Prevalence and diversity of Chlamydiales in Swiss ruminant farms
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One-sentence summary: Using a pan-Chlamydiales PCR followed by sequencing, Chlamydiales from four different family-level lineages were detected in Swiss ruminant farms indicating a high prevalence and biodiversity of Chlamydiales in this setting and the value of this broad-range pan-Chlamydiales PCR for epidemiological survey.
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ABSTRACT

Chlamydia and Chlamydia-related bacteria are known to infect various organisms and may cause a wide range of diseases, especially in ruminants. To gain insight into the prevalence of these bacteria in the ruminant environment, we applied a pan-Chlamydiales PCR followed by sequencing to 72 ruminant environmental samples from water, feed bunks and floors. Chlamydiales from four family-level lineages were detected indicating a high biodiversity of Chlamydiales in ruminant farms. Parachlamydiaceae were detected in all three types of environmental samples and was the most abundant family-level taxon (60%). In contrast, only one bacterium from each of the following family-level lineages was identified: Chlamydiaceae, Criblamydiaceae and Simkaniaceae. The observed high prevalence of Parachlamydiaceae in water samples may suggest water as the main source of contamination for ruminants as well as their environment due to spoilage. The absence of reported infections in the investigated ruminant farms might indicate that either detected Chlamydiales are of reduced pathogenicity or infective doses have not been reached.
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INTRODUCTION

Bacteria belonging to the Chlamydiales order are known as obligate intracellular Gram-negative pathogens, able to infect invertebrates, vertebrates and free-living amoeboae. These bacteria avoid lysosomal degradation by undergoing cell inclusion for replication. They multiply within the host cell from elementary bodies to reticulate bodies and back to elementary bodies for further cell infections, targeting mainly mucosal membranes. Chlamydiales were seen to replicate in human macrophages as well as lung fibroblasts and pneumocytes (Corsaro and Greub 2006). With the recent discovery of new species, eight different family-level lineages have been established: Chlamydiaceae, Parachlamydiaceae, Simkaniaceae, Waddliaceae (Bavoil, Kaltenboeck and Greub 2013; Everett, Bush and Andersen 1999; Greub 2010), Criblamydiaceae (Thomas, Casson and Greub 2006), Piscichlamydiaceae (Draghi et al., 2004), Rhabdochlamydiaceae (Corsaro et al., 2007) and Candidatus Partichlamydiaceae (Stride et al., 2013).

Chlamydiales infect a wide range of mammalian hosts, in particular humans and ruminants. In humans, these include major established human pathogens such as Chlamydia.
trachomatis (urogenital infections and trachoma), C. pneumoniae (pneumonia) and C. psittaci (psittacosis). Moreover, the association between Chlamydiaceae and worldwide economic losses due to various infections in livestock is a major animal welfare issue. Chlamydia abortus is commonly linked to abortions, particularly in small ruminants (Chanton-Greutmann et al., 2002). Chlamydia pecorum is associated with abortions, enteric infections, mastitis, encephalomyelitis (Buss disease/sporadic bovine encephalomyelitis), arthritis and conjunctivitis in ruminants (Fukushi and Hirai 1992; Jelocnik et al., 2014; Sheven 1980). Additionally to the Chlamydiaceae, other members of the Chlamydiales order recently emerged as human and/or animal pathogens. For example, Parachlamydia acanthamoebae is associated with bovine abortions and pneumonia in humans (Borel et al., 2002; Wheelhouse et al., 2007; Greub et al., 2009), but has also been identified in deer, which may act as wildlife reservoir (Regenscheit et al., 2009). Simkania negevensis has been detected in respiratory infections mostly in children (Friedman, Dvoskin and Kahane 2003; Greenberg et al., 2003), whereas Waddlia chondrophila are found in fetal tissues of humans as well as in bovine abortions (Henning et al., 2002; DiBeeck-Robertson et al., 2003; Wheelhouse et al., 2010; Barkallah et al., 2014).

Various members of the Chlamydiaceae order, especially Parachlamydiaceae, have been located in different aquatic habitats, likely due to the fact that they act as intracellular parasites of free-living amoebae, which are known to be ubiquitous in different environmental niches including fresh and marine waters (Kebbi-Beghdadi and Greub 2014). The role of amoebae as hosts for Chlamydia-related bacteria is supported by the recovery of some chlamydial strains using the Acanthamoeba co-culture (Thomas, Casson and Greub 2006; Corsaro et al., 2009; Lienard et al., 2011a).

Despite the impact of Chlamydiaceae on livestock health, little is known about their occurrence in the farm environment. Therefore, in this study, we investigated the prevalence of Chlamydiaceae in water, feed bunk and floor samples of bovine, ovine and caprine farms located in Switzerland.

RESULTS

Among the 72 collected samples, 25 had Ct values of ≤40 in the pan-Chlamydiaceae PCR (34.7%). Sequencing of the PCR products was successful in 15 samples (20.8%). The presence of more than one chlamydial 16S RNA sequence in a sample prevented sequencing in 10 samples. Six out of eight farms were positive by PCR (75%), but for one farm the presence of Chlamydiaceae was not confirmed, since the PCR product could not be sequenced. The five remaining farms were positive for at least one family-level lineage. The prevalence of Chlamydiales was of 53.3% (n = 8) for water swabs, 40% (n = 6) for feed bunk swabs and 6.7% (n = 1) for floor swabs. Twelve of the fifteen 16S rRNA sequences could be assigned to four families by BLAST GenBank analysis, whereas the three remaining sequences could not be affiliated to any family-level lineage. Nine sequences with hits in the BLAST analysis belonged to the Parachlamydiaceae and one each to the Chlamydiaceae, Criblamydiaceae and Simkaniacaceae. Based on the cut-off designed by Everett, Bush and Andersen (1999), none of the sequences could be affiliated to a known species (≥98% 16S rRNA, sequence similarity). Six sequences were from a new species belonging to a known genus (≥98% and ≥95% 16S rRNA, sequence similarity). In addition, six sequences were from a new genus belonging to a known family (≥95% and >90% 16S rRNA sequence similarity). Details of BLAST analysis are given in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we identified different Chlamydiaceae in water, feed bunks and on floors of bovine, ovine and caprine farms. Interestingly, only one Chlamydia species has been detected using our broad-range pan-Chlamydiaceae PCR despite the frequent association of C. abortus with ruminant abortions and the established role of C. pecorum in ovine infections (Fukushi and Hirai 1992; Chanton-Greutmann et al., 2002).

Conversely, various new Chlamydia-related bacteria were documented, underlying the biodiversity and prevalence of Chlamydia-related bacteria in environmental samples. The most commonly detected family-level lineage is Parachlamydiaceae. This family is often found in water, generally associated with free-living amoebae (reviewed in Corsaro and Greub 2006; Lamothe and Greub 2010). Thus, it is not surprising that five of the eight positive PCRs performed on water samples were positive for this family. Furthermore, three feed bunk swabs and one floor swab were also positive for Parachlamydiaceae. These samples may have been spoiled with water by the ruminants. The high prevalence of positivity (60%) for Parachlamydiaceae is important to survey in our case as Parachlamydia acanthamoebae, a species of this family-level lineage, is known to cause abortions and lung infections, especially in bovines (Borel et al., 2007; Lohr et al., 2014). The absence of infections in the investigated farms may be either due to the apathogenicity of the detected Parachlamydiaceae or due to bacterial loads below the infective dose required to cause disease. In our work, only one sample from a feed bunk of a caprine farm was positive for Parachlamydia sp. However, animals from that farm appeared healthy. Parachlamydia might present a reduced pathogenicity in caprines as compared to its pathogenicity towards bovines.
Table 1: Sequencing results of positive pan-Chlamydiales PCRs from Swiss barn samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Farm no.</th>
<th>Species grown</th>
<th>Ct values</th>
<th>Family-level lineage</th>
<th>Species name</th>
<th>GenBank accession no.</th>
<th>% Identity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1 Caprine</td>
<td>39.63</td>
<td>Parachlamydiaceae</td>
<td>Candidatus metachlamydia lacustris</td>
<td>GQ221847</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Caprine</td>
<td>38.37</td>
<td>Chlamydiaceae</td>
<td>Candidatus amphibichlamydia ranarum</td>
<td>JN402380</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Caprine</td>
<td>38.12</td>
<td>Criblamydiaceae</td>
<td>Estrella laussannensis</td>
<td>EU074225</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Caprine</td>
<td>36.92</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Ovine</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>Parachlamydiaceae</td>
<td>Protochlamydia naegleriophila</td>
<td>FJ976102</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Bovine</td>
<td>32.01</td>
<td>Parachlamydiaceae</td>
<td>Neochlamydia hartmanellae</td>
<td>NR025037</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Bovine</td>
<td>32.07</td>
<td>Parachlamydiaceae</td>
<td>Neochlamydia hartmanellae</td>
<td>NR025037</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Bovine</td>
<td>34.98</td>
<td>Parachlamydiaceae</td>
<td>Neochlamydia hartmanellae</td>
<td>NR025037</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Caprine</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>Parachlamydiaceae</td>
<td>Parachlamydia acanthamoebae</td>
<td>NR074972</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Ovine</td>
<td>37.04</td>
<td>Parachlamydiaceae</td>
<td>Candidatus protochlamydia amoebophila</td>
<td>NR074271</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed bunk</td>
<td>2 Ovine</td>
<td>37.78</td>
<td>Parachlamydiaceae</td>
<td>Protochlamydia naegleriophila</td>
<td>DQ632609</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 Bovine</td>
<td>34.48</td>
<td>Simkaniaceae</td>
<td>Simkania negevensis</td>
<td>NR074932</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 Bovine</td>
<td>34.28</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 Bovine</td>
<td>31.19</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor</td>
<td>8 Bovine</td>
<td>34.61</td>
<td>Parachlamydiaceae</td>
<td>Protochlamydia naegleriophila</td>
<td>NR115817</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, no classification could be determined.

Criblamydiaceae were found only in one water sample. This is in agreement with the literature, since both species belonging to this lineage were isolated from water (Thomas et al., 2008; Lienard et al., 2011b). A single Simkaniaceae was detected in a feed bunk from a bovine farm. The source and significance of this bacterium in such a setting is unknown.

In summary, the results of this study showed the significant prevalence and diversity (12 new species-level lineages) of Chlamydiales in environmental samples being in direct contact with farm ruminants. Parachlamydiaceae is the most abundant family-level lineage detected using our pan-Chlamydiales PCR. Moreover, water was the likely contamination source, but did not result in animal infections.
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