



A Genome-Wide CRISPR Interference Screen Reveals an StkP-Mediated Connection between Cell Wall Integrity and Competence in *Streptococcus salivarius*

Adrien Knoops,^a Alexandra Waegemans,^a Morgane Lamontagne,^a Baptiste Decat,^a Johann Mignolet,^{a,b} ^(b) Jan-Willem Veening,^b ^(b) Pascal Hols^a

^aLouvain Institute of Biomolecular Science and Technology, Biochemistry and Genetics of Microorganisms, Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium ^bDepartment of Fundamental Microbiology, Faculty of Biology and Medicine, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

ABSTRACT Competence is one of the most efficient bacterial evolutionary and adaptative strategies by synchronizing production of antibacterial compounds and integration of DNA released by dead cells. In most streptococci, this tactic is orchestrated by the ComRS system, a pheromone communication device providing a short time window of activation in which only part of the population is responsive. Understanding how this developmental process integrates multiple inputs to fine-tune the adequate response is a long-standing question. However, essential genes involved in the regulation of ComRS have been challenging to study. In this work, we built a conditional mutant library using CRISPR interference and performed three complementary screens to investigate competence genetic regulation in the human commensal Streptococcus salivarius. We show that initiation of competence increases upon cell wall impairment, suggesting a connection between cell envelope stress and competence activation. Notably, we report a key role for StkP, a serine-threonine kinase known to regulate cell wall homeostasis. We show that StkP controls competence by a mechanism that reacts to peptidoglycan fragments. Together, our data suggest a key cell wall sensing mechanism coupling competence to cell envelope integrity.

IMPORTANCE Survival of human commensal streptococci in the digestive tract requires efficient strategies which must be tightly and collectively controlled for responding to competitive pressure and drastic environmental changes. In this context, the autocrine signaling system ComRS controlling competence for natural transformation and predation in salivarius streptococci could be seen as a multi-input device integrating a variety of environmental stimuli. In this work, we revealed novel positive and negative competence modulators by using a genome-wide CRISPR interference strategy. Notably, we highlighted an unexpected connection between bacterial envelope integrity and competence activation that involves several cell wall sensors. Together, these results showcase how commensal streptococci can fine-tune the pheromone-based competence system by responding to multiple inputs affecting their physiological status in order to calibrate an appropriate collective behavior.

KEYWORDS cell-to-cell communication, genome-wide screen, quorum sensing, DNA transformation, ComRS, cell wall, CRISPRi, serine-threonine kinase

n the human digestive tract, bacteria face highly competitive pressure and physicochemical challenges. Surviving in this environment requires powerful and efficient strategies which must be tightly controlled and collectively coordinated (1–3). Quorum sensing (QS) devices are particularly suited to control concerted survival tactics since they perform bacterial density sensing. Although QS systems were initially thought to be restricted to this role, recent evidence suggests that QS systems can operate as **Editor** Mark J. Mandel, University of Wisconsin-Madison

Copyright © 2022 Knoops et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Pascal Hols, Pascal.Hols@uclouvain.be.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 3 August 2022 Accepted 18 October 2022 Published 7 November 2022 autocrine modules and process multiple inputs (4). On the one hand, QS autocrine signaling allows heterogeneity amplification by positive feedback loops, a key feature for subpopulation activation (5–7). On the other hand, environmental stimuli can finetune the sensitivity of the pheromone-based apparatus (8, 9). This property is switching the QS system from a cell density to a multi-input device, integrating diverse stimuli to calibrate population-wide strategies (4).

One of the best-characterized QS-mediated process in Gram-positive bacteria is competence regulation (10). Orchestrating predation through bacteriocin production together with natural transformation, competence is regulated by two types of signaling systems in streptococci (11). The ComCDE system found in the mitis and anginosus groups relies on the sensing of the extracellular pheromone CSP (<u>competence-stimulating peptide</u>) that induces a phosphorelay leading to transcriptional activation of competence genes comprising *comX*, which codes for the master competence-specific sigma factor (12). The alternative predominant system in streptococci is based on the production/maturation of the pheromone XIP (*comX*-inducer peptide), which is internalized by the Opp transporter and binds the intracellular receptor ComR (13, 14). Subsequently, the dimeric ComR·XIP complex activates several bacteriocin and competence genes including *comX* (15–17).

Uncovering the environmental triggers allowing permissive conditions for competence QS has remained challenging in streptococci (18). Since two-component systems (TCS) and serine-threonine kinases (STK) are dedicated to sensing the outside world, they constitute attractive targets to couple environmental stimuli to QS reactivity. In *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, several of those sensors (e.g., StkP, CiaRH, VicRK) have been highlighted to control the ComCDE activity upon pH, O₂, cell density, or antibiotic stresses (9, 19–23). In the cariogenic *Streptococcus mutans* species, other distal regulators have been highlighted, such as ScnRK, HdrM, BrsRM, CiaRH, or StkP, which link competence activation to various growth conditions (pH, carbohydrate source, oxygen, cell density) (24–31). In salivarius streptococci, we recently uncovered a regulatory inhibition by the CovRS environmental sensor of the ComRS signaling system (7). As exemplified by these three cases, despite the fact that environmental triggers can be shared, environmental sensors bridging detection of stimuli to competence can be highly divergent between species.

To investigate key sensors generating permissive conditions for competence activation, genome-wide screens are the fastest and best-suited approaches. While transposon insertion sequencing (Tn-seq) strategies have already revealed several regulators in *S. mutans* and *S. pneumoniae* (32, 33), classical knockout characterization of the identified genes is often impaired by their essentiality. Recently, a genome-wide CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) screening method was shown to overcome this drawback for *Escherichia coli* and *S. pneumoniae* (34–36). This technique combines the use of a guide RNA (gRNA) library targeting the whole genome with a catalytically dead mutant of Cas9 (dCas9), producing transcriptional interference upon DNA binding. Plugging in the dCas9 under the control of an inducible promoter allows the construction of a conditional mutant library which can be used for genetic screens and further for characterization of essential genes by knocking down their expression (34, 35).

In this work, we used this technique in combination with three distinct screens to unveil novel competence regulators. Cross-validation of the hits obtained from the three screens converged toward a connection between impairment of cell wall biogenesis and competence activation. Coherently, several sensors of the bacterial envelope integrity were identified, among which was StkP, suggesting a putative signaling pathway bridging cell wall stress to competence activation.

RESULTS

Screening for spontaneous transformation by genome-wide CRISPRi inhibition.

To identify unknown modulators of competence in *Streptococcus salivarius* HSISS4 (37), we set up a genome-wide CRISPRi strategy. To design gRNAs on the whole genome of HSISS4, we selected all the 20-nucleotide (nt)-long sequences followed by a



FIG 1 CRISPRi screening strategies for competence modulators in *S. salivarius*. A library of gRNAs was designed and introduced (P_3 -gRNA) in an engineered strain of *S. salivarius* harboring an IPTG-inducible system for dCas9 (P_{r6} -*lacl*; P_{lac} -*dcas9*). A first library was screened for spontaneous competence activation upon dCas9 inhibition by growing cells in chemically defined medium in the presence of IPTG and *cat* donor DNA. The selection on chloramphenicol plates was associated with inhibition of competence-negative players (A). A second library was generated by introducing the gRNA library into the same background with a supplemental construct consisting of a xylose-inducible promoter fused to *comR* (P_{xylz} -*comR*). The library was spread on control (mock), gRNA library-induced (IPTG), or gRNA library- and competence-induced (IPTG plus xylose) plates. NGS analysis of depleted gRNAs under the three control of P_{comx} -*lac2* together with a competence luciferase reporter system (P_{comx} -*laxAB*) to the previous strain and transferring the gRNA library into this background. The generated library was screened on plates containing IPTG, xylose, and X-Gal. gRNAs targeting potential competence-inhibitory or -activating genes were associated with dark blue or white phenotypes, respectively (C).

protospacer adjacent motif (PAM; NGG sequence) on both DNA strands. For coding DNA sequences (CDSs), we retained only sequences displaying complementarity with the coding strand (nontemplate strand) (34). We ended up with a total of 83,103 gRNAs (see Data Set S1, sheet A, in the supplemental material) that were introduced under the control of a constitutive promoter (P_3 [38]) at a neutral chromosomal locus. The random chromosomal distribution of gRNAs in the library was preliminarily evaluated by the direct sequencing of 40 individual clones (Fig. S1A).

The transfer of the library was initially performed in a strain carrying an isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible dCas9 (P_{F6}-lacl; P_{lac}-dcas9 [35]), which was previously validated for generating CRISPRi conditional mutants (7) (Fig. 1). To evaluate the functionality of the library, this first strain was screened for the activation of spontaneous natural transformation. We hypothesized that dCas9-mediated repression of

TABLE 1 gRNA identification in spontaneous transformants

gRNA	Genome position	Interference	Gene			
ID۲	(bp)	target	name	Locus tag	Comment/function	Transformation rate
g_37	83910	Gene	clpC	HSISS4_00061	ComX degradation machinery ClpC	2.00E-06
g_38	85320	Gene	clpC	HSISS4_00061	ComX degradation machinery ClpC	6.00E-06
g_39	412742	Gene	pepF	HSISS4_00369	Oligoendopeptidase F	4.00E-06
g_27	1589000	Gene		HSISS4_01391	Bactoprenol glucosyltransferase	3.40E-02
g_30	1823312	Gene		HSISS4_01622	Hypothetical protein	2.00E-06
g_32	875880	Gene		HSISS4_00805	Hypothetical protein	5.80E-04
g_35	1442100	Gene		HSISS4_01302	Hypothetical protein	4.00E-06
g_33	Multiple sites	rRNA			16S rRNA	4.00E-06
g_34	Multiple sites	rRNA			16S rRNA	2.00E-06
g_36	Multiple sites	rRNA			16S rRNA	2.00E-06
g_26	112760	Gene	gpmB	HSISS4_00092	Phosphoglycerate mutase	ND^a
g_42	499523	Gene	carB	HSISS4_00444	Carbamoyl synthase	ND
g_40 ^b	1270227	Gene	scuR	HSISS4_01166	Intracellular receptor, bacteriocin- related communication system	ND
g_40 ^b	1272924	Gene	sarF	HSISS4_01169	Intracellular receptor, bacteriocin- related communication system	ND
g_41	1775841	Gene	рерХР	HSISS4_01580	Dipeptidyl peptidase	ND
g_31	714120	Gene		HSISS4_00663	Extracellular nuclease 2	ND
g_43	664598	Intergenic				ND

^aND, not detected.

^bg_40 gRNA recognizes both *scuR* and *sarF* genes.

^cID, identifier.

genes involved in competence inhibition (i.e., antagonist genes) will result in spontaneous natural transformation and donor DNA integration. We activated the interference library by adding IPTG (dCas9 activation) to a liquid culture supplemented with donor DNA containing a chloramphenicol resistance cassette (Fig. 1A). We were able to isolate 16 candidates after 3 independent rounds of selection, all harboring a different gRNA (Table 1). In order to confirm the phenotype generated by these gRNAs, we back-transformed them individually into the original strain and assessed their transformability. Spontaneous transformation was confirmed for 10 candidates (Table 1). Importantly, this functional screen succeeded in identifying two previously described negative effectors of competence acting on ComX or XIP stability (*clpC* and *pepF*, respectively) (39, 40).

Screening based on competence fitness cost. The strategy of screening based on competence fitness cost was based on the burden of competence overactivation in the strain HSISS4 (16). We assumed that repression of competence-antagonist genes would produce a fitness cost, resulting in pool depletion of gRNAs targeting the corresponding genes. To set up this strategy, a second screening strain was generated by introducing a supplemental construct consisting of a xylose-inducible comR gene (P_{xvi2}-comR), allowing a mild competence activation upon addition of xylose, a nonmetabolizable sugar in S. salivarius (7) (Fig. 1B). After introducing the gRNA library into this strain, we spread it under three different solid culture conditions. The first condition without any inducer was used as control (mock). The second condition was induced with IPTG alone to activate the CRISPRi library (Ci), and the third condition was supplemented with IPTG and xylose to concomitantly activate the CRISPRi library and competence (Ci+C). We hypothesized that we could identify modulator genes of competence by comparing the depletion of gRNAs between conditions Ci and Ci+C. To this aim, we performed high-throughput next-generation sequencing (NGS) to quantify each gRNA abundance per condition (Data Set S1, sheets B to D). We first evaluated the randomness and homogeneity of gRNA distribution without any selection pressure (mock) by visualizing the mapping of the gRNAs on the genome of HSISS4 (Fig. S1B). Validating our previous Sanger sequencing data (Fig. S1A), we showed that 99.7% (82,864 out of 83,104) of gRNAs were cloned in the noninduced library with an unbiased distribution (Data Set S1, sheet B; Fig. S1C). We next used the MAGeCK

algorithm (41) to compare depletion of gRNAs between two conditions. As expected, the analysis of gRNA depletion between Ci and mock conditions uncovered wellknown essential genes in streptococci (Data Set S1, sheet E; Fig. S2A), as well as competence-related genes (e.g., covR, pepF) whose inactivation was recently shown to be lethal in strain HSISS4 (7, 40). To avoid sampling bias, we also compared the Ci+C condition with the mock (Data Set S1, sheet F; Fig. S2B) and plotted against each other the scores obtained from the two comparisons with the mock (i.e., Ci versus mock and Ci+C versus mock) (Fig. S3). As expected, depletion scores in the two comparisons displayed a high correlation showing that gene fitness (i.e., positive, neutral, or negative) was conserved with or without competence activation (linear regression of $R^2 = 0.97$). However, several outliers were present. Because they represent genes differentially affected between two conditions, we analyzed the standardized residuals (defined as the residuals of a regression model divided by their estimated standard deviation) of the linear regression (Fig. 2A; Data Set S2). We set up an arbitrary cutoff at +2.5 and -2.5 to identify the most representative outliers. Their statistical significance was confirmed by the direct comparison of the two conditions (Ci versus Ci+C; Data Set S1, sheet G). Several depleted gRNAs were found as targeting genes known as competence antagonists such as the mecA gene encoding the ComX adaptor of the Clp degradation machinery (standardized residuals < -2.5, Table 2) (42). Unexpectedly, many crucial genes for competence activation (comR, amiACDEF) or competence-based bacteriocin production/immunity (e.g., slvX-HSISS4 01664 operon) also showed gRNA depletion (Table 2) (16). In the strain HSISS4, competence, bacteriocins, and bacteriocin-immunity genes are concomitantly activated through ComR (16). Therefore, those genes might have been selected because a reduced competence activation goes along with a lower immunity rate toward bacteriocins, ultimately leading to a high fitness cost. Indeed, since bacteriocin producers are present at high cell density on plates due to xylose-mediated competence activation, noncompetent and immunity-deficient cells will be killed through the well-established fratricide process (43).

Screening based on transcriptional activity of the comX promoter. The last screening strategy was based on β -galactosidase (β -Gal) activity that allows the colorimetric evaluation of competence activation level in individual clones on plates with low selective pressure. For this purpose, a strain was generated by plugging the promoter of comX in front of the native *lacZ* gene (P_{comX}-*lacZ*) together with a P_{comX} luciferase (Lux) reporter system (P_{comx}-luxAB) into the dCas9 and xylose-inducible competence strain (Fig. 1C). We transformed the gRNA library into this genetic background and spread it onto M17GL plates supplemented with IPTG, xylose, and X-Gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-galactopyranoside) for detection of β -Gal activity. We examined ~94,000 isolated colonies, searching for white and dark blue phenotypes. While white phenotype is associated with targeted genes required for competence activation, dark blue phenotype is related to targeted genes repressing competence development. We next reisolated the selected colonies to confirm their phenotypes and ended up with 141 dark blue and 68 white clones. We sequenced their gRNAs to identify the interference target and quantified their inhibition effects on P_{comX} activation by using the P_{comX}-luxAB module present in the strain. To this aim, we slightly overexpressed comR with xylose by using the Pxv/2-comR module and induced the gRNA-based inhibition system by adding IPTG. We compared the specific luciferase activity of all the selected clones to that of the initial strain harboring no gRNA. The sequences of the gRNAs, their identified targets, and their fold changes in P_{comx} activation are displayed in Data Set S3, sheet A.

Since both frequency of selected gRNAs targeting the same gene and fold change in P_{comX} activation were relevant features to identify new competence regulators, we combined those two parameters in the same analysis. On one hand, we calculated the mean fold change in P_{comX} activation for all gRNAs targeting the same gene. On the other hand, we counted the number of selected gRNAs targeting the same gene. In addition, we normalized the count by the total expected number of gRNAs of the library targeting each defined gene to avoid any gene-size bias (higher probability to encounter a gRNA targeting a larger gene) (Data Set S3, sheet B). We plotted those



FIG 2 Selection of genes from CRISPRi screens. (A) gRNA depletion screen. The gRNA library was grown on M17G plates for ~12 generations with no induction (mock), with gRNA library induction (Ci), or with gRNA library and competence induction (Ci+C). The gRNAs (4 technical replicates per condition, ~40 million reads) were sequenced and mapped by using the MAGeCK algorithm. Using the same tool, we identified gRNA depletion in costly genes linked to library induction only (Ci versus mock) and both library and competence induction (Ci versus Ci+C) (Fig. S2). We then compared the gRNA depletion scores for each gene in both induction systems and performed a linear regression (Fig. S3). Standardized residuals of the regression were then computed and plotted in function of the score of each gene under the condition without competence induction (Ci). Positive (green) and negative (red) standardized residuals (arbitrary cutoff +2.5 and -2.5) denote genes with enriched or depleted gRNAs, respectively. Dots in yellow are considered nonsignificantly affected genes. (B) β -Gal screen. After library production (~10⁵ colonies), screening for dark blue and white clones on M17GL plates (with IPTG, xylose, and X-Gal; P_{comx}-lacZ, P_{xyl2}-comR), and validation with luciferase assays (P_{comx}-luxAB), clones with the most dissimilar luciferase phenotypes (141 dark blue and 68 white clones) were sequenced for gRNA identification. The y axis displays the mean fold change log₂ value of luciferase activity calculated on all the gRNAs targeting the same gene. The x axis displays the number of gRNAs targeting the same gene. The x axis displays the number of gRNAs targeting the same gene inhibition resulting in competence overactivation or repression, respectively.

two variables (activation fold change versus normalized gRNA frequency) and validated the screen by finding most of the proximal effectors of the ComRS system, i.e., *comR*, *amiACDEF*, *clpC*, and P_{comX} (Fig. 2B and Data Set S3, sheet B) (7, 16, 42). We next applied an arbitrary cutoff [normalized count > 0.02 and log₂(FC) > 0.5] to find the most significant genes with an antagonist function toward competence (Table 3). We thereby selected several genes whose role in competence inhibition was also suggested with the gRNA depletion screen, such as the phosphate transporter system (*pstC1*) and the serine-threonine kinase (*stkP*) genes. Strikingly, the mannose/glucosamine phosphotransferase (PTS) transporter operon (*manLMN*) was particularly overrepresented, even though absent from the two previous screens. This result might be an artifactual conseguence of a carbon metabolism shift enhancing xylose uptake ultimately resulting in

TABLE 2 Identification of competence-costly genes from gRNA depletion screen

Gene category and			Fitness cost score without	Std residual
name	Locus tag	Comment/function	competence induction ^a	(<-2.5)°
Competence related				
comR	HSISS4_00217	Competence intracellular receptor	0.00	-5.58
amiF i	HSISS4_01361	Oligopeptide ABC transporter, ATP-binding subunit F	-0.52	-2.94
amiE	HSISS4_01362	Oligopeptide ABC transporter, ATP-binding subunit E	-0.47	-3.68
amiD amiC		Oligopoptide ABC transporter, permease subunit D	-0.52	-3.53
amiADA		Oligopeptide ABC transporter, permease subunit C	-0.51	-3.59
amiA3A	HSISS4_01365	Subunit A	-0.44	-3.37
	HSISS4_01664	SivX immunity protein	0.08	-4.94
sivX	HSISS4_01665	Bacteriocin	-0.04	-4./3
mecA	HSISS4_00128	ComX degradation machinery adaptor protein	-0.22	-6.19
spxA1	HSISS4_00943	I ranscriptional regulator	0.38	-2.80
Cell envelope related				
stkP	HSISS4_01348	Serine-threonine kinase	0.44	-2.98
acpP1	HSISS4_00021	Acyl carrier protein	0.06	-2.54
rgpG	HSISS4_00129	Polysaccharide synthesis protein	-0.21	-5.92
rgpF	HSISS4_01378	Polysaccharide synthesis protein	-0.21	-4.43
rgpE	HSISS4_01379	Extracellular rhamnan synthesis protein	-0.94	-5.20
rgpA2	HSISS4_01383	Extracellular rhamnan synthesis protein	-2.37	-3.52
rmIA1	HSISS4_00723	Rhamnose synthesis protein	-0.55	-6.66
rmIC	HSISS4_00724	Rhamnose synthesis protein	-0.69	-4.77
rmlB	HSISS4_00725	Rhamnose synthesis protein	-0.85	-4.62
pgmA	HSISS4_01102	Phosphoglucomutase	-0.12	-3.61
dgk	HSISS4_00536	Lipid carrier recycler	-2.28	-2.71
murG	HSISS4_00684	Peptidoglycan lipid II precursor synthesis	-3.98	-2.62
	HSISS4_00889	Exporter of O-antigen, teichoic acids, lipoteichoic acids (WpsG)	-0.24	-3.97
dltD	HSISS4_01108	Poly(glycerolphosphate chain) D-alanine transfer protein	-0.03	-2.98
dltC	HSISS4 01109	D-Alanine phosphoribitol ligase subunit 2	-0.48	-3.68
dltB	HSISS4_01110	D-Alanyl transfer protein	-0.31	-3.87
dltA	HSISS4_01111	D-Alanine phosphoribitol ligase subunit 1	-0.39	-3.52
dltX	HSISS4_01112	D-Ala-teichoic acid biosynthesis protein	-0.63	-2.93
pstB1	HSISS4 00936	Phosphate transport, ATP-binding protein	0.37	-3.00
pstC2	HSISS4 00937	Phosphate transport, permease protein	0.38	-3.39
pstC1	HSISS4 00938	Phosphate transport, permease protein	0.38	-3.27
pstS	HSISS4 00939	Phosphate transport, phosphate binding protein	0.40	-3.14
divIC	HSISS4 00008	Cell division protein	-3.85	-5.27
ftsL	HSISS4_01598	Cell division protein	-4.12	-3.29
Translation				
prfB	HSISS4_00848	Peptide chain release factor	-0.06	-4.39
proS	HSISS4_00152	Prolyl tRNA synthetase	-3.77	-2.53
rpIM	HSISS4_00076	Large subunit ribosomal protein	-3.67	-3.53
rpIX	HSISS4_01812	Large subunit ribosomal protein	-3.51	-4.15
, rpsU	HSISS4 01396	Small subunit ribosomal protein	-1.23	-5.40
, rpsF	HSISS4_01661	Small subunit ribosomal protein	-3.81	-3.04
rpsE	HSISS4_01806	Small subunit ribosomal protein	-3.88	-2.64
rpsS	HSISS4_01819	Small subunit ribosomal protein	-3.84	-2.90
	HSISS4_00271	Ribosomal protein	-3.75	-2.80
	HSISS4_r00031	tRNA Met	-4.37	-7.16
	HSISS4_r00059	tRNA Glu	-4.03	-3.17
	HSISS4_r00070	tRNA Arg	-3.67	-2.56
Other				
ctsR	HSISS4_00060	Stress transcriptional regulator	-0.09	-2.57
atpE	HSISS4_00399	ATP synthase	-3.15	-2.65
pyrH	HSISS4_00354	Uridine monophosphate kinase	-0.94	-3.03
sipA	HSISS4 01673	Secretory signal peptidase	-0.87	-2.63
,	HSISS4 00898	Permease	0.05	-2.73
	HSISS4_00523	Hypothetical protein	0.16	-3.82
	HSISS4_00888	Hypothetical protein	-0.03	-3.30

^aFitness-cost scores were computed with the MAGeCK algorithm by comparing the total depletion of gRNAs per gene under the mock condition with that under the libraryinduced condition (Ci).

^bStandardized (Std) residuals (cutoff value of <-2.5) were calculated as the deviation from the linear regression performed with the fitness-cost scores for conditions with library induction (Ci) and with library induction together with competence induction (Ci+C).

TABLE 3 Identification of competence-associated antagonist genes from β -Gal screen

name Locus tag Comment/function (P. 0.01)* Luck (20.5)* Mr J3 Mr J3 Histidine kinase 0.03 1.80 manl.1 HISSS-0227 PTS system, mannose-specific II. Component 0.13 0.03 1.80 manl/1 HISSS-00257 PTS system, mannose-specific II. Component 0.19 0.00 0.05 0.06 1.12 0.05 0.	Gene category and			Normalized count	Mean log ₂ (FC)
Competence related* Initial in the initial initial in the initial initinitial initial initial initial initinitial initial	name	Locus tag	Comment/function	(>0.01) ^a	Lux (>0.5) ^b
ht/3 Hists5, 0.025 PTS system, mannose-specific II/AB component 0.34 0.66 man/L1 HISS5, 0.025 PTS system, mannose-specific II/C component 0.19 0.70 man/L1 HISS5, 0.025 PTS system, mannose-specific II/C component 0.19 0.70 mad/L HISS5, 0.025 PTS system, mannose-specific II/C component 0.19 0.70 mad/L HISS5, 0.028 PTS system, mannose-specific II/C component 0.19 0.70 mad/L HISS5, 0.0184 Serine-threenine protein kinase 0.10 1.62 LaG HISS5, 0.0184 Serine-threenine protein (ACP) 0.11 1.52 mar/L HISS5, 0.0186 Mathematic transferrate 0.04 0.72 mar/L HISS5, 0.0186 UPP A-scrophynacharite protein (ACP) 0.11 1.52 mar/L HISS5, 0.0186 UPP A-scrophynacharite protein 0.04 0.28 grav/L HISS5, 0.0186 Giucosaminephosphate unity/prosferase 0.02 0.86 grav/L HISS5, 0.0186 Histore protein 0.04 0.47 <	Competence related ^c				
manUL HSIS54_0025 PTS system, nannose-specific ILR component 0.17 0.66 manUN1 HSIS54_0025 PTS system, nannose-specific ILC component 0.19 0.70 mad HSIS54_0025 PTS system, nannose-specific ILC component 0.19 0.70 cell envelope related #SIS54_00136 Exercise 0.10 1.02 pixit HSIS54_00136 LuSifs associated transporter 0.04 1.08 pixit HSIS54_00136 LuSifs associated transporter 0.04 0.22 mucd HSIS54_00137 Lusif infigures 0.04 0.23 mucd HSIS54_00190 UDP-M-acetyligucosamine - fuctors of phosphate aminotransferase 0.03 1.11 garD HSIS54_00181 UDP-M-acetyligucosamine - fuctors of phosphate aminotransferase 0.02 0.80 garD HSIS54_00181 UDP-M-acetyligucosamine - fuctors of phosphate aminotransferase 0.02 0.26 garD HSIS54_00181 UDP-M-acetyligucosamine - fuctors of phosphate minotransferase 0.02 1.17 garD HSIS54_00181 M-Acetyligucosamine- fuctors of phosphate minotra	hk13	HSISS4_01230	Histidine kinase	0.03	1.80
monN1 HSS54_0025 PTS system, manose specific IIC component 0.17 0.66 med HSS54_0108 Nucleoside-binding protein 0.06 0.77 cell envelope related skiP HSS54_0134 Serine-threenine protein kinase 0.10 1.02 LoF HSS54_0134 LaSR-associated transporter 0.04 1.08 pisk HSS54_0020 Phosphateax/say act arrier protein (ACP) 0.11 1.32 mu/C HSS54_0020 Phosphateax/say act arrier protein (ACP) 0.11 1.32 mu/C HSS54_0017 UDIP Avacrylpirusamate-alanine ligase 0.04 0.21 mu/C HSS54_00160 IDIP Avacrylpirusamate-alanine ligase 0.02 0.86 graD HSS54_01360 Hestophate Avacrylpirusamate-lanine ligase 0.02 0.86 graD HSS54_01360 Hestophate Avacrylpirusamate-lanine ligase bunnt 1 0.04 1.44 graD HSS54_0136 Hestophate Avacrylpirusamateraser 0.02 0.86 graD HSS54_0136 Lesconsine-triphosphate Avacrylpirusamateraser 0.02 1	manL1	HSISS4_00257	PTS system, mannose-specific IIAB component	0.34	0.66
maxNI HSISS4_0023 PTS system, mannose-specific IID component 0.19 0.70 cell envelope related	manM1	HSISS4_00256	PTS system, mannose-specific IIC component	0.17	0.66
med HSSS4_0109 Nucleoside-binding protein 0.06 0.57 Cell envelope related skP HSSS4_0134 Serine-threonine protein kinase 0.10 1.02 LaG HSSS4_0134 LaSR-associated transporter 0.04 1.08 pix/ HSSS4_0020 Phosphateacyl-acyl carl carrier protein (ACP) 0.11 1.32 mu/ HSSS4_00120 Lipdi If Tippase 0.04 0.22 mu/ HSSS4_00190 UDP-M acctyliuromate alanine ligase 0.04 0.31 gaoD HSSS4_0166 UDP-M acctyliurosamine 1-raboxyinyttansferase 0.02 0.86 gaoD HSSS4_0166 Heteropolysacchaide tosynthesis protein 0.04 1.44 HSSS4_0330 Lipopolysacchaide tosynthesis protein 0.04 0.45 gav3 HSSS4_0110 P-Alanine-polytosynthesis protein 0.02 1.17 psrX1 HSSS4_01102 Phosphate unit export protein 0.04 0.71 psrX3 HSSS4_01102 Phosphate unit export protein 0.02 1.17 psrX1 HSSS4_01102	manN1	HSISS4_00255	PTS system, mannose-specific IID component	0.19	0.70
Cell envelope related	med	HSISS4_01089	Nucleoside-binding protein	0.06	0.57
sthP HSIS54_0148 Serine-threenine protein kinase 0.10 1.02 LiGF HSIS54_01236 LiGR-associated transporter 0.04 1.08 plX HSIS54_01236 Acytransferase family 0.04 0.72 mu/L HSIS54_01236 Acytransferase family 0.06 1.28 mu/C HSIS54_0120 UDP-Nacetyl[kurosamine 1-catoxovirylitransferase 0.03 1.41 glmS HSIS54_01300 UDP-Nacetyl[kurosamine 1-catoxovirylitransferase 0.02 0.80 gccD HSIS54_01300 UDP-Nacetyl[kurosamine 1-catoxovirylitransferase 0.02 0.86 gccD HSIS54_01300 Lipopolyaccharide prepat unickytransferase 0.02 0.86 grgxX3 HSIS54_01300 Lipopolyaccharide prepat unickytransferase 0.04 1.44 grgx3 HSIS54_01102 Phosphate transportery protein 0.04 0.47 grgx3 HSIS54_01102 Phosphate transportery protein 0.03 1.29 ppmA HSIS54_01102 Phosphate transportery protein 0.04 0.71 ppSS2 <td>Cell envelope related</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Cell envelope related				
LidF HSIS54_0134 LiaSR-associated transporter 0.04 1.08 plkX HSIS54_0002 PhosphateacyAcyCl carrier protein (ACP) 0.11 1.52 mu/d HSIS54_0172 Lipfell filppase 0.06 1.28 mu/d HSIS54_0170 Lipfell filppase 0.04 0.81 mu/d HSIS54_01090 UDP-MacetyNuramate-slanine lipase 0.04 0.81 mu/d HSIS54_01060 Glucesamine-1-phosphate aninotransferase 0.02 0.80 gccD HSIS54_00360 Heteropolysaccharide repeat unit export protein 0.04 0.85 glucasamine-1-phosphate M-acetyltransferase 0.02 0.86 0.85 glucasamine-1-phosphate M-acetyltransferase 0.02 0.86 0.85 glucasamine-1-phosphate M-acetyltransferase 0.02 1.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.86 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.86 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.86 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85 0.85	stkP	HSISS4_01348	Serine-threonine protein kinase	0.10	1.02
pbX HSIS54_0020 PhosphateacyLacyLargel cartier protein (ACP) 0.11 1.52 mud HSIS54_01826 Acyltransferase family 0.04 0.72 mud HSIS54_0071 Lipid III fippase 0.06 1.28 mur2 HSIS54_01060 UDP-Macetyljoursamine 1-carbosynipitransferase 0.03 1.41 glm3 HSIS54_01060 Glucosamine 1-carbosynipitransferase 0.02 0.80 gcaD HSIS54_01366 Heteropolysaccharide repeat unit export protein 0.04 1.44 dlmA HSIS54_01386 Heteropolysaccharide isopathes unidyltransferase/ 0.02 0.86 glucosamine 1-phosphate unid export protein 0.04 0.45 0.46 0.47 dltA HSIS54_0138 Hosphate transport system protein 0.02 1.17 psCT HSIS54_0138 Hosphate transport system protein 0.02 1.17 psCT HSIS54_01102 L-Serine dehydratase, alpha subunit 0.05 0.28 grap3 HSIS54_01028 GBS' surface immunogenic protein 0.02 0.48 ppre	LiaF	HSISS4_01346	LiaSR-associated transporter	0.04	1.08
HSS54_0122 Acytransferase family 0.04 0.72 murC HSS54_0172 Lipid II flippase 0.06 1.28 murC HSS54_0106 UOP-Nacetyljoucosamine - fractose-phosphate aminotransferase 0.02 0.80 graD HSS54_0106 Glucosamine - fractose-phosphate aminotransferase 0.02 0.86 graD HSS54_0186 Macetylglucosamine - t-phosphate undyltransferase 0.02 0.86 graD HSS54_0186 Heteropolysaccharide topast the vacetyltransferase 0.04 0.84 GintU rapolysaccharide topast the topot protein 0.04 0.85 ditA HSS54_0110 -Alanyi transfer protein 0.02 1.17 psrC1 HSS54_0111 o-Alanyi transfer protein 0.02 1.17 psrC1 HSS54_0112 Phosphate transport system permease protein 0.03 1.29 psrA HSS54_01162 Csrine dehydrates, alpha subunit 0.04 0.71 strS54_01162 Csrine dehydrates, alpha subunit 0.05 1.26 argJ HSS54_01162 Csrine dehydrates, alph	plsX	HSISS4_00020	Phosphate:acyl-acyl carrier protein (ACP) acyltransferase	0.11	1.52
murd HSS54_00717 Lipid II flippase 0.06 1.28 mur2 HSS54_01030 UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 1-carboxyinyltransferase 0.03 1.41 g/mS HSS54_01060 Glucosamine-Turctose-6-phosphate aminotransferase 0.02 0.80 g/mS HSIS54_01080 Recetylglucosamine 1-phosphate unidyltransferase/ 0.02 0.86 g/mS HSIS54_01180 N-Acetylglucosamine 1-phosphate unidyltransferase/ 0.02 0.86 g/mS HSIS54_01110 P-Alenty-Epylicosamine 1-phosphate unidyltransferase/ 0.04 0.86 g/mS HSIS54_01110 P-Alenty Dynschrädie biosynthesis protein 0.03 1.29 pmA HSIS54_01112 Phosphoglucomutase 0.05 0.98 aga3 HSIS54_01122 Noscerosy protein 0.04 0.71 pc:R2 HSIS54_01122 Noscerosy protein 0.02 1.48 Amino acid metabolism sign 0.02 0.98 0.98 g/mA HSIS54_01164 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 1.10 pepp HSIS54_01063		HSISS4_01826	Acyltransferase family	0.04	0.72
mur2 HSS54_0199 UDP-Nacetylpucosamine - fructose-6 phosphate aminotransferase 0.04 0.81 gln5 HSS54_0166 Glucosamine - fructose-6 phosphate aminotransferase 0.02 0.80 gcdD HSS54_0168 W-Acetylplucosamine - fructose-6 phosphate aminotransferase 0.02 0.86 gcdD HSS54_0186 W-Acetylplucosamine - fructose-6 phosphate aminotransferase 0.02 0.86 grgX3 HSS54_0186 Heteropolysaccharide repeat unit export protein 0.04 0.85 dftA HSS54_0111 P-Alanyi transfer protein 0.04 0.85 dftB HSS54_0111 P-Alanyi transfer protein 0.04 0.85 ggrJ3 HSS54_0116 Accessory secretory protein 0.03 1.29 grg/1 HSS54_0116 Accessory secretory protein 0.04 0.71 grg/2 HSS54_01162 I-Serine dehydrates, alpha subunit 0.05 1.26 arg/1 HSS54_01162 I-Serine dehydrates, alpha subunit 0.02 0.73 gr/2 HSS54_01162 I-Serine dehydratese, alpha subunit 0.02	murJ	HSISS4_00717	Lipid II flippase	0.06	1.28
muz2 HSS54_01665 UDP-M-acetylglucosamine 1-carboxyinyttransferase 0.03 1.41 glm5 HSS54_0166 Glucosamine-Tuctose-6-phosphate aminotransferase 0.02 0.86 gcaD HSS54_00481 N-Acetylglucosamine 1-phosphate uridyttransferase 0.02 0.86 glucosamine-thosphate Victores-for phosphate servicyltansferase 0.04 1.44 dtM HSS54_01386 Heteropolysaccharide repeat unit export protein 0.04 0.85 dtM HSS54_01110 -Alanire -polyhopsaccharide repeat unit export protein 0.03 1.29 pgmA HSS54_01110 -Alanire -polyhopsaccharide repeat unit export protein 0.04 0.67 grap3 HSS54_01112 Phosphate transport system permesse protein 0.03 1.29 pgmA HSS54_01122 Accessory secretory protein 0.04 0.71 pc:B2 HSS54_01163 Accessory secretory protein 0.02 1.48 Amino acid metabolism scc scc 0.02 0.98 grap3 HSS54_01164 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 1.10 pe	murC	HSISS4_00190	UDP-N-acetylmuramate-alanine ligase	0.04	0.81
gln/S HSIS54_01060 Glucosamine-fructses 6-phosphate aminotransferase 0.02 0.80 gcaD HSIS54_00481 N-Acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate unitexport protein 0.04 1.44 glucosamine-1-phosphate N-acetyltransferase 0.04 1.44 HSIS54_01386 Heteropolysaccharide biosynthesis protein 0.04 0.65 dltA HSIS54_0130 0-Alanyi transfer protein 0.02 1.17 psrC1 HSIS54_0110 0-Alanyi transfer protein 0.03 1.29 pgrA1 HSIS54_01032 Phosphoglucomutase 0.05 0.98 arga HSIS54_010162 L Serine dehydrates, alpha subunit 0.04 0.71 pcrB2 HSIS54_0136 Accessory secretory protein 0.02 1.48 Amino acid metabolism Jaccessory secretory protein 0.06 1.10 pepP HSIS54_0163 L Serine dehydrates, alpha subunit 0.06 1.02 pepB HSIS54_01648 Aminopertidase 5 0.02 0.73 grafJ HSIS54_01643 Aminopertidase 5 0.02 0.73	murZ	HSISS4_01465	UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 1-carboxyvinyltransferase	0.03	1.41
gcaD HSIS54_00481 N-Acctylglucosamine 1-phosphate un/ayttansferase/ glucosamine 1-phosphate N-acetyltransferase/ (GIMU) 0.02 0.86 rgpX3 HSIS54_01386 Heteropolysaccharide repeat unit export protein 0.04 1.44 MSIS54_00130 Lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis protein 0.04 0.85 ditA HSIS54_01111 p-Alanitre-poly(phosphoribitol) ligase subunit 1 0.04 0.67 graA HSIS54_01038 Phosphoplic comutase 0.05 0.98 graA HSIS54_01136 Accessory secretory protein 0.02 0.44 mino acid metabolism - Sister of the delydrates, alpha subunit 0.05 1.26 argJ HSIS54_01162 t-Serine delydrates, alpha subunit 0.06 1.10 pepP HSIS54_01648 Aminopetidase 5 0.02 0.73 grlP HSIS54_001648 Aminopetidase 5 0.02 0.73 grlP HSIS54_002477 ABC transport system, ATPase 0.06 0.60 livG2 HSIS54_00287 Halinamite transport membrane-spanning protein 0.03 1.26	glmS	HSISS4_01060	Glucosamine–fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase isomerizing	0.02	0.80
rgpX3 HSIS54_01386 Heteropolysaccharide biosynthesis protein 0.04 1.44 MIA HSIS54_0111 p-Alanine-poly(phosphoritic) ligase subunit 1 0.04 0.65 dHA HSIS54_0111 p-Alanine-poly(phosphoritic) ligase subunit 1 0.02 1.17 pgmA HSIS54_01102 Phosphor transport system permease protein 0.03 1.29 pgmA HSIS54_01316 Accessory secretory protein 0.04 0.71 pcsB2 HSIS54_01366 GBS' surface immunogenic protein 0.02 1.48 Amino acid metabolism stadA HSIS54_01162 L-Serine dehydratase, alpha subunit 0.05 1.26 argJ HSIS54_01163 Glutamate A-accetytransferase/N-acetylglutamate 0.02 0.73 pePP HSIS54_01468 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 1.10 peps HSIS54_00147 ABC-type multidrug transport system, ATPase 0.06 0.60 liv/G2 HSIS54_00127 Galatose operon repressor 0.03 1.38 liv/G2 HSIS54_01183 Glutamate transport system 0.03 <t< td=""><td>gcaD</td><td>HSISS4_00481</td><td>N-Acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate uridyltransferase/ glucosamine-1-phosphate N-acetyltransferase (GlmU)</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.86</td></t<>	gcaD	HSISS4_00481	N-Acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate uridyltransferase/ glucosamine-1-phosphate N-acetyltransferase (GlmU)	0.02	0.86
HSISS 4_00330 Lipopolysacharide biosynthesis protein 0.04 0.85 difA HSISS4_01110 o-Alanine-poly(phosphoribiol) ligase subunit 1 0.04 0.67 difB HSISS4_00110 o-Alanyl transfer protein 0.02 1.17 pstC1 HSISS4_00120 Phosphate transport system permease protein 0.03 1.29 gpmA HSISS4_00338 Rocessory secretory protein 0.04 0.71 gcsB2 HSISS4_00338 Gest" surface immunogenic protein 0.04 0.71 mino acid metabolism - sadA 0.05 1.26 sdaA HSISS4_00385 Glutamate N-acetyltransferase/N-acetylglutamate 0.02 0.98 grgJ HSISS4_01648 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 1.10 pepP HSISS4_01405 Glutamine ABC transporter/glutamine-binding 0.04 0.77 livG2 HSISS4_00832 Glutamate transport system, ATPase 0.06 0.60 livJ HSISS4_00832 Glutamate transport permease protein 0.03 1.26 grlA HSISS4_00832	rgpX3	HSISS4_01386	Heteropolysaccharide repeat unit export protein	0.04	1.44
dhA HSISS4_01111 o-Alanine-poly(phosphoribitol) ligase subunit 1 0.04 0.67 dlB HSISS4_01110 o-Alanyl transfer protein 0.02 1.17 pgmA HSISS4_01102 Phosphate transport system permease protein 0.03 1.29 pgmA HSISS4_01162 Phosphate transport system permease protein 0.04 0.71 pcsB2 HSISS4_01162 L-Serine dehydratase, alpha subunit 0.02 1.48 Amino acid metabolism - - - 1.26 argJ HSISS4_01648 Aminopeptidase alpha subunit 0.02 0.98 argJ HSISS4_01648 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 1.10 pep5 HSISS4_01648 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 1.00 proteinease - - - - livG2 HSISS4_00437 ABC-type multifung transport system, ATPase 0.06 0.60 component - - - 0.03 1.26 livJ HSISS4_01837 Glutamate transport resport system 0.03	5.	HSISS4_00330	Lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis protein	0.04	0.85
dlB HSISS4_01110 o-Alany transfer protein 0.02 1.17 pstC1 HSISS4_01038 Phosphate transport system permease protein 0.03 1.29 ggmA HSISS4_01102 Phosphate transport system permease protein 0.04 0.71 gsp3 HSISS4_01316 Accessory secretory protein 0.04 0.71 pcsB2 HSISS4_00358 GBS* surface immunogenic protein 0.05 1.26 argi HSISS4_00355 Glutamate N-acetyltransferase/N-acetylglutamate 0.02 0.98 graph HSISS4_00164 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 1.10 pepS HSISS4_01051 Aninopeptidase S 0.02 0.73 gn/P HSISS4_01051 Aninopeptidase S 0.02 0.73 gn/G2 HSISS4_00051 Aninopeptidase S 0.02 0.73 gn/G2 HSISS4_00277 ABC-type multidrug transport system, ATPase 0.06 0.60 ii/J HSISS4_01243 Galactose operon repressor 0.03 1.38 nusA HSISS4_01267 Transcriptional regula	dItA	HSISS4_01111	D-Alanine-poly(phosphoribitol) ligase subunit 1	0.04	0.67
ptf.1 HSISS4_0038 Phosphate transport system permease protein 0.03 1.29 pgmA HSISS4_01102 Phosphate transport system permease protein 0.05 0.98 asp3 HSISS4_01160 Accessory secretory protein 0.04 0.71 pcsB2 HSISS4_00358 GBS* surface immunogenic protein 0.02 1.48 Amino acid metabolism - - - - - 0.05 1.26 argJ HSISS4_01162 L-Serine dehydratase, alpha subunit 0.05 1.26 - - - - 0.98 - - - - - - - - - - 0.05 1.26 -<	dItB	HSISS4_01110	D-Alanyl transfer protein	0.02	1.17
pgmA HSISS4_01102 Phosphoglucomutase 0.05 0.98 asp3 HSISS4_01316 Accessory secretory protein 0.04 0.71 pcsB2 HSISS4_00358 GBS ⁴ surface immunogenic protein 0.02 1.48 Amino acid metabolism 5 5 1.26 1.26 argJ HSISS4_00385 Giutamate N-acetyltransferase/N-acetylglutamate 0.02 0.98 pepP HSISS4_00381 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 1.10 pepS HSISS4_00051 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 0.73 gil/P HSISS4_00051 Aminopeptidase S 0.02 0.73 gil/P HSISS4_00051 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 0.60 ivG2 HSISS4_00057 ABC-type multidrug transport system, ATPase 0.06 0.60 ivG2 HSISS4_00287 Halsobal transport permease protein 0.03 1.38 dSISS4_00283 Glutamate transport permease protein 0.05 1.10 Other Glatcose operon repressor 0.03 0.68 M	pstC1	HSISS4_00938	Phosphate transport system permease protein	0.03	1.29
apj3 HSISS4_00136 Accessory secretory protein 0.04 0.71 pcsB2 HSISS4_00358 GBS ^d surface immunogenic protein 0.02 1.48 Amino acid metabolism	pgmA	HSISS4_01102	Phosphoglucomutase	0.05	0.98
pcsB2 HSISS4_00358 GBS ^d surface immunogenic protein 0.02 1.48 Amino acid metabolism sdaA HSISS4_01162 L-Serine dehydratase, alpha subunit 0.05 1.26 argJ HSISS4_00385 Glutamate N-acetyltransferase/N-acetylglutamate 0.02 0.98 pepP HSISS4_00385 Glutamate N-acetyltransferase/N-acetylglutamate 0.02 0.73 gnIP HSISS4_00475 Glutamice ABC transporter/glutamine-binding 0.04 0.77 perpA HSISS4_00477 ABC-type multidrug transport system, ATPase 0.06 0.60 iwG2 HSISS4_00477 ABC-type multidrug transport system 0.03 1.38 HSISS4_00832 Glutamate transport percific transport system 0.03 1.26 iwJ HSISS4_00268 Transcriptional regulator, PadR family 0.08 1.02 nusA HSISS4_01243 Glactose operon repressor 0.03 0.66 cshA HSISS4_01867 Transcriptional regulator, PadR family 0.08 0.22 nusA HSISS4_01874 Epoxyqueuosine (OQ) reductase 0.02	asp3	HSISS4_01316	Accessory secretory protein	0.04	0.71
Amino acid metabolism stadA HSIS54_01162 L-Serine dehydratase, alpha subunit 0.05 1.26 argJ HSIS54_00385 Glutamate N-acetyltransferase/N-acetylglutamate 0.02 0.98 pepP HSIS54_00385 Glutamite N-acetyltransferase/N-acetylglutamate 0.02 0.73 gn/P HSIS54_01648 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 1.10 pepS HSIS54_01045 Glutamine ABC transporter/glutamine-binding 0.04 0.77 gn/P HSIS54_00477 ABC-type multidrug transport system, ATPase 0.06 0.60 iv/G2 HSIS54_00287 High-affnity leucine-specific transport system 0.03 1.38 liv/J HSIS54_00823 Glutamate transport permease protein 0.05 1.10 Other	pcsB2	HSISS4_00358	GBS ^d surface immunogenic protein	0.02	1.48
sdaA HSISS4_01162 L-Serine dehydratase, alpha subunit 0.05 1.26 arg/ HSISS4_00385 Glutamate N-acetyltgutamate 0.02 0.98 pepP HSISS4_01648 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 1.10 pepS HSISS4_00051 Aminopeptidase S 0.02 0.73 gnlP HSISS4_01405 Glutamine ABC transporter/glutamine-binding 0.04 0.77 permease IVG2 HSISS4_00477 ABC-type multidrug transport system, ATPase 0.06 0.60 livG2 HSISS4_00287 High-affnity leucine-specific transport system 0.03 1.38 livJ HSISS4_00287 Glutamate transport membrane-spanning protein 0.03 1.26 gnlR HSISS4_00287 Glutamate transport permease protein 0.03 1.26 nusA HSISS4_011423 Galactose operon repressor 0.03 0.68 1.02 nusA HSISS4_0129 Transcriptional regulator, PadR family 0.02 0.69 1.02 nusA HSISS4_01831 Chromosome segregation helicase 0.03	Amino acid metabolism				
arg.J HSISS4_00385 Glutamate N-acetyltransferase/N-acetylglutamate 0.02 0.98 pepP HSISS4_01648 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 1.10 pepS HSISS4_00051 Aminopeptidase S 0.02 0.73 gnlP HSISS4_01405 Glutamine ABC transporter/glutamine-binding 0.04 0.77 permease permease 0.06 0.60 0.60 livG2 HSISS4_00287 High-affinity leucine-specific transport system, ATPase 0.06 0.60 livJ HSISS4_00287 High-affinity leucine-specific transport system 0.03 1.38 dilutamate transport membrane-spanning protein 0.03 1.26 1.10 Other galR HSISS4_01243 Galactose operon repressor 0.03 1.66 cshA HSISS4_01243 Galactose operon repressor 0.03 1.66 cshA HSISS4_01243 Galactose operon repressor 0.03 0.69 nusA HSISS4_01867 Transcriptional regulator, PadR family 0.08 1.02 nusA HSISS4_01879	sdaA	HSISS4_01162	∟-Serine dehydratase, alpha subunit	0.05	1.26
pepP HSISS4_01648 Aminopeptidase P 0.06 1.10 pepS HSISS4_00051 Aminopeptidase S 0.02 0.73 gn/P HSISS4_01405 Glutamine ABC transporter/glutamine-binding 0.04 0.77 permease permease 0.06 0.60 0.60 livG2 HSISS4_00287 High-affnityl leucine-specific transport system, ATPase 0.06 0.60 livJ HSISS4_00837 High-affnityl leucine-specific transport system 0.03 1.38 HSISS4_00832 Glutamate transport membrane-spanning protein 0.05 1.10 Other galR HSISS4_01243 Galactose operon repressor 0.03 0.68 galR HSISS4_01243 Galactose operon repressor 0.03 0.66 nusA HSISS4_01867 Transcriptional regulator, PadR family 0.08 1.02 nusA HSISS4_01811 Chromosome segregation helicase 0.03 0.56 gidA HSISS4_0187 TRASruppe 0.07 0.97 htSISS4_01811 Chromosome segregation helicase	argJ	HSISS4_00385	Glutamate N-acetyltransferase/N-acetylglutamate synthase	0.02	0.98
pepS HSISS4_00051 Aminopeptidase S 0.02 0.73 gnlP HSISS4_01405 Glutamine ABC transporter/glutamine-binding 0.04 0.77 livG2 HSISS4_00477 ABC-type multidrug transport system, ATPase 0.06 0.60 livJ HSISS4_00287 High-affinity leucine-specific transport system 0.03 1.38 HSISS4_00832 Glutamate transport membrane-spanning protein 0.05 1.10 Other galR HSISS4_01243 Galactose operon repressor 0.03 0.68 nusA HSISS4_01267 Transcriptional regulator, Padf family 0.08 1.02 nusA HSISS4_01867 Transcriptional tergulator, Padf family 0.08 1.02 nusA HSISS4_0187 Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase 0.02 0.69 gidA HSISS4_0187 Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase 0.02 0.69 gidA HSISS4_0187 Thioredoxin 0.13 0.76 htxisS4_01406 Thioredoxin 0.13 0.76 htxisS4_01040 Fructokinase 0.02	рерР	HSISS4 01648	Aminopeptidase P	0.06	1.10
gnlPHSISS4_01405Glutamine ABC transporter/glutamine-binding permease0.040.77livG2HSISS4_00477ABC-type multidrug transport system, ATPase0.060.60livJHSISS4_00287High-affinity leucine-specific transport system0.031.38HSISS4_00832Glutamate transport membrane-spanning protein0.031.26livJHSISS4_00833Glutamate transport permease protein0.051.10OthergalRHSISS4_01243Galactose operon repressor0.030.68galRHSISS4_00269Transcriptional regulator, PadR family0.081.02nusAHSISS4_01867Transcription termination protein0.030.56gidAHSISS4_01874Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase0.020.69gidAHSISS4_0187Enoxoyqueuosine (oQ) reductase0.020.79modification enzymemodification enzymeNo130.760.97HSISS4_01266Acetyltransferase0.070.971.77scrkKHSISS4_00097Chaperone protein0.021.77scrkKHSISS4_00097Chaperone protein0.021.77scrkKHSISS4_00097Chaperone protein0.020.76purDbHSISS4_0024Amidophosphoribosyltransferase0.020.76purDbHSISS4_00074Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit0.021.13HSISS4_001010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75	pepS	HSISS4 00051	Aminopeptidase S	0.02	0.73
IvG2HSISS4_00477ABC-type multidrug transport system, ATPase0.060.60IvJHSISS4_00287High-affnity leucine-specific transport system0.031.38HSISS4_00282Glutamate transport membrane-spanning protein0.031.26OtherGlutamate transport permease protein0.051.10OthergalRHSISS4_01243Galactose operon repressor0.030.68nusAHSISS4_01269Transcriptional regulator, PadR family0.081.02nusAHSISS4_00837Chromosome segregation helicase0.030.56gidAHSISS4_01847Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase0.020.69gidAHSISS4_01847Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase0.070.97HSISS4_013131RNA-binding protein0.080.79modification enzymeHSISS4_01640Fructokinase0.070.97trxA1AHSISS4_01640Fructokinase0.010.87trxA1AHSISS4_00097Chaperone protein0.021.77scrKHSISS4_00097Chaperone protein0.020.76purFHSISS4_00094Fructokinase0.080.85purFHSISS4_0074Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit0.021.13HSISS4_01010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75	gnIP	HSISS4_01405	Glutamine ABC transporter/glutamine-binding permease	0.04	0.77
liv/ HSISS4_00287 High-affinity leucine-specific transport system 0.03 1.38 HSISS4_00832 Glutamate transport membrane-spanning protein 0.03 1.26 HSISS4_00833 Glutamate transport permease protein 0.05 1.10 Other galR HSISS4_01243 Galactose operon repressor 0.03 0.68 musA HSISS4_01867 Transcriptional regulator, PadR family 0.08 1.02 nusA HSISS4_00269 Transcription termination protein 0.03 0.66 gidA HSISS4_01867 Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase 0.02 0.69 gidA HSISS4_01879 tRNA uridine 5-carboxymethylaminomethyl 0.02 0.79 modification enzyme - - - - - trxA1A HSISS4_00097 Chaperone protein 0.02 0.79 trxA1A HSISS4_00097 Chaperone protein 0.02 1.77 scrK HSISS4_00097 Chaperone protein 0.02 1.77 scrK HSISS4_000097 Chaperone protein	livG2	HSISS4_00477	ABC-type multidrug transport system, ATPase	0.06	0.60
HSISS4_00832Glutamate transport membrane-spanning protein 0.030.031.26HSISS4_00833Glutamate transport permease protein0.051.10OthergalRHSISS4_01243Galactose operon repressor0.030.68HSISS4_01867Transcriptional regulator, PadR family0.081.02nusAHSISS4_00269Transcription termination protein0.031.66cshAHSISS4_01831Chromosome segregation helicase0.030.56gidAHSISS4_0187Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase0.020.79gidAHSISS4_01879tRNA uridine 5-carboxymethylaminomethyl modification enzyme0.080.79trxA1AHSISS4_0080Thioredoxin0.130.76dnaK1HSISS4_00080Thioredoxin0.130.76dnaK1HSISS4_00097Chaperone protein0.021.77scrKHSISS4_00049Triosephosphate isomerase0.080.85purFHSISS4_0024Amidophosphoribosyltransferase0.020.76pyrDbHSISS4_01010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75	liv.	HSISS4 00287	High-affinity leucine-specific transport system	0.03	1.38
HSISS4_00833Glutamate transport permease protein0.051.10Other </td <td></td> <td>HSISS4_00832</td> <td>Glutamate transport membrane-spanning protein</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>1.26</td>		HSISS4_00832	Glutamate transport membrane-spanning protein	0.03	1.26
Other $galR$ HSIS54_01243Galactose operon repressor0.030.68 $galR$ HSIS54_01867Transcriptional regulator, PadR family0.081.02 $nusA$ HSIS54_00269Transcription termination protein0.031.66 $cshA$ HSIS54_01831Chromosome segregation helicase0.030.56 $gidA$ HSIS54_01879tRNA uridine 5-carboxymethylaminomethyl0.020.79 $modification enzyme$ $modification enzyme$ 0.070.97 $HSIS54_01426$ Acetyltransferase0.070.97 $HSIS54_01531$ RNA-binding protein0.080.79 $trxA1A$ HSISS4_00080Thioredoxin0.130.76 $dnaK1$ HSISS4_01640Fructokinase0.100.87 $tpiA$ HSISS4_01640Fructokinase0.020.76 $purF$ HSISS4_00097Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit0.020.76 $pyrDb$ HSISS4_00974Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit0.021.13 $HSISS4_00141$ Humathetise-like protein0.130.76		HSISS4_00833	Glutamate transport permease protein	0.05	1.10
galRHSISS4_01243Galactose operon repressor0.030.68nusAHSISS4_01867Transcriptional regulator, PadR family0.081.02nusAHSISS4_00269Transcription termination protein0.031.66cshAHSISS4_01831Chromosome segregation helicase0.030.56gidAHSISS4_00847Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase0.020.69gidAHSISS4_01879tRNA uridine 5-carboxymethylaminomethyl0.020.79modification enzyme	Other				
HSISS4_01867Transcriptional regulator, PadR family0.081.02nusAHSISS4_00269Transcription termination protein0.031.66cshAHSISS4_01831Chromosome segregation helicase0.030.56HSISS4_00847Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase0.020.69gidAHSISS4_01879tRNA uridine 5-carboxymethylaminomethyl0.020.79modification enzyme0.070.97HSISS4_01426Acetyltransferase0.070.97HSISS4_01531RNA-binding protein0.080.79trxA1AHSISS4_0080Thioredoxin0.130.76dnaK1HSISS4_01640Fructokinase0.100.87tpiAHSISS4_00097Chaperone protein0.080.85purFHSISS4_0024Amidophosphoribosyltransferase0.020.76pyrDbHSISS4_00974Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit0.021.13HSISS4_01010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75	galR	HSISS4_01243	Galactose operon repressor	0.03	0.68
nusAHSISS4_00269Transcription termination protein0.031.66cshAHSISS4_01831Chromosome segregation helicase0.030.56HSISS4_00847Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase0.020.69gidAHSISS4_01879tRNA uridine 5-carboxymethylaminomethyl0.020.79modification enzyme0.97HSISS4_01426Acetyltransferase0.070.97HSISS4_01531RNA-binding protein0.080.79trxA1AHSISS4_00080Thioredoxin0.130.76dnaK1HSISS4_01640Fructokinase0.0100.87tpiAHSISS4_00409Triosephosphate isomerase0.020.76purFHSISS4_00974Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit0.021.13HSISS4_01010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75	-	HSISS4_01867	Transcriptional regulator, PadR family	0.08	1.02
cshAHSISS4_01831Chromosome segregation helicase0.030.56HSISS4_00847Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase0.020.69gidAHSISS4_01879tRNA uridine 5-carboxymethylaminomethyl0.020.79modification enzymenodification enzyme0.070.97HSISS4_01426Acetyltransferase0.070.97HSISS4_01531RNA-binding protein0.080.79trxA1AHSISS4_00080Thioredoxin0.130.76dnaK1HSISS4_00097Chaperone protein0.021.77scrKHSISS4_01640Fructokinase0.080.85purFHSISS4_00094Amidophosphoribosyltransferase0.020.76pyrDbHSISS4_00974Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit0.021.13HSISS4_01010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75	nusA	HSISS4_00269	Transcription termination protein	0.03	1.66
gidAHSISS4_00847Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase0.020.69gidAHSISS4_01879tRNA uridine 5-carboxymethylaminomethyl0.020.79modification enzymeHSISS4_01426Acetyltransferase0.070.97HSISS4_01531RNA-binding protein0.080.79trxA1AHSISS4_0080Thioredoxin0.130.76dnaK1HSISS4_00097Chaperone protein0.021.77scrKHSISS4_01640Fructokinase0.100.87tpiAHSISS4_00097Triosephosphate isomerase0.020.76purFHSISS4_00024Amidophosphoribosyltransferase0.020.76pyrDbHSISS4_0010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.021.13HSISS4_01010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75	cshA	HSISS4_01831	Chromosome segregation helicase	0.03	0.56
gidAHSISS4_01879tRNA uridine 5-carboxymethylaminomethyl modification enzyme0.020.79HSISS4_01426Acetyltransferase0.070.97HSISS4_01531RNA-binding protein0.080.79trxA1AHSISS4_00080Thioredoxin0.130.76dnaK1HSISS4_00097Chaperone protein0.021.77scrKHSISS4_01640Fructokinase0.100.87tpiAHSISS4_00097Triosephosphate isomerase0.020.76purFHSISS4_00024Amidophosphoribosyltransferase0.020.76pyrDbHSISS4_0010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.021.13HSISS4_01010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75		HSISS4_00847	Epoxyqueuosine (oQ) reductase	0.02	0.69
HSISS4_01426 Acetyltransferase 0.07 0.97 HSISS4_01531 RNA-binding protein 0.08 0.79 trxA1A HSISS4_00080 Thioredoxin 0.13 0.76 dnaK1 HSISS4_00097 Chaperone protein 0.02 1.77 scrK HSISS4_01640 Fructokinase 0.10 0.87 tpiA HSISS4_00097 Triosephosphate isomerase 0.02 0.76 purF HSISS4_00024 Amidophosphoribosyltransferase 0.02 0.76 pyrDb HSISS4_00974 Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit 0.02 1.13 HSISS4_001010 Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein 0.13 0.75	gidA	HSISS4_01879	tRNA uridine 5-carboxymethylaminomethyl modification enzyme	0.02	0.79
HSISS4_01531 RNA-binding protein 0.08 0.79 trxA1A HSISS4_00080 Thioredoxin 0.13 0.76 dnaK1 HSISS4_00097 Chaperone protein 0.02 1.77 scrK HSISS4_01640 Fructokinase 0.10 0.87 tpiA HSISS4_00097 Triosephosphate isomerase 0.02 0.76 purF HSISS4_00024 Amidophosphoribosyltransferase 0.02 0.76 pyrDb HSISS4_00974 Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit 0.02 1.13 HSISS4_001010 Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein 0.13 0.75		HSISS4_01426	Acetyltransferase	0.07	0.97
trxA1A HSISS4_00080 Thioredoxin 0.13 0.76 dnaK1 HSISS4_00097 Chaperone protein 0.02 1.77 scrK HSISS4_01640 Fructokinase 0.10 0.87 tpiA HSISS4_00097 Triosephosphate isomerase 0.08 0.85 purF HSISS4_00024 Amidophosphoribosyltransferase 0.02 0.76 pyrDb HSISS4_00974 Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit 0.02 1.13 HSISS4_00010 Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein 0.13 0.75		HSISS4_01531	RNA-binding protein	0.08	0.79
dnaK1HSISS4_00097Chaperone protein0.021.77scrKHSISS4_01640Fructokinase0.100.87tpiAHSISS4_00409Triosephosphate isomerase0.080.85purFHSISS4_00024Amidophosphoribosyltransferase0.020.76pyrDbHSISS4_00974Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit0.021.13HSISS4_001010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75	trxA1A	HSISS4 00080	Thioredoxin	0.13	0.76
scrKHSISS4_01640Fructokinase0.100.87tpiAHSISS4_00409Triosephosphate isomerase0.080.85purFHSISS4_00024Amidophosphoribosyltransferase0.020.76pyrDbHSISS4_00974Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit0.021.13HSISS4_001010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75	dnaK1	HSISS4 00097	Chaperone protein	0.02	1.77
tpiAHSISS4_00409Triosephosphate isomerase0.080.85purFHSISS4_00024Amidophosphoribosyltransferase0.020.76pyrDbHSISS4_00974Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit0.021.13HSISS4_001010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75	scrK	HSISS4 01640	Fructokinase	0.10	0.87
purFHSISS4_00024Amidophosphoribosyltransferase0.020.76pyrDbHSISS4_00974Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit0.021.13HSISS4_00100Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75	tpiA	HSISS4_00409	Triosephosphate isomerase	0.08	0.85
pyrDbHSISS4_00974Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit0.021.13HSISS4_01010Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75HSISS4_00010Henazine biosynthesis-like protein0.130.75	purF	HSISS4 00024	Amidophosphoribosyltransferase	0.02	0.76
HSISS4_01010 Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein 0.13 0.75	pvrDb	HSISS4 00974	Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, catalytic subunit	0.02	1.13
	r / · = -	HSISS4 01010	Phenazine biosynthesis-like protein	0.13	0.75
HSISS4_UUU44 Hypothetical protein 0.25 0.95		HSISS4 00044	Hypothetical protein	0.25	0.95

(Continued on next page)

TABLE 3	(Continued)
---------	-------------

Gene category and			Normalized count	Mean log ₂ (FC)
name Locus tag		Comment/function	(>0.01) ^a	Lux (>0.5) ^b
	HSISS4_00614	Hypothetical protein	0.03	0.92
	HSISS4_01307	Hypothetical protein	0.03	0.95
	IG2	Large intergenic region (position 130920)	0.04	1.25
	IG3	Large intergenic region (position 46047)	0.067	1.36
	IG6	Large intergenic region (position 816162)	0.033	0.84

^aNormalized counts (cutoff value of >0.01) were calculated by dividing the number of gRNAs targeting one gene by the expected number of gRNAs targeting this gene in the library.

^bMean of the log₂ fold change is an average of all the fold changes in specific Lux activity for the different gRNAs targeting the same gene (cutoff value of >0.5). *chk13, manLMN*, and *med* genes were previously reported as involved in competence regulation in *S. salivarius, S. mutans*, and *B. subtilis*, respectively (7, 30, 76). ^dGBS, group B *Streptococcus*.

higher *comR* induction but could also be due to a link between mannose catabolism and competence as reported in *S. mutans* (30).

Cell wall integrity is a signal for competence. Since many genes were identified to affect competence by the three screening approaches, we used the Clusters of Orthologous Genes (COG) database (44) to classify them by general function. For this analysis, we selected only the genes whose inhibition is expected to induce competence [i.e., all the genes from the transformation screen, genes with standardized residuals of <-2.5 from the growth screen, and genes with $log_2(FC)$ of >0.5 and normalized count of >0.02 from the colorimetric screen]. We next assessed the importance of the different pathways for competence activation. For this purpose, we counted the number of genes per screen involved in one COG function and normalized it by the total number of genes within this COG function in the HSISS4 genome (Fig. 3A). This analysis indicated that the mostrepresented function was cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis (23% of all the genes highlighted versus \sim 5% at the whole-genome level). Furthermore, we observed that overlapping genes between gRNA depletion and β -Gal screens were all directly or indirectly involved in cell envelope assembly. Indeed, we identified in both screens the dltA and dltB genes involved in teichoic acid D-alanylation (45), the phosphoglucomutase pgmA gene involved in the biosynthesis of extracellular polysaccharides (46), the cell wall-related serinethreonine kinase stkP gene (47, 48), and the phosphate transporter pstC1 gene with an important role for poly(glycerophosphate) teichoic acid synthesis (49) (Fig. 3B).

We next drew a more precise view of the different cell wall pathways targeted by gRNA that presumably lead to competence activation. We found that genes involved in the synthesis of peptidoglycan, teichoic acids, and extracellular polysaccharides were all affected (Fig. 4). In parallel, key sensors (StkP, LiaFSR) or mediators (SpxA1) known to be triggered by cell wall alterations were also identified in the screens (24, 50–54), suggesting a possible link between cell wall integrity and ComRS activation.

StkP controls comX expression through muropeptide binding. Since StkP was highlighted in two screens with multiple different gRNAs and is cell wall associated, we decided to further investigate its link with competence activation. Serine-threonine kinases are pleiotropic regulators that control key cellular processes such as dormancy, virulence, cell division, and cell wall synthesis through protein phosphorylation (47, 48). In *S. salivar-ius*, only one serine-threonine kinase homolog is present and displays PASTA motifs shown to bind muropeptides in *Bacillus subtilis* (55). Besides, StkP of *S. pneumoniae* has been shown to coordinate cell wall synthesis and septation (24, 56–58) while an unclear link with competence has been suggested in *S. mutans* and *S. pneumoniae* (19, 23, 24).

In a first set of experiments, we transferred a gRNA targeting *stkP* in a strain harboring the dCas9 module (P_{F6} -*lacl* P_{lac} -*dcas9*) together with a luciferase reporter of the transcriptional activity of *comR* (P_{comR} -*luxAB*) or *comX* (P_{comX} -*luxAB*) and the xylose-inducible module allowing competence activation (P_{xyl2} -*comR*). Monitoring activation of those two promoters with or without *stkP* inhibition suggested that StkP level influences *comX* reporter strain with increasing xylose concentrations for *comR* induction and measured P_{comX} activation with or without *stkP* inhibition (Fig. 5B). Stronger inhibitions of *stkP* were recorded for lower



FIG 3 Functional assignation of competence repressor genes from CRISPRi screens. (A) Relative abundance of COG-assigned genes. A COG assignment was associated with every gene from the HSISS4 genome. For each COG type, the proportion (percentage) of selected genes with a defined screen was calculated against all the genes with this COG assignment of the genome. This proportion is displayed per screen (first bar, transformation screen; second bar, gRNA depletion screen; third bar, β -Gal screen). (B) Details of all selected genes displayed per screen. Operons (Dn. genes) are shown since CRISPRi also silences downstream genes. Genes are colored according to their COG assignment.



FIG 4 Cell wall pathways and competence negative modulators from CRISPRi screens. Major cell wall biosynthesis and signalization pathways are depicted. Proteins selected by the transformation, gRNA depletion, and β -Gal screens are shown in blue, red, and green, respectively. Proteins selected in both gRNA depletion and β -Gal screens are shown in light violet. In the absence of literature for complete reconstructed pathways, lipoteichoic acid synthesis is based on knowledge from *Staphylococcus aureus*, wall teichoic acid synthesis is based on knowledge from *B. subtilis* 168, and polysaccharide synthesis is based on knowledge from *Lactococcus lactis*. HSISS4_00889, 00890, 00891, and 00892 were renamed with *Lactococcus lactis* homologs WpsG, Glf, WpsE, and WpsF, respectively. GlcNAc, *N*-acetylglucosamine; MurNAc, *N*-acetyl muramic acid; ManNAc, *N*-acetylmannosamine; Galf, galactofuranose; Diacyl-gly, diacyl-glycerol; GroP, glycerol-phosphate.



FIG 5 StkP controls comX expression by sensing peptidoglycan extracts. (A) Effect of stkP inhibition on comR and comX expression. A dCas9 module (P_{F6} -lacl; P_{lac} -dcas9) together with a gRNA targeting stkP (P_3 -g_23) was used to inhibit stkP transcription. The dCas9 interference system was associated with a P_{com8} -luxAB or a P_{comx} *luxAB* reporter fusion together with a xylose-inducible *comR* module ($P_{xy/2}$ -*comR*). Mock denotes the same strain without dCas9 interference. (B) Effect of ComR level on StkP-mediated activation of comX. The P_{comx}-luxAB P_{xy/2}comR strain (described for panel A) was incubated with various xylose concentrations (0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3%) with or without stkP inhibition. (C) Effect of peptidoglycan (PG) extracts on StkP-mediated activation of comX. PG extracts of S. salivarius (PG Ssa) or B. subtilis (PG Bsu) were added to a culture of the P_{comp}-luxAB strain (described in panel A) at a final concentration of 0.3 mg/mL. (D) Specific Lux activity (%) calculated between the culture with no addition of PG extracts (No PG, 100%) and the related condition. Percentages were calculated with the data presented in panel C. For P_{comx} -luxAB activation, 0.25% xylose was used unless stated otherwise. For CRISPRi stkP inhibition, 1 mM IPTG was used. Dots denote technical triplicate values for mock and biological triplicate values for mutants, \pm standard deviation. A statistical *t* test was performed for each condition in comparison to related control (Ctrl, mock; panels A, B, and D) or one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's test for multiple comparison (Ctrl, no PG; panel E). ns, nonsignificant, P > 0.05; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, *P* < 0.001.

ComR levels, suggesting that ComR overproduction interferes with the StkP-mediated regulation of *comX*.

Since StkP was shown to bind specific muropeptides via its PASTA domains (55), we investigated if the addition of peptidoglycan extracts was able to modulate competence. To this aim, we purified peptidoglycan from *S. salivarius* (L-Lys pentapeptide) or *B. subtilis (meso*-diaminopimelic acid [DAP] pentapeptide) and measured the activation of the P_{comX} -luxAB reporter strain with (-|*stkP*) or without (mock) dCas9 interference on *stkP* expression (Fig. 5C). While *S. salivarius* peptidoglycan could decrease P_{comX} activation, *B. subtilis* extracts (negative control) did not result in a significant reduction. Moreover, adding peptidoglycan from *S. salivarius* prevented P_{comX} repression when *stkP* was inhibited, suggesting that StkP mediates the signalization (Fig. 5D).

Altogether, these results suggest that StkP interferes with the transcriptional



FIG 6 Model of competence regulation integrating cell wall sensors and physiological stresses in *S. salivarius*. Upon CovRS repression release, ComR reaches a threshold concentration allowing the activation of a positive feedback loop (green arrows, power-on icon). The positive loop is triggered by XIP binding to ComR, producing the ComR-XIP complex which activates *comS* transcription. ComS is then exported by the transporter PptAB and matured. The mature XIP pheromone can then enter the cell by the oligopeptide generic transporter Ami/Opp and bind ComR to enhance the loop. In parallel, the ComR-XIP complex will trigger the transcription of *comX*, encoding the central regulator of competence. This will activate all the late genes necessary for natural transformation including the *coiA-pepF* operon. PepF accumulation will result in XIP degradation, generating a negative feedback loop (red arrows, power-off icon) on the ComRS system, ultimately leading to competence exit. In parallel, cell wall stress and/or free muropeptides can be sensed by the serine-threonine kinase StkP, LiaFSR, and VicRK to modulate the transcriptional activation of *comX*, most probably via interfering with the activity of the ComR-XIP complex. Other physiological stresses such as replication stress, translation inhibition, or amino acid starvation were also identified as conditions that could activate competence development.

activity of the ComR·XIP complex by an unknown mechanism, which is modulated by the binding of specific muropeptides.

DISCUSSION

How QS modules integrate multiple inputs to fine-tune their sensitivity and optimize collective behavior is a challenging topic. In this work, we performed a genome-wide screen coupled to three different readouts to uncover key triggers of ComRS-mediated competence activation. Using a conditional mutant library, we highlighted a connection between cell wall biogenesis and competence activation. Moreover, we uncovered a link between muropeptide sensing via the serine threonine StkP and competence development. Those pieces of evidence suggest a key role of cell wall stress in the competence response (Fig. 6).

To discover novel players involved in competence regulation, we built a CRISPRi-based library and performed three types of screening in parallel. The interference technology offers several advantages over the classical random transposon mutagenesis (59), but the primary one is the production of conditional mutants allowing the study of essential/deleterious genes. Considering the transformation screen, the library was transiently induced, dampening the toxicity-acquired phenotype due to constitutive activation of natural transformation. This strategy provided a direct screening method for DNA integration and allowed us to select gRNAs targeting essential genes among which was *pepF*, a gene essential for competence shutoff recently discovered in *S. salivarius* (40). In addition, we also selected two different gRNAs targeting *clpC*, a gene encoding a component of the MecA-ClpCP machinery responsible for ComX degradation (39, 60). Those results confirm

the roles of PepF and ClpC to prevent spontaneous competence activation at the early and late stage of competence, respectively (40, 42, 60). Moreover, novel competence modulators were identified such as a putative bactoprenol glucosyltransferase and 3 hypothetical proteins. Specifically, interference on the putative bactoprenol glucosyltransferase resulted in a high transformation rate ($\sim 10^{-2}$, Table 1), suggesting an important role of this player for competence control. Although the transformation screen displays interesting features to select essential genes connected to competence development, it would require a massive number of cells to ensure a complete coverage of the high-density gRNA library. This issue is not present in the gRNA depletion screen, where high-throughput NGS is exploited to map and quantify all the gRNAs, generating a complete picture at the genome scale. Nevertheless, the identification of the genes is based on the competence-related toxic phenotype. This feature could limit the detection of essential genes whose inhibition has a high fitness cost. Of note, the competence-associated toxicity used in the gRNA depletion screen could explain some intriguing results. While NGS data showed a depletion of gRNAs targeting genes involved in the downregulation of competence such as mecA, the depletion of gRNAs targeting crucial genes for competence activation (e.g., comR or the ami/opp operon) was counterintuitive. To reconcile these findings, we reasoned that a lack of functional competence goes along with an impairment in bacteriocin immunity. Consequently, the gRNA depletion will also include bacteriocin/immunity loci and key players required for competence activation (Table 2 and see Data Set S2 in the supplemental material). Finally, as the colorimetric β -Gal test is based on P_{comx} activity and visual selection, this screen drastically reduces any fitness bias. To sum up, this work highlights the added value of combining different screening approaches to unveil the largest set of candidate genes connected to competence control.

The three screens converge to select gRNAs involved in key envelope biogenesis processes and its control by cell wall sensors (Fig. 4). The connection between cell wall and competence has been reported only in a similar experiment with Tn-seq in S. mutans (61). However, the authors report that inactivation by transposon insertion of the cell wall-related genes pknB (homolog of stkP), rgpL, dltA, and liaS results in a lower activation of competence, contrasting with the results obtained in this study. Opposite effects of competence regulators in S. salivarius and S. mutans have already been reported for the CovRS system (7, 62) and showcase that species have evolved control mechanisms in line with their own lifestyles. Aside from the cell wall synthesis, several other pathways were highlighted (Fig. 6). One of them is translation, with several important players targeted (rRNAs, tRNAs, peptide chain release factor, ribosomal proteins, and tRNA synthetases). This correlation is interesting in the light of the work of Stevens et al., who showed that translation fidelity impairment promotes competence activation in S. pneumoniae (63). In addition, important genes involved in chromosome replication/segregation (priA, cshA/rarA, scpB) and DNA repair (mutL, mutT, dinP) were also underlined by the screens (Table 3; Data Sets S2 and S3, sheet B). Replication stress was previously shown to induce pneumococcal competence, but the exact mechanism remains unclear and involves comCDE gene dosage control and/or a role for arrested and unrepaired replication forks (64, 65). The screens did highlight a role for enzymes or transporters involved in amino acid biosynthesis or uptake for arginine (CarB and ArgJ), glutamine (GlnP), glutamate (HSISS4_00833 and 00832), and leucine (LivJ). Amino acid starvation is known to trigger the stringent response via RelA and the production of (p)ppGpp alarmones (66), which was shown to influence competence regulation in S. mutans (67). Altogether, the screens performed here suggest that S. salivarius competence control relies on the sensing of various alterations of key metabolic/physiological functions, reinforcing the view that competence activation could be seen as a general stress response in streptococci.

In this work, we specifically investigate StkP, a key sensor of cell wall integrity in *S. pneumoniae* (54). In streptococci, StkP was also shown to phosphorylate classical response regulators of two-component systems such as the virulence regulator CovR in *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Streptococcus pyogenes* (68, 69), the cell wall regulator

VicR in S. mutans and S. pneumoniae (24, 54), and the competence regulator ComE in S. pneumoniae, for which StkP phosphorylation triggers a distinct regulon from the aspartate phosphotransfer mediated by ComD (22). The pleiotropic effects of StkP and its involvement in major cellular processes are probably the reason why its impact on competence has been reported but remains controversial in S. pneumoniae (19, 22, 23). In S. salivarius, we showed that stkP depletion promotes a higher comX activation without major effect on *comR* expression. This suggests a mechanism acting directly on the ComR sensor by increasing its transactivator properties. This hypothesis is strengthened by the fact that ComR overexpression curtails the effect of StkP on comX activation (Fig. 5B). The exact process remains to be discovered, even if it suggests a direct effect of StkP on ComR. Two nonexclusive mechanisms could explain the control of competence by StkP in S. salivarius. On one hand, the kinase could sense directly or indirectly a disfunction in the cell wall synthesis. Besides a direct effect on ComR, this impairment could also be transmitted to other cell wall sensors. Interestingly, two of these sensor systems (i.e., VicRK and LiaSRF) were highlighted in the β -Gal screen (Table 3 and Data Set S3, sheet B) and were previously shown to affect competence activation in S. salivarius (Fig. 6) (7). On the other hand, the kinase could also control competence as a muropeptide signaling system. Our experiments with peptidoglycan extracts (Fig. 5C and D) advocate for this possibility as a high concentration of self-muropeptides inhibits competence in an StkP-dependent manner. In line with this, competence in streptococci is transiently activated during the early exponential growth but could not be triggered in stationary phase when the extracellular muropeptide concentration is expected to be high (14, 16, 70). This may suggest that StkP acts as a growth phase sensor to extinct competence at high cell density (Fig. 6).

To conclude, we showed the large potential of combining a genome-wide CRISPRi strategy with multiple screening approaches to connect essential genes involved in physiological pathways to competence development. Besides the well-established ComRS-ComX regulatory pathway, we revealed that disturbance of general functions such as cell envelope assembly, amino acid metabolism, translation, and replication modulates competence activation in *S. salivarius*. This work strengthens our view that competence is a general adaptative response that ensures survival under a broad range of stress conditions. Moreover, the identification of a large set of "competence-associated" genes paves the way to understand novel regulatory cascades interconnecting cell-proliferation impairment and competence activation such as illustrated here for the role of the serine-threonine kinase StkP in cell wall-mediated competence modulation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids, oligonucleotides, and PCR fragments. Bacterial strains, plasmids, oligonucleotides, and PCR fragments used in this study are listed and described in Tables S1, S2, S3, and S4 in the supplemental material, respectively.

Growth conditions and competence induction. *S. salivarius* HSISS4 (71) and derivatives were grown at 37°C without shaking in M17 (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) or in chemically defined medium (CDM) (72) supplemented with 1% (wt/vol) glucose (M17G and CDMG, respectively). Chromosomal genetic constructions were introduced in *S. salivarius* via natural transformation (73). We added p-xylose (0.1% to 1% [wt/vol]), IPTG (1 mM), spectinomycin (200 μ g/mL), chloramphenicol (5 μ g/mL), or erythromycin (10 μ g/mL), as required. The synthetic peptides (purity of 95%), were supplied by Peptide 2.0 Inc. (Chantilly, VA) and resuspended first in dimethylformamide (DMF) and diluted in water to reach a low DMF concentration (final concentration of 0.02%). Solid plates inoculated with streptococcal cells were incubated anaerobically (BBL GasPak systems; Becton, Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) at 37°C.

To induce competence, overnight CDMG precultures were diluted at a final optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.05 in 500 μ L of fresh CDMG and incubated 100 min at 37°C. Then, the pheromone sXIP (synthetic XIP; LPYFAGCL) and DNA (Gibson assembled PCR products or plasmids) were added and cells were incubated for 3 h at 37°C before plating on M17G agar supplemented with antibiotics when required.

Library design and construction. The gRNA library was designed by selecting all the 20-nt sequences followed by a PAM sequence within the genome of HSISS4. Since it was shown that efficient interference in CDSs occurs only with gRNAs targeting the coding strand (34), we filtered the library to keep only gRNAs targeting the coding strand in CDSs (median gRNA number per CDS of 24) and targeting both strands in intergenic regions. We ended up with a high-density library of 83,104 gRNAs resulting in

a theoretical base pairing every 25 bp on the HSISS4 genome. Of note, we chose to use a high-density library to target unknown small genetic elements such as small interfering RNA (siRNA) or small peptides. We ordered the gRNAs as single-strand DNA (Twist BioSciences) and amplified the oligonucleotide pool by using the common upstream and downstream region using primers AK475 and AK476. To reduce any amplification sequence bias, we used 10 cycles of amplification.

The PCR products were then purified (Monarch kit; New England Biolabs [NEB]) and Gibson-joined to the preamplified upstream homologous region of the neutral locus *gor* (downstream of *HSISS4_00325*) containing an erythromycin resistance gene and to the downstream homologous region of the same locus fused to a P₃ constitutive promoter. We performed 20 independent Gibson assemblies, which were later transformed by natural transformation into 20 independent cultures of HSISS4 strains containing at least a lactose-inducible dCas9 module (P_{FC}*lacl*; P_{*lac}<i>dcas9*) (7). Supplemental genetic constructions (P_{*xyl2*}*comR*, P_{*comx*}*lacZ*, P_{*comx*,*lacZ*, P_{*comx*}*lacZ*, P_{*comx*,*lacZ*, P_{*c}*}}</sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub></sub>

CRISPRi transformation screen. For the spontaneous transformation screen, we first introduced the gRNA library in the lactose-inducible strain harboring a P_{comX} luciferase reporter system (P_{F6} -lacl, P_{lac} -dcas9, P_{comX} -luxAB). We next diluted the cells in 15 mL of fresh CDMG supplemented with 1 mM IPTG at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.01. We grew this culture at 37°C for 8 h and added every 30 min a PCR-amplified product consisting of a chloramphenicol cassette with 2,000-bp up and down homologous recombination arms at a final concentration of 0.25 nM. We centrifuged this culture, plated it on chloramphenicol plates, and grew it overnight. Colonies were picked, and donor DNA integration was confirmed by PCR. We next amplified the locus containing the gRNAs before Sanger sequencing.

CRISPRi gRNA depletion screen. For the gRNA depletion analysis, we used the same strain as described above. After introducing the gRNAs in this background, we spread the resulting library onto three different solid media (M17G, M17G with 100 μ M IPTG, and M17G with 100 μ M IPTG and 1% xylose), resulting in an average of 9.6 × 10° CFU per large plate. Technical replicates (n = 4) were incubated 16 h at 37°C to yield an estimated mean of ~12 generations. Cells were then collected, pooled in PBS buffer, and homogenized for each replicate. After genomic extraction (GenElute; Sigma-Aldrich) from at least 1.5 × 10° CFU per replicate, we PCR amplified the locus containing the gRNAs prior to their deep sequencing. We used an optimized PCR protocol with a high primer concentration (5 μ M), a low level of template genomic DNA (2 ng/ μ L), and a low number of cycles (15 cycles) to avoid any chimeric products due to the highly randomized gRNA sequences. The 219-bp amplicons were next gel purified (Monarch DNA gel extraction kit; NEB) and sent with a minimum amount of 4 pmol for Illumina sequencing (Genewiz). High-Seq Illumina sequencing was performed with 30% PhiX and generated an average of 30 million reads per replicate.

CRISPRi β -galactosidase activity screen. We first produced a new genetic background by introducing into the strain described above an ectopic copy of *comR* under the control of a xylose-inducible promoter (P_{xyl2} -*comR*) together with a chloramphenicol resistance cassette at the neutral locus *suc* (upstream of *HSISS4_01641*). We next fused the promoter of *comX* to the native *lacZ* gene (P_{comX} -*lacZ*) together with a spectinomycin resistance cassette and introduced the gRNA library into this strain. The resulting library was spread on M17 with 0.5% glucose and 0.5% lactose (M17GL), 100 μ M IPTG, 1% xylose, and 100 mg/mL X-Gal for screening dark blue (highly competent) and white (competence loss) colonies. A total of 158 dark blue and 155 white clones from the screening of ~94,000 colonies were reisolated for phenotype confirmation. Luciferase tests (P_{comX} -*luxAB*) were performed in comparison with the parental strain harboring no gRNA. Clones with the most dissimilar luciferase phenotypes (141 dark blue and 68 white clones) were selected, and gRNAs were amplified by PCR for Sanger sequencing.

NGS analysis. We used the MAGeCK algorithm to map the reads on the HSISS4 genome (41). Approximately 30% of total reads were mapped, producing about 10 million reads per replicate. Following the MAGeCK guidelines, we next pooled the reads from the 4 replicates, ultimately generating a total of 40 million reads per condition. In a first analysis, we compared the gRNA depletion under the IPTG-induced condition with the mock to determine all the essential genes from strain HSISS4. For the sake of clarity, we compared only gRNAs targeting CDSs, since gRNAs targeting intergenic regions are much more complicated to determine. We next compared the depletion of gRNAs for each gene under the IPTG- and IPTG-xylose-induced conditions to that under the mock conditions were then plotted against each other, a linear regression was fitted to the plot (*Im* function, R package), and outliers were identified by standardizing the residuals. We also compared directly the IPTG- and IPTG-plus-xylose-induced conditions (i.e., Ci versus Ci+C) with the MAGeCK algorithm to generate statistical data by robust rank aggregation (RRA) to confirm the identification of outliers.

COG analysis. The conserved domain database of NCBI was used to infer functions of the genes from the genome of HSISS4 (44, 75), and only the highest-scoring function for each gene was retained. The number of genes of the whole genome involved in each function prediction was then calculated, generating a function prediction frequency matrix. This matrix was then used to weight the number of genes with a specific predicted function highlighted in the different screens.

Luciferase assay. Overnight precultures were diluted at a final OD₆₀₀ of 0.05. A volume of 300 μ L of culture was transferred in the wells of a sterile covered white microplate with a transparent bottom (Greiner, Alphen a/d Rijn, The Netherlands). These culture samples were supplemented with D-xylose, IPTG, or peptidoglycan extracts if stated. Growth (OD₆₀₀) and luciferase (Lux) activity (expressed in relative light units [RLU]) were monitored at 10-min intervals during 8 to 24 h in a

Hidex Sense microplate reader (Hidex, Lemminkäisenkatu, Finland). Specific Lux activity was obtained by dividing Lux activity by the OD_{600} and summing all the data obtained over time. When stated, biological or technical triplicates were averaged. Statistical analyses of simple and multiple comparisons to the control mean were performed with *t* test (unilateral distribution, heteroscedastic) and oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett's test, respectively. For both, standard deviations and *P* values were calculated.

Transformation test. The CDMG preculture of HSISS4 and derivatives was diluted in 500 μ L of CDMG supplemented with 1 mM IPTG at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.005. The culture was grown at 37°C for 8 h, and we added every 30 min a PCR-amplified product consisting of a chloramphenicol resistance cassette surrounded by up and down homologous recombination arms (2,000 bp each) at a final concentration of 0.25 nM. We next performed serial dilution of the culture and spread the various dilutions on M17G plates supplemented with or without 5 μ g/mL chloramphenicol. We next calculated the transformation rate based on the CFU numbers of the two plates.

Peptidoglycan extracts. Peptidoglycan extracts were prepared as previously reported (55). Cultures of 100 mL of *S. salivarius* HSISS4 or *B. subtilis* 168 were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of ~1.2 in M17 or LB medium, respectively. Cells were collected by centrifugation, washed with 0.8% NaCl, resuspended in hot 4% SDS, boiled for 30 min, and incubated at room temperature overnight. The suspension was then boiled for 10 min, and the SDS-insoluble cell wall material was collected by centrifugation at 12,000 × *g* for 15 min at room temperature. The pellet containing cell wall peptidoglycan was washed four times with water and finally resuspended in 1 mL sterile water. The resuspended peptidoglycan was next digested with mutanolysin (10 μ g/mL) overnight at 37°C prior to inactivation of mutanolysin at 80°C for 20 min.

Data availability. All gRNA sequencing data were deposited in the GEO database under accession number GSE204976 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE204976). All other data supporting the findings of this study are available in the article or in the supplemental material.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. FIG S1, TIF file, 2.3 MB. FIG S2, TIF file, 2 MB. FIG S3, TIF file, 0.9 MB. TABLE S1, PDF file, 0.04 MB. TABLE S2, PDF file, 0.01 MB. TABLE S3, PDF file, 0.01 MB. TABLE S4, PDF file, 0.04 MB. DATA SET S1, XLSX file, 10.1 MB. DATA SET S2, XLSX file, 0.1 MB. DATA SET S3, XLSX file, 0.03 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Marcello Mora for his advice on nonspecific DNA amplification.

The work of P.H. was supported by the Belgian National Fund for Scientific Research (FNRS, grant PDR T.0110.18) and the Concerted Research Actions (ARC, grant 17/22-084) from Federation Wallonia-Brussels. A.K. held a doctoral fellowship from FNRS (FRIA fellowship). J.M. has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant no. 101018461). P.H. is Research Director at FNRS. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

A.K. and P.H. conceived and designed the study. A.K., A.W., M.L., B.D., and J.M. carried the laboratory work. A.K., J.-W.V., J.M., and P.H. analyzed and interpreted the data. A.K., J.-W.V., J.M., and P.H. wrote and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. Huang R, Li M, Gregory RL. 2011. Bacterial interactions in dental biofilm. Virulence 2:435–444. https://doi.org/10.4161/viru.2.5.16140.
- Human Microbiome Project Consortium. 2012. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature 486:207–214. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11234.
- Kommineni S, Bretl DJ, Lam V, Chakraborty R, Hayward M, Simpson P, Cao Y, Bousounis P, Kristich CJ, Salzman NH. 2015. Bacteriocin production augments niche competition by enterococci in the mammalian gastrointestinal tract. Nature 526:719–722. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15524.
- Bettenworth V, Steinfeld B, Duin H, Petersen K, Streit WR, Bischofs I, Becker A. 2019. Phenotypic heterogeneity in bacterial quorum sensing systems. J Mol Biol 431:4530–4546. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2019 .04.036.
- Doğaner BA, Yan LKQ, Youk H. 2016. Autocrine signaling and quorum sensing: extreme ends of a common spectrum. Trends Cell Biol 26:262–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2015.11.002.
- Domenech A, Slager J, Veening JW. 2018. Antibiotic-induced cell chaining triggers pneumococcal competence by reshaping quorum sensing to

autocrine-like signaling. Cell Rep 25:2390–2400.e3. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.celrep.2018.11.007.

- Knoops A, Vande Capelle F, Fontaine L, Verhaegen M, Mignolet J, Goffin P, Mahillon J, Sass A, Coenye T, Ledesma-Garcia L, Hols P. 2022. The CovRS environmental sensor directly controls the ComRS signaling system to orchestrate competence bimodality in salivarius streptococci. mBio 13: e0312521. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.03125-21.
- Chang JC, Jimenez JC, Federle MJ. 2015. Induction of a quorum sensing pathway by environmental signals enhances group A streptococcal resistance to lysozyme. Mol Microbiol 97:1097–1113. https://doi.org/10.1111/ mmi.13088.
- Moreno-Gamez S, Sorg RA, Domenech A, Kjos M, Weissing FJ, van Doorn GS, Veening JW. 2017. Quorum sensing integrates environmental cues, cell density and cell history to control bacterial competence. Nat Commun 8:854. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00903-y.
- Neiditch MB, Capodagli GC, Prehna G, Federle MJ. 2017. Genetic and structural analyses of RRNPP intercellular peptide signaling of Gram-positive bacteria. Annu Rev Genet 51:311–333. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev -genet-120116-023507.
- Fontaine L, Wahl A, Flechard M, Mignolet J, Hols P. 2015. Regulation of competence for natural transformation in streptococci. Infect Genet Evol 33:343–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2014.09.010.
- Shanker E, Federle MJ. 2017. Quorum sensing regulation of competence and bacteriocins in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *mutans*. Genes (Basel) 8:15. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes8010015.
- Fontaine L, Boutry C, de Frahan MH, Delplace B, Fremaux C, Horvath P, Boyaval P, Hols P. 2010. A novel pheromone quorum-sensing system controls the development of natural competence in *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Streptococcus salivarius*. J Bacteriol 192:1444–1454. https://doi .org/10.1128/JB.01251-09.
- Gardan R, Besset C, Gitton C, Guillot A, Fontaine L, Hols P, Monnet V. 2013. Extracellular life cycle of ComS, the competence-stimulating peptide of *Streptococcus thermophilus*. J Bacteriol 195:1845–1855. https://doi .org/10.1128/JB.02196-12.
- Fontaine L, Goffin P, Dubout H, Delplace B, Baulard A, Lecat-Guillet N, Chambellon E, Gardan R, Hols P. 2013. Mechanism of competence activation by the ComRS signalling system in streptococci. Mol Microbiol 87: 1113–1132. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12157.
- Mignolet J, Fontaine L, Sass A, Nannan C, Mahillon J, Coenye T, Hols P. 2018. Circuitry rewiring directly couples competence to predation in the gut dweller *Streptococcus salivarius*. Cell Rep 22:1627–1638. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.01.055.
- Talagas A, Fontaine L, Ledesma-Garcia L, Mignolet J, Li de la Sierra-Gallay I, Lazar N, Aumont-Nicaise M, Federle MJ, Prehna G, Hols P, Nessler S. 2016. Structural insights into streptococcal competence regulation by the cell-to-cell communication system ComRS. PLoS Pathog 12:e1005980. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005980.
- Hagen SJ, Son M. 2017. Origins of heterogeneity in *Streptococcus mutans* competence: interpreting an environment-sensitive signaling pathway. Phys Biol 14:e015001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1478-3975/aa546c.
- Echenique J, Kadioglu A, Romao S, Andrew PW, Trombe MC. 2004. Protein serine/threonine kinase StkP positively controls virulence and competence in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Infect Immun 72:2434–2437. https:// doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.4.2434-2437.2004.
- Echenique JR, Chapuy-Regaud S, Trombe MC. 2000. Competence regulation by oxygen in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: involvement of ciaRH and comCDE. Mol Microbiol 36:688–696. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958 .2000.01891.x.
- Echenique JR, Trombe MC. 2001. Competence repression under oxygen limitation through the two-component MicAB signal-transducing system in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and involvement of the PAS domain of MicB. J Bacteriol 183:4599–4608. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.183.15.4599 -4608.2001.
- 22. Pinas GE, Reinoso-Vizcaino NM, Yandar Barahona NY, Cortes PR, Duran R, Badapanda C, Rathore A, Bichara DR, Cian MB, Olivero NB, Perez DR, Echenique J. 2018. Crosstalk between the serine/threonine kinase StkP and the response regulator ComE controls the stress response and intracellular survival of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. PLoS Pathog 14:e1007118. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007118.
- Saskova L, Novakova L, Basler M, Branny P. 2007. Eukaryotic-type serine/ threonine protein kinase StkP is a global regulator of gene expression in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J Bacteriol 189:4168–4179. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JB.01616-06.

- Banu LD, Conrads G, Rehrauer H, Hussain H, Allan E, van der Ploeg JR. 2010. The *Streptococcus mutans* serine/threonine kinase, PknB, regulates competence development, bacteriocin production, and cell wall metabolism. Infect Immun 78:2209–2220. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01167-09.
- Dufour D, Cordova M, Cvitkovitch DG, Levesque CM. 2011. Regulation of the competence pathway as a novel role associated with a streptococcal bacteriocin. J Bacteriol 193:6552–6559. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.05968-11.
- Kim JN, Stanhope MJ, Burne RA. 2013. Core-gene-encoded peptide regulating virulence-associated traits in *Streptococcus mutans*. J Bacteriol 195: 2912–2920. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00189-13.
- Levesque CM, Mair RW, Perry JA, Lau PC, Li YH, Cvitkovitch DG. 2007. Systemic inactivation and phenotypic characterization of two-component systems in expression of *Streptococcus mutans* virulence properties. Lett Appl Microbiol 45:398–404. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2007.02203.x.
- Okinaga T, Xie Z, Niu G, Qi F, Merritt J. 2010. Examination of the *hdrRM* regulon yields insight into the competence system of *Streptococcus mutans*. Mol Oral Microbiol 25:165–177. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-1014.2010 .00574.x.
- Qi F, Merritt J, Lux R, Shi W. 2004. Inactivation of the ciaH gene in *Streptococ-cus mutans* diminishes mutacin production and competence development, alters sucrose-dependent biofilm formation, and reduces stress tolerance. Infect Immun 72:4895–4899. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.8.4895-4899.2004.
- Underhill SAM, Shields RC, Burne RA, Hagen SJ. 2019. Carbohydrate and PepO control bimodality in competence development by *Streptococcus mutans*. Mol Microbiol 112:1388–1402. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.14367.
- Xie Z, Okinaga T, Niu G, Qi F, Merritt J. 2010. Identification of a novel bacteriocin regulatory system in *Streptococcus mutans*. Mol Microbiol 78: 1431–1447. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07417.x.
- Chastanet A, Prudhomme M, Claverys JP, Msadek T. 2001. Regulation of Streptococcus pneumoniae clp genes and their role in competence devel- opment and stress survival. J Bacteriol 183:7295–7307. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JB.183.24.7295-7307.2001.
- Shields RC, Zeng L, Culp DJ, Burne RA. 2018. Genomewide identification of essential genes and fitness determinants of *Streptococcus mutans* UA159. mSphere 3:e00031-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00031-18.
- Cui L, Vigouroux A, Rousset F, Varet H, Khanna V, Bikard D. 2018. A CRISPRi screen in *E. coli* reveals sequence-specific toxicity of dCas9. Nat Commun 9:1912. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04209-5.
- Liu X, Gallay C, Kjos M, Domenech A, Slager J, van Kessel SP, Knoops K, Sorg RA, Zhang JR, Veening JW. 2017. High-throughput CRISPRi phenotyping identifies new essential genes in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Mol Syst Biol 13:931. https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.20167449.
- Liu X, Kimmey JM, Matarazzo L, de Bakker V, Van Maele L, Sirard JC, Nizet V, Veening JW. 2021. Exploration of bacterial bottlenecks and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* pathogenesis by CRISPRi-Seq. Cell Host Microbe 29: 107–120.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2020.10.001.
- 37. Van den Bogert B, Boekhorst J, Herrmann R, Smid EJ, Zoetendal EG, Kleerebezem M. 2013. Comparative genomics analysis of Streptococcus isolates from the human small intestine reveals their adaptation to a highly dynamic ecosystem. PLoS One 8:e83418. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0083418.
- Sorg RA, Kuipers OP, Veening JW. 2015. Gene expression platform for synthetic biology in the human pathogen *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. ACS Synth Biol 4:228–239. https://doi.org/10.1021/sb500229s.
- Boutry C, Wahl A, Delplace B, Clippe A, Fontaine L, Hols P. 2012. Adaptor protein MecA is a negative regulator of the expression of late competence genes in *Streptococcus thermophilus*. J Bacteriol 194:1777–1788. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.06800-11.
- Knoops A, Ledesma-Garcia L, Waegemans A, Lamontagne M, Decat B, Degand H, Morsomme P, Soumillion P, Delvigne F, Hols P. 2022. Competence shut-off by intracellular pheromone degradation in salivarius streptococci. PLoS Genet 18:e1010198. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen .1010198.
- Li W, Koster J, Xu H, Chen CH, Xiao T, Liu JS, Brown M, Liu XS. 2015. Quality control, modeling, and visualization of CRISPR screens with MAGeCK-VISPR. Genome Biol 16:281. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0843-6.
- Wahl A, Servais F, Drucbert AS, Foulon C, Fontaine L, Hols P. 2014. Control of natural transformation in salivarius streptococci through specific degradation of sigmaX by the MecA-ClpCP protease complex. J Bacteriol 196: 2807–2816. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01758-14.
- Claverys JP, Havarstein LS. 2007. Cannibalism and fratricide: mechanisms and raisons d'etre. Nat Rev Microbiol 5:219–229. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrmicro1613.

- 44. Tatusov RL, Galperin MY, Natale DA, Koonin EV. 2000. The COG database: a tool for genome-scale analysis of protein functions and evolution. Nucleic Acids Res 28:33–36. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.33.
- Neuhaus FC, Baddiley J. 2003. A continuum of anionic charge: structures and functions of D-alanyl-teichoic acids in gram-positive bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 67:686–723. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.67.4.686 -723.2003.
- Levander F, Radstrom P. 2001. Requirement for phosphoglucomutase in exopolysaccharide biosynthesis in glucose- and lactose-utilizing *Streptococcus thermophilus*. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:2734–2738. https://doi .org/10.1128/AEM.67.6.2734-2738.2001.
- 47. Henry C, Haller L, Blein-Nicolas M, Zivy M, Canette A, Verbrugghe M, Mezange C, Boulay M, Gardan R, Samson S, Martin V, Andre-Leroux G, Monnet V. 2019. Identification of Hanks-type kinase PknB-specific targets in the *Streptococcus thermophilus* phosphoproteome. Front Microbiol 10: 1329. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01329.
- Pereira SF, Goss L, Dworkin J. 2011. Eukaryote-like serine/threonine kinases and phosphatases in bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 75:192–212. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00042-10.
- Devine KM. 2018. Activation of the PhoPR-mediated response to phosphate limitation is regulated by wall teichoic acid metabolism in *Bacillus subtilis*. Front Microbiol 9:2678. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02678.
- Eldholm V, Gutt B, Johnsborg O, Bruckner R, Maurer P, Hakenbeck R, Mascher T, Havarstein LS. 2010. The pneumococcal cell envelope stress-sensing system LiaFSR is activated by murein hydrolases and lipid II-interacting antibiotics. J Bacteriol 192:1761–1773. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01489-09.
- Ganguly T, Kajfasz JK, Abranches J, Lemos JA. 2020. Regulatory circuits controlling Spx levels in *Streptococcus mutans*. Mol Microbiol 114:109–126. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.14499.
- Rojas-Tapias DF, Helmann JD. 2018. Stabilization of *Bacillus subtilis* Spx under cell wall stress requires the anti-adaptor protein YirB. PLoS Genet 14:e1007531. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007531.
- Suntharalingam P, Senadheera MD, Mair RW, Levesque CM, Cvitkovitch DG. 2009. The LiaFSR system regulates the cell envelope stress response in *Streptococcus mutans*. J Bacteriol 191:2973–2984. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JB.01563-08.
- Ulrych A, Fabrik I, Kupcik R, Vajrychova M, Doubravova L, Branny P. 2021. Cell wall stress stimulates the activity of the protein kinase StkP of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, leading to multiple phosphorylation. J Mol Biol 433:167319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2021.167319.
- Shah IM, Laaberki MH, Popham DL, Dworkin J. 2008. A eukaryotic-like Ser/ Thr kinase signals bacteria to exit dormancy in response to peptidoglycan fragments. Cell 135:486–496. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.08.039.
- Beilharz K, Novakova L, Fadda D, Branny P, Massidda O, Veening JW. 2012. Control of cell division in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* by the conserved Ser/ Thr protein kinase StkP. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:E905–E913. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1119172109.
- Dias R, Felix D, Canica M, Trombe MC. 2009. The highly conserved serine threonine kinase StkP of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* contributes to penicillin susceptibility independently from genes encoding penicillin-binding proteins. BMC Microbiol 9:121. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-9-121.
- Fleurie A, Cluzel C, Guiral S, Freton C, Galisson F, Zanella-Cleon I, Di Guilmi AM, Grangeasse C. 2012. Mutational dissection of the S/T-kinase StkP reveals crucial roles in cell division of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Mol Microbiol 83:746–758. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07962.x.
- Zhang R, Xu W, Shao S, Wang Q. 2021. Gene silencing through CRISPR interference in bacteria: current advances and future prospects. Front Microbiol 12:635227. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.635227.
- Tian XL, Dong G, Liu T, Gomez ZA, Wahl A, Hols P, Li YH. 2013. MecA protein acts as a negative regulator of genetic competence in *Streptococcus mutans*. J Bacteriol 195:5196–5206. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00821-13.

- Shields RC, O'Brien G, Maricic N, Kesterson A, Grace M, Hagen SJ, Burne RA. 2018. Genome-wide screens reveal new gene products that influence genetic competence in *Streptococcus mutans*. J Bacteriol 200:e00508-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00508-17.
- Dmitriev A, Mohapatra SS, Chong P, Neely M, Biswas S, Biswas I. 2011. CovRcontrolled global regulation of gene expression in *Streptococcus mutans*. PLoS One 6:e20127. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020127.
- 63. Stevens KE, Chang D, Zwack EE, Sebert ME. 2011. Competence in *Strepto-coccus pneumoniae* is regulated by the rate of ribosomal decoding errors. mBio 2:e00071-11. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00071-11.
- Khemici V, Prudhomme M, Polard P. 2021. Tight interplay between replication stress and competence induction in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Cells 10:1938. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10081938.
- Slager J, Kjos M, Attaiech L, Veening JW. 2014. Antibiotic-induced replication stress triggers bacterial competence by increasing gene dosage near the origin. Cell 157:395–406. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.068.
- Winther KS, Roghanian M, Gerdes K. 2018. Activation of the stringent response by loading of ReIA-tRNA complexes at the ribosomal A-site. Mol Cell 70:95–105.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.02.033.
- Kaspar J, Kim JN, Ahn SJ, Burne RA. 2016. An essential role for (p)ppGpp in the integration of stress tolerance, peptide signaling, and competence development in *Streptococcus mutans*. Front Microbiol 7:1162. https://doi .org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01162.
- Horstmann N, Saldana M, Sahasrabhojane P, Yao H, Su X, Thompson E, Koller A, Shelburne SA, III. 2014. Dual-site phosphorylation of the control of virulence regulator impacts group a streptococcal global gene expression and pathogenesis. PLoS Pathog 10:e1004088. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.ppat.1004088.
- Lin WJ, Walthers D, Connelly JE, Burnside K, Jewell KA, Kenney LJ, Rajagopal L. 2009. Threonine phosphorylation prevents promoter DNA binding of the group B streptococcus response regulator CovR. Mol Microbiol 71:1477–1495. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06616.x.
- Dufour D, Villemin C, Perry JA, Levesque CM. 2016. Escape from the competence state in *Streptococcus mutans* is governed by the bacterial population density. Mol Oral Microbiol 31:501–514. https://doi.org/10.1111/ omi.12145.
- Mignolet J, Fontaine L, Kleerebezem M, Hols P. 2016. Complete genome sequence of *Streptococcus salivarius* HSISS4, a human commensal bacterium highly prevalent in the digestive tract. Genome Announc 4:e01637-15. https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01637-15.
- Letort C, Juillard V. 2001. Development of a minimal chemically-defined medium for the exponential growth of *Streptococcus thermophilus*. J Appl Microbiol 91:1023–1029. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2001.01469.x.
- 73. Fontaine L, Dandoy D, Boutry C, Delplace B, de Frahan MH, Fremaux C, Horvath P, Boyaval P, Hols P. 2010. Development of a versatile procedure based on natural transformation for marker-free targeted genetic modification in *Streptococcus thermophilus*. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:7870–7877. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01671-10.
- 74. Dorrazehi GM, Worms S, Chirakadavil JB, Mignolet J, Hols P, Soumillion S. 2020. Building scarless gene libraries in the chromosome of bacteria, p 189–211. *In* Iranzo O, Roque A (ed), Peptide and protein engineering. Springer protocols handbooks. Humana, New York, NY.
- 75. Lu S, Wang J, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Geer RC, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Hurwitz DI, Marchler GH, Song JS, Thanki N, Yamashita RA, Yang M, Zhang D, Zheng C, Lanczycki CJ, Marchler-Bauer A. 2020. CDD/SPARCLE: the conserved domain database in 2020. Nucleic Acids Res 48:D265–D268. https:// doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz991.
- Ogura M, Hashimoto H, Tanaka T. 2002. Med, a cell-surface localized protein regulating a competence transcription factor gene, *comK*, in *Bacillus subtilis*. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 66:892–896. https://doi.org/10.1271/ bbb.66.892.