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#### Abstract

Caffeine is a natural psychostimulant with a potentially positive impact on health when consumed in moderation and a negative impact at high dose (>400 mg/day). So far, no study has examined self-reported caffeine consumption in Switzerland. Our objectives were to determine 1) the caffeine consumption per adult, 2) the main sources of caffeine intake in the Swiss diet, and 3) the timing of caffeine consumption during the day. We used data from the 2014-2015 national nutrition survey menuCH (adults aged 18 to 75 years old, $n=2057$, weighted $n=4,627,878$ ), consisting of two 24 -hour dietary recalls. Caffeine content in consumed foods was systematically assessed using laboratory analyses in samples of Swiss caffeinated beverages, information from food composition databases, and estimations from standard recipes. Mean ( $\pm$ SD) daily caffeine consumption per person and percentile 95 were $191 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{day}( \pm 129)$ and $426 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, respectively. We observed differences in mean caffeine consumption across age groups ( $18-34 \mathrm{y}: 140 \mathrm{mg} /$ day; $50-$ 64 y: $228 \mathrm{mg} /$ day), linguistic regions (German-speaking: $204 \mathrm{mg} /$ day; French-speaking: $170 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, Italian-speaking: $136 \mathrm{mg} /$ day), and smoking status (never smokers: $171 \mathrm{mg} /$ day; current smokers: $228 \mathrm{mg} /$ day). The three main sources of caffeine intake were 1) coffee ( $83 \%$ of total caffeine intake), 2) tea ( $9 \%$ ) and 3) soft drinks ( $4 \%$ ). Caffeine consumption was highest between 06:00 and 09:00 ( $29 \%$ ) and the circadian rhythm slightly differed across linguistic regions and age groups. The mean caffeine consumption in the Swiss adult population was similar to that reported in neighbouring countries.
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## 1. Introduction

Caffeine is a psychostimulant naturally present in coffee and cocoa beans, tea leaves, mate, kola nuts and guarana berries [1-3]. Short-term effects of caffeine on health are well documented: stimulation of the central nervous system [2,4], increased metabolism [4], acute elevation of blood pressure [2,4-6], and diuresis [4]. Caffeine is particularly known and sought for its effects on alertness [7] and cognitive performance [7]. However, in some individuals, it can have a negative impact on sleep in a dose-dependent manner if consumed late in the day [2,7,8]. Longer-term effects of caffeine intake on health are more debated. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials indicated that caffeine may increase systolic blood pressure after several weeks of moderate to high caffeine intake [5,9]. On the other hand, the umbrella review by Grosso et al. [5] showed that, based on data from observational studies (i.e., cohorts and case-controls studies), caffeine probably decreases the risk of Parkinson's disease and type 2 diabetes, and possibly decreases the risk of cognitive disorders. In
pregnant women, observational and experimental studies have warned about a potential increased risk of pregnancy loss and of infants having a low birth weight [5]. In this context, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) [2] and other authors [1,6,10] recommend a caffeine consumption of a maximum 400 mg per day in healthy adults, and $200-300 \mathrm{mg}$ during pregnancy.

Data from the 2007-2012 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) informed that consumption of caffeine in U.S. adults was on average of $169 \mathrm{mg} /$ day [7] and had been relatively stable for at least 10 years [7,11,12]. In Western Europe, the average daily intake of caffeine is similar as in the U.S [2,7]. In Europe, the most important sources of caffeine are: 1) coffee, 2) colabased soft drinks and 3) tea [13]. Currently, little is known on the timing of caffeine consumption throughout the day [14]. One study in the U.S., based on the 2007-2012 NHANES data, showed that caffeine is mainly consumed before noon ( $70 \%$ of total daily intake) with a peak between 06:00 and 09:00 (40\%) [7].

In Switzerland-a wealthy country whose population exhibits one of the highest life expectancies worldwide [15] and where dietary habits highly vary between the three main linguistic regions (German, French and Italian) [16] - information on caffeine consumption is lacking, although some data on 24 -hour urinary caffeine and methylxanthine excretion in the general adult population have been recently published [17]. Therefore, we quantified caffeine consumption in the Swiss population aged 18 to 75 using data from the first national nutrition survey, menuCH. We calculated the average consumption of caffeine per person, as well as the main sources of caffeine in the Swiss diet and the timing of caffeine consumption during the day.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Study Design and Population

This study uses cross-sectional data from the first national population-based nutrition survey in Switzerland, menuCH, conducted between January 2014 and February 2015 [16]. A stratified random sample covering the three main linguistic regions and five categories of predefined age between 18 and 75 years was taken from the national sampling frame for surveys of persons and households by the Federal Statistical Office [18]. Out of the 5496 eligible people invited and reachable by phone, 2086 took part in the survey (response rate: 38\%) [19]. Among them, 2057 participants had two complete 24-hour dietary recalls ( 24 HDR ). This survey was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration and all participants signed a written informed consent. The survey was registered in the primary clinical trial registry (ID number: ISRCTN16778734). Further information about menuCH is available in these references [16,19,20].

### 2.2. Dietary Assessment

Details on dietary assessment methods were described in a previous article [20]. In brief, dietary intake was assessed by dietitians through two non-consecutive 24 HDR , spread across all days of the week and all seasons. The 24 HDRs were multiple-pass automated using the GloboDiet ${ }^{\circledR}$ software (International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France), which had been adapted to the Swiss food market. To support survey participants in food intake quantification, dietitians used a set of about 60 actual household measures (e.g., cups, glasses, spoons, plates) and a picture book with 119 series of six graduated portion-sizes and with the household measures [21]. The picture book was particularly useful for the second 24 HDR conducted by phone. Detailed descriptions of all consumed foods, beverages, and ingredients of recipes, including flavours and brand names, were collected. For coffee-based beverages, information about caffeine content (i.e., decaffeinated vs. caffeinated coffee) and the preparation method (i.e., prepared from instant powder vs. not) were available. We had, however, no information regarding the brewing methods, such as made from branded capsules, coffee maker brand, moka pot, etc. All foods were grouped into five groups: 1) beverages made of coffee and/or coffee substitutes (e.g., chicory coffee), 2) tea and mate (e.g., white, green and black tea, jasmine tea), 3) soft and energy drinks (e.g., Coca Cola®, iced teas, Red Bull®), 4) pure chocolate and chocolate-based confectionary (e.g., chocolate bars, chocolate spread, chocolate powder, Easter

Bunny) and 5) all other foods (e.g., mocha yogurt). These groups came from the pre-defined GloboDiet ${ }^{\circledR}$ classification ( 18 food groups and 85 subgroups) and were selected based on the published literature [2,12,13,22].

### 2.3. Estimated Caffeine Content (Most Foods)

We estimated the caffeine content for most foods reported by survey participants following a systematic approach, described in Supplementary Figure S1: 1) contain caffeine (e.g., coffee), 2) may contain caffeine depending on flavour, brand, etc. (e.g., soft drinks), 3) do not contain caffeine (e.g., vegetables). Since the Swiss Food Composition Database [23] does not include caffeine, the caffeine level reported on the packaging, when present, and the American (ndb.nal.usda.gov) and Canadian (food-nutrition.canada.ca) food composition databases, were the main references to assign caffeine value in consumed foods (see values in Supplementary Table S1). For some specific Swiss or European foods or recipes manufactured locally (e.g., branded chocolate bars), we estimated caffeine content based on the quantity of ingredients containing caffeine from standard recipes/compositions: e.g., cocoa or chocolate powder, milk and dark chocolate. If no information was found in these references, we used values published in a scientific article (e.g., white tea [24]). Finally, we relied on www.caffeineinformer.com for Jasmin tea (reported 22 times out of a total of 121,047 reported foods) and mate $(8 \times)$, and www.frc.ch/yaourt-a-la-cafeine for mocha yogurt ( $122 \times$ ) to estimate caffeine content. For foods having "coffee extract" in their ingredient list (22×), we extrapolated their caffeine content from similar foods because we could not find this item in food composition databases nor the literature. When caffeine concentrations were estimated to be less than $1 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ of product ( $70 \times$, e.g., rocket ice cream with coated chocolate on the top), we assigned these foods a caffeine content equal to zero for simplification.

### 2.4. Measured Caffeine Content (Coffee and Soft Drinks)

For coffee and a few soft drinks, we measured the caffeine content in Swiss samples. Several reasons justify this decision: 1) coffees and soft drinks are the main providers of caffeine in Western Europe [13], 2) we found large differences regarding their caffeine content in food composition databases and literature, and 3) coffee preparation and soft drink recipes/compositions may vary from country to country [25]. In total, we collected 8 samples of soft drinks and 42 samples of coffees for laboratory analyses (Supplementary Table S2). For soft drinks, we measured caffeine in five branded cola-based soft drinks (i.e., Coca Cola® and Pepsi®) and three branded iced teas. As for coffee, we measured caffeine content in ristrettos (about 35 mL , according to menuCH data), espressos (about 64 mL ), and lungos (about 144 mL ). In this study, we focused only on caffeinated coffees. Decaffeinated coffees were assigned a caffeine concentration of $2 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ based on previous analyses conducted in the same Swiss laboratory (unpublished data). In addition, this value corresponded to information found in the literature [26]. Ristrettos were divided into two categories: "self-made" (one Nespresso ${ }^{\circledR}$ capsule) and "take-away/restaurant/vending machine" (four different places). Espressos and lungos were each divided into three categories: "powder-based" (one Nescafé® instant powder), "self-made" (three different Nespresso ${ }^{\circledR}$ capsules), and "takeaway/restaurant/vending machine" (four different places). For each type of coffee, two samples were collected, one directly after the other and both results were averaged. Levels of caffeine, paraxanthine, theophylline and theobromine were quantified by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (Waters ACQUITY UPLC system, Waters Corporation, Milford, USA) coupled to a tandem quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters TQD) with electrospray ionization. The limit of quantification for all analytes was $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. The method was validated according to international guidelines using a stable isotope-labelled internal standard for each analyte (detailed method available on request). Because we only had information on whether the coffee was prepared from instant powder or not (no information on brewing method), and because there were important variations regarding caffeine content measured in "self-made" coffee (capsules) and coffee prepared in "take-away/restaurant/vending machine", we calculated an average caffeine concentration in ristrettos ( $265 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), espressos $(119 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and lungos $(67 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ by hypothesizing
that $2 / 3$ of coffees were "self-made" using branded capsules and $1 / 3$ were bought in "takeaway/restaurant/vending machine" [27]. The contents of caffeine in coffee-based beverages (e.g., cappuccino, latte macchiato) were then calculated from these data using standard recipes/compositions. For details on the estimated and measured caffeine content in the different foods, see Supplementary Table S1.

### 2.5. Anthropometry and Other Parameters

Following the World Health Organization's MONICA Manual [28], dietitians measured body weight and height to the nearest $0.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}$ with a calibrated Seca 701 scale, equipped with a Seca 220 telescopic measuring rod (Seca GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) [20]. For pregnant and lactating women, or where measurements were impossible (e.g., disability, refusal), self-reported weight and/or height were used $(n=34)[16,28]$. Body mass index $(B M I)$ was then calculated and categorised as follows: normal weight (BMI $<25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), overweight $\left(25 \leq \mathrm{BMI}<30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right.$ ), and obesity ( $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ). A standardized questionnaire was used to assess: 1) sex [men, women], 2) age [age groups: 18-34 years, 35-49 years, 50-64 years, 65-75 years], 3) the language region based on home address (German-, French-, Italian-speaking parts of Switzerland), 4) nationality [Swiss, not Swiss], 5) education [lower (max. 1-2 years after compulsory school), middle (3-4 years after compulsory school), higher ( >5 years after compulsory school)], 6) household income [lower (< 5999 CHF), middle (6000-8999 CHF), higher ( $>9000 \mathrm{CHF}$ )] and 7) smoking status [never smokers ( $<100$ cigarettes in life), ex-smokers (used to smoke, >100 cigarettes in life), current smokers (occasional or daily smokers)].

### 2.6. Statistical Analyses

Usual daily consumption of caffeine intake was modelled out of the two 24 HDR ( $n=2057$ ) using the Multiple Source Method (MSM, https://nugo.dife.de/msm) [29]. MSM has been developed to predict typical consumption based on short-term measurements, such as 24 HDR , accounting for day-to-day variations (within-person variations). In MSM, we assumed that all survey participants were potential consumers of caffeine. We calculated the percentage of people with consumption of caffeine potentially harmful for health based on thresholds defined by EFSA: i.e., $400 \mathrm{mg} /$ day and 5.7 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day [2]. The contribution of main food group sources of caffeine was estimated using the mean intake of the two recorded days (no use of MSM). The timing of caffeine consumption was assessed only in the first 24 HDR, as was the case in Lieberman et al. [7]. We estimated caffeine intake per 3hour period [7] and per hour [11], assuming that the time of meal/snack start reported by survey participants was the time of consumption. Findings are presented by sex, age groups, linguistic regions, and when appropriate, nationality, educational level, income, smoking status, and weight status. All results were weighted for age, sex, marital status, administrative regions of Switzerland, nationality and household size to take into account sampling design and non-response. Results were also weighted to correct for the slightly uneven distribution of 24 HDR over seasons and weekdays. The weighing strategy intends to provide results that are more representative of the Swiss population aged 18 to 75 years old and of any day in the year. A detailed documentation about the weighting strategy is available at https://menuch.iumsp.ch/index.php/home. All statistical analyses were carried out using STATA version 13 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

## 3. Results

Table 1 describes the daily caffeine consumption across selected strata. Mean ( $\pm$ SD) of caffeine for the entire Swiss population aged 18 to 75 years was $191 \mathrm{mg} /$ day ( $\pm 129$ ) with a P95 estimated at $426 \mathrm{mg} /$ day. Mean caffeine intake was higher in men than in women, with $210( \pm 138)$ and $172 \mathrm{mg} /$ day $( \pm 117)$, respectively. Pregnant women $(n=14)$ had a much lower caffeine intake, with a mean intake of $74 \mathrm{mg} /$ day ( $\pm 49$ ) (data not shown). P95 for men and women were 445 and $388 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, respectively. The daily consumption of caffeine tended to increase with age with a peak in people aged 50-64 years, then decreased in those aged 65 to 75 years. People aged 18-34 years had a mean intake of 140 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day $( \pm 111), 35-49$ years of $202 \mathrm{mg} /$ day $( \pm 134), 50-64$ years of $228 \mathrm{mg} /$ day $( \pm 135)$ and $65-75$ years
of $202 \mathrm{mg} /$ day ( $\pm 111$ ). We also found differences across linguistic regions: German-, French- and Italian-speaking had a mean caffeine intake of $204( \pm 136), 170( \pm 112), 136 \mathrm{mg} /$ day $( \pm 85)$ and P95 of 445,399 and $270 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, respectively. Smokers ( $228 \mathrm{mg} /$ day $\pm 152$ ) appeared to be larger caffeine consumers than ex-smokers ( $197 \mathrm{mg} /$ day $\pm 115$ ), who themselves consumed more caffeine than never smokers ( $171 \mathrm{mg} /$ day $\pm 121$ ). Table 1 also highlights that P 95 was above $500 \mathrm{mg} /$ day in three groups of the Swiss population: smokers, people with lower education, and obese people. No major differences were found with respect to nationality and income.

Supplementary Table S3 describes the daily caffeine consumption per kilo of body weight. Mean $( \pm$ SD) caffeine consumption in the entire population was $2.66 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day $( \pm 1.78)$, with equivalent values in men and women. Table 1 and Supplementary Table S3 also highlight that $6.6 \%$ and $5.6 \%$ of the Swiss population consumed more than $400 \mathrm{mg} /$ day or $5.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ per day of caffeine. None of the 14 pregnant women had a caffeine intake above $200 \mathrm{mg} /$ day (data not shown).

Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S2 show the main food group sources of caffeine, in relative values (percentage of total intake) and absolute values ( $\mathrm{mg} /$ day), respectively. The three main sources of caffeine intake at the population level were 1) coffee ( $83 \%$ of total caffeine intake), 2 ) tea ( $9 \%$ ) and 3) soft drinks (4\%). Men consumed more caffeine from coffee and soft drinks than women: i.e., $86 \%$ ( $184 \mathrm{mg} /$ day) and $6 \%$ ( $12 \mathrm{mg} /$ day) in men, compared to $81 \% ~(139 \mathrm{mg} /$ day) and $3 \% ~(5 \mathrm{mg} /$ day) in women. In contrast, women consumed more caffeine from tea: $12 \%$ of total daily caffeine intake ( 21 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day $)$ compared to men with $6 \%(13 \mathrm{mg} /$ day $)$. Coffee was the main caffeine provider in diet among all age groups, and relative values increased with age, from $73 \%$ to $87 \%$ of total caffeine intake. Respectively, people aged 18-34 years consumed $73 \%$ ( $101 \mathrm{mg} /$ day) of caffeine from coffee, 35-49 years $85 \%$ ( $174 \mathrm{mg} /$ day), $50-64$ years $87 \%$ ( $203 \mathrm{mg} /$ day) and $65-75$ years $87 \%$ ( $173 \mathrm{mg} /$ day). The absolute intake of caffeine from tea increased with age, with $16 \mathrm{mg} /$ day in the youngest group (1834 years) to $20 \mathrm{mg} /$ day in the oldest group (65-75 years). Both absolute and relative values of caffeine intake from soft drinks decreased with age, from $11 \%$ ( $15 \mathrm{mg} /$ day) in $18-34$ year olds to $1 \%$ ( $1 \mathrm{mg} /$ day) in 65-75 year olds. Individuals residing in the German-speaking, French-speaking and Italianspeaking regions, respectively, consumed caffeine mainly from coffee at $85 \%, 79 \%$ and $85 \%$, and from tea at $7 \%, 14 \%$ and $9 \%$.

Table 2 shows the distribution of caffeine consumption during the day by sex, age group and language region. Caffeine intake in the entire Swiss population was the highest between 06:00 and 09:00 (29\%), then decreased gradually during the day: $26 \%$ (09:00-12:00), $16 \%$ (12:00-15:00), $14 \%$ (15:00-18:00), $9 \%$ ( $18: 00-21: 00$ ) and $3 \%$ ( $21: 00-00: 00$ ). More than half of the caffeine ( $58 \%$ ) was consumed in the morning between 03:00 and 12:00. No major differences were found between men ( $57 \%$ ) and women ( $59 \%$ ). The largest differences in caffeine consumption regarding age were observed between 06:00 and 12:00. From 06:00 to 09:00, caffeine intake was higher in older people: $22 \%$ in people aged $18-34$ years and $37 \%$ in $65-75$ year olds. The trend reversed in the second half of the morning ( $09: 00$ to 12:00) with the largest caffeine consumption among people aged 18-34 years ( $33 \%$ of total daily intake) compared to those aged $65-75$ years ( $19 \%$ ). The German-speaking and French-speaking regions had similar caffeine consumption trends over the day. However, the Italianspeaking region consumed more caffeine in the early morning ( $40 \%$ from $06: 00$ to $09: 00$ ) compared to the other two regions: $28 \%$ and $31 \%$ for German- and French-speaking, respectively. For more information on hourly caffeine consumption, see Supplementary Figure S3.

Table 1. Daily caffeine consumption in the Swiss population (mg/day) and percentage (\%) of the population exceeding the recommendation of 400 mg .

| Population characteristics |  | $n$ | Weighted $n$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Weighted } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weighted mean | SD | Weighted P5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { P25 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weighted median | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weighted } \\ & \text { P75 } \end{aligned}$ | Weighted P95 | $>400 \mathrm{mg}$ \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | Entire population | 2057 | 4,627,878 | 100\% | 191 | 129 | 29 | 96 | 169 | 260 | 426 | 6.6\% |
| Sex | Men | 933 | 2,305,141 | 50\% | 210 | 138 | 32 | 108 | 189 | 284 | 445 | 8.8\% |
|  | Women | 1124 | 2,322,737 | 50\% | 172 | 117 | 27 | 86 | 155 | 229 | 388 | 4.5\% |
| Age group | 18-34 years | 563 | 1,306,178 | 28\% | 140 | 111 | 20 | 58 | 113 | 186 | 359 | 2.4\% |
|  | 35-49 years | 602 | 1,421,756 | 31\% | 202 | 134 | 30 | 104 | 175 | 272 | 468 | 8.8\% |
|  | 50-64 years | 554 | 1,250,918 | 27\% | 228 | 135 | 62 | 134 | 207 | 294 | 451 | 9.4\% |
|  | 65-75 years | 338 | 649,026 | 14\% | 202 | 111 | 43 | 125 | 193 | 262 | 406 | 5.2\% |
| Language region | German-speaking | 1341 | 3,183,216 | 69\% | 204 | 136 | 30 | 104 | 182 | 277 | 445 | 7.9\% |
|  | French-speaking | 502 | 1,187,738 | 26\% | 170 | 112 | 29 | 86 | 148 | 232 | 399 | 4.8\% |
|  | Italian-speaking | 214 | 256,925 | 6\% | 136 | 85 | 22 | 71 | 126 | 193 | 270 | 0.3\% |
| Nationality | Swiss | 1789 | 3,470,404 | 75\% | 191 | 128 | 28 | 102 | 172 | 257 | 416 | 6.0\% |
|  | Not Swiss | 265 | 1,145,199 | 25\% | 192 | 133 | 31 | 84 | 164 | 266 | 445 | 8.6\% |
| Education | Lower | 286 | 620,712 | 13\% | 208 | 156 | 31 | 107 | 175 | 261 | 527 | 8.7\% |
|  | Middle | 771 | 1,589,873 | 34\% | 177 | 120 | 28 | 86 | 160 | 243 | 406 | 5.3\% |
|  | Higher | 997 | 2,405,018 | 52\% | 196 | 128 | 29 | 101 | 176 | 267 | 426 | 7.0\% |
| Income | Lower | 486 | 1,128,723 | 24\% | 190 | 133 | 27 | 93 | 167 | 249 | 451 | 6.8\% |
|  | Middle | 516 | 1,095,517 | 24\% | 188 | 123 | 36 | 100 | 168 | 245 | 401 | 5.1\% |
|  | Higher | 802 | 1,831,768 | 40\% | 195 | 126 | 28 | 101 | 174 | 266 | 415 | 6.2\% |
|  | No answer | 250 | 559,595 | 12\% | 188 | 144 | 15 | 70 | 161 | 262 | 449 | 10.9\% |
| Smoking status | Never smokers | 1072 | 2,307,169 | 50\% | 171 | 121 | 22 | 74 | 154 | 240 | 400 | 5.0\% |
|  | Ex-smokers | 530 | 1,271,513 | 27\% | 197 | 115 | 38 | 111 | 176 | 268 | 415 | 6.4\% |
|  | Smokers | 451 | 1,034,578 | 22\% | 228 | 152 | 49 | 131 | 199 | 285 | 519 | 10.5\% |
| Weight status | Normal weight | 1166 | 2,625,518 | 57\% | 179 | 125 | 25 | 85 | 160 | 243 | 413 | 5.6\% |
|  | Overweight | 629 | 1,422,231 | 31\% | 204 | 124 | 43 | 112 | 186 | 269 | 423 | 6.7\% |
|  | Obesity | 262 | 580,130 | 13\% | 217 | 154 | 32 | 101 | 195 | 296 | 506 | 11.2\% |

$n$ : number. SD: standard deviation. $p$ : percentile.


Figure 1. Main food groups sources of caffeine (percentage/day). Labels on bars represent percentage for the specific food group.

Table 2. Distribution of caffeine consumption per 3-hour period during the day (percentage/day).

| Population | $n$ | Weighted $n$ | 12:00-03:00 | 03:00-06:00 | 06:00-09:00 | 09:00-12:00 | 12:00-15:00 | 03:00-06:00 | 06:00-09:00 | $9 \mathrm{pm}-12 \mathrm{am}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 2057 | 4,627,878 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 29.0 | 26.2 | 15.9 | 13.7 | 8.9 | 3.2 |
| Men | 933 | 2,305,141 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 26.9 | 26.8 | 15.5 | 14.3 | 9.3 | 3.4 |
| Women | 1124 | 2,322,737 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 31.6 | 25.5 | 16.3 | 12.8 | 8.4 | 2.9 |
| 18-34 years | 563 | 1,306,178 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 21.7 | 32.8 | 13.9 | 15.0 | 8.7 | 4.5 |
| 35-49 years | 602 | 1,421,756 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 28.5 | 26.4 | 15.8 | 14.0 | 8.6 | 3.0 |
| 50-64 years | 554 | 1,250,918 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 30.8 | 24.7 | 16.4 | 13.1 | 9.0 | 2.7 |
| 65-75 years | 338 | 649,026 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 37.2 | 19.5 | 17.8 | 12.3 | 9.7 | 2.9 |
| German-speaking | 1341 | 3,183,216 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 27.9 | 27.0 | 15.4 | 14.0 | 9.2 | 3.1 |
| French-speaking | 502 | 1,187,738 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 31.1 | 24.1 | 17.6 | 12.8 | 8.3 | 3.7 |
| Italian-speaking | 214 | 256,925 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 39.8 | 22.0 | 14.5 | 12.2 | 7.2 | 1.4 |

$n$ : number.

## 4. Discussion

The mean caffeine intake of the Swiss adult population was $191 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, with higher intake in the age group 50-64 years ( $228 \mathrm{mg} /$ day), the German-speaking region ( $204 \mathrm{mg} /$ day), smokers ( $228 \mathrm{mg} /$ day), and obese people ( $217 \mathrm{mg} /$ day). In the Swiss population, the three main sources of caffeine consumption were 1 ) coffee $(83 \%), 2$ ) tea ( $9 \%$ ) and 3 ) soft drinks ( $4 \%$ ). Caffeine was mostly consumed between 06:00 and 09:00, then its intake decreased during the day. The circadian rhythm of caffeine intake slightly differed across linguistic regions and age groups.

### 4.1. Total Daily Caffeine Intake

Overall, the mean caffeine intake in the entire Swiss population ( $191 \mathrm{mg} /$ day ), was similar to that in other Western European countries [2] and the U.S. ( $169 \mathrm{mg} /$ day, NHANES data). Because Switzerland has different food and caffeine consumption patterns across linguistic regions, we need to compare our results found in the three different regions. Specifically, our results for the population aged 18-64 years (202, 170, and $133 \mathrm{mg} /$ day for the German-, French- and Italian-speaking regions, respectively, Supplementary Table S4) are very similar to values published in the corresponding neighbouring countries for the same age group: i.e., $238 \mathrm{mg} /$ day in Germany, 155 in France, and 139 in Italy [2]. According to our study, $6.6 \%$ of the Swiss population consumed more than $400 \mathrm{mg} /$ day of caffeine, the threshold below which it has been shown that caffeine consumption does not raise health issues in healthy adults $[1,2,6]$. In this regard, we also found differences between the linguistic regions. However, our observed percentages were lower than in the neighbouring countries (18-64 years old, Supplementary Table S4): $8.5 \%$ for the German- ( $14.6 \%$ in Germany), $4.9 \%$ in the French- ( $5.8 \%$ in France) and $0.3 \%$ for Italian-speaking part of Switzerland ( $2.1 \%$ in Italy) [2]. The comparison between countries should, however, be done with caution because methods used in the different national nutrition surveys were slightly different in terms of data collection years, dietary assessment methods, and sampling design [2].

### 4.2. Differences Across Population Subgroups

Previous studies have shown similar results as our study with greater consumption of total caffeine in men than in women $[7,12,22,30,31]$. However, this difference between sexes seemed to be due to confounding factors, such as body weight, as shown in our study. Indeed, Mitchell et al. also demonstrated that, when consumption is adjusted to the body weight, women consumed slightly more caffeine than men [22]. Another study in the U.S. found no significant difference between sex after adjusting for working hours or employment status [7]. The curvilinear association between caffeine consumption and age observed in our study was also already documented in the literature [7,12,22,31]. Consumption increases with age, reaching a peak at around 51-70 years old and declines among older people. Although our results suggest that people with lower education consumed more caffeine, studies in the U.S. did not find a systematic association between caffeine consumption and education [7,31], nor income [31]. Finally, as expected from the literature [32], our study found higher caffeine consumption among smokers. This association might have several explanations: 1) smoking causes the induction of cytochrome P450 1 A2 (CYP1A2), causing an acceleration of the elimination of caffeine, which may lead to a better tolerance to caffeine [33], 2) genetic factors [34] and 3) behavioural and environmental factors [35].

### 4.3. Main Sources of Caffeine

The large proportion of caffeine brought by coffee in Switzerland ( $83 \%$ of total daily intake) is comparable to proportions in the U.S. [12,22] and European countries [2], with the exception of Ireland, the United Kingdom and Latvia, for which a major source of caffeine was tea (between $52 \%$ and $60 \%$ ) [2]. The main food sources of caffeine seemed to be specific to each culture, as the proportions found in the three linguistic regions of Switzerland are, again, very similar to those published in their neighbouring countries [2]. In addition, we found that younger adults (18-34 years) consumed more
caffeine from soft drinks (including energy drinks) than older people. An Austrian study of young adults showed similar results with a decreasing consumption of caffeine from soft and energy drinks with age: $94 \mathrm{mg} /$ day in 18-25 year olds vs. $74 \mathrm{mg} /$ day in 26-39 year olds [36]. Mitchell et al. also found a higher consumption of caffeine from energy drinks in younger than older U.S. adults [22]. This difference may be due to a preference for soft and energy drinks rather than coffee among young people [37].

### 4.4. Timing of Caffeine Consumption

Our study showed a maximum consumption of caffeine in the morning (58\%), with a decreasing consumption throughout the day, as already demonstrated in several North-American studies [7,11,14]. For instance, Martyn et al. showed that $61 \%$ of caffeine was consumed in the morning, defined as from before breakfast until lunch (not included), $21 \%$ between lunch and dinner (not included) and $18 \%$ in the evening (i.e., during and after dinner) [14]. Lieberman et al. found a much higher caffeine consumption peak in the morning, with about 70\% of caffeine consumed between 03:00 and 12:00 (58\% in our study) and 40\% just between 06:00 and 09:00 ( $29 \%$ in our study) [7]. Our results have also shown that caffeine intake in the younger population is slightly delayed in the morning (09:00-12:00 instead of 06:00-09:00), afternoon (03:00-06:00 instead of 12:00-15:00), and evening (21:00-00:00 instead of 06:0021:00), compared to the older population. However, it seems there are no major differences in caffeine consumption among the four age groups, when grouping periods by two: i.e., $55 \%-57 \%$ of caffeine consumed in the morning ( $06: 00-12: 00$ ), $29 \%-30 \%$ in the afternoon ( $12: 00-18: 00$ ) and $12 \%-13 \%$ in the evening (18:00-00:00) (Table 2). In the U.S., Martyn et al. found a positive association between age and caffeine intake in the morning: 18-24 year olds consumed $50 \%$ of their daily caffeine intake in the morning, whereas those aged 65 consumed over $66 \%$ (and $23 \%$ vs. $16 \%$ in the evening, respectively) [14]. To our knowledge, no study has yet investigated the timing of caffeine consumption in Western Europe.

### 4.5. Strengths and Limitations of the Study

The strengths of our study were that we used data from a representative sample of the Swiss population, we took into account all the different sources of caffeine, not only beverages, and we used MSM to predict the usual consumption. However, since a menuCH project was not planned to assess caffeine intake, we did not have a detailed description of the different types of coffee with their brand, place of purchase and/or brewing method, even though this information highly influences caffeine concentration in coffee, as shown in literature $[38,39]$ and our measurements (Supplementary Table S2). In this context, we lack precision in the assignment of caffeine concentration in different types of coffees, and had to rely on averages.

## 5. Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study looking at self-reported caffeine intake in Switzerland. The average consumption in the entire adult population was $191 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{d}$, which was consistent with data from other high-income countries, particularly neighbouring countries. Only a small proportion of the Swiss adult population (6.6\%) consumes above the maximum intake of 400 $\mathrm{mg} /$ day recommended by EFSA. Differences in caffeine consumption were observed across age groups, linguistic regions and smoking status, but in all population subgroups, coffee was the main source of caffeine intake, and caffeine was mostly consumed in the morning.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: Decision tree regarding food groups with or without caffeine, Table S1: Overview of caffeine concentration in foods, by food groups, Table S2: Measurements of caffeine concentration in coffee and soft drinks, Table S3: Daily caffeine consumption per kg of body weight ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day), Figure S2: Main food groups sources of caffeine (mg/day), Figure S3: Distribution of caffeine consumption per hour during the day (percentage/day), Table S4: Daily caffeine consumption in the population aged 18-64 years old (mg/day).
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