

Unicentre CH-1015 Lausanne http://serval.unil.ch

Year : 2023

# Prediction of Glioma Grade and IDH Status Using 18F-FET PET/CT Dynamic and Multiparametric Texture Analysis

Hajri Rami

Hajri Rami, 2023, Prediction of Glioma Grade and IDH Status Using 18F-FET PET/CT Dynamic and Multiparametric Texture Analysis

Originally published at : Thesis, University of Lausanne

Posted at the University of Lausanne Open Archive <u>http://serval.unil.ch</u> Document URN : urn:nbn:ch:serval-BIB\_3004C6D8B9153

## Droits d'auteur

L'Université de Lausanne attire expressément l'attention des utilisateurs sur le fait que tous les documents publiés dans l'Archive SERVAL sont protégés par le droit d'auteur, conformément à la loi fédérale sur le droit d'auteur et les droits voisins (LDA). A ce titre, il est indispensable d'obtenir le consentement préalable de l'auteur et/ou de l'éditeur avant toute utilisation d'une oeuvre ou d'une partie d'une oeuvre ne relevant pas d'une utilisation à des fins personnelles au sens de la LDA (art. 19, al. 1 lettre a). A défaut, tout contrevenant s'expose aux sanctions prévues par cette loi. Nous déclinons toute responsabilité en la matière.

## Copyright

The University of Lausanne expressly draws the attention of users to the fact that all documents published in the SERVAL Archive are protected by copyright in accordance with federal law on copyright and similar rights (LDA). Accordingly it is indispensable to obtain prior consent from the author and/or publisher before any use of a work or part of a work for purposes other than personal use within the meaning of LDA (art. 19, para. 1 letter a). Failure to do so will expose offenders to the sanctions laid down by this law. We accept no liability in this respect.



UNIVERSITE DE LAUSANNE - FACULTE DE BIOLOGIE ET DE MEDECINE Département de Radiologie médicale

Service de Radiodiagnostic et Radiologie Interventionnelle

# Prediction of Glioma Grade and IDH Status Using <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/CT Dynamic and Multiparametric Texture Analysis

## THESE

préparée sous la direction du Docteur Vincent Dunet

et présentée à la Faculté de biologie et de médecine de l'Université de Lausanne pour l'obtention du grade de

# DOCTEUR EN MEDECINE

par

# Rami HAJRI

Médecin diplômé(e) de la Confédération Suisse Originaire de Lausanne (VD)

> Lausanne 2023



# **IMPRIMATUR**

La Faculté de biologie et médecine de l'Université de Lausanne, sur proposition du jury, autorise l'impression de la thèse de doctorat rédigée par

# Rami HAJRI

intitulée

# Prediction of Glioma Grade and IDH Status Using 18F-FET PET /CT Dynamic and Multiparametric Texture Analysis

sans se prononcer sur les opinions exprimées dans cette thèse.

Directeur Expert interne Vice-directeur de l'Ecole doctorale Docteur Vincent Dunet Professeur Philippe Maeder Professeur John Prior

Lausanne, le 10.10.2023

pour Le Doyen de la Faculté de Biologie et de Médecine

Monsieur le Professeur John Prior Vice-Directeur de l'Ecole doctorale

Les gliomes constituent les tumeurs cérébrales les plus fréquentes et leur différenciation histologique (grade) ainsi que leur classification permettent de prédire le devenir des patients. En particulier, la détermination du status mutationnel de l'isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH), qui joue un rôle clé dans l'oncogenèse des gliomes, représente un facteur pronostique majeur.

Au cours des dernières décennies, le PET cérébral à la <sup>18</sup>F-fluoro-éthyl-tyrosine (<sup>18</sup>F-FET) a joué un rôle croissant dans l'évaluation initiale des gliomes grâce à sa valeur diagnostique et pronostique. La combinaison des techniques de PET et d'IRM permet d'obtenir des informations différentes et a démontré une valeur ajoutée par rapport à chaque technique utilisée seule, notamment grâce au développement de systèmes hybrides TEP/IRM.

Au-delà de l'évaluation morphologique et de la captation de la <sup>18</sup>F-FET, le post-traitement avancé des images, notamment l'évaluation des courbes temps-activité du <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET fournit des informations importantes pour la classification des gliomes. De plus, l'analyse radiomique et plus particulièrement l'analyse de texture correspond à l'extraction de paramètres d'imagerie quantitatifs relatifs à l'hétérogénéité tumorale et permet notamment d'améliorer la précision diagnostique et pronostique.

Dans cette étude rétrospective monocentrique, nous avons analysé les données dynamiques et celles issues des analyses de texture des images statiques reconstruites à partir des PET cérébraux à la <sup>18</sup>F-FET de 61 patients présentant des gliomes non traités. Les résultats ont démontré que la combinaison des données dynamiques et d'analyse de texture permettent de prédire fiablement le grade tumoral mais qu'elles ont toutefois une valeur limitée pour la détermination du status IDH après ajustement des facteurs confondants.

Sur la base de ces observations, ce type d'analyse pourrait fournir des informations diagnostiques et pronostiques pertinentes et améliorer la stratification initiale des patients atteints de gliomes non traités, de manière non invasive.





# Article Prediction of Glioma Grade and IDH Status Using <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/CT Dynamic and Multiparametric Texture Analysis

Rami Hajri <sup>1</sup>, Marie Nicod-Lalonde <sup>2</sup>, Andreas F. Hottinger <sup>3,4</sup>, John O. Prior <sup>2</sup>, and Vincent Dunet <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Lausanne University Hospital, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland; rami.hajri@chuv.ch
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Lausanne University Hospital, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland; marie.nicod-lalonde@chuv.ch (M.N.-L.); john.prior@chuv.ch (J.O.P.)
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Neurology, Lausanne University Hospital, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland; andreas.hottinger@chuv.ch
- <sup>4</sup> Lukas Lundin & Family Brain Tumor Research Center, Lausanne University Hospital, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland
- \* Correspondence: vincent.dunet@chuv.ch

Abstract: Mutations in isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) represent an independent predictor of better survival in patients with gliomas. We aimed to assess grade and IDH mutation status in patients with untreated gliomas, by evaluating the respective value of <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/CT via dynamic and texture analyses. A total of 73 patients (male: 48, median age: 47) who underwent an <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/CT for initial glioma evaluation were retrospectively included. IDH status was available in 61 patients (20 patients with WHO grade 2 gliomas, 41 with grade 3-4 gliomas). Time-activity curve type and 20 parameters obtained from static analysis using LIFEx© v6.30 software were recorded. Respective performance was assessed using receiver operating characteristic curve analysis and stepwise multivariate regression analysis adjusted for patients' age and sex. The time-activity curve type and texture parameters derived from the static parameters showed satisfactory-to-good performance in predicting glioma grade and IDH status. Both time-activity curve type (stepwise OR: 101.6 (95% CI: 5.76–1791), p = 0.002) and NGLDM coarseness (stepwise OR: 2.08  $\times$  10<sup>43</sup> (95% CI:  $2.76 \times 10^{12}$ – $1.57 \times 10^{74}$ ), p = 0.006) were independent predictors of glioma grade. No independent predictor of IDH status was found. Dynamic and texture analyses of <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/CT have limited predictive value for IDH status when adjusted for confounding factors. However, they both help predict glioma grade.

Keywords: gliomas; <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET; radiomics; IDH mutational status; texture analysis

#### 1. Introduction

Gliomas represent the most common form of primary brain tumor and their occurrence is increasing, especially among the elderly population, possibly due to the aging of the population, air pollution or ionizing radiation, among other factors [1–4]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO)'s 2021 classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System (CNS) [5], gliomas are divided into low-grade (1–2) and high-grade tumors (3–4). Their histologic differentiation and grading are predictive of a patient's outcome. Advances in oncogenetics have highlighted the role of the isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) genotype, notably in glioma oncogenesis and prognosis, as patients with an IDH mutation tend to have better outcomes [6]. IDH status also has implications for treatment, as the wide preponderance of IDH mutations has led to the development of new targeted agents that can inhibit these enzymes [7].

To date, no consensually approved method exists to determine IDH status in a noninvasive manner. Nevertheless, some studies using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)



Citation: Hajri, R.; Nicod-Lalonde, M.; Hottinger, A.F.; Prior, J.O.; Dunet, V. Prediction of Glioma Grade and IDH Status Using <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/CT Dynamic and Multiparametric Texture Analysis. *Diagnostics* **2023**, *13*, 2604. https://doi.org/10.3390/ diagnostics13152604

Academic Editor: Christian la Fougere

Received: 21 June 2023 Revised: 1 August 2023 Accepted: 3 August 2023 Published: 5 August 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). radiomics [8] have shown high accuracy in predicting IDH status, with an area under the curve (AUC) > 0.9, using magnetic resonance (MR) spectroscopy with hydroxyglutarate, among other methods [9]. Conventional MRI represents the gold standard for the initial morphologic evaluation of a suspected brain tumor due to its high spatial resolution. MRI can assess the size, location and presence of complications such as mass effects or hemorrhages [10]. Advanced MRI has demonstrated its potential in distinguishing between low-and high-grade gliomas, notably due to the development of MR perfusion and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) [11].

In parallel, brain positron emission tomography (PET) using <sup>18</sup>F-fluoro-ethyl-tyrosine (<sup>18</sup>F-FET), an artificial amino acid taken up by upregulated tumor cells due to their increased expression of LAT1 and LAT2 amino acid transporters [12,13], has played an increasing role in the initial evaluation of gliomas. It provides information on tumor metabolism by identifying the most hypermetabolic zones to help with biopsy targeting, for example, and has also demonstrated excellent diagnostic and prognostic value [14].

The combination of MRI techniques [15] or of PET and MRI techniques yields different information [16,17] and has shown additional value compared to each technique used alone [10], notably due to the development of hybrid PET/MRI systems. Beyond morphological and <sup>18</sup>F-FET uptake evaluation, several advanced image post-processing techniques have demonstrated their usefulness, particularly the evaluation of the dynamic TAC of <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET; the analysis of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) histograms from DWI; the textural analysis of dynamic susceptibility contrast (DSC) and static <sup>18</sup>F-FET images, as they provide important information for the diagnosis, grading and prognosis of gliomas [10,18–20]; and the analysis of the prediction of the IDH genotype [21–23].

More specifically, texture analysis in the context of radiomics is an emerging field with fast development potential. It consists in extracting mathematically defined features from medical images, and obtaining quantitative information that allows for the identification of parameters regarding tumor heterogeneity and improves diagnostic and prognostic accuracy [22].

We evaluated the respective and combined value of <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/CT dynamic and texture analyses to predict glioma grade and IDH genotype.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Study Population

In this retrospective monocentric study, 73 patients with suspected primary brain tumors based on conventional MRI who underwent supplemental <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/CT for the initial evaluation of gliomas between 2009 and 2019 were included. All the examinations were performed before treatment.

All patients underwent histopathological confirmation via either surgical stereotactic biopsy (n = 43) or tumor neurosurgical resection (n = 30). Tumors were then histologically classified according to the 2021 WHO classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System [5]. The histological parameters recorded were the tumor type, tumor grade and IDH status. Patients whose IDH status was unknown were excluded from the statistical analysis (Figure 1). The study was conducted according to the Standards for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (STARD) criteria [24]. All collected imaging data were anonymized to comply with national ethical guidelines and according to the Swiss Federal Act on Research involving Human Beings from 2011 (HRA, Art. 3). The study protocol (196/08 and 2018/01513) was approved by the State of Vaud Ethics Committee and Federal Regulatory Agencies. Each participant gave written informed consent before inclusion.



**Figure 1.** Flowchart of 73 participants included in the study with 12 excluded initially for unavailable IDH status. In total, 61 participants were eligible with an available tumor grade and IDH status, from which 20 were classified as low-grade and 41 as high-grade.

#### 2.2. <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET Acquisitions

<sup>18</sup>F-FET PET acquisitions were performed using a PET/CT scanner (Discovery LS or 690, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, MI, USA). All patients fasted, avoiding any intake for at least 4 h prior to intravenous <sup>18</sup>F-FET injection, as recommended by European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM) guidelines [25].

<sup>18</sup>F-FET PET images were acquired using a dynamic protocol over 50 to 60 min (12 frames of 5 min; 4.2 mm section thickness; 24 cm field-of-view; matrix size of  $256 \times 256$ ) after intravenous injection of 185–250 MBq of <sup>18</sup>F-FET. Raw data were corrected for attenuation by soft tissue and skull bone using an unenhanced cerebral CT (120 kV, 10 mAs) and normalized to the injected dose and body mass by calculating the standardized uptake value (SUV). Other raw data corrections were performed, such as time-of-flight, scatter correction, random correction and dead time correction. No head motion correction was needed.

#### 2.3. <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET Analysis

Before being analyzed, all data were anonymized. Analyses were performed independently by two readers (RH, VD) blinded to the histological results. One reader (RH) with 4 years' experience in neuroimaging analyzed the <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET static data and one reader (VD) with 17 years' experience in neuroimaging analyzed the <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET dynamic data.

Static <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET data were analyzed using the free LIFEx© [26] v6.30 software, which enables the extraction of several parameters obtained from the static PET data (conventional, texture, shape and histogram-derived). For each patient, the extraction of texture features was performed after contouring the tumoral volume-of-interest (VOI) using a semi-automated method based on a tumor-to-background ratio (TBR) threshold value. For this purpose, a spherical VOI was placed in normal-looking contralateral brain tissue as a reference to determine the SUVmean background. Of the 61 patients analyzed, 45 patients had their static data obtained over a 40–50 min window, and 16 patients over a 40–60 min window. The static <sup>18</sup>F-FET at 40–50 or 40–60 min post-injection was then normalized to obtain a TBR map, and the tumor VOI was automatically delineated using a TBR  $\geq$  1.6 [27].

TBRmean and TBRmax were thus calculated by dividing the mean SUVmean or SUVmax of the tumor VOI by the mean SUV of contralateral normal brain tissue, respectively.

LIFEx© software computed 15 textural parameters that differ from each other in the way they are mathematically calculated [28]. The grey-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) takes into account the arrangements of pairs of voxels to calculate textural indices. The GLCM is calculated from 13 different directions in 3D with a  $\delta$ -voxel distance (k –  $\rightarrow$  dk) relationship between neighboring voxels. The index value is the average of the index over the 13 directions in space (X, Y, Z). Six textural indices are computed from this matrix (homogeneity, energy, contrast, correlation, entropy and dissimilarity).

The neighborhood grey-level difference matrix (NGLDM) corresponds to the differences in grey levels between one voxel and its 26 neighbors in 3 dimensions (8 in 2D). Three texture indices can be computed from this matrix (coarseness, contrast, busyness). Indices from shape were also extracted as four parameters, including sphericity, surface, compacity and volume. Finally, two parameters were extracted from the first-order features from histogram data and consisted of skewness and kurtosis.

Dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET analysis was performed using Syngo.via© version VB50 software (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). From the dynamic data, the time–activity curve (TAC) type (i.e., increasing, stable or decreasing) was recorded (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Post-processing pipeline included analysis of the time–activity curves derived from the dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET data on the left with three types: increasing, stable and decreasing. On the right, the texture parameters are shown that were obtained from the static analysis using the LIFEx<sup>©</sup> software. SUV, standardized uptake value; TBR, tumor-to-background ratio; TLG, total lesion glycolysis; GLCM, grey-level co-occurrence matrix; NGLDM, neighboring gray-level dependence matrix.

#### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using Stata 16.0 software (Stata, College Station, TX, USA). Continuous variables are reported as median (interquartile range (IQR)) and categorical variables as number (percentage). We divided patients according to their histopathological grade (low-grade versus high-grade) and IDH status (wildtype versus mutated). The diagnostic performance of all metrics was assessed using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis with computation of the area under the curve (AUC)

with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI). The diagnostic performance of all metrics was also compared with the Chi-squared test of equality of the AUC.

Stepwise multivariate regression analysis was additionally performed to identify independent predictors that could be combined for tumor grade and IDH status estimation, while adjusting for patients' age and sex, which are confounding factors [29,30]. A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The significance level was corrected for multiple testing when necessary.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Study Population

Overall, 73 patients (female 25; male 48) were enrolled in this study with a median age of 47 years (36–59). A total of 12 patients were excluded from the analysis as their IDH statuses were not available. In total, 61 patients (female: 20, male: 41, median age: 47 years (35–57)) could be fully classified according to the 2021 WHO classification. A total of 20 patients (33%) had a WHO grade 2 gliomas and 41 patients (67%) had a grade 3–4 gliomas. Of the 20 patients with a grade 2 glioma, 9 (15%) had an astrocytoma and 11 (18%) an oligodendroglioma. Of the 41 patients with high-grade gliomas, 3 (5%) had an astrocytoma, 10 (16%) had an oligodendroglioma, and 28 (46%) had a glioblastoma. Regarding IDH status, 28 patients had wildtype (wt) IDH (46%), all corresponding to glioblastomas, and 33 patients had mutant IDH (54%), as displayed in Table 1.

Table 1. Histological characteristics of tumors according to 2021 WHO classification.

2021 WHO Classification	Grade 2	Grade 3–4	Total
Astrocytoma	9	3	12
Oligodendroglioma	11	10	21
Glioblastoma	0	28	28
	IDH wt	IDH mutant	
Astrocytoma	0	12	12
Oligodendroglioma	0	21	21
Glioblastoma	28	0	28

#### 3.2. Dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET Analysis

Of the 61 patients, 30 (49%) patients had a decreasing time–activity curve (TAC) and 31 (51%) had a stable or increasing time–activity curve. TAC type showed good performance in distinguishing between low-grade and high-grade gliomas (AUC = 0.80 [0.69–0.91]) and moderate performance in IDH status identification (AUC = 0.67 [0.55–0.79]). In addition, it was shown to be an independent predictor of glioma grade (stepwise OR: 101.6 (95% CI: 5.76–1791), p = 0.002) but not of IDH status. There was no significant difference in TAC type between tumor types of the same grade, notably in low-grade tumors (p = 0.42) and high-grade tumors (p = 0.20).

#### 3.3. Static <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET Texture Analysis

Static <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET texture analysis was successfully performed in all 61 patients with an available IDH status. The diagnostic performance of the 20 parameters used for grading and IDH status assessment, which were derived from the analysis, including conventional metrics, texture features, indices from shape and first-order features from histogram, is presented in Table 2.

Parameters	AUC	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value *
Dynamic			
TAC	0.80	0.69-0.91	NA
Conventional static			
SUVmax	0.58	0.42 - 0.74	0.010
SUVmean	0.54	0.38-0.70	0.0052
TBRmax	0.56	0.39-0.73	0.0045
TBRmean	0.56	0.40-0.73	0.0071
TLG	0.57	0.40-0.74	0.0078
<i>Texture features</i>			
GLCM Homogeneity	0.41	0.24-0.58	0.0003
GLCM Energy	0.44	0.26-0.62	0.0024
GLCM Contrast	0.60	0.43-0.76	0.037
GLCM Correlation	0.39	0.21-0.58	< 0.0001
GLCM Entropy	0.55	0.37-0.73	0.012
GLCM Dissimilarity	0.60	0.43-0.77	0.046
NGLDM Coarseness	0.73	0.57-0.89	0.54
NGLDM Contrast	0.66	0.49-0.83	0.22
NGLDM Busyness	0.40	0.22-0.58	0.0002
Indices from shape			
Sphericity	0.63	0.46 - 0.80	0.11
Surface	0.41	0.22-0.60	0.0001
Compacity	0.51	0.30-0.71	0.0034
Volume	0.55	0.37-0.72	0.0044
First-order features from			
Histogram			
Skewness	0.40	0.24-0.55	0.0001
Kurtosis	0.39	0.23-0.54	0.0001

Table 2. Performance in glioma grading based on 2021 WHO classification.

AUC, area under the curve from receiver operating characteristics; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; TAC, timeactivity curve; SUV, standardized uptake value; TBR, tumor-to-background ratio; TLG, total lesion glycolysis; GLCM, grey-level co-occurrence matrix; NGLDM, neighboring gray-level dependence matrix. Test for grade 2 (n = 20) versus grade 3–4 (n = 41). \* p-value in comparison to TAC type. ROC comparison did not find significant differences between TAC, NGLDM contrast, NGLDM coarseness and sphericity (p > 0.05) but TAC had better performance than all the others parameters (p < 0.046).

For glioma grading, NGLDM coarseness, NGLDM contrast and sphericity had good-tosatisfactory performance. The NGLDM coarseness was the only factor that independently predicted glioma grade (stepwise OR:  $2.08 \times 10^{43}$  (95% CI:  $2.76 \times 10^{12}$ – $1.57 \times 10^{74}$ ), p = 0.006). Despite their moderate performance in identifying IDH status, as shown in Table 3, the other parameters were not independent predictors of IDH status in the stepwise regression analysis.

Table 3. Performance in identifying IDH status.

Parameters	AUC	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value *
Dynamic			
TAC	0.67	0.55-0.79	NA
Conventional static			
SUVmax	0.56	0.41 - 0.71	0.18
SUVmean	0.56	0.42-0.71	0.27
TBRmax	0.47	0.32-0.62	0.0048
TBRmean	0.45	0.30-0.60	0.0028
TLG	0.47	0.32-0.62	0.0070
Texture features			
GLCM Homogeneity	0.45	0.30-0.61	0.066
GLCM Energy	0.50	0.34-0.66	0.18
GLCM Contrast	0.53	0.37-0.70	0.21
GLCM Correlation	0.43	0.26-0.59	0.014

Parameters	AUC	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value *
GLCM Entropy	0.51	0.35-0.67	0.11
GLCM Dissimilarity	0.54	0.38-0.70	0.23
NGLDM Coarseness	0.62	0.47-0.78	0.91
NGLDM Contrast	0.64	0.49-0.80	0.90
NGLDM Busyness	0.47	0.31-0.63	0.079
Indices from shape			
Sphericity	0.65	0.49-0.80	0.92
Surface	0.34	0.19-0.50	0.0003
Compacity	0.44	0.28-0.60	0.011
Volume	0.45	0.30-0.59	0.0022
First-order features from			
Histogram			
Skewness	0.48	0.33-0.63	0.080
Kurtosis	0.48	0.33-0.62	0.080

Table 3. Cont.

AUC, area under the curve from receiver operating characteristics; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; TAC, timeactivity curve; SUV, standardized uptake value; TBR, tumor-to-background ratio; TLG, total lesion glycolysis; GLCM, grey-level co-occurrence matrix; NGLDM, neighboring gray-level dependence matrix. Test performed on all gliomas (n = 61) and each group: glioblastoma IDH wt (n = 28), astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma IDH mutant (n = 33). \* p-value in comparison to TAC type. ROC comparison did not find significant difference between TAC and several texture parameters, especially NGLDM contrast, coarseness and sphericity (p > 0.90).

There was no difference in parameter performance for identifying either glioma grade or IDH status between the two time windows (i.e., 40–50 versus 40–60 min, all p-values > 0.093).

## 3.4. Performance Comparison of Dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET and Static Texture Analysis

Regarding the performance of both techniques for glioma grading, the ROC comparison did not find a significant difference between TAC, NGLDM contrast, NGLDM coarseness and sphericity (p > 0.05), but TAC had better performance than all the other parameters (p < 0.046).

Regarding the performance of both techniques for identifying IDH status, the ROC comparison did not find significant differences between TAC and several texture parameters, especially NGLDM contrast, coarseness and sphericity (p > 0.90). Exemplary cases of low-grade and high-grade gliomas with TAC type and relevant texture parameters are displayed in Figures 3 and 4.



**Figure 3.** Combination of dynamic curve and segmentation study of patient with WHO grade III anaplastic astrocytoma: <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET uptake in the left fronto-parietal lobe of a WHO grade III anaplastic astrocytoma with an IDH mutation. The time–activity curve shows a decreasing pattern, and the GLCM (grey-level co-occurrence matrix) correlation extracted from the tumoral VOI texture analysis evidenced on the right is 0.67.





**Figure 4.** Combination of dynamic curve and segmentation study of a patient with WHO grade II astrocytoma: <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET uptake in the right frontal lobe of a WHO grade II astrocytoma with an IDH mutation. The time–activity curve shows an increasing pattern, and the GLCM (grey-level co-occurrence matrix) correlation extracted from the tumoral VOI texture analysis evidenced on the right is 0.73.

#### 4. Discussion

In this study, we assessed the respective value of <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/CT dynamic and static texture analyses regarding the prediction of 2021 WHO glioma grade and IDH status. Our results show that TAC type and several static parameters derived from texture analysis have good-to-moderate performance in identifying glioma grade and IDH status. In addition, both TAC type and NGLDM coarseness are independent predictors of glioma grade. However, neither TAC type nor texture parameters are independent predictors of IDH status. Hence, the combination of <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/CT dynamic and texture analyses may help to predict glioma grade but has little value for predicting IDH status.

The fifth edition of the WHO Classification of Tumors of the CNS published in 2021 introduced major changes that advanced the role of molecular diagnostics in tumor classification [5]. The previous CNS tumor classifications were based exclusively on histological features determined via immunohistochemistry. The 2016 WHO classification introduced molecular markers, including the mutational status of IDH, for the first time as a component of glioma classification. In the current WHO classification determined in 2021, the role of molecular biomarkers has increased in importance and provides powerful clinicopathologic information and utility for more accurate classification, prognosis and management. For example, IDH-wildtype gliomas and glioneuronal and neuronal tumors now extend from CNS grade 2 or 3 to CNS grade 4, even in cases that previously would appear histologically as a lower grade. This highlights the importance of determining IDH status to classify patients according to the fifth edition of the WHO CNS classification of gliomas and to provide the most well-adapted treatment as a consequence. The current guidelines recommend the determination of IDH status for grading and to predict outcomes and responses to therapy [5]. However, to date, no consensually accepted method allows for the identification of IDH status in a non-invasive manner.

The applications of <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET in neuro-oncology, especially in gliomas, are increasing, as its use has been recommended by the Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (RANO) Working Group and the European Association of Neuro-Oncology (EANO) as a complementary tool to contrast-enhanced MRI [31,32]. <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET is highly relevant to the initial diagnosis of primary brain tumors and gliomas (14) and outperforms <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET in this setting [33]. Beyond tumor tracer uptake, previous studies have demonstrated the diagnostic performance of the time–activity curve derived from dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET for glioma grading [21]. Dunet et al. [10] also demonstrated the added value of dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET for the initial grading of untreated gliomas using the <sup>18</sup>F-FET time–activity curve pattern, alone or in combination with the apparent diffusion coefficient histogram derived from diffusion-weighted MRI.

In the present study, we confirm the independent predictive value of the <sup>18</sup>F-FET timeactivity curve for identifying high-grade gliomas. However, we found that the time-activity curve type has only moderate performance and is not an independent predictor of IDH mutation when adjusting for patients' age and sex. The parameters derived from dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET curves were described to be useful for IDH status determination [22]. However, the authors reported no correction for patients' age and sex, with their influence on the results remaining unclear in their study. Suchorska et al. [34] showed that <sup>18</sup>F-FET-derived dynamic analysis defines prognostically distinct subgroups of IDH mutant -1p/19q noncodeleted gliomas. Moreover, Li et al. [35] showed that radiomics based on time-to-peak images extracted from dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET could predict the TERTp-mutation status of IDH-wildtype diffuse astrocytic high-grade gliomas (now called glioblastomas according to the 2021 WHO CNS classification) [2] with high accuracy preoperatively. In addition, Vomacka et al. [36] suggested that voxel-wise analysis of dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET could help identify aggressive tumor areas and guide individual management. This was confirmed by Blanc-Durand et al. [21] who further demonstrated that tumor heterogeneity evaluated via a clustering approach to the time-activity curve is a valuable method in differentiating IDH mutation status and for survival stratification. However, whether static <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET texture analysis could replace dynamic <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET to reduce scan time remains unknown. In patients with IDH mutation, another element that could be evaluated through further studies is the value of radiomics analysis for estimating the CDKN-2A/B deletion, which is known as a supplementary prognostic factor [37].

In recent decades, lesion heterogeneity, which is thought to be the hallmark of aggressive tumors, has been increasingly studied, notably using image textural feature analysis [38]. Thanks to advances in image acquisition standardization [39] and efforts in reproducible radiomics analyses made by the Image Biomarker Standardization Initiative (IBSI) [40], <sup>18</sup>F-FET textural feature analysis has been proven highly repeatable, regardless of IDH genotype [41]. In the present study, we used the free LIFEx<sup>©</sup> software, which ensures both standardized and reproducible data analysis. We found that several textural features derived from <sup>18</sup>F-FET static images had good-to-substantial performance either for glioma grading or for IDH status identification. Notably, NGLDM coarseness, which is the level of the spatial rate of change in intensity, was an independent predictor of glioma grade. High-grade gliomas indeed had higher NGLGM coarseness values, which indicates higher heterogeneity. This is in line with Hua et al. [42], who demonstrated higher coefficient of variation and heterogeneity indices in patients with IDH-wildtype gliomas evaluated via 20–40 min static <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET. Pyka et al. [18] also reported that several textural features, notably coarseness, might help to distinguish between grade 3 and grade 4 gliomas, as well as predicting progression-free survival and overall survival. However, these authors did not report IDH status and used non-standard contouring and calculating methods, which precludes any direct comparison. Compared to the TAC analysis, the performances of NGLGM coarseness and contrast, and sphericity, were similar for the determination of IDH status in our study. None were independent predictors. Lohmann et al. [22] evaluated the performance of <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/MRI textural parameters recorded using the LIFEx© software in a population of 28 patients with untreated gliomas. The authors demonstrated that, among various combination of parameters, combining decreasing TAC and NGLDM contrast or decreasing TAC and sphericity improves the non-invasive prediction of the IDH genotype. Again, potential confounding factors such as age or sex were not evaluated, making any comparison difficult. Overall, this suggests that TAC analysis is not outperformed by textural feature analysis, but its respective value for IDH status determination appears to be small. Larger studies considering confounding factors are needed to refine the place of <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET texture analysis for the initial evaluation of patients with untreated gliomas.

Beyond <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/CT, the use of combined PET-MR imaging is expanding in the field of brain tumor imaging as they both provide valuable information regarding biological

characteristics. The increasing availability of hybrid PET/MRI machines that allow for the concurrent acquisition of both modalities is possible thanks to the many studies that have addressed the benefit of the combination of both techniques [43]. For instance, Verger et al. [17] investigated the usefulness of <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET and dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced perfusion weighted imaging (DSC-PWI) at 3 Tesla for the grading of gliomas and evidenced that its diagnostic accuracy for glioma grading was comparable for both <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET and relative cerebral blood volume (rCBV), with an AUC of about 0.80. Song et al. [44] also showed that both <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET and DSC-PWI could be non-invasive predictors of glioma grades and IDH status. Combining dynamic and textural <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET analyses with multiparametric MRI might still improve the characterization of untreated gliomas.

The main strength of this study is the standardization of the methodology through the use of LIFEx<sup>©</sup> software in the acquisition of the texture analysis parameters, which has been shown to be highly robust [26]. Also, our study highlights that TAC analysis and texture analysis are valuable for glioma grading but have little value for IDH prediction, when considering potential confounding factors such as age and sex, which has not been addressed in many other studies published in the field [22,45,46]. One limitation of our study is its monocentric retrospective design with a selected population of patients with gliomas, not including benign lesions and non-glial tumors. In addition, we did not have the IDH status of 12 of the 73 enrolled patients. Another limitation is the use of different PET-CT machines. However, a phantom was used to ensure the stability of SUV measures, hence the reproducibility of the measurements [47]. Moreover, the delineation of the tumor VOI was realized using the static <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET images from the 40–50 or 40–60 min acquisition according to the protocol, which prevailed at the time of the study, and not according to the recent EANM/EANO/RANO/Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging (SNMMI) practice guidelines for the imaging of gliomas using PET with radiolabeled amino acids and <sup>18</sup>F-FDG [48]. Nevertheless, there was no statistical difference in parameter performance between the 40–50- and 40–60-min windows in determining either glioma grade or IDH status (all *p*-values > 0.093). The diagnostic impact of performing texture analysis on 40–60 min versus 20–40 min images could be evaluated but was not possible here, and is of interest for future studies.

#### 5. Conclusions

Our results indicate that dynamic and texture analyses of <sup>18</sup>F-FET PET/CT have only limited predictive value for IDH status when adjusted for confounding factors. However, they both help predict glioma grade.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.H., M.N.-L., A.F.H., J.O.P. and V.D.; methodology, J.O.P. and V.D.; software, R.H. and V.D.; validation, M.N.-L., J.O.P. and V.D.; formal analysis, R.H. and V.D.; investigation, R.H. and V.D.; resources, J.O.P. and V.D.; data curation, R.H. and V.D.; writing—original draft preparation R.H. and V.D.; writing—review and editing, R.H., M.N.-L., A.F.H., J.O.P. and V.D.; visualization, R.H. and V.D.; supervision, J.O.P. and V.D.; project administration, J.O.P. and V.D.; funding acquisition, J.O.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version off the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was financially supported by a grant from the Lionel Perrier Foundation (Montreux, Switzerland, 196/08).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** All collected imaging data were anonymized to comply with national ethical guidelines and according to the Swiss Federal Act on Research involving Human Beings from 2011 (HRA, Art. 3). The study protocol (196/08 and 2018/01513) was approved by the State of Vaud Ethics Committee and Federal Regulatory Agencies. Each participant gave written informed consent before inclusion.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study before inclusion.

Data Availability Statement: For detailed research data, please contact the corresponding author.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interest or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### References

- 1. Grech, N.; Dalli, T.; Mizzi, S.; Meilak, L.; Calleja, N.; Zrinzo, A. Rising Incidence of Glioblastoma Multiforme in a Well-Defined Population. *Cureus* 2020, *12*, e8195. [CrossRef]
- 2. Arora, R.S.; Alston, R.D.; Eden, T.O.; Estlin, E.J.; Moran, A.; Birch, J.M. Age-incidence patterns of primary CNS tumors in children, adolescents, and adults in England. *Neuro Oncol.* 2009, 11, 403–413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Lee, C.H.; Jung, K.W.; Yoo, H.; Park, S.; Lee, S.H. Epidemiology of primary brain and central nervous system tumors in Korea. *J. Korean Neurosurg. Soc.* **2010**, *48*, 145–152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Philips, A.; Henshaw, D.L.; Lamburn, G.; O'Carroll, M.J. Brain Tumours: Rise in Glioblastoma Multiforme Incidence in England 1995–2015 Suggests an Adverse Environmental or Lifestyle Factor. *J. Environ. Public Health* **2018**, 2018, 7910754.
- Louis, D.N.; Perry, A.; Wesseling, P.; Brat, D.J.; Cree, I.A.; Figarella-Branger, D.; Hawkins, C.; Ng, H.K.; Pfister, S.M.; Reifenberger, G.; et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: A summary. *Neuro Oncol.* 2021, 23, 1231–1251. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Han, S.; Liu, Y.; Cai, S.J.; Qian, M.; Ding, J.; Larion, M.; Gilbert, M.R.; Yang, C. IDH mutation in glioma: Molecular mechanisms and potential therapeutic targets. *Br. J. Cancer* **2020**, *122*, 1580–1589. [CrossRef]
- Karpel-Massler, G.; Nguyen, T.T.T.; Shang, E.; Siegelin, M.D. Novel IDH1-Targeted Glioma Therapies. CNS Drugs 2019, 33, 1155–1166. [CrossRef]
- 8. Lohmann, P.; Galldiks, N.; Kocher, M.; Heinzel, A.; Filss, C.P.; Stegmayr, C.; Mottaghy, F.M.; Fink, G.R.; Shah, N.J.; Langen, K.-J. Radiomics in neuro-oncology: Basics, workflow, and applications. *Methods* **2021**, *188*, 112–121. [CrossRef]
- Bumes, E.; Fellner, C.; Fellner, F.A.; Fleischanderl, K.; Häckl, M.; Lenz, S.; Linker, R.; Mirus, T.; Oefner, P.J.; Paar, C.; et al. Validation Study for Non-Invasive Prediction of IDH Mutation Status in Patients with Glioma Using In Vivo (1)H-Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy and Machine Learning. *Cancers* 2022, 14, 2762. [CrossRef]
- 10. Maeder, P.; Nicod-Lalonde, M.; Lhermitte, B.; Pollo, C.; Bloch, J.; Stupp, R.; Meuli, R.; Prior, J.O.; Dunet, V. Combination of MRI and dynamic FET PET for initial glioma grading. *Nuklearmedizin* **2014**, *53*, 155–161. [CrossRef]
- Tian, Q.; Yan, L.-F.; Zhang, X.; Hu, Y.-C.; Han, Y.; Liu, Z.-C.; Nan, H.-Y.; Sun, Q.; Sun, Y.-Z.; Yang, Y.; et al. Radiomics strategy for glioma grading using texture features from multiparametric MRI. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 2018, 48, 1518–1528. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Wester, H.J.; Herz, M.; Weber, W.; Heiss, P.; Senekowitsch-Schmidtke, R.; Schwaiger, M.; Stöcklin, G. Synthesis and radiopharmacology of O-(2-[18F]fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine for tumor imaging. *J. Nucl. Med.* **1999**, *40*, 205–212.
- 13. Habermeier, A.; Graf, J.; Sandhofer, B.F.; Boissel, J.P.; Roesch, F.; Closs, E.I. System L amino acid transporter LAT1 accumulates O-(2-fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine (FET). *Amino Acids* **2015**, *47*, 335–344. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Dunet, V.; Rossier, C.; Buck, A.; Stupp, R.; Prior, J.O. Performance of 18F-fluoro-ethyl-tyrosine (18F-FET) PET for the differential diagnosis of primary brain tumor: A systematic review and Metaanalysis. *J. Nucl. Med.* **2012**, *53*, 207–214. [CrossRef]
- 15. Seeger, A.; Braun, C.; Skardelly, M.; Paulsen, F.; Schittenhelm, J.; Ernemann, U.; Bisdas, S. Comparison of three different MR perfusion techniques and MR spectroscopy for multiparametric assessment in distinguishing recurrent high-grade gliomas from stable disease. *Acad. Radiol.* **2013**, *20*, 1557–1565. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Filss, C.P.; Galldiks, N.; Stoffels, G.; Sabel, M.; Wittsack, H.J.; Turowski, B.; Antoch, G.; Zhang, K.; Fink, G.R.; Coenen, H.H.; et al. Comparison of 18F-FET PET and perfusion-weighted MR imaging: A PET/MR imaging hybrid study in patients with brain tumors. J. Nucl. Med. 2014, 55, 540–545. [CrossRef]
- Verger, A.; Filss, C.P.; Lohmann, P.; Stoffels, G.; Sabel, M.; Wittsack, H.J.; Kops, E.R.; Galldiks, N.; Fink, G.R.; Shah, N.J.; et al. Comparison of (18)F-FET PET and perfusion-weighted MRI for glioma grading: A hybrid PET/MR study. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2017, 44, 2257–2265. [CrossRef]
- Pyka, T.; Hiob, D.; Preibisch, C.; Gempt, J.; Wiestler, B.; Schlegel, J.; Straube, C.; Zimmer, C. Diagnosis of glioma recurrence using multiparametric dynamic 18F-fluoroethyl-tyrosine PET-MRI. *Eur. J. Radiol.* 2018, 103, 32–37. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lee, J.; Jain, R.; Khalil, K.; Griffith, B.; Bosca, R.; Rao, G.; Rao, A. Texture Feature Ratios from Relative CBV Maps of Perfusion MRI Are Associated with Patient Survival in Glioblastoma. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* **2016**, *37*, 37–43. [CrossRef]
- 20. Vamvakas, A.; Williams, S.; Theodorou, K.; Kapsalaki, E.; Fountas, K.; Kappas, C.; Vassiou, K.; Tsougos, I. Imaging biomarker analysis of advanced multiparametric MRI for glioma grading. *Phys. Med.* **2019**, *60*, 188–198. [CrossRef]
- 21. Blanc-Durand, P.; Van Der Gucht, A.; Verger, A.; Langen, K.-J.; Dunet, V.; Bloch, J.; Brouland, J.-P.; Nicod-Lalonde, M.; Schaefer, N.; Prior, J.O. Voxel-based 18F-FET PET segmentation and automatic clustering of tumor voxels: A significant association with IDH1 mutation status and survival in patients with gliomas. *PLoS ONE* 2018, *13*, e0199379. [CrossRef]
- 22. Lohmann, P.; Lerche, C.; Bauer, E.K.; Steger, J.; Stoffels, G.; Blau, T.; Dunkl, V.; Kocher, M.; Viswanathan, S.; Filss, C.P.; et al. Predicting IDH genotype in gliomas using FET PET radiomics. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 13328. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vettermann, F.; Suchorska, B.; Unterrainer, M.; Nelwan, D.; Forbrig, R.; Ruf, V.; Wenter, V.; Kreth, F.-W.; Herms, J.; Bartenstein, P.; et al. Non-invasive prediction of IDH-wildtype genotype in gliomas using dynamic (18)F-FET PET. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2019, 46, 2581–2589. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Cohen, J.F.; Korevaar, D.A.; Altman, D.G.; Bruns, D.E.; Gatsonis, C.A.; Hooft, L.; Irwig, L.; Levine, D.; Reitsma, J.B.; de Vet, H.C.W.; et al. STARD 2015 guidelines for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies: Explanation and elaboration. *BMJ Open* 2016, 6, e012799. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Borght, T.V.; Asenbaum, S.; Bartenstein, P.; Halldin, C.; Kapucu, Ö.; Van Laere, K.; Varrone, A.; Tatsch, K. EANM procedure guidelines for brain tumour imaging using labelled amino acid analogues. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2006, *33*, 1374–1380. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nioche, C.; Orlhac, F.; Boughdad, S.; Reuze, S.; Goya-Outi, J.; Robert, C.; Pellot-Barakat, C.; Soussan, M.; Frouin, F.; Buvat, I. LIFEx: A Freeware for Radiomic Feature Calculation in Multimodality Imaging to Accelerate Advances in the Characterization of Tumor Heterogeneity. *Cancer Res.* 2018, 78, 4786–4789. [CrossRef]
- Pauleit, D.; Floeth, F.; Hamacher, K.; Riemenschneider, M.J.; Reifenberger, G.; Müller, H.-W.; Zilles, K.; Coenen, H.H.; Langen, K.-J. O-(2-[18F]fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine PET combined with MRI improves the diagnostic assessment of cerebral gliomas. *Brain* 2005, 128 Pt 3, 678–687. [CrossRef]
- Nioche, C.; Orlhac, F.; Buvat, I. Texture User Guide, Local image features extraction. *LIFEx* 2021, 9. Available online: https://www.lifexsoft.org/images/phocagallery/documentation/ProtocolTexture/UserGuide/TextureUserGuide.pdf (accessed on 1 August 2023).
- 29. Andrews, C.; Prayson, R.A. IDH mutations in older patients with diffuse astrocytic gliomas. *Ann. Diagn. Pathol.* **2020**, *49*, 151653. [CrossRef]
- 30. Qu, C.X.; Ji, H.M.; Shi, X.C.; Bi, H.; Zhai, L.Q.; Han, D.W. Characteristics of the isocitrate dehydrogenase gene and telomerase reverse transcriptase promoter mutations in gliomas in Chinese patients. *Brain Behav.* **2020**, *10*, e01583. [CrossRef]
- Albert, N.L.; Weller, M.; Suchorska, B.; Galldiks, N.; Soffietti, R.; Kim, M.M.; la Fougère, C.; Pope, W.; Law, I.; Arbizu, J.; et al. Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology working group and European Association for Neuro-Oncology recommendations for the clinical use of PET imaging in gliomas. *Neuro Oncol.* 2016, *18*, 1199–1208. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Galldiks, N.; Langen, K.-J.; Albert, N.L.; Chamberlain, M.; Soffietti, R.; Kim, M.M.; Law, I.; Le Rhun, E.; Chang, S.; Schwarting, J.; et al. PET imaging in patients with brain metastasis-report of the RANO/PET group. *Neuro Oncol.* 2019, 21, 585–595. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Dunet, V.; Pomoni, A.; Hottinger, A.; Nicod-Lalonde, M.; Prior, J.O. Performance of 18F-FET versus 18F-FDG-PET for the diagnosis and grading of brain tumors: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuro Oncol.* **2016**, *18*, 426–434. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Suchorska, B.; Giese, A.; Biczok, A.; Unterrainer, M.; Weller, M.; Drexler, M.; Bartenstein, P.; Schüller, U.; Tonn, J.-C.; Albert, N.L. Identification of time-to-peak on dynamic 18F-FET-PET as a prognostic marker specifically in IDH1/2 mutant diffuse astrocytoma. *Neuro Oncol.* 2018, 20, 279–288. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, Z.; Kaiser, L.; Holzgreve, A.; Ruf, V.C.; Suchorska, B.; Wenter, V.; Quach, S.; Herms, J.; Bartenstein, P.; Tonn, J.-C.; et al. Prediction of TERTp-mutation status in IDH-wildtype high-grade gliomas using pre-treatment dynamic [(18)F]FET PET radiomics. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2021, 48, 4415–4425. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vomacka, L.; Unterrainer, M.; Holzgreve, A.; Mille, E.; Gosewisch, A.; Brosch, J.; Ziegler, S.; Suchorska, B.; Kreth, F.-W.; Tonn, J.-C.; et al. Voxel-wise analysis of dynamic (18)F-FET PET: A novel approach for non-invasive glioma characterisation. *EJNMMI Res.* 2018, *8*, 91. [CrossRef]
- Fortin Ensign, S.P.; Jenkins, R.B.; Giannini, C.; Sarkaria, J.N.; Galanis, E.; Kizilbash, S.H. Translational Significance of CDKN2A/B Homozygous Deletion in IDH-Mutant Astrocytoma. *Neuro Oncol.* 2022, 25, 28–36. [CrossRef]
- Lambin, P.; Rios-Velazquez, E.; Leijenaar, R.; Carvalho, S.; van Stiphout, R.G.P.M.; Granton, P.; Zegers, C.M.L.; Gillies, R.; Boellard, R.; Dekker, A.; et al. Radiomics: Extracting more information from medical images using advanced feature analysis. *Eur. J. Cancer* 2012, 48, 441–446. [CrossRef]
- 39. Li, X.T.; Huang, R.Y. Standardization of imaging methods for machine learning in neuro-oncology. *Neurooncol. Adv.* **2020**, 2 (Suppl. S4), iv49–iv55. [CrossRef]
- Zwanenburg, A.; Leger, S.; Agolli, L.; Pilz, K.; Troost, E.G.C.; Richter, C.; Löck, S. Assessing robustness of radiomic features by image perturbation. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 614. [CrossRef]
- 41. Gutsche, R.; Scheins, J.; Kocher, M.; Bousabarah, K.; Fink, G.R.; Shah, N.J.; Langen, K.-J.; Galldiks, N.; Lohmann, P. Evaluation of FET PET Radiomics Feature Repeatability in Glioma Patients. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 647. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Hua, T.; Zhou, W.; Zhou, Z.; Guan, Y.; Li, M. Heterogeneous parameters based on (18)F-FET PET imaging can non-invasively predict tumor grade and isocitrate dehydrogenase gene 1 mutation in untreated gliomas. *Quant. Imaging Med. Surg.* 2021, 11, 317–327. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lohmann, P.; Werner, J.M.; Shah, N.J.; Fink, G.R.; Langen, K.J.; Galldiks, N. Combined Amino Acid Positron Emission Tomography and Advanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Glioma Patients. *Cancers* 2019, 11, 153. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Song, S.; Wang, L.; Yang, H.; Shan, Y.; Cheng, Y.; Xu, L.; Dong, C.; Zhao, G.; Lu, J. Static (18)F-FET PET and DSC-PWI based on hybrid PET/MR for the prediction of gliomas defined by IDH and 1p/19q status. *Eur. Radiol.* **2021**, *31*, 4087–4096. [CrossRef]
- 45. Haubold, J.; Demircioglu, A.; Gratz, M.; Glas, M.; Wrede, K.; Sure, U.; Antoch, G.; Keyvani, K.; Nittka, M.; Kannengiesser, S.; et al. Non-invasive tumor decoding and phenotyping of cerebral gliomas utilizing multiparametric (18)F-FET PET-MRI and MR Fingerprinting. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2020, 47, 1435–1445. [CrossRef]

- Zaragori, T.; Oster, J.; Roch, V.; Hossu, G.; Chawki, M.B.; Grignon, R.; Pouget, C.; Gauchotte, G.; Rech, F.; Blonski, M.; et al. (18)F-FDOPA PET for the Noninvasive Prediction of Glioma Molecular Parameters: A Radiomics Study. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2022, 63, 147–157. [CrossRef]
- Gnesin, S.; Deshayes, E.; Camus, F.; Dunet, V.; Prior, J.O.; Verdun, F.R. Quantification and monitoring of PET/CT data in multicentre trials: The Swiss SAKK 56/07 trial experience. *Médecine Nucléaire* 2017, 41, 259–266. [CrossRef]
- Law, I.; Albert, N.L.; Arbizu, J.; Boellaard, R.; Drzezga, A.; Galldiks, N.; la Fougère, C.; Langen, K.-J.; Lopci, E.; Lowe, V.; et al. Joint EANM/EANO/RANO practice guidelines/SNMMI procedure standards for imaging of gliomas using PET with radiolabelled amino acids and [(18)F]FDG: Version 1.0. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2019, 46, 540–557. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.