# Free-range eggs dioxin contamination assessment: comparison between a simple model and in situ measurements to determine a maximum egg frequency consumption



**Empa** 



[1]: Vernez et al., 2023, Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and dibenzofurans (PCDFs) soil contamination in Lausanne, Switzerland : Combining pollution mapping and human exposure assessment for targeted risk management [2]: Van Eijkeren et al., 2006, A toxicokinetic model for the carry-over of dioxins and PCBs from feed and soil to eggs. [3]: Waegeneers et al., 2009, Transfer of soil contaminants to home-produced eggs and preventive measures to reduce contamination

## Oltramare Christelle<sup>1</sup>, Graille Mélanie<sup>1</sup>, Berthet Aurélie<sup>1</sup>, Lerch Sylvain<sup>2</sup>, Zennegg Markus<sup>3</sup>, Vernez David<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Primary Care and Public Health (Unisanté), University of Lausanne, Switzerland <sup>2</sup> Ruminant Research Group, Agroscope, Posieux, Switzerland

- <sup>3</sup> EMPA, Laboratory for Advanced Analytical Technologies, Dübendorf, Switzerland

0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 measured value [pg TEQ/g fat] Figure 5: Relationship between the values measured in the eggs and the values predicted by the model

Model

Outdoor runs favor hen's innate behavior to seek for their feed, leading to ingestion of relevant amounts of soil. The PCDD/Fs absorbed from soil are further accumulated into their body fat and eliminated through the eggs' yolk. A laying hen physiologically-based toxicokinetic (PBTK) model for PCDD/Fs was adapted to evaluate the sensitivity of the different parameters for local data focusing on soil PCDD/Fs concentration and hen's intake level. [2]

The daily dose (D) is defined as a function (3) of the soil concentration (C<sub>soil</sub>), the bioaccessibility (B) and the mass of soil ingested (S<sub>uptake</sub>).

Table 1: Entry parameters of the model

Acro	onyme	Description	Units	Value	
EXPC	SITION				
Cs	oil	Soil PCDD/Fsconcentration	ng TEQ /kg soil	Variable	
В		Biodisponibility	-	0.5	[2]
S_	uptake	Ingested soil mass per day	g soil/day	5 - 30	[3]
T_	exposure	Exposure duration	days	Variable	
T_	postexp	Time after the exposure	days	Variable	
T_	egg	Delay between egg-laying and the first exposure	days	Variable	
PHYS	SICAL FEA	TURES			
Mj	feed	Ingested feed mass	kg dry matter/day	0.113	[2]
Fa	bs	Absorbed fraction	-	0.885	[2]
FLUX	,				
εу		Elimination rate via egg's yolk	-/day	0.0485	[2]
k		Clearance elimination rate	-/day	0.0056	[2]
qc		PCDD/Fs transfert for Ac to Af	-/day	0.168	[2]
qf		PCDD/Fs transfert for Af to Ac	-/day	0.0776	[2]

> 5 eggs/week	
3-5 eggs/week 1 egg/week	
Do not eat eggs	
2 5 10 15 20 30 Ingested soil mass [g soil/day] % 95-90% 90 - 75% 75 - 50% 50 - 20% < 20%	
ndations for maximum consumption of eggs from hens raised on	

contaminated soils between 1 and 100 [ng TEQ/kg soil] depending on geophagy according to the Tolerable Daily Intake of 0.3 [pg TEQ/kg body weight/day] calculated for a 70 kg

Abstract #4349



### **Conclusion and Outcomes**

The main parameters that influence the eggs concentration are: the soil concentration and the amount of ingested soil

The geophagy should be reduced to the lowest with the soil coverage.

The **frequency of consumption** is an important parameter to reduce the daily



intake.

To confirm this scenario of exposure is one of the most important, blood measurements of PCDD/Fs will be performed in the population. This next phase of the project will include a control group and a group "overexposed" people. The latter group will include people who keep a chicken coop and/or a vegetable garden on the contaminated soil.

