Lack of evidence of IgE allergic sensitisation from working with lactic acid bacteria in the dairy foods industry.
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Summary
This research communication aimed to evaluate the level of immunoglobulins E from lactic acid bacteria (LAB) that are used in dairy industries. Previous studies demonstrated that workers report symptoms of irritation and are frequently IgG-sensitized to LAB. Workers (n=44) from a probiotic production unity and the control lab were seen by a medical practitioner and responded to an occupational questionnaire. Specific IgE by the DELFIA® technique against 6 strains of LAB were measured on 44 exposed workers and 31 controls sera. Levels of specific IgE were low and no difference was observed between the two groups. This lack of IgE response could be explained by a healthy worker effect, an efficient implementation of personal protective equipment (masks, specific work clothes, glasses, gloves, etc…) or by an absence of allergic mechanisms to account for the self-reported irritative symptoms. Despite the high concentrations of LAB, preventive measures are effective enough to guarantee no allergic effect and to prevent others adverse health effects, the implementation of preventive measures to avoid or reduce exposure to dust of LAB, and more generally to milk powder, is recommended in all dairy industry.
Occupational exposure to milk powder is recognized at risk for lung function (Sripaiboonkij et al., 2008), workers could also be exposed to lactic acid bacteria (LAB) that are used as additives in dairy industries because they are recognized as beneficial to health when they are ingested (Snydman, 2008). They are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) in food. However, workers of dairy food industries are exposed to airborne LAB, and when they do not wear respiratory masks they report more symptoms of irritation than workers using protection. Moreover, it has been shown that an occupationally exposed group is more sensitized (precipitins and IgG investigations) against LAB than a control group (Zeilfelder et al., 2012), which could reflect a delayed immunological response considered as an exposure proof. However, specific allergic sensitization (immediate immunological response) against these frequently used probiotic strains have not been tested yet; and to our knowledge, no allergic effect concerning the inhalation of LAB has been reported. The aim of this study is to evaluate the level of specific IgE anti-LAB by using a new technique.

**Material & methods**

**Study population**

The study site and worker population have previously been described in Zeilfelder et al. (2012). Briefly, the dairy food industry investigated strains of *Lactobacillus johnsonii*, *L. paracasei*, *L. rhamnosus*, *Bifidobacterium lactis*, *B. longum*, and *Streptococcus thermophilus*. Fifty workers were initially recruited, and 44 of them each gave a blood sample. Twenty-seven individuals worked in the factory (production site) and 17 in the laboratory or pilot plant (research and development areas). Thirty-one sera of non-exposed participants were also tested as a control group (2012). All participants signed consent waivers before the study started. In addition, all methods were approved by Institute for Work and Health Institutional Review Board.

**Experimental design**

Dissociation-Enhanced Lanthanide Fluorescent immunoassay (DELFIA®) allows the measurement of specific IgE (Barrera et al., 2016). This technique has been used to dose specific IgE. It is recognized as sensitive and makes it possible to adapt the panel of antigens when they are not commercially available. Preparation of antigen protein extract was obtained by culture of the 6 different strains on Mueller Hinton medium. Then bacteria were sonicated and lyophilized as described by Zeilfelder et al. (2012). The lyophilized powder was suspended
in distilled water, and proteins were purified by the SDS-PAGE clean-up Kit (GE Healthcare, USA) according to the supplier's recommendations to obtain a purified protein extract of each strain. DELFIA® was performed as previously described by Barrera et al. (2016). Briefly, antigens were coated on a 96-well plate with 0.1 µg/mL of purified protein extract. Then the participants’ sera were incubated at a dilution of 1/100 in an assay buffer, before being revealed by further steps inducing the fluorometric reaction. The plate was read using the Victor 2 multilabel counter (Perkin Elmer®, Waltham, MA, USA). Each serum sample was tested six times. Unreliable count values were avoided, and the median of the replicates of each serum was calculated and then divided by the median of all sera count values. The resulting index was considered positive when at least greater than 10% of the background. Different levels of sensitization were defined when index values were greater than 10%, 20%, 30%, 40% and 50% of the background value. Variance analysis was performed with R software version 3.3.2.

Results
On the whole, levels of specific IgE were low and no difference was observed between the exposed workers group and the control group (p-value > 0.05). Eight exposed participants (18%) and 10 controls (32%) were considered as positive for at least one species, and none of the study population had a positive result for B. lactis (Table 1). Only one control showed a weakly positive level of sensitization for L. rhamnosus. B. longum was the species that presented a positive result most often in both populations, and the level of sensitization was higher in the exposed group. Only one exposed worker #P42 was highly sensitized to four antigens (Table 1). This multisensitized worker presented work-related nasal and eye irritation and was already working in the factory when the personal protective equipment was implemented. Worker #P29, weakly sensitized to only one LAB strain, also declared work-related symptoms. He worked in the pilot plant but had also been exposed before the introduction of protective measures. The remaining IgE sensitized exposed workers did not present any irritating symptoms. No correlation was made between specific IgE response and occupational characteristics (time of employment, type of exposure, exposure before or after introduction of protective measures, etc…).

Discussion
Immediate allergic response estimated by the level of specific IgE was low in our exposed population. Only eight workers out of 44 were sensitized to at least one of the six LAB strains tested, and one of them proved to be multisensitized (#P42). B. lactis and L. rhamnosus induced a weak or no exacerbation of the specific IgE level. Few participants were sensitized to L. johnsonii, L. paracasei and S. thermophiles, but the associated specific IgE level could be high. However, the majority of the sensitized participants were sensitized to B. longum but in most cases with only a weak specific IgE level. To be exposed does not mean to become allergic to LAB and probably depend on individual factors but we also make assumptions that could explain the global lack of immediate allergic response: 1/ a healthy worker effect; 2/ an efficient implementation of personal protective equipment 3/ an absence of allergic mechanisms to account for the self-reported irritative symptoms. It was reported that exposure to LAB (Zeilfelder et al., 2012), carbohydrates (Zeilfelder et al., 2012) and milk powder (Zeilfelder et al., 2012; Sripaiboonkij et al., 2008) increased the risk of developing irritating eye and nasal symptoms or adverse effect on lung function. Apart from all these considerations, our study proved the usefulness of the DELFIA® technique, that was sufficiently sensitive to detect low levels of specific IgE and have the advantages to test all antigenic extracts.

**Conclusion**

To conclude, no allergic reaction due to exposure to airborne LAB was demonstrated, only an irritative effect was observed. These results must be confirmed with a greater study population of dairy workers from several production sites to better understand environmental, occupational and individual factors that explain the occurrence of symptoms. Levels of specific IgE against milk compounds as caseins, α-lactalbumin and β-lactoglobulin could be also measured as confounding factors. Moreover, it is well known that occupational exposure to dust in general and/or microorganisms is often deleterious to health (Dorribo et al., 2015; van Kampen et al., 2012; Roussel et al., 2012; Bittner et al., 2016; Paris et al., 2016). We recommend the implementation of technical and/or organizational preventive measures to avoid or reduce this exposure (both exposure to milk powder and LAB).
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Table 1: Level of sensitization in participants that were sensitized to at least one species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>participants #</th>
<th>B. lactis</th>
<th>B. longum</th>
<th>L. johnsonii</th>
<th>L. paracasei</th>
<th>L. rhamnosus</th>
<th>S. thermophilus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitized Exposed workers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P42</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitized Control participants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-: index value equivalent to the background  
+: index value > 10% of the background  
++: index value > 20% of the background  
+++: index value > 30% of the background  
++++: index value > 40% of the background  
+++++: index value > 50% of the background