## Global warming and positive fitness response in mountain populations of common lizards *Lacerta vivipara*

# SIMON CHAMAILLÉ-JAMMES\*, MANUEL MASSOT\*, PEDRO ARAGÓN\*† and JEAN CLOBERT\*

\*Laboratoire Fonctionnement et Evolution des Systèmes Ecologiques, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, UMR 7625, Bât. A., 7<sup>ème</sup> étage, 7 quai Saint Bernard, Case 237, F-75232, Paris Cedex 05, France, †Departamento de Ecología, Universidad de Alcalá, 28871 Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain

## Abstract

Recent global warming threatens many species and has already caused population- and species-level extinctions. In particular, high risks of extinction are expected for isolated populations of species with low dispersal abilities. These predictions rely on widely used 'climatic envelope' models, while individual responses, the ultimate driver of a species response to climate change, have been most often neglected. Here, we report on some changes in life-history traits of a dispersal-limited reptile species (a poorly studied taxa) living in isolated populations. Using long-term data on common lizards collected in southern France, we show that individual body size dramatically increased in all the four populations studied over the past 18 years. This increase in body size in all age classes appeared related to a concomitant increase in temperature experienced during the first month of life (August). Daily maximum temperature in August increased by 2.2 °C and yearling snout-vent-length increased by about 28%. As a result, adult female body size increased markedly, and, as fecundity is strongly dependent on female body size, clutch size and total reproductive output also increased. For one population where capturerecapture data were available, adult survival was positively related to May temperature. All fitness components investigated therefore responded positively to the increase in temperature, such that it might be concluded that the common lizard has been advantaged by the shift in temperature. We contrast these short-term results with the long-term habitat-based prediction that these populations located close to mountain tops on the southern margin of the species range should be unable to cope with the alteration of their habitat. To achieve a better prediction of a species persistence, one will probably need to combine both habitat and individual-based approaches.

*Keywords:* body size, fitness, global warming, life-history trade-offs, lizards, mountain habitat reproduction, survival

Received 31 May 2005; revised version received 7 September 2005; accepted 9 September 2005

#### Introduction

Assessing species' responses to climate change is one of the greatest challenges for ecologists because global warming is expected to be a major threat for biodiversity in coming years (Hughes, 2000; McCarty, 2001; Walther *et al.*, 2002; Parmesan & Yohe, 2003; Thomas *et al.*, 2004). A recent study showed that up to 37%

Correspondence: Jean Clobert, fax: + 33 144 273 516, e-mail: jclobert@snv.jussieu.fr of species on Earth might be threatened by extinction because of the recent rise in temperature (Thomas *et al.*, 2004). Climatic shifts and establishments of isotherms at higher latitudes will cause numerous species distribution shifts with the consequence of disrupting communities, altering species' abundance, increasing fragmentation of habitats and therefore increasing probabilities of population extinctions (Brown *et al.*, 1997; Davis *et al.*, 1998; Peterson *et al.*, 2002). In that context, isolated (e.g. island, mountain) populations or species with limited dispersal abilities appear at especially high risk as available altitudinal or latitudinal gradient is limited. Global warming-driven extinction in these situations indeed has already been reported (Pounds *et al.*, 1999).

Multiple life-history traits have been demonstrated to respond to the fast increase in temperature (e.g. clutch size: Winkler et al., 2002; overall breeding success: Barbraud & Weimerskirch, 2001; Sanz et al., 2003 and sex-ratio: Post et al., 1999). In particular, advancement in phenology is among the most widely reported effect (Beebee, 1995; Crick & Sparks, 1999; Dunn & Winkler, 1999; Gibbs & Breisch, 2001; Peñuelas et al., 2002; Réale et al., 2003; Visser et al., 2003; Gordo et al., 2005; Sparks et al., 2005). However, a striking conclusion of these studies is the evidence that (1) the reported effects are clearly species and populations dependent, and (2) in some cases, populations responded in a nonlinear way to a rise in temperature. In turn, this is likely to cause changes in community compositions and mismatches within the environment (Harrington et al., 1999; Visser & Holleman, 2001; Stenseth & Mysterud, 2002; Sanz et al., 2003). This highlights the urgent need to document species response to climatic change in as many taxa and ecological frameworks as possible to build global predictions at the ecosystem level. However, most of the available information on the impact of climate change are on endothermic vertebrates (e.g. birds : Barbraud & Weimerskirch, 2001; Sanz et al., 2003; Visser et al., 2003; Sparks et al., 2005; and mammals: Post et al., 1997; Réale et al., 2003), while research on poïkilotherm terrestrial vertebrates have mainly focused on temperature dependent embryonic development and sex determination (Janzen, 1994; Robert & Thompson, 2001; Hays et al., 2003).

In this study, we aimed to provide an example of the *in situ* effect of a temperature warming on some key lifehistory traits in an ectotherm, the common lizard *Lacerta vivipara*, using data from four unconnected populations located in mountain habitats of southern France.

In reptiles, individual body size, of which many other life-history traits are dependent (age at maturity, fecundity, survival, dispersal: Bauwens & Verheyen, 1987; Léna *et al.*, 1998; Lorenzon *et al.*, 1999, 2001), shows important variations with respect to humidity, temperature and food supply (Andrews, 1982; Sinervo & Adolph, 1989; Niewiarosky & Roosenburg, 1993; Sinervo & Adolph, 1994; Sorci *et al.*, 1996). In the common lizard, body size and other life-history traits were also found to be highly geographically variable and dependent on climatic conditions (Pilorge *et al.*, 1983; Bauwens *et al.*, 1986; Van Damme *et al.*, 1986; Bauwens & Verheyen, 1987; Sorci *et al.*, 1996). The large phenotypic plasticity of this species' life-history traits is seen as an adaptative strategy to cope with environmental variability (Sorci *et al.*, 1996; Lorenzon *et al.*, 1999, 2001; Massot *et al.*, 2002). However, our study sites being at the southern margin of the species' range, the species is likely to be at the border of its phenotypic tolerance with respect to temperature and humidity. The common lizard in our study sites, therefore, appears as a good model for investigating the effect of global warming on terrestrial ectotherms.

## Material and methods

## The species

The common lizard (Lacerta vivipara J.) is a small (adult snout-vent length (SVL)  $\sim$  50–70 mm) live-bearing lacertid living in peat bogs and heath lands. It is widely distributed across Europe and Asia. Life-history traits vary between locations according to climatic conditions (Pilorge et al., 1983; Bauwens et al., 1986; Sorci et al., 1996). In the studied populations, hibernation lasts from October to April. Mating takes place in May, with parturition starting about 2 months later (late July). Three age classes can be distinguished: juveniles (born in the current year), yearlings (born the year before), and adults (  $\geq$  2 years). Growth is mainly restricted to the two youngest stages, whereas reproduction occurs only at the adult stage (Massot et al., 1992). The main prey species are small arthropods (spiders, homoptera, caterpillars). Unfortunately, we had no measure of the food levels across the study period.

## Study sites

We studied four unconnected populations (named CHA, ERM, PIM, ZDE) located on top of the Mont Lozère (Fig. 1:  $\sim 1430\,m,\,44^\circ 30'N,\,3^\circ 45'E,\,Massif$  Central, south-east France) at the southern limit of the species' range (Pilorge et al., 1983). No population exists below 1000 m of elevation in the Massif Central. Vegetation structure and composition vary between populations from dry heath land (Calluna vulgaris) to more humid meadows (herbaceous or moss cover). The shortest distance between the studied populations is 500 m (i.e. approximately five times more than the maximum dispersal distance observed in these populations (unpublished data)). In addition, in 16 years of intense individual monitoring by capture-recapture (more than 10000 recaptures), no individuals were found to move between the two closest populations.

## Climatic data

As the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change reported a sharp increase in global temperature since



Fig. 1 Localization of study sites on Mont Lozère. Site areas are approximately 0.5 ha.

1976 (IPCC, 2001), we collected temperature data from 1976 to 2004. Temperatures were recorded at a meteorological station situated at the same altitude, but 50 km south from the study sites. We also used temperature data collected from a meteorological station located closer to the study sites (100 m to 12 km). These data were available for a shorter and discontinuous time. As the temperature data of the two meteorological stations were highly correlated for each month (r = 0.830 - 0.887, n = 9-11, all P < 0.01), we only used data from the meteorological station with the complete time series. Following Huey's (1982) recommendation about the description of reptiles' thermal environment, we used monthly means of daily maximum temperature as a descriptor of temperature variation in our analyses. Certainly data on thermoregulation opportunities would have been more meaningful; however, such data were lacking, and are especially difficult to gather.

Local climates are often driven by large-scale climatic influences. Our study region is classified as being part of the Mediterranean biome, of which the climate has been shown to be related to the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO; Hurrel, 1995) and more recently to the Sahelian climate (Baldi *et al.*, 2003). We, therefore, used previous winter NAO index (calculated as the mean of monthly values from December to March) and present normalized summer rainfall over Sahel (calculated as the June–October rainfall) as potential large-scale predictors of local climate at our study sites. Both datasets were downloaded for the 1976–2001 period on the NOAA website at the following address: http://www.cdc.noaa.gov/ClimateIndices/Analysis/

## Biological data collection

Monitoring of life-history traits was conducted from 1984 to 2001 (thereafter referred as the study period) during capture sessions from June to July. Not all populations were sampled every year. Captured yearlings were measured and weighed at capture and immediately released. Gravid females were measured and weighed and then transferred to a field laboratory until parturition (less than 3 weeks). Each female was housed in an individual terrarium with a soil layer and a shelter. Heat and water were provided following a standard protocol (rearing conditions as in Massot & Clobert, 2000). Lizards were allowed to thermoregulate under a bulb (25W) for 6h a day. A larva of Pyralis farinalis (live weight =  $0.189 \pm 0.051$  SD, dry weight =  $0.075 \pm 0.025$  SD, n = 30) was provided once a week as a food item. After giving birth, each female was weighed, and hatchlings were measured and weighed. Reproductive investment was measured as the total clutch mass (weight before and after parturition) and was calculated only for clutches in which all new-borns were alive at birth. Females and hatchlings were then released in their population of origin at the female's last capture point. Individual identification was performed by a unique toe-clipped code made at first capture. Initial handling and toe-clipping have no effect on the probability of subsequent recapture and survival in the common lizard (Massot *et al.,* 1992). In the same way, toe-clipping does not affect the maximal sprint speed in this species (G. Sorci personnal communication) as also demonstrated for two other species of lizard (Huey *et al.,* 1990).

#### Analyses

We investigated changes in monthly temperature between April and October (i.e. when the lizards are active in our populations). Trends were assessed using secondorder polynomial regressions of temperature against time with stepwise backward selection ( $\alpha$  threshold  $\alpha_{\rm th} = 0.05$ ). We checked that observed trends during the study period were part of a longer warming by performing similar analysis on the full length of the dataset. We also investigated the correlation of local temperatures with large-scale climatic indexes (NAO, Sahel rainfall) using Pearson's correlations. Temporal trends in life-history traits were similarly assessed using second-order polynomial regressions with stepwise backward selection ( $\alpha_{th} = 0.05$ ). Climatic variables showing a significant trend along the study period were used as independent explanatory variables in multiple regression models to explain changes in life-history traits for each population. To keep all sources of heterogeneity, analyses were conducted at the individual level, with climate as a covariate. Because of a lack of appropriate data, adult survival was estimated for both sexes in only one population (ZDE) for the period 1989-2001 (no data for males in 1998). Survival rates were estimated with capture-mark-recapture models (following Lebreton et al., 1992) with recapture probabilities modelled as a function of year (Massot et al., 1992). We fitted models with constant survival, annual variability in survival (with or without linear trend), and survival linked to independent climatic variables. The best model was chosen using corrected Akaïke information criterion (See Lebreton et al., 1992; Burnham & Anderson, 2002).

## Results

## Temperature trends

Temperature in May, June, and August increased significantly during the study period while temperature of

Table 1	Trends	in	temperature	(1984-2001)
---------	--------	----	-------------	-------------

	F-ratio	Р
April	$F_{2,16} = 0.254$	0.779
May	$F_{1,17} = 10.63$	0.005
June	$F_{1,17} = 4.570$	0.047
July	$F_{2,16} = 1.146$	0.343
August	$F_{2,16} = 3.870$	0.042
September	$F_{2,16} = 1.346$	0.288
October	$F_{2,16} = 2.224$	0.140

Results from second-order polynomial regressions with stepwise backward selection ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

Boldface indicates significant trends.

other months did not show any trend (Table 1). Therefore, we used May, June and August temperature in subsequent analyses. These 3 months experienced a similar increase of around 3.7 °C since 1976 (Fig. 2). From 1976 to 2001 temperature in May and August only were marginally correlated to the NAO index (May: r = 0.386, P = 0.052; June: r = 0.139, P = 0.497; August: r = 0.476, P = 0.014) and correlation with Sahel rainfall was close to significance for all months (May: r = 0.384, P = 0.053; June: r = 0.387, P = 0.051; August: r = 0.346, P = 0.083). When restricted to the study period, temperature in May, June, and August were not related to the NAO index (May: r = 0.303, P = 0.208; June: r = -0.015, P = 0.952; August: r = 0.277, P = 0.251) but were all positively correlated with the Sahel rainfall (May: r = 0.476, P = 0.039; June: r = 0.495, P = 0.031; August: r = 0.494, P = 0.032).

## Body length and temperature

All populations showed a consistent increase in yearling SVL over the study period (Fig. 3a). Yearling SVL markedly increased from 1984 to 1996 and stabilized thereafter. As captures of yearlings occurred during the June–July period, temperature in May and June of the year of capture and temperature in August of the previous year were considered as potential climate drivers. Because body size changes rapidly during the yearling stage, we included, as a correcting factor, the date of capture as an individual covariate in all analyses. Temperature in August, May, and June were all related to yearling SVL (Table 2a). The effect of May temperature, however, appeared inconsistent across populations and was therefore removed for further analyses. Both August and June temperature were significantly related to yearling body size in all populations (Table 2b), and were not correlated to one another (r = -0.005, P = 0.985). Overall explanatory power of August temperature was much higher than June

temperature in three populations out of four, and both were low in the remaining fourth population (Table 2b). August temperature was strongly correlated to yearling SVL in three populations and only weakly in the PIM population (Fig. 4). Fitting a common trend to all populations shows that while August temperature increased by 2.2 °C between 1984 and 2001, yearling SVL increased by 8.7 mm, a rough 28% augmentation. This increase in yearling SVL clearly impacted adult female SVL, which increased in all populations (Fig. 3b). How-



**Fig. 2** Temporal trends in May, June and August mean maximum temperature. Slopes do not differ statistically (ANCOVA: year:  $F_{1,81} = 36.532$ , P < 0.001, month:  $F_{2,81} = 139.276$ , P < 0.001; year × month:  $F_{2,81} = 0.089$ , P = 0.915).

ever, SVL changes in the CHA population slightly differ from the others populations by staying almost stable after the 2 first years (Fig. 3b). Overall, female SVL increased during the first decade, and then stabilized in all populations around 64 mm (Fig. 3b). Body size gain in female SVL was of the same magnitude than the one of yearling individuals (Fig. 3a, b).

#### Reproductive traits, female body size and temperature

Total reproductive investment (i.e. clutch mass) was highly correlated to clutch size in all populations (Pearson's correlations on yearly standardized and normalized data to remove any year effect; CHA: r = 0.943, n = 185, P < 0.001; ERM: r = 0.949, n = 175, P < 0.001; PIM: r = 0.927, n = 381, P < 0.001; ZDE: r = 0.939, n = 453, P < 0.001). Over the study period, clutch size increased in three populations (Fig. 5a), partly through indirect consequences of change in body size, as indicated by the positive relationship between fecundity and female SVL in all populations (Pearson's correlations on yearly standardized and normalized data; CHA: r = 0.543, n = 249, P < 0.001; ERM: r = 0.643, n = 247, P < 0.001; PIM: r = 0.533, n = 528, P < 0.001; ZDE: r = 0.602, n = 741, P < 0.001,). Clutch size, however, decreased in the CHA population (Fig. 5b). Reproductive investment increased in three populations (ERM:  $F_{1.174} = 16.16$ , P < 0.001; PIM:  $F_{1.379} = 12.51$ , P < 0.001; ZDE:  $F_{2.450} = 18.13$ , P < 0.001) and show an



**Fig. 3** Temporal changes in a) mean yearling snout-vent-length (SVL) corrected for date of capture and b) mean adult female SVL in the four study populations. SVL significantly increase in both age-classes in all populations (yearlings: CHA:  $F_{2,352} = 239.40$ , P < 0.001; ERM:  $F_{2,348} = 223.40$ , P < 0.001; PIM:  $F_{2,415} = 77.60$ , P < 0.001; ZDE:  $F_{2,1124} = 134.40$ , P < 0.001; adult females: CHA:  $F_{2,248} = 17.27$ , P < 0.001; ERM:  $F_{2,248} = 92.44$ , P < 0.001; PIM:  $F_{2,531} = 10.47$ , P < 0.001; ZDE:  $F_{2,742} = 35.70$ , P < 0.001). SD are not shown for clarity. Same scale apply to both panels.

	Temperature			
	Capture date	August	May	June
(a) Full model				
CHA	$F_{1,350} = 207.875^{***}$	$F_{1.350} = 230.386^{***}$	$F_{1,350} = 2.668^{\text{ns}}$	$F_{1,350} = 101.330^{***}$
ERM	$F_{1,346} = 146.624^{***}$	$F_{1,346} = 131.478^{***}$	$F_{1,346} = 4.138^*$	$F_{1,346} = 122.168^{***}$
PIM	$F_{1,413} = 191.908^{***}$	$F_{1,413} = 11.386^{***}$	$F_{1,413} = 1.102^{\text{ns}}$	$F_{1,413} = 18.279^{***}$
ZDE	$F_{1,1122} = 956.364^{***}$	$F_{1,1122} = 179.157^{***}$	$F_{1,1122} = 48.146^{***}$	$F_{1,1122} = 7.774^{**}$
(b) Model testing for August and June temperature effects (May removed)				
CHA	$F_{1,351} = 205.730^{***}$	$F_{1,351} = 388.210^{***}$		$F_{1.351} = 101.620^{***}$
ERM	$F_{1,347} = 179.150^{***}$	$F_{1,347} = 364.020^{***}$		$F_{1,347} = 143.140^{***}$
PIM	$F_{1,414} = 213.829^{***}$	$F_{1,414} = 10.335^{***}$		$F_{1,414} = 17.556^{***}$
ZDE	$F_{1,1123} = 930.206^{***}$	$F_{1,1123} = 155.058^{***}$		$F_{1,1123} = 4.848^*$

 Table 2
 Relationship between yearling snout-vent length (SVL) and date of capture, previous-year August temperature, May and June temperature in the four populations (CHA, ERM, PIM, ZDE)

\*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*P < 0.01, \*P < 0.5, nsP > 0.1.



**Fig. 4** Relationship between August temperature of the previous year and mean yearling snout-vent length (SVL) (corrected for date of capture) in the four study populations. See Table 2 for statistics.

almost significant decline in CHA ( $F_{1,184} = 3.57$ , P = 0.060,  $\beta = -0.010 \pm 0.005$  SE). However, once corrected by female body size, the total clutch mass remained almost constant over years (Table 3).

#### Survival and temperature

The best fitted model of survival rates for both males and females in the ZDE population was obtained by including an effect of May temperature (Table 4), which was positively correlated to survival rates (Fig. 6). Integration of June or August temperature produced significantly higher AICc ( $\Delta$ AICc > 2; Burnham & Anderson, 2002), and therefore, did not improve the model (Table 4).



**Fig. 5** Temporal changes of clutch size in the four study populations: (a) clutch size significantly increase in three populations (ERM:  $F_{1,254} = 17.25$ , P < 0.001; PIM:  $F_{1,530} = 20.91$ , P < 0.001; ZDE:  $F_{2,740} = 35.35$ , P < 0.001) and (b) clutch size significantly decrease in the CHA population ( $F_{1,273} = 3.99$ , P = 0.047). SD are not shown for clarity.

#### Discussion

We provide, here, the first comprehensive study on the potential effect of a raise in temperature on fitness changes in an ectothermic terrestrial vertebrate, the common lizard. Temperature during spring and summer rose during the past 29 years on the Mont Lozère. This raise in temperature was correlated with an increase in body size, which induced an increase in the reproductive outcome for most of the sampled

**Table 3** Effects of year (as category) and female snout-ventlength (SVL) on total clutch mass

	SVL	Year	Year*SVL
CHA ERM PIM ZDE	$F_{1,152} = 39.055^{***}$ $F_{1,145} = 61.191^{***}$ $F_{1,353} = 99.959^{***}$ $F_{1,426} = 273.109^{***}$	$F_{11,152} = 1.410^{\text{ns}}$ $F_{11,145} = 1.603^{\text{ns}}$ $F_{12,353} = 1.709^{\&}$ $F_{12,426} = 1.072^{\text{ns}}$	$F_{11,152} = 1.491^{\text{ns}}$ $F_{11,145} = 1.799^{\&}$ $F_{12,353} = 1.734^{\&}$ $F_{12,426} = 1.151^{\text{ns}}$

\*\*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*P < 0.01, \*P < 0.5, & P < 0.1, ns P > 0.1.

 Table 4
 AICc values of survival estimates models

	Males	Females
Phi(year) <i>P</i> (year)	723.85	2770.41
Phi(Tmay) P(year)	713.45	2766.47
Phi(Tjune) P(year)	721.52	2773.91
Phi(Taugust) P(year)	726.26	2773.33
Phi(Trend) P(year)	717.65	2773.75
Phi(Constant) P(year)	724.25	2772.45

Phi; Survival rate; *P*; Capture probability. In brackets: modeled source of variability influencing Phi and *P*.

Boldface indicates lower AICc model for both sexes.

populations. In the same time, adult survival was also positively correlated to the temperature in spring. All the fitness components therefore appeared boosted by local warming.

#### Climatic evolution in south-east France

The increase in spring and summer temperature observed in our study region matched those reported in other studies at the France (Moisselin et al., 2002) or at the European level (e.g. Crick & Sparks, 1999; Sanz et al., 2003). This corroborates the general finding that, in most geographical parts of Europe, the spring and summer have become warmer in the last decades. Both NAO index and Sahel rainfall revealed positive correlation with local climate in the study region, although Sahel rainfall appears as a more reliable large-scale descriptor for the study area. NAO index has been intensively used to demonstrate climatic-driven population dynamics in northern Europe (see references in Mysterud et al., 2003), but its effect on local climate is weakened in the south part of Europe (Hurrel, 1995). Our study area is likely to be at the interface of two large-scale climatic regions and is under the influence of NAO and of convective cells determining Sahel rainfall (Giannini et al., 2003). It is likely that many places are under such mixed effects. Although it was beyond the scope of this paper, it poses the question of the value



**Fig. 6** Relationship between May temperature and adult survival for males (plain dots) and females (full dots) in the ZDE population. See text for details on the model selection and statistics.

and the way to disentangle respective influence of several climate proxys (Almaraz & Amat, 2004).

#### Climate driver of body size

Global warming has shown to affect the ecology of birds and mammals in most parts of Western Europe (Crick & Sparks, 1999; Dunn & Winkler, 1999; Post et al., 1999; Gibbs & Breisch, 2001; Sanz et al., 2003; Visser et al., 2003; Gordo et al., 2005; Sparks et al., 2005). Not surprisingly, we found the same in the case of the common lizard. Indeed, yearling body size increased by about 28% in 18 years, and this change was correlated to an increase in temperature in August and June. Although body size is one of the easiest life-history trait to describe, studies showing a response of body size or body size index to climate change are rare in terrestrial species (Post et al., 1997; Yom-Tov, 2001; Wikelski & Romero, 2003), while it is more commonly reported in aquatic ecosystems (e.g. Panov & McQueen, 1998; Pyper & Peterman, 1999). However, most of the studied cases in terrestrial ecosystems concern endothermic species where body size is less dependent on ambient thermal conditions compared to ectothermic species (Atkinson & Thorndyke, 2001). Body size variation in reptiles is a common phenomenon and has been related to climatic conditions, both at a geographical (following a converse Bergmann's rule: Ashton & Feldman, 2003) and temporal scale (Avery, 1985; Bauwens & Verheyen, 1987; Sinervo & Adolph, 1994; Wikelski & Romero, 2003). Change in habitat type and structure has also been shown to influence body size (Summer et al., 1999). In the common lizard the direct effect of temperature has been highlighted by many studies. Although reptiles have developed behavioural adaptations which allow them to actively thermoregulate and, therefore, buffer climatic variations (Avery, 1985), Heulin (1987) showed that, in the field, body temperature of common lizards is highly correlated to environmental temperature. This suggests that thermal conditions variability affects the time a lizard can be active at its preferred body temperature (  $\sim$  28–33 °C; Avery, 1985). This should be true in particular for the youngest stages as heating and cooling rates are negatively related to body size in lizards (Martín et al., 1995). Moreover, Lorenzon et al. (1999, 2001) experimentally demonstrated in the common lizard that growth rate at the juvenile and yearling stages were affected by environmental conditions (temperature, humidity) even when controlling for food. Temperature, therefore, affects the time devoted to foraging activities, as well as the efficiency of the physiological processes involved in growth (Avery et al., 1982; Waldschmidt et al., 1986; Van Damme et al., 1991). It follows that, although we cannot reject the hypothesis of an increase in food resources in the field, a direct effect of the temperature on metabolism might be sufficient to explain the observed increase in yearling body size. Moreover, the raise in temperature can increase thermoregulation opportunities, which in turn could increase diurnal activity and food consumption. However, these results call for experimental manipulations to disentangle between direct (metabolism, thermoregulation constraints) and resource-related (food availability) effects.

Somewhat surprisingly, a temperature change restricted to a very limited period of time (i.e. immediately after birth), had a major effect on individual body size measured at the yearling stage, 6 months later (excluding hibernation). This indicates that higher growth rates were maintained even after the short period of time when temperature increased. In the same species, Massot et al. (1992) similarly found that competition experienced early in life affect individual body size up to the adult stage. As physiological processes underlying growth (from digestion to conversion) are almost strictly temperature-dependent (Waldschmidt et al., 1986), the most likely explanation for a sustained difference in growth rate might be that an increase in body size early in life is associated to a permanent increase in either foraging time or efficiency later in life. For example, larger animals move faster (Sorci & Clobert, 1996), are more endurant (Sorci & Clobert, 1996), ingest larger prey (Avery et al., 1982), and their relative metabolic needs are lower.

## Fitness components and temperature

In most reptiles, the volume of female abdominal cavity constrains the reproductive output by limiting egg

number, entailing a strong positive relationship between fecundity and body size (Clobert et al., 1998). Although a higher abdominal volume could lead to either numerous or larger eggs, an increase in fecundity is the most dominant response to an increase in female body size (Bauwens & Verheyen, 1987; Sinervo, 1990; Massot et al., 1992). This probably arises from anatomical constraints on egg size at parturition (Sinervo & Licht, 1991; Clark et al., 2001; Bowden et al., 2004) and from the likely higher fitness gain from increasing offspring number rather than their size (but see Lindström, 1999). In this study, the female reproductive output increased in three out of four populations and was partly a byproduct of the increase in female body size, itself because of body size increase at earlier stages. These results confirm that early development can have major effects on lifetime reproductive success of many organisms (Lindström, 1999), and that such cohort effects should be carefully looked for as they could create large delays in species' response to climate change (Post et al., 1997; Forchhammer et al., 2001).

Adult survival rates of males and females were positively related to May temperature. As stated above, an increase in temperature allows for longer and more efficient foraging, and therefore, enhances resource acquisition. This should decrease the relative costs of maintenance and reproduction, and, by relaxing the time constraint, diminish the strength of trade-offs among competing activities. Other nonexclusive mechanisms might also operate, such as a reduction of exposure to predators by the shorter time needed for thermoregulation (Avery *et al.*, 1982; Avery, 1985; Downes, 2001) or by a higher escaping success because of improved speed and endurance (Avery & Bond, 1989; Sorci & Clobert, 1996).

## Individual versus habitat-based models

We found that environmental warming had a positive impact on all life-history traits studied. This raises two questions. The first one is why the species did not colonize lower altitude, warmer, habitats? Humidity constraints are likely to be the primary explanation for the absence of populations below a certain elevation (1000 m) in the Massif Central. Indeed, even at our populations elevation, a relative absence of running water or lower precipitation (i.e. a low humidity rate at the ground level), has a strong negative effect on the population density and species presence (Lorenzon et al., 2001). The humidity rate at the ground level is governed by multiple factors, an important factor being temperature. This suggests that more than elevation itself (although being a good proxy), what governs the species presence and density is the interplay between

humidity and temperature. This leads to the second question: can we extrapolate these results to even larger temperature increases? Two lines of arguments strongly militate against this view, one at the individual and one at the habitat level.

At the individual level, a further increase in temperature will most likely result in a restriction of the time devoted to foraging because of increased thermoregulation. Indeed, in ectotherms, the optimal response of morphological, physiological and behavioural functions has a bell-shaped relationship with temperature (Avery, 1985) i.e. after some temperature threshold value the organism functions suboptimally.

At the habitat level, a further raise in temperature could increase evaporation and soil dryness and threaten humid habitats like peat bogs and heath lands (IPCC, 2001), the main habitats of the common lizard in the study region. Persistence of these populations on the long term are, therefore, linked to the ability of the ecosystem to escape global warming by shifting at higher altitude (Pounds et al., 1999; Walther et al., 2002). In the southern part of its range, the common lizard is only present in isolated habitats at a minimum elevation of 1000 m (Pilorge et al., 1983). At these locations potential range elevation for altitudinal shifts is low and such shifts are likely to increase the habitat fragmentation. A continuous rise in temperature could then decrease on the long term the amount of suitable habitats and therefore increase local extinction risks. It was, however, argued that global warming could increase rainfall over Western Europe (IPCC, 2001) and compensate for the effect of the temperature increase. However, data do not support such hypothesis in our study area because of annual rainfall did not increase since 1976 ( $F_{1,27} = 1.099$ , P = 0.304). In a general survey of existing populations in the south part of the region, one common lizard population (located on Mont Caroux, 43°37'N, 2°53'E) is already extinct in a location that experienced dramatic drying (B. Sinervo and J. Clobert, personal observations). Climatic refuges are, therefore, likely to become climatic traps in the context of global warming for species with limited dispersal capacities.

## Conclusions

Our results support previous demonstrations of timelags in biological responses to environmental warming. Here, we identified a correlation between spring temperatures and survival, and a delayed response of reproduction to changes in temperature experienced early in life through an increase in individual body size. A strong background of observations and experiments supports the view that body size response to climate change should be common in terrestrial ectotherm vertebrates, but very few had been reported before. Because it can have major effect on population dynamics and survival of these species, and because ectotherms in general are at the base of many food webs, we strongly call for more data on these under studied taxa.

Our results also highlight a potential discrepancy between individual and habitat-based predictions. Suitable habitats of common lizard in the study region, at the southern margin of the species distribution, are likely to disappear, and have probably already shrunk. However, the factor driving this decline – the increase in temperature – had only positive effects on individual fitness. Both individual- and habitat-based approaches are necessary to fully understand species' response to climate change.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the CNRS and the French Ministry of Environment (ORE Program). We thank Robert Wielgus, three anonymous reviewers and Don Pedro d'Alfaroubeira for helpful comments on the manuscript. We are indebted to all people involved in field and laboratory data collection required during the study period. Météo France generously provided climatic data from the 'Observatoire du Mont Aigoual'. We thank the 'Parc National des Cévennes' and the 'Office National des Forêts' for providing logistical support.

#### References

- Almaraz P, Amat JA (2004) Complex structural effects of two hemispheric climatic oscillators on the regional spatio-temporal expansion of a threatened bird. *Ecology Letters*, **7**, 547–556.
- Andrews RM (1982) Patterns of growth in reptiles. In: *Biology of the Reptilia*, Vol. 13 (eds Gans C, Pough FH), pp. 273–320. Academic Press, New York.
- Ashton KG, Feldman CR (2003) Bergmann's rule in nonavian reptiles: turtles follow it, lizards and snakes reverse it. *Evolution*, **57**, 1151–1163.
- Atkinson D, Thorndyke M (2001) Animal Developmental Ecology. BIOS Scientific Publishers Ltd, Oxford.
- Avery RA (1985) Thermoregulatory behaviour of reptiles in the field and in captivity. In: *Reptiles: Breeding, Behaviour and Veterinary Aspects* (eds Townson S, Lawrence K), pp. 45–59. Brithish Herpetological Society, London.
- Avery RA, Bedford JD, Newcombe CP (1982) The role of thermoregulation in lizard biology: predatory efficiency in a temperate diurnal basker. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 11, 261–267.
- Avery RA, Bond DJ (1989) Movement patterns of lacertid lizards: effects of temperature on speed, pauses and gait in *Lacerta vivipara*. *Amphibia-Reptilia*, **10**, 77–84.
- Baldi M, Crisci A, Dalu GA et al. (2003) Mediterranean summer climate and the moonson regimes. *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, 5, 12042–12043.

- Barbraud C, Weimerskirch H (2001) Emperor penguins and climate change. *Nature*, **411**, 183–186.
- Bauwens D, Heulin B, Pilorge T (1986). Variations spatio-temporelles des caractéristiques démographiques dans et entre population du lézard Lacerta vivipara. Colloque National CNRS "Biologie des Populations". Lyon, France.
- Bauwens D, Verheyen RF (1987) Variation of reproductive traits in a population of the lizard *Lacerta vivipara*. *Holarctic Ecology*, **10**, 120–127.
- Beebee T (1995) Amphibian breeding and climate. *Nature*, **374**, 219–220.
- Bowden RM, Harms HK, Paitz RT *et al.* (2004) Does optimal egg size vary with demographic stage because of a physiological constraint? *Functional Ecology*, **18**, 522–529.
- Brown JH, Valone TJ, Curtin CG (1997) Reorganization of an arid ecosystem in response to recent climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA*, **94**, 9729–9733.
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2002) *Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretical Approach*, (2nd ed.n). Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Clark PJ, Ewert MA, Nelson CE (2001) Physical apertures as constraint on egg size and shape in the Common Musk turtle, Sternotheros odoratus. *Functional Ecology*, 15, 70–77.
- Clobert J, Garland Jr T, Barbault R (1998) The evolution of demographic tactics in lizards: a test of some hypotheses concerning life history evolution. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **11**, 329–364.
- Crick HQP, Sparks TH (1999) Climate change related to egglaying trends. *Nature*, **399**, 423–424.
- Davis AJ, Jenkinson LS, Lawton JH *et al.* (1998) Making mistakes when predicting shifts in species range in response to global warming. *Nature*, **391**, 783–786.
- Downes S (2001) Trading heat and food for safety: costs of predator avoidance in a lizard. *Ecology*, **82**, 2870–2881.
- Dunn PO, Winkler DW (1999) Climate change has affected the breeding date of tree swallows throughout North America. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London – Series B*, 266, 2487– 2490.
- Forchhammer MC, Clutton-Brock TH, Lindström J *et al.* (2001) Climate and population density induce long-term cohort variation in a northern ungulate. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **70**, 721–729.
- Giannini A, Saravanan R, Chang P (2003) Oceanic forcing of Sahel rainfall on interannual to interdecadal time scales. *Science*, **302**, 1027–1030.
- Gibbs JP, Breisch AR (2001) Climate warming and calling phenology of frogs near Ithaca, New York, 1900–1999. *Conservation Biology*, **15**, 1175–1178.
- Gordo O, Brotons L, Ferrer X *et al.* (2005) Do changes in climate patterns in wintering areas affect the timing of the srping arrival of trans-Saharan migrant birds? *Global Change Biology*, 11, 12–21.
- Harrington R, Woiwod I, Sparks T (1999) Climate change and trophic interactions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **14**, 146– 150.
- Hays GC, Broderick AC, Glen F et al. (2003) Climate change and sea-turtles: a 150-year reconstruction of incubation tempera-

ture at a major marine turtle rookery. *Global Change Biology*, 9, 642–646.

- Heulin B (1987) Température diurnes d'activité des males et des femelles de *Lacerta vivipara*. *Amphibia-Reptilia*, **8**, 393–400.
- Huey RB (1982) Temperature, physiology, and the ecology of reptiles. In: *Biology of the Reptilia*, **Vol. 12** (eds Gans C, Pough FH), pp. 25–91. Academic Press, New York.
- Huey RB, Dunham AE, Overall KL *et al.* (1990) Variation in locomotor performance in demographically known populations of the lizard *Sceloporus merriami*. *Physiological Zoology*, 63, 845–872.
- Hughes L (2000) Biological consequences of global warming: is the signal already apparent? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **15**, 56–61.
- Hurrel JW (1995) Decadal trends in the North Atlantic Oscillation: regional temperature and precipitation. *Science*, **269**, 676– 679.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2001) Climate change 2001: Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (eds McCarthy JJ, Canziani OF, Leary NA, Dokken DJ, White KS), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Janzen FJ (1994) Climate change and temperature-dependent sex determination in reptiles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA*, **91**, 7487–7490.
- Lebreton JD, Burnham KH, Clobert J *et al.* (1992) Modelling survival and testing biological hypotheses using marked animals: a unified approach with case studies. *Ecological Monographs*, **62**, 67–118.
- Léna JP, Clobert J, de Fraipont M *et al.* (1998) The relative influence of density and kinship on dispersal in the common lizard. *Behavioral Ecology*, **9**, 500–507.
- Lindström J (1999) Early development and fitness in birds and mammals. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **14**, 343–348.
- Lorenzon P, Clobert J, Massot M (2001) The contribution of phenotypic plasticity to adaptation in *Lacerta vivipara*. *Evolution*, **55**, 392–404.
- Lorenzon P, Clobert J, Oppliger A *et al.* (1999) Effect of water constraint on growth rate, activity and body temperature of yearling commune lizard (*Lacerta vivipara*). Oecologia, **118**, 423– 430.
- Martín J, López P, Carrascal LM *et al.* (1995) Basking postural adjustments in the high altitude rock lizard (*Lacerta monticola*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **73**, 1065–1068.
- Massot M, Clobert J (2000) Processes at the origin of similarities in dispersal behaviour among siblings. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **13**, 707–719.
- Massot M, Clobert J, Lorenzon P *et al.* (2002) Condition-dependent dispersal and ontogeny of the dispersal behaviour: an experimental approach. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **71**, 253–261.
- Massot M, Clobert J, Pilorge T *et al.* (1992) Density dependence in the common lizard: demographic consequences of a density manipulation. *Ecology*, **73**, 1742–1756.
- McCarty JP (2001) Ecological consequences of recent climate change. *Conservation Biology*, **15**, 320–331.
- Moisselin JM, Schneider M, Canellas C et al. (2002) Les changements climatiques en France au XX<sup>e</sup> siècle. La Météorologie, 38, 45–56.
- © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Global Change Biology, 12, 392-402

## 402 S. CHAMAILLÉ-JAMMES et al.

- Mysterud A, Stenseth NC, Yoccoz NG *et al.* (2003) The response of the terrestrial ecosystems to climate variability associated with the North Atlantic oscillation. In: *The North Atlantic Oscillations. Climatic Significance and Environmental Impact* (eds Hurrel JW, Kushnir Y, Ottersen G, Visbek M), pp. 235– 262. American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC.
- Niewiarosky PH, Roosenburg WM (1993) Reciprocal transplant reveals sources of variation in growth rates of the lizard *Sceloporus undulatus. Ecology*, **74**, 1992–2002.
- Panov VE, McQueen DJ (1998) Effects of temperature on individual growth rate and body size of a freshwater amphipod. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **76**, 1107–1116.
- Parmesan C, Yohe G (2003) A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature*, 421, 37–42.
- Peñuelas J, Filella I, Comas P (2002) Changed plant and animal life cycles from 1952 to 2000 in the Mediterranean region. *Global Change Biology*, **8**, 531–544.
- Peterson AT, Ortega-Huerta MA, Bartley J *et al.* (2002) Future projections for Mexican faunas under global climate change scenarios. *Nature*, **416**, 626–629.
- Pilorge T, Xavier F, Barbault R (1983) Variations in litter size and reproductive effort within and between some populations of *Lacerta vivipara*. *Holarctic Ecology*, **6**, 381–386.
- Post E, Forchhammer MC, Stenseth NC *et al.* (1999) Extrinsic modification of vertebrate sex ratios by climatic variation. *The American Naturalist*, **154**, 194–204.
- Post E, Stenseth NC, Langvatn R et al. (1997) Global climate change and phenotypic variation among red deer cohorts. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London – Series B, 264, 1317– 1324.
- Pounds JA, Fogden MPL, Campbell JH (1999) Biological response to climate change on a tropical mountain. *Nature*, 398, 611–615.
- Pyper BJ, Peterman RM (1999) Relationship among adult body length, abundance, and ocean temperature for British Columbian and Alaska sockeye salmon (*Onchorhynchus nerka*) 1967–1997. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, 56, 1716–1720.
- Réale D, McAdam AG, Boutin S *et al.* (2003) Genetic and plastic responses of a northern mammal to climate change. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London – Series B*, 270, 591–596.
- Robert KA, Thompson MB (2001) Viviparous lizard selects sex of embryos. *Nature*, 412, 698.
- Sanz JJ, Potti J, Moreno J *et al.* (2003) Climate change and fitness components of a migratory bird breeding in the Mediterranean region. *Global Change Biology*, 9, 461–472.
- Sinervo B (1990) The evolution of maternal investment in lizards: an experimental and comparative analysis of egg size and its effect on offspring performance. *Evolution*, 44, 279–294.
- Sinervo B, Adolph SC (1989) Thermal sensitivity of hatchling growth in *Sceloporus* lizards: environmental, behavioral and genetic aspects. *Oecologia*, **78**, 411–417.

- Sinervo B, Adolph SC (1994) Growth plasticity and thermal opportunity in *Sceloporus* lizards. *Ecology*, 75, 776–790.
- Sinervo B, Licht P (1991) Proximate constraints on the evolution of egg size, number, and total clutch mass in lizards. *Science*, 252, 1300–1302.
- Sorci G, Clobert J (1996) Environmental maternal effects on locomotor performance in the common lizard (*Lacerta vivipara*). Evolutionary Ecology, **11**, 531–542.
- Sorci G, Clobert J, Bélichon S (1996) Phenotypic plasticity of growth and survival in the common lizard *Lacerta vivipara*. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 65, 781–790.
- Sparks TH, Bairlein F, Bojarinova JG et al. (2005) Examining the total arrival distribution of migratory birds. *Global Change Biology*, **11**, 22–30.
- Stenseth NC, Mysterud A (2002) Climate, changing phenology, and other life history traits: nonlinearity and match-mismatch to the environment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA*, 99, 13379–13381.
- Summer J, Moritz C, Shine R (1999) Shrinking forest shrinks skink: morphological change in response to rainforest fragmentation in the prickly forest skink (*Gnypetoscincus queenslandiae*). *Biological Conservation*, **91**, 159–167.
- Thomas CD, Cameron A, Green RE *et al.* (2004) Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature*, **427**, 145–148.
- Van Damme R, Bauwens D, Verheyen RF (1986) Selected body temperatures in the lizard *Lacerta vivipara*: variation within and between populations. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, **11**, 219– 222.
- Van Damme R, Bauwens D, Verheyen RF (1991) The thermal dependence of feeding behaviour, food consumption and gutpassage time in the lizard Uta stansburiana. Physiological Zoology, 60, 678–686.
- Visser ME, Holleman LJM (2001) Warmer springs disrupt the synchrony of oak and winter moth phenology. *Proceedings of* the Royal Society of London – Series B, 268, 289–294.
- Visser ME, Adriaensen F, van Balen JH et al. (2003) Variable responses to large-scale climate change in European Parus populations. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London – Series B, 270, 367–372.
- Waldschmidt SR, Jones SM, Porter WP (1986) The effect of body temperature and feeding regime on activity, passage time and digestive coefficient in the lizard *Uta stansburiana*. *Physiological Zoology*, **59**, 376–383.
- Walther G-R, Post E, Convey P *et al.* (2002) Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature*, **416**, 389–395.
- Wikelski M, Romero LM (2003) Body size, performance and fitness in Galapagos marine iguanas. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **43**, 376–386.
- Winkler DW, Dunn PO, McCulloch CE (2002) Predicting the effects of climate change on avian life-history traits. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Science USA, 99, 13595–13599.
- Yom-Tov Y (2001) Global warming and body mass decline in Israeli passerine birds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* – *Series B*, **268**, 947–952.