Potential of IRMS technology for tracing gamma-butyrolactone (GBL)
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Abstract

Popularity of γ-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB) is fairly stable among drug users, while the consumption of its chemical precursor, γ-butyrolactone (GBL), is a growing phenomenon. Although conventional analytical methods allow to detect this substance in various matrices, linking a trace and a source is still a difficult challenge. However, as several synthesis pathways and chemical precursors exist for the production of GBL, its carbon isotopic signature may vary extensively. For that purpose, a method has been developed to determine the carbon isotopes content of GBL by means of gas chromatography/combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC/C/IRMS).

The Δ13C-values of 19 bulk samples purchased worldwide were in the range from −23.1 to −45.8‰ (SD < 0.3‰). Furthermore, testing on the purification of GBL by distillation has not been found to be consistent with such a large range of Δ13C-values, which are likely to result from the isotopic composition of the organic precursors used to produce GBL together with the kinetic isotope effect associated with the synthesis routes. Finally, inter- and intra-variability measurements of the Δ13C-values demonstrated the high potential of IRMS for discriminating between seizures of GBL and for source determination.

© 2009 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The demand for γ-butyrolactone (GBL) has increased in the last decade, mainly as a chemical intermediate to manufacture polymers, but also as a biodegradable degreaser or paint remover [1]. In 2002, the domestic production in the USA was estimated at 80,000 tons per year [2]. The total capacity of GBL manufacturing in China was reported in 2006 to be around 50,000 tons per year [3]. As illustrated in Fig. 1, several chemical intermediates may be potentially converted into GBL at the industrial level. The major portion of GBL is currently being produced via the dehydrogenation of 1,4-butanediol (1,4-BD) [4–6], which is manufactured from the reaction of acetylene with formaldehyde; this reaction is known as the Reppe process [7]. New manufacturing routes of GBL are based on the two-stage hydrogenation of economically attractive raw materials such as dimethyl maleate [8] or maleic anhydride [9–11]. Tetrahydrofuran can also be used as a precursor to synthesize GBL following a single oxidation step [12].

Recreative use of γ-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB) and to a lesser extent GHB-facilitated sexual assaults (‘date rape’) is a relatively recent and stable phenomenon among European countries [13,14]. However, recent surveys indicate that consumption of its chemical precursors, namely GBL and 1,4-BD, is a growing trend among drug users due to several promoting factors [15]. Starting with these materials, the synthesis of GHB is rather simple and, most remarkably, these precursors exhibit a rapid conversion into GHB by peripheral lactonase upon direct oral consumption [2,16–18]. Moreover, both GBL and 1,4-BD would hardly be regulated under a national or an international legislation as they are important and common industrial solvents used in large quantities in the synthesis of plastics and polymers [13,19]. The lack of control coupled with the availability of these substances on the internet for a relatively cheap price increase the popularity of GHB, GBL and 1,4-BD consumption. Therefore, there is a need to develop analytical means to assist law enforcement agencies disrupt the use and trafficking of GHB and its precursors.

In forensic cases, the presence of GHB or precursors is investigated in items seized at the premises, in the form of drug samples or spiked beverages, but also as biological samples (urine or blood) collected from drug users or sexual assault victims. Although these substances may be detected by conventional analytical methods, any linkage between trace and source is difficult to ascertain. However, as these substances can be synthesized through many different routes using a diversity of chemicals, variations in their stable isotopes content may be potentially observed. In that respect, several investigations of
stable isotopes have been conducted to assist in determining the manufacturing source of illicit synthetic drugs such as amphetamine type stimulants based molecules [20].

In the present study, an appropriate and robust gas chromatography/combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC/IRMS) method has been developed and tested for the characterization of GBL samples. Then, the variability in the carbon isotopic compositions between samples of GBL purchased from different chemical providers and internet retailers of various countries in the world has been studied. The distillation effect has also been evaluated as it takes place in the production of GBL and thus may possibly affect the isotope ratio value. Finally, the discriminating ability of IRMS to infer the source of GBL samples will be discussed. This work provides a baseline for future studies and some explanation to understand the variations in the carbon isotope composition of GBL.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Dichloromethane (≥99.9%) was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). γ-Butyrolactone (GBL) was purchased from Fluka (≥99.0%, Lot 001363070, Buchs, Switzerland), Sigma (≥99.0%, Lot 087K3521, Buchs, Switzerland), Lipomed (>99.0%, Lot 823.1B0.1L5, Arlesheim, Switzerland) and Wako (>99.0%, Lot PEN6670, Osaka, Japan). ε-Caprolactone (≥99.0%, Lot 1256826) was obtained from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland). Helium (Quality 60, ≥99.999%) and carbon dioxide gas (Quality 40, ≥99.99%) were purchased from Carbagas (Domdidier, Switzerland). Tetradecanoic acid methyl ester (C14:0, #14M, C15H30O2, δ13C = −29.98 ± 0.02‰, ≥99.0%) was obtained from Arndt Schimmelmann (Indiana University, Department of Geological Sciences, Biogeochemical Laboratories, 1001 East 10th Street, Bloomington, IN, USA).

Fig. 1. Structures and synthesis pathways of synthetic precursors of γ-butyrolactone.
Bulk GBL was purchased from different internet retailers: Alloycleaner (#1, London, United Kingdom), Cleanmover (#2, Bytom, Poland), Cleanstar24 (#3, Taarnawa Dolna, Poland), Everchem (#4, China), GBLC2 (#5, Bialystok, Poland), GBLCleaner (#6, Uden, Netherlands), Liquidosap (#7, Coco Islands, United Kingdom), Multiclean (#8, Nijmegen, Netherlands), Shin&Bright (#9, Nijmegen, Netherlands), Eastar Chem Industrial Corp. (#10, China), Nanjing Kaisai Technology Co. Ltd. (#11, China), Taizhou Synthwa PharmaChem Co. Ltd. (#12, China) and two different batches from Anhui Huaxing Chemical Industry Co. Ltd. (#13 and 14, China) ordered at 6 months intervals.

2.2. Sample preparation

In a 10 mL glass tube, 150 µL of α-caprolactone (Internal Standard, 20 µg/mL in dichloromethane) and 50 µL of terephthalic acid methyl ester (Isotope Calibrator, 10 µg/mL in dichloromethane) were added to 100 µL of GBL (100 µg/mL in dichloromethane). After vortex-mixing for 5 s, the solution was transferred to an auto-sampler vial with a 300 µL insert for both GC–MS and GC/C/IRMS analyses.

2.3. Description of the distillation apparatus

A standard distillation design was employed for the study of the carbon isotope fractionation of GBL during evaporation. An aliquot of 100 mL GBL was introduced into a 250 mL two-neck round-bottom flask connected to a distillation head. A thermometer was connected to the two-neck round-bottom flask above bulk GBL to record the temperature of the escaping vapor. The distillation head was composed of a 15-cm long neck at the top of which a standard thermometer was fixed to follow the temperature of the vapor during distillation and a lateral condenser whose inner heat exchange tube is 25 cm long. The condenser was cooled with water at ambient temperature (−23 °C). Four 50 mL round-bottom flasks were connected to the condenser using a distillation receiver. A heating mantle was used to slowly heat the bulk sample to a temperature of 204 °C, corresponding to the boiling point of GBL. Complete distillation was performed within 2 h and allowed to collect four distillate fractions of 25 mL each. For comparison purposes, three distillations were performed for each bulk GBL and each distillate fraction was measured in triplicate by means of GC/C/IRMS.

2.4. GC/C/IRMS analysis

The carbon isotope measurements were performed on a Delta V Plus IRMS system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) coupled to a Trace GC Ultra Gas Chromatograph via a GC/CTC III interface (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). The samples were injected via a TriPlus™ autosampler (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). The mass spectrometer consisted of an electron impact source held at 3.0 kV acceleration voltage for CO2 gas, a magnet and three Faraday collectors for measurement of the ions at m/z 44, 45 and 46.

Chromatographic separations were achieved on a DB-17MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness) from J&W Scientific (Folsom, CA, USA). Helium was used as carrier gas with a constant flow of 1.2 mL/min. The GC injection port, combustion oven and reduction oven temperatures were set to 280 °C, 940 °C and 600 °C, respectively. Reference carbon dioxide gas pulses (20 s durations) were introduced at five different times during the course of the chromatographic separation. Regarding the analysis of the samples containing GBL, the internal standard (IS) and the internal calibrator (IC), the oven temperature was increased from 50 °C to 200 °C/min, then to 300 °C at 30 °C/min and maintained at the final temperature for 3 min. The volume of injection was 1 µL and the samples were injected in the splitless mode (1.5 min). Oxidation of the combustion reactor was performed over 1 h after every batch of 30 samples.

The symbol δ is the standard notation for expressing carbon isotope ratios. It is defined as parts per thousand deviation of isotopic compositions from that of Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) and is calculated according to [21]:

\[
\delta^{13}C (\%) = \left(\frac{^{13}C/^{12}C_{\text{sample}}}{^{13}C/^{12}C_{\text{standard}}} - 1\right) \times 1000
\]

Acquisition and evaluation of the GC/C/IRMS data were performed with the SODAT 2.5 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany).

2.5. GC/MS analysis

Prior to GC/C/IRMS analysis, identification of the substance was ensured by GC chromatographic retention time in agreement within 1% of the retention time of reference material analysed in the same batch and by measurement of full EI MS spectra between m/z 40 and 300 with an acceptable maximum tolerance edited in a technical document [22]. The diagnostic ions selected for identification of each compound were the following: GBL (m/z 56 and 86), ε-caprolactone (m/z 55, 75, 84 and 114) and terephthalic acid methyl ester (m/z 55, 74, 87, 143, 199 and 242).

The GC/MS analyses were performed on a Hewlett-Packard 5890 Series II Plus gas chromatograph (HP Analytical Division, Waldbronn, Germany) equipped with a HP 7673 auto-sampler and coupled with an HP 5971 mass selective detector (MSD). GC separation was achieved on a DB-17MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness) from J&W Scientific (Folsom, CA, USA). Helium was used as carrier gas with a constant flow of 0.8 mL/min and at the initial column head pressure of 15 psi. For a robust identification of the target compounds, the GC operating conditions were identical for both GC–MS and GC/C/IRMS analyses. The oven temperature was increased from 50 °C (3 min) to 150 °C at 20 °C/min, then to 300 °C at 30 °C/min, and maintained at the final temperature for 3 min. Injections of samples (1 µL) were made at 280 °C in the splitless mode. EI mass spectra were recorded with the instrument tuned by continuous scanning in the 40–300 amu range at an ionization potential of 70 eV.

2.6. Data treatment and analysis

The δ13C-values were analysed statistically using S-PLUS® 7.0 for Windows. For distribution testing, a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of normality has been employed. Equality of the variances was assessed using a Levene test. Then, statistical differences among groups were tested using the two-sample t-test, with p < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

The evaluation of the overlapping zone between the inter-variability and the intra-variability of the distribution δ13C-values was performed by studying the behaviour of the ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curves [23].

3. Results and discussion

From the early 1990s, extended research has been conducted on drug profiling in the area of analytical method development and statistical data treatment. Methodologies have been established for the comparison of illicit drug seizures in an intelligence-led perspective using large databases [24]. These methods mainly use GC/MS for the analysis of samples in order to obtain intelligence information.

Basically, some drugs are produced through a number of different routes. Therefore, the organic impurities profile which will be influenced by the precursors and chemicals can provide valuable information to assess the link between drug seizures, production batches and trafficking routes [25–27]. Regarding profiling of GBL, it appears that this approach will not be effective as this chemical is manufactured at high purity level (>99%). GC–MS analysis of the samples purchased from different internet retailers and obtained from police seizures confirmed indeed the presence of impurities at trace level. Alternatively, the determination of differences in the carbon isotope ratio of GBL by means of IRMS was found to be a more promising methodology to tackle the links between GBL samples. Valuable use of stable isotope profiles has already been assessed in order to gain intelligence through forensic analysis, for instance for the determination of the geographic origin of drugs [28], or for linking samples of drugs [29] or black powder [30].

Based on previous work, GBL may be analysed by means of GC/C/IRMS using a moderately polar column and hence does not require a chemical modification to provide acceptable chromatographic characteristics [31]. In that study, it is worth to note that each sample preparation was spiked with ε-caprolactone and terephthalic acid methyl ester. Terephthalic acid methyl ester was added as a standard of known isotopic composition to calibrate the target compounds, while ε-caprolactone, a lactone displaying slightly different chromatographic retention than GBL, was used as an internal standard compound to test for potential mass discrimination during the course of GC/C/IRMS analyses [32]. An example of a GC/C/IRMS chromatogram of GBL, ε-caprolactone and terephthalic acid methyl ester is shown in Fig. 2. Reference carbon dioxide gas pulses (20 s width) were introduced five times during the chromatographic runs to check for IRMS stability.

Prior to the determination of the carbon isotopic ratio of the lots of GBL, analysis of different quantities of GBL from the same batch was performed to define the linear response of the IRMS. This parameter is crucial as it might be that accuracy of GC/C/IRMS is significantly affected when signal intensity is outside the linearity range [33]. Typically, it resulted in stable δ13C-values (0.05%/V) for
the signal intensities between 0.5 and 4 V on the m/z 44 channel corresponding to injected amounts of 1 and 10 ng, respectively.

Table 1 lists the carbon isotopic data of GBL samples obtained from chemical providers and internet retailers (GBL#1–14). The δ13C-values of these GBL samples ranged from −23.1 to −45.8‰, and were associated with standard deviations lower than 0.3‰ of triplicate analysis. The stability of IRMS measurement during the chromatographic separation was verified by the standard-on-off tests (SD < 0.21‰ for 5 reference CO2 pulses). Furthermore, reproducible δ13C-values for ε-caprolactone (IS) were obtained (mean δ13C-value = −26.15‰, SD = 0.14‰, n = 114), thereby enabling a 95% confidence interval as a run acceptance criteria. Noteworthy, distribution of the δ13C-values of the internal standard showed no significant deviation from Gaussianity (p > 0.05) as revealed by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The GBL samples were analysed again in triplicates 6 months later and did not show significant deviations from the mean δ13C-values and related SD listed in Table 1. Finally, all δ13C-values of the IS were comprised in the confidence interval established previously (two-sample t-test). The repeatability of the 13C measurements is of major importance in a forensic context in order to create and maintain a carbon isotope ratio database of GBL samples.

A relatively large range of δ13C-values has been determined in that study for the GBL samples purchased from various internet retailers and chemical providers. A variation of −23‰ in the δ13C-values of GBL is equivalent to a difference in the 13C isotope abundance of 0.025 at.%. Scanning MS in selected ion monitoring (SIM) might only achieve reliable analyses of isotopic composition at natural abundance level of 0.1 at.% [34,35]. Hence, it would not be conceivable for our purpose to perform carbon isotope analyses using a conventional mass spectrometer.

GBL production may include a purification step, generally a distillation, to obtain the product in a pure form [9]. Therefore, a possible isotope fractionation due to the thermodynamic isotope effect should also be considered [20]. Distillation of solvent such as methanol, chloroform and benzene were found to lead to a slight depletion of 13C between the original vapor phase collected and consecutive fractions [36]. A comprehensive explanation of these results at the molecular level was reported subsequently [37]. To assess the magnitude of isotopes fractionation by distillation of GBL, IRMS analysis of GBL collected over consecutive distillates fractions was performed. For instance, distillations of 100 mL bulk GBL#11 resulted in a slight depletion of 13C between consecutive 25-mL fractions (−27.7‰ for the first distillate versus −28.5‰ for the last one). Based on these results, purification by means of distillation will not affect the δ13C-values dramatically, at least it may not provide an explanation for the wide range of δ13C-values reported in this study.

On the basis of the current knowledge, it can be assumed that the broad range of δ13C-values for GBL is probably the consequence of the variability in the carbon isotope composition of the organic precursors which will be conserved through to the product GBL. To test this hypothesis, batch-to-batch variations were assessed for bulk GBL (GBL#13–14) obtained from an industry using the Reppe process to manufacture 1,4-BD. As main outcome, statistical analysis did not reveal any evidence against the assumption that the mean δ13C-values were equal. Hence, it is likely that the δ13C-values of GBL were inherited in that case from those of acetylene and formaldehyde, two precursors which served to synthesize 1,4-BD in a first

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Sample id</th>
<th>Country of purchase</th>
<th>1st series of analyses</th>
<th>2nd series of analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Fluka</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>−45.1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipomed</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
<td>−31.7</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riedel de Haen</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>−36.4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
<td>−35.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wako</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>−26.0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet retailer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td>−24.1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td></td>
<td>−45.5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td></td>
<td>−45.8</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>−30.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td></td>
<td>−45.5</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td>−32.1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td>−27.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td>−29.0</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td>−23.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#10</td>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>−29.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#11</td>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>−27.9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#12</td>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>−29.8</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#13</td>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>−27.8</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#14</td>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>−27.6</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
step. Given the relatively low cost for producing GBL at the industrial level, it may be expected that the organic precursors are mainly originating from petroleum and related organic matter. It was reported by Yeh and Epstein [38] that the δ²¹⁴C-values of 114 petroleum samples were ranging from −23 to −33% depending on their geographic age or location; more importantly, the study pointed out that compound-grouped fractions did not show significant isotope fractionation with respect to the crude oil.

Fig. 3. Intravariability: distribution of differences in δ¹³C-values of GBL between pairs of samples from the same batch.

Fig. 4. Intervariability: distribution of differences in δ¹³C-values of GBL between pairs of samples from different batches. The second plot (below) shows the same distribution for differences in δ¹³C-values between 0.0 and 0.4%; this corresponds to the range of the distribution of intra variability illustrated in Fig. 3.
Considering that the isotopic signature of crude oil feedstocks is conserved throughout the chemical process, these data may not account for δ13C-values less than −40%o assessed for some of the GBL samples (Table 1). Likewise, n-butane used as a feedstock for the manufacture of maleic anhydride is not likely to induce large variation in the carbon isotopic compositions of bulk GBL. In contrast, the kinetic isotope effect on the reactions to produce GBL may contribute significantly to the isotope distribution observed herein. Non-quantitative organic reactions characterized by incomplete conversion of the reactant containing the carbon bond involved in the rate determining step is susceptible to induce an isotope fractionation at that specific carbon position. Therefore, it may be hypothesized that an isotope fractionation occurred during the manufacturing process of GBL samples (for instance GBL #2, GBL #3 and GBL #5), owing to the unusual 13C depletion (~ −45%o) observed for these samples.

Potential of IRMS to infer the source of GBL seizures has been evaluated in a next step. This has been done by assessing the inter- and intra-variabilities of the δ13C-values. The intra-variability was calculated by reporting the differences in the carbon isotope ratio between each of the six replicates of the 19 GBL bulk (285 values). Concerning the inter-variability, the differences in the carbon isotope ratio means between the 19 GBL standards (171 values) have been measured. The distributions of the intra- and inter- variabilities were compared using a visual plot (Figs. 3 and 4) and a ROC curve (Receiver Operating Characteristic) leading to a high discrimination between the two populations (area under the curve of 0.991).

These results, associated with an accuracy of less than 0.3%o and a high repeatability, suggest that the discriminating ability of IRMS is sufficiently high to assess the source of a GBL sample. In order to test this approach, future work will be focused on the analyses of GBL samples from police seizures.

4. Conclusions

A method for the determination of the carbon isotopes content of GBL by GC/IRMS was developed and its accuracy and robustness were assessed. Hence, this method has been applied at 6 months interval to 19 GBL bulk lots purchased from diverse chemical providers and internet retailers of different countries, showing a high repeatability. The wide range of δ13C-values (from −23.12 to −45.78%o) enlightened the broad variability in stable isotope profiles that characterizes GBL batches from different sources and origins. In accordance with previously published research conducted with several solvents, it has been verified that distillation which could take place in the purification process of GBL production does not involve isotope fractionation and thus does not significantly affect δ13C-values.

The results obtained so far demonstrate the feasibility of a forensic approach to discriminate between samples of different origins by means of statistical analysis and further link a specimen to a definite source or draw inference on a possible common source of two samples. Considering the measurements performed, variations in the δ13C/12C ratio of GBL are likely to result from the combination of the stable isotope profile of the chemicals used in the manufacturing processes and the broad diversity of the synthesis pathways. In order to study further the influence of these parameters, isotopic profiling of GBL samples manufactured in a same industrial plant will be achieved to put forth potential isotope fractionation.
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