CVRF in youths including high blood pressure, dyslipidemia and diabetes [5]. BMI, which is a simple and widely used metric, has been criticized as an inadequate measure of obesity because it does not distinguish well between lean mass and fat mass. Other advocated indices include waist

\* Correspondence: pascal.bovet@chuv.ch

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine (IUMSP), Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>Section of Noncommunicable Diseases, Ministry of Health, Victoria, Republic of Seychelles

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

circumference [7,8], waist-to-hip ratio (WHIR) [9], waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) [10,11] or percent body fat based on bioimpedance [12]. Mixed results have been reported, but several studies and reviews have concluded that BMI performed as well as other obesity indices for the identification of an adverse cardiometabolic risk profile in youths [13-15].

The performance of anthropometric indices may vary according to various factors, including race, geographical area, and population [16]. However, few studies have examined the performance of anthropometric indices for the identification of adverse cardiovascular risk profiles in sub Saharan Africa populations [17-19], and none among representative samples of youths. A challenge for such studies is the need to collect biological samples (e.g. blood lipids) from healthy young individuals. It is important to collect information on the associations between obesity indices and CVRFs in African populations to guide recommendations for surveillance and clinical practice in the region, particularly in the context of the rapidly rising prevalence of obesity worldwide, including in the Seychelles [20].

In this study, we examined the associations between several obesity indices and the main CVRFs in a population-based sample of late adolescents of the Seychelles aged 19–20 years. Seychelles is a middle-income country in the African region. The study also provides useful information on the prevalence of the major CVRFs in youths in this region.

## Methods and Procedures

### Subjects

The Republic of Seychelles is a small island state in the Indian Ocean located east of Kenya. The majority of the population is of African descent.

Between February 1989 and February 1990, a cohort of 779 children was enrolled at the age of 6 months as part of the Seychelles Child Development Study. This ongoing cohort study was undertaken to examine the association between exposure to methyl mercury from fish consumption and the children's neurological outcomes. The study methodology was previously described [21]. Study participants were evaluated in 2008 and 2009 at age 19–20 years. Cardiovascular outcomes were evaluated including neurovegetative and cardiometabolic ones. Informed written consent for participation was obtained from all participants. The protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of the University of Rochester Medical Center and the Republic of Seychelles.

### Evaluation

Anthropometric data were measured without shoes and in light garments. Weight was measured using a precision

electronic scale (Seca 870, Hamburg, Germany) and height was measured with a fixed stadiometer (Seca 208). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (meters) squared. Waist circumference was measured at mid distance between the floating rib and the iliac crest at the end of normal expiration with a standard tape measure. Hip circumference was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm at the point of maximum extension of the buttocks. Body fat percent was assessed using a bioimpedance analyzer (Omron HBF-306C). All anthropometric indices were measured once. Blood pressure (BP) was based on the average of three readings taken on the left arm with a validated oscillometric automated device (Omron M5, Omron Healthcare Europe BV, Hoofddorp, The Netherlands) using an appropriately sized cuff, after a 5 minute rest. Three senior nurses with experience in conducting epidemiological research performed the measurements. When comparing mean values of the measurements in participants between the observers' groups, adjusting for sex and age, there was no difference between for height, weight, waist, WHIR, BMI, fat percent and systolic/diastolic BP, but a statistically significant difference was found for hip and WHtR. This suggests lower validity of measurements involving hip. A structured questionnaire was self-administered to assess alcohol consumption and smoking status. Tobacco use and alcohol use were assessed with the questions: "During the past 30 days, on how many days did you [smoke at least one cigarette] [have at least one drink]?" with answers to choose among categories: 0 day; 1–2 days; 3–5 days; 6–9 days; 10–19 days; 20–29 days; and every day. Smoking was defined for participants reporting to smoke at least one cigarette every day. Physical activity was assessed using the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) [22].

### Biological sampling

A venous blood sample was collected between 7 and 9 am after an overnight fast. Glucose, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, triglycerides and uric acid were measured at the central laboratory of the main hospital in the Seychelles (Victoria Hospital), using standard enzymatic methods (KoneLab T series reagents, Thermo Scientific) with a Thermo KoneLab 30 automatic analyzer (KoneLab Corp., Espoo, Finland). LDL-cholesterol was calculated with the Friedewald formula.

### Statistical analyses

We conducted analyses separately in males and females because of substantially different distributions of several variables. Since the aim of the study is the prediction of the presence of concomitant CVRFs according to various indices of obesity, main analyses are not adjusted for other covariates. We first examined the association

between the obesity indices and CVRFs along continuous scales using linear regression. We display the standardized regression coefficients, which express a difference in the "outcome" variable corresponding to a difference of 1 standard deviation in the "exposure" variable. This permits direct comparison of the regression coefficients between different obesity indexes and across the different CVRFs. We then estimated the prevalence of elevated levels of CVRFs according to increasing categories of obesity indices. We categorized all obesity indices in five categories (i.e. percentiles <50, 50–79, 80–89 and 90–100). We performed ROC (Roc Operating Characteristics) analysis [23] to evaluate the performance of the indices of obesity: the area under the curve (AUC) statistic represents the probability of correctly identifying subjects with or without the outcome of interest (i.e. CVRFs). An AUC of 0.5 means that prediction is not better than chance and 1.0 represents perfect discrimination. We defined dichotomized categories of CVRFs based on recent guidelines for subjects aged 18–21 [24]. Because only 4% of subjects had triglycerides ≥1.3 mmol/l, we used a lower cutoff (≥1.0 mmol/l), which

resulted in a prevalence of 12%. There is no widely used cutoff for serum uric acid in youth and we defined elevated levels as above the sex-specific 80<sup>th</sup> percentile. Finally, we examined the prevalence of combinations of the 6 considered CVRFs across categories of the obesity indices. Analyses were performed using Stata 11.2. We used two-sided tests and considered statistical significance as P <0.05.

## Results

The distribution of the obesity indices and the CVRFs is shown in Table 1. Males were taller and heavier than females but BMI was higher in females than in males (27% of females and 13% of males had BMI ≥25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Waist circumference was similar in both sexes but the proportion with elevated waist circumference was higher in females than in males. While the waist/hip ratio (WHiR) was larger in males than in females, the waist/height (WHtR) ratio and the percentage of body fat were larger in females than in males. There were marked differences in the distribution of CVRFs according to sex. Males smoked more, consumed more alcohol, and had

**Table 1 Distribution of obesity indices and cardiovascular risk factors**

	Males (n=195)		Females (n=228)		P
<b>Anthropometric variables</b>					
Height (cm)	175.0	6.8	162.5	6.7	0.000
Weight (kg)	66.2	12.2	59.8	14.6	0.000
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	21.6	3.6	22.7	3.3	0.016
≥ 25	13.3		26.7		0.000
≥ 30	4.6		10.5		0.000
Waist (cm)	75.9	8.6	75.7	11.2	ns
≥ 94 (M); ≥80 (F)	5.6		28.5		0.000
≥102 (M); ≥88 (F)	2.1		14.9		0.000
Waist/height ratio	43.3	3.5	46.6	4.6	0.000
≥0.50	9.7		26.7		0.000
Waist/hip ratio	0.83	0.07	0.82	0.09	0.017
Fat percent	16.8	6.7	26.8	7.9	0.000
<b>Cardiovascular risk factors</b>					
Daily cigarette smoking	20.0		3.1		0.000
Alcohol on ≥6 days/month	19.5		5.7		0.000
Physical activity >3000 MET-min/wk	64.4		18.4		0.000
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	121.2	11.2	110.5	10.0	0.000
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	67.0	8.1	69.1	8.0	0.008
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	4.06	0.81	4.23	0.86	0.031
LDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)	2.28	0.74	2.56	0.81	0.000
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.46	0.43	1.36	0.39	0.011
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	0.69	0.28	0.69	0.28	ns
Glucose (mmol/l)	5.24	0.58	4.96	0.58	0.000
Uric acid (mmol/l)	0.33	0.08	0.24	0.70	0.000

P values are indicated in italic.

higher levels of physical activity than females. Systolic BP but not diastolic BP was markedly higher in males than in females. Males had higher LDL-cholesterol and lower HDL-cholesterol levels than females, but mean triglyceride levels were similar in both sexes. Mean levels of blood glucose and uric acid were higher in males than in females.

BMI, waist and WHtR correlated highly with each other (correlation coefficient ~0.9, p< 0.001) in both males and females. These three indices also correlated highly with body fat mass in females (0.84-0.90) but less strongly in males (~0.7). The correlation coefficients with WHtR were markedly lower (<0.5), but still highly significant (p<0.001).

The prevalence of CVRFs increased in a dose response manner across increasing categories of all obesity indices except for HDL-cholesterol (males and females) and blood glucose (males) (Table 2). Associations were weakest for WHtR. For most of the associations, the prevalence increased by an order of 50%-300% when comparing a CVRF between low (<P50) vs. high (>P90) categories of the obesity indices. The associations with the CVRFs were generally strong with BMI.

Based on continuous variables (linear regression), all obesity indices except WHtR were associated with all CVRFs, except for LDL-cholesterol in females and blood glucose in males (Table 3). The regression coefficients were high for BMI in most instances, although the associations with BMI were not markedly different than the associations with waist, WHtR (particularly in males) and body fat mass for several CVRFs. The standardized regression coefficients (range from 0 to 1) relating BMI and CVRFs were fairly high, e.g. 0.25-0.36 for BP, 0.26-0.40 for triglycerides, 0.37 for blood glucose (females), and 0.27-0.34 for uric acid. Associations were weaker for HDL-cholesterol in both sexes (e.g. 0.17-0.21 for BMI). The associations between the obesity indices and CVRFs were virtually unchanged when adjusting for smoking, alcohol intake, and physical activity (results not shown).

In ROC analysis (Table 4), the AUC ranged consistently between 60% and 70% for the probability that the indices of obesity correctly identified subjects with CVRFs, except for individuals with low HDL-cholesterol (females) and high blood glucose (males). The highest AUC was observed for triglycerides (e.g. 75% for BMI among males and consistently >70% for several other indices had elevated triglycerides). Overall, BMI performed well in predicting individuals with CVRFs.

The mean number of CVRFs (range: 0-6) and the prevalence of combinations of CVRFs according to categories of the different obesity indices is shown in Table 5. The proportion of youth with several CVRFs increased markedly along increasing categories of obesity for all obesity indices. Of note, the displayed values

correspond to the predictive positive value for the test set at the mentioned BMI levels (e.g. there is a 73.7% probability that a male with a BMI ≥P90 has ≥2 CVRFs).

We calculated that to predict the presence of ≥2CVRFs among male/female youth, a BMI cutoff set at ≥P80 had a sensitivity of 28%/37%, a specificity of 86%/87%, a positive predictive value of 62%/53% and a negative predictive value of 60%/78%. The positive predictive value of such a test to detect ≥2 CVRFs (62%/53%) was only slightly larger than the *a priori* chance to classify individuals with ≥2 CVRFs in the population, which equates to the overall prevalence of individuals in the population with ≥2 CVRFs, i.e. 44% of males and 28% of females (Table 5). The sensitivity of this test is low because the large majority of individuals with ≥2 CVRFs are found among the 80% of persons with BMI <P80.

## Discussion

We found a high prevalence of several CVRFs, including obesity, in late adolescents in the Seychelles, with marked differences between males and females. All the obesity markers studied were strongly associated with most of the CVRFs, except for WHtR. BMI performed at least as well as the other indices for the prediction of single or combined CVRFs, with a few exceptions.

The high prevalence of CVRFs, including obesity, in youths in Seychelles is consistent with findings from other surveys in children [20] and in adults [25] in Seychelles. A high prevalence of several CVRFs, particularly obesity, is increasingly well documented in adults in Africa [26]. This study contributes new information on the prevalence of CVRFs in youth, an age category where limited data are available in the region, particularly with regards to biological markers such as blood lipids and uric acid. Our finding of a high prevalence of CVRFs in youths reiterates the importance of a life course approach for prevention and control of cardiovascular disease [26].

The distribution of several CVRFs differed markedly according to sex in this study. This finding may relate to differences in body composition, e.g. higher fat mass among females and higher muscular mass among males. We found higher systolic BP and higher blood glucose in males than females, but similar levels of triglycerides. We also found lower LDL-cholesterol in males than in females which is consistent with studies of youth in other countries [27]. The sex difference in systolic BP may relate to the large height difference between males and females, since height is an important driver of BP in youth [28]. Although recent guidelines consider same cutoffs for males and females at age 18–21 [24], sex-specific cutoffs could be more appropriate at the transition between adolescence and adulthood in view of the twofold higher prevalence of elevated BP in male than

**Table 2 Prevalence of risk factors according to categories of different obesity indices**

	Males					Females				
	BMI	Waist	WHtR	WHR	Fat%	BMI	Waist	WHtR	WHR	Fat%
Blood pressure $\geq 120/80$ mmHg (M: 52.3%; F: 21.9%)*										
P <50	39.7	40.6	49.5	41.2	43.3	10.5	9.1	22.8	14.9	9.6
P 50-79	62.6	58.3	45.7	57.6	59.3	30.4	36.7	22.1	24.6	31.9
P 80-89	75.0	75.0	72.2	75.0	60.0	39.1	25.9	26.0	34.8	40.9
P 90+	63.1	68.4	68.4	68.4	68.4	36.4	34.7	13.0	36.4	34.7
	<i>0.004</i>	<i>0.007</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>0.009</i>	<i>0.080</i>	<i>0.000</i>	<i>0.000</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>0.037</i>	<i>0.000</i>
LDL-cholesterol $\geq 3.0$ mmol/l (M: 10.8%; F: 29.0%)										
P <50	3.1	5.2	6.1	6.2	9.3	23.7	27.3	28.1	25.4	23.7
P 50-79	15.5	11.7	15.2	8.5	10.2	27.5	26.4	26.4	27.5	27.5
P 80-89	15.0	20.0	11.1	25.0	15.0	56.5	33.3	34.7	43.5	45.4
P 90+	31.6	26.3	21.1	26.3	15.8	31.8	39.1	34.7	36.4	43.5
	<i>0.004</i>	<i>0.021</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>0.010</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>0.017</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>0.076</i>
HDL-cholesterol $< 1.03$ mmol/l (M: 14.4%; F: 19.3%)										
P <50	8.1	11.4	17.2	10.3	8.2	16.7	13.6	14.9	13.2	18.4
P 50-79	20.7	15.0	11.9	16.9	20.3	23.2	25.0	20.5	29.0	20.2
P 80-89	10.0	15.0	11.0	10.0	20.0	30.4	30.9	30.4	26.1	27.3
P 90+	31.6	26.3	10.5	31.6	21.0	10.0	17.3	26.1	13.6	13.0
	<i>ns</i>	<i>0.018</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>Ns</i>						
Triglycerides $\geq 1.00$ mmol/l (M: 10.3%; F: 12.7%)										
P <50	5.1	6.5	6.1	7.2	7.2	5.2	4.5	12.3	4.3	7.0
P 50-79	8.6	6.7	8.5	3.4	5.1	15.9	16.1	10.3	15.9	10.1
P 80-89	20.0	25.0	16.7	20.0	20.0	21.7	18.5	21.7	21.7	27.3
P 90+	31.6	26.3	31.6	36.8	31.6	31.8	34.8	13.0	36.4	34.8
	<i>0.002</i>	<i>0.006</i>	<i>0.006</i>	<i>0.000</i>	<i>0.003</i>	<i>0.002</i>	<i>0.000</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>0.000</i>	<i>0.000</i>
Glycemia $\geq 5.6$ mmol/l (M: 23.1%; F: 8.8%)										
P <50	24.5	26.0	23.2	24.7	21.6	5.3	5.4	7.0	6.1	3.5
P 50-79	24.1	20.0	22.0	20.3	28.8	4.3	5.8	10.3	4.3	7.2
P 80-89	10.0	15.0	27.8	30.0	25.0	17.3	14.8	13.0	13.0	27.3
P 90+	26.3	26.3	21.0	15.7	10.5	31.8	26.0	8.8	31.8	21.7
	<i>ns</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>0.000</i>	<i>0.007</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>0.000</i>	<i>0.000</i>
Uric acid $> 0.39$ (M) or $> 0.31$ (F) $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ (M: 20%; F: 20%)										
P <50	14.3	11.4	14.1	13.4	13.4	14.9	15.5	20.2	16.7	15.8
P 50-79	15.5	21.7	30.5	20.3	20.3	23.2	20.5	16.2	18.8	17.4
P 80-89	20.0	25.0	5.5	20	25.0	17.3	22.2	30.4	17.3	31.8
P 90+	52.6	42.0	21.0	42.1	36.8	40.9	39.1	21.7	45.4	39.1
	<i>0.001</i>	<i>0.012</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>0.034</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>0.04</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>ns</i>	<i>0.02</i>	<i>0.034</i>

\* The overall prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors (CVRFs) is displayed between parentheses for males (M) and females (F).

BMI: body mass index; WHtR: waist/hip ratio; WHtR: waist/height ratio; Fat%: percent body fat (bioimpedance).

P values are indicated in italic.

female young adults in our study, and this despite higher obesity in females than in males. The higher levels of glucose in males than in females, despite higher levels of obesity (a marker for increased insulin resistance) in males could be partly related to a poorer fasting state among males. It is also compatible with fasting blood glucose being a poor marker of insulin resistance [29]. Higher levels of HDL-cholesterol in males than in

females in our study is consistent with results reported in adults in Seychelles [25], but it contrasts with higher levels of HDL-cholesterol in females than in males in several other populations [30]. Serum triglycerides level was low in young adults of Seychelles which is consistent with a trend toward lower triglycerides values in blacks than in whites [31]. It is not yet clear whether uric acid is an independent CVRF, but strong evidence

**Table 3 Standardized linear regression coefficients for the association between obesity indices and cardiovascular risk factors**

	Males					Females				
	BMI	Waist	WHtR	WHR	Fat%	BMI	Waist	WHtR	WHR	Fat%
Systolic BP	0.25***	0.25***	0.09ns	0.23**	0.19**	0.30***	0.29***	0.12ns	0.23***	0.34***
Diastolic BP	0.25***	0.24***	0.10ns	0.25**	0.15*	0.36***	0.32***	0.10ns	0.29***	0.40***
LDL-cholesterol	0.24**	0.19*	0.16*	0.23**	0.17*	0.06ns	0.04ns	0.00ns	0.08ns	0.12*
HDL-cholesterol	-0.21**	-0.12ns	0.00ns	-0.15*	-0.16*	-0.17**	-0.19**	-0.17*	-0.22**	-0.14*
Triglycerides	0.40***	0.37***	0.20**	0.41***	0.29***	0.26***	0.29***	0.08ns	0.31***	0.26***
Fasting glucose	0.05ns	0.03Ns	0.06ns	0.07ns	0.06ns	0.37***	0.36***	0.21**	0.36***	0.25***
Uric acid	0.34***	0.35***	0.23**	0.37***	0.27***	0.27***	0.30***	0.15*	0.30***	0.25***

BMI: body mass index; WHtR: waist/hip ratio; WHtR: waist/height ratio; Fat%: fat percent (bioimpedance).

Each line represents a different model, separately in males and in females.

\* p<0.05; \*\* p <0.01; \*\*\* p <0.001.

supports a causal role of uric acid in the development of hypertension. This association is particularly strong in younger individuals [32]. Serum uric acid concentration is higher in males than in females in all populations, which may relate to higher muscular metabolic turnover. These substantial sex differences in the distribution of several CVRFs among late adolescents emphasize the importance of conducting epidemiological studies in different populations among all age groups.

All indices of obesity, except WHtR, were strongly associated with most of the considered CVRFs. These findings concur with studies in youth in Western countries [6,14]. Lower performance with WHtR can relate to the larger likelihood for random variation and/or bias in the measurements of both waist and hip, and perhaps particularly for hip (as we found in our data), compared to easier and more standardized measurements of height, weight and the related indices. These associations were of similar magnitude whether the obesity indices

were intended to assess central fat mass (waist, WHtR) or overall fat mass (BMI, percent fat) and whether the CVRFs were essentially vascular (BP) or metabolic (blood lipids, glycemia). Overall, BMI performed as well as the other obesity indices for the prediction of CVRFs. The findings are robust since we found similar findings whether the analyses were based on categorical variables (which do not assume a dose response relationship) or continuous variables (which assume a dose response relationship). The good performance of BMI compared to other obesity indices like waist circumference or WHtR is not surprising in view of the high correlation between the indices we studied. Bouchard noted that all simple obesity indices (e.g. BMI, fat mass, and waist) provide essentially similar information because they correlate equally well both with each other ( $r > 0.90$ ) and with CT-scan measured abdominal visceral fat, but less well (~0.7-0.8). Most of the variance of obesity-related anthropometrics is captured by BMI, and all these

**Table 4 AUC values for obesity indices for the prediction of elevated cardiovascular risk factors**

	Males					Females					Indices with highest AUC	
	BMI	Waist	WHtR	WHR	Fat%	BMI	Waist	WHtR	WHR	Fat%	Males	Females
BP ≥120/90	66.1	67.2	58.1	64.7	61.3	69.8	69.4	53.8	66.1	69.7	W, BMI, WHtR	BMI, F%, W
	58-73	60-75	50-66	57-72	53-69	62-77	62-77	46-62	58-74	62-77		
LDL-cholesterol ≥3.0	72.5	70.9	67.1	68.5	62.9	58.9	56.1	52.9	56.9	58.6	BMI, W	BMI, F%
	61-84	60-82	55-79	56-81	50-75	51-67	48-64	44-61	49-65	50-67		
HDL-cholesterol <1.0	62.6	54.9	48.1	58.7	62.5	55.5	56.9	56.7	59.5	50.3	BMI, F%	WHR, WHtR
	51-73	43-61	41-58	45-63	52-73	47-63	48-64	50-67	51-68	43-59		
Triglycerides ≥1.0	74.6	70.1	72.0	69.7	67.8	71.1	73.2	57.4	73.2	70.2	BMI, WHtR, W	WHR, W, BMI
	64-86	57-83	60-83	55-84	54-82	60-82	64-83	47-67	63-83	59-81		
Glycemia ≥ 5.6	48.9	45.8	50.9	50.9	50.0	72.5	71.2	58.6	69.8	74.3	-	F%, BMI, W
	39-59	36-55	42-60	41-60	41-59	61-85	58-84	46-72	57-83	63-85		
Uric acid ≥P80	63.9	65.7	57.3	64.8	63.0	58.6	60.1	53.8	61.5	59.9	W, WHtR, BMI	WHR, W, F%
	53-74	56-76	48-67	55-74	52-73	48-68	50-70	44-63	52-71	50-70		

AUC: area under the receiver operator characteristics (ROC) curve.

BMI: body mass index; WHtR: waist/ratio; WHtR: waist/height ratio; Fat%: fat percent.

**Table 5 Mean score of cardiovascular risk factors (CVRF) and proportions with  $\geq 2$  CVRFs and  $\geq 3$  CVRFs according to categories of obesity indices**

	Males					Females				
	BMI	Waist	WHtR	WHR	Fat%	BMI	Waist	WHtR	WHR	Fat%
<b>Mean score</b>										
P <50	1.13	1.15	1.31	1.40	1.19	0.67	0.64	0.91	0.68	0.68
P 50-79	1.59	1.53	1.46	1.49	1.68	1.06	1.14	0.96	1.07	1.01
P 80-89	1.75	1.95	1.78	2.10	1.70	1.73	1.33	1.26	1.43	1.73
P 90+	2.47	2.26	1.95	2.32	1.95	1.50	1.60	1.00	1.68	1.61
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000
<b>Score <math>\geq</math> 2CVRFs (M: 44.1%, F: 28.1%)</b>										
P <50	35.7	35.4	39.4	34.0	36.1	15.8	15.4	27.2	16.7	1.7
P 50-79	46.5	46.7	45.8	45.7	54.2	31.9	36.8	30.9	31.9	31.9
P 80-89	50.0	55.0	55.6	65.0	45.0	60.9	44.4	34.7	52.2	54.5
P 90+	73.7	68.4	52.6	68.4	52.6	45.5	43.5	17.4	50.0	47.8
	0.019	0.035	ns	0.007	ns	0.000	0.001	ns	0.000	0.000
<b>Score <math>\geq</math> 3CVRFs (M: 14.9%, F: 7.0%)</b>										
P <50	6.1	8.3	11.1	7.2	6.1	0.0	0.0	7.9	0.0	0.0
P 50-79	19.0	13.3	13.5	11.9	22.0	8.9	8.8	4.4	8.7	8.7
P 80-89	20.0	30.0	27.8	45.0	25.0	17.3	14.8	8.7	13.0	18.0
P 90+	42.1	36.8	26.3	31.6	26.3	27.3	26.1	8.7	31.8	26.0
	0.000	0.003	ns	0.000	0.008	0.000	0.000	ns	0.000	0.001

Score ranges between 0–6 according to the presence of 6 CVRFs: BP  $\geq$ 120/80 mmHg; LDL-cholesterol  $\geq$ 3 mmol/l; HDL  $<$ 1.03 mmol/l; triglycerides  $\geq$ 1.0 mmol/l; glucose  $\geq$ 5.6 mmol/l; uric acid  $>$  sex-specific percentile 80.

P values are indicated in italic.

indices are similarly strong surrogates of fat mass [33]. Furthermore, total fat mass and muscular mass (perhaps better captured by BMI) can be just as important as visceral fat mass (perhaps better captured by waist circumference) when predicting the presence of concomitant CVRFs. Of note, sex differences in the ability of the obesity indices to predict some CVRFs emphasize the need to analyze associations between obesity indices and CVRFs, and their related discriminating power to predict CVRFs, by sex in this young age range.

Despite fairly strong associations, the obesity indices had limited ability to predict CVRFs. For example, a male youth had a 74% chance to have  $\geq 2$  CVRFs if he was overweight (BMI  $>$ P90) but as much as 36% if he was lean (BMI  $<$ P50) (Table 5). This translated into a poor sensitivity: a test using BMI above percentile 80 would miss most individuals with  $\geq 2$  CVRFs in the population. These findings are consistent with the fact that any measured characteristic must be very tightly associated with an outcome to be a good screening tool [34]. The US Preventive Services Task Force has recommended that children and adolescents are screened for obesity using BMI. This follows from the strong association of BMI with body fat and the availability of effective interventions for weight control at the individual level in youth [35]. The potential use of obesity indices to screen for the presence of CVRFs in youths is,

however, a distinct issue. Because they are simple, reliable and inexpensive metrics, one should evaluate whether obesity indices, and particularly BMI, could be used as filters in stepwise strategies for the identification of CVRFs [36]. This might be particularly helpful in low resources settings.

Strengths of the study include the population-based design, the fairly large sample size considering its reliance on blood samples in healthy young individuals, and the availability of a broad panel of CVRFs. The study also has some limitations. We cannot be sure that participants were fasting, which may weaken associations with biological markers. We took only one measurement of the anthropometric indices, and we did not assess differences in measurements between the observers during the study. However, all our observers had long experience with epidemiological research. Furthermore, the goal of the study was to examine the performance of adiposity indices to predict the presence of concomitant CVRFs: it is likely that these conditions (one single measurement for the adiposity indices and good but perhaps not optimal skills of the observers for these measurements) correspond to a situation commonly found in clinical practice. The sample size was not adequate for precise analysis among extreme categories of overweight [6]. Of note, the cross-sectional design is not a limitation when predicting CVRFs from current indices of obesity.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, we found an increased prevalence of obesity and CVRFs in late adolescence in the Seychelles. We also found that BMI performed generally at least as well as the other obesity indices to predict the presence of single or combined CVRFs at this young age in this population. These findings highlight the broad metabolic derangements of obesity in youth and the subsequent need for appropriate weight control interventions at the individual and population levels. Further epidemiological studies should evaluate whether obesity indices could be combined with other markers to provide efficient screening tests of cardiovascular risk in youths and young adults.

## Abbreviations

CVRF: Cardiovascular Risk Factor; BMI: Body Mass Index; WHtR: Waist/Hip Ratio; WHtH: Waist/Height Ratio; BP: Blood Pressure; LDL-cholesterol: Low Density Lipoprotein-Cholesterol; HDL-cholesterol: High Density Lipoprotein-Cholesterol; ROC: Roc Operating Characteristics; AUC: Area Under the Curve.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Authors' contributions

PB participated in the study design, study analysis and drafting of the manuscript. TA participated in the study analysis and drafting of the manuscript. BV participated in the conduct of the study and revision of the manuscript. GM participated in the study design, study funding and revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank all participants to the survey and the study officers, and the Ministry of Health, Republic of Seychelles, for continued support to epidemiological research. The study benefited from a NIH grant (2-R01ES08442-05).

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine (IUMSP), Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland. <sup>2</sup>Section of Noncommunicable Diseases, Ministry of Health, Victoria, Republic of Seychelles. <sup>3</sup>Department of Child Neurology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY, USA.

Received: 19 May 2012 Accepted: 4 November 2012

Published: 7 November 2012

## References

1. Han JC, Lawlor DA, Kimm SY: Childhood obesity. *Lancet* 2010, **375**(9727):1737–1748. Epub 2010/05/11.
2. Tirosh A, Shai I, Afek A, Dubnov-Raz G, Ayalon N, Gordon B, et al: Adolescent BMI trajectory and risk of diabetes versus coronary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2011, **364**(14):1315–1325. Epub 2011/04/08.
3. Reilly JJ, Kelly J: Long-term impact of overweight and obesity in childhood and adolescence on morbidity and premature mortality in adulthood: systematic review. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2011, **35**(7):891–898.
4. Juonala M, Magnussen CG, Berenson GS, Venn A, Burns TL, Sabin MA, et al: Childhood adiposity, adult adiposity, and cardiovascular risk factors. *N Engl J Med* 2011, **365**(20):1876–1885. Epub 2011/11/18.
5. Reilly JJ, Methven E, McDowell ZC, Hacking B, Alexander D, Stewart L, et al: Health consequences of obesity. *Arch Dis Child* 2003, **88**(9):748–752.
6. Freedman DS, Dietz WH, Srinivasan SR, Berenson GS: The relation of overweight to cardiovascular risk factors among children and adolescents: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Pediatrics* 1999, **103**(6 Pt 1):1175–1182. Epub 1999/06/03.
7. Knowles KM, Paiva LL, Sanchez SE, Revilla L, Lopez T, Yasuda MB, et al: Waist Circumference, Body Mass Index, and Other Measures of Adiposity in Predicting Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors among Peruvian Adults. *Int J Hypertens* 2011, **2011**:931402. Epub 2011/02/19.
8. Dobbeltstein CJ, Joffres MR, MacLean DR, Flowerdew G: A comparative evaluation of waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio and body mass index as indicators of cardiovascular risk factors. The Canadian Heart Health Surveys. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2001, **25**(5):652–661. Epub 2001/05/22.
9. Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, Bautista L, Franzosi MG, Commerford P, et al: Obesity and the risk of myocardial infarction in 27,000 participants from 52 countries: a case-control study. *Lancet* 2005, **366**(9497):1640–1649. Epub 2005/11/08.
10. Ashwell M, Gunn P, Gibson S: Waist-to-height ratio is a better screening tool than waist circumference and BMI for adult cardiometabolic risk factors: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev* 2011, Epub 2011/11/24.
11. Lee CM, Huxley RR, Wildman RP, Woodward M: Indices of abdominal obesity are better discriminators of cardiovascular risk factors than BMI: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2008, **61**(7):646–653. Epub 2008/03/25.
12. Gomez-Ambrosi J, Silva C, Galofre JC, Escalada J, Santos S, Millan D, et al: Body mass index classification misses subjects with increased cardiometabolic risk factors related to elevated adiposity. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2011, Epub 2011/05/19.
13. Lindsay RS, Hanson RL, Roumain J, Ravussin E, Knowler WC, Tataranni PA: Body mass index as a measure of adiposity in children and adolescents: relationship to adiposity by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry and to cardiovascular risk factors. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2001, **86**(9):4061–4067. Epub 2001/09/11.
14. Reilly JJ, Kelly J, Wilson DC: Accuracy of simple clinical and epidemiological definitions of childhood obesity: systematic review and evidence appraisal. *Obes Rev* 2010, **11**(9):645–655. Epub 2010/01/12.
15. Lawlor DA, Benfield L, Logue J, Tilling K, Howe LD, Fraser A, et al: Association between general and central adiposity in childhood, and change in these, with cardiovascular risk factors in adolescence: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2010, **341**:c6224. Epub 2010/11/27.
16. Nyamdorj R, Pitkaniemi J, Tuomilehto J, Hammar N, Stehouwer CD, Lam TH, et al: Ethnic comparison of the association of undiagnosed diabetes with obesity. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2010, **34**(2):332–339. Epub 2009/11/04.
17. Fezeu L, Balkau B, Sobngwi E, Kengne AP, Vol S, Ducimetiere P, et al: Waist circumference and obesity-related abnormalities in French and Cameroonian adults: the role of urbanization and ethnicity. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2010, **34**(3):446–453. Epub 2010/01/13.
18. Nyamdorj R, Qiao Q, Soderberg S, Pitkaniemi J, Zimmet P, Shaw J, et al: Comparison of body mass index with waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, and waist-to-stature ratio as a predictor of hypertension incidence in Mauritius. *J Hypertens* 2008, **26**(5):866–870. Epub 2008/04/10.
19. Wai WS, Dhami RS, Gelaye B, Girma B, Lemma S, Berhane Y, et al: Comparison of Measures of Adiposity in Identifying Cardiovascular Disease Risk Among Ethiopian Adults. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2011, Epub 2011/05/07.
20. Chiolero A, Paradis G, Madeleine G, Hanley JA, Paccaud F, Bovet P: Discordant secular trends in elevated blood pressure and obesity in children and adolescents in a rapidly developing country. *Circulation* 2009, **119**(4):558–565. Epub 2009/01/21.
21. Myers GJ, Davidson PW, Cox C, Shamlaye CF, Tanner MA, Marsh DO, et al: Summary of the Seychelles child development study on the relationship of fetal methylmercury exposure to neurodevelopment. *Neurotoxicology* 1995, **16**(4):711–716. Epub 1995/01/01.
22. Guthold R, Louzana SA, Riley LM, Cowan MJ, Bovet P, Damasceno A, et al: Physical activity in 22 African countries: results from the World Health Organization STEPwise approach to chronic disease risk factor surveillance. *Am J Prev Med* 2011, **41**(1):52–60. Epub 2011/06/15.
23. Zou KH, O'Malley AJ, Mauri L: Receiver-operating characteristic analysis for evaluating diagnostic tests and predictive models. *Circulation* 2007, **115**(5):654–657. Epub 2007/02/07.
24. Expert panel on integrated guidelines for cardiovascular health and risk reduction in children and adolescents: summary report. *Pediatrics* 2011, **128**Suppl 5:S213–S256. Epub 2011/11/16.
25. Bovet P, Romain S, Shamlaye C, Mendis S, Darioli R, Riesen W, et al: Divergent fifteen-year trends in traditional and cardiometabolic risk factors of cardiovascular diseases in the Seychelles. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 2009, **8**:34. Epub 2009/06/30.

26. WHO: *Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2011*. Geneva: WHO; 2011.
27. Magnussen CG, Koskeni J, Chen W, Thomson R, Schmidt MD, Srinivasan SR, et al: Pediatric metabolic syndrome predicts adulthood metabolic syndrome, subclinical atherosclerosis, and type 2 diabetes mellitus but is no better than body mass index alone: the Bogalusa Heart Study and the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. *Circulation* 2010, 122(16):1604–1611. Epub 2010/10/06.
28. The fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2004, 114(2 Suppl 4th Report):555–576. Epub 2004/08/03.
29. Libman IM, Barinas-Mitchell E, Bartucci A, Chaves-Gnecco D, Robertson R, Arslanian S: Fasting and 2-hour plasma glucose and insulin: relationship with risk factors for cardiovascular disease in overweight nondiabetic children. *Diabetes Care* 2010, 33(12):2674–2676. Epub 2010/12/01.
30. Howe LD, Tilling K, Benfield L, Logue J, Sattar N, Ness AR, et al: Changes in ponderal index and body mass index across childhood and their associations with fat mass and cardiovascular risk factors at age 15. *PLoS One* 2010, 5(12):e15186. Epub 2010/12/21.
31. Lin SX, Carnethon M, Szklo M, Bertoni A: Racial/ethnic differences in the association of triglycerides with other metabolic syndrome components: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord* 2011, 9(1):35–40. Epub 2010/10/21.
32. Grayson PC, Kim SY, LaValley M, Choi HK: Hyperuricemia and incident hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arthritis care & research* 2011, 63(1):102–110. Epub 2010/09/09.
33. Bouchard C: BMI, fat mass, abdominal adiposity and visceral fat: where is the 'beef'? *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2007, 31(10):1552–1553. Epub 2007/06/06.
34. Wald NJ, Hackshaw AK, Frost CD: When can a risk factor be used as a worthwhile screening test? *BMJ* 1999, 319(7224):1562–1565. Epub 1999/12/11.
35. Barton M: Screening for obesity in children and adolescents: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Pediatrics* 2010, 125(2):361–367. Epub 2010/01/20.
36. Williams CL, Hayman LL, Daniels SR, Robinson TN, Steinberger J, Paridon S, et al: Cardiovascular health in childhood: A statement for health professionals from the Committee on Atherosclerosis, Hypertension, and Obesity in the Young (AHOY) of the Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2002, 106(1):143–160. Epub 2002/07/03.

doi:10.1186/1471-2431-12-176

**Cite this article as:** Bovet et al.: Association between obesity indices and cardiovascular risk factors in late adolescence in the Seychelles. *BMC Pediatrics* 2012 12:176.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at  
[www.biomedcentral.com/submit](http://www.biomedcentral.com/submit)

