

'Sexual orientation'

for: Manfred Nowak, Jane A Hofbauer, Philipp Janig and Christina Binder (eds.), Elgar Encyclopedia of Human Rights (Edward Elgar Publishing), forthcoming 2021

Andreas R. Ziegler

I. Introduction

Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and intersex (LGBTI) people of all ages and in all regions of the world suffer from violations of their human rights. They are physically attacked, kidnapped, raped and murdered. In more than a third of the world's countries, people may be arrested and jailed (and in at certain countries even executed) for engaging in private, consensual, same-sex relationships. While transgender people and intersex people are usually discussed under the heading or → **Gender Identity**, men who are attracted men (gay), women who are attracted by women (lesbian) are often referred to as homosexuals. Together with persons who are attracted by both sexes (bisexual) they shall be treated in this section as LGB persons. Many States do not only discriminate them (→ **Discrimination, prohibition of; and equal treatment**) but even criminalize sexual relations between them and exclude them from many services and opportunities under the law. In addition, States often fail to adequately protect LGB persons from discriminatory treatment in the private sphere, including in the workplace, housing, education and healthcare. In particular, LGB children and adolescents face often bullying and discrimination in school and by their families (→ **Convention on the Rights of the Child**; → Domestic violence). This is also due to the prohibition of lack of education regarding sexual orientation. LGB adolescents may be thrown out of their homes by their parents, forced into psychiatric institutions (to undergo so-called conversions therapies) or forced to marry based on their actual or perceived sexual orientation. This problem is exacerbated when LGB people belong to groups that are discriminated against because of their race or religion etc. (→ **Intersectionality**). In many societies they are totally excluded or stigmatised and face a high risk of poverty, violence (→ **Security of person, right to**) and murder, especially in the form of → **Hate Speech** and hate crimes. This is for example the case of → **Afro-Descendants in the United States** and of women or → **Disabled persons** more generally.

Throughout history, different societies have dealt differently with LGB persons. While certain societies were totally indifferent or even considered this group as particularly interesting or blessed, others did not openly discuss issues to them or ignored them. Nevertheless, over time certain societies have outlawed same-(sexual) relations and discriminated against LGB persons. This was particularly common when such a behaviour was based on specific religious beliefs, such as the with regard to certain readings of the traditional religious texts in Christianity, Islam (→ **Sharia**) or Judaism - but also certain nature worships (→ **Religious practices**). Today specific problems remain when it comes to the specific rights of churches and religious institutions to discriminate against LGB persons due to their faith.

Especially after 1968 the claims for a better protection of the human rights of LGB persons have become stronger. This movement is often associated with the so-called Stonewall Riots of 28 June to 3 July 1969 - an event often remembered in so-called Pride Parades worldwide (though such demonstrations remain forbidden in many countries and often attract violence from opponents. → **Civil society/Social movements** have taken up these claims be it through specialized NGOs (like the one that at the time of its creation in 1978 was called the International Lesbian and Gay Association – ILGA or ECSOL - the European Commission on Sexual Orientation Law) or through the normal political debate or → **Public**

interest litigation (human rights litigation). Though is certainly also due to a generally more liberal life-style in many societies, especially in Western countries, there have been back lashes (also in recent times), especially when right-wing populist parties are in power (e.g. United States, Russia, Poland etc.). While activists sometimes use the terminology of *LGBTI Rights*, *Gay Rights* or *Queer Rights*, the mainstream literature and governments normally keep speaking of human rights of the specific groups.

II. Legal Framework

Obviously, international human rights law establishes legal obligations on States to make sure that everyone, without distinction, can enjoy their human rights. A person's sexual orientation, gender identity and sex characteristics is a status, like race, sex, colour or religion. As the topic is taboo in many societies, an important number of government refuse to even talk about it within the framework of the → **United Nations** and regional organizations. In a post-colonial context, many political leaders keep claiming that LGB persons are a purely Western phenomenon and thus there is no consensus with regard to the need to guarantee the rights of integrity of LGB persons (→ **Universalism and (cultural) relativism**). There is no specific treaty at the United Nations or within any of the regional cooperation organizations addressing the rights of LGB person.

After several failed attempts, on June 17, 2011, South Africa led a resolution at the UNHRC requesting that the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) draft a report *documenting discriminatory laws and practices and acts of violence against individuals based on their sexual orientation and gender identity* to follow up and implementation of the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action. The resolution passed with 23 votes in favour to 19 against, with 3 abstentions. It was the first such resolution and was hailed as "historic". In July 2014, the United Nations (as an employer) announced it would extend equal benefits to employees in same-sex unions entered into in jurisdictions where they are legal. In 2016, the UNHRC passed a resolution to appoint an *Independent Expert* to find the causes of violence and discrimination against people due to their gender identity and sexual orientation and discuss with governments about how to protect those people.

In the absence of sufficient support at the UN, a group of experts gathered in 2006 in an informal meeting to outline a set of international principles relating to the applicability of existing human rights obligations to sexual orientation and gender identity. The result was the so-called *Yogyakarta Principles (YP)*: a universal guide to human rights which affirm binding international legal standards with which all States must comply. The *YP plus 10* was adopted on 10 November 2017 to supplement the existing principles. The principles emerged from the intersection of the developments in international human rights law with the emerging understanding of violations suffered by persons on grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity and the recognition of the distinct and intersectional grounds of gender expression and sex characteristics.

Despite the difficult political situation at the → **United Nations**, at the more technical level UN human rights experts have underlined that existing international law prohibits discrimination based on sexual orientation. The same has been confirmed by treaty organs and specific organs. A milestone in this regard was the decision in 1994 by the UN Human Rights Committee under the → **International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)** in the Communication No. 488/1992 *Toonen v. Australia*. The Committee found that adult consensual sexual activity in private is covered by the concept of "privacy" and any actual or potential criminalization as unlawful (→ **Private and family life, right to respect for**). Later, in an important number of communications (also recently) violations of the freedom of assembly, expression

and association related to sexual orientation were found. In 2016, the UN Security Council condemned the Orlando nightclub shooting; this statement marked the first time the U.N. Security Council used language recognizing violence targeting the LGBT community. In the context of the → **Universal Periodic Reviews (UPR)** States and NGOs have additionally made submissions with regard to the rights of LGB persons under the → **International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)**, such as Articles 10 (family), 12 (physical and mental health) and 15 (cultural life).

At the regional level, the activities of the → **European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)** when applying the → **European Convention of Human Rights** is particularly noteworthy. In the beginning the ECtHR has been rather slow to address this issue and to oblige the Member States to accept the applicability of the Convention to cases relating to the sexual orientation of applicants. But finally, it was the decision in *Dudgeon v United Kingdom* (no. 7525/76, Judgment of 22 October 1981) that paved the way for a more inclusive approach that increasingly accepted that there was a sufficient consensus in Europe that LGB persons were entitled to the sexual self-determination (→ **Self-determination, right to**) and had a right to adult consensual sexual activity (Article 8 ECHR, Right to respect for private and family life). In the following years the Court addressed also the freedom of assembly, expression and association of LGB persons fighting for the respect of their rights, as well as discrimination of members of the armed forces, with regard to social security benefits or the age of consent in criminal law. When it comes to obliging Member States to introduce some form of registered partnership or to open marriage to all couples, the Court remains much more hesitant in view of remaining differences between regions in Europe though in its judgment *Schalk and Kopf v. Austria* (2010) the Court found under Article 12 that it would no longer consider that the right to marry must in all circumstances be limited to marriage between two persons of the opposite sex. From the judgment in *Francesca Orlandi and Others v. Italy* (no. 26431/12 of 14 December 2017) one can derive that State Parties must provide some protection for the family life of same-sex couples. The same is true with regard to the access of LGW persons to parenthood (adoption, medically assisted pregnancy, or surrogacy, see → **Reproductive rights/health**). Without forcing States to be particularly open to so-called rainbow families, the Court increasingly used the argument that the authorities cannot discriminate based on the sexual orientation when specific activities are lawful for same-sex couples or heterosexual persons (adoption, donorship, medical assistance etc.). With regard to the rights of → **refugees (→ Asylum, right to)** who are threatened in their countries of origin due to their sexual orientation, the Court remains also cautious with regard to the → **Margin of appreciation/discretion** that States enjoy when fulfilling their obligations under Article 3 but has made it clear that a real threat due to an applicant's sexual orientation must be taken into account when examining an asylum application in order not to violate Article 3 ECHR (prohibition of inhuman and degrading treatment, most recently in *B and C v. Switzerland*, 2020; → **Non refoulement**). The Court still has a certain tendency to avoid the topic of LGB discrimination when it is possible to find a violation of the Convention without addressing the issue of sexual orientation (e.g. *Sozayev and Others v Russia*, 2020).

While as a group the EU Member States are among the most advanced countries when it comes to protection and non-discrimination of LGB persons, there remain huge differences among them. This is reflected in the limited competences of EU in this field (e.g. family law, education etc.). Even when the EU is competent to take concrete measures, they are normally subject to unanimity rules which makes progress slow. At the same time, the achievement of certain accepted goals with regard to other policies (internal market, security and asylum, staff regulations etc.) has made possible certain compromises

between the Member States that have improved the situation (sometimes only after a clarification through the case law of the → **Court of Justice of the EU**). In addition, despite the uneven acceptance within the UE, in its foreign relations the EU (or at least certain actors like most importantly the Parliament) the EU is increasingly demanding a minimum threshold regarding the respect of human rights (also of LGBTI persons). This is particularly important for so-called candidate countries and in commercial relations with developing countries. The Court of Justice has used the existing instruments relating e.g. to the free movement of person or the specific work-related non-discrimination tools in order to protect also LGB persons and couples. In November 2020 the European Commission has presented its first Strategy to protect also lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans, non-binary, intersex, and queer (LGBTIQ) equality, proposing to extend the list of EU crimes to cover hate crime and ensure that EU policymaking reflects LGBTIQ concerns. The draft “Horizontal Anti-discrimination Directive” would forbid discrimination based on religion or belief, disability, age or sexual orientation in access to goods and services, education, and access to social benefits in a wider area of fields while now the protection “due to sexual orientation” is basically limited to the workplace. But due to the lack of support this project is currently not moving forwards.

For the Member States of the → **Inter-American Convention against all forms of Discrimination and Intolerance**, the Inter-American Court on Human Rights has had less opportunities to develop the meaning of the Convention with regard to LGB persons. The leading case remains certainly the Judgment in the case *Atala Riffo and daughters v. Chile* (2012) where the Court stated that a mother could not be denied the right of custody for her children simply based on her sexual orientation (Article 11 (2) Right to privacy, Article 24 Right to equality and non-discrimination, in conjunction with Article 1(1)). The Court held that a right granted to all persons cannot be denied or restricted under any circumstances based on their sexual orientation. Quite surprisingly, the Court issued an *Advisory Opinion on same-sex marriage* in November 2017 that held that pursuant to the right to the protection of private and family life (Article 11(2)), as well as the right to protection of the family (Article 17), the American Convention protects the family ties that may derive from a relationship between persons of the same sex. The Court also found that all the internationally recognized human rights, as well as the rights and obligations recognized under the domestic law of each State that arise from the family ties of heterosexual couples derived from a protected family relationship between a same-sex couple must be protected, with no discrimination as regards to heterosexual couples, pursuant to the right to equality and non-discrimination (Articles 1(1) and 24). (Advisory Opinion of 27 November 2017, English version of 9 January 2018 Para. 198)

III. Current Challenges

More than 70 countries still have laws in effect that criminalize private, consensual same-sex relationships, and in at least five countries conviction may carry the death penalty. Here a more active fight against such practice is urgently needed.

The right to marriage and family life must include LGB persons, ideally through opening marriage, adoption and medically assisted pregnancy to all persons in a non-discriminatory manner. This is particularly important also in the best interest of the children concerned.

In addition to violating basic rights, discriminatory laws lead to and exacerbate hostile attitudes towards gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender people, feeding violence and discrimination (hate crimes). They also hamper efforts to halt the spread of HIV by deterring people from coming forward for testing and treatment for fear of stigma, discrimination and abuse. Here more work is needed to prevent and punish

violence and discrimination by private parties (including conversions therapies). Employers (in particular also multinational enterprises) can play a more active role in promoting diversity and inclusion (**→ Global Compact; → Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights**). The United Nations Free and Equal Initiative tries to foster such activities. Where necessary this should be supported through a targeted **→ Affirmative action / positive discrimination**.

IV. Trends

While most of the discussion of sexual orientation takes place in the core areas of international human rights protection (though still with much work to be done) one should mention at least two other areas where the debate is currently taking up:

One is the role of diversity and inclusion of LGB persons in **→ sustainable development** and **→ development cooperation** such as increasingly advocated by the OECD, the IMF, and the World Bank and other institutions active in this field when formulating best practices.

Another field is the prevention and punishment of sexual crimes against men and boys (**→ Child soldiers**) as an area of international humanitarian law (IHL; **→ Humanitarian law and human rights**) that is too often not discussed due to stigmatisation and shame.

V. Conclusion/Outlook

While substantive progress has been made in the last 50 years tremendous differences persist when it comes to the protection of human rights of LGB persons. Especially in Africa, the Middle East and South Asia the situation remains challenging. A recognition of these problems and an intensified discussion at global and regional level would be a first step into the right direction. The research of this specific field is still too limited and needs more support.

Bibliography

Literature

- Andreas R. Ziegler, Discrimination on Grounds of Sexual Orientation and Identity, in: Thomas Giegerich Thomas (ed.), *The European Union as a Protector and Promoter of Equality* (Springer, 2020) 283-296.
- Damian A Gonzalez-Salzberg, *Sexuality and Transsexuality Under the European Convention on Human Rights: A Queer Reading of Human Rights Law* (Hart Publishing, 2019).
- Giulia Dondoli, *Transnational Advocacy Networks and Human Rights Law: Emergence and Framing of Gender Identity and Sexual Orientation* (Taylor & Francis Ltd / Routledge, 2019).
- M.V. Lee Badgett, Kees Waaldijk, Yana van der Meulen Rodgers, LGB Inclusion and Economic Development, *World Development* Volume 120 , August 2019, 1-14.
- Pascha Bueno-Hansen, The Emerging LGBTI Rights Challenge to Transitional Justice in Latin America, *International Journal of Transitional Justice*, Volume 12, Issue 1, March 2018, Pages 126–145, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijtj/ijx031>.
- Dan Bross, Fabrice Houdart, and Salil Tripathi, None of their Business? How the United Nations is Calling on Global Companies to Lead the Way on Human Rights of LGBTI people, *Business and Human Rights Journal* (Vol. 3, no. 2, July 2018).

- Carrillo-Santarelli, N. (2018). Gender Identity, and Equality and Non-discrimination of Same Sex Couples. *American Journal of International Law*, 112(3), 479-485. doi:10.1017/ajil.2018.51
- Holning Lau, *Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Discrimination* (Brill Research Perspectives, 2018).
- Paul Johnson; Falcetta, Silvia, *Sexual Orientation Discrimination and Article 3 of the European Convention on Human Rights: Developing the Protection of Sexual Minorities*. In: *European law review*, Vol. 43, No. 2, 04.2018, 167-185.
- Dianne Otto, *Queering international law - possibilities, alliances, complicities, risks* (Routledge, 2018).
- M. Joel Voss, *Contesting Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity at the UN Human Rights Council*, *Human Rights Review* (Vol. 19, no. 1, March 2018)
- Falcetta, S. & Johnson, P. J., *Migration, Sexual Orientation, and the European Convention on Human Rights*, *Journal of Immigration, Asylum and Nationality Law*, 4 Jun 2018.
- Kees Waaldijk, *Extending rights, responsibilities and status to same-sex families: trends across Europe* (Council of Europe, 2018).
- European network of legal experts in gender equality and non-discrimination, *Trans and intersex equality rights in Europe – a comparative analysis* (European Commission, Brussels, 2018).
- Piotr Godzisz and Giacomo Viggiani (eds.), *Running through Hurdles: Obstacles in the Access to Justice for Victims of Anti-LGBTI Hate Crimes* (Lambda Warsaw Association, First edition, Warsaw 2018).
- Andrew R. Flores, Andrew Park, *Polarized Progress: Social Acceptance of LGBT People in 141 Countries*, 2018, online at: <https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/research/lgbt-acceptance-inclusion-worldwide/>.
- Robert Wintemute, *European Law against Discrimination on Grounds of Sexual Orientation*, in: Katharina Boele-Woelki and Angelika Fuchs (eds.), *Same-Sex Relationships and Beyond - Gender Matters in the EU* (Intersentia, 3rd edition 2017), 180-203 .
- Paul Johnson, *Homosexuality and the European Court of Human Rights* (Routledge, 2012).
- Robert Wintemute, *Sexual Orientation and Human Rights* (OUP, 1995).

Cases

UN Human Rights Committee

- Hertzberg et al. v. Finland (61/1979) (Freedom of assembly, expression and association)
- Toonen v. Australia (488/1992) (Criminalization or detention)
- Joslin v. New Zealand (902/1999) (Registration of partnership)
- Young v. Australia (941/2000) (Housing tenure, social and employer's benefits)
- X. v. Columbia (1361/2005) (Pension transfer in the case of homosexual couples)
- Fedotova v. Russia (1932/2010) (Freedom of assembly, expression and association)
- Praded v. Belarus (2029/2011) (Freedom of assembly, expression and association)
- Androsenko v. Belarus (2092/2011) (Freedom of assembly, expression and association)
- Alekseyev v. Russia, (1873/2009) (Freedom of assembly, expression and association)

Inter-American Court of Human Rights

- Atala Riffo and daughters v. Chile (Judgment of 24 February 2012) (discrimination, parenthood)
- Duque v. Colombia (Judgment of 26 February 2016) (Housing tenure, social and employer's benefits)
- Advisory Opinion OC-24/17 of 24 November 2017 (Requested by The Republic of Costa Rica - Gender Identity, and Equality and Non-Discrimination of Same-Sex Couples)

European Court of Human Rights

- Dudgeon v United Kingdom (no. 7525/76) (1981) (criminalization, detention)
- Karner v. Austria (no. 40016/98) (2003) (discrimination, social benefits)
- Schalk and Kopf v. Austria (no. 30141/04) (2010) (right to marriage)
- Oliari and Others v. Italy (nos. 18766/11 and 36030/11) (2015) (right to marriage)
- Taddeucci and McCall v. Italy (no 51362/09) (2016) (right to privacy, discrimination)
- Francesca Orlandi and Others v. Italy (no. 26431/12) (2017) (right to privacy, partnership)
- Sozayev and Others v. Russia (nos. 67685/14 & 35199/15) (2020) (Freedom of assembly, expression and association)
- B and C v. Switzerland (nos. 43987/16 and 889/19) (2020) (Asylum)

Other documents

- OECD, Society at a Glance 2019: OECD Social Indicators - Spotlight on lesbians, gay men, bisexuals and transgender (LGBT) individuals (OECD, Paris, 2019).
- Human Rights Council Resolution 17/19 on Human Rights, Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity:
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session17/Pages/ResDecStat.aspx>
- “Born Free and Equal: Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity in International Human Rights Law” 1st edn. 2015, rev. edn. 2019):
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Discrimination/Pages/BornFreeEqualBloket.aspx>
- Association for the Prevention of Torture, Towards the Effective Protection of LGBTI Persons Deprived of Liberty: A Monitoring Guide (Geneva, December 2018).
- Yogyakarta Principles (YP) plus 10 (2007/17): <https://yogyakartaprinciples.org/principles-en/yp10/>
- “Discriminatory laws and practices and acts of violence against individuals based on their sexual orientation and gender identity: Report of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights” (May 2015):
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Discrimination/Pages/LGBTUNReports.aspx>
- General Assembly Resolution 67/168 on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions (15 March 2013): http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/67/168

Guidelines on International Protection No. 9: Claims to Refugee Status based on Sexual Orientation and/or Gender Identity within the context of Article 1A(2) of the 1951 Convention and/or its 1967 Protocol relating to the Status of Refugees (23 October 2012): <http://www.refworld.org/docid/50348af>

