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ABSTRACT

This review provides an overview of the recent achievements in catalytic process development for alkyne hydrogenations. It underlines the necessity of simultaneous optimization over different length scales from molecular/nano-scale of active phase, up-to macro-scale of catalytic reactor design. One case study, the hydrogenation of 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol, is analyzed in detail to illustrate the practical application of this approach. Finally, it presents the personal view of the authors concerning the new trends and paths available in the field.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Sustainable processing with minimal environmental impact has been recognized as one of the major challenges of this century (1). As a result of the severe restrictions in environmental legislation, the chemical industry is now undergoing a progressive redefinition. Catalytic technology, as a fundamental tool for green chemistry, has an unprecedented enabling potential for sustainable production. Heterogeneous catalysts are of utmost importance in the fine chemical industry. The typical design in these systems is based on an active phase, main responsible for the catalytic performance (activity and selectivity), immobilized on a suitable support. This avoids agglomeration of the active species during chemical reaction and enables an easy catalyst recovery. The conventional methodology applied for catalyst optimization has been an empirical “trial-and-error” approach which results, at best, in slight or incremental improvements of their performance. Moreover, it is greatly based on speculation and is, in practice, laborious and time consuming. With the concomitant advance in theoretical understanding and the development of computational power, a new era of rational catalyst design (RCD) is dawning (2). This approach is based on a multidisciplinary combination of new advances in synthesis, characterization and modeling with the ultimate aim of predicting the catalyst’s behavior based on chemical composition, molecular structure and morphology (3).

Given the multi-component nature of an heterogeneous catalyst, it is necessary to bear in mind that its overall performance depends not only on the contribution of the active component, but also on other factors such as the interplay between the catalytically active species and the surrounding environment, and the type of chemical reactor where the process is carried out (Figure 1). Therefore, RCD must span over multiple levels of complexity, from the molecular or nano-scale involving the design of the active sites to the macro-scale design
of the industrial reactor where the catalyst is bound to operate, since they are in direct interaction influencing the overall performance.

The catalytic partial hydrogenation (semi-hydrogenation) of alkynes is of special relevance in the bulk and fine chemical industries (4) since it is an efficient method for production of alkenes. This process is conventionally performed over quinoline-promoted, CaCO$_3$ supported Pd catalyst partially poisoned with lead, which is known as Lindlar’s catalyst (5,6). However, the application of this conventional catalytic system to the alkynes hydrogenation is sometimes problematic due to selectivity issue (over-hydrogenation to alkanes), limited catalyst robustness and reuse. Moreover, the catalyst deactivation, the presence of toxic lead and the need for the addition of an amine modifier are the main drawbacks of this catalytic system (7). Therefore, during the past few years a significant number of publications were devoted to alkyne hydrogenation processes. Given the requirement of simultaneous consideration of different levels for optimum catalytic process design, this review is focused on the analysis of the different scale lengths (nano, micro and macro) applied to the catalytic selective C≡C to C=C hydrogenation with special emphasis on the literature published over the past decade.

Instead of merely providing an enumeration of the articles dealing with catalytic semi-hydrogenation over the different scale lengths, a representative compilation of studies is given in Tables 1 and 2 for gas and liquid phase operations, respectively.

The information shown serves to illustrate: (a) the range of reactions that have been investigated; (b) the operating temperatures and pressures; (c) the catalytic performance in terms of activity (presented as conversion ($X$)) and product distribution (in terms of selectivity ($S_i$)); (d) the nature of the catalytic systems that have been investigated at different scale lengths, i.e. nano (metal and precursor/stabilizer), meso (support) and macro-level (reactor).
Of direct relevance to this work, we should mention the overview on hydrogenation of carbon-carbon multiple bonds published by Molnár and co-workers in 2001 (86), which has since been supplemented by the reports of Borodziński and Bond (87,88) on selective hydrogenation of ethyne in ethene-rich streams over palladium catalysts and the recent examination of the theoretical work to elucidate the catalytic properties required for selective alkyne hydrogenation in mixtures by López and Vargas Fuentes (89). The critical role of a combined (catalyst, process and reactor) design strategy for optimizing heterogeneous catalysis was illustrated in a review by Sie and Krishna in 1998 (90), which have been since covered in more recent publications (2,91).

This Review contains two main parts. Firstly, a critical analysis of the pertinent literature dealing with catalyst design for selective C≡C to C=C hydrogenations across the three scale lengths previously reported is provided and new trends are underlined. In the second part, a case study, the hydrogenation of 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol (MBY) is presented for illustrative purposes. Finally, the Review ends with a consideration of directions for the future.

2. MULTI-LEVEL APPROACH FOR CATALYTIC ALKYNE HYDROGENATION

The selective C≡C hydrogenation (to the correspondent olefin) is an important process in industry for both the production of intermediates in the manufacture of fine chemicals (63), and in bulk chemistry, e.g. ethylene hydrogenation during the synthesis of polyethylene (global annual production of 50 million tons (92)) and the purification of alkene streams for the upgrade of low weight fractions from stream crackers.

The open literature on selective alkyne hydrogenation reports the process carried out in both gas (see Table 1) and liquid-phase (see Table 2). It can be seen that this type of reaction has been primarily carried out over powdered catalysts based on mono-metallic Pd and, to a less extent, Pt-, Ni-, Cu- and Au-based catalysts where the last two metals show promise in terms
of achieving high selectivity (9,17,32). Focusing on Pd as the metal with the best performance for this type of reaction, (5,6)(7) The increased alkene selectivity over Pd can be associated with the distinct alkyne/alkene adsorption energies (93). Indeed, despite the faster olefin hydrogenation over Pd with respect to the acetylenic counterpart, the reduction of the latter is favored as a result of the increased adsorption strength, i.e. the selectivity has a thermodynamic nature (94).

Several factors have been proposed to control a catalyst’s performance in alkyne hydrogenations involving the different scale lengths of the catalyst architecture:

- Nano-scale, where the effect of the morphology of the active nanoparticles is considered through the observation of metal dispersion (14,20,47,48,63,64,79,87), shape effects (64,71) and/or the presence of specific types of active sites (61). Surface modification by the reaction medium is also important at this stage of optimization (16,44,95).

- Meso-scale, where the interactions between the active metal nanoparticle and its intimate environment is assessed through the modification by alloying (46,63), the involvement of additives (32,49,69,96) and/or metal/support interactions (28,30).

- Micro/milli/macro-scale design where the support structure and morphology is tailored according to the particular requirements of the reaction in conjugation with the development of a suitable chemical reactor (68).

**2.1. Active Phase Optimization: Nano/meso-level**

One of the key factors affecting the catalytic behavior of the active phase is the interplay between its properties and the reacting molecules. Therefore, in order to achieve a fundamental understanding of catalytic reactions, surface-science experiments (44-47) and
theoretical calculations (23,33,93,95,97) are required to provide insights into surface
dynamics and the nature of the adsorbed species. A quintessential work steering in this
direction in which DFT calculations are used to identify potential new catalysts for the
selective hydrogenation of acetylene was recently published (23). The authors proposed Ni-
Zn as an optimal alternative formulation with respect to the conventional Pd-based active
phase, which was subsequently corroborated experimentally. Despite the undeniable
usefulness of such work, the results should be somehow considered carefully since the
formation of oligomers during acetylene hydrogenation was neglected in their calculations.

Although surface and theoretical analyses can provide an additional tool for catalyst
optimization, there is still a gap in terms of expected and obtained response when moving to
real catalytic systems. Nanoparticle morphology has been identify as a key characteristic
linked to the active phase for the hydrogenation of alkynes where differences in catalytic
performance have associated to mechanistic, electronic and/or geometric effects (98-100).
The incorporation of a second metal or specific compound has also proved an effective means
to influence selectivity and activity in C≡C hydrogenation.

This section reviews the results of nano-scaled studies of catalytic alkyne hydrogenations
considering firstly the active phase alone, particularly the issue of structure sensitivity, and of
active phase modification under reaction conditions. Secondly, the modification of the active
nanoparticles through alloying and additives is also reviewed.

2.1.1. Structure Sensitivity

The morphology (shape and size) of the active phase (metal nanoparticles) is among the
structural features that have a greater impact on catalytic performance in alkyne
hydrogenation (101). In the 1960s it had become clear that the rate of certain catalytic
reactions, expressed per unit area, or turnover frequency (TOF), was independent of the metal
particle size and were defined as *structure-insensitive*. On the other hand, if a correlation between TOF and metal dispersion could be established, the reaction was then referred to as *structure-sensitive*. It is however more likely that every reaction will show a degree of structure sensitivity, depending on the stringency of its requirements for an active centre (102). It is worth mentioning that a variation in shape also implies important morphological differences: cubes only present (100) plane atoms, octahedra solely (111) plane atoms whereas a mixture of both can be found in cube-octahedra (sphere). This will provoke another type of structure-induced effect, *i.e.* a shape effect, particularly if each type of surface atom possesses a different reactivity.

The first study tackling the issue of nanoparticle *size effects* was published in 1983 by Boitiaux *et al.* (103). Since then, we have come to realize that a key requirement for structure sensitivity studies calls for the preparation of catalysts which differ only in particle size and/or shape. Typical catalysts are based on supported metal nanoparticles where size control of the metal phase has been achieved by modifications in the nature of the precursors, supports or preparation conditions. As a result, not only size but other important chemical and structural properties of the catalyst that affect catalytic performance are also modified. Therefore, the early data published on size effects in the selective hydrogenation of multiple carbon-carbon bonds are rather controversial, although some consensus emerges pointing towards higher activity for larger particles, *i.e.* an increase in metal dispersion decreases TOF (14,18,20,47,48,64,65,79). Small nanoparticles (less than 2 nm) are characterized by a predominance of surface atoms of low coordination number characterized by an electron density deficiency. The low activity can be explained on the basis of strong complexation of the surface atoms by the highly unsaturated electron-rich alkyne. Furthermore, it is known that Pd can absorb hydrogen at room temperature when the partial pressure exceeds 0.02 atm resulting in the formation of β-palladium hydride (104). Hydride formation is the result of
hydrogen diffusion in the Pd crystallite structure to occupy the available octahedral “vacancies” in the metal lattice. The relationship between the number of Pd atoms in the bulk crystal with respect to those on the surface, decreases with decreasing particle size to attain a limiting value (<2.5 nm), where $H_{\text{ads}}$/Pd is close to zero (105,106). The influence of this phase in alkyne hydrogenation is still rather controversial with reports in the literature suggesting that it is responsible for the direct alkane formation (99) while others did not observe this detrimental effect (100), although a recent report reports theoretical calculations showing the great importance of subsurface species in alkyne hydrogenations (95).

In terms of Pd nanoparticle shape effects, there is limited work available in the open literature, particularly for alkyne hydrogenation (107,108). Unlike size, shape control was only recently achieved in a straightforward manner thanks to colloidal techniques (107-109). The advances in colloidal preparation of metal nanostructures open new opportunities in the study of structure-sensitive reactions since they provide catalytic metal particles with size or shape variation without other perturbations, thus rendering them excellent materials suitable for catalytic investigations. For example, Telkar et al. (71) concluded a dependency between shape and activity (in terms of TOF) for cubic and spherical nanoparticles in the hydrogenation of 2-butyne-1,4-diol. In contrast, in the hydrogenation of 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol catalytic performance was found to be insensitive to the nanoparticle shape (nanohexagons vs. nanospheres) but dependant on the number of Pd atoms located on (111) planes (64).

We can therefore conclude that an accurate identification of the active sites responsible for the catalytic performance would actually imply a redefinition of the terms “size“ and “shape effects” to “structure effect” arising from the relative amount of active sites on the nanoparticle surface regardless of the shape or size or the crystallite. This is illustrated in recent studies for the hydrogenation of 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol where it has been shown that
the dependency between TOF and particle size disappeared when only Pd\textsubscript{111}, \textit{i.e.} the active sites for the reaction, is taken into account (64,65). This finding is complemented by our recent work (61) where, for the same reaction, we have shown for a series of Pd nanoparticles with well-defined shapes and sizes (see Figure 2) that two type of active sites, \textit{i.e.} plane and edge atoms, are responsible for the catalytic performance. Indeed, the existence of two or more different kinds of active sites responsible for observed size and/or shape effects has not been thoroughly discussed in the literature (102). This fundamental knowledge has a significant potential for catalyst optimization for industrially important hydrogenations. The study of the reaction on well-defined catalysts allows the full kinetic description of the system and it therefore enables the prediction of the size and shape of the active phase to maximize catalytic efficiency (61). Only with the new developments in the \textit{nano-scaled architecture} of the catalyst with the blooming of simple and versatile colloidal methods of nanoparticle preparation, were these achievements possible.

Additional complications can arise for reactions in gas (relative to liquid) phase operation as a result of the more demanding conditions, \textit{e.g.} increased reaction temperatures, which can influence the surface chemistry of the nanoparticles. Much effort has been devoted in this direction to the investigation of the structure sensitivity of acetylene hydrogenation as illustrated in Table 1 (14,15,110). However, it is now almost unanimously accepted that the observed differences are linked to the formation of a carbonaceous overlayer on the surface of Pd (28,39,44,47). This has been confirmed by both experimental (XPS (44)) and theoretical (DFT calculations (111)) analyses. It was first suggested that the deposited carbon was only a selectivity modifier through site isolation envisaging sites of different sizes between carbon deposits (20-22,39,112). However, this has been recently revoked in studies showing the formation of carbide species in the subsurface region of the crystallite that prevent the dissolution of hydrogen in the bulk of the nanoparticle (44,45,47,95), \textit{i.e.} eliminates the
source of unselective hydrogenation (Figure 3) (44,47). It must be however kept in mind that these systems are composed of supported metal nanoparticles and that the former can also have an influence on the C-laydown deposition phenomenon.

2.1.2. Active Phase Modification

The yield of the olefinic hydrogenation product is known to increase significantly with the incorporation of additives in the reaction mixture (reaction modifiers) or in the catalyst formulation (catalyst modifiers). Within the former grouping, reaction modifiers for liquid phase alkyne reactions are typically N or S containing compounds which are added to the reaction medium (49,51,53,113,114). In gas phase the addition of CO as a reaction modifier has been extensively used (32,43). The latter grouping represents the foreign compounds present in the catalyst formulation, which might be remnants of the catalyst preparation (such as stabilizing polymers and/or surfactants) or, for example, a second metal.

A typical example of catalyst modification can be found in Lindlar’s (5% w/w Pd/CaCO₃ modified by lead acetate and quinoline) catalyst (115), which since its first appearance in 1952, has been regarded as the reference catalyst in alkyne hydrogenations. A recent publication unravels through DFT calculations how each modification acts to prevent the unwanted side reactions in this system (97). The addition of Pb as a second metal not only limits the predisposition of Pd to form hydride phases, which are known to be too reactive, and thus unselective, but it also hinders alkene adsorption and thus over-hydrogenation (44,47). Finally, quinoline addition was found to improve selectivity through site-separation, impeding the formation of oligomers.

2.1.2.1. Catalyst Modifiers
The incorporation of stabilizing agents, *e.g.* surfactants, polymers and dendrimers (107-109,116) (see Table 2) during the preparation process is required for the stabilization of the inherently thermodynamically and kinetically unstable solutions of nanoparticles subsequently used for catalysis. Moreover, shape control implies the use of molecular capping agents which selectively adsorb to one specific crystal plane, thus favoring the addition of metal on the weakly bonded facet and directing the growth of the nanoparticle (109). Despite the extensive cleaning procedures applied to the obtained nanoparticles, it is common to find traces of the stabilizing and capping agents which modify the true catalytic behavior of the metal.

The presence of PVP as stabilizer for Pd (16,51), Pt (55) and Rh (85) has been associated with improved selectivity towards the target alkene due to the electronic modifications of Pd induced by N-containing species. This is in good agreement with results obtained over catalysts permanently modified with bipyridine-based ligands (49), phenanthroline-based ligands (56) and copolymers (69).

Surfactant stabilizing agents (58,64,117) have also been used in alkyne hydrogenations where catalytic performance has been correlated with the charge and the alkyl chain length (58). Equivalent activity was obtained with CTAB and AOT, in the selective hydrogenation of MBY (64) while lower rates was reported for PVP (relative to AOT) (101) and ascribed to the stronger interaction of the latter with the metal surface. This is consistent with the increase activity (but lower selectivity) in acetylene hydrogenation following PVP removal from supported Pd nanocubes (16).

Ionic liquids have been recently used as stabilizing media for Pd nanoparticles in the hydrogenation of acetylene (15), tolane (60), 1-chloro-4-pentyne (60), and 3-hexyne (60) with
good results. In the case of acetylene hydrogenation, a remarkable selectivity improvement was obtained, avoiding the formation of oligomerization products (15).

2.1.2.2. Reaction Modifiers

The incorporation of additives to the reaction medium as reaction modifiers has a major drawback compared to catalyst modifiers requiring costly separation and disposal operations. Nitrogen bases, e.g. quinoline (63,74,114) and pyridine (67), and sulfur compounds (62,96) are commonly employed.

Nitrogen bases are often included, such as in the case of Lindlar’s catalyst. The effect mechanism of the nitrogen organic bases is still far from being resolved but the consensus that emerges from the literature suggests:

• A “ligand” effect: a nucleophilic modifier increases the electron density of the palladium surface through electron donation from the coordinating ligand that leads to a change in the alkyne/alkene relative strength of adsorption (113).

• Poisoning (site blocking) effect: the least selective sites are blocked by irreversibly adsorbed additive molecules (49).

Tschan et al. (96) studied the effect of different sulfur-based modifiers on the selectivity and activity of amorphous Pd₈₁Si₁₉ catalyst in the hydrogenation of 3,7,11,15-tetramethyl-1-hexadecyn-3-ol and concluded enhanced isophytol selectivity with modifiers containing a higher number of heteroatoms.

2.1.2.3. Addition of a Second Metal
Bi-metallic nanoparticles have long been recognized for their promoting effect in alkyne hydrogenations where the incorporation of Ag (13,24,25), Au (118), Cu (13), and Ga (24,25) in Pd catalysts served to limit the degree of oligomerization in the hydrogenation of acetylene.

Indeed, the addition of a second metal can be regarded as another form of catalyst permanent modification where different phases can be formed, e.g. alloy, phase segregation (see Figure 4), depending on several factors such as preparation technique, nature of the two metals and/or the type of support (119). This could account for the fact that Pd-Ag and Pd-Cu response in the hydrogenation of acetylene was different depending on whether they’d been prepared by surface redox or impregnation methods. Furthermore, modification of Pd with Ge, Sb, Sn or Pb and subsequent treatment at 573 K did not exert any observable effect. If on the other hand, the bimetallic catalysts were treated at 773 K, selectivity decreased for Pb>Sn>Sc modification (42).

In addition to Pd-containing bimetallic combinations, catalysts based on Cu-Fe (17,33), Cu-Al (17,33), Ni-Al (31) and Ni-Cu (36,43) have also been proved efficient for selective alkyne hydrogenation (see Tables 1 and 2). In fact, DFT calculations found Ni-Zn a viable and substantially less expensive alternative to the classic Pd-Ag system (23).

A bimetallic active phase can also arise when metallic nanoparticles are deposed on reducible supports. For example, Pd on ZnO has been shown to form an intermetallic PdZn phase even at low temperatures (46), although the mechanism and the exact morphology of this phase is still not completely understood. PdZn alloy was found to increase selectivity towards the alkene both in liquid (62,63,67) and gas phase (46). This effect will be discussed in more depth in the following section.

**2.2. Role of the Support: Meso/micro-level**
The effect that supports have on the catalytic performance of the active phase belong to the meso-level of catalyst design, since the intimate interaction that can arise between them is analogous to that between the active phase and the catalyst modifiers. However, due to its macroscopic nature, the choice of the support also steps into the micro scale length of catalyst design. Indeed, some of its micro-level properties can influence the observed behavior of the catalyst.

The main role of a carrier in supported metal-based catalysts is to anchor the metal nanoparticles to the support in order to obtain high dispersion, i.e. enhanced specific metal surface area and avoid sintering. The key characteristics of a support, critical for catalyst performance can be divided in bulk and surface, chemical (composition and surface chemistry), structural (surface area/porosity, particle size and shape) and mechanical (stability under reaction conditions) properties. Catalytic performance in hydrogen mediated reactions, in general, and the selective alkyne semi-hydrogenation, in particular, can be controlled by contributions due to the particle size, shape and electronic properties of the metal phase, as it has been already established in the previous section. These features, in turn, can be affected by interactions with the support. In addition, the nature and strength of the interactions with the support can induce increased metal particle stabilization and avoid undesirable effects such as metal leaching.

2.2.1. Support Effects on Catalysis

2.2.1.1. Oxides

Oxides are among the most commonly employed carriers for catalytic applications. Frequently used oxide support materials include Al₂O₃, TiO₂, CeO₂, FeₓOᵧ, ZrO₂, ZnO (see Tables 1 and 2), all characterized by high decomposition and melting temperatures. The chemical and structural properties of these materials, e.g. acid-base and/or redox properties,
crystallographic phase, can control the catalytic performance in alkyne hydrogenation via metal-support interactions. A distinct catalytic selectivity (to propene) response and catalyst deactivation has been reported by Lopez-Sanchez and Lennon (30) for the hydrogenation of propyne over Au/Fe₂O₃ and Au/TiO₂. The modified catalytic performance over both systems is ascribed to differences in metal-support interactions where ageing and pretreatment conditions play a critical role. Kennedy et al. (28) investigated the hydrogenation of propyne and associated the formation of propene to a hydrocarbonaceous overlayer formed during the early reaction stages. They suggested that distinct metal-support interactions for Al₂O₃ vs. SiO₂ supported Pd and Pt impact on the maintenance of this carbonaceous film which in turn impact on the catalytic performance. The structural properties of the support in terms of texture, pore size and allotropic crystal phase can also impact on the diffusion and adsorption mode of the reactant(s) modifying the catalytic performance. Marín-Astorga et al. (120) studying the hydrogenation of phenyl alkyl acetylenics over a series of siliceous-based (amorphous SiO₂ and mesoporous and silylated MCM-41) supported Pd catalysts ascribed variations in catalytic performance to limitations in terms of access of the reactant molecule to the channels where the highest rate was obtained for Pd/MCM-41 with narrow meso-porosity. Using a similar rationale, Alvez-Manoli et al. (57) suggested mesoporosity and differences in textural properties responsible for the increase activity and selectivity over SBA-15, with one dimensional hexagonal structure, relative to MCM-48 silica and MSU-γ alumina in the hydrogenation of 3-hexyne over a series of Pd-based catalysts. The literature that addresses support effects in terms of crystal phase in alkyne hydrogenation is limited but Komhom et al. (121) investigated the hydrogenation of acetylene over Pd/Al₂O₃ and showed improved activity and selectivity response over mixed transition- (36%) and α-Al₂O₃ (64%) phases. The presence of transition-phase resulted in a concomitant increase in BET surface area and Pd dispersion while the α-phase was deemed responsible for the high ethylene selectivity.
Differences in acid/base character of the support can impact on the electronic properties of the supported metal particles. This is illustrated in Figure 5 where the palladium binding energies indicate a gradual decrease in the binding energy with increasing support alkalinity and can be interpreted as a lowering of the ionization potential of the Pd valence orbitals with increasing alkalinity of the support. Indeed, basic supports enhance the electron density of the metal phase giving rise to $M^{\delta^+}$ particles via support$\rightarrow$metal electron transfer (123) which in turn results in higher H coverage and metal-H bond strength. It follows that acid carriers can promote the formation of $M^{\delta^+}$ particles as a result of metal$\rightarrow$support electron transfer whereas the formation of both ($M^{\delta^+}$ and $M^{\delta^-}$) species is possible in carriers with both basic and acid sites, e.g. Al$_2$O$_3$ (124). Moreover, the electronic state of the active metal particles can influence the adsorption/activation of polyfunctional organic compounds. Wherli et al. (29) studying the hydrogenation of propyne over Cu-based catalysts prepared by incipient wetness impregnation and/or ion exchange found a dependence on catalyst deactivation with support acidity. In this respect, because polymerization is acid catalyzed, neutral or basic catalysts reduce catalyst fouling (ZrO$_2$ > $\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$ > $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ > SiO$_2$-$\alpha$-Al$_2$O$_3$ > SiO$_2$ > MgO). This was also consistent with the lower deactivation for catalysts with higher dispersion, i.e. decrease in the amount of neighboring active sites for the interaction between adsorbed intermediates and propyne resulting in polymerization. The redox characteristics of the oxide support plays also a critical role where partial reduction of carrier can result in (i) partial or total blockage of the active site via migration of suboxide species on top of the metal particles (see Figure 6) and/or (ii) the genesis of new bimetallic phase(s) with modified electronic and geometric properties affecting catalytic performance in the hydrogenation of alkynes. The partial reduction of iron oxide for Au/Fe$_3$O$_4$ resulted in a decrease selectivity to the target alkene for hydrogen treatment at $T > 573$ K ascribed to the concomitant partial Fe$_3$O$_4$$\rightarrow$Fe$^0$ reduction and formation of Au-Fe ensembles (9). In the selective hydrogenation of pentyne over Pd/ZnO and Pd/SiO$_2$
Tew et al. (46) have shown an improved catalytic performance over the former ascribed to the PdZn alloy formation (on the basis of HRXRD, XANES and EXAFS analyses). The increased selectivity to the alkene product is ascribed to the electronic properties of the alloy which are similar to those of Cu while the lower activity is attributed to a combined surface dilution and particle sintering. In addition to this, other factors such as the presence of impurities have been proved to impact on catalytic performance. In the hydrogenation of propyne over a series of oxide supported Pd catalysts, Jackson and Casey (27) reported 100% selectivity to propene and the following decreasing activity sequence Pd/ZrO₂ > Pd/SiO₂ > Pd/Al₂O₃ where the lower activity recorded over the former was ascribed to the presence residual chlorine on the surface.

2.2.1.2. Carbonaceous

The carbonaceous material that can be deposited on a catalytic surface is normally amorphous, however, carbon can exist in many different forms such as structured carbon nanofibers and nanospheres, porous, or diamond (see Figure 7). Activated carbon is commonly used as carbon-based material due to the combined high surface area (SA; > 600 m² g⁻¹), adsorption capacity, and cost effectiveness (127). Graphite is the most stable phase in bulk form under ambient conditions (128). It is characterized by a crystal structure based on layers where carbon atoms are arranged in hexagonal packing with low SA (128). The distinct structural properties of these carbon materials can have an effect in the hydrogenation of alkynes and we have demonstrated (14) for a series of carbon supported Pd catalysts an increase in activity in the acetylene hydrogenation ascribed to enhance metal-support interactions in the more graphitized support. Whereas the limited porosity, i.e. low SA, has restricted the use of graphite for catalytic applications (129), the significant micropore content of activated carbon can result in physical transport limitations. In this case, the diffusion of
the reactant within the microporous structure is slow, thus controlling the overall transformation rate and often affecting the target product selectivity. Graphitic nanofibers represent a group of structured carbon materials with unique catalytic properties. They exhibit a high length relative to width (aspect) ratio characterized by arranged layers of graphene, large SA (10-200 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) and preponderance of edges in the basal and lattice regions that provide enhance metal-support interactions (130).

Group VIII noble metals are known to be effective C≡C hydrogenation catalysts (see Tables 1 and 2). However, the chemical inertness of carbon, i.e. low surface reactivity, is the main drawback in terms of metal deposition (128). The surface chemistry and adsorption properties of carbon can be modified by the incorporation of functionalities. The treatment with oxidizing agents in gas (e.g. ozone or carbon dioxide) or liquid (e.g. HNO$_3$, HCl, H$_2$O$_2$) phase is a common pre-treatment used to modify the carbon surface chemistry by introducing oxygen-containing groups (131). This can have a direct effect on the ultimate metal-support interaction(s) where lower activity in the hydrogenation of acetylene over Pd/C for smaller particles (< 3 nm) has been reported elsewhere (14). In the same line, Ryndin et al. (18) studying the hydrogenation of acetylene and vinylacetylene over Pd/C demonstrated a similar activity trend in both reactions and equivalent to that over oxide supported catalysts. The catalytic performance was consistent with an increase in activity over lager Pd particles (> 3 nm) with similar electronic properties close to those of bulk (on the basis of XPS). The presence of surface oxygen groups can also influence catalytic performance by modification of the mode/strength of reactant adsorption. Avoidance of undesired hydrogenolysis and isomerization reactions was reported by Musolino and co-workers (70) in the hydrogenation of 2-butyne-1,4-diol for Pd supported on carbon and ascribed to the acid modification process, i.e. reduction in the number of impurities and increase in surface oxygen groups. In the hydrogenation of 2-hexyne, Klasovsky et al. (53) associated the lack of activity over some of
the carbon supported Pd catalysts under investigation to the presence of impurities, e.g. transition metals, ash content and/or surface acidity, in the carbon raw material.

2.2.1.3. Others

In the search of alternative catalytic systems for alkyne hydrogenation, promising results have been obtained with bulk materials such as nitrides (132) and non-noble metal based hydrotalcites (HT) (17,31-33,43). Indeed, in a series of publications Pérez-Ramirez et al. (17,31-33,43) have shown the catalytic potential of HT-based catalysts for partial semi-hydrogenation of unsaturated hydrocarbons, i.e. mono-alkynes and dienes. In the selective hydrogenation of propyne, they reported an improved catalytic performance over Ni- (31) and Cu-Al (33) HT relative to the equivalent Al₂O₃ and/or SiO₂ supported (Ni and Cu) catalysts where pre-treatment conditions, in terms of calcination/reduction, and metal dispersion play a critical role with increased activity over the most dispersed systems. The formation of C-containing species during the early reaction stages (31) or induced by CO addition (17) favors selectivity to the target alkene although coke formation results in severe catalyst deactivation (31). Using the same model molecule, they achieved 100% selectivity at increase conversion over ternary Cu-Ni-Fe and proposed that Cu was the hydrogenation metal while Ni increased hydrogen content and Fe acted as structural promoter. Because catalytic performance in ≤C hydrogenation over supported metal systems can be governed by contributions due to the nature of the support alternative carriers have been proposed. Duca et al. (20) employed pumice with surface alkali metal ions, that increased the electronic density of the metal particles, as a support for Pd. They achieved a compromise between activity/selectivity and stability, comparable to standard oxide supported catalysts, in the treatment of typical industrial acetylene-alkene mixture feed stocks. Similar catalytic results were obtained for different Pd size, space velocities and H₂/C₂H₂ ratios where a reaction mechanism was
proposed consistent with the existence of two types of surface sites. More recently, Liu et al. (133) have proposed the use of a polymeric support material for Pd on the bases of high surface area, transparency in IR and preferred condensation of reactants and reaction products where the reaction occurs in a liquid-like phase. They studied the hydrogenation of phenylacetylene by in-situ FTIR spectroscopy and observed similar reaction rates over repeated catalytic runs achieving close to 100% alkene selectivity when hydrogen is not in large excess.

2.2.2. Structured Supports

Support effects have classically been studied on powdered catalysts (123) with associated disadvantages in the macro-scaled level during the incorporation in chemical reactors. Conventionally, the choice of the reactor for a given catalytic process revolved around the best catalyst formulation identified for the specific application. This approach is undergoing a shift towards a more efficient parallel process development in which both, the macro and micro-levels of catalyst design are taken into consideration simultaneously and where the catalyst is sometimes adapted to the requirements of the reactor (134). As a result, much effort is at present devoted to the development of structured catalysts that allow the design and operation of more efficient and intrinsically safer reactors. Structured and/or arranged catalysts, *e.g.* monoliths, foams, fibers, corrugated plates or membranes (Figure 8) bring some advantages as compared to the typical stirred tank and packed bed reactors in both continuous and batch reactors (2). Indeed, a more efficient multi-phase contact is insured thus allowing operating in kinetic regime at the highest possible reaction rate, resulting in process intensification.

2.3. Process Intensification: Milli/macro-level
When going a step further in the RCD approach, the macroscopic level is reached, in which catalyst and reactor must be design in unison. At this point, and in order to intensify the catalytic process, the most efficient macrostructured catalytic material must be coupled with optimal reactor design and operating conditions (2,135,136). Industrial catalytic hydrogenations are commonly performed either in fixed-bed reactors or in suspension reactors, such as fluidized beds and mechanical stirred tank reactors (136,137) as it can be appreciated in Tables 1 and 2. However, these systems present several drawbacks. In the former, the random distribution of the catalyst particles leads to high pressure drop, inhomogeneous flow patterns, broad residence time distributions and thermal instabilities which results in high energy consumption, thus diminishing the overall process performance (2,135,136). Moreover, mass transfer limitations are a typical issue in these systems.

Nowadays, industrial requirements are continuously shifting towards continuous operation, where heat management inside the reactor is a decisive issue, especially for highly exothermic reactions such as hydrogenation reactions. Nonetheless, selectivity must also be kept in mind when designing the catalyst/reactor pair. Reactions operated in batch reactors, where appropriate heat management is possible, suffer from catalyst abrasion and limitations in terms of catalyst separation and selectivity loss due to backmixing (2,136). The proposed solutions involve a process intensification approach, aiming at developing compact, safe, energy-efficient and environment-friendly processes (138). Innovative reactor designs include macro and micro-structured supports and reactors as well as microreactors, where the main challenge resides in the immobilization of the catalytically active phase.

2.3.1. Macrostructured Catalysts

Monoliths are ceramic or metallic structures that contain a multitude of horizontal narrow channels in a single block. They can be produced by either extrusion of the support or
adherence of the support/catalyst system onto the monolithic structure (135). Monolithic reactors are characterized by low pressure drop, no catalyst attrition, reasonable costs and easy scale-up (135). The possibility of establishing a well defined flow regime (Taylor or slug flow) inside the channels for cocurrent gas-liquid flow provides several advantages, *i.e.* limited degree of back mixing, mass transfer improved by the internal circulation in the liquid phase and low power consumption (137). As a result of the poor radial heat transfer, monoliths are often operated as loop reactors with external heat exchangers or as single pass columns with interstage cooling, which diminishes backmixing and, in turn, increases selectivity (139). Nijhuis *et al.* (72) investigated the selective liquid-phase hydrogenation of 3-methyl-1-pentyn-3-ol using Pd on a silica monolithic body (72). They suggested a rational design of a monolithic reactor with narrower channels and predicted it to yield an activity of roughly a 40% of the highest activities obtained with slurry catalysts. Bakker *et al.* (75) used high-porosity monoliths coated with silica and showed that higher activity and selectivity can be obtained compared to monoliths with impermeable wall in the case of internal diffusion limitations. In both cases, the experiments were carried out in a monolithic stirrer reactor, which can be seen as an attractive alternative to conventional stirred tank slurry reactors.

*Fibrous catalysts* consist of an active phase immobilized on metal (or coated-metal), glass or activated carbon fibers. This type of catalytic material is flexible, versatile, easy to handle and possesses excellent mass transfer performance with low pressure drops. As a result, they can be easily integrated in various shape multifunctional reactors (140). Our group investigated the potential of sintered metal fibers (SMFs) with high porosity, good redistribution properties, good mechanical strength and high thermal conductivity, in a series of C≡C to C=C hydrogenations. A structured Pd/ZnO/SMF catalyst was tested in the selective liquid-phase hydrogenation of 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol (62,63) where increased activity (by one order of magnitude) was attained relative to commercial powder Lindlar’s catalyst (63). This
structured catalyst was subsequently integrated in a novel reactor concept based on a bubble column staged by structured catalytic layers with integrated cross flow micro-heat-exchangers. Because the combined possibility of high catalyst loading, efficient evacuation of heat and continuous plug flow operation, the specific productivity of the process could be improved by two orders of magnitude compared to conventional multiphase reactors typically used for this reaction (68).

A less common type of macrostructured catalyst is based on a foam material with interconnecting pores that allows lower pressure drop and increased thermal conductivity (metal or SiC foams) than packed-bed reactors (136). Nonetheless, this technology is still at the research stage where the associated high cost and low surface area are clear drawbacks. Na-Chiangmai et al. (82) investigated the catalytic properties of an ultra-large pore mesocellular foam silica in the liquid-phase selective hydrogenation of phenylacetylene.

As an alternative to the above-mentioned technologies, catalytic membranes allow a distributed addition of reactants or removal of products (91) although the lifetime and regeneration capability still needs further investigation. Lange et al. (54) tested catalytically active microporous thin film membranes for the selective hydrogenation of 2-hexyne where significantly higher selectivity was obtained compared to conventional batch catalysts as a result of the suppression of the contact between the highly reactive intermediate and hydrogen.

2.3.2. Process Intensification Through the Use of Microreactors

Microreactors are miniaturized open-flow reactors with channel dimensions in the submillimeter range offering the possibility of integrating several modules/plates to perform various unit operations, e.g. mixing, reaction, heat exchange and separation, in a single process (138). Moreover, while conventional contactors have several problems for fast and
highly exothermic hydrogenation reactions, microreactors are suitable since mass and heat transfer processes can be accelerated by more than one order of magnitude (136). For gas-phase reactions, randomly micro/milli-packed beds are often used for catalyst optimization and kinetic measurements, however, they suffer from high pressure drop associated with the small particles (40,43). The most common type is the catalytic wall microreactor (136). Capillary microreactors wall-coated with mesoporous titania thin films containing embedded nanoparticles were tested in the liquid-phase hydrogenation of 2-methyl-3-butyne-2-ol (67) where higher selectivity at increased conversion and increased stability was obtained compared to batch operation. De Loos et al. (73) tested a microreactor coated with layers of carbon nanofibers in the liquid-phase hydrogenation of 3-methyl-1-pentyn-3-ol and demonstrated that the catalytic layers do not affect the flow regime type. They successfully increased activity by 4 times relative to an unsupported flat plate catalyst.

3. INTEGRATED MULTI-LEVEL APPROACH IN CATALYTIC ALKYNE HYDROGENATIONS: 2-METHYL-3-BUTYN-2-OL AS A CASE STUDY

In the previous sections, we have reviewed separately the state of the art in the different levels involved in process design, from the active site to the reactor. This has been the general trend where RCD has been performed on a single scale length with very limited examples in the literature where an integrated approach for RCD was employed. In this sense, it is worth noting the work of Centi and Perathoner (91) who established the grounds for this approach in 2003.

In this section, we illustrate the benefits of this approach by presenting the results in the industrially relevant selective hydrogenation of 2-methyl-3-butyne-2-ol (MBY) over Pd-based catalysts over multiple levels in an integrated manner as a case study.
The catalyst’s properties have been tuned-on-demand by firstly identifying the active sites responsible for the catalytic performance, *i.e.* nano-level design (61). In order to do so, well-defined PVP-stabilized Pd nanoparticles with different shapes and sizes were synthesized and tested. Two types of active sites were identified: plane atoms ($\sigma_1$) and edge atoms ($\sigma_2$), see Figure 2. The results suggested that MBY adsorbs on all types of surface atoms, but its reactivity depends on the coordination number of the active site. The kinetic modeling was performed using a two-site Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism with one single set of kinetic and adsorption constants specific to the reaction path or adsorption equilibrium of a compound on a given active site. Good correlation between experimental and predicted (from modeling) results was obtained which, in turn, allowed identifying the optimum Pd active phase in terms of size and shape.

When taking a step further in the rational design of catalysts, one stumbles upon the *meso-level* design of catalysts, in which the interaction between the active phase and the compounds with which it’s in intimate contact is investigated. A similar model based on two type of active sites was applied to AOT-stabilized Pd nanocrystals in order to elucidate the effect of the stabilizing agents surrounding the active phase (101). For a series of Pd nanocrystals with different morphology we found that nanoparticles with the same shape and size are an order of magnitude more active than those stabilized by PVP. This was tentatively linked to the stronger interaction of PVP with Pd surface atoms as compared to AOT. Furthermore, the selectivity towards the alkene was also modified by the nature of the stabilizer.

During the long process of catalyst development the identification of a catalytically active phase is the first but not the last step. In order to step into the micro/milli-level catalyst design we deposited the *pre*-optimized active phase on microstructured materials with tuned surface properties, morphology and micro/meso-porosity. Three-dimensional sintered metal fibers
(SMF) were chosen as a structured support for the deposition of the active Pd nanoparticles (synthesized ex-situ). The SMF was coated with a thin ZnO layer which gave rise to the active/selective catalytic phase of Pd/PdZn/ZnO through metal-support interactions. The catalyst exhibited one order of magnitude higher activity, relative to the commercial Lindlar catalyst, proving a yield >95% to the target olefin product (62,63).

The milli/macro-level catalyst design is closely linked to the reactor development and refers to the macrostructured material conjugated with the development of a complex system aiming at process intensification via improved multiphase contact and heat transfer management. A novel reactor based on a bubble column staged (SBCR) with structured catalytic layers of Pd/ZnO/SMF with integrated cross flow micro-heat-exchangers (HEX) was designed and tested in the continuous three-phase hydrogenation of MBY (68). The reactor (Figure 9) demonstrated high specific productivity under isothermal conditions. The attained specific reactor performance was found to be several orders of magnitude above the values reported for conventional multiphase reactors.

4. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In this review, we show how conventional process design of selective alkyne hydrogenations has been hitherto focused on optimizing the catalyst formulation, leaving the issue of reactor design to be considered in a subsequent step. A more rational approach, however, would address the various scale lengths and levels of complexity simultaneously, starting from a molecular/nano-scale involving the active phase optimization up-to a macro-scaled design of the catalytic reactor conjugated with the optimization of operation conditions.

In order to optimize the catalyst at a nano level, the nature of active sites required for a given reaction must be identified and characterized. This can be achieved under real operational conditions by controlling metal nanoparticle size and shape through colloidal techniques,
which provide a simple means of producing nanoparticles on demand. The opportunities seem countless given the large pool of organic stabilizers available to produce metal nanoparticles capable of bridging the material gap between single crystals and industrial catalysts.

The control of the active phase interaction with a support is essential in the catalyst “architecture”. The choice of a suitable support can be considered as meso-milli scaled catalyst design taking into consideration the possible chemical interactions with the active phase. However, support development is currently also stepping into a macro-scaled catalyst design since joint catalyst-reactor development is now in vogue. In this sense, new trends point towards functionalized structured supports which are easily included in new and innovating reactor configurations.

Green and safe processes have been a perennial objective in the chemical industry. Research efforts in this direction include process intensification which often implies a switch from batch to continuous operation. This paradigm shift raises new challenges i.e. heat management in the reactor, which have been tackled with the development of creative reactor/catalyst configurations such as loop reactors, staged bubble column reactors and microreactors.

This review concludes with a case study of alkyne hydrogenation process development performed in our group where we show the importance of addressing all the scale lengths simultaneously as opposed to the classical step-by-step approach.

Although much work has been conducted in triple bond hydrogenation, the forever evolving pace of the field of catalyst design is opening new and exciting ways towards catalyst customization for safer processes.
FIGURES

Figure 1. Rational catalyst design spans over several levels of scale and complexity (2).
Figure 2. Types of active sites in the hydrogenation of 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol (MBY). Plane atoms, regardless of their crystallographic orientation, $\sigma_1$ and low coordination or edge atoms, $\sigma_2$. Reprinted with permission from (61). Copyright (2011) American Chemical Society.
Figure 3. Surface modification with reaction conditions: a) bare palladium nanoparticles, b) formation of surface hydrogen and hydride under hydrogen, c) formation of palladium carbide-like phase during the selective hydrogenation, and d) carbide during non-selective hydrogenation. Reprinted from (47), with permission from Elsevier.
Figure 4. Different possible configurations for bimetallic nanoparticles. Reprinted with permission from (119). Copyright (2010) American Chemical Society.
Figure 5. Binding energy of Pd $3d_{5/2}$ and $3d_{3/2}$ for Pd on supports with different acid-base properties. Reprinted from (122), with permission from Elsevier.
Figure 6. High-resolution TEM images of (I and II) Au/TiO2 with diffractroam patterns (IA and IB) for the selected (dashed) areas. Note: Arrows in image (II) indicate TiOx layer covering an isolated Au particle. Reprinted from (125), with permission from Elsevier.
Figure 7. SEM Image of (I) amorphous activated carbon, and structured carbon (II) nanofibers and (III) nanospheres. Reprinted from (126), with permission from Elsevier.
Figure 8. Different types of macrostructured catalysts (monoliths, foams, cloths and membranes). Adapted from (91).
Figure 9. Setup for the continuous hydrogenation of MBY. a) Staged bubble column reactor (SBCR) with integrated catalyst layers and micro-heat-exchangers (HEX), b) transverse plane through the heat-exchanger element and c) Pd/ZnO/SMF catalyst. Reprinted from (68), with permission from Elsevier.
Table 1. Compilation of literature on gas-phase alkyne hydrogenation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactant(s)</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Nano-level</th>
<th>Meso-level/ Macro-level</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetylene</td>
<td>1:298-673</td>
<td>0-100; 45-100</td>
<td>Au; α-Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;; CeO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>(8-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-16;303-453</td>
<td>&lt;5-100; 0-100</td>
<td>Au; Ag; Pd; Pd-Au; Pd-Ag; Pd-Cu</td>
<td>TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; Flow reactor/Microreactor</td>
<td>(11-13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:343-423</td>
<td>10-25; 35-85</td>
<td>Pd IL&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;; PVIP&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;; KBr</td>
<td>[CNF; ACF]&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; Pulse-flow reactor/Jacketed tubular fixed-bed reactor</td>
<td>(14-16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:523</td>
<td>100; 25-80</td>
<td>Cu-Fe; Cu-Ni-Fe</td>
<td>HT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; Fixed-bed microreactor</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylene+Ethylene</td>
<td>1:298</td>
<td>50; 49-76</td>
<td>Pd - Active carbon Non-gradient flow</td>
<td>(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:283-353</td>
<td>0-100; &gt;95</td>
<td>Pd; Ni-Zn - Pumice; SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;; MgAl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; Gradientless microreactor/Tubular fixed-bed reactor</td>
<td>(19-23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylene and Acetylene+Ethylene</td>
<td>1:298-613</td>
<td>&lt;5-99; 60-85</td>
<td>Pd-Ga&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;; Pd; Pd-Ag&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; - IC&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;; Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; Pulse-flow reactor</td>
<td>(24,25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylacetylene+Styrene</td>
<td>1:423</td>
<td>5-100; 2-98</td>
<td>Au - γ-Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; Flow reactor</td>
<td>(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propyne</td>
<td>1:273-298</td>
<td>10-100; 48-100</td>
<td>Pd; Pt - ZrO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; Pulse-flow reactor</td>
<td>(27,28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:363-623</td>
<td>0-63; 13-180</td>
<td>Cu; Au&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; - SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; MgO; (α-γ)Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;; ZrO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; TiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; Fe&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;; SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;-Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; Dynamic flow/Pulse-flow reactor</td>
<td>(29,30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:373-532</td>
<td>0-100; 0-92</td>
<td>Ni-Al; Cu (CO) HT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; Fixed-bed microreactor</td>
<td>(31,32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:423-523</td>
<td>5-100; 30-100</td>
<td>Cu; Cu-Fe; Cu-Al; Cu-Ni-Fe; Cu-Ni-Al - HT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;; SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; Fixed-bed microreactor</td>
<td>(17,33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propyne+Propadiene</td>
<td>1:363-553</td>
<td>3-100; 20-&gt;99.5</td>
<td>Cu - SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; Dynamic flow</td>
<td>(34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>But-1-yne</td>
<td>0.06-0.20; 293-333</td>
<td>65-69; 40-74</td>
<td>Ni - Pumice Static constant volume system</td>
<td>(35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07-0.11; 313-493</td>
<td>58-88; 10-100</td>
<td>Ni; Cu; Ni-Cu&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; - - Static constant volume system</td>
<td>(36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:255-293</td>
<td>3-100; 0-95</td>
<td>Pt; Pt-Ru - Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;; SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; Glass flow</td>
<td>(37-39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>But-1-yne; 1-Butene-3-yne</td>
<td>1:373-513</td>
<td>35-100; 25-60; 100; 24</td>
<td>Cu - SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; Fixed-bd flow microreactor</td>
<td>(40,41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methyl-1-buten-3-yne</td>
<td>1:278-323</td>
<td>15-80; 25-98</td>
<td>Pd-X&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt; - α-Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; Flow reactor</td>
<td>(42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:348</td>
<td>10-100; 0-100</td>
<td>Pd; Pd-Pb; Cu; Ni; Cu-Ni (CO) γ-Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;; CaCO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;; HT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; Fixed-bed microreactor</td>
<td>(43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:348</td>
<td>100; 85</td>
<td>Pd-Pb - CaCO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; (Lindlar) Fixed-bed microreactor</td>
<td>(43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Pentyne</td>
<td>0.9x10&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt;-1:308-373</td>
<td>2-100; 0-100</td>
<td>Pd black; Pd - β-Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;; ZnO; SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;; Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;; CNT&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; Continuous-flow microreactor</td>
<td>(44-47)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> IL=ionic liquid; <sup>b</sup> PVIP= poly(vinylpyrrolidone); <sup>c</sup> CNF=carbon nanofibers, ACF=activated carbon fiber; <sup>d</sup> HT=hydrotalcite; <sup>e</sup> IC=intermetallic unsupported compound; <sup>f</sup> catalysts provided by the World Gold Council; <sup>g</sup> X=Ge, Sb, Sn, Pb; <sup>h</sup> CNT=carbon nanotubes.
Table 2. Compilation of literature on liquid-phase alkyne hydrogenation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactant</th>
<th>$P$ (bar);$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$X$ (%) ; $S_{cc}$ (%)</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Stabilizer (Modifier)</th>
<th>Powder vs. [Structured] Support(s)</th>
<th>Reactor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-hexyne</td>
<td>1-10.5 ; 298-303</td>
<td>85-100 ; 98.5</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>AOT, bipy, PVP (Bi, Pb)</td>
<td>[CNF/SMF]4, Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Semi-batch (48-51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.5 ; 303</td>
<td>99 ; 87</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CaCO$_3$ (Lindlar)</td>
<td>Semi-batch (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-hexyne 1-pentene</td>
<td>1 ; 298</td>
<td>33-78 ; 96-99</td>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>CTAB$^a$</td>
<td>MCM-41$^f$</td>
<td>Vibration reactor (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-hexyne</td>
<td>5 ; 353</td>
<td>10-100 ; 20-95</td>
<td>PdO$_2$H$_2$</td>
<td>PVP$^f$</td>
<td>C, SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, TiO$_2$</td>
<td>Multi-batch (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 ; 333-393</td>
<td>7-90 ; 63-99</td>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>PVP$^f$</td>
<td>TiO$_2$, AMMSiTi$^b$</td>
<td>Membrane Semi-batch (54.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-hexyne 3-hexyn-1-ol</td>
<td>1-2.8 ; 283-298</td>
<td>40-100 ; 85-100</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>PVP$^f$, Surfactants, IL$^2$ (Rh, Ru, Ag, Cu, Pb)</td>
<td>C, CaCO$_3$, Al$_2$O$_3$, CeO$_2$, SBA-15, MCM-48, MSU γ-Al$_2$O$_3$, Graphite oxide</td>
<td>Semi-batch Fisher–Porter bottle Vibration reactor (51.57-60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBY</td>
<td>2-10 ; 308-348</td>
<td>10-99 ; 77-99 [&gt;95]</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>PVP$^d$, Na$_2$MoO$_4$, AOT$^d$, CTAB$^d$ (S-CC, quinoline)</td>
<td>[ZnO/SMF]$^d$, ZnO</td>
<td>Semi-batch (61-66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 ; 328-337 5 ; 333</td>
<td>96-100 ; 81-97</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>PdZn (pyridine)</td>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>Microreactor Semi-batch (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-octyne</td>
<td>2-6.7 ; 308-343</td>
<td>22-48 ; $^a$</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[ZnO/SMF]$^b$</td>
<td>SBCR$^b$ (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ; 343</td>
<td>95 ; 97.5</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CaCO$_3$ (Lindlar)</td>
<td>Semi-batch (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-butylene-1,4-diol</td>
<td>1-6 ; 303-323</td>
<td>80 ; 15-59 [94]</td>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>PEO-b-P2VP$^c$</td>
<td>γ-Al$_2$O$_3$, C</td>
<td>Semi-batch (69,70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.4 ; 323</td>
<td>100 ; 91-99 100 ; 65-85</td>
<td>Pt, Rh, Ru, Pd and Ni</td>
<td>PVP$^f$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CaCO$_3$, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPY</td>
<td>1-2.3 ; 298</td>
<td>6 ; 95 [54-97]</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>(Ca, quinoline, KOH)</td>
<td>Silica, [Monoliths], CNP$^b$, SiO$_2$</td>
<td>Semi-batch Microreactor (72-75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-octyne</td>
<td>1-8 ; 283-303</td>
<td>4-100 ; 95-100 [91-99]</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>CTAB$^d$, SDS$^d$ ((n-C$_3$H$_7$)$_2$NBH$_4$)</td>
<td>Graphite oxide, C, HT$^d$, Al$_2$O$_3$, Montmorillonite</td>
<td>Semi-batch Vibration reactor (59.76-78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylacetylene</td>
<td>1-20 ; 283-333</td>
<td>4-100 ; 10-100 [&gt;95]</td>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>C, CNT$^c$, AC$^c$, [Si foam] γ-Al$_2$O$_3$, MCM-41$^f$</td>
<td>Montmorillonite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 ; 283</td>
<td>15 ; 80</td>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>(Sn)</td>
<td>Nylon, C</td>
<td>Semi-batch (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 ; 333</td>
<td>8-100 ; 83-91</td>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>PVP$^f$ (PPh$_3$)</td>
<td>- Fisher–Porter bottle</td>
<td>(85)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$AOT=sodium di-2-ethylhexylsulfosuccinate; $^b$bipy=bipyridine; $^c$PVP= poly(vinylpyrrolidone); $^d$CNF=carbon nanofibers, SMF=sintered metal fibers; $^e$CTAB=cetyltrimethylammonium bromide; $^f$mesoporous silica; $^g$AMMSiTi=amorphous microporous titania-silica mixed oxide; $^h$information not provided; $^i$Ionic liquids; $^j$mesoporous silica; $^k$mesoporous gamma alumina; $^l$S-CC=Sulfur containing compound; $^m$SBCR=staged bubble column reactor; $^n$PEO-b-P2VP=poly(ethylene oxide)-block-poly-2-vinylpyridine; $^o$SDS=Sodium dodecyl sulfate; $^p$HT= hydrotalcite $^q$CNT=carbon nanotubes; $^r$AC=activated carbon;
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