



Article

- 3 Revisiting the issues of access to higher education and
- 4 social stratification through the case of refugees: A
- 5 comparative study of refugee students' pathways in
- 6 Germany and England
- 7 Marie-Agnès Détourbe 1*, and Gaële Goastellec 2
 - ¹ INSA Toulouse, LACES 7437; detourbe@insa-toulouse.fr
 - ² University of Lausanne, LACCUS, OSPS, LIVES; gaele.goastellec@unil.ch
- 10 * Correspondence: detourbe@insa-toulouse.fr
- 11 Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

Abstract: This papers presents new critical insights into the relationship between inequality in access to higher education and social stratification through the analytical lens of refugees' access to high participation systems of higher education (HPS). Taking stock of the growing numbers of refugees and their increasing – yet still marginal – demand for accessing higher education, the paper analyses the specific statuses and rights they are granted, and how they combine to define different spaces of opportunity for refugees with higher education aspirations in two European Higher Education Area HPS, England and Germany. The pathways of refugees into these two HPS is depicted through an original scientific fiction approach: the comparative analysis draws on the desk-based study of immigration and access to higher education policies and mechanisms for refugees in the two countries. It highlights how complex combinations between asylum, welfare and access to higher education policies lead to differential rights which function as levers of opportunity, or obstacles, for refugees with higher education aspirations. More generally, analyzing how these rights intersect allows for a better understanding of inequalities in access to higher education.

Keywords: access to higher education; asylum; higher education; high participation systems; scientific fiction; social inequality; social stratification; refugee

1. Introduction

The complex links between social stratification, inequality in access to higher education (henceforth HE) and vertical and/or horizontal stratification in HE have been explored by social scientists from different theoretical and methodological perspectives: they shed light on the various facets and dynamics at play in high participation systems (Marginson 2016) of higher education (henceforth HPS) across the world. This paper aims at presenting new critical insights into the relationship between inequality in access to higher education and social stratification through the analytical lens of refugees' access to higher education. Taking stock of the growing numbers of refugees and their increasing – yet still marginal – demand for accessing higher education, the paper analyses the complex dynamics at play between the specific rights and statuses they are granted and the way access to higher education is built as a (social) policy in two HPS with different asylum policies, and internationalization and widening participation rationales, namely Germany and England.

2. Setting the research context

2.1 Inequalities in access to higher education and stratification: a multidimensional research object

In HPS, successive widening participation policies and initiatives, along with increased social demand, have led to an increasingly differentiated student body. Whatever the rationales behind the massification of HE since World War 2, from labour-market oriented logics to equity of opportunity philosophies, the massive rise in student numbers has led to further competition and stratification: students' socio-economic background, and to a lesser extent, gender, race and ethnicity, continue to be major drivers of inequality in access to HE, from admission to inclusion in the job market (e.g. Baum et al. 2010, Boliver 2016, Clancy 2010, Espenshade et al. 2004, Koucky et al. 2007, Vallet 2010). Further work has also shown that even if massification first leads to a decrease in the overall level of social inequalities in access, once the share of one age group accessing HE has stabilised at a higher level, inequalities start increasing again (e.g. Goastellec and Välimaa 2016). Additionally, massification has generated a process of diversion within the HE sector, as inequalities have become more qualitative (e.g. Lucas 2001, Marginson 2016, Shavit et al. 2007).

In trying to capture social stratification, studies in access to HE have mainly considered two dimensions. First, social stratification has been characterized, depending on the society, through variables such as parental education, income and profession – translated into various socio-economic classifications - but also ethnic, linguistic or geographical stratification (e.g. the POLAR categorization based on zones of residence in the UK) resulting in different indicators and/or indices combining some or all of these variables (e.g. Triventi 2013). Second, the internal stratification of the HE system has been analysed, sometimes as part of the very same studies: research work has highlighted the importance of HE geography on access (e.g. Frenette 2006, Metcalfe 2009, Singleton 2010, Voznesenskaya et al. 2004), as well as an increased differentiation and stratification of higher education institutions both horizontally and vertically (e.g. Altbach et al. 2017, Charles and Bradley 2002, Duru-Bellat et al. 2008). The stratification of secondary education systems has also been shown to lead to inequalities in HE (e.g. Clancy 2010, Goastellec and Välimaa 2016, Kerckhoff 2001). Additionally, variables such as programme-length (e.g. ISCED 5A, 5B, 6, 7 and 8), selectivity, intake quality (e.g. Alon and Tienda 2007, Burke and McManus 2011, Goastellec 2004) or quality of occupational outcomes have been analysed to characterize such differentiation and stratification, as well as a combination of these through various indicators or indices such as the "accessibility indicator" (Usher and Cervenan 2005, Usher and Medow 2010).

The links between social inequality and vertical and/or horizontal stratification in access to HE have thus been explored from different perspectives: depending on the scale of the studies (e.g. local, national, cross-national) and the chosen object (single or multiple course.s at the same institution; full higher provision at a single institution or similar institutions nationally; international comparisons; etc.), research findings show that beyond increased participation, HPS tend to lead to more differentiation and stratification in that the attained level and type of tertiary education, as well as the quality of occupational outcomes, are related to students' social origin.

Whether they are based on national or cross-national perspectives, many studies mainly bear on domestic student populations (Boliver 2018, p. 159) as the issue of access to HE is embedded in the long-term educational and occupational paths of the populations under study. In keeping with the focus of social inequality, they tend to look at either ends of the social spectrum in a given society – under-represented or disadvantaged groups on the one hand, social and/or cultural elites on the other (e.g. Boliver 2016; Espenshade and Radford 2009) – in an attempt to unveil and highlight drivers of inequality or, on the contrary, levers of social mobility. Other studies focus on immigrants without considering refugees as a specific category of population endowed with customized administrative statuses and rights in many fields, including HE.

2.2 Refugees' access to higher education: new insights

In an attempt to analyze the relationship between social inequality in access to HE from a different angle, and at a time when migration dynamics increasingly intersect with access to HE issues (e.g. Détourbe 2018a, Goastellec 2018), this paper looks into the specific case of refugees, this

generic category being used in this paper as encompassing the different types of statuses granted to asylum seekers.

First, refugees represent a marginal proportion of the HE student population worldwide (1% of the world's 22.5 million refugees access HE), but a growing one in some European HPS where demand is rising steadily. The increasing number of young people both seeking asylum and aspiring to take up or pursue HE has led some countries to open access to HE more widely to this specific category of international (non-domestic) students. The rationales for welcoming refugees into HE vary and often combine into complex philosophies: it is sometimes presented as a key dimension of humanitarian help, following for instance George Sampaïo's (ECRE 2016) claim that "higher education can maintain the hopes, help shelter and protect young men and women during crisis situations," and that "[e]ducated future leaders are necessary and we must prevent the creation of lost generations of academic graduates during wartime;" it can also follow more economic logics in ageing countries with a shortage of young, skilled labour; in other cases, it can be dismissed as a threat to tightly controlled immigration numbers or run against elitist HE logics (Goastellec 2018).

Second, refugees are an interesting, albeit small, set of student population to look at in order to revisit the concept of social stratification and its relationship to inequality in access to HE in that:

- they stand at the margin of the abundantly studied 'domestic population,' with secondary or HE
 tracks in their home countries and HE aspirations in their host country yet are considered as
 part of the under-represented or disadvantaged groups targeted by access to HE policies in
 several countries; therefore, they hold a very original status which combines 'domestic' and
 'international' student rights, depending on the HPS;
- their migration is usually unchosen, so unplanned, therefore their very displacement undermines typical social reproduction patterns;
- they depend heavily upon social policies after their arrival in the host country, so beyond their social status as refugees, their probability to access HE is conditioned by how these policies intersect with HE policies, a dimension which has attracted little research attention so far.

By identifying some of the complex dynamics at play between the specific rights and statuses granted to refugees and the way access to HE is built as a (social) policy in two countries, the paper purports to answer the following research question:

To what extent can the issue of refugees' access to higher education in HPS illuminate conceptually the broader issue of social stratification, and its relationship with access to higher education?

Our article hypothesizes that beyond an individual's categorization into a certain socioeconomic stratum and their relative position within a specific social group, their probability to access HE lies at the crossroads between different policy domains, namely asylum, social welfare, and HE policies: it is conditioned by the type of administrative status they are offered and the associated rights they are granted. The comparative analysis of two contrasted HPS fits our purpose particularly well in that it illustrates the extent to which, in a given society, social stratification draws on the definition of social goods – of which HE may or may not be part – and how these social goods can be accessed. In so far as refugees can be said to stand outside their host country's social structures, the way their demand for accessing HE is met institutionally sheds light on the way access to HE rules are defined and structured in different settings, and the extent to which such access is considered as a universal right and functions as a lever of social mobility.

3. Materials and Methods

Elaborating on the case studies sometimes used in medicine or law whereby cases are designed for diagnosis or analysis purposes by setting apart specific variables or dimensions, we have designed what we dub 'scientific fiction' cases – i.e. fiction drawing on scientific enquiry for scientific purposes – as an original way of synthesizing and putting into perspective the results of our comparative research work.

In order to highlight the dynamics at work when refugees try to access specific HE systems, the 'scientific fiction' approach consists in depicting one fictitious character's pathway into two different HPS. The fictitious character's profile represents an aggregate of statistically significant dimensions

among the refugee population who was likely to seek access to HE in a European country between 2015 and 2017. Accordingly, because at that time, people seeking asylum in Europe mainly originated from Syria (UNHCR 2016) and were mostly young men (PEW 2016, Migration Observatory 2017, BAMF 2018), we chose to depict the fictitious HE pathway of a 19-year-old male student, named Shahm.

Embodying chosen variables in a single character is a way of acknowledging both collective characteristics and the necessarily individual experience of access to HE experience for refugees (Universities UK 2016a, p. 11). However, other variables which have been found to impact refugees' access (e.g. effect of local social networks, family economic resources, cultural capital, HE qualifications, educational system of origin, etc.) have been deliberately neutralized and are not specified because our main focus is on the different political and institutional responses refugees get when trying to access HE in the two chosen countries. The parallel description of the character's pathways into the English and the German asylum and HE systems draws on the contextualization of similar elements regarding asylum statuses and rights and how they combine with access to HE mechanisms. To enhance the comparative perspective further, the scientific fiction narrative is embedded into global pictures of the English and the German HPS. A table (Table 1) then synthetizes the specific combination of "citizenship" and associated access to HE statuses and rights offered to refugees in the two countries.

The material we used consists, on the one hand, of asylum policies and the related administrative procedures in Germany and England and, on the other hand, of primary documents framing and describing recent programmes and initiatives aimed at opening access more widely to refugees across the European Higher Education Area, e.g. European guidelines, policy briefs and political discourses on access to HE for refugees as well as descriptions of local mechanisms at higher education institutions through institutional websites, more specifically in Germany and England (e.g. BAMF 2016, 2018; Migration Observatory 2017; Refugee Council 2013, 2014, 2015, 2018; TestAS 2016; UK Council for International Student Affairs 2015; Universities UK 2016b). We also drew on the (fairly limited) refugee access to HE literature (e.g. Détourbe 2018a, ESU 2017, Goastellec 2017, 2018; Morrice 2009, 2013; Morris-Lange and Brands 2016, Stevenson and Willot 2008), as well as different non-academic sources such as NGOs, associations or the media (e.g. Refugee Support network 2012, University World News 2018) to understand in depth the experience of immigrants who had to leave conflict areas, settle in a new country, and nurture strong aspirations for the future through HE.

4. Results: scientific fiction cases in the German and the English HPS

Germany and England: two different HPS with contrasted immigration and asylum policies

Following Marginson (2016, p. 263), we consider that HPS are structured and shaped nationally: "Though the global dimension of higher education is increasingly important [...] national aspects remain primary." From a comparative perspective, England and Germany offer interesting contrasted assemblages of asylum, welfare and access to HE policies and mechanisms for refugees.

England

In early 21st century England, immigration policies aimed at slowing down the steady growth in immigration numbers: between 2005 and 2017, the "international migrant stock" increased from 5,926,200 to 8,543,100 (UN Data 2018a), which led to significant public discontent. In 2010, Prime Minister David Cameron declared that he wished to reduce net immigration "to the tens of thousands," including asylum seekers, and the subsequent governments followed suit (Détourbe 2018b). This policy led to a significant drop in the number of "refugees and others of concern to UNHCR:" they were almost divided by two between 2005 and 2017, from 316,600 to 151,700 (ibid.). By refusing to leave international students out of the immigration net, the successive Conservative governments' immigration policies made it more difficult, and less desirable, for foreigners to come and study in the UK (Détourbe 2018b, p. 48; Morgan 2017). Still, the country remains the second most sought HE destination in the international market with a high overall share of international students:

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

14% of undergraduate students, 38% of postgraduate students and 43% of doctoral students came from outside the UK in 2014-2015 (OECD 2017a). As international student fees are uncapped, they represent a growing share of higher education institutions' budgets: in 2014-2015, they amounted to £4.2 billion across the UK (ibid.). In parallel, England has striven to widen access internally to underrepresented groups in the domestic population through various fair access and widening participation policies and initiatives led by the Office for Fair Access (OFFA) and the Higher Education Funding Council for England's (HEFCE) which were merged into the Student Office in April 2018: the policies did improve access in that "in 2017, 20.4% of 18-year-old English domiciled young people from low participation neighbourhoods entered higher education, compared with to 11.2% in 2006," (Universities UK 2016b) and "UK domiciled black and minority ethnic (BME) students were 29% of all entrants to full-time first degrees in 2015-2016, despite these groups making up just 18% of the 15-year-old population in the 2011 census in England" (HEFCE 2018). The English HE system is also characterized by a high level of both vertical and horizontal stratification, with low, middle and high tariff institutions depending on entry requirements, prestige, and social advantage on the labour market (FutureTrack 2012). Even if the institutions are legally autonomous, home student numbers per institution and the level of tuition fees is managed centrally by the HEFCE. The cap on tuition fees gradually increased in the mid-2000s to make up for the cuts in public funding: in 2017-2018, they reached 9,250£ on average for undergraduate home and European Union students and they now represent 46% of the total higher education institution income; yet 92% of tertiary students receive support from public loans, scholarships or grants (HEFCE 2018). With its slightly declining, but overall stable Gross Tertiary Enrolment Ratio of around 59% (UN data 2018a), the British HPS is therefore characterized by an internally (widening participation) and externally (internationalization) diversifying student body.

Germany

In Germany, the immigration policies devised by Angela Merkel's governments were characterized by a widening of immigration: the country's "international migrant stock" increased steadily from 2005 to 2017, from 10,299,200 to 12,005,700 immigrants. It was paralleled by a similar growth in the number of "refugees and others of concern to UNHCR" - from 784,000 in 2005 to 1,052,00 in 2017 (UN Data 2018b) – thereby reflecting Germany's political will to provide an answer to the migrant crisis. Moreover, highly skilled migrants represent an opportunity in that they can "help offset the decline of Germany's aging population" (Morris-Lange and Brands 2016, p. 11) and offer a solution to the "talent mismatch" that goes hand in hand with labour shortages (Thomson 2014). The fact that "the majority of asylum seekers are under 25 and [...] have attended or had planned to attend university before they had to flee their home country" (Morris-Lange and Brands 2016, p. 11) adds to the already fairly positive context for widening access to refugees. The German HE system is characterized by a high proportion (49%) of 25-34 year-olds with upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary vocational qualifications, whose employment rate is just as high as those with tertiary education (OECD 2017b). However, the number of students in German tertiary education increased by 23% between 2010 and 2014, which represents one of the sharpest growths in the OECD countries (ibid.). In parallel, Germany's international HE market share was stable over the 2010s: international students represent 12% of all first-time entrants into tertiary education and Germany has accordingly equipped itself with various national and regional mechanisms for recognizing foreign students' prior qualifications and non-degree bridging programmes for taking these students to the required level for degree study programmes. Such a "proactive public policy" approach (Jungblut 2017) has undoubtedly made it easier for the Länder and the universities to design fast and efficient answers to refugees' demand for HE. Overall, the German HE system appears as a fairly homogeneous, predominantly publicly-funded HPS based on a combination of Bundesländer and central federal responsibility which has developed following both human capital and solidarity rationales: with a steadily increasing Gross Tertiary Enrolment Ratio (from over 60% in 2013 to over 66% in 2015, UN data 2018b), it seems to have "found its own HPS pathway"

(Marginson 2016, p. 263) recently and the massive response of German higher education institutions to widening access to refugees represents a key dimension of the global picture.

Overall, the differences between the German and the English HPS in terms of development dynamics, but also "institutional mission, classification and financing" characteristics (Marginson 2016, p. 264) and the way they are combined with national asylum policies account for different refugee pathways into HE.

The following scientific fiction depicts the pathways of 19-year-old Shahm into the English and the German HPS. After he passed the *Bakaloriat*, Shahm gained access to the Higher Institute of Business Administration in Damascus, one of the technical institutes (*Mahad Mutawast* or *Mahad Taqani*) offering two-year certificates in Syria. He completed the first year and was hoping to carry on with a Bachelor's Degree course (*Ejaza Jameia*) after the second year, considering that it was his best chance to get a qualified job on the highly disrupted Syrian labour market. However, he and his family had to leave Syria after he took part in a demonstration for political change and was threatened to be arrested.

A Syrian refugee's pathway into English higher education

Shahm and his family were welcomed in London as part of the "initial accommodation" procedure for asylum seekers i.e. people who "lodge an application for protection on the basis of the Refugee Convention or Article 3 of the European Convention on Human Rights" (Refugee Council 2018). They were guided through the "induction" part i.e. the very first stages of the asylum process by officers from a charity, the Refugee Council. Shahm soon realized that getting Refugee Status in the UK was particularly tough because the government wished to "remove the perception that the UK is a 'soft touch' for asylum seekers," and made it harder for immigrants who wish to enter the UK for "undesirable purposes" (Law Library of Congress 2016). Refugee Council advisers informed him that less than one third of asylum applications currently led to Refugee Status or Humanitarian Protection in the UK, and rights of appeal for asylum seekers had been significantly reduced after the 2014 Immigration Act. As Shahm and his family arrived in 2018, they benefited from a March 2017 Home Office decision to grant Refugee Status and five years' Limited Leave to Remain to Syrians coming under the Syrian Vulnerable Person Resettlement Scheme. As he later found out, this particular status gave him and his family different rights from other asylum-related statuses in the UK like Humanitarian Protection – "a form of immigration status granted by the Home Office to a person who it decides has a need for protection but who does not meet the criteria for refugee status" $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{-}}$ or Discretionary Leave to Remain $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{-}}$ another immigration status granted "to a person who the Home Office has decided does not qualify for refugee status or humanitarian protection but where there are other strong reasons why the person needs to stay in the UK temporarily" (Refugee Council 2018). Previously, the Syrians who settled under the Scheme were granted Humanitarian Protection.

Despite the fairly hostile messages sent by the government through the tough asylum process, Shahm discovered that many local associations and NGOs held their hands out to refugees, especially in the city of Bradford where he and his family were sent after as part of the "dispersal" procedure. Bradford is part of the national City of Sanctuary network which fosters "a culture of welcome and hospitality" to newcomers.¹ Shahm and his family were provided with "furnished accommodation including utilities, a weekly cash allowance to cover essential living needs, and free access to healthcare" (Law Library of Congress 2016) as part of the basic support first granted to asylum seekers. They were rapidly put in touch with the local Citizens Advice officers who advised them to claim an "integration loan" to begin with, then apply for mainstream welfare benefits (UK Council for International Student Affairs 2015; Refugee Council 2015, p. 5).

Once Shahm and his family were settled and felt safe, he enquired about the possibility of resuming higher education as he felt it would help him "make sense of [his] new life" by bringing "structured activity and purpose" to it (Kohli and Mather 2003, in Refugee Support Network 2012, p. 8). He went to the University of Bradford's Student Union where he learnt that asylum seekers, just

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

like immigrants with the Discretionary Leave to Remain status, were classified as international students for fee purposes and were not entitled to student support (Refugee Council 2013): only "young people with Refugee Status, and those with Indefinite Leave to Remain (ILR) or Humanitarian Protection (HP) status who have been ordinarily resident in the UK for three years are entitled to home fees" (Refugee Support Network 2012, p. 10). In parallel, he found out that if he studied full-time, he would no longer be entitled to some welfare benefits. Consequently, he decided to sign up for a 15-hour ESOL (English for Speakers of Other languages) course: not only did this entitle him, as an asylum seeker, to standard welfare benefits, housing benefit and council tax for up to nine months (UK Centre for International Student Affairs 2015), but it also helped him prepare for the IELTS exam (International English Language Testing System) which most higher education institutions in England require as an official certification for language skills.

Enrolling at university was no easy task though, even if refugees were one of the "underrepresented and disadvantaged students" category targeted by the Office for Fair Access. The first obstacle he faced was linked to the fact that, since 2005, Refugee Status was only awarded for five years (potentially followed by the Indefinite Leave to Remain status), which made many higher education institutions across England "less willing to take on young refugees who do not have a full three or four years of refugee status remaining" (Stevenson and Willot 2008, in Refugee Support Network 2012, p. 11). The second hurdle was that his ESOL teacher "falsely equated [his] language ability with [his] general academic ability" (ibid, p. 13) and advised him against applying to the undergraduate business and administration course he had identified. Thanks to proper guidance and advice from an Arabic-speaking student at the Students' Union who explained the competition for places at various types of higher education institutions in England – from universities and university colleges to further education colleges – he decided to apply locally to the University of Bradford: first, it meant he would not have to pay extra accommodation elsewhere as his family already benefited from housing; second, he was told that the university had obtained the "University of Sanctuary2" label - a title granted to universities who develop a culture of welcome for refugees and asylum seekers in the UK – and set up specific scholarships for refugees.

He found that the academic and language requirements at the University of Bradford were very high though: as his application could not be processed by UCAS (Universities and Colleges Admissions Service) as he had not followed secondary education in England, he sent the few documents he had brought with him from Syria – a copy of the Bakaloriat as well as the marks he got after completing his first undergraduate year - to UKNARIC (National Academic Recognition Centre), the British organization in charge of recognizing prior learning and qualifications. They assessed his academic qualifications following European standards for the recognition of refugees' qualifications as stated in the Lisbon Recognition Convention. His application was then studied by an admissions committee at the University of Bradford: as he was not interviewed, he did not know whether a contextualized admissions process taking into account his specific personal and academic history had been set up, despite national incentives from the new Office for Students to do so as part of the "Opportunity for Everyone" campaign. He was informed by the admissions office that he would first need to complete an Access to Higher Education diploma in business at Bradford College: this type of bridging programme is aimed at people with non-traditional qualifications who want to join regular Bachelor's degree programmes (QAA 2017). Moreover, he did not achieve the IELTS score of 6 which is required from all international students at the University of Bradford. He was relieved to learn however that the Refugee Status he eventually obtained meant that, unlike people with Humanitarian Protection and Indefinite Leave to Remain, he would not need to wait for three years as an ordinary resident in the UK to be eligible to home student fees. Still, he would have to make sure that Bradford College offered targeted scholarships for refugees or other forms of "inkind" support like discounted accommodation, free entrance to sports facilities and the like for his higher education dream to come true.

² http://universities.cityofsanctuary.org/

Despite fairly positive prospects, Sham's case remains marginal in England due to the combined tight immigration and asylum rules, expensive fees and few access programmes targeting refugees at higher education institutions. Refugees are an explicit target for fair access agreements and programmes run centrally by the Office for Students, yet very few of them actually get to the doors of English HE: English higher education institutions set their own rules for the recognition of academic qualifications and prior learning, and decide to set up, run and develop targeted access and forms of support for refugees on their own initiative. Access to HE for refugees in England is therefore tightly conditioned by access to customized and well-informed advice, information and guidance about the administrative nooks and crannies of the combined asylum, welfare and HE systems.

A refugee's pathway into German higher education

When they first arrived in Germany, Shahm and his family were assigned to the small city-state of Bremen, which became their administrative district of residence (Wohnsitzauflage) throughout the asylum process. At the local reception centre where they were given a proof of arrival (Ankunftsnachweis) – their first official document on the German soil –, they were told that because of the huge number of asylum cases which the German Federal Office for Migration and Refugees (BAMF) had to deal with - they were over 745,000 in 2015 (ESU 2017, p. 25) - the process lasted over 6 months on average and could reach over a year (Morris-Lange and Brands 2016, p. 11). In the meantime, they received "basic benefits for food, housing, heating, clothing, healthcare and personal hygiene," (BAMF 2016, p.10) as well as other welfare benefits, in keeping with the Asylum-Seekers' Benefits Act (Asylbewerberleistungsgesetz). The interpreter at the local reception centre explained that once the Federal Office had checked through the European database Eurodac that their asylum case was not already being processed in another European State (following the Dublin regulation), they were required to remain in their district of residence for three months and would be considered as "asylum applicants" as long as their claim had not been decided on. They would then hopefully be offered the official status of "persons entitled to protection" (with three forms of protection i.e. "entitlement to asylum," "refugee protection" or "subsidiary protection") or "persons entitled to remain" (following a "ban on deportation") (BAMF 2016, p. 2).

Once Shahm felt that he and his family were safe, he decide to resume his HE studies so as to make good use of this safety (Kholi and Mather, 2003 in Refugee Support Network, 2012, p. 8). As officers at the reception centre had alluded to the fact that, "technically, access to higher education is less restricted in Germany than in many other European countries" (Morris-Lange and Brands 2016, p. 11) since "more than half of all HEIs do not require a special status of asylum for admission to study" (ESU 2017, p. 34), he enquired about the solutions which were offered to him locally. First, he learned that tuition fees in German HE were very low − from 100€ to 300€ per semester − even for non-domestic students, and generally included local public transportation, which came as a good surprise since, as an asylum applicant, he was not allowed to work for the first 15 months, or until his asylum was accepted (Morris-Lange and Brands 2016, p. 11). He also found out that most German higher education institutions − universities (*universitäten*), universities of applied sciences (*fachhochschulen*) or universities of Art and Music (*kunsthochschulen* and *musikhochschulen*) − offered various study options to people in his situation, regardless of their asylum or refugee status (ESU 2017, p. 27).

He contacted people at HERE, a special service for refugees set up at the University of Bremen funded through both Land and Federal Government projects. He registered at the HERE office then officially applied to Uni-Assist, an association founded in 2003 that "evaluates secondary-school certificates and centrally handles applications of international students to HEIs" (ESU 2017, p. 28). Uni-Assist recognized the academic level of his Syrian *Bakaloriat* as equivalent to a German Abitur but told him that in Germany, the recognition of HE qualifications was under the responsibility of each HEI. For foreign students who do not meet HEI academic entry requirements at undergraduate level, two-semester preparatory courses – including intensive German language classes – are available throughout Germany at public institutions (*Studienkollegs*): they are also opened to immigrants with either the accepted asylum seeker or tolerated status. He was told that there was no

Studienkolleg in Bremen though, but that there was a local HERE bridging programme at the University of Bremen he could enroll in, which he did. He was hoping that after one semester, the HERE programme would allow him to both reach a sufficient level of proficiency in German and pass the TestAs, a centrally-run standard academic aptitude test for foreign students which "examines both the general and subject-related abilities for academic studies" (ESU 2017, p. 29) and which refugees can take for free. He felt that the language and academic requirements at German HEIs were so high that the bridging programme was an excellent opportunity for him to meet the expected level for degree-programmes, all the more so as he would be considered as an international student and be "subject to general admissions requirements for international students" (ESU 2017, p. 29). This meant that as refugees were included in German universities' international student admission quota, he would be in competition with other foreign students applying at the same university.

He was worried about his ability to earn a living while studying though: his family lived only on "non-cash benefits and a monthly government sponsored allowance of 212 euros" (Morris-lange and Brands 2016, p. 11) while their asylum case was being processed, and meanwhile he was not eligible to government-funded student benefits (ibid.). He was told that he could only apply for such stipends once he had resided in Germany for 15 months (it used to be four years) after the date he had originally applied for asylum, or once has was officially entitled to protection. However, other targeted programmes at Land and local HEI level offered alternative options to refugees with HE aspirations. As he did not want to add a financial burden to his family, he once again turned to HERE and applied to get one of the few scholarships available for refugees.

If he had been assigned to a different place of residence, Shahm would have probably have found similar options for accessing HE, perhaps slightly less so in the eastern parts of the country where the concentration of HEIs is lower. Not only were extensive Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) programmes like "Integra" (Integrating Refugees in Degree programmes) or "Welcome" (Welcome-Students Helping Refugees) launched in cooperation with the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD), extra places at *Studienkollegs* funded, but eleven out of sixteen Länder also launched programs aimed at widening access to German HEIs for refugees in various ways and with variable amounts of funding (ESU 2017, p. 32): many volunteer and student-led initiatives were developed, drawing on both existing local international student offices and targeted Federal government and Land funding. Whatever his future in the German HE system, which would be difficult to track as he would be considered as an international student from an administrative point of view, Shahm found that he was offered a variety of opportunities as a refugee and that the German asylum, welfare and HE systems combined well to help young refugees with HE aspirations.

5. Discussion

The description of a refugee's pathways into the English and the German HE systems reveals different "state-society-education assemblages" (Marginson 2016, p. 264) in the two countries. The different combinations between asylum, social welfare and access to HE policies – synthetized in Table 1 – create different spaces of opportunity for refugees.

Table 1: Administrative refugee category and corresponding welfare and student rights

	Asylum status	Assigned Residence	Welfare benefits	Right to	Student status	Right to	Admissions	Fees	Mainstream Student
	Asylum seeker	Yes (initial accommoda tion)	Yes (cash support from UK Border Agency, not mainstrea m welfare benefits)	No No	Internation al (overseas) student	study	HEI level decision: Standard HE qualifications & language requirements (no government control on international student numbers)	Interna tional fees (home fees at HEI's discreti on)	support No HEI funds only
England	Humanitarian Protection Discretionary Leave to Remain	Yes (dispersal accommoda tion; permission to reside for 5 years; eligible to permanent social housing) No Not eligible to permanent social housing	Yes Mainstrea m welfare benefits (i.e. Job Seekers Allowanc e, Employm ent Support Allowanc e, Income Support) +Integrati on loan +Housing benefit if needed Yes Mainstrea m welfare benefits Not eligible to integratio n loan or	Yes	Domestic/ Home student	Yes	HEI level decision: Standard HE qualifications & language requirements Subject to government control on home student numbers HEI level decision No government control	Home fees (£9,250 average for underg raduate degree progra mmes in 2017-2018) International fees (home fees at HEI's discretion or after 3	Yes (incl. NHS social work bursaries and student bursaries) immediatel y eligible + HEFCE funding Yes (inc. NHS social work bursaries and student bursaries) only after 3 years' residence + HEFCE funding No
			housing benefits					years' residen ce)	
Germany	Asylum applicant	Yes (at least for 3 months in assigned Land; for 1st year in Germany)	Asylum- seeker's benefits	Not for the 1st 3 month s or while in recepti on centre, then restrict ed access for 15 month s)	Internation al student	Yes	HEI level decision: Standard HE qualifications & language requirements National admissions examination (TestAs) for free if necessary Subject to international student quotas	Nation al fees (100 to 300€)	Yes Targeted scholarships at HEI, Land and federal levels "In-kind" support at HEI level (waiving of fees, accommodation, transport,
	Entitled to protection Entitled to remain	No	Unemplo yment and welfare rights (Hartz IV)	Yes Yes (permi ssion neede d)		Yes + access to Studien kolleg			etc.)

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

Spaces of opportunity for refugees in England

In England, as in the other parts of the UK, immigration, nationality and border control laws are under the central responsibility of the Home Office. Following a sharp increase in asylum applications in the early 2000s, the successive governments tightened immigration rules, and cut the number of grants of asylum (Law Library of Congress 2016). The 2014 Immigration Act tightened the rules further by removing the right of appeal to different categories of applicants: in 2017, 68% of asylum claims were rejected, 28% accepted, and 1% of asylum applicants were granted Humanitarian Protection or Discretionary Leave, two asylum-related statuses with limited citizenship rights. As in most countries, asylum seekers cannot choose where they live while their case is being processed, which means that they are dependent on local HEIs admissions policy; they are granted basic accommodation and support, with limited benefits (Law Library of Congress 2016) and are not allowed to work while their case is being processed, which limits further their probability to access HE as they can hardly afford the international fees their status entitles them to. In this context, refugees who want to access HE have dire prospects: even if their refugee status means they are eligible to home tuition fees, these are still so high that funding represents a major obstacle which only targeted scholarships, combined with other forms of support, can remove. The fact that the Refugee Status should be granted for five years only also works as an obstacle as HEIs are reluctant to open their doors to students with limited residence perspectives. Moreover, in the very stratified UK system, characterized by the conditional autonomy of HEIs (Neave 1988, p. 122) whereby they are free to set their own academic requirements and admission processes, but home student numbers are controlled centrally by the State, very few elite and high-tariff universities have contextualized their admission processes and lowered their academic requirements for students from underrepresented groups and disadvantaged backgrounds (The Guardian 2017). Successive widening participation and fair access policies, combined with "exemption" rules aimed at removing student number controls for applicants with very high A'Level grades, have led to higher participation rates among Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) students, but not in high tariff universities where disparities are the greatest (HEFCE 2018). The probability for refugees to access a HEI in England is therefore also highly dependent on the institution's status as a low, middle or high-tariff institution (depending on the level of academic requirements as managed by UCAS through tariff points), or on the existence of specific contextualized admission processes, which are only slowly being developed (The Guardian, 2017).

Overall, the specific combination between asylum, welfare and access to HE policies in England makes for a fairly poor, slow, and highly HEI-dependent probability for refugees to access HE. The immigration and asylum context is difficult and not likely to improve with the Brexit. Moreover, the English HPS is no longer expanding internally, as "an above-average proportion of adults have a tertiary qualification," mostly at bachelor's degree level (OECD 2017a, p.4), which means that there is no need for extra qualified labour. The participation rate among BME students has improved thanks to widening participation policies and initiatives, but "socio-economic disadvantage continues to be the most significant driver of inequality in terms of access to and outcomes from higher education," regardless of ethnicity or gender (Universities UK 2016a, p. 4). Despite official discourse about meritocratic access, a "sponsorship model of selection" prevails: it means that "networks and the right kinds of social and cultural capital [...] are more important in England than ability and effort" (Mountford-Zimdars 2014, p. 95), which makes for poor prospects for refugees who need to build a new social and cultural capital in their host country. Last but not least, tuition fees now represent almost half of HEIs' income nationally, and English HEIs are most likely going to keep developing international student recruitment at master's degree and doctoral level as their fees represent a major source of funding. Access to HE for refugees therefore depends highly on local initiatives like the "University of Sanctuary" movement, or other forms of targeted support based on humanitarian and solidarity rationales in a social, political and economic context which currently favours competition and market logics (Halsey and O'Brien 2014). Even if no national statistics are currently available regarding refugee students' numbers in English HE, they are likely to remain very marginal.

Spaces of opportunity for refugees in Germany

Germany is a federal structure, with different responsibilities shared between the sixteen Bundesländer governments and the central federal government. Asylum is managed centrally by the Federal Office for Migration and Refugees (BAMF). Asylum seekers are assigned to different Länder of residence following the EASY quota system which "ensures suitable, fair distribution among the Federal-Länder" and is decided on annually by the Federal-Länder commission (BAMF 2016, p. 9). Asylum applicants are not allowed to work while their case is being processed, and the social rights they are entitled to are aligned with the overall welfare system: the social benefits provided by the State are meant to cover their basic needs until a final decision is made by the BAMF. The number of people granted protection has been on the rise over the last decade.

This favorable immigration context combines with well-coordinated access to HE initiatives for international and refugee students at national, Land and local levels. Historically under the authority of the 16 Länder governments, HE has been increasingly managed centrally, for numerus clausus admissions (Foundation for Higher Education Admission), or nation-wide tests for entering HE (TestAS) for instance. Regarding refugees, targeted programmes (Welcome and Integra) as well extra places at Studienkollegs were funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), and a common policy for "Access and Admission to Institutions of Higher Education for Applicants who are Unable to provide Evidence of a Higher Education Entrance Qualification Obtained in their Home Country on Account of their Flight" was decided on in December 2015 at the Standing Conference of the Ministers of Education and Cultural Affairs (ESU 2017, pp. 28-29). As a result, refugees who wish to access HE in Germany can do so through different pathways and benefit from converging and comprehensive support from higher education institutions, Länder and the federal ministry. The combination of very low tuition fees, flexibility of access to HE whatever the legal asylum status, opportunities to qualify for a little stratified German HE sector thanks to pre-existing policies and structures for international students, as well as general welfare support, make for a very positive context for accessing HE.

However, the fact that refugees are handled as international students from an administrative point of view in HEIs means that they are included in international students admission quotas, which limits access to degree programmes (ESU 2017, p. 29). Moreover, following the 2006 General Treatment Act, HEIs are not allowed to give refugees privileged access to highly regulated courses with a numerus clausus such as medicine (ibid.). Last, most undergraduate courses at German HEIs are offered in German, which means that refugees must reach a certain level of proficiency before they can enroll in a degree course, and places in language courses are lacking (ESU 2017, p. 28).

The specific combination between immigration, social and access to HE policies in Germany, added to the fact that this HPS is still growing with "the largest increase [...] in first-time entrants across OECD and partner countries between 2005 and 2015 (OECD 2017b)", makes for a good structural probability for refugees to access HE in the fairly short run after they first set foot in the country and lodge a file for asylum, most likely in non-degree bridging programmes including German language courses. However, no national statistics provide a global quantitative estimate of the number of refugees studying at German HEIs. Moreover, the changing political landscape following the 2018 general elections in Germany could lead to a less favorable context for immigrants seeking asylum in Germany. The strong will which HE authorities at national, Länder and local levels have displayed so far for welcoming refugees, combined with the country's economic needs for qualified labour, could maintain good access to HE conditions though; the challenge lies in the successful inclusion of the future refugee graduates into the German labour market and society in the longer run.

Critical insights into the relationship between social stratification and access to higher education and policy implications

The comparison between the way access to HE for refuges is built in two different HPS contexts sheds new light on the relationship between social stratification and access to HE. Refugees represent a growing, albeit marginal, student category in an increasingly diversifying HPS student body. The

conditions under which they are given access to HE provides a different perspective for understanding how social stratification leads to "overall social differences associated with inequalities of wealth, power, prestige or knowledge" (Coulangeon 2010).

Refugees were born and raised in another social structure, yet the cultural (including academic), social and economic capitals they held back home are often partially or fully lost in the forced displacement to their new country (Dimitriadou 2006, Morrice 2009, 2013, Stevenson and Willot 2008) - as shown for instance through the fact that it is difficult for them to resume or start HE studies at an equivalent level of academic qualifications. However much capital is transferred, which may vary from one refugee to the next, and whatever its impact on the probability of access, everything else being equal, it is the administrative place they are assigned to in the social structure of their host country which determines their structural possibility to access HE, as well as its temporality. Their refugee status is linked to the safety and solidarity rationales defined by the 1951 Geneva Convention, so that refugees stand apart and stand out from other immigrants: they are granted different welfare rights, and different forms of access to citizenship (Könönen 2018) from those offered to other immigrants, as visible through the categories such as "Humanitarian Protection" or "Indefinite Leave to Remain" in England, or "Asylum Tolerated" in Germany which were created for those who are refused the official refugee status. The differential citizenship rights refugees are granted through different assemblages of welfare and citizenship rights can therefore be understood as "a new pattern of stratification related to immigration and citizenship status" (Sainsbury and Morrissens 2012, p. 130): when combined with access to HE rights, they can even produce inequality in access and contribute to creating a form of "market citizenship" whereby "the allocation of citizenship rights [is] based on an individual's economic power and participation in the labour market" (Breanne et al., 2017, Broodie, 1997, 2004), both dimensions being more or less directly related to an individual's participation in HE.

Our research shows that this administratively built citizenship combines variously with access to HE policies to create different spaces of opportunity for refugees who want to study, with different timelines. It means that even if refugees have strong personal motivations for education (Refugee Support Network 2012, p. 7), and meet standard entry requirements (i.e. level of academic qualifications and language skills), their probability to access HE in a specific HPS is systematically shaped at the crossroads between national asylum, social welfare and access to HE policies. It is conditioned by student categorization (domestic/international), and how it is associated with different political territories but also citizenship categories, which raises the issue of the territorial boundaries of social justice in access, and leads us to formulate two concluding comments.

First, as refugee students share both international and home student characteristics, policy actions aiming at improving access should combine internationalization and widening participation logics, which also involve answering questions about the role of HE and the type of HE one wants to build, beyond the case of refugees: Should HE be built as a social good or a commodity subject to competitive entry? What is an acceptable level of fees and should alternative funding mechanisms exist to make up for the lack of economic capital? Should HE be homogeneously accessible on a given regional, national, neo-regional territory or universally? What type of targeted advice, information and guidance could or should be provided to make up for the lack of cultural capital? Should contextualized admissions processes be set up and entry requirements adapted to open access to students with more heterogeneous academic profiles? More generally, to what extent could or should customized forms of support and access be developed within mass education systems such as HPS?

Second, social policies intersect with HE policies to produce differentiated spaces of access to HE not only for refugees, but also, more broadly, for national students, especially because social benefits are not always fully compatible with studying in HE. As a result, an individual's position in the social structure not only undermines their probability to access HE in relation with their own resources, as research has largely documented, but also in relation to their social categorization in the welfare organization. Therefore, the (re)production of social stratification through the political organization of inequalities in access to HE also lies at the crossroads between these different policy domains.

As a result, policy actions aimed at widening access to HE for refugees – and possibly other under-represented but less visible social groups – should lie at the crossroads between asylum, social welfare, widening participation and internationalization strategies and policies. Beyond the successful inclusion of refugees into HE lies the challenge of making the HE student body more representative of multi-dimensional social structures in already highly differentiated HPS, without increasing HE stratification further.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Marie-Agnès Détourbe and Gaële Goastellec; Formal analysis, Marie-Agnès Détourbe and Gaële Goastellec; Investigation, Marie-Agnès Détourbe; Methodology, Marie-Agnès Détourbe and Gaële Goastellec; Validation, Marie-Agnès Détourbe and Gaële Goastellec; Writing – original draft, Marie-Agnès Détourbe and Gaële Goastellec; Writing – review & editing, Marie-Agnès Détourbe and Gaële Goastellec.

608 Gaële Goastelle

- 609 Funding: This research received no external funding
- **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

611 References

612 (Alon and Tienda 2007) Alon, Sigal, and Marta Tienda. 2007. Diversity, opportunity, and the shifting meritocracy in higher education. *American Sociological Review*, 72: 487–511. Doi 10.1177/000312240707200401 (Altbach et al. 2017) Altbach Philip. G., Liz Reisberg, and Hans de Wit. (Eds.). 2017. Responding to Massification. Differentiation in Postsecondary Education Worldwide. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers/Brill. ISBN 9789463510813.

(BAMF 2016) BAMF (Federal Office for Migration and Refugees). 2016. The stages of the German asylum procedure. An overview of the individual procedural steps and the legal basis. Retrieved from www.bamf.de

(BAMF 2018) BAMF (Federal Office for Migration and Refugees). 2018. Qualification standards of asylum applicants in 2017. Retrieved from

http://www.bamf.de/SharedDocs/Anlagen/EN/Publikationen/Kurzanalysen/kurzanalyse_soko_03-

623 2018.html?nn=1449080

624 (Barrons 2018) Barrons, Geneviève. 2018. Why displaced people are being failed by Higher Education.
625 *University World News*, Issue 00507, 28th May. ISSN 1756-297X
626 (Baum et al. 2010) Baum, Sandy, Jennifer Ma, and Kathleen Payea. 2010. *Education Pays. The Benefits of Higher*

(Baum et al. 2010) Baum, Sandy, Jennifer Ma, and Kathleen Payea. 2010. Education Pays. The Benefits of Higher Education for Individuals and Society. College Board Advocacy and Policy Center.

(Boliver 2016) Boliver, Vikki. 2016. Exploring ethnic inequalities in admission to Russell group universities. *Sociology*, 50(2): 247–266. Doi. 10.1177/0038038515575859.

(Boliver 2018) Boliver, Vikki. 2018. Afterword. In M.-A. Détourbe (Ed.), *Inclusion through Access to Higher Education: Exploring the dynamics between access to higher education, immigration and languages*. Rotterdam, Boston: Sense Publishers/Brill, pp. 159–160. ISBN: 978-94-6351-225-1.

(Breanne et al. 2017) Breanne, L. Grace., Stephanie J. Nawyn, and Betty Okwako. 2017. The right to belong (if you can afford it): market-based restrictions on social citizenship in refugee resettlement. *Journal of Refugee Study*, 31(1): 42–62. Doi:10.1093/jrs/few046

(Brodie 1997) Brodie, Jeanine. 1997. Meso-discourses, state forms and the gendering of liberal-democratic citizenship. *Citizenship studies*, 1(2): 223-242. Doi.10.1080/13621029708420656

(Burke and McManus 2011) Burke, Penny Jane, and Jackie McManus. 2011. Art for a few: exclusions and misrecognitions in higher education admissions practices. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education*, 32(5): 699-712. Doi:10.1080/01596306.2011.620753.

(Charles and Bradley 2002) Charles, Maria, and Karen Bradley. 2002. Equal but separate? A cross-national study of sex segregation in higher education. *American Sociological Review*, 67, 573–599. Doi. 10.2307/3088946 (Clancy 2010) Clancy, Patrick. 2010. Structural diversification: does it matter? In *Access and Equity*. *Comparative Perspectives*. Edited by Heather Eggins. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers, pp. 103-120. ISBN: 978-

645 94-6091-184-2.

646 (Coulangeon 2010) Coulangeon, Philippe. 2010. Stratification sociale. In *Les 100 mots de la sociologie*. Edited by Serge Paugam Paris: PUF, « Que sais-je », pp.101-102. ISBN: 9782130574057.

- 648 (Dimitriadou 2006) Dimitriadou, Anastasia. 2006. The Formation of Social Capital for Refugee Students: An Exploration of ESOL Settings in Two Further Education Colleges. *Educate* 4 (1): 31–45.
- 650 (Détourbe 2018a) Détourbe, Marie-Agnès (Ed.). 2018a. *Inclusion through Access to Higher Education: Exploring*651 *the dynamics between access to higher education, immigration and languages*. Rotterdam, Boston: Sense
 652 Publishers/Brill. ISBN 978-94-6351-225-1.
- 653 (Détourbe 2018b) Détourbe, Marie-Agnès. 2018b. Les universités britanniques et leur rapport au monde : 654 les enjeux de l'internationalisation de la population étudiante [Internationalization strategies in British 655 Universities]. Observatoire de la Société Britannique, 20: 37-66.
- 656 (Duru-Bellat et al. 2008) Duru-Bellat Marie, Annick Kieffer, and David Reimer. 2008. Patterns of Social Inequalities in Access to Higher Education in France and Germany. *International Journal of Comparative Sociology*, 49 (4-5): 347–368. Doi. 10.1177/0020715208093081
- 659 (ECRE 2016) European Council on Refugees and Exiles. 2016. *Highlighting the need to prioritise access to higher*660 *education in humanitarian crises*. Retrieved from http://www.ecre.org/highlighting-the-need-to-prioritise661 access-to-higher-education-in-humanitarian-crises/
- (Espenshade and Radford 2009) Espenshade, Thomas J., and Alexandria W. Radford. 2009. No Longer
 Separate, Not Yet Equal: Race and class in elite college admission and campus life. Princeton: Princeton University
 Press. ISBN 9780691141602
- 665 (Espenshade et al. 2004) Espenshade, Thomas J., Chang Y. Chung, and Joan L. Walling. 2004. Admission 666 Preferences for Minority Students, Athletes, and Legacies at Elite Universities. *Social Science Quarterly*, 85: 667 1422–1446. Doi.10.1111/j.0038-4941.2004.00284.x
- (Frenette 2006) Frenette, Marc. 2006. Too far to go on? Distance to school and university participation. *Education Economics*, 14(1), 31–58. Doi. 10.1080/09645290500481865.
- 670 (ESU 2017) European Students Union. 2017. Refugees Welcome? Recognition of qualifications held by refugees
 671 and their access to higher education in Europe. Country analyses. Brussels: ESU. Retrieved from
 672 https://www.esu-online.org/?news=new-study-refugees-access-higher-education-europe.
- 673 (Futuretrack 2012). Futuretrack. 2012. A New Classification of Higher Education Institutions. Warwick: Higher
 674 Education Careers Service Unit. Retrieved from
 675 https://www.hecsu.ac.uk/assets/documents/Futuretrack_Summary_2012_-
- A new classification of HEIs.pdf

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

- 677 (Goastellec 2004) Goastellec, Gaële. 2004. Entre politique des quotas et égalité : l'université de Californie à Berkeley. *Cahiers Internationaux de Sociologie*, N°116: 141–164. Doi. 10.3917/cis.116.0141.
- (Goastellec 2017) Goastellec Gaële. 2017. Refugees' Access to Higher Education. In Encyclopedia of International Higher Education Systems and Institutions. Doi. 20.2007/978-94-017-9553-1_421-1.
 - (Goastellec 2018) Goastellec, Gaële. 2018. Refugees' Access to Higher Education in Europe. Comparative Insights on a New Public Issue. In *Inclusion through Access to Higher Education: Exploring the dynamics between access to higher education, immigration and languages*. Edited by M.-A. Détourbe. Rotterdam, Boston: Sense Publishers/Brill, pp. 21–38. ISBN 978-94-6351-225-1.
 - (Goastellec and Välimaa 2016) Goastellec, Gaële and Jussi Välimaa, 2016. Expliquer les inégalités d'accès aux diplômes en Finlande et en Suisse (1950-2004): des structures scolaires aux politiques sociales. *Education et Société*, 38/2: 105–121. ISBN 9782807390270.
 - (Halsey and O'Brien 2014) Halsey, John, and Kenneth O'Brien. 2014. Education Markets in English and American Universities. In *Higher Education in the UK and the US. Converging University Models in a Global Academic World?* Edited by Sarah Pickard. Leiden, Boston: Brill, pp. 35–58. ISBN: 978-90-04-26276-8.
- 691 (HEFCE 2018) Higher Education Funding Council for England. 2018. *Student characteristics*. Retrieved from http://www.hefce.ac.uk/analysis/HEinEngland/students/
- (Jungblut 2017) Jungblut, Jens. 2017. Refugees welcome? Recognition of qualifications held by refugees and their access to higher education in Europe. Country analyses. In European Students Union. Refugees Welcome? Recognition of qualifications held by refugees and their access to higher education in Europe. Country analyses. Brussels: ESU, pp. 71-86.
- 697 (Kerckhoff 2001) Kerckhoff, Alan C. 2001. Education and Social Stratification Processes in Comparative Perspective. *Sociology of Education*, 74: 3–18. Doi. 10.2307/2673250.
- (Kohli and Mather 2003) Kohli, Ravi, and Rosie Mather. 2003. Promoting psychosocial well-being in unaccompanied asylum seeking young people in the United Kingdom. *Child and Family Social Work*, 8(3): 201-212. Doi. 10.1046/j.1365-2206.2003.00282.x.

- 702 (Könönen 2018) Könönen, Jukka. 2018. Differential inclusion of non-citizens in a universalistic welfare state. 703 *Citizenship Studies*, 22(1): 53-69. Doi. 10.1080/13621025.2017.1380602.
- 704 (Koucky et al. 2007) Koucky Jan, Ales Bartusek, and Jan Kovarovic. 2007. *Inequalities and Access to Tertiary* 705 *Education: European Countries* 1950-2005, *Working Paper*. Prague: Education Policy Centre.
- (Law Library of Congress 2016) Law Library of Congress (The). 2016. Refugee Law and Policy: United Kingdom. Retrieved from https://www.loc.gov/law/help/refugee-law/unitedkingdom.php
- 708 (Lucas 2001) Lucas, Samuel R. 2001. Effectively maintained Inequality: Education Transitions, Track Mobility, and Social Background. *American Journal of Sociology*, 106(6): 1642–1690. Doi. 10.1086/321300.
- 710 (Marginson 2016) Marginson, Simon. 2016. The worldwide trend to high participation higher education: 711 dynamics of social stratification in inclusive systems. *Higher Education*, 72: 413–434. Doi. 10.1007/s10734-712 016-0016-x.
- 713 (Metcalfe 2009) Metcalfe, Amy S. 2009. The geography of access and excellence: spatial diversity in higher 714 education system design. *Higher Education*, 58(2): 205–220. Doi.10.1007/s10734-008-9191-8.
- 715 (Migration Observatory 2017) Migration Observatory. 2017. *Migration to the UK: Asylum*. Retrieved from http://www.migrationobservatory.ox.ac.uk/resources/briefings/migration-to-the-uk-asylum/
- 717 (Morgan 2017) Morgan, John. 2017, February 23. UK's 'lower-ranked' universities take non-EU students 718 hit. *Times Higher Education*. Retrieved from https://www.timeshighereducation.com/news/uks-lower-ranked-universities-take-non-eu-students-hit
- 720 (Morrice 2009) Morrice, Linda. 2009. Journeys into higher education: the case of refugees in the UK. *Teaching* 721 in Higher Education, 14(6): 661-672. Doi.10.1080/13562510903315282.
- 722 (Morrice 2013) Morrice, Linda. 2013. Refugees in higher education: boundaries of belonging and recognition, stigma and exclusion. *International Journal of Lifelong Education*, 32(5): 652-668. Doi. 10.1080/02601370.2012.761288.
- 725 (Morris-Lange and Brands 2016) Morris-Lange, Simon, and Florinda Brands. 2016. German Universities 726 Open Doors to Refugees: Access Barriers Remain. *International Higher Education*, 84: 11-12. 727 https://doi.org/10.6017/ihe.2016.84.9110
- 728 (Mountford-Zimdars 2014) Mountford-Zimdars, Anne. 2014. Are Admissions Models for Undergraduate 729 Study Converging among Highly Selective Universities in England and the US? In *Higher Education in the UK and the US. Converging University Models in a Global Academic World?* Edited by Sarah Pickard. Leiden, 731 Boston: Brill, pp. 91–112. ISBN: 978-90-04-26276-8.
 - (Neave 1988) Neave, Guy. 1988. On the Cultivation of Quality, Efficiency, and Enterprise: an Overview of Recent Trends in Higher Education in Western Europe, 1986-1988. *European Journal of Education*, 23(1-2): 7-23. Doi. 10.2307/1502961.
 - (OECD 2017a) OECD. 2017a. United Kingdom. In *Education at a Glance 2017: OECD Indicators*. Paris: OCDE editions. https://doi.org/10.1787/eag-2017-71-en.
 - (OECD 2017b) OECD. 2017b. Germany. In *Education at a Glance 2017: OECD Indicators*. Paris: OCDE editions. https://doi.org/10.1787/eag-2017-48-en.
- 739 (PEW 2016) Pew Research Centre. 2016. Number of Refugees to Europe Surges to Record 1.3 million in 2015. 740 http://assets.pewresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2016/08/14100940/Pew-Research-Center-
- 741 Europe-Asylum-Report-FINAL-August-2-2016.pdf

733

734

735

736

737

- 742 (QAA 2017) Quality Assurance Agency. 2017. Access to Higher Education: Students in Higher Education 2016-743 17. Retrieved from
- http://www.accesstohe.ac.uk/AboutUs/Publications/Pages/AccesstoHigherEducationStudentsinHigherEducation2016-17.aspx
- (Refugee Council 2013) Refugee Council. 2013. Short Guide for Advisers on Access to Higher Education.
- Retrieved from https://www.refugeecouncil.org.uk/assets/0002/7374/HE_for_advisers_guide_0413.pdf
- (Refugee Council 2014) Refugee Council. 2014. Employing Refugees .The documents required as evidence of entitlement to work in the UK. Retrieved from
- 750 https://www.refugeecouncil.org.uk/assets/0003/4097/Employing_Refugees_-
- 751 _Guide_to_documents_required_Dec_2014.pdf
- 752 (Refugee Council 2015) Refugee Council. 2015. Information Pack for Refugees. Retrieved from
- $753 \hspace{1.5cm} \text{https://www.refugeecouncil.org.uk/what_we_do/refugee_services/new_refugee_advice} \\$
- 754 (Refugee Council 2018) Refugee Council. 2018. Glossary. Retrieved from https://www.refugeecouncil.org.uk/glossary

- (Refugee Support Network 2012) Refugee Support Network. 2012. "I just want to study": Access to Higher Education for Young Refugees and Asylum Seekers. Retrieved from
- https://www.refugeesupportnetwork.org/resources/13-i-just-want-to-study-access-to-higher-education-
- 759 for-young-refugees-and-asylum-seekers
- 760 (Sainsbury and Morrisens 2012) Sainsbury, Diane, and Ann Morissens. 2012. Immigrants' social rights across welfare states. In *Welfare states and immigrant rights: the politics of inclusion and exclusion*. Edited by Diane Sainsbury. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 113-134. ISBN 9780199654772.
- 763 (Shavit et al. 2007) Shavit Yossi, Richard Arum, and Adam Gamoran, with G. Menham (Eds.). 2007. 764 Stratification in Higher Education: A Comparative Study. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press. ISBN 9780804754620.
- (Singleton 2010) Singleton, Alexander D. 2010. *Educational opportunity: the geography of access to higher education*. Farnham: Ashgate Publishing. ISBN 978-0-7546-7867-0.
 - (Stevenson and Willott 2008) Stevenson, Jacqueline, and John Willott. 2008. The role of cultural capital theory in explaining the absence from UK higher education of refugees and other non-traditional students.
 - Paper presented at SCUTREA 2008 38th Annual Conference, Whither Adult Education in the Learning Paradigm? 2 4 July 2008. Retrieved from http://www.leeds.ac.uk/educol/documents/172482.pdf
- 772 (TestAS 2016) TestAS. 2016. Test for academic studies. Retrieved from http://refugees.testas.de/en/
 - (The Guardian 2017) The Guardian, 2017 (September 5). Top universities 'incredibly slow' to take more disadvantaged students report, Emmanuel Akinwotu. Retrieved from
- https://www.theguardian.com/inequality/2017/sep/05/top-universities-incredibly-slow-to-take-more-
- 776 disadvantaged-students-report

769

770

771

773

774

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

- (Thompson 2014) Thompson, Laura. 2014. A world on the move: The benefits of migration. Speech given in Brussels on September 25, 2014, on behalf of the International Organization for Migration. Retrieved from https://www.iom.int/speeches-and-talks/world-move-benefits-migration
- (Triventi 2013) Triventi, Moris. 2013. Stratification in Higher Education and Its Relationship with Social Inequality: A Comparative Study of 11 European Countries. *European Sociological Review*, 29(3): 489–502. Doi.10.1093/esr/jcr092.
- (UK Council for International Student Affairs 2015) UK Council for International Student Affairs. 2015. *Welfare Benefits for Refugees*. Retrieved from https://www.ukcisa.org.uk/Information--Advice/Fees-and-Money/Welfare-Benefits?q=refugee&ExactMatch=#layer-3692
- (UNHCR 2016) United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. 2016. Global Trends. Forced displacement in 2016.
- (University World News 2018) University World News. 2018. "At university I could not escape the shadow of war," Mona Jebril, 26 January 2018, Issue N $^\circ$ 490. Retrieved from http://www.universityworldnews.com/article.php?story=2018012311441314
- (UN Data 2018a) United Nations Data. 2018a. Country Profile. United Kingdom. Retrieved from http://data.un.org/en/iso/de.html
- (UN Data 2018b) United Nations Data. 2018b. Country Profile. Germany. Retrieved from http://data.un.org/en/iso/gb.html
- (Universities UK 2016a) Universities UK. 2016. Working in Partnership: Enabling Social Mobility in Higher Education. The final report of the Social Mobility Advisory Group. London: UniversitiesUK Publishing. ISBN: 978-1-84036-367-8
- (Universities UK 2016b) Universities UK. 2016b. *Higher Education in Facts and Figures* 2016. Retrieved from https://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/facts-and-stats/data-and-analysis/Pages/facts-and-figures-2016.aspx
- With the foliation (Usher and Cervenan 2005) Usher, Alex, and Amy Cervenan. 2005. Global Higher Education Rankings: Affordability and Accessibility in Comparative Research. Toronto, ON: Educational Policy Institute.
- 802 (Usher and Medow 2010) Usher, Alex, and John Medow. 2010. *Global Higher Education Rankings* 2010. 803

 **Affordability and Accessibility in Comparative Perspective. Toronto, ON: Educational Policy Institute.
- 804 (Vallet 2010) Vallet, Louis-André. 2010. Expansion des systèmes éducatifs et dynamique des inégalités sociales devant l'enseignement supérieur: quelques jalons de la recherche comparative en sociologie. 806 *Economie et Statistique*, 433/434: 23–29. Doi./10.3406/estat.2010.8083.
- (Voznesenskaya et al. 2004) Voznesenskaya E. D., G. A. Cherednichenko, and O. Y. Dymarskaya. 2004. The accessibility of education as a social problem (differential access to tertiary education and the popular

attitude to tertiary education). In *Accessibility of Tertiary Education in Russia*. Edited by S. V. Shishkin. Moscow: Independent Social Policy Institute (NISP).



© 2018 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).