





¹Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Laboratory, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. ²School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Lausanne, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland. * joint senior author

Simulation-based systematic review of imatinib population pharmacokinetics and PK-PD relationships in chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) patients

Introduction

Imatinib is a first-line drug for CML and is characterized by a considerable pharmacokinetic variability.

Population pharmacokinetic (PPK) and pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic (PK-PD) studies have been increasingly performed.

Objective

To compare and combine results from

PPK & PK-PD studies of imatinib

improve the interpretation of to measurements in concentration the scope of therapeutic drug monitoring.

Methods

Systematic literature review (MEDLINE) of

- Imatinib PPK &
- Imatinib PK-PD relationships
- Simulation-based meta-regression (without specific study weighting).

Results

Summary of imatinib population pharmacokinetics ⇒ expected concentration range (=reference range)



1) **DATA:** Nine population pharmacokinetic (PPK) models were identified and used to simulate concentration-time profiles of 1000 individuals each. Black shaded area: high density of concentrations $(\rightarrow$ highly expected). Grey shaded area: low density of concentrations (\rightarrow concentrations less expected).

Simulated dose: 400 mg/24h (=standard dosage);

Structural kinetic parameters: D1, CL/F, V/F (standar-

dized to reference covariate values \rightarrow see Table).

2) COMPARISON: Median concentration-time profiles (black lines) and 90% prediction intervals (gray lines: percentiles 5 and 95) of each PPK model.

COMBINATION: Reference concentration range, derived from the pooled data from the 9 simulations: Median concentration-time profile (black

ABCG2 (bcrp): wildtype heterozygous: \uparrow [-22%] **Occasion**: steady state day 1: ↑ or ↓ [-25 to +38%] Table: Reference covariate values used for simulations

derived from simulations.

line) 50% (dashed lines) and 90% (grey lines) prediction interval. Unexpected high or low concentrations may be partly explained by covariates (\rightarrow see Table).

and summary of covariate effects \rightarrow altered expected concentration range. AGP: α_1 -acid glycoprotein

Summary of imatinib PK-PD relationships ⇒ therapeutic suitability of C_{trough} (potential therapeutic range)



DATA: Six relevant PK-PD studies were identified and proportions [%] of PD outcomes ~ C_{min} were used as reported, or simulated from the distribution reported for responders and non-responders, respectively (3-4 points per study).







reference percentile (400 mg/24h)



3. Transformation of predicted log-odds into [%]

3) **COMBINATION:** The probability to achieve optimal early response was predicted to increase from 62% to 87% (+25%) by increasing C_{trough} from 520 to 1390 ng/mL (=summary inter-quartile range of C_{trough}, standard dosage of 400mg/24h). Estimated odds ratio for doubling C_{trough}: 2.7. *blue area*: 95% confidence interval.

Optimal early response is correlated to improved survival and includes complete hematologic response after 1-3 months, and partial or complete cytogenetic response at 6 and 12 months, respectively.

Contact

Verena Gotta verena.gotta@gmail.com Chantal Csajka <u>Chantal.Csajka@chuv.ch</u> Division de Pharmacologie clinique Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois (CHUV) 1011 Lausanne, SWITZERLAND

Enton de Vaude

References Gotta et al. Ther Drug Monit 2013;35(2):150-67

Studies: 1: Peng 2004; 2: Singh 2009; 3: Sohn 2011; 4: Larson 2008; 5: Guilhot 2012; 6: Picard 2007.

 $\dots \diamondsuit \dots (anemia), \dots \oslash \dots (rash), \dots \Box \dots (fluid retention)$

2) COMPARISON: [%] with PD outcomes ~

 C_{min} , plotted for each of the 6 identified studies.

−●**−** (optimal early response)

quartile range (IQR) of expected C_{trough} under standard dosage (400 mg/24h)

Adverse event reporting was too heterogeneous to

perform a meta-regression. The frequency of adverse

events increased however consistently with C_{trough}, but

less than the response probability in the reference inter-

Conclusions

- This review represents a first approach to summarize the information generated by the increasing number of population pharmacokinetic analyses for clinical practice.
- A single combined range of expected imatinib concentrations can be useful to evaluate adherence & absorption problems, or drug-drug interactions, especially if no validated in-house model is available.
- The PK-PD summary can additionally be useful to assist dosage decisions in case of suboptimal response and adverse events. However, a definite therapeutic range has to be formally validated by a prospective randomized controlled TDM-trial.